

Webinar

ULI San Francisco: What You Need to Know Building Electrification

Date: March 10, 2021

| 00:00:18> 00:00:22: | So good afternoon everyone, my name is Michelle Malaka Fry. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:00:22> 00:00:25: | I'm the executive director at ULI San Francisco and we |
| 00:00:25> 00:00:28: | are all very excited to have you all here with |
| 00:00:28> 00:00:32: | us today for this fantastic discussion on building electrification, |
| 00:00:32> 00:00:36: | building electrification is actually one of my favorite topics. |
| 00:00:36> 00:00:38: | An if you don't believe me, |
| 00:00:38> 00:00:40: | just ask my coworkers. And so it was my great |
| 00:00:40> 00:00:44: | pleasure to be able to serve on the Executive Steering |
| 00:00:44> 00:00:47: | Committee of the Mayor of San Francisco's 0 Mission Building |
| 00:00:47> 00:00:52: | Task Force. Which is responsible for creating San Francisco's recent |
| 00:00:52> 00:00:55: | building ordinance related to electrification. |
| 00:00:55> 00:00:58: | So why do I think electrification is so important and |
| 00:00:58> 00:00:59: | so interesting? |
| 00:00:59> 00:01:02: | And it's really because it's essential to our transition to |
| 00:01:02> 00:01:04: | a clean energy economy. |
| 00:01:04> 00:01:07: | The state of California has committed to creating a clean |
| 00:01:07> 00:01:09: | electricity grid by 2045, |
| 00:01:09> 00:01:12: | and the Biden administration is eyeing a goal of a |
| 00:01:12> 00:01:13: | clean grid by 2035, |
| 00:01:13> 00:01:17: | but essential part of this transition is for buildings to |
| 00:01:17> 00:01:19: | be able to plug into this. |
| 00:01:19> 00:01:21: | Clean grid an be using all electricity and to get |
| 00:01:21> 00:01:22: | off fossil gas, |
| 00:01:22> 00:01:25: | so getting off fossil gas is essential to us to |
| 00:01:25> 00:01:26: | all of us. |
| 00:01:26> 00:01:29: | Meeting our climate goals, it's important to our indoor and |

| 00:01:29> 00:01:33: | outdoor air quality and it's important for equity because it |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:01:33> 00:01:35: | fossil gas use doesn't impact all of us equally. |
| 00:01:35> 00:01:38: | We're going to be hearing all about this today from |
| 00:01:38> 00:01:40: | this fantastic panel. |
| 00:01:40> 00:01:43: | Experts that we've assembled will be hearing about big |
| | picture |
| 00:01:43> 00:01:44: | things and policy, |
| 00:01:44> 00:01:48: | but we're also getting really hear some hands on practical |
| 00:01:48> 00:01:49: | experience. |
| 00:01:49> 00:01:51: | For you to take back and bring back to your |
| 00:01:51> 00:01:53: | work and to your projects. |
| 00:01:53> 00:01:55: | So before we get started though, |
| 00:01:55> 00:01:58: | we are just going to go through just a couple |
| 00:01:58> 00:01:58: | of things. |
| 00:01:58> 00:02:00: | Announcements from Ulic SF and KC. |
| 00:02:00> 00:02:04: | If you wouldn't mind advancing thank you the slide we'd |
| 00:02:04> 00:02:07: | like to start all of our programs off by thanking |
| 00:02:07> 00:02:08: | our sponsors or sponsors. |
| 00:02:08> 00:02:10: | Do you make our work possible? |
| 00:02:10> 00:02:13: | And if anyone would like to find out more about |
| 00:02:13> 00:02:13: | sponsorship, |
| 00:02:13> 00:02:16: | they should please let me or someone on my team |
| 00:02:16> 00:02:18: | now we'd be happy to give you a call and |
| 00:02:19> 00:02:21: | the other thing we'd like to do is encourage you |
| 00:02:21> 00:02:24: | to be, you lie member if you're not already. |
| 00:02:24> 00:02:27: | Many great benefits to being a member. |
| 00:02:27> 00:02:30: | In addition to discounts like on programs like this, |
| 00:02:30> 00:02:34: | there's the opportunity to participate on committees that create programs |
| 00:02:34> 00:02:35: | like this, |
| 00:02:35> 00:02:38: | and if you would like to become a member, |
| 00:02:38> 00:02:39: | you can go to uli.org/join. |
| 00:02:39> 00:02:42: | And if you are already a member and know someone |
| 00:02:42> 00:02:44: | who should be a member, |
| 00:02:44> 00:02:46: | you can go to uli.org/refer. |
| 00:02:46> 00:02:49: | You'll get a discount and the person you refer the |
| 00:02:49> 00:02:53: | person you refer will get a discount and you'll get |
| 00:02:53> 00:02:54: | a gift card. |
| 00:02:54> 00:02:57: | And then one last slide I wanted to share is |
| 00:02:57> 00:03:00: | just a little bit of housekeeping here, |
| 00:03:00> 00:03:04: | so you'll notice that closed captioning is available if you |
| 00:03:04> 00:03:07: | click the close captioning button you will see a live |
| | |

| 00:03:07> 00:03:09: | transcript of this, |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:03:09> 00:03:12: | and please forgive us if there's a little bit of |
| 00:03:12> 00:03:13: | a lag, |
| 00:03:13> 00:03:14: | or if there are some typos. |
| 00:03:14> 00:03:18: | Kind of how the system works. |
| 00:03:18> 00:03:21: | Couple other things. One, this is a zoom meeting, |
| 00:03:21> 00:03:23: | so we ask that you turn off your cameras and |
| 00:03:24> 00:03:26: | that way all the speakers will float to the top. |
| 00:03:26> 00:03:29: | The other thing we recommend that you do is you |
| 00:03:29> 00:03:32: | can pin the speakers so if you float over anyone's |
| 00:03:32> 00:03:35: | head you can press you see the three little dots |
| 00:03:35> 00:03:38: | and there you can click that and you can click |
| 00:03:38> 00:03:41: | pin and then all the speakers will float to the |
| 00:03:41> 00:03:41: | top. |
| 00:03:41> 00:03:44: | You'll be able to see them and we invite you |
| 00:03:44> 00:03:48: | to share your thoughts and comments on Twitter where ULISF |
| 00:03:48> 00:03:49: | and if you have comments. |
| 00:03:49> 00:03:52: | For the speakers are moderate are and for speaker will |
| 00:03:53> 00:03:56: | be taking some of those comments towards the end, |
| 00:03:56> 00:03:59: | so please put those in the chat box. |
| 00:03:59> 00:04:02: | Alright, now with that I would like to introduce our |
| 00:04:02> 00:04:03: | first speaker, |
| 00:04:03> 00:04:07: | an Armada rater Panama bartholomy many of you may |
| | already |
| 00:04:07> 00:04:07: | know him. |
| 00:04:07> 00:04:10: | He was a formerly a board member at the US |
| 00:04:10> 00:04:11: | Green Building Council. |
| 00:04:11> 00:04:15: | He was president of our Northern California chapter of the |
| 00:04:15> 00:04:16: | US Green Building Council. |
| 00:04:16> 00:04:19: | He was also previously, amongst other things, |
| 00:04:19> 00:04:24: | Deputy director of the California Energy Commission's Efficiency and Renewables |
| 00:04:24> 00:04:24: | Division, |
| 00:04:24> 00:04:27: | and he's been an adviser to a number of our |
| 00:04:27> 00:04:28: | state Assembly members. |
| 00:04:28> 00:04:31: | But the reason why we've asked him here today? |
| 00:04:31> 00:04:36: | Is because he's also the director of the building Decarbonization |
| 00:04:36> 00:04:36: | coalition, |
| 00:04:36> 00:04:40: | one of the most active organizations really helping us with |
| 00:04:40> 00:04:44: | this transition to all electric buildings and he is basically |
| 00:04:44> 00:04:48: | the go to expert on building electrification in the country. |
| | |

| 00:04:48> 00:04:51: | And so we are delighted to have him here. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:04:51> 00:04:56: | So it's my pleasure to introduce Panama bartholomy |
| 00:04:56> 00:05:00: | wonderful, very gracious welcome. Thank you so much. |
| 00:05:00> 00:05:04: | Amuli, San Francisco. It's really great to be here. |
| 00:05:04> 00:05:08: | Thank you to all the sponsors for sponsoring this event |
| 00:05:08> 00:05:13: | and for sponsoring you lie and great organization and I've |
| 00:05:13> 00:05:15: | been a big fan for years. |
| 00:05:15> 00:05:18: | I am going to get my PowerPoint started here so |
| 00:05:18> 00:05:22: | hopefully everybody can see it and it looks well so |
| 00:05:22> 00:05:26: | this is not the practical hands on portion of the |
| 00:05:26> 00:05:28: | agenda. I was asked to cover. |
| 00:05:28> 00:05:32: | Why is building electrification taking off? |
| 00:05:32> 00:05:35: | What are some of the policies that are being implemented |
| 00:05:35> 00:05:37: | within the San Francisco Bay area and then what can |
| 00:05:37> 00:05:40: | we expect from the state as soon as I'm done |
| 00:05:40> 00:05:41: | talking? After about 10 minutes, |
| 00:05:41> 00:05:44: | we're going to hop over to the practical hands on |
| 00:05:44> 00:05:47: | part of the conversation with some of the experts in |
| 00:05:47> 00:05:47: | the field. |
| 00:05:47> 00:05:50: | Ask them a few questions and then allow time for |
| 00:05:50> 00:05:52: | you to ask some of them questions. |
| 00:05:52> 00:05:54: | So that's what we have going ahead of us for |
| 00:05:54> 00:05:55: | the next few minutes. |
| 00:05:55> 00:05:59: | Our organization that building decarbonization coalition is a coalition of |
| 00:05:59> 00:06:01: | utilities like Pacific Gas and Electric. |
| 00:06:01> 00:06:06: | Manufacturers of heating equipment. The designing |
| | construction community, |
| 00:06:06> 00:06:10: | local governments and NGOs, all working together to eliminate emissions |
| 00:06:10> 00:06:12: | from the built environment. |
| 00:06:12> 00:06:15: | So just first of all on the big picture is |
| 00:06:15> 00:06:17: | you know what is building electrification. |
| 00:06:17> 00:06:19: | Just to really simplify it, |
| 00:06:19> 00:06:22: | it's taking some of the major end uses within our |
| 00:06:22> 00:06:24: | buildings that traditionally use gas, |
| 00:06:24> 00:06:27: | space heating, water heating, cooking, |
| 00:06:27> 00:06:30: | clothes drying and transitioning those over to electricity. |
| 00:06:30> 00:06:35: | And why? Electricity? Well, electricity is getting increasingly cleaner all |
| 00:06:35> 00:06:37: | across the United States. |
| 00:06:37> 00:06:39: | This is a map you see on the screen of |
| 00:06:39> 00:06:42: | all of the states that have adopted what are called |
| | - |

| 00:06:42> 00:06:47: | renewable portfolio standards or renewable electricity standards where they have |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:06:47> 00:06:50: | put in law and requirement that the grid and the |
| 00:06:50> 00:06:54: | providers of electricity on the grid are getting cleaner over |
| 00:06:54> 00:06:58: | time to reach a certain cleanliness point by a certain |
| 00:06:58> 00:07:01: | date. For California, we're trying to get completely 100% |
| 00:07:01> 00:07:04: | carbon free electricity by 2045 and you can see a |
| 00:07:04> 00:07:08: | number of other states across the country are all trying |
| 00:07:08> 00:07:08: | to get. |
| 00:07:08> 00:07:13: | The cleaner electricity. So what building electrification really is is |
| 00:07:13> 00:07:17: | it's taking that clean electricity they're produced on the grid |
| 00:07:17> 00:07:20: | or produced on the building and combining it with really |
| 00:07:20> 00:07:23: | highly efficient technology like heat pumps, |
| 00:07:23> 00:07:27: | heat pump, water heaters and magnetic induction cooking to power |
| 00:07:27> 00:07:32: | a building completely from that cleaner and cleaner electricity. |
| 00:07:32> 00:07:33: | And so you might ask, |
| 00:07:33> 00:07:35: | well, what's so wrong about gas? |
| 00:07:35> 00:07:37: | And I'm not going to spend a lot of time |
| 00:07:37> 00:07:38: | on this, |
| 00:07:38> 00:07:41: | because ultimately I think most of you are probably interested |
| 00:07:41> 00:07:43: | in what does it mean for me, |
| 00:07:43> 00:07:46: | and ultimately this is some of the underlying information that's |
| 00:07:47> 00:07:48: | really driving policy, |
| 00:07:48> 00:07:51: | and I'd rather spend the time on the policy 'cause |
| 00:07:51> 00:07:53: | the policy is or what it really going to impact |
| 00:07:53> 00:07:54: | you and your work. |
| 00:07:54> 00:07:57: | But just in short, right now gas is the fastest |
| 00:07:57> 00:07:59: | growing climate pollutant in the world. |
| 00:07:59> 00:08:02: | We're doing great work on power plants on industry and |
| 00:08:02> 00:08:03: | on. |
| 00:08:03> 00:08:06: | Vehicles were not doing as good to work on natural |
| 00:08:06> 00:08:06: | gas, |
| 00:08:06> 00:08:10: | and so natural gas is now the leading contributor to |
| 00:08:10> 00:08:12: | climate change. |
| 00:08:12> 00:08:15: | Hum, we've done great work on reducing pollution from power |
| 00:08:15> 00:08:16: | plants and cars, |
| 00:08:16> 00:08:19: | but those same advanced pollution controls we haven't put on |
| 00:08:19> 00:08:22: | appliances and buildings and so right now in the Bay |

5

| 00:08:22> 00:08:22: | Area. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:08:22> 00:08:26: | Our buildings are actually producing more smog from the |
| | natural |
| 00:08:26> 00:08:26: | gas, |
| 00:08:26> 00:08:29: | so we burn in the buildings and all of the |
| 00:08:29> 00:08:31: | cars that are commuting in and around the Bay Area. |
| 00:08:31> 00:08:33: | We can build buildings we can build, |
| 00:08:33> 00:08:38: | particularly residential buildings, cheaper when they're building all electric, |
| 00:08:38> 00:08:41: | then with gas, and so we're actually seeing a situation |
| 00:08:41> 00:08:43: | where if we want to be lowering the cost of |
| 00:08:44> 00:08:45: | housing in California. |
| 00:08:45> 00:08:47: | Building all electric is a key way to do it, |
| 00:08:47> 00:08:50: | and we have an incredibly old pipeline system. |
| 00:08:50> 00:08:53: | In California we have a big decision point coming soon, |
| 00:08:53> 00:08:56: | as are we going to be replacing a gas system |
| 00:08:56> 00:08:59: | that runs all over California? |
| 00:08:59> 00:09:01: | Most 2/3 of the pipe is over 50 years old |
| 00:09:01> 00:09:03: | and nearing the end of its useful life, |
| 00:09:03> 00:09:05: | and we have a big decision to make. |
| 00:09:05> 00:09:08: | Are we going to be investing the billions of dollars |
| 00:09:08> 00:09:09: | into two energy systems? |
| 00:09:09> 00:09:12: | Are gas system, air, electricity system, |
| 00:09:12> 00:09:15: | or really focusing on getting the electricity system going and |
| 00:09:15> 00:09:18: | stable so we can rely on that into the future. |
| 00:09:18> 00:09:20: | And then lastly, if any city or the state of |
| 00:09:20> 00:09:23: | California is going to achieve its climate goals is going |
| 00:09:23> 00:09:26: | to have to eliminate gas and so it's really For |
| 00:09:26> 00:09:29: | these reasons that we're starting to see the policy is |
| 00:09:29> 00:09:30: | being adopted. |
| 00:09:30> 00:09:32: | Across the state and in many cities, |
| 00:09:32> 00:09:35: | Berkeley was the first one to really take action on |
| 00:09:35> 00:09:38: | this in California back in July of 2019, |
| 00:09:38> 00:09:41: | they became the first city in the nation to say |
| 00:09:41> 00:09:44: | no more new gas in any buildings that are built |
| 00:09:44> 00:09:46: | within the city of Berkeley. |
| 00:09:46> 00:09:49: | The fourth person to testify at that City Council meeting |
| 00:09:49> 00:09:52: | was daring climb from Pacific gas and Electric, |
| 00:09:52> 00:09:54: | and Aaron stood up and said, |
| 00:09:54> 00:09:57: | PG knees here to support Berkeley and any other city |
| 00:09:57> 00:10:00: | in our territory that wants to help us stop the |
| 00:10:00> 00:10:02: | expansion of our gas network. |

