

Webinar

ULI British Columbia: City of Burnaby Rental Use Zoning Policy Review

Date: December 06, 2022

| 00:00:38> 00:00:41: 00:00:42> 00:00:46: | All right, everyone, let's get started. I'd like to welcome you to today's ULIBC professional development event where we are |
|--|--|
| 00:00:46> 00:00:50: | covering having a presentation and discussion and panel group on |
| 00:00:50> 00:00:54: | the city of Barnaby's rental use zoning policy review. Before |
| 00:00:54> 00:00:57: | we get going with that, I do want to make |
| 00:00:57> 00:00:57: | sure that we. |
| 00:00:58> 00:01:01: | Acknowledge that the city of Vancouver, which is where I |
| 00:01:01> 00:01:04: | am and I guess where ULIBC is technically located is |
| 00:01:05> 00:01:09: | situated on the unseated traditional territories of the Musqueam, Squamish |
| 00:01:09> 00:01:13: | and similar tooth nations. And that you may be calling |
| 00:01:13> 00:01:16: | in or zooming in from another location. And that that |
| 00:01:16> 00:01:20: | is may well be the traditional territory and unseated territory |
| 00:01:20> 00:01:23: | of another nation here. And that we would like to |
| 00:01:23> 00:01:27: | acknowledge that as well. We have a great presentation for |
| 00:01:27> 00:01:28: | you today. |
| 00:01:28> 00:01:31: | We go any further. My name is Stuart Somerville and |
| 00:01:31> 00:01:35: | I'm the moderator. I'm a professor at the Sauder School |
| 00:01:35> 00:01:38: | of Business. UBC have had a long association with ULIBCI, |
| 00:01:38> 00:01:42: | was part of the original Advisory Board and it's thrilled |
| 00:01:42> 00:01:45: | to be able to be engaging with this great organization |
| 00:01:45> 00:01:46: | today. |
| 00:01:47> 00:01:50: | We have three excellent, well informed people who are going |
| 00:01:50> 00:01:54: | to be the panelists for today's presentation. We're coming from |
| 00:01:54> 00:01:58: | three different perspectives, and I think that means that we'll |
| 00:01:58> 00:02:02: | have a great discussion here because they're all engaged with |

| 00:02:02> 00:02:07: | the policy, but from a being essentially representing different stakeholders. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:02:07> 00:02:09: | We have Ahmed Omran, who is a planner with a |
| 00:02:09> 00:02:13: | success, and Ahmed will tell you about his background. |
| 00:02:14> 00:02:15: | Maybe now would be a good time. |
| 00:02:15> 00:02:18: | Sure. Thanks. So my name is Omar, and I'm the |
| 00:02:18> 00:02:22: | director of Community real estate and asset management for success, |
| 00:02:22> 00:02:26: | which is for nonprofits. So it's a multifaceted nonprofit that |
| 00:02:26> 00:02:27: | deals with. |
| 00:02:29> 00:02:33: | Social services and settlement services for you covers long |
| | term |
| 00:02:33> 00:02:37: | care for seniors and My Portfolio, which is the affordable |
| 00:02:37> 00:02:40: | housing. Before that I was with BC housing for seven |
| 00:02:41> 00:02:45: | years and that's how I first started the affordable housing |
| 00:02:45> 00:02:45: | career. |
| 00:02:46> 00:02:50: | Excellent. We have Andrew Brown, who's the director of development |
| 00:02:50> 00:02:53: | here in the Lower Mainland, I think more broadly for |
| 00:02:53> 00:02:56: | Starlight investments, so the private sector. |
| 00:02:57> 00:03:00: | Yeah, thank you. I'm Andrew Brown. I. So I'm a |
| 00:03:00> 00:03:04: | director of development with Starlight Developments, that development division of |
| 00:03:04> 00:03:09: | Starlight Investments. We're Canadian asset manager first and foremost and |
| 00:03:09> 00:03:12: | we have the the privilege of operating over 70,000 apartments |
| 00:03:13> 00:03:15: | across the US and Canada, 60,000 plus of those in |
| 00:03:15> 00:03:19: | Canada. So we're active, we're very active in British Columbia, |
| 00:03:19> 00:03:23: | companies based in Toronto and that's where also a large |
| 00:03:23> 00:03:25: | number of units are. We also have a lot of |
| 00:03:25> 00:03:27: | activity in really smaller markets. |
| 00:03:28> 00:03:31: | So a lot of northern markets in the territories as |
| 00:03:31> 00:03:35: | well and and smaller in remote communities. So we operate |
| 00:03:35> 00:03:38: | at really large metropolitan scale as well as very small |
| 00:03:39> 00:03:41: | or rural areas as well and we have a pretty |
| 00:03:41> 00:03:42: | good window on. |
| 00:03:43> 00:03:46: | On uh the the the joys and challenges of of |
| 00:03:46> 00:03:47: | rental housing across the country. |
| 00:03:49> 00:03:52: | Prior to this, I worked in municipal planning and consulting |
| 00:03:52> 00:03:52: | as well. |
| 00:03:53> 00:03:56: | Wonderful. And from the City of Burnaby Burnaby, we have |
| 00:03:56> 00:03:57: | Wendy Tay, Wendy. |

| 00:03:59> 00:04:02: | Hi everyone, I'm Wendy C, senior housing planner at the |
|--|--|
| 00:04:02> 00:04:05: | City of Burnaby. My housing team and I have helped |
| 00:04:05> 00:04:08: | to shape this policy and we implement it and now |
| 00:04:08> 00:04:11: | we're reviewing it after a 2 year time period. So |
| 00:04:11> 00:04:13: | I'm happy to be part of this discussion and hear |
| 00:04:14> 00:04:16: | from the the panelists as well as the the people |
| 00:04:16> 00:04:19: | who have joined and and really get your thoughts on |
| 00:04:19> 00:04:22: | how Burnaby is doing and what we can improve on. |
| 00:04:24> 00:04:27: | Let me remind all those participating that we definitely want |
| 00:04:28> 00:04:30: | to hear from you and we would like to know |
| 00:04:30> 00:04:33: | if you have any questions, but please do those in |
| 00:04:33> 00:04:37: | the chat function and then Katrina and Robin will have |
| 00:04:37> 00:04:41: | the responsibility of sorting those and figuring out which questions |
| 00:04:41> 00:04:44: | will then package at the end for the panelists if |
| 00:04:44> 00:04:47: | time allows us. So with I think that sort of |
| 00:04:47> 00:04:51: | covers that introduction. I think, Wendy, you were going to |
| 00:04:51> 00:04:54: | give everybody a refresher on the city of. |
| 00:04:54> 00:04:58: | Barnaby's recent policy developments in the rental housing inspector sphere. |
| 00:05:02> 00:05:05: | Yes. So I just wanted to note that we are |
| 00:05:05> 00:05:08: | working on a policy review currently. So I'm going to |
| 00:05:08> 00:05:12: | be sharing the existing policy as well as the scope |
| 00:05:12> 00:05:15: | of work that the policy review is going to cover. |
| 00:05:15> 00:05:18: | We're not at the point yet that that we're, you |
| 00:05:18> 00:05:22: | know we're able to share what is coming forward, but |
| 00:05:22> 00:05:25: | as part of this discussion we are getting feedback and |
| 00:05:25> 00:05:28: | so I know there's a lot of a lot of |
| 00:05:28> 00:05:31: | opinions about it. So I'm excited to hear where this |
| 00:05:31> 00:05:32: | conversation. |
| 00:05:32> 00:05:35: | Those and to really incorporate that into the work that |
| 00:05:35> 00:05:36: | we're doing. |
| 00:05:38> 00:05:41: | So to provide some context, really the rent to zoning |
| 00:05:41> 00:05:44: | policy as well as some of the other major housing |
| 00:05:44> 00:05:48: | policies that came into play in Burnaby really happened in |
| 00:05:48> 00:05:51: | a very specific context. It was during a time in |
| 00:05:51> 00:05:55: | sort of the, you know right before the 2018 municipal |
| 00:05:55> 00:05:59: | election there was significant loss of purpose built rental units |
| 00:05:59> 00:06:03: | in the City of Burnaby, specifically in our Metro Town |
| 00:06:03> 00:06:07: | neighborhoods where we saw a lot of displacement of lower |
| 00:06:07> 00:06:08: | income tenants. |
| 00:06:08> 00:06:11: | Through that and through the election we did get a |
| ······································ | Through that and through the election we did yet a |

| 00:06:11> 00:06:15: | new mayor and and new members of Council that really |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:06:15> 00:06:18: | became engaged on housing and wanting the the city to |
| 00:06:18> 00:06:21: | do more in terms of creating rental housing as well |
| 00:06:21> 00:06:25: | as protecting tenants. So the the mayor convened the mayor's |
| 00:06:25> 00:06:28: | task force on Community Housing in conjunction there was a |
| 00:06:28> 00:06:32: | your voice your home public engagement process which was the |
| 00:06:32> 00:06:36: | largest public engagement process the city has ever done on |
| 00:06:36> 00:06:37: | housing and through that. |
| 00:06:38> 00:06:41: | This was where a lot of the ideas came from. |
| 00:06:41> 00:06:44: | Firstly to do a more robust tenant assistance policy, which |
| 00:06:44> 00:06:48: | is made of, you know, possible through the rent to |
| 00:06:48> 00:06:49: | zoning policy. |
| 00:06:49> 00:06:53: | In addition to looking at ways to increase additional density |
| 00:06:53> 00:06:57: | for projects with below market rental units. So that is |
| 00:06:57> 00:07:00: | what the rental zoning policy does. So really it was |
| 00:07:00> 00:07:03: | it came out of a very specific place and we |
| 00:07:03> 00:07:06: | have really tailored our policy to meet those goals of |
| 00:07:06> 00:07:08: | Council and the Community. |
| 00:07:09> 00:07:13: | So the cities eventually zoning policy has four streams. The |
| 00:07:13> 00:07:16: | first stream which is replacement ties really closely with our |
| 00:07:16> 00:07:20: | tenant assistance policy. I'm not sure if everyone knows, but |
| 00:07:20> 00:07:23: | Burnaby has one of the most I guess supportive tenant |
| 00:07:23> 00:07:27: | assistance policies in all of Canada. Tenants are provided rent |
| 00:07:27> 00:07:30: | top ups during sort of the interim period of when |
| 00:07:30> 00:07:34: | they're displaced when they can move into a replacement unit, |
| 00:07:34> 00:07:37: | which is basically meant to be like a forever home |
| 00:07:37> 00:07:40: | for these tenants and that they can return to the |
| 00:07:40> 00:07:40: | same community. |
| 00:07:41> 00:07:43: | And be renting the unit at rent very similar to |
| 00:07:43> 00:07:47: | what they were paying when they were displaced. So under |
| 00:07:47> 00:07:50: | the rental zoning policy under the stream, any rental units |
| 00:07:50> 00:07:53: | lost to redevelopment must be replaced on a 1 to |
| 00:07:53> 00:07:53: | one basis. |
| 00:07:54> 00:07:57: | In addition to that, we have stream 2 which is |
| 00:07:58> 00:08:02: | inclusionary. So new multifamily developments must include 20% of their |
| 00:08:02> 00:08:06: | units as rental and these rental units must be rented |
| 00:08:06> 00:08:09: | at below market rates, which is specifically 20% below CMHC |

| 00:08:10> 00:08:11: | market median in Dumenu |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:08:10> 00:08:11: | market median in Burnaby. And then the third stream is really to take advantage |
| 00:08:12> 00:08:13: | of the unused density that we have in our commercial |
| | |
| 00:08:19> 00:08:22: | zones. And as long as the commercial density is utilized |
| 00:08:22> 00:08:25: | up to 51%, the remaining 49% of the commercial density |
| 00:08:25> 00:08:29: | could be used to construct market rental housing. So we |
| 00:08:29> 00:08:32: | are seeing take above that and then the 4th stream |
| 00:08:32> 00:08:36: | which is the protectionary stream that is something that city |
| 00:08:36> 00:08:39: | hasn't quite completed the work on. This is meant to |
| 00:08:39> 00:08:42: | look at ways of protecting existing rental buildings. |
| 00:08:43> 00:08:46: | But again, we've had so much interest in all the |
| 00:08:46> 00:08:49: | other streams that really we we've taken a step back |
| 00:08:49> 00:08:52: | from the protection area and we will explore that further |
| 00:08:52> 00:08:55: | as we kind of work through what the new iteration |
| 00:08:55> 00:08:57: | of the rental zoning policy will look like. |
| 00:08:59> 00:09:02: | So a lot of people ask how does Burnaby make |
| 00:09:02> 00:09:06: | this happen and it's really we provide density. Burnaby has |
| 00:09:06> 00:09:10: | a very I guess kind of unique development pattern will |
| 00:09:10> 00:09:14: | be we concentrate all of our growth primarily in our |
| 00:09:14> 00:09:17: | four town centers. So in these town centers we do |
| 00:09:18> 00:09:21: | see quite high densities and that's an example on on |
| 00:09:21> 00:09:25: | the slide that you're seeing for RM-5 zone. So in |
| 00:09:25> 00:09:29: | terms of you know we provide this density offset really |
| 00:09:29> 00:09:30: | to account. |
| 00:09:30> 00:09:34: | For the new affordability requirements that the city requires, another |
| 00:09:34> 00:09:36: | rent to zoning policy and you can see on the |
| 00:09:37> 00:09:39: | screen in front of you that really what the city |
| 00:09:39> 00:09:43: | has tried to do is create you know, different tranches |
| 00:09:43> 00:09:47: | of affordability within these communities. In Burnaby, we're |
| | looking at |
| 00:09:47> 00:09:50: | buildings that are you know, 5060 even 80 stories high. |
| 00:09:50> 00:09:53: | So we're we're seeing a lot of units and we |
| 00:09:53> 00:09:56: | do want to see a healthy mix of incomes within |
| 00:09:56> 00:09:59: | there. So the replacement units is mentioned are for tenants |
| 00:09:59> 00:10:00: | who were displaced. |
| 00:10:01> 00:10:04: | And are moving back in at rents similar to when |
| 00:10:04> 00:10:07: | they moved out. Our inclusionary is 20% below CMHC |
| | market |
| 00:10:07> 00:10:08: | median. |
| 00:10:09> 00:10:12: | We have an optional inclusionary stream which requires A1 |
| | to |
| 00:10:12> 00:10:15: | one ratio of units at market rental and at CMHC |

| 00:10:15> 00:10:19: | market median. So that's if you have remaining RMR density |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:10:19> 00:10:22: | that you didn't use to account for the replacement inclusionary, |
| 00:10:22> 00:10:25: | you can take advantage of that. And then in terms |
| 00:10:25> 00:10:29: | of voluntary rental, that's the unused commercial density and those |
| 00:10:29> 00:10:31: | can be rented at purely market rents. |
| 00:10:33> 00:10:35: | So in terms of progress to date, we've seen a |
| 00:10:35> 00:10:39: | lot of interest in our programs. We have over 12,000 |
| 00:10:39> 00:10:42: | units that are in stream right now and of which |
| 00:10:42> 00:10:45: | if you look at it, there's a split between sort |
| 00:10:45> 00:10:48: | of market rental units and you can actually see that |
| 00:10:48> 00:10:51: | we're achieving more non market rental units and really of |
| 00:10:52> 00:10:55: | this you know 7600 or so non market rental units, |
| 00:10:55> 00:10:58: | 4895 have been achieved through our two zoning policy. So |
| 00:10:58> 00:11:01: | we're getting a lot of units being delivered to this |
| 00:11:02> 00:11:02: | program. |
| 00:11:03> 00:11:07: | That said, we we recognize that not everything is working |
| 00:11:07> 00:11:10: | perfectly and that is why we did tell Council we |
| 00:11:10> 00:11:13: | would review the policy with after two years of implementation. |
| 00:11:13> 00:11:16: | I will note that two years is a really quick |
| 00:11:16> 00:11:20: | turnaround time that doesn't align with the development cycle. So |
| 00:11:20> 00:11:24: | we haven't actually seen these buildings get to occupancy yet, |
| 00:11:24> 00:11:27: | so we can't fully evaluate how it's been working. But |
| 00:11:27> 00:11:29: | one of the things you know that we do want |
| 00:11:29> 00:11:33: | to do is talk to the development community and nonprofit |
| 00:11:33> 00:11:34: | housing providers. |
| 00:11:34> 00:11:37: | As well as other levels of government to just get |
| 00:11:37> 00:11:40: | their experience to date and really help us shape this |
| 00:11:40> 00:11:43: | policy further. Also as part of this review we want |
| 00:11:43> 00:11:46: | to undertake financial analysis to evaluate whether or not the |
| 00:11:46> 00:11:49: | density provisions you know still are are feasible and and |
| 00:11:49> 00:11:52: | you know sort of achieving the goals of the rent |
| 00:11:52> 00:11:53: | to zoning policy. |
| 00:11:54> 00:11:58: | We've heard feedback from non market, non non profit operators |
| 00:11:58> 00:12:01: | in terms of sort of the ownership and operational goals |
| 00:12:01> 00:12:04: | of these below market units. So we want further input |
| 00:12:05> 00:12:08: | on that. The City of Burnaby recently updated our tenant |
| 00:12:08> 00:12:12: | assistance policy, so we have to ensure that the policies |

| 00:12:12> 00:12:15: | are are continued to be aligned. And then we've heard |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:12:15> 00:12:18: | feedback on a few other things. So some of the |
| 00:12:18> 00:12:21: | other things that we're exploring as part of this review |
| 00:12:21> 00:12:24: | is how to make 100% market rental projects. |
| 00:12:24> 00:12:28: | With inclusionary requirements feasible and Burnaby, it's mainly a mix |
| 00:12:28> 00:12:31: | of strata and rental and you know we're not sure |
| 00:12:31> 00:12:34: | of the density offset works completely for market rental and |
| 00:12:34> 00:12:38: | then also exploring the minimum unit sizes for rentals as |
| 00:12:38> 00:12:41: | well as other livability considerations that have been brought up |
| 00:12:41> 00:12:42: | by Council. |
| 00:12:43> 00:12:46: | So that's summarizes what City of Burnaby is doing and |
| 00:12:46> 00:12:49: | I look forward to the feedback as part of being |
| 00:12:49> 00:12:50: | on this panel. |
| 00:12:51> 00:12:54: | That's excellent. That's really, really exciting and the your reward |
| 00:12:54> 00:12:57: | for doing that presentation is you get the first question. |
| 00:12:58> 00:13:01: | And so the first question and I think you touched |
| 00:13:01> 00:13:04: | a little bit on this in the presentation is what's |
| 00:13:05> 00:13:08: | the feedback that the city has received to date and |
| 00:13:08> 00:13:11: | I think you know across the spectrum of stakeholders, so |
| 00:13:11> 00:13:15: | would be interested there in you know market stakeholders, developers, |
| 00:13:16> 00:13:19: | the non market providers, but also the citizens, you know |
| 00:13:19> 00:13:23: | the renters, people moving into the strata units. So the |
| 00:13:23> 00:13:26: | whole gamut of of people who would be interested in |
| 00:13:26> 00:13:28: | this and not just the sort of active. |
| 00:13:28> 00:13:29: | Market participants. |
| 00:13:31> 00:13:33: | Yeah, thanks Sir. So I would say in terms of |
| 00:13:33> 00:13:36: | I'll start with the renters because we know that really |
| 00:13:36> 00:13:39: | these policies like the rental zoning policy and the tenants |
| 00:13:40> 00:13:43: | distance policy really came out of Council's desire to you |
| 00:13:43> 00:13:46: | know, really meet the needs of tenants in especially in |
| 00:13:46> 00:13:49: | Metrotown, but in you know, across Burnaby. So in terms |
| 00:13:49> 00:13:53: | of the the provision of replacement rental units and inclusionary |
| 00:13:53> 00:13:56: | rental units, that's very welcomed in Burnaby. We know there's |
| 00:13:56> 00:13:59: | a great need for rental housing and even at the |
| 00:13:59> 00:14:01: | market level like we know that. |
| 00:14:01> 00:14:05: | There is housing need across all the different affordability levels |
| 00:14:05> 00:14:07: | that are rent 2 zoning policy provides. |

7

| 00:14:08> 00:14:12: | In terms of non market, sorry nonprofit operators, that's one |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:14:12> 00:14:14: | area that I think the city could have done a |
| 00:14:14> 00:14:18: | better job in in communicating what the rental zoning policy |
| 00:14:18> 00:14:21: | is. And really I think when we came up with |
| 00:14:21> 00:14:24: | the policy we really wanted it to be an organic |
| 00:14:24> 00:14:27: | kind of process where you know a developer may reach |
| 00:14:27> 00:14:30: | out to a nonprofit and they form you know sort |
| 00:14:30> 00:14:33: | of a a beautiful relationship and they come to the |
| 00:14:33> 00:14:36: | city and and say they want to work together and |
| 00:14:36> 00:14:37: | deliver these units. |
| 00:14:38> 00:14:41: | I think in reality it's been a bit more challenging |
| 00:14:41> 00:14:44: | because the city hasn't been explicitly clear about, you know, |
| 00:14:44> 00:14:48: | what does it mean? Like we're working through that right |
| 00:14:48> 00:14:50: | now with our housing agreements in terms of how do |
| 00:14:50> 00:14:54: | they actually operate this, especially when there are tenants who |
| 00:14:54> 00:14:57: | could come back and may not be income tested because |
| 00:14:57> 00:15:00: | they're returning tenant and that may not meet, say, a |
| 00:15:00> 00:15:02: | nonprofits mission and mandate. |
| 00:15:03> 00:15:05: | So we kind of recognize that, you know, we might |
| 00:15:05> 00:15:07: | have you know, not been as you know, sort of |
| 00:15:07> 00:15:10: | as great on our communications as we should have, which |
| 00:15:10> 00:15:13: | is what we're trying to do now. And I would |
| 00:15:13> 00:15:15: | say on the on sort of the developer side, we've |
| 00:15:15> 00:15:18: | noticed that you know, our policy hasn't completely missed the |
| 00:15:18> 00:15:21: | mark and that there's been no development that's come in. |
| 00:15:21> 00:15:24: | But we also recognize that a lot of them were |
| 00:15:24> 00:15:27: | already midstream. They were really kind of you know into |
| 00:15:27> 00:15:29: | the process and we want to make sure it works |
| 00:15:29> 00:15:32: | like that's why we're doing a financial analysis again on |
| 00:15:32> 00:15:34: | whether or not the density offset. |
| 00:15:34> 00:15:37: | It's enough to account for you know, sort of constructing |
| 00:15:37> 00:15:41: | these units and providing the units at the affordability levels |
| 00:15:41> 00:15:44: | the city requires. So overall the feedback I think has |
| 00:15:44> 00:15:48: | been mixed. We know that there are things that need |
| 00:15:48> 00:15:50: | to be improved upon, but overall I think we are |
| 00:15:51> 00:15:54: | meeting you know, really the goal of creating new rental |
| 00:15:54> 00:15:55: | housing in Burnaby. |
| 00:15:56> 00:15:59: | Excellent. So I I'm going to take advantage of my |
| 00:15:59> 00:16:03: | role here as moderator and before passing this on to |
| 00:16:03> 00:16:07: | Ahmed and Andrew for their stakeholder inputs on on on |

| 00:16:07> 00:16:07: | that. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:16:08> 00:16:12: | In terms of the sort of political dynamics, in terms |
| 00:16:12> 00:16:15: | of voters, how is that, you know, navigated? Because |
| | certainly |
| 00:16:16> 00:16:19: | when you looked at the the Broadway corridor plan in |
| 00:16:19> 00:16:23: | Vancouver, there were a lot of interesting views that existing |
| 00:16:23> 00:16:26: | residents have had put in. Have you gotten feedback, you |
| 00:16:26> 00:16:30: | know, from renters who are afraid of displacement, from the |
| 00:16:30> 00:16:33: | people who own units in those areas about how they |
| 00:16:33> 00:16:34: | feel about this? |
| 00:16:36> 00:16:40: | Yeah, I think because the tenant assistance policy came really |
| 00:16:40> 00:16:43: | like they were pretty much done at the same time |
| 00:16:43> 00:16:46: | there was assurance to to tenants you know that really |
| 00:16:47> 00:16:50: | they would be protected through this process. You know, they |
| 00:16:50> 00:16:53: | knew that they would be getting rent top ups, they |
| 00:16:53> 00:16:57: | would be getting a replacement unit which they could move |
| 00:16:57> 00:16:59: | back in if they choose to do so at rent |
| 00:17:00> 00:17:02: | similar to what they paid before. So in terms of |
| 00:17:03> 00:17:06: | I think that was how Council really kind of heard |
| 00:17:06> 00:17:06: | what the. |
| 00:17:06> 00:17:09: | You know, the renters in these areas were saying and |
| 00:17:09> 00:17:12: | they directed staff to do these policies to do that. |
| 00:17:12> 00:17:15: | I think in terms of the larger community, I think |
| 00:17:15> 00:17:17: | we're starting to hear some feedback in terms of you |
| 00:17:18> 00:17:20: | know how high is too high in Burnaby. You know, |
| 00:17:20> 00:17:23: | we do recognize what the density that there are some |
| 00:17:23> 00:17:26: | urban design and livability considerations that we have to take |
| 00:17:26> 00:17:29: | into account. So I think that's kind of the this |
| 00:17:29> 00:17:32: | time around as part of the policy review is you |
| 00:17:32> 00:17:34: | know, we we kind of know that we need density |
| 00:17:34> 00:17:36: | to make these projects happen. |
| 00:17:37> 00:17:39: | But whether or not there could be ways of sort |
| 00:17:39> 00:17:42: | of improving you know just the urban form whether you |
| 00:17:42> 00:17:45: | know because we're in some cases on these some of |
| 00:17:45> 00:17:48: | these larger sites what we get is a really tall |
| 00:17:48> 00:17:51: | strata building. But in terms of the non market housing |
| 00:17:51> 00:17:55: | it could actually be accommodated in a lower wood frame |
| 00:17:55> 00:17:58: | building and we know that you know there is economics |
| 00:17:58> 00:18:01: | to doing that. However in terms of you know sort |
| 00:18:01> 00:18:04: | of the urban form that we're creating having a six |
| | |

| 00:18:04> 00:18:06: | story next to A50 story building you know it's a |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:18:06> 00:18:07: | pretty large. |
| 00:18:07> 00:18:10: | Gaps. So we're trying to figure out if there are |
| 00:18:10> 00:18:13: | ways to improve that in order to, you know, really |
| 00:18:13> 00:18:16: | create a, you know, a fully, you know, sort of |
| 00:18:16> 00:18:20: | sustainable and you know complete community within our our town |
| 00:18:20> 00:18:21: | centers and beyond. |
| 00:18:22> 00:18:25: | Looks fantastic. So I'm going to want to encourage Ahmed |
| 00:18:25> 00:18:28: | and Andrew to jump in if they want to on |
| 00:18:28> 00:18:31: | anything that Wendy has to say. I mean ideally what |
| 00:18:31> 00:18:35: | we'd have here is a panel discussion rather than Q&A. |
| 00:18:35> 00:18:38: | I don't think that question, the first question sort of |
| 00:18:38> 00:18:42: | lends itself as much to discussion, but hopefully as we |
| 00:18:42> 00:18:45: | move forward. So Ahmed, the next question is for you |
| 00:18:45> 00:18:48: | and hopefully this, you know we can hear from Wendy |
| 00:18:48> 00:18:51: | and from Andrew and and and have that dialogue, how |
| 00:18:51> 00:18:52: | do you feel? |
| 00:18:52> 00:18:56: | But this type of policy is affecting the nonprofit sector |
| 00:18:56> 00:19:00: | in terms of the ability to deliver and operate affordable |
| 00:19:00> 00:19:03: | housing. These, you know, your ability to work in these |
| 00:19:03> 00:19:07: | properties that are mixed between strata and renter and how |
| 00:19:07> 00:19:11: | those dynamics work out, say compared to to buildings that |
| 00:19:11> 00:19:13: | are 100% rental or 100% affordable. |
| 00:19:15> 00:19:19: | Yeah. So at high level, any policy or initiative that |
| 00:19:19> 00:19:23: | would increase the number of affordable housings we are in |
| 00:19:23> 00:19:25: | favor of. And at a high level and you know, |
| 00:19:26> 00:19:29: | the more we can build the better and you know, |
| 00:19:29> 00:19:32: | we can tackle this housing crisis and the better we |
| 00:19:32> 00:19:35: | as a sector can, you know, can deliver on our |
| 00:19:35> 00:19:36: | mandate. |
| 00:19:38> 00:19:39: | There is a. |
| 00:19:40> 00:19:44: | An advantage of having the nonprofit work with the developer |
| 00:19:44> 00:19:47: | directly early on in the development to basically form a |
| 00:19:47> 00:19:50: | partnership and, and I think this is the intention of |
| 00:19:50> 00:19:53: | of Burnaby, is to leave it to the developer and |
| 00:19:53> 00:19:56: | the nonprofit to work together ahead of time. |
| 00:19:56> 00:20:00: | And meet each other's objectives and come up with the |
| 00:20:00> 00:20:04: | with the with the building that would work for both |
| 00:20:04> 00:20:08: | the the market rental the the condo side and the |
| 00:20:08> 00:20:12: | and and affordable housing and I think that that's great |
| 00:20:12> 00:20:16: | that's a really good approach there is you know. |
| | |

| 00:20:17> 00:20:18: | For for us. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:20:19> 00:20:22: | The most important part for for for a nonprofit is |
| 00:20:22> 00:20:27: | the financial sustainability and operational efficiency of that building after |
| 00:20:27> 00:20:30: | all. So whether it is an airspace parcel within a |
| 00:20:30> 00:20:33: | tower or a standalone building and not a huge difference |
| 00:20:33> 00:20:37: | between the two, there is a little bit more coordination |
| 00:20:37> 00:20:39: | that has happened if it is one big tower, but |
| 00:20:39> 00:20:43: | it's not, it's not so difficult that it would hinder |
| 00:20:43> 00:20:46: | because we understand that not every developer has a a |
| 00:20:46> 00:20:49: | large piece of land where they can have a standalone. |
| 00:20:49> 00:20:52: | Building and we would rather have an air space parcel |
| 00:20:52> 00:20:55: | within a tower than having nothing at all. So we |
| 00:20:55> 00:20:58: | understand the limitations of developers and we are, you know, |
| 00:20:58> 00:21:01: | more than happy to work with them within the building. |
| 00:21:01> 00:21:04: | With that said, if if we have a choice between |
| 00:21:04> 00:21:07: | scattered units within the tower or a block of units |
| 00:21:07> 00:21:10: | as an airspace parcel, you would rather have a block |
| 00:21:10> 00:21:13: | of units because what I mentioned about the operational efficiency |
| 00:21:14> 00:21:16: | to have all units beside each other and we have |
| 00:21:16> 00:21:19: | control over what happens in the corridors of that airspace. |
| 00:21:20> 00:21:23: | Parcel and most of the neighbors are under our management |
| 00:21:23> 00:21:27: | so we can deal with issues that arise much, much |
| 00:21:27> 00:21:27: | more. |
| 00:21:27> 00:21:27: | Efficient. |
| 00:21:28> 00:21:30: | Hope that answers the question. |
| 00:21:30> 00:21:34: | Next point, any comments on that from Andrew or Wendy? |
| 00:21:36> 00:21:37: | Yeah, I think the. |
| 00:21:38> 00:21:41: | The mechanics of how you combine rental or a market |
| 00:21:41> 00:21:44: | component, whether it's condo or rental and a non market |
| 00:21:45> 00:21:49: | component I think are often overlooked because they're quite complex. |
| 00:21:49> 00:21:51: | So when you have a large site like an Olympia |
| 00:21:52> 00:21:54: | tile size site which is one of the sites kind |
| 00:21:54> 00:21:56: | of in play in Burnaby. |
| 00:21:56> 00:21:59: | You build a bunch of condo towers, you portion off |
| 00:21:59> 00:22:01: | a piece of it for the affordable rental, the non |
| 00:22:01> 00:22:04: | market rental and it gets subdivided off and it's a |
| 00:22:04> 00:22:06: | six story wood frame and away you go. And so |
| 00:22:06> 00:22:10: | that's pretty straightforward. But like as you said Ahmed and |
| 00:22:10> 00:22:12: | as you alluded to Wendy on some of the smaller |

| 00:22:12> 00:22:15: | sites that can be a challenge. I think affordability you |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:22:15> 00:22:18: | know anytime you have a mixed-use whether it's a cafe |
| 00:22:18> 00:22:20: | or or or or a rental component in otherwise strata |
| 00:22:20> 00:22:23: | building, there is going to be a long term insurance |
| 00:22:23> 00:22:26: | hit to that. It's going to impact operating expenses for |
| 00:22:26> 00:22:27: | both the rental operator. |
| 00:22:28> 00:22:30: | As well as the strata. So it's one of those |
| 00:22:30> 00:22:33: | things where, OK, we've combined them into one building, so |
| 00:22:33> 00:22:36: | we feel better about it urban design, but we've actually |
| 00:22:37> 00:22:40: | made 100% of those units less affordable to everybody because |
| 00:22:40> 00:22:43: | they're taking a bigger insurance hit, right. So some of |
| 00:22:43> 00:22:46: | these design objectives really need to be verified with on |
| 00:22:46> 00:22:49: | the ground realities of operating a building, be it a |
| 00:22:49> 00:22:52: | strata budget or or or a rental rental operator. And |
| 00:22:52> 00:22:55: | so, you know, like the 50 story versus 6 story |
| 00:22:55> 00:22:58: | thing, is that really what we should be focusing on? |
| 00:22:58> 00:23:00: | I, I, I you know I think the good news |
| 00:23:00> 00:23:03: | is there is hey we've got affordable housing built. It's |
| 00:23:03> 00:23:06: | not that well it should have been integrated in the. |
| 00:23:07> 00:23:10: | I think that's kind of nipping at the edges of |
| 00:23:10> 00:23:12: | what is otherwise a success story, right? So I do |
| 00:23:12> 00:23:16: | definitely feel, I think for the nonprofit operators where you, |
| 00:23:16> 00:23:18: | where you have a situation where you're. |
| 00:23:19> 00:23:22: | Our expenses are growing every month, every year, but your |
| 00:23:22> 00:23:25: | revenues are strictly capped, you know 100% from our building |
| 00:23:25> 00:23:28: | that can very quickly turn into, it doesn't take many |
| 00:23:28> 00:23:32: | changes in cap rates, interest rates, loan renewals, maintenance costs, |
| 00:23:32> 00:23:36: | utilities, insurance. Pretty soon you're underwater and you're having, you're |
| 00:23:36> 00:23:39: | dipping below your your your covenants to your lenders and |
| 00:23:39> 00:23:41: | then what do you do you got to make some |
| 00:23:41> 00:23:44: | phone calls and figure it out the buildings there people |
| 00:23:44> 00:23:45: | are in it. |
| 00:23:46> 00:23:50: | So someone's taking a bath. Right on on a mix. |
| 00:23:51> 00:23:54: | Circumstance where you have you know let's say 80% market |
| 00:23:54> 00:23:55: | whether it's. |
| 00:23:56> 00:23:58: | Well 80% market rental and 20% non market at least |
| 00:23:59> 00:24:02: | you could maybe hope the market rents help defray the |
| 00:24:02> 00:24:04: | losses on, on the on the on the growth and |

| 00:24:04> 00:24:08: | operating expense. But if you don't have that market component |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:24:08> 00:24:11: | to kind of cross your fingers and hope it works |
| 00:24:11> 00:24:13: | out, I think it's it can be, it can get |
| 00:24:13> 00:24:17: | serious really quickly on balancing operating expenses and revenue. |
| 00:24:19> 00:24:19: | Excellent. |
| 00:24:20> 00:24:22: | What do you comment or we'll go on to the |
| 00:24:22> 00:24:22: | next question. |
| 00:24:25> 00:24:28: | I I just want to say this is feedback that |
| 00:24:28> 00:24:31: | we we've definitely heard. So we'll we'll definitely take it |
| 00:24:31> 00:24:34: | into account as we work on the policy review. |
| 00:24:35> 00:24:38: | It is. It is challenging and I think one of |
| 00:24:38> 00:24:40: | the things in terms of this policy review that we |
| 00:24:40> 00:24:43: | won't be able to answer because it's only been two |
| 00:24:43> 00:24:46: | years. It's kind of what Andrew alluded to like us, |
| 00:24:46> 00:24:49: | we see cost going up. It's just whether or not |
| 00:24:49> 00:24:53: | these projects have been approved, whether or not they'll actually |
| 00:24:53> 00:24:54: | move forward. So we're. |
| 00:24:54> 00:24:56: | Definitely difference between instream and built right? |
| 00:24:56> 00:25:00: | Yeah. So we're definitely keeping an eye on that, again |
| 00:25:00> 00:25:04: | because our policies are our tenant assistance policy and zoning |
| 00:25:05> 00:25:05: | policy. |
| 00:25:05> 00:25:08: | They're so linked together that has major impacts on you |
| 00:25:08> 00:25:11: | know tenants who are kind of you know living an |
| 00:25:11> 00:25:14: | interim housing as we call it before they can move |
| 00:25:14> 00:25:16: | in. But as well as as well as you know |
| 00:25:16> 00:25:19: | the rezoning applicants who have come forward and are paying |
| 00:25:19> 00:25:22: | the rent top ups that's a that's a large expense. |
| 00:25:22> 00:25:24: | So we recognize that you know our policies have you |
| 00:25:25> 00:25:27: | know sort of a real impact and as we're looking |
| 00:25:27> 00:25:30: | at interest rates go up in construction costs go up, |
| 00:25:30> 00:25:32: | it does make us a bit nervous as to what |
| 00:25:32> 00:25:35: | is going to happen with these buildings that are in |
| 00:25:35> 00:25:35: | stream. |
| 00:25:36> 00:25:38: | And whether or not they will actually get built quickly. |
| 00:25:39> 00:25:41: | I think the policy review is important. I think these |
| 00:25:41> 00:25:43: | things, you know, in my 20s I thought I knew |
| 00:25:43> 00:25:46: | everything. And now I know the more I know every |
| 00:25:46> 00:25:48: | day, the the more I know I know nothing, right? |

| 00:25:48> 00:25:50: | And so I kind of go through as I work |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:25:50> 00:25:52: | on projects, like every day I'm more and more humble. |
| 00:25:52> 00:25:55: | But you know, you you learn very quickly that things |
| 00:25:55> 00:25:58: | take unanticipated turns and flexibility is very important. Which isn't |
| 00:25:58> 00:26:01: | to say you don't have principles or goals, but you |
| 00:26:01> 00:26:03: | need to recognize when when things are not kind of |
| 00:26:03> 00:26:06: | slotting into the boxes you thought they were going to, |
| 00:26:06> 00:26:08: | right. And so I think, you know, it's obvious to |
| 00:26:08> 00:26:09: | me as a as a. |
| 00:26:09> 00:26:12: | As a as an individual you know that the whole |
| 00:26:12> 00:26:14: | process of of change in Metro channel is quite traumatic |
| 00:26:14> 00:26:17: | for a lot of people right and and it's just |
| 00:26:17> 00:26:19: | in hindsight and even at the time I mean it |
| 00:26:19> 00:26:21: | was just way too much change in in in rental |
| 00:26:21> 00:26:24: | stock that was affordable because it was existing. It's like |
| 00:26:24> 00:26:27: | an old used car it's it's affordable right. And |
| 00:26:27> 00:26:30: | you know now those units were not in great shape |
| 00:26:30> 00:26:32: | so so that would have had been dealt with eventually |
| 00:26:32> 00:26:35: | that was not that was some of that housing was |
| 00:26:35> 00:26:38: | really barely only technically habitable right. But it was still |
| 00:26:38> 00:26:39: | housing people. |
| 00:26:40> 00:26:42: | And so, you know, I think the policy's reaction to |
| 00:26:43> 00:26:46: | that is kind of natural and understandable. And to my |
| 00:26:46> 00:26:48: | mind, it has two purposes. One would be to help |
| 00:26:48> 00:26:51: | put an immediate stop to some of those issues that |
| 00:26:51> 00:26:55: | were accelerating pretty rapidly in terms of just loss of |
| 00:26:55> 00:26:55: | stock. |
| 00:26:56> 00:26:58: | And then secondly, I think. |
| 00:26:59> 00:27:01: | Which as a force would be to kind of reduce |
| 00:27:01> 00:27:04: | rate of change, right, and to make it more difficult. |
| 00:27:04> 00:27:07: | But then I think longer term, the the rest of |
| 00:27:07> 00:27:10: | the policy needs to really facilitate construction of new rental |
| 00:27:10> 00:27:13: | housing and new long-term. Otherwise we're never going to get |
| 00:27:14> 00:27:16: | out of that shortage, right. If we make it so |
| 00:27:16> 00:27:19: | hard to build anything that you can't build anything, well, |
| 00:27:19> 00:27:21: | the policy may actually be a net. |
| 00:27:22> 00:27:24: | Negative you know in in, in in in terms of |
| 00:27:24> 00:27:27: | you know doing nothing might have delivered more housing in |
| 00:27:27> 00:27:29: | a sense. Right. So and and that's that's a difficult |
| 00:27:30> 00:27:32: | balance to make and you know I certainly applaud the |

| 00:27:32> 00:27:34: | city's attempts to to get it right and I think |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:27:35> 00:27:37: | you know they're close but the flexibility and the review |
| 00:27:37> 00:27:40: | and and I think just an open mindedness. You know |
| 00:27:40> 00:27:43: | if someone's coming in the door whether they're a nonprofit |
| 00:27:43> 00:27:45: | or or a market developer saying hey here's a here's |
| 00:27:46> 00:27:49: | a unique circumstance where the policy doesn't really work. I |
| 00:27:49> 00:27:51: | don't think the way really any of us thought it |
| 00:27:51> 00:27:51: | would. |
| 00:27:52> 00:27:55: | Um, I think it's important that those conversations, those sites, |
| 00:27:55> 00:27:58: | be able to move forward and get tested at Council. |
| 00:27:58> 00:28:01: | And then ultimately it's a political decision that it is |
| 00:28:01> 00:28:03: | what it is. But, you know, like I said, every |
| 00:28:03> 00:28:05: | day I wake up, realize that I know less and |
| 00:28:05> 00:28:08: | less. And so there's a I think sometimes as planners |
| 00:28:08> 00:28:11: | we think we're too clever and it's just not how |
| 00:28:11> 00:28:12: | the world works. |
| 00:28:13> 00:28:16: | Great thing is you get to my age. You actually |
| 00:28:16> 00:28:19: | know nothing. So you know it's a process. So that |
| 00:28:19> 00:28:23: | your reward for that wonderful observation is you get the |
| 00:28:23> 00:28:26: | next question. And you know and I think this is |
| 00:28:26> 00:28:29: | really sort of focusing a little bit on what you |
| 00:28:29> 00:28:32: | talked about, which is how does it play out. |
| 00:28:33> 00:28:38: | For market developers, actual ability to deliver properties. So when |
| 00:28:38> 00:28:42: | you have this type of mandated inclusionary policy on on |
| 00:28:42> 00:28:47: | rental where there's a mandatory inclusion of in whatever framework |
| 00:28:47> 00:28:51: | it has for existing resident existing tenants or for other |
| 00:28:51> 00:28:56: | types of affordable units, how does that actually interact and |
| 00:28:56> 00:29:00: | play out and affect your ability to deliver units doesn't |
| 00:29:00> 00:29:03: | affect what type of buildings ones. |
| 00:29:03> 00:29:06: | Looking for, I realize it's Starlight is mainly in in |
| 00:29:06> 00:29:08: | the in the 100% rental space, but maybe if you |
| 00:29:08> 00:29:11: | could also comment on on how this affects the rental |
| 00:29:11> 00:29:14: | versus STRATA balance in terms of of the development pipeline |
| 00:29:14> 00:29:15: | that'd be awesome. |
| 00:29:16> 00:29:18: | Yeah, I can speak to that. So I mean, jumping |
| 00:29:18> 00:29:22: | way backwards, I mean there's this whole development should pay |
| 00:29:22> 00:29:25: | for growth kind of mindset, right, the cost of growth. |
| 00:29:25> 00:29:27: | And so that's what you see in the DC program, |

| 00:29:27> 00:29:29: | right. Hey, we need to extend a new trunk main. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:29:30> 00:29:33: | It costs \$4 million. We notionally predict how much |
| | development |
| 00:29:33> 00:29:35: | will occur in that sector. We divide it by the |
| 00:29:35> 00:29:38: | number of units. It's 4 grand a unit, whatever. And |
| 00:29:38> 00:29:40: | I think but in the industry, we all know that's |
| 00:29:41> 00:29:43: | not really how it works. Development pays for cost of |
| 00:29:43> 00:29:46: | growth and then some, right? That's CAC amenity. |
| 00:29:46> 00:29:50: | Charges development has been subsidizing existing residents for decades in |
| 00:29:50> 00:29:53: | terms of goodies, rec centers, all sorts of things that |
| 00:29:53> 00:29:57: | are underfunded services that existing residents don't pay for. And |
| 00:29:57> 00:30:00: | it's a tax on newcomers. It's a tax on new |
| 00:30:00> 00:30:03: | development. It increases the cost of new housing. |
| 00:30:03> 00:30:05: | Is that right? Is it wrong? I don't know. It's |
| 00:30:05> 00:30:09: | a philosophical kind of argument more than anything. Similarly with |
| 00:30:09> 00:30:11: | when you look at inclusionary housing. |
| 00:30:11> 00:30:14: | Is that something that's purely the cost of new development |
| 00:30:14> 00:30:17: | because it increases the cost of housing. If someone, you |
| 00:30:17> 00:30:19: | know that if 20% of your units have to be |
| 00:30:19> 00:30:21: | sold at a loss, 8080% of the other units go |
| 00:30:21> 00:30:23: | up in price. It's just the way it is. You |
| 00:30:23> 00:30:26: | squeeze the balloon, it's got to go somewhere. So you |
| 00:30:26> 00:30:29: | know, the extent to which that's something that should be |
| 00:30:29> 00:30:32: | entirely borne by new development, I think is a question |
| 00:30:32> 00:30:35: | that we've not really talked about enough. We've just kind |
| 00:30:35> 00:30:37: | of assumed the answer. I'd like to see, as we |
| 00:30:37> 00:30:40: | did decades ago, more involvement from the provincial and federal |
| 00:30:40> 00:30:41: | governments. |
| 00:30:42> 00:30:45: | We've seen some of that in terms of preferential financing. |
| 00:30:45> 00:30:48: | So the feds have stepped up with programs like MSI |
| 00:30:48> 00:30:51: | select on the rental side. I'm not as knowledgeable in |
| 00:30:51> 00:30:54: | strata side about how they hide that off the piece |
| 00:30:54> 00:30:57: | that is non condo that becomes rental. What they don't |
| 00:30:57> 00:31:00: | do is there's there's really no capital injections. So even |
| 00:31:00> 00:31:04: | after the preferential financing is usually an equity gap that |
| 00:31:04> 00:31:07: | needs to be filled by somebody typically the developer and |
| 00:31:07> 00:31:10: | that increases again the cost of the other house in |
| 00:31:10> 00:31:11: | their building. |
| 00:31:12> 00:31:12: | Umm. |

| 00:31:13> 00:31:17: | But I think flexibility would be the key. So when |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:31:17> 00:31:20: | you when you've got a large site, an old car |
| 00:31:20> 00:31:24: | dealership, Dairyland plant, whatever you you can build your condo |
| 00:31:25> 00:31:28: | towers, you can draw a box around a piece of |
| 00:31:28> 00:31:31: | dirt for A6 story, wood frame rental, pivot off. It's |
| 00:31:32> 00:31:35: | neat and tidy and it costs money, but it all |
| 00:31:35> 00:31:36: | works out often. |
| 00:31:37> 00:31:39: | And then I think when you get into a pure |
| 00:31:40> 00:31:42: | rental model like ours, you know, we just don't have |
| 00:31:42> 00:31:45: | the same returns as a condo developer. So if if |
| 00:31:45> 00:31:48: | a 20% metric was determined through economic analysis to work |
| 00:31:48> 00:31:51: | for the condo model it, it doesn't really work for |
| 00:31:51> 00:31:54: | a pure rental model. It's quite, quite costly. And especially |
| 00:31:54> 00:31:56: | where we have, you know, we have a large infill |
| 00:31:57> 00:31:59: | project in Burnaby where we're also on the hook for |
| 00:31:59> 00:32:02: | significant building upgrades like I think we need to spend |
| 00:32:02> 00:32:04: | about 40 million bucks before we. |
| 00:32:05> 00:32:07: | You don't do a single thing on any new unit |
| 00:32:07> 00:32:11: | in terms of enabling works, utilities and seismic upgrades and |
| 00:32:11> 00:32:14: | all manner of, you know, code, standpipes, all sorts of |
| 00:32:14> 00:32:15: | good stuff. |
| 00:32:16> 00:32:19: | Umm, so those are additional costs we have to absorb |
| 00:32:19> 00:32:21: | as well and still do the 20% that the condo |
| 00:32:22> 00:32:25: | developer have to. So that's where depending on your different |
| 00:32:25> 00:32:29: | revenue model things can get unrealistic and you know the |
| 00:32:29> 00:32:32: | the, the policy was not modeled for that, it was |
| 00:32:32> 00:32:34: | modeled for you know you have a large site. |
| 00:32:35> 00:32:38: | You're building condos and to that extent it kind of |
| 00:32:38> 00:32:41: | works. I think there's implementation details which Wendy, I know |
| 00:32:41> 00:32:45: | you've talked about and you guys are living or breathing |
| 00:32:45> 00:32:47: | every day about how you get, you know your your |
| 00:32:47> 00:32:50: | matchmaking service between a nonprofit operators and so on. |
| 00:32:52> 00:32:54: | But you know there are challenges and I think as |
| 00:32:54> 00:32:58: | interest rates have risen and have construction costs have grown, |
| 00:32:58> 00:33:01: | those challenges have are biting even harder. Before it was |
| 00:33:01> 00:33:02: | just kind of procedural. |
| 00:33:03> 00:33:05: | Challenges and I think now we have about you know |
| 00:33:05> 00:33:06: | how do we get through this maze and now I |

| 00:33:07> 00:33:09: | think it's turning into real execution challenges and. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:33:10> 00:33:13: | I I hope the most of those units in stream |
| 00:33:13> 00:33:14: | execute and. |
| 00:33:15> 00:33:18: | Do you extent people have already invested time and money |
| 00:33:18> 00:33:20: | and they may try and see it through but there |
| 00:33:20> 00:33:22: | might be some where at the end of the day |
| 00:33:22> 00:33:25: | if conditions have changed and people cannot get their financing |
| 00:33:25> 00:33:26: | doesn't get built so. |
| 00:33:29> 00:33:32: | Wendy, maybe have you been sort of hearing different things |
| 00:33:32> 00:33:36: | from different parts of the development community on this sort |
| 00:33:36> 00:33:36: | of? |
| 00:33:37> 00:33:40: | Strata versus pure rental, you know, you talked a little |
| 00:33:40> 00:33:43: | bit about rental zoning and you also talked a little |
| 00:33:43> 00:33:45: | bit about the challenges with. |
| 00:33:47> 00:33:50: | Making the rental only work and why it seems to |
| 00:33:50> 00:33:51: | be mixed with STRATA? |
| 00:33:52> 00:33:54: | Yeah, we do know the the, you know, the pure |
| 00:33:54> 00:33:58: | rental model doesn't quite work with our density offset and |
| 00:33:58> 00:34:01: | that is one of the things that we are reviewing |
| 00:34:01> 00:34:03: | as part of our, of our part of our policy |
| 00:34:03> 00:34:06: | review. You know, we haven't really seen a lot of |
| 00:34:06> 00:34:09: | these projects come forward and I think when we were |
| 00:34:10> 00:34:13: | initially devising the policy and the density offset, it really |
| 00:34:13> 00:34:16: | was you know sort of thinking of the strata model |
| 00:34:16> 00:34:20: | and then they would have to do inclusionary rental. However |
| 00:34:20> 00:34:23: | when it's 100% market rental and they have to do. |
| 00:34:23> 00:34:27: | Inclusionary rental, we recognize that the economics of that are |
| 00:34:27> 00:34:28: | are really different. |
| 00:34:29> 00:34:32: | I should say that you know Burnaby has you know |
| 00:34:32> 00:34:36: | sort of recognized the the differences between STRATA and rental |
| 00:34:36> 00:34:39: | and you know like recently you know before we would |
| 00:34:39> 00:34:42: | we we would get a density bonus payment that was |
| 00:34:42> 00:34:46: | I guess really at strata values whether it was strata |
| 00:34:46> 00:34:49: | or rental and you know we have recently changed that |
| 00:34:49> 00:34:52: | to account that you know really if it's a rental |
| 00:34:52> 00:34:56: | project we the density bonus payment can't be the same |
| 00:34:56> 00:34:59: | as a strata project it really it it just. |
| 00:34:59> 00:35:01: | Doesn't work that way. So we have you know we |
| 00:35:02> 00:35:05: | we are changing our ways and trying to make these |

| 00:35:05> 00:35:08: | projects more feasible. But as part of this policy review |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:35:08> 00:35:12: | we will be kind of looking into the financial analysis |
| 00:35:12> 00:35:15: | of like what type of density offset is you know |
| 00:35:15> 00:35:19: | potentially needed to make inclusionary requirements work and 100% market |
| 00:35:19> 00:35:23: | rental projects. And then we'll take it back to council |
| 00:35:23> 00:35:26: | and see whether or not that's something that you know |
| 00:35:26> 00:35:28: | that they that they want to support. |
| 00:35:30> 00:35:32: | And I think the backwards of just big picture but |
| 00:35:32> 00:35:35: | the issue of housing is you know going back to |
| 00:35:35> 00:35:38: | the loss of rental old admittedly old rental rental around |
| 00:35:38> 00:35:41: | Metrotown it's it's you know we and this isn't just |
| 00:35:41> 00:35:43: | a Burnaby issue wherever we've you know as a region |
| 00:35:43> 00:35:46: | lost rental housing we kind of get surprised but so |
| 00:35:46> 00:35:49: | much of other region you know we only allow apartments |
| 00:35:49> 00:35:53: | where apartments already exist so it's almost a foregone conclusion |
| 00:35:53> 00:35:55: | we were going to lose apartments and none of us |
| 00:35:55> 00:35:58: | should be shocked about it and so you know I |
| 00:35:58> 00:36:00: | don't want to lose sight of the importance of. |
| 00:36:00> 00:36:03: | Of adjusting the land use classifications in the OCP. Because |
| 00:36:03> 00:36:06: | if this is if there's nowhere to build apartments except |
| 00:36:06> 00:36:10: | where apartments already exist, guess what? The bulldozers are coming, |
| 00:36:10> 00:36:12: | right? So and and it can't just be. |
| 00:36:13> 00:36:15: | You know, in a, in a healthy housing market where |
| 00:36:15> 00:36:18: | we had enough units, you could have this, you know, |
| 00:36:18> 00:36:20: | like a like a life cycle evolution of a forest |
| 00:36:20> 00:36:22: | is succession of species goes through. You can have the |
| 00:36:22> 00:36:25: | oldest apartments fall off and the new ones come forward. |
| 00:36:25> 00:36:27: | But we have such a shortage, we can't do that. |
| 00:36:27> 00:36:30: | We really need to go into the single family neighborhoods |
| 00:36:30> 00:36:32: | for new land, for apartments. |
| 00:36:32> 00:36:35: | And I will thank Andrew for the plug because Burnaby, |
| 00:36:35> 00:36:38: | yeah, I should say rent 2 zoning policies really only |
| 00:36:38> 00:36:40: | one aspect of the work that we're doing on rental. |
| 00:36:40> 00:36:43: | We have our housing choices program which is underway. |
| 00:36:43> 00:36:46: | Which is, you know, looking at laneway housing, I know |
| 00:36:46> 00:36:49: | barnaby's a little bit late to the party on that, |
| 00:36:49> 00:36:52: | but rolling it into laneway housing, more of the other |
| 00:36:52> 00:36:55: | sort of missing middle housing forms as well as we |
| 00:36:55> 00:36:58: | are updating our official community plan. So that is all |
| 00:36:58> 00:37:02: | under consideration. So we're we're trying to make up for |

| 00:37:02> 00:37:04: | you know, the, I guess, yeah, we were really late |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| 00:37:04> 00:37:07: | to some of the things. So we're trying to make |
| 00:37:07> 00:37:10: | up time by doing sort of all this work now. |
| 00:37:10> 00:37:12: | So we will be coming out to engage all of |
| 00:37:12> 00:37:13: | you shortly. |
| 00:37:13> 00:37:16: | City of Burnaby is coming for you and I'm going |
| 00:37:16> 00:37:19: | to take this topic and and bring it back to |
| 00:37:19> 00:37:21: | you a little bit. And I know you mentioned a |
| 00:37:21> 00:37:25: | little bit the challenges with different types of buildings. Is |
| 00:37:25> 00:37:29: | there a difference between being the manager of affordable units |
| 00:37:29> 00:37:32: | in a market rental building versus in a strata building? |
| 00:37:32> 00:37:35: | Like does that make a difference at your end whether |
| 00:37:35> 00:37:38: | or not you're dealing with renters or strata where where |
| 00:37:39> 00:37:41: | you're still in a in a mixed market, non market |
| 00:37:41> 00:37:42: | building? |
| 00:37:43> 00:37:46: | It, it does in a way that when when you're |
| 00:37:46> 00:37:49: | dealing with a strata there is the the strata bylaws |
| 00:37:49> 00:37:51: | which which in in a way it gives us an |
| 00:37:51> 00:37:52: | ability to actually. |
| 00:37:54> 00:37:54: | Problem. |
| 00:37:55> 00:37:56: | Come up or or. |
| 00:37:56> 00:37:59: | Work with us, try that to come up with bylaws, |
| 00:37:59> 00:38:02: | rules and regulations for our renters to follow and it's |
| 00:38:02> 00:38:05: | really easy for us to say, well you are in |
| 00:38:05> 00:38:07: | a in a strata building you have to abide by |
| 00:38:07> 00:38:11: | the strata bylaws as well as the Residential Tenancy act. |
| 00:38:11> 00:38:14: | In a in a purely rental building we only have |
| 00:38:14> 00:38:17: | the Residential Tenancy Act to go to go after. So |
| 00:38:17> 00:38:19: | l a simple example is you know you you drove |
| 00:38:20> 00:38:23: | off before the parking gate closes, Estrada would find you |
| 00:38:23> 00:38:24: | \$200.00 because. |
| 00:38:24> 00:38:28: | Somebody snuck in behind you where in a rental building, |
| 00:38:28> 00:38:30: | we don't have the ability to do that. So it's |
| 00:38:30> 00:38:33: | it actually makes it a little easier to manage in |
| 00:38:33> 00:38:36: | a strata building. But on the other side it makes |
| 00:38:36> 00:38:39: | it a little more complex where you have a strata |
| 00:38:39> 00:38:42: | Council you have to deal with. And a lot of |
| 00:38:42> 00:38:45: | times if you have a rental block or affordable rental |
| 00:38:45> 00:38:49: | block within the Strata Council, you don't actually get a |
| 00:38:49> 00:38:51: | vote. So you have to abide to to go with |
| 00:38:51> 00:38:54: | whatever strata decides if they want to. |
| | |

| 00:38:54> 00:38:58: | Paint the building gold and our special assessment out of |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:38:58> 00:39:01: | that is \$1,000,000. We don't have a say whether we |
| 00:39:01> 00:39:03: | we want it or not. So you know it. It's |
| 00:39:03> 00:39:06: | a double edged sword for sure. Going into a building |
| 00:39:06> 00:39:09: | we have to look at all of those things to |
| 00:39:09> 00:39:12: | make sure that how is that going to impact us. |
| 00:39:12> 00:39:14: | What's the, you know a lot of it. |
| 00:39:14> 00:39:18: | What is the operational you know impact and the financial |
| 00:39:18> 00:39:22: | impact that have that that the financial impact is a |
| 00:39:22> 00:39:26: | lot, a lot to deal with because we're the ones |
| 00:39:26> 00:39:29: | who are going to be operating those units for the |
| 00:39:29> 00:39:33: | next 60 years or so. And like Andrew alluded to, |
| 00:39:33> 00:39:36: | we have a cap on our revenue stream, our rent, |
| 00:39:36> 00:39:40: | our our fixed even if with tenant turnover we this |
| 00:39:40> 00:39:42: | is our as as much money as we can make |
| 00:39:43> 00:39:44: | with the increase in costs. |
| 00:39:45> 00:39:47: | And you know, shocks to the system that we can |
| 00:39:48> 00:39:52: | see, you know the financial sustainability is, is really, really |
| 00:39:52> 00:39:55: | key here. So having control over our expenses and not |
| 00:39:55> 00:39:58: | having a strata council that may decide to. |
| 00:39:59> 00:40:01: | Do a capital upgrades for whatever reason and you know |
| 00:40:02> 00:40:05: | give us a special assessment would actually be beneficial to |
| 00:40:05> 00:40:06: | us to have more control over that. |
| 00:40:07> 00:40:10: | Like here's here's a great direct example and this isn't |
| 00:40:10> 00:40:13: | a mixed strata rental, but you know, we've all heard |
| 00:40:13> 00:40:16: | headlines over the past two, two years maybe slightly more |
| 00:40:16> 00:40:20: | about a growth in strata insurance premiums and then the |
| 00:40:20> 00:40:23: | stratas then emptying the reserve funds to pay for it |
| 00:40:23> 00:40:26: | initially and then like adding \$200.00 a unit per month |
| 00:40:26> 00:40:29: | in fees or or whatever the number might be. |
| 00:40:29> 00:40:32: | OK. Well those insurance costs are also now occurring in |
| 00:40:32> 00:40:34: | the the cost growth is down also occurring in rental. |
| 00:40:34> 00:40:37: | There was a slight lag but it but it's happening |
| 00:40:37> 00:40:39: | now. So what does Ahmed do he can't increase his |
| 00:40:39> 00:40:40: | rents 200 a month at door? |
| 00:40:41> 00:40:43: | He now has a \$200.00 per month per unit hole |
| 00:40:44> 00:40:47: | in the budget. He's legally prohibited from raising rents. What |
| 00:40:47> 00:40:50: | does he cut? They're already pretty lean operations. And so, |
| 00:40:50> 00:40:52: | I mean, this is where, like, we really need to |
| 00:40:52> 00:40:55: | grapple with this because this is not going to work |
| 00:40:55> 00:40:56: | long term, you know? |
| 00:40:56> 00:41:01: | Even further Andrew, so with that insurance our our |
| | , |

| | deductible |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:41:01> 00:41:04: | for for our insurance when from \$5000 to 30,000. |
| 00:41:04> 00:41:07: | Dollars. That's a load inducible. Still. |
| 00:41:07> 00:41:10: | Relatively low compared to STRATA, but, but. |
| 00:41:11> 00:41:15: | So imagine. Imagine somebody left the bathtub running and they |
| 00:41:15> 00:41:18: | flooded their unit. And five units below that. It happens |
| 00:41:18> 00:41:21: | on a on an average, two or three times a |
| 00:41:21> 00:41:24: | year. It used to cost us 15,000. Now it costs |
| 00:41:24> 00:41:24: | us 90,000. |
| 00:41:25> 00:41:29: | And our our tenants are low income people if we |
| 00:41:29> 00:41:33: | give them that bill for \$30,000 for a deductible because |
| 00:41:33> 00:41:38: | they caused them the the flood they're bankrupt. So that |
| 00:41:38> 00:41:42: | ensure goes way beyond what what what just the the |
| 00:41:42> 00:41:44: | you know the regular cost is. |
| 00:41:45> 00:41:48: | Painting ourselves into a corner here where it feels good |
| 00:41:48> 00:41:52: | because we're providing affordable housing, but the the administrative balance |
| 00:41:52> 00:41:55: | between operating cost and revenue is going to break. |
| 00:41:55> 00:41:58: | And it's going to happen in about 10 years or |
| 00:41:58> 00:42:01: | sooner. And then are we creating slums for the next |
| 00:42:01> 00:42:01: | 30 years like? |
| 00:42:03> 00:42:06: | There needs to be something to you know, if if |
| 00:42:06> 00:42:09: | Ahmed has a building that's suddenly losing. |
| 00:42:10> 00:42:13: | In absolute terms, losing money every month. I mean just |
| 00:42:13> 00:42:15: | it just can't go on forever. The building is going |
| 00:42:15> 00:42:18: | to be liquidated and then, you know, the courts will |
| 00:42:18> 00:42:19: | have to sort it out, right? |
| 00:42:20> 00:42:23: | So I want to go back to one word that. |
| 00:42:24> 00:42:27: | Flexibility. So from a from a city perspective and a |
| 00:42:27> 00:42:31: | policy perspective, we want to see flexibility. So 1015 years |
| 00:42:31> 00:42:34: | down the road, if things don't pan out the way |
| 00:42:34> 00:42:37: | we imagine that they would and they probably won't, there |
| 00:42:37> 00:42:40: | is some flexibility to go back to the drawing board |
| 00:42:40> 00:42:43: | to adjust the housing agreement to adjust the revenue model |
| 00:42:43> 00:42:46: | or the OR the expense model, whatever the case may |
| 00:42:46> 00:42:49: | be. Nobody has a crystal ball. This agreement is supposed |
| 00:42:49> 00:42:51: | to be in perpetuity but. |
| 00:42:52> 00:42:54: | The reality is that we're going to need to visit |
| 00:42:54> 00:42:58: | that housing agreement that's registered on title sometime in the |
| 00:42:58> 00:43:01: | future that, you know, just to make it, you know, |
| 00:43:01> 00:43:03: | sustainable in the long term. |

| 00:43:04> 00:43:07: | So I'm going to be a little attentive to time |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:43:07> 00:43:10: | here and because I want to make sure we both |
| 00:43:10> 00:43:13: | Andrew and Ahmed get in a quick chance to make |
| 00:43:13> 00:43:16: | a plug to the City of Burnaby for best practices |
| 00:43:16> 00:43:20: | or changes that they should make. So Amanda, Andrew really |
| 00:43:20> 00:43:24: | quickly and succinctly, you know, one to three best practices |
| 00:43:24> 00:43:28: | or changes that you think would would be most helpful |
| 00:43:28> 00:43:31: | in aligning the objectives that the city has with the |
| 00:43:31> 00:43:33: | reality on the ground. |
| 00:43:35> 00:43:39: | Have 111 really important one and and I'm going to |
| 00:43:39> 00:43:42: | leave it at that, so that the income threshold and |
| 00:43:42> 00:43:46: | the, you know the qualifications for people going into those |
| 00:43:46> 00:43:48: | affordable housing units. |
| 00:43:50> 00:43:54: | Noting that the the gap between the high end of |
| 00:43:54> 00:43:57: | that you know 80% of the median rents to the |
| 00:43:57> 00:44:01: | full market rents is huge. If if 80% like 4-4 |
| 00:44:01> 00:44:05: | one bedroom is 80% of Mr is around \$1200 and |
| 00:44:05> 00:44:08: | a one bedroom in a in in the market rentals |
| 00:44:08> 00:44:12: | renting for 80 to \$2000. That gap is is very |
| 00:44:12> 00:44:17: | large for somebody that their income just surpassed the threshold |
| 00:44:17> 00:44:20: | and now they have to find the market. |
| 00:44:21> 00:44:25: | Unit, there are probably that that difference between the two |
| 00:44:25> 00:44:29: | will probably eat up any increase that they had in |
| 00:44:29> 00:44:34: | their in their income. So recognizing that there seems to |
| 00:44:34> 00:44:39: | be a slight more flexibility required before somebody has to |
| 00:44:39> 00:44:43: | vacate their you know affordable unit good to go into |
| 00:44:43> 00:44:47: | market or there seems to be a need for another |
| 00:44:47> 00:44:51: | type of affordable units between what is currently. |
| 00:44:51> 00:44:53: | Being proposed and the full market. |
| 00:44:54> 00:44:55: | OK, Andrew. |
| 00:44:57> 00:44:59: | Yeah, I mean that that's a great point. Like we've |
| 00:44:59> 00:45:02: | learned, you know that provincial and federal scales that benefit |
| 00:45:02> 00:45:03: | shouldn't end when you are in 1 penny past the |
| 00:45:03> 00:45:06: | point, right. It's just not a productive way to run. |
| 00:45:06> 00:45:08: | You know, it creates weird incentives around income and stuff. |
| 00:45:08> 00:45:09: | So that's I think a great one. |
| 00:45:11> 00:45:13: | I think I think staff are doing a great job. |
| 00:45:13> 00:45:16: | I know you're all trying very hard to to navigate |
| 00:45:16> 00:45:19: | the complex environment and and then the political decision |

| | making |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:45:19> 00:45:22: | is a whole other process that of course you can't |
| 00:45:22> 00:45:24: | control. So I think I think it's a difficult environment |
| 00:45:24> 00:45:27: | to operate in and I I think you're doing a |
| 00:45:27> 00:45:29: | good job given all of that I would just encourage |
| 00:45:29> 00:45:32: | you to keep considering and it sounds like this review |
| 00:45:32> 00:45:34: | will wrap up some of that the flexibility just think |
| 00:45:34> 00:45:37: | of of situations where because we can never think of |
| 00:45:37> 00:45:40: | anything or everything rather and and different sites always |
| | have |
| 00:45:40> 00:45:41: | unique. |
| 00:45:41> 00:45:44: | Instances which you know may be able to comply with |
| 00:45:44> 00:45:46: | the policy and spirit if not letter or or an |
| 00:45:46> 00:45:50: | alternate means of compliance and we'll still achieving you know |
| 00:45:50> 00:45:54: | overall objectives and thinking again at different scale. So you |
| 00:45:54> 00:45:57: | know how does the policy impact a large master plan |
| 00:45:57> 00:46:00: | site where you're landing 9 towers versus a single piece |
| 00:46:00> 00:46:03: | of dirt where you have one tower, right. I think |
| 00:46:03> 00:46:06: | I don't think it's the case that you can necessarily |
| 00:46:06> 00:46:09: | achieve the same quantum of percentage of whatever in both |
| 00:46:09> 00:46:11: | of those, they just have a different. |
| 00:46:12> 00:46:14: | Ability even though like I don't think it'll be a |
| 00:46:14> 00:46:17: | straight ratio necessarily and and the same thing with with |
| 00:46:17> 00:46:18: | tenure strata versus. |
| 00:46:20> 00:46:23: | OK, excellent. Thank you very much. When did you want |
| 00:46:23> 00:46:26: | to quickly respond to those before we try to fit |
| 00:46:26> 00:46:28: | in some questions from the floor? |
| 00:46:29> 00:46:32: | Just that I've I've noted them down and it's definitely |
| 00:46:32> 00:46:36: | something, yeah we'll definitely have to think through I think |
| 00:46:36> 00:46:39: | especially on the income testing bit as we're working on |
| 00:46:39> 00:46:42: | housing agreements it's it's really come to light that it |
| 00:46:43> 00:46:46: | it is challenging. So it's definitely something that we'll have |
| 00:46:46> 00:46:49: | to we'll you know we'll get feedback from nonprofits as |
| 00:46:49> 00:46:53: | we do that engagement but it's something that we'll have |
| 00:46:53> 00:46:56: | to think about further in terms of what we're really |
| 00:46:56> 00:46:59: | trying to achieve is it you know people who can |
| 00:46:59> 00:47:00: | remain in these. |
| 00:47:00> 00:47:02: | And it's, you know, for as long as they want |
| 00:47:02> 00:47:04: | no matter what their income is or is it really |
| 00:47:04> 00:47:07: | geared for people at a certain income. And if you're |
| 00:47:07> 00:47:10: | slightly over you may have to leave. So that's definitely |

| 00:47:10> 00:47:13: | something we'll have to, we'll have to think about in |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:47:13> 00:47:15: | terms of what our, you know, our core values are |
| 00:47:15> 00:47:17: | in terms of these policies. |
| 00:47:18> 00:47:21: | Right. I'm, I'm going to take some of the questions |
| 00:47:21> 00:47:24: | that have come in from the audience. So the first |
| 00:47:24> 00:47:27: | question I had to do with a bullet point in |
| 00:47:27> 00:47:30: | one of your slides, Wendy, which was on the minimum |
| 00:47:30> 00:47:33: | unit size and whether or not the city is looking |
| 00:47:33> 00:47:37: | to increase the minimum unit size or decrease the minimum |
| 00:47:37> 00:47:39: | unit size. And let me throw in on that whether |
| 00:47:39> 00:47:42: | or not you're thinking about a full sort of menu |
| 00:47:42> 00:47:46: | of percentages of given bedroom size, number of bedrooms and |
| 00:47:46> 00:47:48: | sizes and sort of the whole like. |
| 00:47:48> 00:47:52: | Planning out the the internal dynamics and architecture. |
| 00:47:54> 00:47:57: | I think what we've heard from from mayor and Council |
| 00:47:57> 00:48:00: | so far has been looking at increasing minimum unit sizes |
| 00:48:00> 00:48:03: | for rental. So as part of the Rent 2 zoning |
| 00:48:03> 00:48:06: | policy, the city did decrease the minimum unit size for |
| 00:48:06> 00:48:10: | rentals. You know, again sort of recognizing the economics of |
| 00:48:10> 00:48:14: | rental development wanting to make sure that they're feasible. However, |
| 00:48:14> 00:48:16: | I think as Council is trying to see some of |
| 00:48:16> 00:48:20: | these, you know these smaller units, you know 300 something |
| 00:48:20> 00:48:22: | square feet and you know in terms of studios I |
| 00:48:22> 00:48:26: | think they're starting to get concerned about livability. |
| 00:48:26> 00:48:29: | So they've directed staff to to take a look really |
| 00:48:29> 00:48:32: | at, you know, how do we compare it to other |
| 00:48:32> 00:48:34: | places in the region. |
| 00:48:35> 00:48:39: | Should we be looking at livability requirements and maybe not |
| 00:48:39> 00:48:42: | just a minimum unit size, but maybe there's certain criteria |
| 00:48:42> 00:48:45: | that should go in there, maybe there's design guidelines. So |
| 00:48:45> 00:48:48: | it is the whole gamut of of things that you |
| 00:48:48> 00:48:50: | know that we're going to be looking at. But also |
| 00:48:50> 00:48:53: | we are having some financial analysis done on that as |
| 00:48:53> 00:48:55: | well in terms of if we were to require a |
| 00:48:56> 00:48:59: | larger unit minimum unit size for rental, what does that |
| 00:48:59> 00:49:02: | actually mean in terms of the density offset that we're |
| 00:49:02> 00:49:04: | providing? Because when we did it, it was based on |
| 00:49:04> 00:49:07: | rental units being smaller than strategy. |

| 00:49:08> 00:49:10: | So we will be looking at at all of it |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:49:10> 00:49:12: | including potentially. |
| 00:49:12> 00:49:15: | You know, sort of how you would lay out one |
| 00:49:15> 00:49:18: | of these units and if there's any livability considerations that |
| 00:49:18> 00:49:21: | you know, could become best practices or guidelines in |
| | terms |
| 00:49:21> 00:49:24: | of, you know, sort of moving forward on what these |
| 00:49:24> 00:49:25: | units would look like? |
| 00:49:26> 00:49:29: | I didn't see the discussion at Council, but I saw |
| 00:49:29> 00:49:32: | some quotes and meeting. It sounded to me like the |
| 00:49:32> 00:49:36: | concern was really just with the studios, because I think |
| 00:49:36> 00:49:39: | the minimum for A2 bed is 700. Is that what |
| 00:49:39> 00:49:41: | it is? Which is fine. I mean, I was in |
| 00:49:41> 00:49:42: | A2 bed, 2 bath. |
| 00:49:42> 00:49:45: | Condo for of 640 square feet for years. And it |
| 00:49:45> 00:49:49: | was, you know, not palatial, but it was livable enough. |
| 00:49:49> 00:49:52: | So it seemed that the discomfort was really at the |
| 00:49:52> 00:49:53: | low end. |
| 00:49:53> 00:49:54: | And. |
| 00:49:54> 00:49:57: | I mean, I think there's kind of a just, it's |
| 00:49:57> 00:49:59: | tough. I mean are you going to have a family |
| 00:49:59> 00:50:01: | of four in there? I hope not, right? But it's, |
| 00:50:01> 00:50:04: | it's, it's a house, it's a home, it gets built, |
| 00:50:04> 00:50:04: | it gets lived in. |
| 00:50:07> 00:50:10: | You know you don't need to store your lawn mower |
| 00:50:10> 00:50:12: | in there, right? Like it's there's there might be a |
| 00:50:12> 00:50:15: | disconnect, maybe a generational disconnect between the needs of people |
| 00:50:15> 00:50:17: | who need the housing and and decision makers there a |
| 00:50:17> 00:50:18: | little bit. But it's. |
| 00:50:19> 00:50:21: | Yeah and I think it does come back like this |
| 00:50:21> 00:50:24: | come from feedback from tenants as well. You know they're |
| 00:50:24> 00:50:27: | they're used to some of the older rental buildings which |
| 00:50:27> 00:50:30: | were you know much larger units and even though you |
| 00:50:30> 00:50:31: | know they are going. |
| 00:50:31> 00:50:33: | To be a shock in a rental replacement scenario, I |
| 00:50:33> 00:50:34: | understand. |
| 00:50:34> 00:50:36: | Yeah. So I think that's part of where you know, |
| 00:50:36> 00:50:37: | this has come up as well as. |
| 00:50:37> 00:50:40: | Just I think the expectations of you know what they |
| 00:50:40> 00:50:43: | can expect from going from you know their previous unit |
| 00:50:43> 00:50:46: | to their new unit and just the size differential. So |
| | |

| 00:50:46> 00:50:48: | again part of it is you know sort of tempering |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:50:48> 00:50:51: | expectations but we'll you know we'll do the research and |
| 00:50:51> 00:50:53: | and figure out sort of how we're going to be |
| 00:50:54> 00:50:56: | aligns. You know we may not be that you know |
| 00:50:56> 00:50:59: | sort of different from other municipalities in the Lower mainland |
| 00:50:59> 00:51:02: | in terms of what we require, I don't think we |
| 00:51:02> 00:51:04: | would be but but we'll go do the research and |
| 00:51:04> 00:51:07: | we'll report back to Council and and let them know |
| 00:51:07> 00:51:08: | our recommendations. |
| 00:51:08> 00:51:11: | After we do all the financial analysis that comes along |
| 00:51:11> 00:51:12: | with that. |
| 00:51:13> 00:51:16: | Right. The next question is about whether or not the |
| 00:51:16> 00:51:20: | developer can transfer affordable rental density from one site to |
| 00:51:20> 00:51:24: | another, so you essentially have, you know, affordable TDR's. |
| 00:51:25> 00:51:27: | I'll give you the development community's answer, and then Wendy |
| 00:51:27> 00:51:27: | will give you the. |
| 00:51:29> 00:51:32: | Why don't we let Wendy go first? Because. I asked. |
| 00:51:32> 00:51:33: | Her. |
| 00:51:34> 00:51:36: | Felt for a minute I missed the question. |
| 00:51:36> 00:51:40: | Transferable development, affordable transferable development rights. So can they. Can |
| 00:51:40> 00:51:42: | you transfer affordable rental density from one side to the |
| 00:51:42> 00:51:45: | other? And then Andrew will tell you what it actually. |
| 00:51:45> 00:51:45: | Happens. |
| 00:51:47> 00:51:50: | The answer is yes, uh, you can, but I think |
| 00:51:50> 00:51:54: | in the past we have required that they be built |
| 00:51:54> 00:51:55: | first. |
| 00:51:56> 00:51:58: | So again, you would have to, you can do it, |
| 00:51:58> 00:52:01: | but we would want the affordable housing you know sort |
| 00:52:01> 00:52:04: | of provided to provided you know I guess provided to |
| 00:52:04> 00:52:07: | the city first or not, I shouldn't say provided to |
| 00:52:07> 00:52:10: | say because you actually have to provide the city, you |
| 00:52:10> 00:52:13: | have to build it first and there's no occupancy on |
| 00:52:13> 00:52:16: | your strata or your market rental component until the affordable |
| 00:52:16> 00:52:18: | housing component is completed. |
| 00:52:19> 00:52:20: | Andrew. |
| 00:52:22> 00:52:24: | It's been complications around. |
| 00:52:25> 00:52:28: | Neighborhoods as well. So there's there's there's limits on where |

| 00:52:28> 00:52:31: | it can be which so pretty much, unless it's going |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:52:31> 00:52:35: | across the street effectively, no you can't transfer it in. |
| 00:52:35> 00:52:37: | In the city of Burnaby it's people have tried and |
| 00:52:37> 00:52:41: | it's very occasionally worked out, but generally speaking it's not |
| 00:52:41> 00:52:42: | possible. |
| 00:52:42> 00:52:44: | So it sounds like your your destination there's not a |
| 00:52:44> 00:52:46: | broad enough set of destination zones. |
| 00:52:47> 00:52:50: | Yeah. Well, it's it's more than that. It's I believe |
| 00:52:50> 00:52:53: | it's Council's view that building this that let's say as |
| 00:52:53> 00:52:55: | an example the condo component and. |
| 00:52:55> 00:52:59: | The neighborhood and moving the affordable rental component to another |
| 00:52:59> 00:53:02: | neighborhood where you have to have a piece of land |
| 00:53:02> 00:53:03: | runs counter to their. |
| 00:53:05> 00:53:08: | Sense of housing goals. So more often than not, it's |
| 00:53:08> 00:53:10: | not a viable path. The transfer. |
| 00:53:11> 00:53:13: | We do want to see the housing sort of especially |
| 00:53:13> 00:53:16: | if there are people coming back, if there are tenants |
| 00:53:16> 00:53:18: | who are coming back. You know, one of the, one |
| 00:53:18> 00:53:20: | of the things that, you know, we prioritize is that |
| 00:53:20> 00:53:23: | they move back into the same neighborhood. So Andrew is |
| 00:53:23> 00:53:26: | correct. We do kind of, you know, really want it |
| 00:53:26> 00:53:27: | to be as close to the site as possible. |
| 00:53:29> 00:53:34: | Alright, let's wrap up with each of our wonderful participants |
| 00:53:34> 00:53:37: | getting a a brief one line. |
| 00:53:38> 00:53:42: | You know, point that you want to make. There's one |
| 00:53:42> 00:53:45: | thing to communicate to each other and to the ULI |
| 00:53:45> 00:53:48: | audience in this sphere. What would it be? And we |
| 00:53:48> 00:53:49: | will start with Amit. |
| 00:53:50> 00:53:53: | l'm sorry, Sir, I you cut out a little bit. |
| 00:53:53> 00:53:54: | l'm sorry I didn't. |
| 00:53:54> 00:53:56: | Hear, I'm sorry about that. |
| 00:53:57> 00:54:00: | I with my end what I'm giving you each a |
| 00:54:00> 00:54:03: | chance to do a quick little book one, but one |
| 00:54:03> 00:54:07: | final bullet point that you'd like to communicate to each |
| 00:54:07> 00:54:10: | other and to the UI audience as it relates to |
| 00:54:10> 00:54:14: | inclusionary zoning type policies as we see in Burnaby. |
| 00:54:17> 00:54:20: | Yeah, sure. SO1 bullet point and I think it, it |
| 00:54:20> 00:54:25: | got mentioned already, but recognizing that Metro Vancouver or the |
| 00:54:25> 00:54:28: | Lower Mainland is kind of 1 Big city yet, each |
| 00:54:29> 00:54:33: | municipality is taking their own approach on how they can |

| 00:54:33> 00:54:38: | tackle the affordable housing after many declared it a provincial |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:54:38> 00:54:40: | issue or a federal issue, so. |
| 00:54:42> 00:54:45: | Keep keep in mind what's going on in the other |
| 00:54:45> 00:54:49: | municipalities if if local governments can actually. |
| 00:54:49> 00:54:53: | Put their heads together and come up with a sweeping |
| 00:54:53> 00:54:57: | policy across the region. It would make lives easier for, |
| 00:54:57> 00:55:01: | I think, developers. I'm speaking on their behalf for nonprofits |
| 00:55:01> 00:55:05: | and maybe even for end users of of the housing. |
| 00:55:05> 00:55:08: | I know it's a it's a far fetched thought, but, |
| 00:55:08> 00:55:09: | you know, just. |
| 00:55:10> 00:55:13: | That that's why I'm what I'm going to leave with |
| 00:55:13> 00:55:17: | otherwise the faster we can build it the the better. |
| 00:55:17> 00:55:21: | You know the the the biggest hurdle of developing housing |
| 00:55:21> 00:55:24: | is how long it takes and the the longer it |
| 00:55:24> 00:55:28: | takes the more expensive it becomes. And while everybody's waiting |
| 00:55:29> 00:55:32: | for the housing the housing crisis to be solved so. |
| 00:55:33> 00:55:35: | Drew one minute, Max. |
| 00:55:36> 00:55:38: | Yeah. Well, as I said, I think as planners we, |
| 00:55:38> 00:55:41: | we think we're all very clever and I think more |
| 00:55:41> 00:55:44: | often than that we're not. So you know the answer |
| 00:55:44> 00:55:44: | to. |
| 00:55:44> 00:55:49: | Operating in an increasingly complex regulatory and development environment isn't |
| 00:55:49> 00:55:52: | ever ratcheting up complexity. You know instead of rules getting |
| 00:55:52> 00:55:55: | ever more specific every six months I I I think |
| 00:55:55> 00:55:58: | it's inevitable path to failure and we're seeing that starting |
| 00:55:58> 00:56:01: | to bite now and and break down kind of socially |
| 00:56:01> 00:56:03: | a bit right. I think the answer is flexibility. I |
| 00:56:04> 00:56:06: | think we need to ratchet down complexity. We need to |
| 00:56:06> 00:56:09: | run away from complexity and simplify and and and make |
| 00:56:09> 00:56:12: | regulation more flexible and and really take a kind of |
| 00:56:12> 00:56:15: | common sense approach to you know does project. |
| 00:56:15> 00:56:18: | Next, meet generally, meet our objectives. You know, never mind, |
| 00:56:19> 00:56:19: | you know. |
| 00:56:20> 00:56:22: | Is the number off by 1% or 2%? And I |
| 00:56:22> 00:56:26: | I think chasing increasing levels of complexity is is not |
| 00:56:26> 00:56:29: | is not working and will continue to not work. |
| 00:56:30> 00:56:31: | Excellent, Wendy. |
| 00:56:33> 00:56:36: | I would say policy making is hard, it's never perfect, |

| 00:56:36> 00:56:39: | but we're trying and part of this policy review is |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:56:39> 00:56:42: | we will be, we will be getting feedback from all |
| 00:56:42> 00:56:45: | the different stakeholders. So we are trying to make it, |
| 00:56:45> 00:56:49: | you know, more streamlined, more efficient and just reduce the |
| 00:56:49> 00:56:52: | complexity to, you know, a staff we have to implement |
| 00:56:52> 00:56:54: | it as well. So we hear it first hand and |
| 00:56:54> 00:56:57: | we know we wanted to be easy as well. So |
| 00:56:57> 00:57:00: | I think we're we are working towards common goals here. |
| 00:57:00> 00:57:03: | So we're hoping that this next iteration. |
| 00:57:03> 00:57:05: | So we'll kind of get us closer towards that. |
| 00:57:06> 00:57:09: | Excellent. Thank you very much. I'm going to turn it |
| 00:57:09> 00:57:12: | back over to Shannon and USLI central along with Katrina |
| 00:57:12> 00:57:15: | and Robin to just sort of wrap up here. |
| 00:57:16> 00:57:18: | Great. Thank you, Sir. I'll. I'll. |
| 00:57:18> 00:57:20: | Pass it over to Robin and Katrina because they were |
| 00:57:20> 00:57:23: | the organizers of this event. But thank you all for |
| 00:57:23> 00:57:24: | for your time today. |
| 00:57:26> 00:57:29: | Thanks everyone. I think we just want to first and |
| 00:57:29> 00:57:32: | foremost thank our our moderator and panelists and for your |
| 00:57:32> 00:57:35: | participation and really excellent discussion as well as our audience |
| 00:57:35> 00:57:38: | for logging on with us today and asking some wonderful |
| 00:57:38> 00:57:38: | questions. |
| 00:57:39> 00:57:43: | So thanks everyone for supporting you Li in this event |
| 00:57:43> 00:57:45: | and we hope you have a wonderful rest of your |
| 00:57:45> 00:57:46: | afternoon. |
| 00:57:47> 00:57:49: | And thank you, Burnaby, for doing a policy review. We |
| 00:57:49> 00:57:50: | really appreciate that. |
| 00:57:52> 00:57:53: | Thank you everyone. |
| 00:57:53> 00:57:54: | Thanks everyone. |
| 00:57:56> 00:57:56: | Aye. |

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .