

Webinar

Decarbonize NOW | Webinar 3: Putting It All Together: Compliance and Reporting to Better Your Portfolio Date: November 12, 2024

| 00:00:00> 00:00:00: | All right. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:00:00> 00:00:03: | Thank you everyone for joining today's webinar. |
| 00:00:03> 00:00:05: | I think we can get started. |
| 00:00:06> 00:00:07: | My name is Marta Schantz. |
| 00:00:07> 00:00:10: | I'm your moderator for today in the series of three |
| 00:00:10> 00:00:13: | webinars put on by ULI Northwest and its Programs Committee |
| 00:00:13> 00:00:18: | around the Inflation Reduction Act, strategic financing and compliance Decarbonize. |
| 00:00:18> 00:00:22: | Now our first thing to share with you all is |
| 00:00:22> 00:00:25: | the agenda for today so we can move on to |
| 00:00:25> 00:00:29: | the next slide and talk through our our plan for |
| 00:00:30> 00:00:31: | the webinar. |
| 00:00:31> 00:00:34: | I'll start with introductions and then we'll have a handful |
| 00:00:34> 00:00:37: | of a pretty brief speaker presentations on the carrots and |
| 00:00:37> 00:00:39: | sticks of building decarbonization. |
| 00:00:39> 00:00:42: | In this series of webinars put on by ULI Northwest, |
| 00:00:42> 00:00:45: | we started with an overview of the Inflation Reduction Act |
| 00:00:45> 00:00:48: | tax provisions went pretty detailed on that. |
| 00:00:48> 00:00:51: | From there, we had a, a professor from Johns Hopkins |
| 00:00:51> 00:00:54: | University share with us the financial spreadsheet like how to, |
| 00:00:55> 00:00:57: | how to put this into a pro forma, how do |
| 00:00:57> 00:01:00: | you make these green finance provisions help your, your spreadsheets. |
| 00:01:01> 00:01:04: | And today we're closing out with this broader contextual discussion |
| 00:01:04> 00:01:06: | around these carrots and sticks. |
| 00:01:06> 00:01:10: | The sticks being regulations, policy requirements and the |

| | carrots being |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:01:10> 00:01:14: | the Inflation Reduction Act, tax provisions as well as a |
| 00:01:14> 00:01:17: | number of other carrots in the market today. |
| 00:01:18> 00:01:21: | From there, we will have a discussion with some of |
| 00:01:21> 00:01:23: | the panelists and then we'll go into audience Q&A. |
| 00:01:24> 00:01:27: | Now we have a slight technical challenge where our first |
| 00:01:27> 00:01:29: | presenter is not yet able to log in. |
| 00:01:29> 00:01:34: | So not exactly sure what to do about that. |
| 00:01:34> 00:01:37: | Thank you all for your patience in this very human |
| 00:01:37> 00:01:39: | versus robot challenge that we're facing. |
| 00:01:42> 00:01:45: | Let's see if Cliff is able to join and if |
| 00:01:45> 00:01:50: | not, then then we'll pull in Anna to start first. |
| 00:01:50> 00:01:52: | But just so you all know who's presenting today, we |
| 00:01:52> 00:01:56: | have Cliff, Cliff Majergic with the Institute for Market Transformation. |
| 00:01:57> 00:01:59: | We have Anna Waldron with Moss Adams, Chris Forney with |
| 00:01:59> 00:02:02: | Bright Work Sustainability, and Jesse Stanley with KBKG. |
| 00:02:05> 00:02:08: | So since we don't yet have Cliff Anna, we are, |
| 00:02:08> 00:02:12: | we're pulling you forward to talk through some compliance side |
| 00:02:12> 00:02:16: | of, of the Inflation Reduction Act and and compliance even |
| 00:02:16> 00:02:19: | more so of, of a number of these regulations and |
| 00:02:19> 00:02:22: | standards that we're seeing across the country. |
| 00:02:22> 00:02:22: | Take it away. |
| 00:02:23> 00:02:26: | Yeah, as I'm happy to dive in and good afternoon |
| 00:02:26> 00:02:26: | everyone. |
| 00:02:26> 00:02:29: | It's really a a pleasure to be with you today. |
| 00:02:29> 00:02:32: | I'll say as an auditor, I, I fully recognize that |
| 00:02:32> 00:02:36: | my role aligns with the stick in the carrots and |
| 00:02:36> 00:02:38: | stick analogy that Marta mentioned. |
| 00:02:39> 00:02:41: | But just have a brief time today. |
| 00:02:41> 00:02:44: | And during that, I wanted to talk a little bit |
| 00:02:44> 00:02:48: | about the key reasons why as an assurance provider, I'm |
| 00:02:48> 00:02:52: | seeing companies increasingly required to engage in ESG reporting. |
| 00:02:52> 00:02:55: | And I see this goes beyond voluntary actions kind of |
| 00:02:55> 00:02:58: | aimed at reaping the benefits that you've talked about from |
| 00:02:58> 00:03:00: | things like the Inflation Reduction Act. |
| 00:03:00> 00:03:03: | And I'll just be touching kind of briefly on the |
| 00:03:03> 00:03:06: | evolving landscape of ESG regulations. |
| 00:03:06> 00:03:08: | And just what I see is most relevant to companies |
| 00:03:08> 00:03:11: | is in my role as an ESG assurance provider. |
| 00:03:11> 00:03:14: | And let's see if I'm not sure I can progress |

| 00:03:14> 00:03:17: | this slide, do you mind heading to the next slide |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:03:17> 00:03:18: | and one more as well. |
| 00:03:20> 00:03:22: | So before I begin, I just wanted to take a |
| 00:03:22> 00:03:24: | moment to introduce Moss Adams. |
| 00:03:25> 00:03:28: | We are proud to be the 15th largest professional services |
| 00:03:28> 00:03:29: | firm in the nation. |
| 00:03:29> 00:03:32: | We're also the largest firm headquartered in the Western United |
| 00:03:32> 00:03:33: | States. |
| 00:03:34> 00:03:38: | Our our services really span across accounting, consulting and wealth |
| 00:03:39> 00:03:39: | management. |
| 00:03:39> 00:03:42: | And for the past 20 years or so, we've been |
| 00:03:42> 00:03:47: | dedicated to providing both third party assurance and consulting services |
| 00:03:47> 00:03:48: | in the ESG space. |
| 00:03:48> 00:03:51: | l got my start in the industry as a financial |
| 00:03:51> 00:03:54: | statement auditor and I do continue to divide my time |
| 00:03:54> 00:03:57: | between our financial statement audit practice as well as our |
| 00:03:57> 00:04:00: | kind of growing ESG assurance practice. |
| 00:04:00> 00:04:02: | Next slide, please. |
| 00:04:03> 00:04:06: | So with that context in mind, I think it's important |
| 00:04:06> 00:04:10: | to recognize how companies are getting pulled into ESG reporting |
| 00:04:10> 00:04:14: | and really through my work providing assurance over reports for |
| 00:04:15> 00:04:18: | ESG matters, I've listed here some of the primary drivers |
| 00:04:18> 00:04:20: | for the clients that I work with. |
| 00:04:21> 00:04:24: | In the interest of time today, I was just going |
| 00:04:24> 00:04:27: | to cover this last bullet here on the mandatory reporting |
| 00:04:27> 00:04:30: | regulations, so we can move on to the next slide. |
| 00:04:32> 00:04:36: | I'm just going to very, very briefly run through regulation |
| 00:04:36> 00:04:37: | updates. |
| 00:04:37> 00:04:40: | Lots more information on the slides that's available for you |
| 00:04:40> 00:04:43: | to spend some more time with after this session if |
| 00:04:43> 00:04:46: | you find that these regulations may be applicable to your |
| 00:04:46> 00:04:47: | organization. |
| 00:04:47> 00:04:51: | But first regulation I wanted to talk about that's important |
| 00:04:51> 00:04:54: | to be aware of is California Senate Bill 253, which |
| 00:04:54> 00:04:57: | is known as the Climate Corporate Data Accountability Act. |
| 00:04:58> 00:05:01: | This bill scopes in companies that have over a billion |
| 00:05:01> 00:05:05: | dollars in annual revenue and have operations in California. |
| 00:05:06> 00:05:10: | And that operations in California is not formally defined in |
| | |

3

| 00:05:10> 00:05:14: 00:05:14> 00:05:18: | the bill that people are generally looking at the State of California Franchise Task Tax Board's definition and which I |
|--|---|
| 00:05:18> 00:05:21: | will say is a fairly low threshold. |
| 00:05:21> 00:05:24: | So it's very possible that you may find yourself in |
| 00:05:24> 00:05:27: | scope for this regulation even if you don't have a |
| 00:05:27> 00:05:29: | significant California business presence. |
| 00:05:30> 00:05:32: | I'll jump to the next slide. |
| 00:05:33> 00:05:35: | So what does this bill do? |
| 00:05:35> 00:05:39: | It requires companies that fall within its scope to publicly |
| 00:05:39> 00:05:44: | report their greenhouse gas emissions, and this actually includes Scope |
| 00:05:45> 00:05:47: | one, Scope 2, and Scope 3 categories. |
| 00:05:48> 00:05:52: | In addition to reporting your greenhouse gas emissions, companies are |
| 00:05:52> 00:05:55: | also required to have those emissions verified by an independent |
| 00:05:55> 00:05:56: | auditor annually. |
| 00:05:57> 00:06:01: | And companies are going to be required to report on |
| 00:06:01> 00:06:05: | their greenhouse gas emissions, scope one and scope 2 starting |
| 00:06:05> 00:06:06: | in 2026. |
| 00:06:06> 00:06:10: | That'll be based on 2025 reporting year and then scope |
| 00:06:10> 00:06:14: | 3 emissions annually starting the following year. |
| 00:06:14> 00:06:17: | So 2027 based on 2026 activity. |
| 00:06:18> 00:06:23: | There was some initial discussions from California's governor about maybe |
| 00:06:23> 00:06:25: | pushing out the timing of the Senate bill. |
| 00:06:26> 00:06:29: | However, I'll say recent news has pointed to this maybe |
| 00:06:29> 00:06:32: | looking unlikely and it it may be that the original |
| 00:06:32> 00:06:33: | timeline stands. |
| 00:06:33> 00:06:36: | So just a couple of years to get this implemented |
| 00:06:36> 00:06:37: | if you're in scope. |
| 00:06:38> 00:06:39: | Next slide please. |
| 00:06:40> 00:06:43: | And then the sister bill to Senate Bill 253 is |
| 00:06:43> 00:06:47: | California Senate Bill 261 and this is known as the |
| 00:06:47> 00:06:49: | Climate Related Financial Risk Act. |
| 00:06:49> 00:06:53: | If you find yourself in scope for Senate Bill 261 |
| 00:06:53> 00:06:56: | and that would mean you have annual revenues exceeding 500 |
| 00:06:56> 00:07:00: | million and then again that threshold of having operations in |
| 00:07:00> 00:07:01: | California. |
| 00:07:02> 00:07:07: | This bill requires companies to report their climate related financial |

| 00:07:07> 00:07:11: | risks publicly and that's on or before January 1st, 2026 |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:07:11> 00:07:14: | and then the reporting is biannually after that. |
| 00:07:15> 00:07:16: | Next slide please. |
| 00:07:17> 00:07:20: | If you're a covered entity for 261, you will need |
| 00:07:20> 00:07:23: | to prepare a report that's made available on your public |
| 00:07:23> 00:07:27: | website that covers your company's climate related risks that are |
| 00:07:27> 00:07:31: | reasonably likely to have a material impact on your business. |
| 00:07:32> 00:07:37: | Unlike 253, there's not an explicit requirement for external assurance |
| 00:07:37> 00:07:38: | over this. |
| 00:07:38> 00:07:41: | Reporting, however, may still be prudent to engage a third |
| 00:07:41> 00:07:44: | party just to reduce the risk of reporting inaccurate or |
| 00:07:44> 00:07:46: | misstated information publicly. |
| 00:07:47> 00:07:50: | And in the wake of these two California Senate bills, |
| 00:07:50> 00:07:53: | kind of what we've seen with California in general, they're |
| 00:07:53> 00:07:54: | leading the way. |
| 00:07:54> 00:07:55: | Other states are following. |
| 00:07:55> 00:07:59: | We do see similar bills starting to come from Washington |
| 00:07:59> 00:08:04: | State, Minnesota, Illinois and New York with others expected to |
| 00:08:04> 00:08:05: | follow down the road. |
| 00:08:06> 00:08:08: | Next slide, please. |
| 00:08:09> 00:08:12: | And then I'm sure many of you have been following |
| 00:08:12> 00:08:16: | the developments surrounding the SEC climate disclosure rules kind of |
| 00:08:16> 00:08:18: | been a saga unfolding over the past 2 1/2 years. |
| 00:08:19> 00:08:22: | The latest update here is that that final ruling was |
| 00:08:22> 00:08:25: | released in March of this year, 2024. |
| 00:08:25> 00:08:30: | Instantaneously, several lawsuits were filed challenging that ruling and that's |
| 00:08:30> 00:08:33: | prompted the SEC to voluntarily stay the effective date of |
| 00:08:33> 00:08:34: | that rule. |
| 00:08:34> 00:08:37: | We're really in a waiting period right now, just awaiting |
| 00:08:37> 00:08:40: | the outcomes of these legal challenges before we know if |
| 00:08:40> 00:08:42: | they'll be any impact to the final rule or its |
| 00:08:42> 00:08:43: | effective dates. |
| 00:08:45> 00:08:47: | You can pass through the next couple of slides. |
| 00:08:47> 00:08:51: | Just wanted to leave you with some additional information about |
| 00:08:51> 00:08:53: | the SEC ruling, but did want to call out despite |
| 00:08:53> 00:08:57: | the postponement of the ruling, I do see a significant |
| 00:08:57> 00:09:01: | number of public companies that are proactively preparing for this |

| 00:09:01> 00:09:01: | ruling. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:09:01> 00:09:04: | And even if you are not a publicly traded company, |
| 00:09:04> 00:09:08: | there is some indirect impact that I'm seeing as many |
| 00:09:08> 00:09:12: | public companies are starting to incorporate clauses into their supplier |
| 00:09:12> 00:09:17: | agreements that are mandating greenhouse gas emissions reporting as well |
| 00:09:17> 00:09:21: | as commitments to reduce the carbon footprint for non public |
| 00:09:21> 00:09:22: | company suppliers. |
| 00:09:23> 00:09:26: | And so as I mentioned, this is just a super |
| 00:09:26> 00:09:29: | high level update, but I did just want to kind |
| 00:09:29> 00:09:32: | of leave with you the thought that the landscape of |
| 00:09:32> 00:09:36: | ESG regulations is, is pretty rapidly evolving. |
| 00:09:36> 00:09:38: | We see a lot of change in the last two |
| 00:09:38> 00:09:38: | years even. |
| 00:09:39> 00:09:42: | And even if your organization isn't directly in scope for |
| 00:09:42> 00:09:46: | these regulations, we are seeing some fundamental shifts in the |
| 00:09:46> 00:09:51: | reporting practices and stakeholder expectations that are likely to have |
| 00:09:51> 00:09:54: | an impact on how your reporting in the ESG world. |
| 00:09:54> 00:09:57: | So if you have any questions, you've got my contact |
| 00:09:57> 00:10:00: | information and I'm looking forward to having the conversation the |
| 00:10:00> 00:10:01: | rest of the panel. |
| 00:10:01> 00:10:01: | Thank you. |
| 00:10:02> 00:10:02: | Fantastic. |
| 00:10:03> 00:10:04: | Thank you, Anna. |
| 00:10:04> 00:10:08: | It is, it is remarkable how many of these regulations, |
| 00:10:08> 00:10:13: | whether they're countrywide or state specific affects the real estate |
| 00:10:13> 00:10:15: | sector in in a very meaningful way. |
| 00:10:16> 00:10:17: | So thank you for that. |
| 00:10:17> 00:10:20: | We'll get more into into the the sticks of things |
| 00:10:20> 00:10:22: | in the discussion at the moment. |
| 00:10:22> 00:10:24: | Cliff has made it on to you on to our |
| 00:10:24> 00:10:27: | webinar, thank goodness, through his phone. |
| 00:10:27> 00:10:29: | So I'll pass it over to Cliff to speak to |
| 00:10:29> 00:10:32: | you as some additional sticks for us all to consider. |
| 00:10:49> 00:10:50: | Cliff, I think you're muted. |
| 00:10:50> 00:10:52: | If you wouldn't mind checking that on your on your |
| 00:10:52> 00:10:53: | audio. |
| 00:10:53> 00:10:53: | Can you hear me now? |

| 00:10:55> 00:10:56: | Yes, wonderful. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:10:56> 00:10:57: | OK. |
| 00:10:58> 00:10:59: | All right. |
| 00:10:59> 00:11:01: | Can you share my first slide? |
| 00:11:02> 00:11:02: | It's up. |
| 00:11:03> 00:11:03: | Awesome. |
| 00:11:03> 00:11:04: | Thank you. |
| 00:11:05> 00:11:06: | Next slide, please. |
| 00:11:06> 00:11:09: | So my name's Cliff Majerzic with the Institute for Market |
| 00:11:09> 00:11:09: | Transformation. |
| 00:11:09> 00:11:12: | I'm in Washington, DC and we are the leading group |
| 00:11:12> 00:11:14: | focused on building performance standards. |
| 00:11:15> 00:11:17: | So what is a building performance standard? |
| 00:11:18> 00:11:21: | Well, it's a requirement that applies to all buildings, not |
| 00:11:21> 00:11:24: | just new buildings, not just buildings that are being sold |
| 00:11:24> 00:11:25: | or pulling building permits. |
| 00:11:25> 00:11:29: | It's applying to all buildings over a certain size and |
| 00:11:29> 00:11:33: | typically that's buildings over 20 to 50,000 square. |
| 00:11:33> 00:11:35: | The are subject to a building performance standard. |
| 00:11:36> 00:11:39: | So it it can move the entire built environment to |
| 00:11:40> 00:11:43: | requiring higher levels of performance. |
| 00:11:43> 00:11:47: | And it's based on objective measures of performance, like things |
| 00:11:47> 00:11:50: | that you can figure out from looking at a gas |
| 00:11:50> 00:11:52: | and electric meter. |
| 00:11:52> 00:11:56: | And they all rely on the Energy Star system, which |
| 00:11:56> 00:11:59: | creates A1 to 100 score and an apples to apples |
| 00:11:59> 00:12:02: | comparison of similar buildings. |
| 00:12:02> 00:12:05: | So this is a way that jurisdictions around the country |
| 00:12:06> 00:12:10: | are measuring how well buildings are performing next slide, and |
| 00:12:10> 00:12:14: | requiring that buildings meet minimum levels of performance. |
| 00:12:14> 00:12:19: | So building performance standards can yield deep retrofits across a |
| 00:12:19> 00:12:24: | broad swath of buildings of the existing building stock. |
| 00:12:24> 00:12:27: | Typically, jurisdictions are only seeing 1 to 2% of their |
| 00:12:27> 00:12:31: | building stock changing each year in terms of new construction. |
| 00:12:32> 00:12:34: | And so this is moving the needle much more quickly |
| 00:12:34> 00:12:38: | than, say, a building code which complements a building performance |
| 00:12:38> 00:12:41: | standard, but only applies when people are holding building permits. |

| 00:12:43> 00:12:46: | Also, jurisdictions have too little money to actually pay for |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:12:46> 00:12:48: | the improvements directly themselves. |
| 00:12:49> 00:12:52: | So these laws are requiring building owners to invest their |
| 00:12:52> 00:12:53: | own money in their own buildings. |
| 00:12:54> 00:12:58: | And these are creating value, creating improvements to the value |
| 00:12:58> 00:12:59: | of those buildings. |
| 00:12:59> 00:13:04: | And building performance standards, when properly designed, provide a long |
| 00:13:04> 00:13:08: | term view into how the building will need to perform. |
| 00:13:08> 00:13:11: | So if these jurisdictions in many cases are looking to |
| 00:13:11> 00:13:14: | building owners to put in say heat pumps to improve |
| 00:13:14> 00:13:18: | the performance of their buildings and to electrify their buildings, |
| 00:13:18> 00:13:20: | a heat pump can last 20 or more years. |
| 00:13:20> 00:13:23: | The building owner wants to have some certainty that that |
| 00:13:23> 00:13:26: | investment is going to put them on path to comply |
| 00:13:26> 00:13:28: | over the term of the life of that equipment. |
| 00:13:29> 00:13:32: | And so a a well designed building performance standard provides |
| 00:13:32> 00:13:35: | that terms of that kind of long term visibility into |
| 00:13:35> 00:13:37: | the requirements for the building and it can provide a |
| 00:13:37> 00:13:39: | comprehensive approach. |
| 00:13:39> 00:13:42: | So it can require improvement across multiple levels. |
| 00:13:43> 00:13:46: | We haven't yet seen it, but IMT has a Model |
| 00:13:46> 00:13:50: | Law that includes water efficiency and indoor air quality in |
| 00:13:50> 00:13:55: | addition to climate performance in terms of energy and greenhouse |
| 00:13:55> 00:13:56: | gas emissions. |
| 00:13:56> 00:13:57: | Next slide. |
| 00:13:57> 00:14:01: | So these building performance standards are already in place in |
| 00:14:01> 00:14:03: | jurisdictions across the country. |
| 00:14:03> 00:14:07: | This is a map that shows both benchmarking and transparency |
| 00:14:08> 00:14:11: | laws, which are shown in the orange and the blue |
| 00:14:11> 00:14:16: | and the green, and building performance standards, which are shown |
| 00:14:16> 00:14:17: | in purple. |
| 00:14:17> 00:14:21: | So jurisdictions that have building performance standards also have benchmarking |
| 00:14:21> 00:14:22: | and transparency laws. |
| 00:14:22> 00:14:24: | The two sort of go hand in hand. |
| 00:14:24> 00:14:27: | You're requiring that people have to measure and disclose |

| | how |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:14:27> 00:14:30: | well their buildings are performing, and then the building |
| | performance |
| 00:14:30> 00:14:33: | standard goes the extra step of requiring that they meet |
| 00:14:33> 00:14:34: | minimum levels of performance. |
| 00:14:34> 00:14:35: | Next slide. |
| 00:14:36> 00:14:39: | So on this map you see in green those jurisdictions |
| 00:14:39> 00:14:44: | that already have building performance standards and it's, you know, |
| 00:14:44> 00:14:48: | the most notable ones nationally are and the earliest ones |
| 00:14:48> 00:14:52: | were Washington DC and New York City and Washington State |
| 00:14:52> 00:14:53: | in the Pacific Northwest. |
| 00:14:54> 00:14:57: | In addition to Washington State, you have most recently Seattle |
| 00:14:57> 00:15:01: | and also the state of Oregon, which have building performance |
| 00:15:01> 00:15:02: | standards in place. |
| 00:15:02> 00:15:06: | So these are major drivers of the need for building |
| 00:15:06> 00:15:10: | owners to improve the performance of their buildings and they |
| 00:15:10> 00:15:12: | have major financial consequences. |
| 00:15:12> 00:15:14: | And that that's where they are now. |
| 00:15:14> 00:15:18: | The blue jurisdictions are jurisdictions that have joined the White |
| 00:15:18> 00:15:21: | House's National Building Performance Standard Coalition. |
| 00:15:21> 00:15:23: | So they are committed to adopting it in the next |
| 00:15:23> 00:15:27: | few years, either building performance standards or other laws that |
| 00:15:27> 00:15:29: | put them on path to building performance standards. |
| 00:15:30> 00:15:32: | And so if if you don't already have a building |
| 00:15:32> 00:15:35: | performance standard where your buildings are now, you may well |
| 00:15:35> 00:15:35: | soon. |
| 00:15:35> 00:15:38: | So it's a good idea to future proof your portfolio |
| 00:15:38> 00:15:41: | by improving the performance now building it into your your |
| 00:15:41> 00:15:42: | capital planning. |
| 00:15:42> 00:15:42: | Next slide. |
| 00:15:44> 00:15:48: | So the building performance standard impact, it can be huge |
| 00:15:48> 00:15:52: | in New York City alone, obviously the largest city, but |
| 00:15:52> 00:15:55: | just one city, \$20 billion is the market opportunity for |
| 00:15:55> 00:16:00: | service providers that are looking to help existing buildings improve |
| 00:16:00> 00:16:05: | their performance to comply with their building performance |

| | standard, which |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:16:05> 00:16:07: | is local on 97 by the 20-30 deadline. |
| 00:16:07> 00:16:10: | So that's a a huge driver of investment and this |
| 00:16:10> 00:16:13: | is creating jobs at every skill level within New York. |
| 00:16:13> 00:16:14: | Next slide. |
| 00:16:16> 00:16:19: | So this is maybe hard for you to read and |
| 00:16:19> 00:16:23: | I can answer questions about it later on, but this |
| 00:16:23> 00:16:25: | is zeroing in on the Pacific Northwest. |
| 00:16:26> 00:16:30: | So we see that Washington State's the minimum building size |
| 00:16:30> 00:16:34: | that's subject to these laws is 20,000 square feet. |
| 00:16:34> 00:16:37: | It's the same across the board in in Washington, Seattle |
| 00:16:37> 00:16:37: | and Oregon. |
| 00:16:38> 00:16:41: | The first deadline, because Washington was adopted first in 2019, |
| 00:16:41> 00:16:43: | has the earliest deadline. |
| 00:16:43> 00:16:46: | The first deadline for actual performance is in 2025 S |
| 00:16:46> 00:16:49: | buildings over 220,000 square feet. |
| 00:16:49> 00:16:53: | Their performance is gonna be evaluated starting next year. |
| 00:16:53> 00:16:56: | It won't have to be reported until 2026 the the |
| 00:16:56> 00:17:00: | 2025 performance, but they're gonna be expected to have improved |
| 00:17:00> 00:17:02: | performance next year. |
| 00:17:02> 00:17:06: | And in Seattle it's 2030 and in Oregon it's 2027. |
| 00:17:06> 00:17:09: | And again each in each case they're reporting that performance |
| 00:17:09> 00:17:11: | the year following the year of performance. |
| 00:17:12> 00:17:15: | In Oregon and Washington, they have a fairly similar building |
| 00:17:15> 00:17:17: | performance standards. |
| 00:17:17> 00:17:20: | The Oregon 1 was modeled largely on the Washington one, |
| 00:17:20> 00:17:23: | and they're still doing rule making in Oregon, but they |
| 00:17:23> 00:17:27: | focus on energy efficiency, site energy use intensity, whereas in |
| 00:17:28> 00:17:31: | Seattle they focus on greenhouse gas intensity, trying to drive |
| 00:17:31> 00:17:34: | out fossil fuels from the building in part. |
| 00:17:34> 00:17:37: | And buildings in Seattle need to comply with both the |
| 00:17:37> 00:17:40: | Seattle and the Washington State Building performance standards. |
| 00:17:41> 00:17:45: | And then in terms of consequences, it's a lot higher |
| 00:17:45> 00:17:48: | in Seattle then in the states. |
| 00:17:48> 00:17:50: | In Seattle, you're talking about \$10 per square foot. |
| 00:17:50> 00:17:54: | In the states, you're talking about \$1.00 per square foot |
| 00:17:54> 00:17:55: | plus \$5000 per fraction. |
| | |

| 00:17:56> 00:17:59: | So significant, especially in Seattle, significant consequences. |
|--|---|
| 00:18:00> 00:18:02: | And all this information is at the URL at the |
| 00:18:02> 00:18:02: | bottom. |
| 00:18:03> 00:18:03: | Thank you. |
| 00:18:05> 00:18:06: | Fantastic. |
| 00:18:06> 00:18:07: | Thank you. |
| 00:18:07> 00:18:09: | If I have time, I can talk about sort of |
| 00:18:09> 00:18:11: | takeaways, but we can cover that in Q&A if we |
| 00:18:11> 00:18:12: | have that side. |
| 00:18:13> 00:18:13: | That sounds terrific. |
| 00:18:13> 00:18:17: | Let's let's jump back to those takeaways for for service |
| 00:18:17> 00:18:19: | providers and building owners in the Q and All am |
| 00:18:19> 00:18:23: | sufficiently awake when it comes to these these consequences, these |
| 00:18:23> 00:18:27: | building performance standards, the state and national regulations are on |
| 00:18:27> 00:18:28: | reporting. |
| 00:18:29> 00:18:30: | What's our silver lining here, Chris? |
| 00:18:30> 00:18:33: | We've got Chris Forney with Brightworks Sustainability to talk through |
| 00:18:33> 00:18:36: | what compliance means and how to maybe draw some value |
| 00:18:36> 00:18:36: | out of that. |
| | |
| 00:18:39> 00:18:40: | Hi everyone. |
| 00:18:39> 00:18:40: 00:18:40> 00:18:41: | Hi everyone. Thanks for having us. |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: | Thanks for having us. |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:49: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:49: 00:18:49> 00:18:53: | Thanks for having us.Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation.So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:49: 00:18:49> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: | Thanks for having us.Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation.So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:49: 00:18:49> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: | Thanks for having us.Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation.So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios.So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:49: 00:18:49> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: 00:19:01> 00:19:06: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some of the reactions to the sticks that we've heard about both from the ESG reporting and carbon disclosure |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:49: 00:18:49> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: 00:19:01> 00:19:06: 00:19:06> 00:19:11: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some of the reactions to the sticks that we've heard about both from the ESG reporting and carbon disclosure requirements that |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: 00:19:01> 00:19:06: 00:19:06> 00:19:11: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some of the reactions to the sticks that we've heard about both from the ESG reporting and carbon disclosure requirements that are coming down the pipe through the SEC and with local and jurisdictional building performance standards, those |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:49: 00:18:49> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: 00:19:01> 00:19:06: 00:19:06> 00:19:15: 00:19:11> 00:19:15: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some of the reactions to the sticks that we've heard about both from the ESG reporting and carbon disclosure requirements that are coming down the pipe through the SEC and with local and jurisdictional building performance standards, those are hitting real |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: 00:19:01> 00:19:06: 00:19:06> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:22: 00:19:22> 00:19:24: 00:19:24> 00:19:27: 00:19:27> 00:19:30: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some of the reactions to the sticks that we've heard about both from the ESG reporting and carbon disclosure requirements that are coming down the pipe through the SEC and with local and jurisdictional building performance standards, those are hitting real projects in real time. |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: 00:19:01> 00:19:06: 00:19:11> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:22: 00:19:22> 00:19:24: 00:19:24> 00:19:27: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some of the reactions to the sticks that we've heard about both from the ESG reporting and carbon disclosure requirements that are coming down the pipe through the SEC and with local and jurisdictional building performance standards, those are hitting real projects in real time. And New York is a great example that has been |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: 00:19:01> 00:19:06: 00:19:06> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:22: 00:19:22> 00:19:24: 00:19:24> 00:19:27: 00:19:27> 00:19:30: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some of the reactions to the sticks that we've heard about both from the ESG reporting and carbon disclosure requirements that are coming down the pipe through the SEC and with local and jurisdictional building performance standards, those are hitting real projects in real time. And New York is a great example that has been at the front of the curve and implementation. Here we're seeing an example property where the orange |
| 00:18:40> 00:18:41: 00:18:41> 00:18:44: 00:18:44> 00:18:53: 00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:19:01: 00:19:01> 00:19:06: 00:19:06> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:22: 00:19:22> 00:19:24: 00:19:24> 00:19:27: 00:19:27> 00:19:30: 00:19:31> 00:19:35: | Thanks for having us. Bright Work Sustainability is a consultancy with 20 years of experience working nationally with green building and corporate sustainability implementation. So the perspective we're bringing is around wherever hits the road, your projects and your portfolios. So let's go ahead and dig in Next slide, some of the reactions to the sticks that we've heard about both from the ESG reporting and carbon disclosure requirements that are coming down the pipe through the SEC and with local and jurisdictional building performance standards, those are hitting real projects in real time. And New York is a great example that has been at the front of the curve and implementation. Here we're seeing an example property where the orange bar |

| 00:19:44> 00:19:48: | those targets get successfully harder over time. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:19:49> 00:19:51: | And you can see the take away in in this |
| 00:19:51> 00:19:54: | example is the property may have to pay fine starting |
| 00:19:54> 00:19:55: | in 20-30. |
| 00:19:55> 00:20:00: | So our clients are taking this opportunity through analysis. |
| 00:20:00> 00:20:04: | To understand their buildings, their building performance and their client |
| 00:20:04> 00:20:05: | risk vulnerabilities. |
| 00:20:06> 00:20:09: | Because frankly, if you go upstream in the value chain |
| 00:20:09> 00:20:14: | of investors who have commitments to decarbonize their portfolio, it's |
| 00:20:14> 00:20:17: | going to become a risk to real estate asset owners. |
| 00:20:17> 00:20:21: | And when they go to liquidate those assets, how valuable |
| 00:20:21> 00:20:25: | they are to how many different investors, those that are |
| 00:20:25> 00:20:29: | going to be encumbered with penalties are not going to |
| 00:20:29> 00:20:30: | be as valuable. |
| 00:20:31> 00:20:35: | So it behooves property developers and owners to start paying |
| 00:20:35> 00:20:40: | attention to those requirements now and find strategic opportunities to |
| 00:20:40> 00:20:41: | decarbonize. |
| 00:20:43> 00:20:45: | Go ahead and go to the next slide. |
| 00:20:46> 00:20:50: | An example of how that plays out in especially existing |
| 00:20:51> 00:20:53: | assets is to leverage incentives. |
| 00:20:53> 00:20:58: | There are a lot of state incentives, especially utility district |
| 00:20:58> 00:21:02: | incentives that pay for and kind of take the edge |
| 00:21:02> 00:21:07: | off of technologies like heat pumps, lighting, installation, all kinds |
| 00:21:07> 00:21:09: | of different technologies. |
| 00:21:10> 00:21:14: | And of course, going through a cost benefit analysis to |
| 00:21:14> 00:21:17: | not only look at what the payback might be for |
| 00:21:17> 00:21:22: | those types of improvements, but also with incentives and the |
| 00:21:22> 00:21:25: | carbon savings, which right now is kind of a for |
| 00:21:25> 00:21:28: | a lot of owners aside analysis. |
| 00:21:28> 00:21:34: | But because of SEC regulatory compliance portfolio targets and municipal |
| 00:21:34> 00:21:39: | building performance standards that pay back in that ROI, we're |
| 00:21:39> 00:21:44: | starting to filter in and factor the penalties, which start |
| 00:21:45> 00:21:48: | to make the return on investment much faster. |
| 00:21:50> 00:21:51: | Next slide. |
| 00:21:52> 00:21:55: | And those incentives won't be around forever. |

| 00:21:55> 00:21:57: | And so use them while you can. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:21:58> 00:22:03: | There are other tools in the toolbox, for example, property |
| 00:22:03> 00:22:08: | assessed clean energy pace financing and C pace corporate pace |
| 00:22:08> 00:22:13: | financing that you can get capital funding low long term |
| 00:22:13> 00:22:16: | rate that covers the improvements. |
| 00:22:16> 00:22:22: | And this is especially useful for capital intensive improvements, whether |
| 00:22:22> 00:22:28: | that be central plant boilers, chillers, whole envelope renovations. |
| 00:22:29> 00:22:32: | So for more capital intensive investments, there is a defined |
| 00:22:33> 00:22:34: | underwriting methodology. |
| 00:22:34> 00:22:39: | And as the image here describes from pace equity, the |
| 00:22:40> 00:22:45: | pace component takes up a portion of the mezzanine financing |
| 00:22:45> 00:22:50: | usually at a lower rate and can provide just another |
| 00:22:50> 00:22:56: | edge in developing a competitive pro forma for your projects. |
| 00:22:57> 00:22:58: | Next slide please. |
| 00:23:01> 00:23:03: | Now we have been focused a lot on scope one |
| 00:23:03> 00:23:07: | and two emissions, but from a corporate reporting standpoint and |
| 00:23:07> 00:23:11: | increasingly from a regulatory standpoint, scope 3 emissions are incredibly |
| 00:23:11> 00:23:11: | important. |
| 00:23:12> 00:23:16: | Whether that be the embodied carbon of your projects or |
| 00:23:16> 00:23:20: | whether that scope three may include loads, scope one and |
| 00:23:20> 00:23:24: | two that are outside of your typical ownership. |
| 00:23:24> 00:23:29: | So your tenants and yeah, at least square footage that |
| 00:23:29> 00:23:33: | you may not have great access to their actual reporting |
| 00:23:33> 00:23:37: | data, but over time that is going to be an |
| 00:23:37> 00:23:40: | accountability that that does get included. |
| 00:23:41> 00:23:46: | But as it pertains to building materials, we're seeing some |
| 00:23:46> 00:23:51: | of the first building code requirements Calgary and Part 11 |
| 00:23:51> 00:23:54: | where there are three options. |
| 00:23:54> 00:23:56: | One is to reuse a building. |
| 00:23:56> 00:24:00: | Option 2 is to perform a whole building life cycle |
| 00:24:00> 00:24:05: | analysis and option 3 is to collect environmental product declarations |
| 00:24:05> 00:24:07: | for your highest impact products. |
| 00:24:08> 00:24:12: | And next slide, this is a look at what that |
| 00:24:12> 00:24:17: | analysis turns out, which is a profile of where the |
| 00:24:17> 00:24:20: | embodied carbon is in your materials. |
| 00:24:21> 00:24:26: | And a 10% reduction is what's required by the California |
| 00:24:26> 00:24:27: | legislation. |
| | - |

| 00:24:28> 00:24:33: | And we're seeing other legislation being looked at and passed |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:24:33> 00:24:35: | in other jurisdictions as well. |
| 00:24:36> 00:24:37: | Next slide. |
| 00:24:38> 00:24:41: | So in terms of the takeaways, how to think about |
| 00:24:42> 00:24:46: | this strategically and not just being chased by requirements, but |
| 00:24:46> 00:24:51: | actually proactively seeking the carbon data of your portfolio to |
| 00:24:51> 00:24:53: | make more strategic decisions. |
| 00:24:53> 00:24:58: | It starts with developing organizational boundaries that clearly define and |
| 00:24:58> 00:25:00: | how to account for it according to the criteria that |
| 00:25:01> 00:25:04: | Anna shared and to align it with your financial reporting. |
| 00:25:04> 00:25:08: | Because ultimately that does over time, hopefully, if you're proactive, |
| 00:25:08> 00:25:11: | improve the value of your assets and those investments. |
| 00:25:12> 00:25:17: | There are lots of industry best practices in terms of |
| 00:25:17> 00:25:23: | some of the alphabet soup of voluntary frameworks, whether that |
| 00:25:23> 00:25:29: | be RES science based targets, SPTIPCAF, they provide protocols for |
| 00:25:29> 00:25:30: | that accounting. |
| 00:25:31> 00:25:35: | But the number one, number two issues that we're running |
| 00:25:35> 00:25:38: | into are just getting the data, whether it be the |
| 00:25:38> 00:25:43: | energy performance of your building and your tenants or of |
| 00:25:43> 00:25:44: | your Scope 3 emissions. |
| 00:25:46> 00:25:50: | And it's really hard to drive down reductions and demonstrate |
| 00:25:50> 00:25:53: | those reductions if you're just using estimated data. |
| 00:25:53> 00:25:56: | So also improving the quality of that data. |
| 00:25:57> 00:25:59: | That's that's what we're seeing in sort of a balanced |
| 00:26:00> 00:26:03: | risk management approach for clients encountering these carrots and sticks. |
| 00:26:08> 00:26:11: | And yeah, looking forward to answering your questions. |
| 00:26:11> 00:26:16: | My contact information and these slides will be available. |
| 00:26:17> 00:26:17: | Terrific. |
| 00:26:17> 00:26:18: | Thank you, Chris. |
| 00:26:18> 00:26:21: | It, it is helpful to to understand these additional carrots |
| 00:26:21> 00:26:24: | that, that exist in the market, whether they're financial mechanisms, |
| 00:26:24> 00:26:26: | utility incentives or otherwise. |
| 00:26:28> 00:26:30: | Sometimes it's just so easy to get overwhelmed with the, |
| 00:26:31> 00:26:34: | the vastness of the challenge of, of decarbonization, the regulations, |

| 00:26:34> 00:26:37: | the rules, the reporting, the everything to, to break it |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:26:37> 00:26:39: | down in that way is is quite helpful. |
| 00:26:41> 00:26:44: | Now, last but certainly not least, Jesse Stanley with KPKG |
| 00:26:44> 00:26:47: | will speak to the the inspirational carrot that started this |
| 00:26:47> 00:26:50: | whole webinar series, the Inflation Reduction Act. |
| 00:26:51> 00:26:52: | So with that, Jesse, please take it away. |
| 00:26:53> 00:26:54: | Wonderful. |
| 00:26:54> 00:26:55: | Yeah. |
| 00:26:55> 00:26:58: | Happy to be talking about kind of basing this landscape. |
| 00:26:58> 00:27:01: | What are things that are available and exist to help |
| 00:27:01> 00:27:05: | maybe either soften the blow or encourage more of these |
| 00:27:05> 00:27:07: | activities happening in the future? |
| 00:27:07> 00:27:11: | So we're going to cover 17 ID, 45 L and |
| 00:27:11> 00:27:13: | ITC Section 48 tax credits. |
| 00:27:17> 00:27:21: | So I am principal here at KBKG, licensed professional engineer |
| 00:27:21> 00:27:25: | by background and have been in the industry nearly 20 |
| 00:27:25> 00:27:29: | years helping various buildings hit their sustainability goals and then |
| 00:27:29> 00:27:33: | the last decade or so helping taxpayers in various forms |
| 00:27:33> 00:27:35: | take advantage of these incentives. |
| 00:27:37> 00:27:40: | And there's a lot of slides here just for those |
| 00:27:40> 00:27:43: | in the audience at this is going to be reference |
| 00:27:43> 00:27:45: | material so you can go back to this. |
| 00:27:45> 00:27:48: | We're not going to cover all this, but hopefully this |
| 00:27:48> 00:27:51: | can be a helpful resource to you after the fact. |
| 00:27:51> 00:27:56: | So KBKG, we are an independent speciality specialty tax firm. |
| 00:27:56> 00:28:00: | We partner with CPA firms, real estate developers, architecture firms, |
| 00:28:00> 00:28:02: | engineering firms on their projects. |
| 00:28:03> 00:28:06: | We're not ACPA, so we partner with Cpas across the |
| 00:28:06> 00:28:06: | United States. |
| 00:28:08> 00:28:13: | Additionally, we have services and cost segregation research and development |
| 00:28:13> 00:28:14: | as well. |
| 00:28:14> 00:28:16: | And we're again nationwide. |
| 00:28:19> 00:28:20: | So we're going to start with this and then we're |
| 00:28:20> 00:28:21: | going to come back to this image. |
| 00:28:21> 00:28:25: | But this is the idea of really stacking these incentives. |
| 00:28:25> 00:28:30: | So this is an affordable housing development that took advantage |
| 00:28:30> 00:28:33: | of over \$500,000 of 45 L tax credits, over \$300,000 |

| 00:28:33> 00:28:37: | of once, and 90 tax deductions, as well as Section |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:28:37> 00:28:40: | 48 tax credits for their solar panels and their EV |
| 00:28:40> 00:28:41: | chargers. |
| 00:28:41> 00:28:44: | So if you're doing these things, you're upgrading your building |
| 00:28:44> 00:28:48: | or you're building a new building, taking advantage of these |
| 00:28:48> 00:28:51: | available incentives, that can be a fantastic thing to do |
| 00:28:51> 00:28:52: | to soften the blow. |
| 00:28:53> 00:28:55: | So we'll start by talking about 179 D. |
| 00:28:55> 00:29:00: | This is the energy efficient commercial building tax deduction and |
| 00:29:00> 00:29:04: | it's available as accelerated depreciation in the commercial real estate |
| 00:29:04> 00:29:09: | space and as a deduction available to architecture firms, engineering |
| 00:29:09> 00:29:13: | firms that design buildings for government and now nonprofits. |
| 00:29:13> 00:29:17: | Has a long history all the way back in 2005 |
| 00:29:17> 00:29:21: | was very was temporary and was made permanent in 2020 |
| 00:29:21> 00:29:25: | and heavily expanded in the Inflation Reduction Act. |
| 00:29:27> 00:29:31: | So it's now open to commercial developers, government owned buildings |
| 00:29:31> 00:29:35: | and nonprofit buildings can allocate the tax deductions to the |
| 00:29:35> 00:29:39: | architecture and engineering partners that design their buildings. |
| 00:29:39> 00:29:43: | So this has really brought applications across the commercial real |
| 00:29:43> 00:29:46: | estate space as well as across the design space for |
| 00:29:46> 00:29:49: | folks that are helping to further energy efficiency in governmental |
| 00:29:50> 00:29:51: | buildings and nonprofit buildings. |
| 00:29:53> 00:29:56: | There is a provision that requires the use of prevailing |
| 00:29:56> 00:29:57: | wage and apprentices. |
| 00:29:58> 00:30:00: | However, there's also a safe harbor. |
| 00:30:00> 00:30:03: | So there's some grandfathering of a couple years of buildings |
| 00:30:04> 00:30:07: | to allow this benefit to exist and it's higher deduction |
| 00:30:07> 00:30:09: | amount without the use of of prevailing wages. |
| 00:30:11> 00:30:14: | And then the benefit amount is up to and north |
| 00:30:15> 00:30:18: | of \$5 per square foot of commercial building space. |
| 00:30:19> 00:30:22: | So we can see some really large tax deductions for |
| 00:30:22> 00:30:26: | your commercial buildings that you're renovating or that you are |
| 00:30:26> 00:30:26: | designing. |
| 00:30:32> 00:30:34: | If you happen to be an architecture firm or an |

| 00:30:34> 00:30:38: | engineering firm, taking advantage of an allocation letter is critical. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:30:39> 00:30:41: | They're typically first come, first serve. |
| 00:30:41> 00:30:45: | So if you're in that category is worth reaching out |
| 00:30:45> 00:30:48: | and figuring out what is this, how do we take |
| 00:30:48> 00:30:52: | advantage of it, how do we receive this benefit through |
| 00:30:52> 00:30:57: | the Inflation Reduction Act, the benefit amounts got much more |
| 00:30:57> 00:31:02: | attractive for one 790 post Inflation Reduction Act benefit increasing |
| 00:31:02> 00:31:06: | from around \$1.80 per square foot to north of \$5 |
| 00:31:06> 00:31:07: | per square foot. |
| 00:31:08> 00:31:12: | And additionally, the benefit is now on a sliding scale, |
| 00:31:12> 00:31:16: | so you can achieve substantial dollars for a building that's |
| 00:31:16> 00:31:21: | 25% higher than an energy baseline ratcheting up all the |
| 00:31:21> 00:31:24: | way to a 50% savings reduction and a 50% and |
| 00:31:24> 00:31:25: | a a \$5 benefit. |
| 00:31:25> 00:31:31: | So much more attractive for developers and building designers. |
| 00:31:32> 00:31:35: | An example of what that looks like, you know, three |
| 00:31:35> 00:31:38: | 500,000 square foot buildings that were completed and put into |
| 00:31:38> 00:31:41: | service in 2022 would have had a \$2.8 million tax |
| 00:31:41> 00:31:43: | deduction available to them. |
| 00:31:43> 00:31:48: | Those same buildings post Inflation Reduction Act finishing one year |
| 00:31:48> 00:31:52: | later meeting the exact same energy standards, would have over |
| 00:31:52> 00:31:55: | \$7.5 million of tax deductions available to them. |
| 00:31:56> 00:31:59: | So if you're a developer, this is something that can |
| 00:31:59> 00:32:00: | be pretty impactful. |
| 00:32:01> 00:32:08: | Architecture engineer, absolutely worth pursuing this a quick summary slide |
| 00:32:08> 00:32:14: | of 1790 before the Inflation Reduction Act and after. |
| 00:32:15> 00:32:17: | One last thing to note here is that 1790 can |
| 00:32:17> 00:32:20: | now be reclaimed up to every three years as a |
| 00:32:20> 00:32:24: | developer and it can be reallocated up to every four |
| 00:32:24> 00:32:26: | years as a government or nonprofit building. |
| 00:32:27> 00:32:31: | So this has really dramatic application for when you're thinking |
| 00:32:31> 00:32:34: | about long term renovations to buildings that are getting more |
| 00:32:34> 00:32:36: | and more energy efficient. |
| 00:32:36> 00:32:42: | 1790 can be used at each of those renovation cycles |

| 00:32:42> 00:32:51: | to help encourage more energy efficient buildings and break candidates. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:32:53> 00:32:57: | And now we'll talk briefly about 45 L, so big |
| 00:32:57> 00:32:59: | news to know here. |
| 00:32:59> 00:33:02: | The benefit amount increased up to \$5000 per unit and |
| 00:33:03> 00:33:07: | additionally, the other big news is that the three story |
| 00:33:07> 00:33:09: | limit has been removed. |
| 00:33:09> 00:33:11: | So if you are a developer of single family homes |
| 00:33:11> 00:33:15: | or mid rise or high rise residential, this is something |
| 00:33:15> 00:33:18: | that you should be thinking about and looking into. |
| 00:33:19> 00:33:23: | Additionally, there is no longer a basis reduction required for |
| 00:33:23> 00:33:25: | low income housing. |
| 00:33:25> 00:33:28: | So if you're an affordable housing developer, 45 L is |
| 00:33:28> 00:33:30: | going to be your best friend. |
| 00:33:30> 00:33:32: | So that's the really big take away to be thinking |
| 00:33:32> 00:33:32: | about. |
| 00:33:32> 00:33:36: | If you're in the affordable housing space or you're doing |
| 00:33:36> 00:33:41: | mid rise or high rise residential buildings, again, you'll see |
| 00:33:41> 00:33:44: | here big check mark for affordable housing developers. |
| 00:33:46> 00:33:52: | And now briefly, other energy credits for a section 48. |
| 00:33:52> 00:33:54: | So if you're doing any of these things on your |
| 00:33:54> 00:33:58: | building or you're thinking about doing them, there may be |
| 00:33:58> 00:34:02: | an additional available tax credit for those, whether it's geothermal |
| 00:34:02> 00:34:05: | PV or you know, dynamic glass, any of these things, |
| 00:34:05> 00:34:08: | there may be additional benefits available to you. |
| 00:34:10> 00:34:14: | It's going to change over time, but so more slides |
| 00:34:14> 00:34:15: | here to look at. |
| 00:34:16> 00:34:18: | There's a lot here, but this again, is another incentive |
| 00:34:19> 00:34:21: | available if you're using some of these technologies. |
| 00:34:22> 00:34:25: | So this goes back to to where we started when |
| 00:34:25> 00:34:29: | we look at that case study for that affordable housing |
| 00:34:29> 00:34:29: | project. |
| 00:34:30> 00:34:33: | If you're doing these things, if you're upgrading a building, |
| 00:34:33> 00:34:36: | you purchased a building, you want to renovate it, or |
| 00:34:36> 00:34:39: | if you're building a new construction building, you should be |
| 00:34:39> 00:34:42: | looking at and assessing what are the available tax credits |
| 00:34:42> 00:34:45: | and tax deductions that are available to me or to |
| 00:34:45> 00:34:48: | my client for making this building more energy efficient. |
| 00:34:50> 00:34:53: | And looking forward to kind of discussing this in more |
| 00:34:53> 00:34:55: | detail in our discussion section. |
| 00:35:00> 00:35:01: | Right, Fantastic. |
| 00:35:01> 00:35:03: | Thank you so much, Jesse. |
| | • |

| 00:35:03> 00:35:05: | Great to get that recap in terms of those key |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:35:05> 00:35:10: | tax provisions with the Inflation Reduction Act and and particularly |
| 00:35:10> 00:35:13: | which firms are best poised to take advantage of them |
| 00:35:13> 00:35:15: | at what point for how much money. |
| 00:35:15> 00:35:17: | I I liked those tables. |
| 00:35:17> 00:35:19: | I hope, I hope everyone else on the on the |
| 00:35:19> 00:35:20: | webinar did as well. |
| 00:35:21> 00:35:23: | Now, one of the, one of the things that that |
| 00:35:23> 00:35:26: | came up as we were thinking about all of these |
| 00:35:26> 00:35:31: | different carrots and sticks when it comes to building decarbonization |
| 00:35:31> 00:35:34: | is around the, the cost benefit of making these improvements, |
| 00:35:34> 00:35:35: | right? |
| 00:35:35> 00:35:39: | We're, we're thinking about building performance standards and SEC reporting |
| 00:35:39> 00:35:43: | compliance and utility incentives and Inflation reduction Act carrots, green |
| 00:35:43> 00:35:44: | finance potential. |
| 00:35:45> 00:35:46: | There's a lot of different elements here. |
| 00:35:46> 00:35:50: | But when we're thinking about that cost benefit discussion, that |
| 00:35:51> 00:35:54: | decision making transition risk becomes a lot more than just |
| 00:35:54> 00:35:56: | regulations, right? |
| 00:35:56> 00:35:59: | We're thinking about climate risk, we're thinking about resilience, we're |
| 00:35:59> 00:36:02: | thinking about occupant health, we're thinking about financial benefits to |
| 00:36:02> 00:36:04: | the building operations and and value. |
| 00:36:04> 00:36:06: | Does anyone want to chime in on that broader cost |
| 00:36:06> 00:36:09: | benefit and where you're seeing that in the market today? |
| 00:36:14> 00:36:15: | Chris, go ahead. |
| 00:36:17> 00:36:17: | Yeah. |
| 00:36:17> 00:36:22: | We're we're seeing clients that are strategizing around the benefit, |
| 00:36:22> 00:36:26: | they are looking at their assets as investments and a |
| 00:36:26> 00:36:30: | lot of these value propositions are playing into their long |
| 00:36:30> 00:36:33: | term asset value creation strategy. |
| 00:36:33> 00:36:38: | So by leveraging incentives to improve the properties and get |
| 00:36:38> 00:36:43: | them closer to performing at the targets that the market |
| 00:36:43> 00:36:48: | is anticipating toward net zero and 0 carbon, they are |
| 00:36:48> 00:36:52: | looking to capitalize off of that value creation. |
| 00:36:53> 00:36:57: | Because there's this notion that in the future, those |

| | properties |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:36:57> 00:37:01: | will be required to make improvements to be 0 carbon |
| 00:37:01> 00:37:05: | and the cost to make those improvements in the future |
| 00:37:06> 00:37:09: | is going to be greater than the cost that they |
| 00:37:09> 00:37:10: | are today. |
| 00:37:11> 00:37:14: | That's sort of economics one O 1. |
| 00:37:15> 00:37:19: | So using incentives in this time to both help ease |
| 00:37:19> 00:37:25: | the learning curve of sometimes adopting and deploying new strategies, |
| 00:37:25> 00:37:30: | new technologies also just to give that competitive edge to |
| 00:37:30> 00:37:34: | the pro forma and get a debt stack that just, |
| 00:37:34> 00:37:39: | yeah, optimizes the the net operating income and the return |
| 00:37:39> 00:37:44: | on investment that that's where we're seeing clients find the |
| 00:37:44> 00:37:45: | best success. |
| 00:37:47> 00:37:48: | Great. |
| 00:37:48> 00:37:49: | Cliff, you want to chime in next? |
| 00:37:50> 00:37:50: | Sure. |
| 00:37:50> 00:37:52: | Yeah, I, I would agree with what we just heard |
| 00:37:52> 00:37:55: | from Chris and I'd add that, you know, beyond, you |
| 00:37:55> 00:37:59: | know, reducing risk and reducing the needs to disclose potential |
| 00:37:59> 00:37:59: | liabilities. |
| 00:38:00> 00:38:02: | There's very much an upside in terms of right now, |
| 00:38:02> 00:38:05: | especially in like the office sector where where we have |
| 00:38:05> 00:38:08: | high vacancy rates in broad section of the country, you |
| 00:38:08> 00:38:11: | have a real flight to quality where the tenants are |
| 00:38:11> 00:38:14: | wanting to move to the buildings that are the best |
| 00:38:14> 00:38:14: | buildings. |
| 00:38:14> 00:38:16: | They're having a hard time getting their employees to come |
| 00:38:16> 00:38:17: | back to work. |
| 00:38:17> 00:38:19: | They want to go to a place where the employees |
| 00:38:19> 00:38:21: | are going to feel good about going. |
| 00:38:21> 00:38:24: | And so that's, that's the usual things, it's amenities and |
| 00:38:24> 00:38:28: | it's location, but it's also having a high performing building |
| 00:38:28> 00:38:30: | that where the employees can feel good about being in |
| 00:38:30> 00:38:33: | a green building and being in a healthy building. |
| 00:38:33> 00:38:36: | So it makes more sense than ever to invest in |
| 00:38:36> 00:38:40: | repositioning your building to be able to attract the best |
| 00:38:40> 00:38:43: | tenants and and retain those tenants. |
| 00:38:43> 00:38:46: | And also, you know, you don't want to lock yourself |
| 00:38:47> 00:38:49: | in to you don't want to invest in say a |
| 00:38:49> 00:38:52: | new furnace or boiler that's going to last for 20 |
| 00:38:53> 00:38:53: | plus years. |

| 00:38:54> 00:38:56: | And in that time there's a very good chance, one, |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:38:56> 00:38:58: | the market's telling you that they want you to perform |
| 00:38:58> 00:38:59: | better. |
| 00:38:59> 00:39:01: | Your tenants and investors are telling you that. |
| 00:39:01> 00:39:03: | But also there's a very good chance there could be |
| 00:39:03> 00:39:07: | building performance standard or some other requirement that's going to |
| 00:39:07> 00:39:09: | make you really regret making that investment. |
| 00:39:09> 00:39:12: | So looking at your all of your investment plans, your |
| 00:39:12> 00:39:15: | capital planning cycle with an eye to, you know, future |
| 00:39:15> 00:39:18: | proofing your portfolio is going to be the way to |
| 00:39:18> 00:39:18: | go. |
| 00:39:18> 00:39:21: | And, and I think increasingly investors are going to be |
| 00:39:21> 00:39:24: | expecting that and punishing those who don't do that. |
| 00:39:25> 00:39:26: | We'll go Jesse and then Anna. |
| 00:39:26> 00:39:29: | But real quickly for folks in the in the audience, |
| 00:39:29> 00:39:31: | we do have an open Q&A box. |
| 00:39:31> 00:39:33: | So while we've got a number of topics that we |
| 00:39:33> 00:39:35: | can discuss amongst ourselves, if you all have questions in |
| 00:39:35> 00:39:38: | the audience, please think on those, put them in the |
| 00:39:38> 00:39:40: | Q&A box and we'll get to those momentarily. |
| 00:39:40> 00:39:40: | Jesse. |
| 00:39:41> 00:39:43: | Yeah, love the earlier comments. |
| 00:39:43> 00:39:46: | I would say that in the post IRA world that |
| 00:39:46> 00:39:49: | the tax incentives that we talked about as a part |
| 00:39:49> 00:39:52: | of this are not large enough to themselves be a |
| 00:39:52> 00:39:56: | a reason for a renovation or something to that effect. |
| 00:39:56> 00:39:59: | But they can really lessen the blow if something is |
| 00:39:59> 00:40:02: | already planned to be done and they can kind of |
| 00:40:02> 00:40:03: | enhance the financial. |
| 00:40:05> 00:40:09: | Outlook of of those upgrades specific to in the commercial |
| 00:40:09> 00:40:12: | real estate side to that comment on on the flight |
| 00:40:12> 00:40:13: | equality. |
| 00:40:13> 00:40:15: | These are things that if you have a tenant need |
| 00:40:15> 00:40:18: | for this already, you might as well be taking advantage |
| 00:40:18> 00:40:20: | of these programs and systems that are in place. |
| 00:40:21> 00:40:24: | And I would say that is that is extra important |
| 00:40:24> 00:40:28: | if you're building or designing a building in a region |
| 00:40:28> 00:40:32: | that that is required to use prevailing wage and apprentices, |
| 00:40:32> 00:40:35: | then these are even really even better programs. |
| 00:40:35> 00:40:38: | And so especially in the state of Washington, this is |
| 00:40:38> 00:40:41: | something that folks should absolutely have in mind. |

| 00:40:41> 00:40:44: | It was really designed for for these applications. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:40:45> 00:40:46: | Terrific. |
| 00:40:46> 00:40:46: | And Anna? |
| 00:40:47> 00:40:50: | Yeah, I guess my perspective is obviously from the background |
| 00:40:50> 00:40:53: | of kind of the the state and federal regulations, but |
| 00:40:54> 00:40:56: | I think, you know what I've covered, it's just a |
| 00:40:56> 00:40:58: | little bit of a tip of the iceberg. |
| 00:40:58> 00:41:01: | I think we're definitely moving in that direction. |
| 00:41:01> 00:41:04: | And some of the fees associated with, you know, not |
| 00:41:04> 00:41:07: | complying with these regulations are pretty significant. |
| 00:41:07> 00:41:11: | You know SB 253 I think is up to half |
| 00:41:11> 00:41:15: | \$1,000,000 a year for the miss compliance. |
| 00:41:15> 00:41:18: | So you know these things take time to to put |
| 00:41:18> 00:41:18: | in place. |
| 00:41:18> 00:41:21: | You can't turn around and decide you're going to report |
| 00:41:21> 00:41:23: | on your greenhouse gas and have that ready to roll |
| 00:41:23> 00:41:25: | out in a couple of weeks. |
| 00:41:25> 00:41:28: | So I think you know there is a significant cost |
| 00:41:28> 00:41:31: | of of sitting on kind of some of these regulations |
| 00:41:31> 00:41:34: | that are coming down the Pike and not starting to |
| 00:41:34> 00:41:35: | take action on those. |
| 00:41:37> 00:41:38: | Absolutely. |
| 00:41:39> 00:41:42: | One thing that was interesting Cliff, that you noted is |
| 00:41:42> 00:41:45: | the, the difference in a number of these building performance |
| 00:41:45> 00:41:49: | standards even just across the Pacific Northwest where some are |
| 00:41:49> 00:41:52: | energy use intensity EUI based, some are carbon emissions GHG |
| 00:41:52> 00:41:53: | based. |
| 00:41:53> 00:41:58: | And that is complicated, especially when you think about how |
| 00:41:58> 00:42:01: | a utility grid can have an impact on the, the |
| 00:42:01> 00:42:03: | carbon emissions of a building. |
| 00:42:04> 00:42:06: | So what's, what's everyone's take on that? |
| 00:42:06> 00:42:09: | We think about hydro, we think about other considerations. |
| 00:42:09> 00:42:11: | Where does that put the State of play Cliff? |
| 00:42:12> 00:42:16: | Well, I think that we need to be looking forward-looking. |
| 00:42:16> 00:42:18: | We're putting in policies that are going to be in |
| 00:42:18> 00:42:19: | place for many years. |
| 00:42:19> 00:42:21: | And when you want to look to the future, I |
| 00:42:21> 00:42:23: | think sometimes it's helpful to look to California, which is |
| 00:42:23> 00:42:25: | ahead of the rest of the country and things like |
| 00:42:25> 00:42:26: | renewable adoption. |

| 00:42:26> 00:42:31: | And there you have problems beginning, you're beginning to have |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:42:31> 00:42:34: | problems where you have a lot of solar and that |
| 00:42:34> 00:42:36: | creates a duct curve situation. |
| 00:42:36> 00:42:39: | Some of you may have heard of that term, but |
| 00:42:39> 00:42:42: | where you have lots of solar generation on sunny days |
| 00:42:42> 00:42:45: | in the middle of the day got no problems. |
| 00:42:45> 00:42:48: | But then going towards evening, the sun is setting, the |
| 00:42:48> 00:42:52: | solar generation is going down, but people are getting home |
| 00:42:52> 00:42:55: | and they're turning on air conditioners and other electric manning |
| 00:42:55> 00:42:56: | appliances. |
| 00:42:56> 00:42:59: | And then you have a mismatch between the availability of |
| 00:42:59> 00:43:02: | clean energy and the need for electricity. |
| 00:43:02> 00:43:06: | And so building performance standards are probably going to have |
| 00:43:06> 00:43:09: | to follow that and move towards thinking about time of |
| 00:43:09> 00:43:10: | use and time of generation. |
| 00:43:11> 00:43:14: | And certainly that is not compatible with things like renewable |
| 00:43:14> 00:43:17: | energy certificates which are not time based their annual. |
| 00:43:17> 00:43:20: | So we're probably going to see in the shorter term |
| 00:43:20> 00:43:23: | the most common metric is what you have in Washington |
| 00:43:24> 00:43:27: | state and and Oregon, which is energy use intensity, which |
| 00:43:27> 00:43:30: | is not by any means perfect, but but at least |
| 00:43:30> 00:43:33: | it it doesn't have annualized wrecks that are sort of |
| 00:43:34> 00:43:35: | throwing off the calculations. |
| 00:43:36> 00:43:39: | And you do have places like Maryland that are using |
| 00:43:39> 00:43:43: | both energy use intensity and on site greenhouse gas emissions |
| 00:43:43> 00:43:46: | to drive both decarbonization of buildings. |
| 00:43:46> 00:43:49: | And if do that efficiently with like heat pumps instead |
| 00:43:49> 00:43:52: | of electric resistance heat, which is bad for electric bills |
| 00:43:52> 00:43:55: | and bad for your tenants and bad for the grid. |
| 00:43:55> 00:43:58: | So yeah, that's a a long winded answer. |
| 00:43:58> 00:44:01: | But I I think the future is going to be |
| 00:44:01> 00:44:05: | having building performance damage work in concert with programs and |
| 00:44:05> 00:44:09: | utility rate tariffs and other things to move the market |
| 00:44:09> 00:44:13: | towards reducing electric loaded peak times and decarbonizing buildings. |
| 00:44:19> 00:44:23: | To add on that, we see this market adoption curve |
| 00:44:23> 00:44:28: | or this growing awareness of clients who some are just |
| 00:44:29> 00:44:36: | entering this situation not really understanding how their |

| | buildings perform. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:44:36> 00:44:39: | And so the first thing they're encountering is collecting their |
| 00:44:39> 00:44:42: | utility bills, bills and just trending the data on their |
| 00:44:43> 00:44:46: | buildings to actually find out how they are performing. |
| 00:44:47> 00:44:50: | And those that are very, very late to the game |
| 00:44:50> 00:44:53: | are going to be doing so under duress because they're |
| 00:44:53> 00:44:57: | going to be doing so in response to legislation and |
| 00:44:57> 00:44:57: | penalties. |
| 00:44:58> 00:45:02: | Those that are taking a proactive approach or even those |
| 00:45:02> 00:45:06: | who eventually do cross that threshold, What happens is you |
| 00:45:06> 00:45:09: | learn a lot about how your buildings perform and you |
| 00:45:09> 00:45:14: | find opportunities to improve performance, which usually means improved cost, |
| 00:45:14> 00:45:18: | reducing energy costs and improving net operating income. |
| 00:45:19> 00:45:23: | And so just using this rubric to improve the management |
| 00:45:23> 00:45:28: | of buildings is a huge opportunity because there are lots |
| 00:45:28> 00:45:33: | of opportunities to, you know, just from the most basic |
| 00:45:33> 00:45:37: | to reduce operating costs through lower energy costs. |
| 00:45:38> 00:45:43: | But as the grid gets more sophisticated around time dependent |
| 00:45:43> 00:45:49: | valuation of the energy that you use, meaning the energy |
| 00:45:49> 00:45:53: | you use at 5:00 PM is more expensive than the |
| 00:45:53> 00:45:55: | energy used at 5:00 AM. |
| 00:45:56> 00:46:01: | By leveraging the operations of your building to use consume |
| 00:46:01> 00:46:06: | energy at the less expensive times and be even putting |
| 00:46:06> 00:46:11: | energy back to the grid during the more expensive times. |
| 00:46:12> 00:46:14: | Those are value generation opportunities. |
| 00:46:14> 00:46:18: | Those will turn into real revenue for developers that are |
| 00:46:18> 00:46:23: | positioning their buildings to be acting to be, you know, |
| 00:46:23> 00:46:27: | proactive agents on the grid and not just passive agents |
| 00:46:27> 00:46:28: | consuming energy. |
| 00:46:29> 00:46:30: | Absolutely. |
| 00:46:30> 00:46:33: | That's such a great point, Chris, this idea of great |
| 00:46:33> 00:46:36: | interactive buildings that are able to shift their load and |
| 00:46:36> 00:46:38: | shed demand given the the time of, of use in |
| 00:46:38> 00:46:41: | the and how dirty or clean the grid is the |
| 00:46:41> 00:46:43: | time of time of carbon emissions. |
| 00:46:43> 00:46:44: | I think there's a better word for that. |
| 00:46:44> 00:46:47: | But to, to be flexible and, and demand flexible when |
| 00:46:47> 00:46:50: | the grid commands it is, it's very much a, a |
| 00:46:50> 00:46:53: | value add and something to Cliff's point that that really |
| 00:46:53> 00:46:56: | helps with future proofing a building success over time as |

| 00:46:57> 00:47:00: | these regulations are changing, as utility pricing changes, as, as |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:47:00> 00:47:02: | all of these variables come into play. |
| 00:47:03> 00:47:06: | Now, Speaking of other variables coming into play, 11 kind |
| 00:47:06> 00:47:09: | of incentive side of things that we haven't yet spoken |
| 00:47:09> 00:47:12: | to is around green bonds and green loans. |
| 00:47:12> 00:47:16: | And, and in addition to CPA's green finance and utility |
| 00:47:16> 00:47:20: | incentives and IRA tax provisions, green bonds and green loans |
| 00:47:20> 00:47:24: | are something that the industry has started to see more |
| 00:47:24> 00:47:27: | of to advanced decarbonization portfolio wide. |
| 00:47:27> 00:47:28: | Anna, can you speak to what you're seeing on that |
| 00:47:28> 00:47:29: | front? |
| 00:47:29> 00:47:30: | Yeah, happy to. |
| 00:47:31> 00:47:34: | I'd say green bonds, green loans, they've been a hot |
| 00:47:35> 00:47:37: | topic probably the last five or so years. |
| 00:47:37> 00:47:41: | 2021 was like a a record green bond issuance year |
| 00:47:41> 00:47:44: | and the last two years have have had some good |
| 00:47:45> 00:47:46: | activity as well. |
| 00:47:47> 00:47:49: | The way that I as ACPA kind of get involved |
| 00:47:49> 00:47:52: | in the green bond, green loan spaces, we have clients |
| 00:47:52> 00:47:55: | that have taken advantage of this incentive. |
| 00:47:55> 00:47:58: | And generally you want to weigh whether it's worth the |
| 00:47:58> 00:48:01: | administrative burden of, of going through a green bond or |
| 00:48:01> 00:48:02: | green loan. |
| 00:48:02> 00:48:05: | There's some additional hoops you need to go through in |
| 00:48:05> 00:48:07: | order to, to get the benefits. |
| 00:48:07> 00:48:10: | But if you're able to make that happen, if you've |
| 00:48:10> 00:48:14: | got a bigger project generally kind of I've heard 25,000,000 |
| 00:48:14> 00:48:17: | is a good baseline for the smallest project that you'd |
| 00:48:17> 00:48:20: | maybe want to explore going for a green bond. |
| 00:48:20> 00:48:23: | But did want to call out that green bonds, green |
| 00:48:23> 00:48:26: | loans are available to corporations and municipalities. |
| 00:48:26> 00:48:29: | So don't necessarily write up off that this isn't an |
| 00:48:29> 00:48:30: | option for you. |
| 00:48:30> 00:48:33: | And a couple of other things I wanted to call |
| 00:48:33> 00:48:35: | out that are kind of handy now that a couple |
| 00:48:35> 00:48:38: | of years have gone by with some pretty big green |
| 00:48:38> 00:48:39: | bond deals. |
| 00:48:39> 00:48:43: | There's existing frameworks that you're able to leverage that make |
| 00:48:43> 00:48:46: | the administrative process of getting that set up a little |
| 00:48:46> 00:48:47: | bit easier. |

| 00:48:48> 00:48:51: | The CPA part comes in after you've issued your green |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:48:51> 00:48:52: | bond, your green loan. |
| 00:48:52> 00:48:57: | Generally there's a requirement to have your spending on that |
| 00:48:57> 00:48:59: | financing certified. |
| 00:48:59> 00:49:01: | So I come in behind the fact double check that |
| 00:49:01> 00:49:04: | the invoices and things that were spent on are in |
| 00:49:04> 00:49:08: | alignment with that green bond or green loan agreement, but |
| 00:49:08> 00:49:11: | have seen clients have a lot of success with those |
| 00:49:11> 00:49:15: | and definitely some big benefits on these bigger projects, some |
| 00:49:15> 00:49:19: | savings and that definitely overcome the administrative burden. |
| 00:49:20> 00:49:21: | Perfect. |
| 00:49:23> 00:49:27: | OK, Jesse, back to the the original inspiration for this |
| 00:49:27> 00:49:30: | webinar series with the Inflation Reduction Act. |
| 00:49:30> 00:49:32: | You gave some you gave that great example of the |
| 00:49:32> 00:49:32: | Houston building. |
| 00:49:32> 00:49:36: | It's I slightly, you know, honest to say that we're |
| 00:49:36> 00:49:40: | not seeing as much uptake from ula headquarters, at least |
| 00:49:40> 00:49:42: | then we thought we would. |
| 00:49:42> 00:49:44: | It's been 2 years of the Inflation Reduction Act. |
| 00:49:44> 00:49:46: | We thought there would be a lot more action, a |
| 00:49:47> 00:49:50: | lot more developers and owners and architects and wreaths |
| | across |
| 00:49:50> 00:49:52: | the board taking advantage of these tax provisions. |
| 00:49:52> 00:49:54: | What are, where is it working? |
| 00:49:54> 00:49:57: | Where are you seeing folks actually like having success and |
| 00:49:57> 00:49:59: | uptake with these provisions? |
| 00:49:59> 00:50:01: | You, you spoke a little bit to where it could |
| 00:50:01> 00:50:02: | work well. |
| 00:50:02> 00:50:03: | Is that where you're seeing more action? |
| 00:50:05> 00:50:07: | You know, that's a wonderful question. |
| 00:50:07> 00:50:10: | And I'm, we're seeing the exact same thing and we're |
| 00:50:10> 00:50:13: | spending the vast majority of, of, of our focus at |
| 00:50:13> 00:50:16: | KBKG is getting the word out to either developers or |
| 00:50:16> 00:50:21: | architecture firms, engineering firms that could be benefiting significantly from |
| 00:50:21> 00:50:24: | the Inflation Reduction Act and just aren't because there's a |
| 00:50:24> 00:50:27: | lack of information or there were so many other things |
| 00:50:27> 00:50:31: | happening in that time in America that just it hasn't |
| 00:50:31> 00:50:34: | reached a lot of these taxpayers and, and business owners. |
| 00:50:36> 00:50:39: | So in the commercial space, what we're seeing is the |

| 00:50:39> 00:50:43: | biggest benefit is that we have a really big window |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:50:43> 00:50:46: | to go backwards as it relates to 1790. |
| 00:50:46> 00:50:49: | So we're seeing a lot of developers that are finally |
| 00:50:49> 00:50:51: | learning about this for the first time. |
| 00:50:52> 00:50:54: | And now we're able to go back on the last |
| 00:50:54> 00:50:57: | 5-6 years of developments that they've put into service and |
| 00:50:57> 00:51:00: | get 1790 deductions across their portfolio buildings. |
| 00:51:00> 00:51:03: | Now they're going to be smaller in the past, we're |
| 00:51:03> 00:51:06: | still talking about \$1.80 per square foot for past projects. |
| 00:51:07> 00:51:10: | But it's kind of immediate money now to get them |
| 00:51:10> 00:51:13: | excited about those \$5 plus deductions coming down the line. |
| 00:51:15> 00:51:18: | So that is something that we're seeing across the developer |
| 00:51:18> 00:51:20: | side that there's a lot of excitement about is kind |
| 00:51:20> 00:51:22: | of, oh wait, I now know about this and I |
| 00:51:22> 00:51:23: | can do it today. |
| 00:51:23> 00:51:26: | And for all of the buildings that I've worked on |
| 00:51:26> 00:51:29: | and put in the service the last 6-7 years, I |
| 00:51:29> 00:51:33: | would say in the architecture space, in the engineering space, |
| 00:51:33> 00:51:38: | this has been really kind of groundbreaking for nonprofit projects. |
| 00:51:38> 00:51:41: | So architecture firms that have been working on government owned |
| 00:51:41> 00:51:45: | buildings, hopefully they have heard about once amenity and are |
| 00:51:45> 00:51:49: | claiming it and claiming these massive tax deductions available to |
| 00:51:49> 00:51:49: | their firm. |
| 00:51:50> 00:51:52: | We still find that most often these firms have not |
| 00:51:52> 00:51:54: | heard about it and are not claiming it. |
| 00:51:56> 00:51:59: | It's even even more important to take it now when |
| 00:51:59> 00:52:04: | you think that these nonprofit projects be at hospitals, private |
| 00:52:04> 00:52:08: | schools, etcetera, can double the number of available projects for |
| 00:52:08> 00:52:10: | these designer firms to pursue. |
| 00:52:11> 00:52:14: | So that's where we're seeing more excitement. |
| 00:52:15> 00:52:18: | But still this is this is underutilized and there needs |
| 00:52:18> 00:52:20: | to be a lot more education here to get this |
| 00:52:20> 00:52:22: | in front of people that can take advantage of it |
| 00:52:22> 00:52:24: | and then be excited about doing it on their next |
| 00:52:24> 00:52:26: | project, right, which is what we want is them to |
| 00:52:26> 00:52:28: | say, oh, this was wonderful. |
| 00:52:28> 00:52:30: | I need to do more of this on the rest |

| 00:52:30> 00:52:32: | of My Portfolio or on my next project development. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:52:35> 00:52:35: | All right. |
| 00:52:36> 00:52:38: | Now, Cliff, there were two slides in your deck. |
| 00:52:38> 00:52:39: | Thank you for that, Jesse. |
| 00:52:39> 00:52:41: | That makes a lot of sense and those are some |
| 00:52:41> 00:52:42: | real, real highlights. |
| 00:52:42> 00:52:44: | I like that In your slide deck, Cliff, I think |
| 00:52:44> 00:52:46: | we're going to pull it up. |
| 00:52:46> 00:52:49: | There were two slides around some key takeaways for service |
| 00:52:49> 00:52:52: | providers and building owners that we ought to just remind |
| 00:52:52> 00:52:55: | folks of as we think about compliance, as we think |
| 00:52:55> 00:52:59: | about the benefit keyword benefit of these sticks and compliance |
| 00:52:59> 00:53:02: | with these sticks for for building owners and for service |
| 00:53:02> 00:53:03: | providers. |
| 00:53:03> 00:53:05: | Cliff, do you want to briefly speak to these? |
| 00:53:05> 00:53:05: | Sure. |
| 00:53:06> 00:53:07: | Yeah, as a building owner, you want to 1st, you |
| 00:53:08> 00:53:10: | want to know what your potential liability exposure is from |
| 00:53:10> 00:53:13: | something like a building performance standard and what your potential |
| 00:53:13> 00:53:15: | to take advantage of these taxes and those other things |
| 00:53:15> 00:53:15: | are. |
| 00:53:15> 00:53:18: | And one of the 1st steps you should too is |
| 00:53:18> 00:53:19: | benchmark your buildings. |
| 00:53:19> 00:53:22: | Even in some jurisdictions, you've been required to benchmark your |
| 00:53:22> 00:53:23: | buildings for many years. |
| 00:53:23> 00:53:26: | But even if you're not required to, you know you |
| 00:53:26> 00:53:30: | may already be voluntarily benchmarking your buildings at 300,000 buildings |
| 00:53:30> 00:53:34: | that are benchmarked in Energy Manager, Portfolio Manager and Energy |
| 00:53:34> 00:53:35: | Star already. |
| 00:53:35> 00:53:39: | But do that understand how your buildings are performing and |
| 00:53:39> 00:53:43: | have that inform your all of the following decisions, your |
| 00:53:43> 00:53:43: | capital plans. |
| 00:53:43> 00:53:46: | You know, where are you going to be spending your |
| 00:53:46> 00:53:47: | money to upgrade your buildings? |
| 00:53:47> 00:53:50: | Anytime you're looking at acquiring an existing building, you're going |

| 00:53:50> 00:53:52: | to want to have this as part of your due |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:53:52> 00:53:53: | diligence checklist. |
| 00:53:53> 00:53:55: | You're going to want to know what the Energy Star |
| 00:53:55> 00:53:57: | score is, know what the EUI and the greenhouse gas |
| 00:53:57> 00:53:58: | intensity of these buildings are. |
| 00:53:59> 00:54:02: | Incorporate that into your checklist and make sure your leases |
| 00:54:03> 00:54:05: | are positioning you and your tenants to succeed. |
| 00:54:06> 00:54:08: | You want win, win leases where both of you come |
| 00:54:08> 00:54:11: | out ahead when the building is performing better and complying |
| 00:54:11> 00:54:13: | with a building performance standard. |
| 00:54:13> 00:54:15: | And in fact, you're also going to want to be |
| 00:54:15> 00:54:18: | able to pass through for your commercial tenants, pass through |
| 00:54:18> 00:54:21: | some of the consequences of non compliance to those tenants |
| 00:54:21> 00:54:23: | so that they have skin in the game to work |
| 00:54:23> 00:54:24: | with you. |
| 00:54:24> 00:54:26: | Because we know the tenants are a major driver of |
| 00:54:26> 00:54:29: | energy consumption in buildings and water consumption and other things. |
| 00:54:30> 00:54:33: | And for your new instruction, you're going to make sure |
| 00:54:33> 00:54:36: | that whenever you're designing a building that it's going to |
| 00:54:36> 00:54:40: | have no problem complying with the building performance standard for |
| 00:54:40> 00:54:41: | decades to come. |
| 00:54:41> 00:54:43: | So you're going to want to have that in your |
| 00:54:43> 00:54:46: | RFP or your selection criteria for selecting the design firm, |
| 00:54:46> 00:54:48: | a good design firm that knows how to design a |
| 00:54:48> 00:54:51: | very high performance building, that won't be a problem. |
| 00:54:51> 00:54:53: | But if you come to, if you select A firm |
| 00:54:53> 00:54:55: | in the normal way and then you come to them |
| 00:54:55> 00:54:57: | later and say, oh, by the way, we need for |
| 00:54:57> 00:54:59: | it to perform really well, you could have really expensive |
| 00:54:59> 00:55:01: | change orders and all kinds of problems. |
| 00:55:02> 00:55:05: | Your job descriptions, your evaluation for your properties managers and |
| 00:55:05> 00:55:07: | building engineers, all of that should be making clear that |
| 00:55:08> 00:55:10: | they are responsible for making this a high performing building |
| 00:55:10> 00:55:13: | that won't have any problems with a building performance standard. |
| 00:55:13> 00:55:17: | So that becomes incorporated and you want to make sure |

| 00:55:17> 00:55:20: | as we've to be accessing all of these sources of |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:55:20> 00:55:24: | capital, including free capital in some cases to improve your |
| 00:55:24> 00:55:25: | buildings. |
| 00:55:25> 00:55:26: | Next slide. |
| 00:55:26> 00:55:29: | From the service provider perspective, it's very similar. |
| 00:55:29> 00:55:32: | It's just, you know, your building owners are looking to |
| 00:55:32> 00:55:35: | you as a source of information about all of this. |
| 00:55:35> 00:55:38: | And absolutely you should never be doing like an energy |
| 00:55:38> 00:55:42: | audit and speaking to the payback without factoring in the |
| 00:55:42> 00:55:45: | fact that if they make these improvements, they are going |
| 00:55:45> 00:55:49: | to be avoiding liability under a building performance standard. |
| 00:55:49> 00:55:53: | You also want to highlight things like avoiding having to |
| 00:55:53> 00:55:57: | disclose climate risk under the SEC and other rules. |
| 00:55:57> 00:56:00: | You want to make sure that you're presenting long term |
| 00:56:00> 00:56:03: | solutions to these building owners and not a Band-Aid that |
| 00:56:03> 00:56:05: | might allow them to comply with the next cycle of |
| 00:56:05> 00:56:07: | a building performance standard. |
| 00:56:07> 00:56:10: | But then they're going to be stuck with equipment that |
| 00:56:10> 00:56:12: | is going to have a 20 year lifespan and they're |
| 00:56:12> 00:56:14: | going to need to tear it out five years later |
| 00:56:14> 00:56:17: | to comply with the next building performance cycle. |
| 00:56:17> 00:56:19: | So you need to have a long term view and |
| 00:56:19> 00:56:22: | you want to make sure that you're helping your clients, |
| 00:56:22> 00:56:25: | the building owners, access the federal, state and utility incentives |
| 00:56:25> 00:56:26: | of loans. |
| 00:56:27> 00:56:29: | Beautiful Well, I I hope that that we were able |
| 00:56:29> 00:56:32: | to help set our members and and owners and service |
| 00:56:32> 00:56:35: | providers up for success with the presentations from our our |
| 00:56:35> 00:56:36: | fantastic panelists today. |
| 00:56:36> 00:56:39: | I think we can maybe close out with just a |
| 00:56:39> 00:56:41: | quick, if there are any parting words from any of |
| 00:56:42> 00:56:44: | our, our panelists who want to share any, any final |
| 00:56:45> 00:56:47: | thoughts with our attendees and be before that. |
| 00:56:47> 00:56:50: | As you all are thinking about those parting words, I'll |
| 00:56:50> 00:56:52: | just remind folks that this webinar is being recorded and |
| 00:56:52> 00:56:53: | slides are being shared. |
| 00:56:54> 00:56:58: | They'll be posted on ULI's knowledge Finder website, |
| | knowledge.uli.org within |
| 00:56:58> 00:56:59: | the next week or two. |
| 00:56:59> 00:57:01: | And we'll make sure to share them with you all |
| 00:57:01> 00:57:02: | to benefit in the long term. |

| 00:57:02> 00:57:03: | All right, Jesse, I see you unmuted. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:57:03> 00:57:06: | First, you get the first shot at parting words. |
| 00:57:06> 00:57:07: | Wonderful. |
| 00:57:08> 00:57:10: | So I would say that this was a very, very |
| 00:57:10> 00:57:13: | high level introduction to a lot of these topics. |
| 00:57:14> 00:57:16: | So my hope is that you get a sense of |
| 00:57:16> 00:57:18: | excitement and then reach out to to somebody on our |
| 00:57:18> 00:57:21: | panel to hear more about what we've all been talking |
| 00:57:21> 00:57:21: | about. |
| 00:57:22> 00:57:25: | If you are a building owner that has a portfolio |
| 00:57:25> 00:57:28: | of buildings, this is applicable to you. |
| 00:57:29> 00:57:32: | If you're an architecture firm, engineering firm that designs these |
| 00:57:32> 00:57:33: | types of buildings, this is also applicable. |
| 00:57:34> 00:57:37: | You'll have our constant information in the downloads. |
| 00:57:37> 00:57:40: | I mean, my website is just www.kbkg.com and you can |
| 00:57:40> 00:57:44: | find our information specifically, but I'd say if you think |
| 00:57:44> 00:57:47: | this could apply, it's worth checking to see if it |
| 00:57:47> 00:57:50: | does because it could be very valuable. |
| 00:57:51> 00:57:52: | Awesome. |
| 00:57:52> 00:57:53: | All right, Anna. |
| 00:57:54> 00:57:54: | Yeah. |
| 00:57:54> 00:57:57: | I think just if you take nothing else away from |
| 00:57:57> 00:58:01: | the webinar, just a feeling that there's a lot coming |
| 00:58:01> 00:58:02: | at you and your business. |
| 00:58:02> 00:58:05: | There's a lot in the space, There's a lot to |
| 00:58:05> 00:58:05: | be on top of. |
| 00:58:06> 00:58:09: | And so just ingraining ESG strategy into your business strategy, |
| 00:58:09> 00:58:11: | making sure those are lines that you can kind of |
| 00:58:11> 00:58:14: | be nimble and adapt and happy to have conversations, conversations |
| 00:58:15> 00:58:17: | with anyone that's interested in, in what that can look |
| 00:58:17> 00:58:19: | like for their business. |
| 00:58:20> 00:58:20: | Awesome. |
| 00:58:20> 00:58:21: | Thank you, Anna, Chris. |
| 00:58:23> 00:58:23: | Yeah. |
| 00:58:25> 00:58:28: | My biggest take away to offer is to develop a |
| 00:58:28> 00:58:32: | strategy to identify the climate related risks with your property |
| 00:58:32> 00:58:37: | before it's subject to these either market based or jurisdictional |
| 00:58:37> 00:58:42: | penalties because it will reveal opportunities for value creation and |

| 00:58:42> 00:58:43: | getting leveraging. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:58:43> 00:58:48: | These incentives have been mentioned today from tax credits and |
| 00:58:48> 00:58:53: | the IRA investment, massive IRA investment to do utility incentives |
| 00:58:53> 00:58:57: | and and other financial instruments like peace financing and and |
| 00:58:58> 00:58:58: | green bonds. |
| 00:58:59> 00:59:02: | Use all the tools in the toolbox to make your |
| 00:59:02> 00:59:05: | strategy come to fruition because it will create value. |
| 00:59:07> 00:59:08: | Awesome, Cliff. |
| 00:59:09> 00:59:11: | l just say time is not on your side. |
| 00:59:11> 00:59:11: | Don't wait. |
| 00:59:11> 00:59:14: | You know, this is your opportunity to take advantage of |
| 00:59:14> 00:59:16: | these incentives that are going to go away. |
| 00:59:16> 00:59:19: | And it's also don't lock yourself in with putting in |
| 00:59:19> 00:59:22: | place the wrong long lived equipment, putting in place a |
| 00:59:22> 00:59:25: | 10 year lease that might misalign incentives between you and |
| 00:59:25> 00:59:29: | your tenants, or you know, even refinancing your mortgage when |
| 00:59:29> 00:59:32: | you could use that money to provide the capital you |
| 00:59:32> 00:59:33: | need to improve your building. |
| 00:59:35> 00:59:35: | Amazing. |
| 00:59:36> 00:59:37: | All right, well, thank you again, everyone. |
| 00:59:37> 00:59:41: | You can find information on the Inflation Reduction Act and |
| 00:59:41> 00:59:43: | many more things at uli.org/federalfunding. |
| 00:59:43> 00:59:46: | Thank you to ULI Northwest and and its members for |
| 00:59:46> 00:59:49: | organizing this webinar series and have a great one everyone. |
| 00:59:49> 00:59:50: | Thanks again. |
| 00:59:52> 00:59:52: | Thank you. |

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact <u></u>.