| 00:10:02> 00:10:05: | We recognize in an expanding gas network does not fit |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:10:05> 00:10:09: | in where California is going on climate change and we |
| 00:10:09> 00:10:11: | do not feel that our rate payers are going to |
| 00:10:11> 00:10:15: | be able to afford the gas system into the future |
| 00:10:15> 00:10:18: | and therefore we encourage you to stop expanding the gas |
| 00:10:18> 00:10:19: | system. |
| 00:10:19> 00:10:22: | So when you think about one of your key partners, |
| 00:10:22> 00:10:25: | an expansion and development being your utility utility is sending |
| 00:10:26> 00:10:29: | a very clear message within the Bay Area and across |
| 00:10:29> 00:10:32: | their territory that they do not want to be building |
| 00:10:32> 00:10:36: | out and providing gas to any new construction within their |
| 00:10:36> 00:10:36: | territory. |
| 00:10:36> 00:10:40: | Since Berkeley 41 other cities across California have adopted either |
| 00:10:40> 00:10:43: | a gas ban or similar restrictions to make it very |
| 00:10:43> 00:10:46: | hard to build with gas within their territory, |
| 00:10:46> 00:10:49: | you see a heavy concentration of them in the Bay |
| 00:10:49> 00:10:49: | Area, |
| 00:10:49> 00:10:52: | but we're starting to see it expand to other parts |
| 00:10:52> 00:10:53: | of the state, |
| 00:10:53> 00:10:56: | and this looks like San Diego is going to try |
| 00:10:56> 00:10:58: | to move forward by the end of the year and |
| 00:10:58> 00:11:01: | a number of cities in LA County as well. |
| 00:11:01> 00:11:04: | We have about 60 more cities that are looking about |
| 00:11:04> 00:11:07: | adopting these local policies moving forward. |
| 00:11:07> 00:11:09: | The ones in the Bay Area I was asked to |
| 00:11:09> 00:11:11: | give some generalities about them. |
| 00:11:11> 00:11:14: | What I would say is most of the ones adopted |
| 00:11:14> 00:11:15: | across the Bay Area, |
| 00:11:15> 00:11:19: | our whole building approaches so they say overall we want |
| 00:11:19> 00:11:22: | the entire building to be all electric rather than focusing |
| 00:11:22> 00:11:25: | on a specific end use like water heating or space |
| 00:11:25> 00:11:29: | heating, and many of them cover all building types and |
| 00:11:29> 00:11:32: | the majors and the large cities within the Bay Area |
| 00:11:32> 00:11:33: | such as San Francisco, |
| 00:11:33> 00:11:36: | Oakland, San Jose, cover all building types. |
| 00:11:36> 00:11:39: | Some of them, particularly in Silicon Valley. |
| 00:11:39> 00:11:41: | Did exempt certain building types, |
| 00:11:41> 00:11:44: | restaurants and life sciences were two of the areas that |
| 00:11:44> 00:11:46: | were heavily exempted at the coalition. |
| 00:11:46> 00:11:48: | We track all of these and we have a fantastic |

| 00:11:48> 00:11:52: | spreadsheet that lays out the differences between each and every |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:11:52> 00:11:53: | one of these codes. |
| 00:11:53> 00:11:55: | You can see it on the website that you see |
| 00:11:55> 00:11:56: | on the screen, |
| 00:11:56> 00:11:59: | but and I'm sure this will be sent around afterwards |
| 00:11:59> 00:12:00: | for you to see that, |
| 00:12:00> 00:12:02: | but we track each and every one of these, |
| 00:12:02> 00:12:06: | but in general this is what we're seeing from the |
| 00:12:06> 00:12:09: | Bay Area codes that are going to be affecting your |
| 00:12:09> 00:12:09: | work. |
| 00:12:09> 00:12:12: | What we're starting to see now is a movement, |
| 00:12:12> 00:12:14: | or is how do we start to deal with existing |
| 00:12:14> 00:12:16: | buildings for existing buildings? |
| 00:12:16> 00:12:19: | It's not Even so much of a building issue as |
| 00:12:19> 00:12:21: | much as it's an appliance issue, |
| 00:12:21> 00:12:23: | and So what we're seeing is a Bay Area Air |
| 00:12:23> 00:12:27: | Quality Management District has announced that they're going to be |
| 00:12:27> 00:12:30: | looking to adopt Ultra low NOx or zero nitrogen oxide |
| 00:12:30> 00:12:33: | standards for water heaters and furnaces before the end of |
| 00:12:34> 00:12:34: | the year, |
| 00:12:34> 00:12:37: | and so all those codes I mentioned are going to |
| 00:12:37> 00:12:38: | handle new construction. |
| 00:12:38> 00:12:42: | The regulations at the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. |
| 00:12:42> 00:12:45: | And the South Coast Air Quality Management District in LA |
| 00:12:45> 00:12:48: | are going to be looking at are going to be |
| 00:12:48> 00:12:51: | about when your systems die and when your water heating |
| 00:12:51> 00:12:53: | and space conditioning systems die. |
| 00:12:53> 00:12:56: | These regulations will then affect what kind of replacement you're |
| 00:12:56> 00:12:59: | going to be able to put into your building. |
| 00:12:59> 00:13:01: | So highly recommend you watch back mode this year at |
| 00:13:01> 00:13:02: | the state level. |
| 00:13:02> 00:13:05: | There's a really clear message is coming down from the |
| 00:13:05> 00:13:08: | state about where the states going back in 2018, |
| 00:13:08> 00:13:11: | the Energy Commission and their Seminole policy report on energy |
| 00:13:12> 00:13:13: | said that is very clear. |
| 00:13:13> 00:13:16: | That building electrification as a pathway the state needs to |
| 00:13:17> 00:13:19: | go down in order to decarbonize's building stock, |
| 00:13:19> 00:13:23: | and then, in 2019, the California Public Utilities Commission |

| | opened |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:13:24> 00:13:25: | up a brand new proceeding. |
| 00:13:25> 00:13:27: | Looking at the future of gas. |
| 00:13:27> 00:13:29: | And at the time Commissioner Liane Randolph, |
| 00:13:29> 00:13:32: | who opened that proceeding, wrote this op Ed, |
| 00:13:32> 00:13:34: | you see, on the screen where she said, |
| 00:13:34> 00:13:37: | it is clear that California is going to have to |
| 00:13:37> 00:13:39: | transition off of gas, |
| 00:13:39> 00:13:42: | and this is a proceeding where we will be discussing |
| 00:13:42> 00:13:44: | how the state is stops the use of gas. |
| 00:13:44> 00:13:47: | Within its territory. |
| 00:13:47> 00:13:49: | We're starting to see some of the first policies come |
| 00:13:49> 00:13:52: | out of the state of California in the building code, |
| 00:13:52> 00:13:53: | so I talked about with. |
| 00:13:53> 00:13:56: | The locals are going on the building code I'm going |
| 00:13:56> 00:13:59: | to finish up just briefly talking about what the state |
| 00:13:59> 00:14:00: | is doing on the building code. |
| 00:14:00> 00:14:03: | They're going to adopt A new building code that will |
| 00:14:03> 00:14:04: | go into effect January 1st, |
| 00:14:04> 00:14:07: | 2023, but it's called the 2022 Building Code in August |
| 00:14:07> 00:14:08: | of this year, |
| 00:14:08> 00:14:10: | and then it gives him 18 months to implement it, |
| 00:14:10> 00:14:12: | and so here's what we're seeing. |
| 00:14:12> 00:14:13: | I know not a lot of you build the low |
| 00:14:14> 00:14:15: | rise residential and nonresidential, |
| 00:14:15> 00:14:17: | but for the first time ever, |
| 00:14:17> 00:14:19: | a state is recommending that heat pumps be required. |
| 00:14:19> 00:14:21: | Part of a building code. |
| 00:14:21> 00:14:24: | They're going to require one of the major end uses. |
| 00:14:24> 00:14:26: | Water heating or space heating for new construction. |
| 00:14:26> 00:14:29: | Be a heat pump. They're going to recognize the inherent |
| 00:14:29> 00:14:32: | pollution dangers of cooking with gas in the code and |
| 00:14:32> 00:14:34: | require higher ventilation standards. |
| 00:14:34> 00:14:37: | If you build a house with a gas stove compared |
| 00:14:37> 00:14:38: | to an electric stove, |
| 00:14:38> 00:14:41: | they're going to require even if you don't put in |
| 00:14:41> 00:14:44: | electric appliances that you have that you have prewired and |
| 00:14:44> 00:14:46: | provided space for electric appliances. |
| 00:14:46> 00:14:48: | So when your gas appliance dies, |
| 00:14:48> 00:14:50: | it'll be all set up and ready to go. |
| 00:14:50> 00:14:54: | And they're going to give additional energy modeling points available |

| 00:14:55> 00:14:57: | if you build an all electric building. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:14:57> 00:15:00: | For multi family, which I'm sure a lot of you |
| 00:15:00> 00:15:01: | do build multifamily, |
| 00:15:01> 00:15:04: | they're going to be requiring for all single zone space |
| 00:15:04> 00:15:05: | heating systems. |
| 00:15:05> 00:15:08: | So yeah, individual you know apartments that have a single |
| 00:15:08> 00:15:11: | heater isn't connected to a central system. |
| 00:15:11> 00:15:13: | Those are going to have to be all electric. |
| 00:15:13> 00:15:16: | They're going to allow and make it easier to build |
| 00:15:16> 00:15:18: | with central heat pump water heating systems. |
| 00:15:18> 00:15:22: | They're going to have the same kind of electric readiness |
| 00:15:22> 00:15:24: | and battery storage requirements, |
| 00:15:24> 00:15:27: | except for water heating, and then have those same higher |
| 00:15:27> 00:15:29: | ventilation standards for cooking. |
| 00:15:29> 00:15:32: | For the non red side is a much lighter touch |
| 00:15:32> 00:15:34: | because so many of the central systems are a bit |
| 00:15:35> 00:15:36: | harder racial here, |
| 00:15:36> 00:15:39: | but from some of our speakers and so they're going |
| 00:15:39> 00:15:42: | to be requiring again for single zone space heating. |
| 00:15:42> 00:15:45: | Those systems will have to be all electric except for |
| 00:15:45> 00:15:46: | restaurants, |
| 00:15:46> 00:15:48: | hotels, motels and medical facilities. |
| 00:15:48> 00:15:51: | For small schools or requirement for heat pump water heaters |
| 00:15:51> 00:15:54: | and then there's going to be for the first time |
| 00:15:54> 00:15:55: | ever in any code. |
| 00:15:55> 00:15:59: | Photovoltaic and battery requirements for for non residential. |
| 00:15:59> 00:16:03: | Buildings in California. So this is the future that you |
| 00:16:03> 00:16:06: | all are really going to have to consider up here |
| 00:16:06> 00:16:09: | and this part of the screen you're starting to see |
| 00:16:09> 00:16:14: | policy's climate policy's and economic building electrification that's going to |
| 00:16:14> 00:16:18: | be reducing the throughput for gas reducing gas demand an |
| 00:16:18> 00:16:20: | when you're reducing gas demand, |
| 00:16:20> 00:16:23: | and taking people off of the gas grid. |
| 00:16:23> 00:16:26: | What that results in is less customers to pay for |
| 00:16:26> 00:16:28: | the fixed costs of that system. |
| 00:16:28> 00:16:31: | And so that's going to lead to higher gas rates. |
| 00:16:31> 00:16:34: | As we're starting to replace the gas system that will |
| 00:16:34> 00:16:37: | create further rate pressure on on gas on the gas |
| 00:16:37> 00:16:38: | customers, |
| 00:16:38> 00:16:41: | which makes it even more economic than to electrify. |

| 00:16:41> 00:16:43: 00:16:43> 00:16:46: | Which means more people will get off the grid, which will then reduce demand on the gas grid and |
|--|--|
| 00:16:46> 00:16:49: | you can start to begin to see the downward spiral |
| 00:16:49> 00:16:51: | of the gas system in California. |
| 00:16:51> 00:16:54: | And this is what PG talks about about not being |
| 00:16:54> 00:16:56: | able to afford the gas system in the future. |
| 00:16:56> 00:17:00: | So the question is, is where are your portfolios and |
| 00:17:00> 00:17:02: | where are you taking your customers? |
| 00:17:02> 00:17:04: | In this journey, are you at the front end of |
| 00:17:04> 00:17:07: | this story where you're getting people out of this game |
| 00:17:07> 00:17:08: | before it gets bad? |
| 00:17:08> 00:17:10: | Or are you going to be left behind and be |
| 00:17:10> 00:17:13: | some of the people holding the pipe at the end |
| 00:17:13> 00:17:14: | of the journey? |
| 00:17:14> 00:17:16: | So thank you for the time today. |
| 00:17:16> 00:17:19: | This is our website buildingdecarb.org and I'm really excited to |
| 00:17:19> 00:17:22: | now stop and take you over to our fantastic group |
| 00:17:22> 00:17:22: | of speakers. |
| 00:17:22> 00:17:25: | So I'm going to stop sharing. |
| 00:17:25> 00:17:29: | And introduce you to our speakers today. |
| 00:17:29> 00:17:32: | So we have a fantastic group really. |
| 00:17:32> 00:17:35: | I would say it's kind of like the like. |
| 00:17:35> 00:17:37: | A whole group of like the doctor, |
| 00:17:37> 00:17:41: | foul cheese of building decarbonization is kind of like like |
| 00:17:41> 00:17:45: | the Harry and Meghan's of building performance and if it's |
| 00:17:45> 00:17:46: | almost like more, |
| 00:17:46> 00:17:50: | more exciting than getting a vaccine is hearing from these |
| 00:17:50> 00:17:54: | folks who are about to lay their knowledge on us. |
| 00:17:54> 00:17:56: | So let me first introduce Sarah Neff. |
| 00:17:56> 00:18:02: | She's a senior vice president of sustainability at Kilroy Realty |
| 00:18:02> 00:18:03: | Corporation. |
| 00:18:03> 00:18:08: | At Kilroy she overseas all sustainability initiatives such as implementation |
| 00:18:08> 00:18:10: | of energy and water efficiency, |
| 00:18:10> 00:18:15: | projects, recycling, green cleaning, LEED certifications, |
| 00:18:15> 00:18:18: | EV's done it all. She's a fantastic hang at conferences |
| 00:18:19> 00:18:22: | so Sarah Neff is going to be talking to us |
| 00:18:22> 00:18:24: | as one of our commercial folks, |
| 00:18:24> 00:18:26: | Rushi Shah. She has just a just. |
| 00:18:26> 00:18:31: | Actually each of these first three speakers have like an |
| 00:18:31> 00:18:32: | entire alphabet. |
| 00:18:32> 00:18:35: | Certifications behind their name in Russia is definitely one of |

| 00:18:36> 00:18:36: | them. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:18:36> 00:18:40: | She's a senior sustainability manager for the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: | Corporation, |
| 00:18:41> 00:18:44: | one of the largest affordable housing developers in San Francisco, |
| 00:18:44> 00:18:47: | and she overseas about three and a half million square |
| 00:18:47> 00:18:51: | feet of space and a sustainability program that overseas all |
| 00:18:51> 00:18:51: | of them. |
| 00:18:51> 00:18:53: | She, too, is in charge of energy, |
| 00:18:53> 00:18:55: | water, carbon reduction, waste diversion, |
| 00:18:55> 00:18:59: | and she leads decarbonization o'll decarbonization sustainable design efforts at |
| 00:18:59> 00:19:02: | the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation. |
| 00:19:02> 00:19:04: | So thank you for joining us. |
| 00:19:04> 00:19:08: | She, Megan, Gunther again the alphabet behind early day PBD&C |
| 00:19:08> 00:19:10: | well April well AP. |
| 00:19:10> 00:19:12: | I mean, all of it. |
| 00:19:12> 00:19:16: | Megan leads the building decarbonization building performance group for AEI |
| 00:19:16> 00:19:17: | San Francisco office. |
| 00:19:17> 00:19:20: | Ann is a mechanical engineer with expertise, |
| 00:19:20> 00:19:23: | an analysis, engineering and design of mechanical systems, |
| 00:19:23> 00:19:27: | supporting laboratories, health care, and higher education laboratories, |
| 00:19:27> 00:19:29: | health care and higher education. |
| 00:19:29> 00:19:32: | So Megan only works on the most difficult of building |
| 00:19:32> 00:19:32: | types. |
| 00:19:32> 00:19:36: | Nothing using nothing is like a square warehouse for Megan. |
| 00:19:36> 00:19:38: | This is like the complicated stuff she leaves. |
| 00:19:38> 00:19:42: | Decarbonization and sustainable design efforts and provide support for all |
| 00:19:43> 00:19:44: | electric new developments. |
| 00:19:44> 00:19:47: | And rehab projects. And last but not least, |
| 00:19:47> 00:19:49: | we have the the boy on the on the panel. |
| 00:19:49> 00:19:52: | We have Barry Hooper the winner only. |
| 00:19:52> 00:19:55: | He's a green building coordinator at San Francisco and Department |
| 00:19:55> 00:19:58: | of Environment where he manage is implementation of the city's |
| 00:19:59> 00:20:00: | building performance, |
| 00:20:00> 00:20:03: | labeling energy audit requirements for commercial buildings. |
| 00:20:03> 00:20:06: | He's a department lead for San Francisco's Green Building |

| | code |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:20:06> 00:20:10: | for new construction and provides Technical Support to the |
| | Department |
| 00:20:10> 00:20:11: | of Building Inspection. |
| 00:20:11> 00:20:15: | Probably the least favorite part of his job. |
| 00:20:15> 00:20:18: | He has previously worked as the Green Building and energy |
| 00:20:18> 00:20:19: | coordinator, |
| 00:20:19> 00:20:20: | the city of San Jose, |
| 00:20:20> 00:20:23: | and is the Energy Commissioner for the County of Santa |
| 00:20:23> 00:20:24: | Cruz. |
| 00:20:24> 00:20:26: | I have no idea how you were able to do |
| 00:20:26> 00:20:27: | that and be an employee, |
| 00:20:27> 00:20:29: | but good job when you bury. |
| 00:20:29> 00:20:31: | So that's who we're going to hear from just a |
| 00:20:31> 00:20:32: | fantastic group. |
| 00:20:32> 00:20:35: | I'm going to start off with some questions for Sarah |
| 00:20:35> 00:20:36: | or commercial developer. |
| 00:20:36> 00:20:38: | If you could unmute yourself. |
| 00:20:38> 00:20:40: | Thank you, Sarah, welcome you. |
| 00:20:40> 00:20:43: | Let's go. You're down in LA though. |
| 00:20:43> 00:20:47: | So you electrify commercial buildings right? |
| 00:20:47> 00:20:51: | The deal, fantastic. How is that been? |
| 00:20:51> 00:20:54: | What challenges have come up as you started down this |
| 00:20:54> 00:20:56: | journey of electrification? |
| 00:20:56> 00:21:00: | And why is killroy going down the journey of electrification? |
| 00:21:00> 00:21:02: | Well, Panama told us too, |
| 00:21:02> 00:21:06: | so we felt like we had to know. |
| 00:21:06> 00:21:08: | So we see that we we see the writing on |
| 00:21:08> 00:21:09: | the wall. |
| 00:21:09> 00:21:12: | So Kilroy declared that it was going to achieve carbon |
| 00:21:12> 00:21:14: | neutral operations by the end of 2020, |
| 00:21:14> 00:21:15: | which is a goal we achieved. |
| 00:21:15> 00:21:18: | But we also see that we can get the electric |
| 00:21:18> 00:21:18: | grid 20% |
| 00:21:18> 00:21:21: | renewables and we cannot get the gas grid 200% |
| 00:21:21> 00:21:25: | renewable. So therefore we need to use a lot less |
| 00:21:25> 00:21:25: | gas. |
| 00:21:25> 00:21:28: | So we started going all after construction. |
| 00:21:28> 00:21:31: | I think three years ago. |
| 00:21:31> 00:21:32: | And what we found is, |
| 00:21:32> 00:21:35: | you know, like anything with new construction when you bake |
| 00:21:35> 00:21:37: | it in these things are not hard, |
| | |

| 00:21:37> 00:21:39: | especially in an office space. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:21:39> 00:21:41: | You know there's some words the wise in terms of |
| 00:21:41> 00:21:42: | you know, |
| 00:21:42> 00:21:44: | saying OK, we're going to let Rick Warren Shell if |
| 00:21:44> 00:21:47: | attendant really really wants to run a gas line later |
| 00:21:47> 00:21:48: | to run a small, |
| 00:21:48> 00:21:49: | you know food thing fine, |
| 00:21:49> 00:21:52: | not great, but they're using their TI allowance for it. |
| 00:21:52> 00:21:55: | And then at least we're not using all this gas |
| 00:21:55> 00:21:56: | to heat and cool the building. |
| 00:21:56> 00:21:59: | And that way the leasing team feels a little more |
| 00:21:59> 00:22:00: | comfortable. |
| 00:22:00> 00:22:02: | So we've actually, you know, |
| 00:22:02> 00:22:04: | it's one of these things where I think the bark |
| 00:22:04> 00:22:06: | is so much worse than the bite. |
| 00:22:06> 00:22:09: | I think there's a lot of fear about electrification when |
| 00:22:09> 00:22:10: | you actually go into it. |
| 00:22:10> 00:22:11: | Run it. It's it's not. |
| 00:22:11> 00:22:12: | It's just not that bad. |
| 00:22:12> 00:22:14: | You know we've had some struggles, |
| 00:22:14> 00:22:16: | which I think a lot of people on this call |
| 00:22:16> 00:22:17: | know about. |
| 00:22:17> 00:22:19: | We had some Title 24 compliance issues where when you |
| 00:22:20> 00:22:22: | run the model with a mixture of building, |
| 00:22:22> 00:22:24: | it passes runner model with an electric building. |
| 00:22:24> 00:22:26: | Little bit of a Gray area, |
| 00:22:26> 00:22:28: | a lot of people are aware of this problem. |
| 00:22:28> 00:22:30: | I'm not the only one to experience it for working. |
| 00:22:30> 00:22:33: | Through it you know that can be challenging. |
| 00:22:33> 00:22:36: | We have had a small you know issue where the |
| 00:22:37> 00:22:42: | electric building footprint is slightly larger than the mechanical footprint. |
| 00:22:42> 00:22:45: | Not a huge deal, but not a change you want. |
| 00:22:45> 00:22:49: | You know, in the middle of the design drawings again, |
| 00:22:49> 00:22:51: | something just needs to be baked in. |
| 00:22:51> 00:22:55: | Right now, you know we have depending on time of |
| 00:22:55> 00:22:58: | year and and what prices are the cost issue in |
| 00:22:58> 00:23:01: | terms of operations is is a little. |
| 00:23:01> 00:23:02: | I would stay up in the air, |
| 00:23:02> 00:23:03: | but we we see where we we, |
| 00:23:03> 00:23:06: | we we believe we see where this is going and |
| 00:23:06> 00:23:08: | so we're OK with that we're OK we believe that |

| 00:23:08> 00:23:11: | we are so sort of proactive and energy efficiency and |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:23:11> 00:23:14: | development that we're able to say you know what we're |
| 00:23:14> 00:23:15: | going to do with it. |
| 00:23:15> 00:23:18: | We're going to overall give a better value to our |
| 00:23:18> 00:23:21: | tenants in terms of a lower cost for utilities. |
| 00:23:21> 00:23:24: | I'm in the major place for struggling as these these |
| 00:23:24> 00:23:25: | pesky existing buildings. |
| 00:23:25> 00:23:29: | Certainly ripping gas lines out of an existing building is |
| 00:23:29> 00:23:31: | a whole different kettle of fish, |
| 00:23:31> 00:23:32: | so I'll say in new construction, |
| 00:23:32> 00:23:37: | specially in office, we're really dealing with a lot more. |
| 00:23:37> 00:23:41: | I can come discomfort with something you rather than problems |
| 00:23:41> 00:23:42: | that are really real. |
| 00:23:42> 00:23:44: | These things can get on. |
| 00:23:44> 00:23:47: | I'm sure Megan, who's actually mechanical engineer, |
| 00:23:47> 00:23:48: | can get this a lot faster, |
| 00:23:48> 00:23:51: | but the issues are not as as difficult, |
| 00:23:51> 00:23:53: | but just as recently as this past was, |
| 00:23:53> 00:23:55: | you know, less than a few months ago I was |
| 00:23:56> 00:23:58: | leading at least threaten said alright guys. |
| 00:23:58> 00:24:01: | And the other thing they be done with this lead |
| 00:24:01> 00:24:02: | scorecard. |
| 00:24:02> 00:24:05: | Disability needs to electric and everybody went can. |
| 00:24:05> 00:24:07: | Oh my gosh, it's gonna be this whole thing. |
| 00:24:07> 00:24:09: | I don't. Oh my gosh, |
| 00:24:09> 00:24:11: | and you know I'm getting all these emails like you've |
| 00:24:11> 00:24:13: | caused a lot of conversations internally. |
| 00:24:13> 00:24:15: | I don't think we can do this and this isn't |
| 00:24:15> 00:24:16: | going to happen. |
| 00:24:16> 00:24:18: | I called him as I always do like Panama and |
| 00:24:18> 00:24:20: | we have to go talk to these people and then |
| 00:24:20> 00:24:22: | they stop responding to my emails, |
| 00:24:22> 00:24:23: | which is always a concern. |
| 00:24:23> 00:24:25: | And then you know, a couple weeks later I was |
| 00:24:25> 00:24:26: | like hey, |
| 00:24:26> 00:24:29: | if we. Figured out this electric building thing and they're |
| 00:24:29> 00:24:30: | like, |
| 00:24:30> 00:24:32: | oh, actually, when we ran the numbers it was totally |
| 00:24:32> 00:24:33: | fine. |
| 00:24:33> 00:24:35: | So the reason we haven't even back as we just |
| 00:24:35> 00:24:37: | did did that thing you wanted. |

| 00:24:37> 00:24:38: | That's fine. The real issue is this. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:24:38> 00:24:41: | You know. Whatever landscape, pavers or some such thing. |
| 00:24:41> 00:24:44: | So as one of these things where in the room, |
| 00:24:44> 00:24:45: | if I had said like no if they if I |
| 00:24:45> 00:24:48: | just take a no for an answer though this seems |
| 00:24:48> 00:24:49: | harder than like, |
| 00:24:49> 00:24:51: | OK no problem and then it would have gotten mixed |
| 00:24:51> 00:24:52: | fuel forever. |
| 00:24:52> 00:24:54: | But I was like I kind of don't want it |
| 00:24:54> 00:24:56: | to be mixed field doesn't need it. |
| 00:24:56> 00:24:58: | And then people actually ran the numbers. |
| 00:24:58> 00:24:59: | And it turned out to be OK. |
| 00:24:59> 00:25:01: | So I think we just need a little bit of |
| 00:25:01> 00:25:02: | push right now, |
| 00:25:02> 00:25:05: | but at least in my asset classes these things are |
| 00:25:05> 00:25:05: | not hard. |
| 00:25:05> 00:25:08: | I think people in retail we're going to have to |
| 00:25:08> 00:25:10: | get used to some induction cooking and maybe some cost |
| 00:25:10> 00:25:11: | for equipment there. |
| 00:25:11> 00:25:15: | And obviously residential. Everybody loves their induction stoves, |
| 00:25:15> 00:25:17: | but it's a thing that we're going to have to |
| 00:25:17> 00:25:18: | get over, |
| 00:25:18> 00:25:20: | but I think as soon as we get there is |
| 00:25:20> 00:25:22: | going to be fine and I will only say is |
| 00:25:22> 00:25:25: | the end of this anecdote that my husband for figuring |
| 00:25:25> 00:25:27: | out how to needing to replace our stove has finally |
| 00:25:27> 00:25:28: | agreed. |
| 00:25:28> 00:25:30: | We won't get another gas stove. |
| 00:25:30> 00:25:33: | He's actually OS most enough of the Kool Aid on |
| 00:25:33> 00:25:33: | this one. |
| 00:25:33> 00:25:36: | And we're also going to be walking the walk, |
| 00:25:36> 00:25:39: | so I'm excited. I think that I think the barriers |
| 00:25:39> 00:25:42: | are less than they seem in commercial, |
| 00:25:42> 00:25:44: | and with that I'll turn it back to the home |
| 00:25:44> 00:25:45: | and great, |
| 00:25:45> 00:25:47: | I got one more question for you, |
| 00:25:47> 00:25:50: | Sir. You mentioned cost briefly and it's always a silly |
| 00:25:50> 00:25:51: | questions, |
| 00:25:51> 00:25:54: | like how much does a building cost to build, |
| 00:25:54> 00:25:57: | but but in general, what are you seeing on cost? |
| 00:25:57> 00:25:59: | Both new construction and operational and? |

| 00:25:59> 00:26:02: | Trends are building all electric. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:26:02> 00:26:05: | Yeah, sure I can. I can provide sort of two |
| 00:26:05> 00:26:07: | ways of looking at so. |
| 00:26:07> 00:26:10: | l had a billion a billion dollar development. |
| 00:26:10> 00:26:13: | OK and this development was in this big right. |
| 00:26:13> 00:26:16: | It's 2,000,000 square feet, city block, |
| 00:26:16> 00:26:20: | whatever and we and it's obviously something like that gets |
| 00:26:21> 00:26:23: | designed for years and years. |
| 00:26:23> 00:26:26: | And we made this decision to go all electric in |
| 00:26:26> 00:26:27: | San Francisco. |
| 00:26:29> 00:26:33: | We were in a late DDS like the design was |
| 00:26:33> 00:26:34: | pretty baked. |
| 00:26:34> 00:26:40: | Answer for that billion dollar project going all electric was |
| 00:26:40> 00:26:41: | a \$1,000,000 ad. |
| 00:26:41> 00:26:43: | So that and that was, |
| 00:26:43> 00:26:45: | and it would have been a \$0.00 out if we |
| 00:26:45> 00:26:46: | done it three years prior. |
| 00:26:46> 00:26:48: | If it had been on our radar. |
| 00:26:48> 00:26:50: | So for a billion dollar project, |
| 00:26:50> 00:26:54: | \$1,000,000 AD, which incidentally we were able to actually entirely |
| 00:26:54> 00:26:57: | clear by switching from a grey water to black water |
| 00:26:57> 00:26:57: | system, |
| 00:26:57> 00:26:59: | canceled it out. So there is, |
| 00:26:59> 00:27:01: | you know. So this whole net thing. |
| 00:27:01> 00:27:03: | So Sarah got everything she wanted. |
| 00:27:03> 00:27:06: | I got black water and electric for no additional cost |
| 00:27:06> 00:27:07: | so that all worked out fine. |
| 00:27:07> 00:27:09: | So that that again was doing it late, |
| 00:27:09> 00:27:11: | right? So if you did it early, |
| 00:27:11> 00:27:15: | like? For this project I was just talking about where |
| 00:27:15> 00:27:17: | we talked about it in the shower at no cost |
| 00:27:17> 00:27:18: | at all, |
| 00:27:18> 00:27:21: | right? The performer was fine when you have to start |
| 00:27:21> 00:27:25: | redesigning things and then operationally it's a wash. |
| 00:27:25> 00:27:28: | The building I'm sitting in happens to use gas, |
| 00:27:28> 00:27:30: | but it's twin building which we built. |
| 00:27:30> 00:27:33: | Both of them doesn't, and so I'm actually able to |
| 00:27:33> 00:27:35: | see what costs are like. |
| 00:27:35> 00:27:37: | |
| | It kind of depends on the year. |
| 00:27:37> 00:27:40: | It kind of depends on the year. So so this year during kovid because the electricity was |

| 00:27:41> 00:27:43: | we still were heating the building. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:27:43> 00:27:46: | You know, costs of the gas building was more, |
| 00:27:46> 00:27:47: | but I've been regularly here. |
| 00:27:47> 00:27:51: | It's usually not so, because gas took a larger percentage |
| 00:27:51> 00:27:52: | of the energy use. |
| 00:27:52> 00:27:54: | So like I said, like it, |
| 00:27:54> 00:27:55: | it can depend on energies, |
| 00:27:55> 00:27:58: | like in what the mix and what's happening, |
| 00:27:58> 00:28:01: | but it's not. It's not always a slam dunk operationally. |
| 00:28:01> 00:28:05: | Every year currently, and we're just OK with that. |
| 00:28:05> 00:28:08: | Great and you're you're putting like you're better employees in |
| 00:28:09> 00:28:10: | the all electric building, |
| 00:28:10> 00:28:13: | right? Yes, in the sense that more employees are all |
| 00:28:13> 00:28:14: | in this building, |
| 00:28:14> 00:28:18: | but gyms in the other building so you know. |
| 00:28:18> 00:28:20: | Sarah will come back to you with questions from the |
| 00:28:20> 00:28:21: | audience. |
| 00:28:21> 00:28:26: | Ruching well? Thank you you just heard Sarah and what |
| 00:28:26> 00:28:29: | she deals with on the commercial side, |
| 00:28:29> 00:28:32: | you're building all over San Francisco. |
| 00:28:32> 00:28:37: | You're building multifamily. You know what different considerations or challenges |
| 00:28:37> 00:28:40: | are using in building all electric, |
| 00:28:40> 00:28:44: | then what Sara described and what are maybe some other |
| 00:28:44> 00:28:47: | unique you think to the multifamily sector? |
| 00:28:47> 00:28:51: | Absolutely yeah. Some other things are pretty much the same, |
| 00:28:51> 00:28:55: | with caveats that we build affordable housing, |
| 00:28:55> 00:28:57: | so we have to keep in mind the tenants we |
| 00:28:57> 00:28:58: | serve, |
| 00:28:58> 00:29:02: | their costs and our costs of operating these assets an |
| 00:29:02> 00:29:04: | we are long term owners and operators. |
| 00:29:04> 00:29:08: | We have buildings that we are managing for over 3040 |
| 00:29:08> 00:29:08: | years, |
| 00:29:08> 00:29:10: | so like servicing and keeping. |
| 00:29:10> 00:29:15: | We had renovations aside but on new construction we started |
| 00:29:15> 00:29:19: | doing all electric three years or maybe living. |
| 00:29:19> 00:29:22: | More than that, before the code came in and we |
| 00:29:22> 00:29:25: | were part of various task force and sort of giving |
| 00:29:25> 00:29:26: | our feedback. |
| 00:29:26> 00:29:30: | Really from our perspective, not only cost but we are |

| 00:29:30> 00:29:34: | seeing this as a risk mitigation strategy to know combustion |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:29:34> 00:29:35: | in buildings. |
| 00:29:35> 00:29:37: | Maintenance issues really going down, |
| 00:29:37> 00:29:41: | and it's an opportunity for us to save our operating |
| 00:29:41> 00:29:44: | costs as I think you had mentioned to heat pumps |
| 00:29:44> 00:29:48: | are just so way much more efficient that we shouldn't |
| 00:29:48> 00:29:50: | even argue about like a 60% |
| 00:29:50> 00:29:53: | efficient gas boiler, right? So we looked at all these |
| 00:29:54> 00:29:57: | factors Ann for multifamily affordable housing. |
| 00:29:57> 00:29:59: | I think it just makes sense, |
| 00:29:59> 00:30:02: | but to Sarah's point again from get go though we |
| 00:30:02> 00:30:05: | have had some instances where in CDs DDS we have |
| 00:30:05> 00:30:06: | made the switch. |
| 00:30:06> 00:30:09: | But just for everyone to know the baseline for us |
| 00:30:09> 00:30:13: | is different in our older buildings only two things are |
| 00:30:13> 00:30:14: | running on gas. |
| 00:30:14> 00:30:17: | It's the DSW and gas dryers for laundry. |
| 00:30:17> 00:30:21: | We had already electrified the other things way before all |
| 00:30:21> 00:30:23: | the all electric concept came in. |
| 00:30:23> 00:30:25: | From a risk and cost perspective. |
| 00:30:25> 00:30:28: | So then we just needed to think about DSW heat |
| 00:30:28> 00:30:31: | pumps and I'm happy to sort of go a little |
| 00:30:31> 00:30:32: | bit in cost. |
| 00:30:32> 00:30:36: | If people are interested at a relatively small building code |
| 00:30:36> 00:30:38: | and five units if we just look at line item |
| 00:30:38> 00:30:39: | by line item. |
| 00:30:39> 00:30:42: | Of course heat pump is going to cost more. |
| 00:30:42> 00:30:45: | The difference was more than I think 100 and \$5000 |
| 00:30:45> 00:30:46: | or something. |
| 00:30:46> 00:30:49: | But when we looked at it from a budget perspective |
| 00:30:49> 00:30:53: | because we heard the owners and we have to look |
| 00:30:53> 00:30:53: | at. |
| 00:30:53> 00:30:57: | Different aspects, the cost that we're saving by not worrying |
| 00:30:57> 00:30:58: | about gas meters, |
| 00:30:58> 00:31:03: | gas infrastructure. Dealing with two utilities and sort of |
| | different |
| 00:31:03> 00:31:04: | departments RPMS. |
| 00:31:04> 00:31:06: | Actually, we're happy that. Oh no, |
| 00:31:06> 00:31:08: | I don't have to worry about gas, |
| 00:31:08> 00:31:11: | so it didn't pan out pretty well. |
| 00:31:11> 00:31:13: | And we have right now 10 buildings, |
| 00:31:13> 00:31:15: | all electric in design and development, |
| | |

| 00:31:15> 00:31:19: | different phases, so FD in affordable housing can do it. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:31:19> 00:31:22: | I think most of you can do too. |
| 00:31:22> 00:31:27: | Yeah, let's fantastic how about existing buildings and your existing |
| 00:31:27> 00:31:29: | portfolio and electrification? |
| 00:31:29> 00:31:33: | And what are you finding with trying to electrify existing |
| 00:31:33> 00:31:34: | buildings? |
| 00:31:34> 00:31:37: | That's that's a beast. I'm going to admit to that |
| 00:31:37> 00:31:40: | it's given the portfolio we have. |
| 00:31:40> 00:31:44: | We have some really historic old buildings with such limited |
| 00:31:44> 00:31:45: | electrical capacities, |
| 00:31:45> 00:31:48: | so there are strategies energy efficiency. |
| 00:31:48> 00:31:51: | First, we want to see how much we can reduce |
| 00:31:51> 00:31:55: | total load before even thinking about heat pumps. |
| 00:31:55> 00:31:56: | We have two right now. |
| 00:31:56> 00:32:00: | Large multifamily project that got completed last year where we |
| 00:32:00> 00:32:04: | just electrified DSW piece because from a cost and again, |
| 00:32:04> 00:32:08: | carbon emissions perspective. We see that as number one and |
| 00:32:08> 00:32:12: | then space heating and other things that might be on |
| 00:32:12> 00:32:14: | gas as a second option, |
| 00:32:14> 00:32:17: | but we are targeting in our portfolio as we do. |
| 00:32:17> 00:32:20: | We have then we are planning for that DSW as |
| 00:32:20> 00:32:21: | number one use case, |
| 00:32:21> 00:32:25: | though capacity was a big issue cost there too because |
| 00:32:25> 00:32:27: | it's not new money, |
| 00:32:27> 00:32:31: | its operating budget. So there we are leveraging programs at |
| 00:32:31> 00:32:32: | SFE Round Live web, |
| 00:32:32> 00:32:37: | another rebate programs to offset or upfront costs. |
| 00:32:37> 00:32:39: | Fantastic. |
| 00:32:39> 00:32:40: | How has it been working with P. |
| 00:32:40> 00:32:43: | Jeannie and I said in my presentation that P Jeannie's |
| 00:32:43> 00:32:46: | been very supportive or wants to be supportive, |
| 00:32:46> 00:32:48: | but are they actually carrying it out as far as |
| 00:32:48> 00:32:52: | transformer sizing and making sure the infrastructure that is there |
| 00:32:52> 00:32:53: | for your projects? |
| 00:32:53> 00:32:54: | Yeah, it is a mix. |
| 00:32:54> 00:32:56: | It depends on the project too. |
| 00:32:56> 00:32:58: | We are in tenderloin, on on, |
| 00:32:58> 00:33:00: | sort of a special part of the grid. |
| 00:33:00> 00:33:04: | It's called secondary network where we are not allowed to |

| 00:33:04> 00:33:06: | export any PV back to the grid. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:33:06> 00:33:09: | So let's say if I put heat pump I'm increasing |
| 00:33:09> 00:33:12: | my electric load on the building and I need more |
| 00:33:12> 00:33:15: | PvP offset but with PG and E is that the |
| 00:33:12> 00:33:18: | - |
| | issue where we always have to figure out on this |
| 00:33:18> 00:33:19: | building? |
| 00:33:19> 00:33:20: | Will it make sense or not? |
| 00:33:20> 00:33:22: | So that's an ongoing issue. |
| 00:33:22> 00:33:25: | And on every project it's different. |
| 00:33:25> 00:33:28: | From program perspective, I think it's great right? |
| 00:33:28> 00:33:31: | We are in San Francisco where we have CCS. |
| 00:33:31> 00:33:33: | We can source more clean power, |
| 00:33:33> 00:33:36: | so that's that's a big win for us. |
| 00:33:36> 00:33:40: | But the other challenge is the program that we leverage. |
| 00:33:40> 00:33:42: | Only a few are incentivizing heat pumps, |
| 00:33:42> 00:33:45: | so I think if you want to walk the talk |
| 00:33:45> 00:33:47: | and say no gas boiler, |
| 00:33:47> 00:33:49: | let's just stop incentivizing them right? |
| 00:33:49> 00:33:52: | So that's what I'm advocating for. |
| 00:33:52> 00:33:54: | Yeah, and just one last question, |
| 00:33:54> 00:33:57: | will she know what what does it mean to use |
| 00:33:57> 00:33:59: | a central heat pump water heating system? |
| 00:33:59> 00:34:02: | So folks that haven't done central heat pump water is |
| 00:34:02> 00:34:05: | like what are some of the design considerations need to |
| 00:34:05> 00:34:06: | be thinking about? |
| 00:34:06> 00:34:09: | You know, building in the city like San Francisco or |
| 00:34:09> 00:34:10: | spaces, |
| 00:34:10> 00:34:12: | space is limited. |
| 00:34:12> 00:34:15: | Yeah I think for new construction and becomes very easy |
| 00:34:15> 00:34:19: | because they're factoring everything from get go. |
| 00:34:19> 00:34:22: | But on my 2 projects the rehab once we did |
| 00:34:22> 00:34:24: | get into this issue of noise, |
| 00:34:24> 00:34:28: | the decibel levels were pretty high where we were ventilating |
| 00:34:28> 00:34:29: | the cold air, |
| 00:34:29> 00:34:32: | so we had to do some mitigation measures there and |
| 00:34:32> 00:34:34: | we had to work with city, |
| 00:34:34> 00:34:38: | so space ventilation. Those are things that we really need |
| 00:34:38> 00:34:40: | to figure out and you need to have a good |
| 00:34:40> 00:34:42: | me P team and engineers. |
| 00:34:42> 00:34:44: | You sort of think through that. |
| 00:34:44> 00:34:48: | Otherwise it can be a flop in existing buildings to |
| 00:34:48> 00:34:50: | go through that effort and then realize, |
| | |

| 00:34:50> 00:34:55: | Oh my God, we created ten other problems because of |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:34:55> 00:34:56: | a heat pump. |
| 00:34:56> 00:35:00: | Great thank you. Fascinating well can't wait to learn more |
| 00:35:00> 00:35:02: | about your projects which are working. |
| 00:35:02> 00:35:05: | Thank you Richie Megan with the most I don't know |
| 00:35:06> 00:35:07: | what's more difficult. |
| 00:35:07> 00:35:10: | Your types of projects or the types of tenants you |
| 00:35:10> 00:35:12: | have on those projects, |
| 00:35:12> 00:35:14: | but thank you for joining us. |
| 00:35:14> 00:35:17: | So when you're looking at life sciences and labs and |
| 00:35:17> 00:35:19: | healthcare buildings, |
| 00:35:19> 00:35:24: | what are some of the unique challenges to electrifying those |
| 00:35:24> 00:35:24: | buildings? |
| 00:35:24> 00:35:26: | So I think lab buildings. |
| 00:35:26> 00:35:30: | I'll start with those and it's similar in health care |
| 00:35:30> 00:35:34: | is that were unique in that the ventilation rates that |
| 00:35:34> 00:35:37: | we need to supply to these spaces are much higher |
| 00:35:37> 00:35:42: | than residential and commercial. So we're just supplying |
| | ventilation air |
| 00:35:42> 00:35:46: | for the occupants and commercial and residential spaces in |
| | life |
| 00:35:46> 00:35:47: | sciences. |
| 00:35:47> 00:35:50: | We have to actually supply more than that to make |
| 00:35:50> 00:35:54: | up air for our exhaust needs or air change rates. |
| 00:35:54> 00:35:57: | Certain lab types are requiring a lot of. |
| 00:35:57> 00:35:59: | Outside air to be brought in an not only just |
| 00:35:59> 00:36:02: | air change rates a lot of outside air, |
| 00:36:02> 00:36:04: | so fully 100% not conditioned air. |
| 00:36:04> 00:36:07: | So because of that we see much higher reheating loads |
| 00:36:07> 00:36:08: | in buildings. |
| 00:36:08> 00:36:11: | I can't tell you how many times I've been in |
| 00:36:11> 00:36:14: | a meeting with clients and you show them your energy |
| 00:36:14> 00:36:17: | pie chart an were in Northern California where it's of |
| 00:36:17> 00:36:20: | relatively mild climate. Yet 40% |
| 00:36:20> 00:36:23: | of our building energy use actually goes to space heating, |
| 00:36:23> 00:36:27: | which is usually really shocking to owners that don't have |
| 00:36:27> 00:36:28: | a full grasp on. |
| 00:36:28> 00:36:30: | What lab energies it is so? |
| 00:36:30> 00:36:33: | Because of these high ventilation rates we have a very |
| 00:36:33> 00:36:38: | high building heating load which makes it much more |
| | disproportionate |
| 00:36:38> 00:36:39: | for our building type. |
| 00:36:39> 00:36:43: | To really go to that electrified space feeding route. |
| | - |

| 00:36:43> 00:36:46: | So Ras is relatively simple for commercial you see much |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:36:46> 00:36:50: | larger equipment impacts when we look at life sciences, |
| 00:36:50> 00:36:53: | so I know we've talked a lot about heat pumps. |
| 00:36:53> 00:36:57: | Typically the standard heat pump that most are familiar with |
| 00:36:57> 00:36:58: | is an air source heat pump, |
| 00:36:58> 00:37:02: | so you're grabbing. Heat from your air and turning that |
| 00:37:02> 00:37:05: | into either hot water or you know your space heating |
| 00:37:05> 00:37:08: | in your building an if we tried to do that |
| 00:37:08> 00:37:12: | with lab buildings, oftentimes we run into space constraints. |
| 00:37:12> 00:37:15: | Lab buildings inherently have very packed roof spaces to |
| | begin |
| 00:37:16> 00:37:16: | with. |
| 00:37:16> 00:37:18: | We have a lot of laboratory exhaust fans. |
| 00:37:18> 00:37:22: | We usually have much larger air handling units and so |
| 00:37:22> 00:37:24: | our real estate already is pretty limited. |
| 00:37:24> 00:37:28: | To add any more equipment other than the chillers and |
| 00:37:28> 00:37:30: | boilers that we presently have, |
| 00:37:30> 00:37:33: | so that's one. Issue and challenge with lab buildings and |
| 00:37:33> 00:37:34: | healthcare. |
| 00:37:34> 00:37:37: | The other challenge, and I think is a bit of |
| 00:37:37> 00:37:40: | confusion point for some people is this idea that our |
| 00:37:40> 00:37:44: | transformer sizes all of a sudden going to explode and |
| 00:37:44> 00:37:48: | it's going to get 3X larger because we're electrifying our |
| 00:37:48> 00:37:48: | space eating, |
| 00:37:48> 00:37:52: | but it's important to point out that usually you're building |
| 00:37:52> 00:37:56: | infrastructure on the electrical side is sized for your cooling |
| 00:37:56> 00:37:58: | demand on that building, |
| 00:37:58> 00:38:00: | so you already are starting with a. |
| 00:38:00> 00:38:04: | Relatively high cooling demand an all of your lights, |
| 00:38:04> 00:38:06: | your plug loads, your fans. |
| 00:38:06> 00:38:09: | All of those are already electric and uses anyways, |
| 00:38:09> 00:38:12: | and so by transitioning our heating over to electrical, |
| 00:38:12> 00:38:16: | you're usually not having your peak heating demand at the |
| 00:38:16> 00:38:19: | same time as your peak cooling demand. |
| 00:38:19> 00:38:23: | Therefore we have some flexibility and what are our electrical |
| 00:38:23> 00:38:24: | service sizes, |
| 00:38:24> 00:38:26: | which may seem like a challenge, |
| 00:38:26> 00:38:29: | but it really isn't in the grand scheme of things, |
| 00:38:29> 00:38:31: | and I think another issue of course is. |
| 00:38:31> 00:38:36: | The process loads that come with laboratories and healthcare steam |
| 00:38:36> 00:38:39: | loads that stands to be a very challenging annuus actually |
| | is a vory on anony in an indus actually |

| 00:38:39> 00:38:40: | to electrify. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:38:40> 00:38:43: | Still, depending on your tenants needs, |
| 00:38:43> 00:38:45: | it's a bit of a mixed bag when you are |
| 00:38:45> 00:38:47: | doing a core and shell design, |
| 00:38:47> 00:38:50: | you don't know who the end tenant will be at |
| 00:38:50> 00:38:51: | that time. |
| 00:38:51> 00:38:53: | So kind of to Sarah's point. |
| 00:38:53> 00:38:56: | We are seeing the same trend and the life sciences |
| 00:38:56> 00:39:00: | developer realm where we're designing the corn shells all electric |
| 00:39:00> 00:39:04: | and then later on if the building owners decide to |
| 00:39:04> 00:39:06: | let the tenants bring natural gas. |
| 00:39:06> 00:39:07: | And that can be done. |
| 00:39:07> 00:39:11: | And we do see some pretty sharp efficiency declines when |
| 00:39:11> 00:39:13: | we try to electrify or steam. |
| 00:39:13> 00:39:16: | Just because the technology isn't there yet. |
| 00:39:16> 00:39:19: | I think you know, as we are seeing this move |
| 00:39:19> 00:39:22: | to all electric building design, |
| 00:39:22> 00:39:26: | we will see the manufacturers for steam generation finding new |
| 00:39:26> 00:39:30: | ways to make those production methods more efficient, |
| 00:39:30> 00:39:31: | but I as it stands now, |
| 00:39:31> 00:39:35: | that would certainly be one of those end uses as |
| 00:39:35> 00:39:38: | we tried to electrify that it would have a. |
| 00:39:38> 00:39:41: | Bigger impact on our service size dependent how much, |
| 00:39:41> 00:39:44: | how much steam the user actually is meeting. |
| 00:39:44> 00:39:49: | Great. Electric steam sounds like a like a Prince album |
| 00:39:49> 00:39:51: | or something. |
| 00:39:51> 00:39:55: | UN cost, you know you're already dealing with designing some |
| 00:39:55> 00:39:57: | of the highest cost building types. |
| 00:39:57> 00:40:00: | I mean what? What is cost in general? |
| 00:40:00> 00:40:03: | Looking like on all electric design for these for these |
| 00:40:03> 00:40:05: | types of projects. |
| 00:40:05> 00:40:07: | So I'll say if you were to design an all |
| 00:40:07> 00:40:11: | electric lab building and the same way that you would |
| 00:40:11> 00:40:14: | design any other type of commercial building, |
| 00:40:14> 00:40:18: | your first cost. If you're looking at swapping natural gas |
| 00:40:18> 00:40:21: | boilers for air source heat pumps. |
| 00:40:21> 00:40:24: | I would bet that you would actually see a pretty |
| 00:40:24> 00:40:26: | large increase in your first cost, |
| 00:40:26> 00:40:29: | but where we're finding and really convincing owners that this |
| 00:40:29> 00:40:32: | isn't much of a cost premium is implementing waste heat |

| 00:40:32> 00:40:33: | recovery, |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:40:33> 00:40:36: | and these buildings we have this beautiful opportunity and life |
| 00:40:36> 00:40:40: | sciences buildings that were actually have a lot of |
| | simultaneous |
| 00:40:40> 00:40:41: | heating and cooling demand, |
| 00:40:41> 00:40:44: | which means that we have cooling in the building at |
| 00:40:44> 00:40:47: | the same time that we have a heating demand in |
| 00:40:47> 00:40:48: | the building. |
| 00:40:48> 00:40:51: | And traditionally we would throw that heat away if we're |
| 00:40:51> 00:40:54: | cooling the building we would throw it away either. |
| 00:40:54> 00:40:56: | To the air or we throw it away to a |
| 00:40:56> 00:40:56: | cooling tower, |
| 00:40:56> 00:40:59: | and instead we've actually found ways that we can now |
| 00:40:59> 00:41:02: | reuse that in the building because we're pulling in a |
| 00:41:02> 00:41:03: | lot of outside air, |
| 00:41:03> 00:41:05: | we need to cool the teardown. |
| 00:41:05> 00:41:07: | We also need to add space space, |
| 00:41:07> 00:41:09: | three heating at some of our zones, |
| 00:41:09> 00:41:11: | an instead of throwing things away, |
| 00:41:11> 00:41:12: | we can just reuse it. |
| 00:41:12> 00:41:15: | So we're just swapping our energy around the building and |
| 00:41:15> 00:41:17: | making it actually a really efficient system. |
| 00:41:17> 00:41:20: | And because of this, we're also able to downsize our |
| 00:41:20> 00:41:24: | equipment that we actually need for generating space |
| | heating because. |
| 00:41:24> 00:41:27: | Now we have part of that heating coming from energy |
| 00:41:27> 00:41:29: | reuse in the building, |
| 00:41:29> 00:41:31: | so we only have to have a smaller fraction of |
| 00:41:31> 00:41:32: | upfront cost, |
| 00:41:32> 00:41:35: | and so that's. First and foremost, |
| 00:41:35> 00:41:38: | the most important cost that we should talk about, |
| 00:41:38> 00:41:42: | especially with developers. I just completed permit for a life |
| 00:41:42> 00:41:44: | sciences building. |
| 00:41:44> 00:41:48: | We actually ironically switched to an all electric design in |
| 00:41:48> 00:41:51: | DD and we actually found that at that point in |
| 00:41:51> 00:41:53: | the design we were able to. |
| 00:41:53> 00:41:56: | Swapped out our cooling towers and we did some waste |
| 00:41:57> 00:41:59: | heat recovery technologies in the building, |
| 00:41:59> 00:42:02: | so we illuminated cooling towers but we added waste heat |
| 00:42:02> 00:42:05: | energy recovery and it was only less than 2% |
| 00:42:05> 00:42:07: | cost premium to do that for the project. |
| 00:42:07> 00:42:11: | Even in DDS, so there's ways that we can find |
| | |

| 00:42:11> 00:42:14: | some shift shuffling around of our funding. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:42:14> 00:42:20: | Great, only an engineer describes simultaneous heating and |
| | cooling demand |
| 00:42:20> 00:42:22: | as a beautiful situation. |
| 00:42:22> 00:42:25: | What about the grid? I'm going to ask everybody when |
| 00:42:25> 00:42:29: | we get to questions in the audience about blackouts, |
| 00:42:29> 00:42:31: | but in general, about, you know, |
| 00:42:31> 00:42:34: | demand as like an electrical demand from the grid. |
| 00:42:34> 00:42:37: | Do we have a grid that can handle the increased |
| 00:42:37> 00:42:41: | demand that's going to be coming from all electric buildings? |
| 00:42:41> 00:42:44: | Megan, I. I think that you know to start it, |
| 00:42:44> 00:42:46: | at least for life. Life sciences. |
| 00:42:46> 00:42:49: | I'll start there. |
| 00:42:49> 00:42:52: | Terms of I know that all of these blackouts and |
| 00:42:52> 00:42:54: | power grid failures is ever popular topic. |
| 00:42:54> 00:42:56: | We have the same risk in the summertime, |
| 00:42:56> 00:42:59: | so I think there's this fear that by switching to |
| 00:42:59> 00:43:01: | all electric buildings that were, |
| 00:43:01> 00:43:03: | this is now going to be a new problem. |
| 00:43:03> 00:43:05: | It's not a new problem, |
| 00:43:05> 00:43:07: | it's just that space heating is going to be all |
| 00:43:07> 00:43:08: | electric now. |
| 00:43:08> 00:43:11: | But we have the same issues in the summertime, |
| 00:43:11> 00:43:14: | which for California that's our our issues when we have |
| 00:43:14> 00:43:17: | wildfires and we've already seen how we have to adapt |
| 00:43:17> 00:43:19: | and deal with those issues. |
| 00:43:19> 00:43:22: | Not that that is. Something that we want to be |
| 00:43:22> 00:43:22: | dealing with, |
| 00:43:22> 00:43:25: | but we know how to at least approach those in |
| 00:43:25> 00:43:27: | those summer months. |
| 00:43:27> 00:43:30: | What we're seeing with a lot of our building owners |
| 00:43:30> 00:43:35: | is that there's different considerations when we're sizing our |
| | emergency |
| 00:43:35> 00:43:36: | backup power. |
| 00:43:36> 00:43:40: | So typically you're sizing your backup power for life sciences |
| 00:43:40> 00:43:42: | and healthcare for that peak design day, |
| 00:43:42> 00:43:45: | which is always going to be in the summer. |
| 00:43:45> 00:43:48: | So when we are switching to electric heating, |
| 00:43:48> 00:43:52: | we already have the capacity in place typically to support |
| 00:43:52> 00:43:52: | that need, |
| 00:43:52> 00:43:56: | so it's not really. Changing anything in terms of the |
| 00:43:56> 00:43:59: | capacity of backup power that we need to provide, |

| 00:43:59> 00:44:02: | nor is it, nor we've seen a substantial increase in |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:44:02> 00:44:05: | our normal power impact on the grid. |
| 00:44:05> 00:44:08: | I think it's a bit of a different story when |
| 00:44:08> 00:44:11: | we look at these other building types and I'll let |
| 00:44:11> 00:44:14: | those experts speak to commercial and residential, |
| 00:44:14> 00:44:18: | but I think there's ways that we can certainly reduce |
| 00:44:19> 00:44:21: | those demands on the grid. |
| 00:44:21> 00:44:24: | Great yeah, I'll circle back around how you all are |
| 00:44:24> 00:44:28: | talking about internally into customers to clients and tenants about |
| 00:44:28> 00:44:29: | about blackouts in a second. |
| 00:44:29> 00:44:32: | Once we finish very. |
| 00:44:32> 00:44:33: | It is top of mind, |
| 00:44:33> 00:44:37: | not only in California, but of course what happened in |
| 00:44:37> 00:44:39: | Texas this year. |
| 00:44:39> 00:44:41: | So thank you, Megan Berry Hooper. |
| 00:44:41> 00:44:44: | So in San Francisco this is all your fault that |
| 00:44:44> 00:44:48: | we're having to talk about electric buildings. |
| 00:44:48> 00:44:50: | So that was the other way around, |
| 00:44:50> 00:44:52: | yeah? |
| 00:44:52> 00:44:54: | Although you are all the votes yourself. |
| 00:44:54> 00:44:57: | So why is San Francisco doing this and what are |
| 00:44:57> 00:45:01: | the benefits of San Francisco is hoping to achieve for |
| 00:45:01> 00:45:04: | its citizens and for the city by adopting all electric |
| 00:45:04> 00:45:06: | ordinance? |
| 00:45:06> 00:45:10: | Yeah well, great question. So so the ordinance itself is |
| 00:45:10> 00:45:14: | called the San Francisco's all electric new construction ordinance, |
| 00:45:14> 00:45:17: | and while there's quite a bit of detail, |
| 00:45:17> 00:45:20: | it is meant to be self explanatory in the title |
| 00:45:20> 00:45:23: | that it applies to all new buildings, |
| 00:45:23> 00:45:25: | new construction of all scales and uses, |
| 00:45:25> 00:45:28: | but it does not apply to existing building, |
| 00:45:28> 00:45:30: | so it's just focused on that. |
| 00:45:30> 00:45:34: | That easiest question 1st and the motivations for the ordinance |
| 00:45:35> 00:45:37: | from the sponsoring supervisor and. |
| 00:45:37> 00:45:41: | And mayor and elected officials have been health and safety |
| 00:45:41> 00:45:42: | of San Francisco. |
| 00:45:42> 00:45:45: | It's resilience, equity and climate change. |
| 00:45:45> 00:45:46: | And really, in that order. |
| 00:45:46> 00:45:48: | So you heard Panama, you know, |
| 00:45:48> 00:45:51: | kind of you shared with us briefly. |

| 00:45:51> 00:45:55: | Some of the major outdoor air impacts in aggregate of |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:45:55> 00:45:56: | building. |
| 00:45:56> 00:45:59: | Building gas use, but in addition we looked with stake |
| 00:45:59> 00:46:04: | holders at the carbon monoxide nitrogen oxide particulate matter releases |
| 00:46:04> 00:46:06: | from using gas appliances, |
| 00:46:06> 00:46:10: | particularly cooking inside our buildings and UCLA in the last |
| 00:46:10> 00:46:11: | 18 months. |
| 00:46:11> 00:46:14: | Pad helpful estimate that actually quantified four SF. |
| 00:46:14> 00:46:16: | What's the expected impact if, |
| 00:46:16> 00:46:20: | in terms of incremental asthma and cardiovascular disease, |
| 00:46:20> 00:46:24: | and they found that exposure indoors so those pollutants from |
| 00:46:24> 00:46:26: | gas appliances exceeds. |
| 00:46:26> 00:46:30: | \$1.2 billion in economic impact to the Greater Bay Area |
| 00:46:30> 00:46:33: | annually and about 250 million of that falls on San |
| 00:46:33> 00:46:34: | Francisco's. |
| 00:46:34> 00:46:37: | If you we want to talk more human terms, |
| 00:46:37> 00:46:40: | that's 65 premature deaths in the city. |
| 00:46:40> 00:46:44: | A year that we could avoid if we weren't emitting |
| 00:46:44> 00:46:47: | those pollutants inside our homes or buildings. |
| 00:46:47> 00:46:50: | In terms of safety. |
| 00:46:50> 00:46:54: | It shouldn't be too surprising horse that gas is flammable |
| 00:46:54> 00:46:55: | and explosive material, |
| 00:46:55> 00:46:59: | and so there's a public safety risk an we looked |
| 00:46:59> 00:47:02: | at that the common figures that on average in the |
| 00:47:02> 00:47:03: | US against oil, |
| 00:47:03> 00:47:06: | gas or oil pipeline, catches fire every four days. |
| 00:47:06> 00:47:08: | There's an injury over 5 days. |
| 00:47:08> 00:47:10: | This explosion of 11 days, |
| 00:47:10> 00:47:12: | if vitality every 26 days. |
| 00:47:12> 00:47:14: | And that's not just general in the US. |
| 00:47:14> 00:47:18: | So when in February 2019 we experienced the gas line |
| 00:47:18> 00:47:19: | explosion. |
| 00:47:19> 00:47:21: | Gary St. Destroyed 5 buildings. |
| 00:47:21> 00:47:24: | Few days later the cities Hella Justice had to be |
| 00:47:24> 00:47:26: | evacuated to another gas leak. |
| 00:47:26> 00:47:28: | Thankfully, that didn't end in tragedy, |
| 00:47:28> 00:47:31: | but as recently of course we can all recall the |
| 00:47:31> 00:47:35: | events and tragedy in 2010 when explosion gets pipeline, |
| 00:47:35> 00:47:39: | San Bruno destroyed an entire neighborhood and resulted in eight |
| 00:47:39> 00:47:40: | fatalities. |

| 00:47:40> 00:47:44: | So safety is something that's directly affected by plumbing a |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:47:44> 00:47:48: | flammable explosive material through all of our building |
| 00:47:48> 00:47:51: | stock. |
| | And that does relate to equity in the sense that |
| 00:47:51> 00:47:55: | those impacts both disproportionately fall on communities of color who |
| 00:47:55> 00:47:59: | spend a disproportionate their amount of their time was prior |
| 00:47:59> 00:48:02: | to the pandemic, and then just portion of their portion |
| 00:48:02> 00:48:04: | of their income on energy. |
| 00:48:04> 00:48:07: | You have a greater prevalence of asthma, |
| 00:48:07> 00:48:10: | particularly San Francisco due to poor indoor air quality. |
| 00:48:10> 00:48:13: | So this you know this is an important aspect for |
| 00:48:13> 00:48:16: | us to provide progress for Community. |
| 00:48:16> 00:48:18: | We look at resilience. Yes, |
| 00:48:18> 00:48:20: | we do need to talk about the grid itself, |
| 00:48:20> 00:48:23: | but we also need to look at other impacts that |
| 00:48:23> 00:48:25: | we face and famously, |
| 00:48:25> 00:48:28: | you know earthquakes happen in San Francisco. |
| 00:48:28> 00:48:31: | We have a use Geological Survey estimates. |
| 00:48:31> 00:48:33: | We have a 70% chance of a 7.9 excuse me |
| 00:48:33> 00:48:37: | 6.9 or greater earthquake within the next 30 years and |
| 00:48:37> 00:48:40: | we work with all the utilities that serve San Francisco |
| 00:48:40> 00:48:44: | to understand what the effects would be of likely disasters, |
| 00:48:44> 00:48:47: | such as that. And what would it? |
| 00:48:47> 00:48:50: | Recovery taken, how would we be prepared for it? |
| 00:48:50> 00:48:53: | And it was pijani's estimate that it would take six |
| 00:48:53> 00:48:56: | months to restore gas service to 95% |
| 00:48:56> 00:49:01: | of the city and that they could restore electricity service |
| 00:49:01> 00:49:04: | citywide within about 6 days. |
| 00:49:04> 00:49:06: | If we look back little farther in time, |
| 00:49:06> 00:49:09: | gas line ruptures caused about half of the fires in |
| 00:49:09> 00:49:11: | San Francisco after the 1990. |
| 00:49:11> 00:49:13: | Excuse me in 1989, Loma Prieta earthquake. |
| 00:49:13> 00:49:17: | And that's similar to figures that have been found in |
| 00:49:17> 00:49:19: | other other disasters. |
| 00:49:19> 00:49:21: | And so then I bring this to climate change and |
| 00:49:21> 00:49:22: | so yes, |
| 00:49:22> 00:49:25: | climate change is important. But that's sort of abstract, |
| 00:49:25> 00:49:28: | but we do it. I think it is actually particularly |
| 00:49:28> 00:49:29: | important to this audience, |
| 00:49:29> 00:49:34: | in particular that we have sometimes gotten stuck in a. |
| 00:49:34> 00:49:36: | In the notion that there was some option to not |
| | · |

| 00:49:36> 00:49:38: | change and not prepare for climate change, |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:49:38> 00:49:40: | but rather it's really the opposite. |
| 00:49:40> 00:49:42: | But if you were building owner. |
| 00:49:42> 00:49:45: | You essentially have bought some shares in the future, |
| 00:49:45> 00:49:47: | and particularly in San Francisco, |
| 00:49:47> 00:49:50: | and there isn't. There isn't a way to avoid entirely |
| 00:49:50> 00:49:51: | avoid costs. |
| 00:49:51> 00:49:56: | There's either addressing and mitigating risks to our community. |
| 00:49:56> 00:49:59: | Or there's the way that we as a community have |
| 00:49:59> 00:50:03: | to pull together if we don't mitigate climate risk is |
| 00:50:03> 00:50:07: | through a lot of negative impacts that in the medium |
| 00:50:07> 00:50:09: | term include taxes like that. |
| 00:50:09> 00:50:13: | That's how we gather money to to build up and |
| 00:50:13> 00:50:15: | improve infrastructure. |
| 00:50:15> 00:50:18: | So we look at greenhouse gas emissions. |
| 00:50:18> 00:50:21: | Buildings account for 45% of citywide emissions, |
| 00:50:21> 00:50:24: | and 82% of those emissions come from natural gas. |
| 00:50:24> 00:50:28: | The happy way to put that is in the last |
| 00:50:28> 00:50:29: | 30 years, |
| 00:50:29> 00:50:31: | so from 1990 to 2020. |
| 00:50:31> 00:50:37: | Emissions from operating buildings San Francisco declined 50 percent 50. |
| 00:50:37> 00:50:40: | So the entire city, not just the city government, |
| 00:50:40> 00:50:43: | but the whole city all in half as much emissions. |
| 00:50:43> 00:50:46: | But 82% of the remaining emissions are from natural gas, |
| 00:50:46> 00:50:49: | and so we really can't get to long term climate |
| 00:50:49> 00:50:53: | emission goal without addressing the natural gas side of the |
| 00:50:53> 00:50:53: | equation. |
| 00:50:53> 00:50:58: | So those were really the main considerations that drove the |
| 00:50:58> 00:51:00: | starting with new construction. |
| 00:51:00> 00:51:04: | 82% seems like a lot. |
| 00:51:04> 00:51:07: | So what kind of feedback did you get from developers |
| 00:51:07> 00:51:10: | and the construction community on on the adoption of the |
| 00:51:10> 00:51:11: | standard? |
| 00:51:11> 00:51:13: | l know you did extensive outreach, |
| 00:51:13> 00:51:15: | So what did you hear over some of the main |
| 00:51:16> 00:51:16: | concerns? |
| 00:51:16> 00:51:20: | Or was everyone just pretty much clapping you on the |
| 00:51:20> 00:51:23: | back and congratulating you and welcoming it? |
| 00:51:23> 00:51:27: | Well, so this particular ordinance was led by Supervisor Rafael |
| 00:51:27> 00:51:28: | Mandelman, |

| 00:51:28> 00:51:33: | and so he had sponsored other legislation favoring electrification in |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:51:33> 00:51:35: | new construction quite recently, |
| 00:51:35> 00:51:39: | and he really asked for input on taking that next |
| 00:51:39> 00:51:40: | step and concurrently, |
| 00:51:40> 00:51:44: | Mayor Breed had back at the global Climate Action Summit |
| 00:51:44> 00:51:48: | a couple of years ago made a commitment to ensure |
| 00:51:48> 00:51:51: | that San Francisco buildings would. |
| 00:51:51> 00:51:53: | The new construction, would it? |
| 00:51:53> 00:51:56: | Be able to operate with no missions no later than |
| 00:51:56> 00:51:56: | 2030, |
| 00:51:56> 00:52:00: | and then we'd achieve the same in existing buildings throughout |
| 00:52:00> 00:52:04: | the community by 2050 and associ having made that commitment, |
| 00:52:04> 00:52:07: | then wanted to ask stakeholders how are we going to |
| 00:52:07> 00:52:08: | get that done? |
| 00:52:08> 00:52:11: | Like what is an inclusive path and practical path to |
| 00:52:11> 00:52:15: | meeting our mission reduction responsibilities and that led to the |
| 00:52:15> 00:52:19: | formation of a 0 mission Buildings Task Force which broke |
| 00:52:19> 00:52:22: | the question down into smaller components so we had a |
| 00:52:22> 00:52:25: | one word group specifically looking at new construction. |
| 00:52:25> 00:52:27: | To directly inform this ordinance. |
| 00:52:27> 00:52:31: | And three other working groups looking at existing municipal buildings |
| 00:52:31> 00:52:33: | and the challenges they face. |
| 00:52:33> 00:52:36: | The largest existing commercial buildings and the particular technical and |
| 00:52:37> 00:52:38: | financial challenges they face. |
| 00:52:38> 00:52:41: | And then existing residential which is. |
| 00:52:41> 00:52:45: | Covers a lot of situations in a city's biggest SF |
| 00:52:45> 00:52:49: | and really the the transition has to lead with equity |
| 00:52:49> 00:52:51: | and focus on a just transition. |
| 00:52:51> 00:52:55: | And so the whole focus on existing residential. |
| 00:52:55> 00:52:59: | Centered those two values and was led by community partners |
| 00:52:59> 00:53:01: | with support from department. |
| 00:53:01> 00:53:06: | And so it was interesting in bringing together those different |
| 00:53:06> 00:53:10: | groups and having parallel conversations on a related topic. |
| 00:53:10> 00:53:12: | Is there were some some commonality's. |
| 00:53:12> 00:53:16: | So for new construction to answer actually answer question, |
| 00:53:16> 00:53:20: | you know a four major main findings were it's important |
| 00:53:20> 00:53:24: | just to act now that delay wouldn't make the transition |

| 00:53:24> 00:53:25: | easier, |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:53:25> 00:53:29: | and that was a. You know consensus ranging from people |
| 00:53:29> 00:53:32: | who I do think came to the work group. |
| 00:53:32> 00:53:34: | With an expectation of the outcome as well as we |
| 00:53:34> 00:53:38: | had the largest meant many of the largest developers and |
| 00:53:38> 00:53:39: | owners in the city, |
| 00:53:39> 00:53:43: | including developers of laboratory properties and complex buildings, |
| 00:53:43> 00:53:47: | and and including developers with small infill projects. |
| 00:53:47> 00:53:51: | And you know that there was a concurrence that just |
| 00:53:51> 00:53:53: | makes sense to move forward, |
| 00:53:53> 00:53:57: | that it was helpful to recognize that health and well |
| 00:53:57> 00:54:01: | being and resilience all pointed in the same direction. |
| 00:54:01> 00:54:03: | Who is it? A lot of call for the city |
| 00:54:03> 00:54:07: | to communicate about this more clearly to send it unambiguous. |
| 00:54:07> 00:54:10: | Signal that we're going to make the transition. |
| 00:54:10> 00:54:12: | So the question needs to shift to how, |
| 00:54:12> 00:54:17: | rather than whether an that the workforce. |
| 00:54:17> 00:54:18: | Has a lot of strengths, |
| 00:54:18> 00:54:22: | but overall you know this actually is new and there's |
| 00:54:22> 00:54:27: | need for supporting their readiness for delivering on this transition, |
| 00:54:27> 00:54:30: | and new construction is a good place to start. |
| 00:54:30> 00:54:35: | Just given the starting point of relative complexity and a |
| 00:54:35> 00:54:36: | relatively clean slate. |
| 00:54:36> 00:54:41: | So building new construction projects will help expand workforce readiness |
| 00:54:42> 00:54:46: | to tackle the more complex problems in existing buildings. |
| 00:54:48> 00:54:52: | So yeah, it was not Kumbaya necessarily, |
| 00:54:52> 00:54:55: | but it definitely was a. |
| 00:54:55> 00:54:59: | Yep, an effective way to build a lot of buy |
| 00:54:59> 00:55:03: | in among people who didn't necessarily come to the table |
| 00:55:04> 00:55:06: | expecting to support the outcome. |
| 00:55:06> 00:55:08: | Great good job. Mr Anna. |
| 00:55:08> 00:55:13: | Good process. I'm wonderful that was the questions from you |
| 00:55:13> 00:55:14: | a lie to the speakers. |
| 00:55:14> 00:55:17: | I think we now go to ULI members for the |
| 00:55:17> 00:55:17: | speakers. |
| 00:55:17> 00:55:20: | I believe we still do have around the panel is |
| 00:55:20> 00:55:24: | going to go until 5:10 and then the networking will |
| 00:55:24> 00:55:27: | start and we'll go do the networking until 5:25 so |
| 00:55:27> 00:55:30: | we still have about another 15 minutes to take some |

| 00:55:30> 00:55:32: | questions from the audience. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:55:32> 00:55:35: | Please feel free to put them in the chat and |
| 00:55:35> 00:55:39: | we'll choose the safe ones that don't look bad for |
| 00:55:39> 00:55:40: | electrification. |
| 00:55:40> 00:55:41: | So for all of you, |
| 00:55:41> 00:55:45: | Megan mentioned it briefly, but you can't talk about building |
| 00:55:45> 00:55:50: | electrification without talking about California's world leading wildfire seasons. |
| 00:55:50> 00:55:53: | And then the blackouts that come from it. |
| 00:55:53> 00:55:55: | And so I'm just wondering, |
| 00:55:55> 00:55:59: | how do you talk internally about electrification and the blackouts |
| 00:55:59> 00:56:00: | and wildfires? |
| 00:56:00> 00:56:02: | How do you talk to clients? |
| 00:56:02> 00:56:05: | How do you talk to potential tenants like what you've |
| 00:56:05> 00:56:07: | now had three years? |
| 00:56:07> 00:56:10: | So hopefully you know what you're doing right now on |
| 00:56:11> 00:56:11: | this issue. |
| 00:56:11> 00:56:14: | How are you talking about this? |
| 00:56:14> 00:56:15: | And we can go in order. |
| 00:56:15> 00:56:19: | Sarashi Megan Berry on this. |
| 00:56:19> 00:56:21: | Yeah, I'm happy to jump in on this. |
| 00:56:21> 00:56:23: | We think of this issue is you know we used |
| 00:56:23> 00:56:27: | to live in the world where buildings were just consumers |
| 00:56:27> 00:56:30: | of energy and we didn't really have relationship with the |
| 00:56:30> 00:56:33: | grid. That was it. There was two way was just |
| 00:56:33> 00:56:34: | get power, |
| 00:56:34> 00:56:36: | power and asking us. And that was the end of |
| 00:56:36> 00:56:36: | it. |
| 00:56:36> 00:56:40: | Now we're really realizing this relationship that we have with |
| 00:56:40> 00:56:43: | the grid and we're trying to help the grid itself |
| 00:56:43> 00:56:44: | be more resilient. |
| 00:56:44> 00:56:47: | I see the key to that being battery storage. |
| 00:56:47> 00:56:49: | You know we really need. |
| 00:56:49> 00:56:51: | A rapid deployment of storage, |
| 00:56:51> 00:56:54: | and if we're really going to get to you know |
| 00:56:54> 00:56:58: | you can get to so many percentage renewable without storage, |
| 00:56:58> 00:57:01: | but then you really need renewables. |
| 00:57:01> 00:57:05: | And unfortunately, especially you know over the last few years |
| 00:57:05> 00:57:08: | I feel like everybody's sort of quote gotten away with |
| 00:57:08> 00:57:11: | installing a lot of solar and not pairing it with |

| 00:57:11> 00:57:14: | a bunch of storage. Storage is hard. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:57:14> 00:57:16: | We have a bunch of storage projects. |
| 00:57:16> 00:57:19: | They're not easy. They're not as like slam dunk for |
| 00:57:19> 00:57:20: | as. |
| 00:57:20> 00:57:22: | Solar PV they're not as lucrative, |
| 00:57:22> 00:57:25: | but the really important, so that's that's one way we |
| 00:57:25> 00:57:26: | get through it. |
| 00:57:26> 00:57:28: | And so we, yes, we we see things like PG |
| 00:57:28> 00:57:29: | and E's power line. |
| 00:57:29> 00:57:32: | You know, being a causing factor of the last out |
| 00:57:32> 00:57:34: | of another Californians. |
| 00:57:34> 00:57:37: | But also then it's very mentioned we have gas lines |
| 00:57:37> 00:57:37: | exploding, |
| 00:57:37> 00:57:40: | so it's not like we're we feel any safer one |
| 00:57:40> 00:57:43: | way or the other so we don't feel like going. |
| 00:57:43> 00:57:45: | All electric is increasing our wildfire risk. |
| 00:57:45> 00:57:48: | We think that we have a responsibility to not just |
| 00:57:48> 00:57:50: | enjoy the fruits of it. |
| 00:57:50> 00:57:54: | Increasingly renewable electric grid, but actually help it become more. |
| 00:57:54> 00:57:58: | Electric via storage and that is how we are having |
| 00:57:58> 00:58:00: | that conversation. |
| 00:58:00> 00:58:04: | Thank you Richie. Yeah, we are sort of under similar |
| 00:58:04> 00:58:05: | pads. |
| 00:58:05> 00:58:09: | We have a few pilot projects looking at battery storage |
| 00:58:09> 00:58:11: | through the pedia knees as Jeff program. |
| 00:58:11> 00:58:15: | Though it's moving at a turtle speed right now, |
| 00:58:15> 00:58:18: | I must say so. The other consideration for us is |
| 00:58:18> 00:58:23: | also sort of thinking about internal capacity issues that are |
| 00:58:23> 00:58:26: | building so we are trying to pair all electric with |
| 00:58:26> 00:58:30: | and maximizing solar PV. Initially we used to do solar |
| 00:58:30> 00:58:32: | thermal to offset the gas. |
| 00:58:32> 00:58:36: | News from boilers, which was anyways really hard to maintain |
| 00:58:36> 00:58:40: | that system has so many moving parts so we're trying |
| 00:58:40> 00:58:43: | to sort of figure out within our sort of. |
| 00:58:43> 00:58:47: | I'm thinking entirely money for the budget we have for |
| 00:58:47> 00:58:50: | them and how can we fit in both PV an |
| 00:58:50> 00:58:53: | all electric is sort of still meet Rey. |
| 00:58:53> 00:58:55: | Target on the grid issue Panama. |
| 00:58:55> 00:59:00: | Frankly I feel like putting this question back on baryon |
| 00:59:00> 00:59:03: | on sharing a little bit on how PG and E |

| 00:59:03> 00:59:03: | an. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:59:03> 00:59:07: | Hetch Hetchy versus another you committee that we have to |
| 00:59:07> 00:59:10: | get our power from because we get some of our |
| 00:59:10> 00:59:11: | funding from city. |
| 00:59:11> 00:59:13: | So we are in this weird PG. |
| 00:59:13> 00:59:16: | Any head touchy situation. So I don't have too much |
| 00:59:17> 00:59:18: | to share on that yet. |
| 00:59:22> 00:59:25: | Barry, do you want to talk about how you're handling |
| 00:59:25> 00:59:25: | conversation? |
| 00:59:25> 00:59:29: | Brown blackouts, and resiliency? Sure, |
| 00:59:29> 00:59:32: | thankfully most some of those questions you come from, |
| 00:59:32> 00:59:35: | Richie, so I'll come back to that. |
| 00:59:35> 00:59:37: | But the 99% of time they come from in a |
| 00:59:37> 00:59:39: | pijani context and. |
| 00:59:41> 00:59:44: | You know, I think we've heard the key themes that |
| 00:59:44> 00:59:46: | the San Francisco, |
| 00:59:46> 00:59:49: | due to its location happens to not be among the |
| 00:59:49> 00:59:52: | communities that are that are typically are affected or are |
| 00:59:53> 00:59:57: | currently expected to be affected by public safety power shutoffs. |
| 00:59:57> 01:00:00: | But we have other reasons that their blackouts occur. |
| 01:00:00> 01:00:04: | The important thing to keep in mind is we actually |
| 01:00:04> 01:00:04: | can't, |
| 01:00:04> 01:00:08: | as any practical matter, electrify all of San Francisco. |
| 01:00:08> 01:00:10: | Super fast, I mean it will take time, |
| 01:00:10> 01:00:14: | particularly existing buildings, and so if we narrow ourselves to |
| 01:00:15> 01:00:16: | what's the situation. |
| 01:00:16> 01:00:19: | Facing a building owner. It's already part of building a |
| 01:00:19> 01:00:23: | new building that you would work with Pijani on electric |
| 01:00:23> 01:00:25: | infrastructure serving that site and we. |
| 01:00:25> 01:00:28: | All discussions I've had both on on both sides of |
| 01:00:28> 01:00:31: | the meter have reinforced that notion that that is a |
| 01:00:31> 01:00:32: | time consuming process, |
| 01:00:32> 01:00:37: | but the time doesn't necessarily have to get any longer. |
| 01:00:37> 01:00:40: | And it isn't necessarily a massive effect, |
| 01:00:40> 01:00:44: | in part because smart engineers start looking at ways to |
| 01:00:45> 01:00:50: | question whether the total electric peak electric load would |
| 01:00:50> 01:00:53: | actually change in an all electric scenario |
| 01:00:53> 01:00:53: | change in an all electric scenario, |
| | and recurring theme is. Until you've done a project like |
| 01:00:57> 01:00:57: | that, |
| 01:00:57> 01:00:59: | you think that it will, |

| 01:00:59> 01:01:00: | and once you've done one, |
|--|--|
| 01:01:00> 01:01:03: | you find a lot of solutions that help minimize that |
| 01:01:03> 01:01:06: | incremental impact or frequently even eliminate it. |
| 01:01:06> 01:01:10: | Uhm? And so this gets to reliability as well. |
| 01:01:10> 01:01:14: | The grid of 2020 will not support the built environment |
| 01:01:14> 01:01:16: | of 2050 no matter what. |
| 01:01:16> 01:01:19: | We have to keep investing in our electric infrastructure, |
| 01:01:19> 01:01:22: | and so predictability is, I think, |
| 01:01:22> 01:01:26: | really, what utilities need, and that's a message we got |
| 01:01:26> 01:01:30: | from pijani and from from the power enterprise at PC. |
| 01:01:30> 01:01:33: | And so we wait. I think we're moving in the |
| 01:01:33> 01:01:36: | right direction that clear signals from the state as well |
| 01:01:36> 01:01:40: | as from cities and from customers are helping you get |
| 01:01:40> 01:01:43: | the planning in order to improve the reliability of our |
| 01:01:43> 01:01:44: | grid. |
| 01:01:44> 01:01:47: | But it's going to take time whether we're using gas |
| 01:01:47> 01:01:47: | or not. |
| 01:01:50> 01:01:53: | Great thank you all. |
| 01:01:53> 01:01:58: | Question about some something that I referenced which was |
| 04.04.50 > 04.04.50. | nitrogen |
| 01:01:58> 01:01:59: | oxides, |
| 01:01:59> 01:02:03: | which are the major precursor to smog. |
| 01:02:03> 01:02:07: | And that buildings in the Bay Area represented more than |
| 01:02:07> 01:02:10: 01:02:10> 01:02:11: | double the amount of smog causing pollutants than cars. And so here's the data. |
| 01:02:10> 01:02:11: | I also put in the chat. |
| 01:02:12> 01:02:13: | The link to the data. |
| 01:02:12> 01:02:13: | So instead of reading through the data, |
| 01:02:16> 01:02:17: | some of us are visual learners, |
| 01:02:17> 01:02:17: | and so here's the visual on it. |
| 01:02:17> 01:02:13: | This looks at the major air quality management districts |
| 01.02.19> 01.02.23. | across |
| 01:02:23> 01:02:25: | California and on the right on the left side you |
| 01:02:25> 01:02:28: | see all of the emissions that come from burning gas |
| 01:02:28> 01:02:32: | and buildings and those different air quality management districts and |
| 01:02:32> 01:02:34: | on the right side you see all of the. |
| 01:02:34> 01:02:39: | Nitrogen oxides that come from cars. |
| 01:02:39> 01:02:42: | In those same air districts and so here is the |
| 01:02:42> 01:02:45: | Bay Area Air Quality Management District. |
| 01:02:45> 01:02:48: | So per day we produce about 21 tons of nitrogen |
| 01:02:48> 01:02:52: | oxides from building burning gas and buildings and our cars, |
| 01:02:52> 01:02:55: | about 8 tons a day so you can see that |
| | |

| 01:02:55> 01:02:57: | it's over over double for buildings. |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:02:57> 01:03:00: | So and again, the link to the actual data at |
| 01:03:00> 01:03:04: | the Air Resources Board website is is in the chat. |
| 01:03:04> 01:03:06: | Happy to talk offline folks. |
| 01:03:06> 01:03:09: | Megan, it was mentioned a couple times. |
| 01:03:09> 01:03:14: | In the presentation about energy modeling and energy efficiency and |
| 01:03:14> 01:03:18: | the interaction between energy efficiency and electrification. |
| 01:03:18> 01:03:21: | So where is the state right now on our modeling |
| 01:03:21> 01:03:25: | and does is does building all electric make it hard |
| 01:03:25> 01:03:29: | to meet our energy efficiency goals and our energy efficiency |
| 01:03:29> 01:03:29: | laws? |
| 01:03:32> 01:03:34: | How much time do you have? |
| 01:03:34> 01:03:36: | I could I could go on this for hours, |
| 01:03:36> 01:03:38: | but I will keep it short for our audience. |
| 01:03:38> 01:03:40: | There are a lot of challenges. |
| 01:03:40> 01:03:43: | I think the a lot of these local jurisdictions were |
| 01:03:43> 01:03:46: | eager to get the ball rolling on electrification, |
| 01:03:46> 01:03:48: | and I think that's wonderful. |
| 01:03:48> 01:03:51: | And I think the Energy Commission is about three years |
| 01:03:51> 01:03:52: | behind us. |
| 01:03:52> 01:03:55: | You know, you gave some great updates to where we're |
| 01:03:55> 01:03:56: | looking at for 2022, |
| 01:03:56> 01:03:59: | which doesn't go into effect until January 1st of 2023. |
| 01:03:59> 01:04:02: | So it's still very much a far ways off. |
| 01:04:02> 01:04:04: | And Even so, you saw that the. |
| 01:04:04> 01:04:07: | Changes that we need in the energy code to really |
| 01:04:07> 01:04:10: | make big big improvements on the larger building stock are |
| 01:04:10> 01:04:13: | limited and an I think will still have some issues |
| 01:04:13> 01:04:15: | not to go too in the weeds, |
| 01:04:15> 01:04:18: | but for those that are in the know on what |
| 01:04:18> 01:04:21: | the California Energy Code requires you to demonstrate, |
| 01:04:21> 01:04:24: | there's a standard design which is there. |
| 01:04:24> 01:04:27: | This is what a standard building in California should be |
| 01:04:27> 01:04:28: | designed as, |
| 01:04:28> 01:04:31: | and you need to design this efficiency level or greater |
| 01:04:31> 01:04:33: | right now that is still natural gas, |
| 01:04:33> 01:04:37: | and so it's a natural gas baseline and what we're |
| 01:04:37> 01:04:37: | finding. |
| 01:04:37> 01:04:42: | Specifically, an needs very complex building types like life sciences |
| 01:04:42> 01:04:43: | and healthcare. |

| 01:04:47> 01:04:49than the standard design,01:04:49> 01:04:52:which is natural gas with an all electric design.01:04:52> 01:04:52:which for lack of a better term think of it01:04:54> 01:04:56:like energy costs,01:04:57> 01:05:06:use an then this multiplier for that01:05:01> 01:05:06:use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:03> 01:05:06:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06> 01:05:06:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06> 01:05:07:were though if we were just to look at it01:05:10> 01:05:11:with energy used to energy use,01:05:16> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the life sciences01:05:23> 01:05:20:project.01:05:23> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:26> 01:05:20:propoced all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:27> 01:05:28:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:30:building,01:05:31> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:32> 01:05:32:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:33> 01:05:31:which is the score that.01:05:34> 01:05:51:going to be seen with local prival corns and what we're01:05:52> 01:05:52:the california Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:53> 01:05:55:yb the skin of our teeth and so 1 think01:05:54> 01:05:55:going to be | 01:04:43> 01:04:47: | It's very challenging to demonstrate that we are better performance |
|--|---------------------|---|
| 01:04:52 -> 01:04:54:Because we use these TV multipliers,01:04:54 -> 01:04:57:which for lack of a better term think of it01:04:57 -> 01:04:58:like energy costs,01:04:57 -> 01:05:01:they think it kind of adds a multiplier for the01:05:01 -> 01:05:03:amount of energy or using per end.01:05:06 -> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:08 -> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:10 -> 01:05:11:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:12 -> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design and01:05:26 -> 01:05:20:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:26 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30 -> 01:05:31:Which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:Which is the score that.01:05:34 -> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34 -> 01:05:35:Which is the score that.01:05:54 -> 01:05:55:It was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:54 -> 01:05:55:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to dor this project.01:05:56 -> 01:05:55:Had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05 | 01:04:47> 01:04:49: | |
| 01:04:54 -> 01:04:57:which for lack of a better term think of it01:04:57 -> 01:04:58:like energy costs,01:04:58 -> 01:05:01:they think it kind of adds a multiplier for the01:05:01 -> 01:05:03:amount of energy or using per end.01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06 -> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:10 -> 01:05:11:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:10 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:10 -> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:16 -> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23 -> 01:05:23:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30 -> 01:05:30:building,01:05:32 -> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34 -> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37 -> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:51 -> 01:05:51:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:52 -> 01:05:55:id od or the project.01:05:54 -> 01:05:56:if sjust this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:54 -> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:54 -> 01:05:58:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:05:55 -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07 -> 01:06:09: </th <th>01:04:49> 01:04:52:</th> <th>which is natural gas with an all electric design.</th> | 01:04:49> 01:04:52: | which is natural gas with an all electric design. |
| 01:04:57 -> 01:04:58:like energy costs,01:04:58 -> 01:05:01:they think it kind of adds a multiplier for the01:05:03 -> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:03 -> 01:05:06:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06 -> 01:05:06:we electricity in our building,01:05:10 -> 01:05:11:with energy used to energy use,01:05:12 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:14 -> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:23 -> 01:05:20:our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:26 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34 -> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34 -> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:59 -> 01:05:59:Which is the score that.01:05:51 -> 01:05:59:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:51 -> 01:05:56:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:52 -> 01:05:59:is gaing to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:55 -> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55 -> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:56 -> 01:06:57:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:56 -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07 -> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on, <t< th=""><th>01:04:52> 01:04:54:</th><th>Because we use these TV multipliers,</th></t<> | 01:04:52> 01:04:54: | Because we use these TV multipliers, |
| 01:04:58 -> 01:05:01:they thick it kind of adds a multiplier for the01:05:01 -> 01:05:03:amount of energy or using per end.01:05:03 -> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06 -> 01:05:10:Wore electricity in our building,01:05:07:0 -> 01:05:11:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:16 -> 01:05:12:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:26 -> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:26 -> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:33 -> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:44 -> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:47 -> 01:05:55:ind to dor this project.01:05:56 -> 01:05:55:had to dor this project.01:05:56 -> 01:05:56:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:57 -> 01:05:56:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58 -> 01:06:55:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:07 -> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06: | 01:04:54> 01:04:57: | which for lack of a better term think of it |
| 01:05:01> 01:05:03:amount of energy or using per end.01:05:03> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:06> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:08> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building.01:05:10> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:16> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:24> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:32:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:47> 01:05:54:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:55:joing to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:55> 01:05:55:is just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:06:50:is yust this gering issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:06:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:06:50:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:05:55> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07: -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 0 | 01:04:57> 01:04:58: | like energy costs, |
| 01:05:03 -> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:08 -> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:10 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:14 -> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:16 -> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16 -> 01:05:17:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:22 -> 01:05:20:roject.01:05:22 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30 -> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33 -> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:32:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like we barely got by01:05:34 -> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37 -> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:42 -> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:54 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:56 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:57 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:58 -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07 -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07 -> 01:06:05:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07 | 01:04:58> 01:05:01: | they think it kind of adds a multiplier for the |
| 01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:08 -> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:11 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12 -> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14 -> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:23 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23 -> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:24 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:26 -> 01:05:31:building,01:05:30 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:31 -> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:32 -> 01:05:32:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which is the score that.01:05:34 -> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:34 -> 01:05:55:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:54 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:56 -> 01:06:55:was one efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:02 -> 01:06:05:was one01:06:02 -> 01:06:05:was one01:06:05 -> 01:06:05:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:06 -> 01:06:05:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07 -> 01:06:05:was on01:06:07 - | 01:05:01> 01:05:03: | amount of energy or using per end. |
| 01:05:08> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:10> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:20> 01:05:23:project.01:05:23> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:24> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:33:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation or energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:42> 01:05:41:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:54> 01:05:55:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:05:56:had to do for this project.01:05:56> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:56> 01:06:59:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building.01:06:07> 01:06:59:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building.01:06:07> 01:06:19:was on:01:06:07> 01:06:19:use so:01:06:07> 01:06:19:use so:01:06:07> 01:06:19:use so: | 01:05:03> 01:05:06: | Use an then this multiplier for that so you get |
| 01:05:10> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:23> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:37> 01:05:33:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:47> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:56> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:56> 01:05:56:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:56> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:12:but think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:12:but think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:12:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:06> 01:05:08: | a bit penalized when we use. |
| 01:05:12> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19> 01:05:20:project.01:05:23> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:23> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:53> 01:05:51:id to do for this project.01:05:53> 01:05:52:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:id us nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:15:id us this or project.01:06:07> 01:06:15:id us this in encouraged by so many in the01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practi | 01:05:08> 01:05:10: | More electricity in our building, |
| 01:05:14> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:30> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:54> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners there are being <th>01:05:10> 01:05:12:</th> <th>even though if we were just to look at it</th> | 01:05:10> 01:05:12: | even though if we were just to look at it |
| 01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so 1 think01:05:57> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:12> 01:06:15:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:12> 01:05:14: | with energy used to energy use, |
| 01:05:19> 01:05:20: 01:05:23> 01:05:23: 01:05:23> 01:05:23: 01:05:23> 01:05:26: 01:05:23> 01:05:26: 01:05:20: 01:05:26: 01:05:20: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:12: 01:06:12: 01:06:12: 01:06:12: 01:06:12: 01:06:13: 01:06:14: 01:06:14: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:0 | 01:05:14> 01:05:16: | we have a much more efficient building. |
| 01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:39> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:47> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:01> 01:06:15:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this | 01:05:16> 01:05:19: | I just was looking at this for the Life sciences |
| 01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:33> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:54> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:55> 01:05:56:had to do for this project.01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:01> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:01> 01:06:02:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:19> 01:05:20: | project. |
| 01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences building,01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:31> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:33:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:54> 01:05:55:is yut his glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:58> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:05> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:05:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:15> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:20> 01:05:23: | Our UI for the standard design case or energy use |
| 01:05:26> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:30> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:32:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:33> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the Skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:50> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:51> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:07> 01:06:07:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:112:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:12:al lelectric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:23> 01:05:23: | intensity. |
| 01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:30> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:51> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was soo.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:23> 01:05:26: | The energy score was 140 for the standard design and |
| 01:05:30> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:50> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:03> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:04> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:26> 01:05:30: | our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences |
| 01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:50> 01:05:50:ii's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:12:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:30> 01:05:30: | building, |
| 01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:50> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:Was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:12:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:30> 01:05:33: | which was astronomical. Like amazing. |
| 01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:112:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:12:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:33> 01:05:34: | However, when we looked at TV, |
| 01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:12> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:al electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:34> 01:05:37: | the time dependent valuation of our energy use, |
| 01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:112:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:12:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:37> 01:05:39: | which is the score that. |
| 01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:19:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:39> 01:05:42: | The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by |
| 01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we had to do for this project.01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:42> 01:05:44: | it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by |
| 01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:44> 01:05:47: | by the skin of our teeth and so I think |
| 01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:47> 01:05:50: | it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're |
| 01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building 01:06:02> 01:06:05:01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:50> 01:05:53: | going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we |
| 01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:53> 01:05:55: | had to do for this project. |
| 01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:55> 01:05:58: | We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get |
| 01:06:05> 01:06:07: was so. 01:06:07> 01:06:09: There's plenty to catch up on, 01:06:09> 01:06:12: but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the 01:06:12> 01:06:15: industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building 01:06:15> 01:06:19: owners and utilities that are getting on board with this 01:06:19> 01:06:22: all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:05:58> 01:06:02: | a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building |
| 01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:06:02> 01:06:05: | was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building |
| 01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:06:05> 01:06:07: | was so. |
| 01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:06:07> 01:06:09: | There's plenty to catch up on, |
| building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being | | but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the |
| 01:06:19> 01:06:22: all electric push that the more voices there are being | 01:06:12> 01:06:15: | |
| | 01:06:15> 01:06:19: | • |
| 01:06:22> 01:06:23: vocal that we need changes, | 01:06:19> 01:06:22: | all electric push that the more voices there are being |
| | 01:06:22> 01:06:23: | vocal that we need changes, |

| 01:06:23> 01:06:26: | the faster change will come. |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:06:26> 01:06:30: | Great. I think a question perushim, |
| 01:06:30> 01:06:33: | maybe Sarah. A few people asked about, |
| 01:06:33> 01:06:38: | should we potentially be allowing like really small gas uses |
| 01:06:38> 01:06:43: | like for outdoor barbecues or drills or fire pits for |
| 01:06:43> 01:06:45: | restaurants to be allowed? |
| 01:06:45> 01:06:48: | And what's so wrong about that anyway? |
| 01:06:50> 01:06:54: | I can take take on multifamily if that's OK, |
| 01:06:54> 01:06:56: | Sarah. |
| 01:06:56> 01:07:00: | I would question like why for all these end users |
| 01:07:00> 01:07:04: | that are state of the art best electric versions out |
| 01:07:04> 01:07:05: | there, |
| 01:07:05> 01:07:09: | right? And it's like saying let's allow smoking for a |
| 01:07:09> 01:07:10: | few right? |
| 01:07:10> 01:07:11: | Like why not? So I have. |
| 01:07:11> 01:07:17: | And frankly, I've never heard an affordable enough property |
| | tenant |
| 01:07:17> 01:07:20: | coming to us asking for these things. |
| 01:07:20> 01:07:23: | If the building is doing what it's supposed to be |
| 01:07:23> 01:07:24: | doing, |
| 01:07:24> 01:07:26: | we have good amenities, etc. |
| 01:07:26> 01:07:30: | I feel like it's good if we give an option |
| 01:07:30> 01:07:34: | then we someone will ask for exception on all electric |
| 01:07:34> 01:07:34: | ordinance. |
| 01:07:34> 01:07:38: | Do right so at least that's my standpoint. |
| 01:07:38> 01:07:39: | Not that I'm against it, |
| 01:07:39> 01:07:44: | but for our purposes I've not seen that as an |
| 01:07:44> 01:07:44: | issue. |
| 01:07:44> 01:07:48: | Great yeah, I may be committed a totally different like |
| 01:07:48> 01:07:51: | so everything that for she said I'll say that I |
| 01:07:51> 01:07:55: | I have found that allowing the flexibility for different leasing |
| 01:07:55> 01:07:59: | teams were like don't tell me you have to lease |
| 01:07:59> 01:08:01: | a restaurant or don't tell me I can't. |
| 01:08:01> 01:08:04: | Get this tenant because their executive chef is going to |
| 01:08:04> 01:08:05: | want to cook with fire. |
| 01:08:05> 01:08:08: | You know, know, right and then the whole building would |
| 01:08:08> 01:08:10: | have used gas and So what we found is like, |
| 01:08:10> 01:08:13: | OK, fine will make it possible and like I would |
| 01:08:13> 01:08:15: | say it's about half honestly of the tenants. |
| 01:08:15> 01:08:17: | Go ahead and run the gas line later, |
| 01:08:17> 01:08:18: | but the other half don't. |
| 01:08:18> 01:08:20: | Which to me is like very, |

| 01:08:20> 01:08:22: | very impressive that the other but a lot of times |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:08:22> 01:08:23: | like you, |
| 01:08:23> 01:08:25: | right? I'm gonna leave it as is. |
| 01:08:25> 01:08:27: | You know, we're at a point where 20% |
| 01:08:27> 01:08:29: | of killers portfolio is all electric and we're, |
| 01:08:29> 01:08:32: | you know. And and those buildings went fine and people |
| 01:08:32> 01:08:34: | can eat food there and it's OK. |
| 01:08:34> 01:08:37: | So it's one of these things where I individually do |
| 01:08:37> 01:08:39: | what I do every time somebody comes to me for |
| 01:08:39> 01:08:43: | permission for something silly that I'm obviously gonna say |
| | no |
| 01:08:43> 01:08:45: | to. Which is like somebody this recently was like can |
| 01:08:45> 01:08:48: | we break out the waterless urinals in this building? |
| 01:08:48> 01:08:50: | Like we don't know how to maintain that. |
| 01:08:50> 01:08:53: | I was like, no, you can't learn how to maintain |
| 01:08:53> 01:08:53: | them, |
| 01:08:53> 01:08:57: | so like. Individual no, I want the sustainability programs. |
| 01:08:57> 01:08:59: | I'm suggesting that, but it's but it's one of these |
| 01:08:59> 01:09:02: | things where I would be delighted to talk to any |
| 01:09:02> 01:09:05: | tenant and have them visit the executive chef for the |
| 01:09:05> 01:09:07: | buildings those times did get on board. |
| 01:09:07> 01:09:10: | That kind of thing. And now the executive chefs. |
| 01:09:10> 01:09:12: | By the way we're getting this is like high end |
| 01:09:12> 01:09:13: | commercial, |
| 01:09:13> 01:09:15: | are like getting competitive with each other, |
| 01:09:15> 01:09:18: | but like, well, I have so much more control of |
| 01:09:18> 01:09:19: | my induction stove. |
| 01:09:19> 01:09:21: | But now that the line he has when I want |
| 01:09:21> 01:09:22: | induction stoves, |
| 01:09:22> 01:09:24: | I mean that is already starting. |
| 01:09:24> 01:09:26: | Which is great. |
| 01:09:26> 01:09:27: | So it's like it's a. |
| 01:09:27> 01:09:29: | It's a question of, you know. |
| 01:09:29> 01:09:32: | It's not worth it to lose it entire building going |
| 01:09:32> 01:09:35: | electric versus mixed fuel over a single stove, |
| 01:09:35> 01:09:37: | so I'll lose that battle, |
| 01:09:37> 01:09:39: | but often I you know at the end of the |
| 01:09:39> 01:09:39: | day, |
| 01:09:39> 01:09:42: | the battle is not to be lost anyway. |
| 01:09:42> 01:09:45: | Great, we're going to wrap this up. |
| 01:09:45> 01:09:48: | I have one lightning round question for you all and |
| 01:09:48> 01:09:49: | it's getting to a Sarita said. |

| 01:09:49> 01:09:53: | I would like you all to say one thing about |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:09:53> 01:09:54: | cooking. |
| 01:09:54> 01:09:57: | Just one thing about cooking near in this whole space |
| 01:09:57> 01:10:00: | of electric versus gas which you're experiencing relationship. |
| 01:10:00> 01:10:03: | Just one thing. One sentence about cooking and I'm going |
| 01:10:03> 01:10:06: | to finish up as you're thinking about that will finish |
| 01:10:06> 01:10:09: | up that question that I just asked her if she |
| 01:10:09> 01:10:12: | and Sarah. So what you just described about allowing a |
| 01:10:12> 01:10:15: | little bit of gaseous or just say just cooking or |
| 01:10:15> 01:10:18: | just barbecues or just grills is actually P Jeannie's worst |
| 01:10:18> 01:10:21: | nightmare, not something described to me like this Star Wars |
| 01:10:21> 01:10:25: | player or Worst Nightmare is we electrify everything except for |
| 01:10:25> 01:10:25: | peoples. |
| 01:10:25> 01:10:27: | Shows or barbecues or gas grills. |
| 01:10:27> 01:10:30: | And then we're having to charge everybody \$180.00 a month |
| 01:10:31> 01:10:33: | to be able to cook with gas because what we |
| 01:10:33> 01:10:36: | have to do is we have to maintain that entire |
| 01:10:36> 01:10:39: | system at a high quality of safety in order to |
| 01:10:39> 01:10:42: | be able to provide them or hours nine years everybody |
| 01:10:42> 01:10:45: | in the neighborhood electrify's except for the two guys that |
| 01:10:45> 01:10:48: | want to continue to have a cook off with their |
| 01:10:48> 01:10:51: | gas stoves and we have to maintain the entire gas |
| 01:10:51> 01:10:55: | system so the challenges you know putting in that high |
| 01:10:55> 01:10:59: | pressure natural gas line. Or those barbecues really brings those |
| 01:10:59> 01:11:02: | projects into a situation of really high costs out into |
| 01:11:02> 01:11:03: | the future. |
| 01:11:03> 01:11:06: | So lightning round one sentence about cooking, |
| 01:11:06> 01:11:08: | we're going to go in order. |
| 01:11:08> 01:11:11: | Sarah Russi, Megan Berry, Sarah. |
| 01:11:11> 01:11:14: | My friends will be check out the Consumer Reports on |
| 01:11:14> 01:11:18: | stoves and you'll find that most of the top rated |
| 01:11:18> 01:11:20: | stoves do not use gas, |
| 01:11:20> 01:11:23: | so I think that we are seeing that people love |
| 01:11:23> 01:11:26: | their induction stoves when they get them. |
| 01:11:26> 01:11:30: | It's just a matter of getting them a little bit |
| 01:11:30> 01:11:31: | of experience with them, |
| 01:11:31> 01:11:35: | and that is as true of home chefs as it |
| 01:11:35> 01:11:37: | is a professional chefs. |
| 01:11:37> 01:11:40: | That was a great first sentence and then a good |
| 01:11:40> 01:11:42: | additional 3 fantastic. |
| 01:11:45> 01:11:48: | I I would just say let's care about food and |

| 01:11:48> 01:11:49: | good food. |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:11:49> 01:11:51: | Let's not care about the source, |
| 01:11:51> 01:11:55: | right? I mean why we're so stuck to gas when |
| 01:11:55> 01:11:58: | I can get my same food through electric. |
| 01:11:58> 01:12:01: | Great thank you, Megan. |
| 01:12:01> 01:12:05: | Yeah, I think if Thomas Keller can investigate using all |
| 01:12:05> 01:12:07: | electric cooking for the French laundry, |
| 01:12:07> 01:12:10: | I think all of the home chefs can also get |
| 01:12:10> 01:12:14: | on board for using all electric for their home cooking |
| 01:12:14> 01:12:15: | needs and and again, |
| 01:12:15> 01:12:18: | just echoing Ruthie. I mean if it the product and |
| 01:12:18> 01:12:21: | how it tastes and the method of how you get |
| 01:12:21> 01:12:23: | there is not important. |
| 01:12:23> 01:12:28: | Yeah, our governor agrees, and Barry was a French laundry. |
| 01:12:28> 01:12:33: | Well said by everyone that you were interested in meeting |
| 01:12:33> 01:12:35: | people where they are. |
| 01:12:35> 01:12:37: | And so we need early adopters, |
| 01:12:37> 01:12:40: | particularly commercial, particularly with diverse cuisine. |
| 01:12:40> 01:12:44: | So if you know someone who's good with an electric |
| 01:12:44> 01:12:45: | stove. |
| 01:12:45> 01:12:47: | I'd like to meet them. |
| 01:12:47> 01:12:50: | Wonderful, well thank you all so much as I think |
| 01:12:50> 01:12:50: | you saw. |
| 01:12:50> 01:12:53: | We had really be Avengers of building decarbonization here. |
| 01:12:53> 01:12:56: | Just a fantastic group. Thank you all to the speakers. |
| 01:12:56> 01:12:58: | Think of you all. I for having us and I |
| 01:12:58> 01:13:00: | throw it back over to you allies. |
| 01:13:00> 01:13:04: | Wonderful leadership to take us into the networking. |
| 01:13:04> 01:13:05: | Thank you. |

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .