

Webinar

Water Wise Development Coalition Meeting - 5

Date: March 27, 2024

00:00:05> 00:00:06:	Hi, everybody.
00:00:06> 00:00:07:	Thank you for joining us.
00:00:08> 00:00:11:	This is the Water Wise Development Coalition meeting.
00:00:11> 00:00:15:	I'm Marianne Epig, Senior Director of Resilience for the Urban
00:00:15> 00:00:19:	Land Institute, and we are excited today to talk to
00:00:19> 00:00:23:	you about some important issues related to water wise strategies.
00:00:24> 00:00:27:	Just a quick intro for some newbies.
00:00:27> 00:00:31:	The Water Wise Development Coalition is a partnership between ULI,
00:00:31> 00:00:35:	the Alliance for Water Efficiency, the Sonoran Institute, and the
00:00:35> 00:00:38:	Water Now Alliance, and we convene land use and real
00:00:39> 00:00:43:	estate professionals with public sector decision makers with the primary
00:00:43> 00:00:47:	goal of advancing water smart real estate development and supportive
00:00:47> 00:00:48:	policies.
00:00:48> 00:00:51:	We meet quarterly, virtually, and it's free to join.
00:00:52> 00:00:54:	And if you can help us spread the word about
00:00:54> 00:00:55:	this, that would be wonderful.
00:00:56> 00:00:59:	And as you'll see at the end, we'll have time
00:00:59> 00:01:02:	to discuss upcoming meeting topics, speakers and efforts.
00:01:03> 00:01:05:	And you guys all have a say and what that
00:01:05> 00:01:05:	turns out to be.
00:01:08> 00:01:11:	We have a a jam packed agenda for you today.
00:01:12> 00:01:16:	The topic for today's meeting is water wise policies, regulations
00:01:16> 00:01:19:	and incentives related to land use.
00:01:19> 00:01:24:	In particular, we have 3, excuse me, 4 presenters today.

00:01:24> 00:01:28:	Andrew Morris with the Alliance for Water Efficiency will be
00:01:28> 00:01:31:	discussing state level policies and resources.
00:01:31> 00:01:36:	Waverly Claw will be talking about local policies and
00:01:36> 00:01:40:	resources and then Morgan Hester and her colleague Scott Winter will
00:01:40> 00:01:44:	be discussing how Colorado Springs has update updated its
00.01.40> 00.01.44.	land
00:01:45> 00:01:49:	use and landscape codes in alignment with its water efficiency
00:01:49> 00:01:50:	plan and goals.
00:01:51> 00:01:54:	And then we'll conclude with some group updates and discussion.
00:01:55> 00:01:57:	So we're excited to get started.
00:01:57> 00:01:59:	I'm going to turn it over to our first speaker,
00:01:59> 00:02:00:	Andrew.
00:02:03> 00:02:04:	Thanks so much.
00:02:04> 00:02:07:	Let me get my presentation pulled up here.
00:02:08> 00:02:09:	Thank you for the invitation.
00:02:09> 00:02:12:	I'm Andrew Morris from the Alliance for Water Efficiency.
00:02:12> 00:02:15:	I'm the senior manager for policy and programs.
00:02:16> 00:02:19:	I'll do a brief introduction of AW Looking at who's
00:02:19> 00:02:21:	on the call, it seems like most of you all
00:02:21> 00:02:24:	are familiar, but just kind of a high level to
00:02:24> 00:02:26:	give a sense of who we are as a national
00:02:26> 00:02:27:	non profit.
00:02:27> 00:02:29:	We really work to bring people together.
00:02:29> 00:02:32:	I think that's how I've gotten to know many of
00:02:32> 00:02:35:	you and we want to connect people across states, you
00:02:35> 00:02:39:	know, in into Canada, really connect people to build knowledge,
00:02:39> 00:02:42:	to collaborate and then focus on policy advocacy.
00:02:42> 00:02:44:	So a lot of work at really the federal and
00:02:44> 00:02:48:	the state levels and connecting people and just thinking about
00:02:48> 00:02:50:	who we are as an organization, that Big Blue block
00:02:50> 00:02:53:	there at 328, those are water utilities essentially.
00:02:53> 00:02:57:	So we are mostly water utilities, but also a good
00:02:57> 00:03:02:	number of businesses, manufacturers, trade associations and folks that work
00:03:02> 00:03:06:	with and serve water utilities that are all focused on
00:03:06> 00:03:08:	water efficiency.
00:03:08> 00:03:10:	So it's a good mix of members, but we do
00:03:10> 00:03:13:	tend to have kind of the bulk of our membership
00:03:13> 00:03:14:	in water utilities.

00:03:14> 00:03:17:	Those are both, you know, kind of municipal and governmental
00:03:17> 00:03:17:	utilities.
00:03:17> 00:03:20:	We have some investor owned utilities and a couple other
00:03:20> 00:03:23:	different flavors of utility types mixed in there.
00:03:24> 00:03:28:	So with that as background, I think it's helpful to
00:03:28> 00:03:32:	look at States and their water efficiency and that's been
00:03:32> 00:03:35:	a focus of ours over the past ten years or
00:03:35> 00:03:35:	so.
00:03:35> 00:03:40:	And we recently released our 2022 state policy scorecard.
00:03:40> 00:03:43:	A number of you have probably seen it or you've
00:03:43> 00:03:44:	seen presentations.
00:03:44> 00:03:46:	So I'm going to dig into a couple elements here
00:03:46> 00:03:49:	that are really focused on things that integrate land use
00:03:49> 00:03:52:	and water and then also might affect development.
00:03:53> 00:03:54:	But it's something we do every five years.
00:03:55> 00:03:58:	And what we do is we evaluate state policy and
00:03:58> 00:04:00:	we don't look at local policy.
00:04:00> 00:04:03:	I know there's tons of great things happening in states
00:04:03> 00:04:05:	that may not score as high as a state scorecard
00:04:05> 00:04:08:	just looks at the state policies and not the local
00:04:08> 00:04:08:	work.
00:04:09> 00:04:11:	And we also don't look at, you know, water usage
00:04:12> 00:04:13:	or water availability.
00:04:13> 00:04:15:	Obviously that gets very complex.
00:04:15> 00:04:17:	So we're really focused on the policy side at the
00:04:17> 00:04:21:	state level and the efficiency and sustainability policies there.
00:04:22> 00:04:23:	And it's not AG.
00:04:23> 00:04:24:	So AG's important.
00:04:25> 00:04:27:	Totally agree that our membership, we're more of a urban
00:04:27> 00:04:29:	water users, if you will.
00:04:29> 00:04:30:	So this is not an AG related report.
00:04:32> 00:04:35:	And the purpose of it is to encourage states to
00:04:35> 00:04:38:	adopt laws and policies that promote conservation efficiency.
00:04:39> 00:04:43:	We highlight exemplary laws and regulations and plans and
	policies
00:04:43> 00:04:44:	from different states.
00:04:46> 00:04:48:	And we end with recommendations for each state.
00:04:48> 00:04:50:	So if you're curious about your state, you can pull
00:04:51> 00:04:51:	it up.
00:04:51> 00:04:53:	It's on our website, it's available for everyone.
00:04:54> 00:04:54:	You can check that out.

00:04:56> 00:04:58:	Like I said, we do a wide range of policy
00:04:58> 00:04:58:	areas.
00:04:58> 00:05:00:	So I'm not going to try to talk about all
00:05:00> 00:05:02:	of these and I don't think all of them would
00:05:02> 00:05:03:	even be relevant to today's conversation.
00:05:03> 00:05:05:	So I'm going to focus in on a few here.
00:05:08> 00:05:10:	I did put the rankings and you have to that's
00:05:10> 00:05:11:	kind of the purpose of the scorecard.
00:05:12> 00:05:15:	But those are the overall rankings, not not just the
00:05:15> 00:05:16:	the policies we'll talk about today.
00:05:19> 00:05:22:	So this is new in our 2022 scorecard and it
00:05:22> 00:05:26:	was looking at and asking several questions around water and
00:05:26> 00:05:28:	land use integration planning.
00:05:29> 00:05:31:	And you know, we can see here that the the
00:05:31> 00:05:34:	States and it looks like a lot of the East
00:05:34> 00:05:36:	and a lot of the West and then a few
00:05:36> 00:05:40:	places in between have some state level policy to integrate
00:05:40> 00:05:41:	water and land use planning.
00:05:44> 00:05:46:	To dig a little deeper here, we asked, we, we
00:05:46> 00:05:51:	prepared the scorecard by asking questions normally of state
	agencies
00:05:51> 00:05:54:	or regulators and sometimes other folks in the states with
00:05:54> 00:05:55:	knowledge here.
00:05:56> 00:05:59:	And we, we asked three questions about the water use
00:05:59> 00:06:01:	and land use planning.
00:06:01> 00:06:04:	And I think something that was interesting that we saw
00:06:04> 00:06:06:	this time around, this is a new question for us
00:06:06> 00:06:08:	in 2022, is really the wide range of how people
00:06:08> 00:06:09:	do this.
00:06:09> 00:06:12:	You know, our questions are kind of general, so there's
00:06:12> 00:06:15:	lots of different ways people accomplish this goal.
00:06:16> 00:06:19:	Some are more or less binding, some are more or
00:06:19> 00:06:20:	less effective.
00:06:20> 00:06:23:	If we had to guess, sometimes it's really like, do
00:06:23> 00:06:26:	you have plans that mention water and land use?
00:06:26> 00:06:26:	OK.
00:06:27> 00:06:30:	You know, that's the limit of some plans where as
00:06:30> 00:06:34:	other states have requirements that things be more
	meaningfully integrated,
00:06:34> 00:06:38:	whether that's a comprehensive plan for local government,
	including water,
00:06:39> 00:06:43:	whether that's incorporating regional planning, demand

	forecast, future land use
00:06:43> 00:06:46:	plans and how that connects to future water use.
00:06:46> 00:06:47:	So there's a pretty wide range.
00:06:48> 00:06:51:	So I think in the future, our hope would probably
00:06:51> 00:06:54:	be to refine these a bit and highlight the ones
00:06:54> 00:06:56:	that seem like they, they could do a better job
00:06:56> 00:06:58:	of getting into the details.
00:06:59> 00:07:00:	But we kept it kind of high level.
00:07:01> 00:07:04:	I think one benefit of this time around was one,
00:07:04> 00:07:07:	we started talking about this and the importance of the
00:07:07> 00:07:09:	connection between land use and water use.
00:07:09> 00:07:11:	And then I think the second thing is we we
00:07:11> 00:07:12:	gathered all sorts of information.
00:07:12> 00:07:15:	So for each question, we end up with all the
00:07:15> 00:07:19:	examples from the state agency of their laws, of their
00:07:19> 00:07:22:	regulations, of their plans, of how it works.
00:07:22> 00:07:25:	So that helps us think through things better.
00:07:25> 00:07:27:	And also we are happy to connect our members or
00:07:27> 00:07:29:	other interested folks If you want to reach out.
00:07:30> 00:07:32:	And going back to the map for a second, if
00:07:32> 00:07:35:	you're wondering what your state said that gave them some
00:07:35> 00:07:38:	credit or what they're doing, if you don't already know,
00:07:38> 00:07:40:	we'd be happy to share that with you about your
00:07:40> 00:07:40:	state.
00:07:41> 00:07:45:	Or if you're curious about a neighbor upstream, downstream, different
00:07:45> 00:07:48:	part of the country, this report also enables us to
00:07:48> 00:07:51:	share what other people are doing and act as a
00:07:51> 00:07:52:	resource for everyone.
00:07:52> 00:07:55:	So I think the big picture observations and takeaways from
00:07:55> 00:07:58:	us was really there's a wide range of approaches.
00:07:58> 00:08:02:	And the 50 states survey approach this time around wasn't
00:08:02> 00:08:06:	really in depth enough to fully evaluate how well these
00:08:06> 00:08:07:	performed.
00:08:08> 00:08:11:	But certainly there's a wide range in terms of the
00:08:11> 00:08:14:	detail, the specificity, how water and land use are coordinated.
00:08:15> 00:08:17:	So that's that's a new element here.
00:08:18> 00:08:22:	A classic is fixture standards and building codes for water
00:08:22> 00:08:24:	efficient fixtures.
00:08:24> 00:08:26:	It's not directly related to land use, but it is
00:08:26> 00:08:26:	to development.
00:08:27> 00:08:30:	So we've asked that again, this is a growing area

00:08:30> 00:08:34:	still where different states are adopting requirements for water efficient
00:08:34> 00:08:36:	fixtures, appliances and so on.
00:08:36> 00:08:39:	So we also have some information on there and we
00:08:39> 00:08:42:	also track this regularly and have it on our website.
00:08:44> 00:08:47:	So I wanted to talk a little bit about things
00:08:47> 00:08:49:	that were not in the state scorecard, but that have
00:08:49> 00:08:52:	really been becoming hotter and hotter topics.
00:08:52> 00:08:54:	They've been around for, you know, a while now.
00:08:54> 00:08:57:	But nonetheless, they weren't in our last scorecard, but they
00:08:57> 00:08:59:	are things we've been focusing on lately.
00:09:00> 00:09:05:	We recently reduced this resource on NFT in 2023.
00:09:06> 00:09:10:	It's a Western focused resource and it's not specific to
00:09:10> 00:09:14:	just state policy, but it does include a healthy amount
00:09:14> 00:09:19:	of state policy, state law, state incentive programs, all related
00:09:19> 00:09:20:	to NFT.
00:09:20> 00:09:23:	And if you find this, it's available on our website
00:09:23> 00:09:24:	or our LinkedIn.
00:09:24> 00:09:27:	You can go to the state you're interested in and
00:09:27> 00:09:31:	we'll have examples and bullets and links to the relevant
00:09:31> 00:09:34:	resources or examples or kind of current events from these
00:09:35> 00:09:35:	states.
00:09:36> 00:09:39:	A fair amount of it is limited to new non
00:09:39> 00:09:41:	residential development.
00:09:42> 00:09:46:	There's, you know, tons of programs for existing landscapes as
00:09:46> 00:09:49:	well, but a lot of activity related to new non
00:09:49> 00:09:53:	residential development that's going to affect how people, you know,
00:09:53> 00:09:56:	develop and use water and and as they build out.
00:09:59> 00:10:01:	And again, this is not news to many people on
00:10:01> 00:10:04:	the call, but just another example of that was in
00:10:04> 00:10:08:	Colorado recently and the law was passed and signed related
00:10:08> 00:10:09:	to NFT in future development.
00:10:10> 00:10:13:	So it's a hot area continues to see a lot
00:10:13> 00:10:16:	of activity in a in a wide range of states
00:10:16> 00:10:16:	in the West.
00:10:20> 00:10:23:	I did want to flag something that we're starting to
00:10:23> 00:10:26:	see and that AW has been focusing on and that
00:10:26> 00:10:30:	is the Watersense Homes program that was revamped a couple
00:10:30> 00:10:30:	years back.

00:10:31> 00:10:33:	It's starting to gain a lot of traction in the
00:10:33> 00:10:34:	Southwest especially.
00:10:35> 00:10:38:	And one of the things we're looking at our state
00:10:38> 00:10:41:	level programs to incentivize water sense new homes.
00:10:42> 00:10:46:	We're also seeing in areas that are water stressed or
00:10:46> 00:10:50:	areas where it's hard to get water entitlements, builders are
00:10:50> 00:10:54:	increasingly looking at programs like this one as a way
00:10:54> 00:10:56:	to make the case to the state or to the
00:10:56> 00:11:00:	local community that they are going to be wise users
00:11:00> 00:11:02:	of water, have the water they need.
00:11:03> 00:11:06:	So I think there's a lot of interesting activity going
00:11:06> 00:11:09:	on in this program at the state level, potentially a
00:11:09> 00:11:10:	lot at the local level.
00:11:11> 00:11:13:	And this is an article from a couple years back
00:11:13> 00:11:16:	talking about the program and I thought was pretty interesting
00:11:16> 00:11:17:	from the journal AWWA.
00:11:20> 00:11:24:	Lastly, for something pretty different, I just wanted to flag
00:11:24> 00:11:27:	up there, we are seeing some trends related to it's
00:11:27> 00:11:31:	called the water demand calculator and premise plumbing
	design and
00:11:31> 00:11:32:	building design.
00:11:32> 00:11:35:	And really I think this is, you can put in
00:11:35> 00:11:39:	the category of now that we're seeing a lot of
00:11:39> 00:11:43:	improvements in efficiency, how do we adapt our buildings or
00:11:43> 00:11:46:	our landscapes or our plans to lower water use on
00:11:47> 00:11:49:	a per person or building basis.
00:11:49> 00:11:51:	And so this is a tool that allows you to
00:11:51> 00:11:53:	do that at the building level.
00:11:53> 00:11:57:	And more and more States and regions are adopting this.
00:11:57> 00:12:00:	It's part of the plumbing code and it allows building
00:12:01> 00:12:05:	owners or designers really to design the premise plumbing
00:12:05> 00:12:07:	system in a way that accounts for efficiency.
00:12:08> 00:12:11:	So with all of these, I I've tried to capture
00:12:11> 00:12:15:	areas where the development and water use intersect.
00:12:15> 00:12:17:	Some of that's kind of a broader lens, and those
00:12:17> 00:12:21:	are the state policies around land use planning and water
00:12:21> 00:12:24:	planning, the focus of our scorecard, and then everything
VV. 12.21 VV. 12.24.	down
00:12:24> 00:12:26:	to really the building level.
00:12:27> 00:12:29:	You know, it's not as directly land use planning in
00:12:29> 00:12:32:	the sense it doesn't relate to the buildings next door,
00:12:32> 00:12:35:	but I think there's this whole continuum of state policy
	• •

00:12:35 --> 00:12:38: that's relevant for thinking about the big picture all the 00:12:38 --> 00:12:41: way down to the building level on how you think 00:12:41 --> 00:12:42: about efficiency and water use. 00:12:43 --> 00:12:45: So try to hit a quick survey there of some 00:12:46 --> 00:12:48: of the things that are top of mind for us, 00:12:48 --> 00:12:52: but I'm happy to answer questions on any of those 00:12:52 --> 00:12:55: topics or any other state policy issues that are top 00:12:55 --> 00:12:56: of mind. 00:13:00 --> 00:13:02: Thank you so much, Andrew. 00:13:03 --> 00:13:05: So we have time for questions for Andrew. 00:13:05 --> 00:13:07: You all are welcome to unmute. 00:13:07 --> 00:13:10: Andrew, maybe you want to stop sharing your screen and 00:13:10 --> 00:13:12: then we can see hopes when they ask questions. 00:13:13 --> 00:13:16: I encourage people to to unmute and show your screen 00:13:16 --> 00:13:18: if you ask a question, but you're also welcome to 00:13:18 --> 00:13:19: use the chat box. 00:13:25 --> 00:13:29: Danielle asked if you can drop links to resources you 00:13:29 --> 00:13:31: highlighted into the chat box. 00:13:31 --> 00:13:32: Andrew. 00:13:32 --> 00:13:34: Certainly I will put several links in the chat box 00:13:34 --> 00:13:35: for everyone. 00:13:37 --> 00:13:39: You can do that after, after your Q&A, Andrew, if 00:13:39 --> 00:13:40: you want. 00:13:40 --> 00:13:40: You don't do that. 00:13:41 --> 00:13:43: Sometimes I know I forget things, you know I got, 00:13:43 --> 00:13:44: I got to know. 00:13:45 --> 00:13:48: Yeah, Jenna, your hand is raised. 00:13:48 --> 00:13:49: Yeah, I just wanted to. Add to the the last little bit about the Wattersons 00:13:49 --> 00:13:52: 00:13:52 --> 00:13:52: EPA rated homes. 00:13:53 --> 00:13:56: So I work with the Eastern Municipal Water District in 00:13:56 --> 00:13:59: Paris, California and they just did a big thing at 00:13:59 --> 00:14:02: a community, you know, like 3, maybe 5 miles from 00:14:03 --> 00:14:03: our main campus. 00:14:04 --> 00:14:05: It's a brand new community. 00:14:05 --> 00:14:07: There's 2-3 developments within it. 00:14:07 --> 00:14:09: 2 are Watersense EPA rated homes. 00:14:09 --> 00:14:10: So they did a little EPA. 00:14:10 --> 00:14:14: Watersense just released a study on that reviewing how that 00:14:14 --> 00:14:14: went. 00:14:14 --> 00:14:17: And then we're also looking to maybe work with them 00:14:17 --> 00:14:20: in the future to install potentially like some flume devices

00:14:20> 00:14:22:	or something similar to try and see if like on
00:14:22> 00:14:23:	a per device level.
00:14:23> 00:14:25:	If the homes are are, I don't know if you
00:14:25> 00:14:29:	say operating, but operating as the Watersense EPA study thinks
00:14:29> 00:14:30:	that they should be.
00:14:30> 00:14:32:	And just kind of to find out how that's going.
00:14:32> 00:14:34:	And also just, you know, some end user information for
00:14:35> 00:14:36:	us as well as a water agency.
00:14:37> 00:14:39:	But I know that they, I think two weeks ago
00:14:39> 00:14:42:	released the findings of their initial part of that and
00:14:42> 00:14:45:	then I just started talking with Jonah about the next
00:14:45> 00:14:46:	steps for that.
00:14:48> 00:14:50:	Do do you have the link to that, Jenna, is
00:14:50> 00:14:51:	that out or where?
00:14:51> 00:14:51:	Where can I?
00:14:51> 00:14:54:	Find he sent me APDF because we're listed on there
00:14:54> 00:14:56:	but I I'm sure I can find something for.
00:14:57> 00:14:58:	Let me look it through my emails and see.
00:15:00> 00:15:00:	That's great.
00:15:01> 00:15:04:	And yeah, I think we're we're hearing some things from
00:15:04> 00:15:07:	our members happening at the local level which are pretty
00:15:07> 00:15:08:	exciting around that program.
00:15:08> 00:15:12:	And then the other thing I've heard some rumblings of
00:15:12> 00:15:17:	is on the energy side, sometimes performance based energy programs
00:15:17> 00:15:20:	like Energy Star Homes or others are used in building
00:15:20> 00:15:25:	codes as you know, either alternative pathways for meeting energy
00:15:25> 00:15:28:	efficiency, whereas in some cases required.
00:15:28> 00:15:31:	So I wouldn't be surprised if we see more instead
00:15:31> 00:15:35:	of focusing on just the toilet or just this piece,
00:15:35> 00:15:38:	you know, more holistic look at that new development and
00:15:38> 00:15:42:	how it uses water, which would give the the developer
00:15:42> 00:15:44:	choices as to how to achieve water savings.
00:15:44> 00:15:47:	So I think that's the trend we're maybe a little
00:15:47> 00:15:51:	behind energy on, but there's some interesting conversations going on
00:15:51> 00:15:51:	on there.
00:15:54> 00:15:56:	We have a question from Hope in the in the
00:15:56> 00:15:58:	chat Hope, do you mind unmuting and just asking?
00:16:01> 00:16:02:	Sure.
00:16:02> 00:16:03:	I'm sorry, I'm eating lunch.

00:16:04> 00:16:05:	No problem.
00:16:05> 00:16:09:	Yeah, I'm just curious about how we can ensure enforcement
00:16:09> 00:16:10:	on the state policies.
00:16:10> 00:16:14:	Like for example, Colorado just passed the non functional turf
00:16:14> 00:16:17:	ban on non residential spaces and we were talking about
00:16:18> 00:16:21:	it this morning in our staff meeting just about like.
00:16:22> 00:16:23:	We think it's great, but how are we going to?
00:16:23> 00:16:27:	Ensure that that it's actually happening.
00:16:28> 00:16:29:	Right, right.
00:16:30> 00:16:33:	I think two things I'd share that might be helpful.
00:16:34> 00:16:40:	One is the AWWATEC committee has a project that's just
00:16:40> 00:16:47:	kicking off on enforcement mechanisms for water conservation policies.
00:16:47> 00:16:49:	And it really gets that.
00:16:49> 00:16:53:	Situations where a utility serves an area that maybe like
00:16:53> 00:16:56:	say you're a city, but you serve unincorporated areas or
00:16:56> 00:17:00:	say you're a water authority or a special district that
00:17:00> 00:17:02:	doesn't have any land use control.
00:17:04> 00:17:07:	There's a lot of different flavors where the utility might
00:17:07> 00:17:10:	not have the type of direct planning, zoning, building code
00:17:10> 00:17:13:	inspection that a city would have, or they don't have
00:17:13> 00:17:14:	it for their full service area.
00:17:14> 00:17:19:	So some of those enforcement questions are a function of
00:17:19> 00:17:22:	that and I do think this study is going to
00:17:22> 00:17:28:	highlight ways different utilities have tackled that issue of where
00:17:28> 00:17:33:	their ability to enforce lines up unevenly with their service
00:17:33> 00:17:33:	area.
00:17:34> 00:17:37:	Second, secondly, I like at the state level, one thing
00:17:37> 00:17:40:	I observed in the scorecard process and then also in
00:17:40> 00:17:42:	my old job I worked in, in Georgia a lot
00:17:42> 00:17:43:	on water planning.
00:17:44> 00:17:49:	And sometimes there are structural problems or challenges, let's say
00:17:49> 00:17:54:	with state laws where there are requirements but no clear
00:17:54> 00:17:55:	responsibility.
00:17:55> 00:17:58:	The responsibility on state agencies or on locals is unclear
00:17:58> 00:18:00:	in the underlying laws or plans.
00:18:02> 00:18:04:	And sometimes that's really not a fix you can fix
00:18:04> 00:18:04:	locally.
00:18:05> 00:18:06:	It's got to be fixed at the state level.
00:18:07> 00:18:09:	But that's a challenge when or sometimes there's just requirements

00:18:09> 00:18:11:	in law and that doesn't really say who does what.
00:18:12> 00:18:15:	So some of those are are problems that are really
00:18:15> 00:18:19:	probably state legislature problems or sometimes the agency
	is responsible
00:18:19> 00:18:22:	for the requirements can help fill some gaps with rule
00:18:22> 00:18:23:	making.
00:18:23> 00:18:24:	But that is a common problem.
00:18:24> 00:18:25:	Great.
00:18:29> 00:18:31:	Questions I have AI have a quick question.
00:18:31> 00:18:37:	Andrew, are you seeing pushback on right sizing tap, tap
00:18:37> 00:18:37:	sizes?
00:18:39> 00:18:41:	Maybe that's a local question for Waverly, but I'm I'm
00:18:41> 00:18:43:	really interested in that.
00:18:44> 00:18:44:	Yeah.
00:18:44> 00:18:48:	So I can, I can defer to Waverly.
00:18:48> 00:18:50:	I don't know if you have any specific examples or
00:18:50> 00:18:51:	if you'll cover any.
00:18:54> 00:19:00:	Well, I'm not covering any in this particular presentation, but
00:19:00> 00:19:04:	I mean, I do know that there's a lot of
00:19:04> 00:19:08:	research going on out there and a lot of communities
00:19:08> 00:19:13:	trying to better align their tap fees and tap sizes
00:19:13> 00:19:15:	with the water budget.
00:19:16> 00:19:21:	The estimated amount of water that the the new development
00:19:21> 00:19:25:	will use and kind of weaving in incentives for more
00:19:26> 00:19:31:	water efficient development in the form of kind of reduced
00:19:31> 00:19:32:	tap fees.
00:19:33> 00:19:38:	You know, and so we're seeing that in different communities
00:19:38> 00:19:39:	in Colorado.
00:19:40> 00:19:47:	Little Thompson Water Conservation District is utilizing an urban tap
00:19:47> 00:19:53:	fee structure where they are charging a smaller amount for
00:19:54> 00:20:00:	taps that are intended to be serving infill development that
00:20:00> 00:20:05:	have smaller lot sizes and there is a a penalty
00:20:05> 00:20:09:	if you exceed your allotted water budget.
00:20:10> 00:20:14:	The City of Fountain was working for a while on
00:20:14> 00:20:15:	linking their.
00:20:16> 00:20:23:	Tap fees with the amount of low water use landscaping
00:20:23> 00:20:26:	installed on the site.
00:20:26> 00:20:30:	So there are a few initiatives at the local level
00:20:30> 00:20:32:	where that's where that's concerned.
00:20:34> 00:20:37:	And I think on the state level, I would just
00:20:37> 00:20:40:	add, depending on the state you're in, sometimes the ability

00:20:40> 00:20:44:	in the methodology to charge impact fees, development charges, capacity
00:20:44> 00:20:48:	fees, they're called different things, different states.
00:20:48> 00:20:50:	But your ability to charge those and how you charge
00:20:50> 00:20:54:	those is determined by state statute or rules in some
00:20:54> 00:20:54:	cases.
00:20:54> 00:20:58:	So at the state level, there are sometimes, like if
00:20:58> 00:21:01:	a developer is frustrated with a city or a county
00:21:01> 00:21:05:	and how they're being assessed, fees or meter sizes, they
00:21:05> 00:21:06:	complain to the state.
00:21:07> 00:21:11:	Sometimes those statues have causes of action in them, private
00:21:11> 00:21:15:	causes of action where developers can bring lawsuits against utilities.
00:21:15> 00:21:18:	So I've seen limited activities in some of those areas.
00:21:23> 00:21:23:	Great.
00:21:23> 00:21:24:	Thank you, both.
00:21:25> 00:21:28:	And then Andrew, seems like the most action is happening
00:21:28> 00:21:31:	in the landscaping arena right now at the state level.
00:21:31> 00:21:32:	Is that true?
00:21:32> 00:21:35:	I'm just wondering because you have a better overall sense
00:21:35> 00:21:38:	of this than I do, like percentage wise, what, how
00:21:38> 00:21:42:	many, how many of these policies are really landscape versus
00:21:42> 00:21:43:	versus building oriented?
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building.
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not.
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example,
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done.
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members.
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04: 00:22:05> 00:22:07:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members. There's some other things that are again, a little distant
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04: 00:22:05> 00:22:07: 00:22:07> 00:22:11:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members. There's some other things that are again, a little distant from this conversation like water utility, water loss, some other
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04: 00:22:05> 00:22:07: 00:22:07> 00:22:11:	building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members. There's some other things that are again, a little distant from this conversation like water utility, water loss, some other things that there's a fair amount of activity on.
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04: 00:22:05> 00:22:07: 00:22:11> 00:22:11: 00:22:11> 00:22:13: 00:22:13> 00:22:16:	building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members. There's some other things that are again, a little distant from this conversation like water utility, water loss, some other things that there's a fair amount of activity on. But in the built environment and in, you know, kind
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04: 00:22:05> 00:22:07: 00:22:11> 00:22:11: 00:22:11> 00:22:13: 00:22:13> 00:22:16: 00:22:16> 00:22:18:	building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members. There's some other things that are again, a little distant from this conversation like water utility, water loss, some other things that there's a fair amount of activity on. But in the built environment and in, you know, kind of the land use context, I would say it's it's
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04: 00:22:05> 00:22:07: 00:22:11> 00:22:11: 00:22:13> 00:22:13: 00:22:16> 00:22:18: 00:22:18> 00:22:20:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members. There's some other things that are again, a little distant from this conversation like water utility, water loss, some other things that there's a fair amount of activity on. But in the built environment and in, you know, kind of the land use context, I would say it's it's much more outdoor focused.
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04: 00:22:05> 00:22:07: 00:22:11> 00:22:11: 00:22:11> 00:22:13: 00:22:13> 00:22:16: 00:22:18> 00:22:20: 00:22:24> 00:22:24:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members. There's some other things that are again, a little distant from this conversation like water utility, water loss, some other things that there's a fair amount of activity on. But in the built environment and in, you know, kind of the land use context, I would say it's it's much more outdoor focused. Excellent.
00:21:42> 00:21:43: 00:21:44> 00:21:46: 00:21:46> 00:21:47: 00:21:47> 00:21:50: 00:21:50> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:54: 00:21:54> 00:21:58: 00:21:58> 00:22:02: 00:22:02> 00:22:04: 00:22:05> 00:22:07: 00:22:11> 00:22:11: 00:22:13> 00:22:13: 00:22:16> 00:22:18: 00:22:18> 00:22:20:	versus building oriented? Yeah, there's still a fair amount of building. It just is not. It's more things like the water domain calculator, for example, is kind of just a follow on to the work that's already been done. So it's a little quieter, the less controversial, probably a little less impactful the outdoors, I think the greater focus for more of our members. There's some other things that are again, a little distant from this conversation like water utility, water loss, some other things that there's a fair amount of activity on. But in the built environment and in, you know, kind of the land use context, I would say it's it's much more outdoor focused.

00:22:30> 00:22:31:	OK, I'm not seeing any.
00:22:31> 00:22:33:	If you do, if you think of something later, please
00:22:33> 00:22:36:	put it in the chat box and Andrew can respond.
00:22:39> 00:22:39:	Sorry.
00:22:39> 00:22:40:	Go ahead, Andrew.
00:22:40> 00:22:40:	l'II.
00:22:40> 00:22:41:	Just say I'll drop my e-mail in there too if
00:22:41> 00:22:42:	you ever want to chat.
00:22:43> 00:22:44:	I'd love to learn from you too, so reach out
00:22:44> 00:22:45:	anytime.
00:22:45> 00:22:46:	Thank you, Andrew.
00:22:47> 00:22:47:	All right.
00:22:47> 00:22:50:	Our next speaker is Waverly Klaw with the Sonoran Institute.
00:22:54> 00:22:54:	Great.
00:22:55> 00:22:56:	Hello again, everyone.
00:22:56> 00:23:00:	Nice to see you today and thanks for joining us
00:23:00> 00:23:02:	for this topic today.
00:23:02> 00:23:04:	So I'm going to.
00:23:05> 00:23:05:	Talk.
00:23:05> 00:23:11:	About the kind of local level land use policies or
00:23:11> 00:23:17:	regulations that can be adopted and implemented to support water
00:23:17> 00:23:21:	wise development and redevelopment.
00:23:21> 00:23:23:	So just a little bit about me.
00:23:23> 00:23:26:	I'm the director of the Growing Water Smart program for
00:23:26> 00:23:27:	the Sonoran Institute.
00:23:27> 00:23:31:	The Sonoran Institute's mission is to connect people and communities
00:23:31> 00:23:34:	with the natural resources that nourish and sustain them.
00:23:35> 00:23:39:	And I run the Growing Water Smart program, which focuses
00:23:39> 00:23:44:	on training and supporting local government leaders and their water
00:23:45> 00:23:50:	providers in implementing plans and policies to support community and
00:23:50> 00:23:52:	Regional Water resilience.
00:23:52> 00:23:56:	And we do that primarily through our 2 1/2 day
00:23:56> 00:24:01:	Growing Water Smart workshop and follow up technical assistance grants
00:24:02> 00:24:06:	that we provide to communities to better align their water
00:24:06> 00:24:09:	and land use planning approaches.
00:24:09> 00:24:13:	And at this point, since we started in 2017, we
00:24:14> 00:24:18:	have trained over 100 cities and counties and towns in
00:24:18> 00:24:23:	kind of integrating water and land use together through a

00:24:24> 00:24:26:	series of 19 workshops.
00:24:26> 00:24:31:	And I believe we're at about 42 projects that we
00:24:31> 00:24:37:	have funded across California, Colorado, Arizona to to take action
00:24:37> 00:24:42:	and actually implement some of the steps identified in the
00:24:42> 00:24:46:	communities action plans from the workshop.
00:24:46> 00:24:49:	So I'll talk a little bit more about that later.
00:24:49> 00:24:52:	But you know, really I want to dive into this
00:24:52> 00:24:53:	topic at the local level.
00:24:54> 00:24:58:	You know, what are land use plans and policies?
00:24:58> 00:25:03:	They are the governmental power to regulate what is built
00:25:03> 00:25:03:	and where.
00:25:04> 00:25:08:	And you know, of course they're they shape what our
00:25:08> 00:25:14:	buildings and our transportation modes look like and how efficiently
00:25:14> 00:25:17:	they can use natural resources.
00:25:18> 00:25:23:	And we have existing development in our communities that may
00:25:23> 00:25:27:	not be using water as efficiently as it could be
00:25:27> 00:25:31:	because of outdated fixtures and appliances indoors.
00:25:32> 00:25:37:	And of course the development pattern of our existing develop
00:25:37> 00:25:40:	existing buildings has been determined.
00:25:40> 00:25:42:	So that's kind of what we're working with already in
00:25:43> 00:25:44:	the built environment.
00:25:44> 00:25:49:	But we also know that new development has the potential
00:25:49> 00:25:54:	to increase in our total water demand and, you know,
00:25:54> 00:26:00:	disturbing green fields and and natural spaces creates impervious surfaces
00:26:00> 00:26:05:	and impacts the ability to infiltrate rain and storm water
00:26:05> 00:26:06:	into the ground.
00:26:06> 00:26:10:	So the point here really is that through land use
00:26:10> 00:26:16:	planning and policy making, we have the opportunity to really
00:26:16> 00:26:20:	build and redevelop in our communities in such a way
00:26:20> 00:26:25:	that makes them resilient and sustainable and equitable in the
00:26:25> 00:26:30:	ways that we want to really utilize our scarce water
00:26:30> 00:26:31:	resources.
00:26:34> 00:26:35:	And so how do we achieve that?
00:26:36> 00:26:41:	We really want to in the Growing Water Smart program
00:26:41> 00:26:46:	and when thinking about water and land use integration,
00:26:46> 00:26:53:	focus on maximizing approaches to conserving water, using it as efficiently

00:26:53> 00:26:59:	as possible, using all forms of water strategically, including reuse,
00:26:59> 00:27:04:	rather than focusing on on acquiring more water, storing it.
00:27:06> 00:27:10:	And and also really looking at the ways that we
00:27:10> 00:27:15:	can manage our rain and storm water in ways that
00:27:15> 00:27:21:	use nature based approaches through low impact development on site
00:27:21> 00:27:27:	or green infrastructure rather than kind of our traditional approaches
00:27:27> 00:27:32:	of of piping water away from where it falls in.
00:27:32> 00:27:35:	In order to achieve that, we really have to work
00:27:35> 00:27:36:	collaboratively.
00:27:36> 00:27:40:	We have to break down some of the traditional silos
00:27:40> 00:27:46:	that we see between land use planners, water resource managers,
00:27:46> 00:27:50:	elected officials so that we can come up with the
00:27:50> 00:27:56:	most strategic opportunities and develop strategies that make sense for
00:27:56> 00:27:58:	the communities in which we live.
00:27:58> 00:28:02:	And I'm really looking forward to hearing more from Colorado
00:28:02> 00:28:06:	Springs today about how they were able to break down
00:28:06> 00:28:10:	those silos and really achieve that integration on water and
00:28:10> 00:28:11:	land use planning.
00:28:16> 00:28:22:	So I want to make the point that water wise
00:28:22> 00:28:28:	policies can actually achieve many different benefits.
00:28:28> 00:28:33:	And sometimes I think we get pretty siloed in our
00:28:33> 00:28:37:	own thinking about, you know, what is the water savings
00:28:38> 00:28:40:	of this land use policy.
00:28:40> 00:28:45:	But we can think about how to make our communities
00:28:45> 00:28:51:	sustainable and resilient in many different facets, such as keeping
00:28:52> 00:28:57:	the cost of water manageable so that residents don't get
00:28:57> 00:29:02:	hit so hard in their pocketbooks or their wallets for
00:29:02> 00:29:02:	water.
00:29:03> 00:29:06:	They're paying less because they're using less.
00:29:06> 00:29:08:	And we also are able to keep the cost of
00:29:08> 00:29:13:	water more manageable for new development projects, especially affordable housing,
00:29:13> 00:29:16:	which I'll talk about a little bit later.
00:29:18> 00:29:21:	Some of these policies also help us build resilience to
00:29:21> 00:29:22:	natural hazards.
00:29:22> 00:29:25:	It keeps development out of floodplains.
00:29:26> 00:29:32:	It allows us to integrate Firewise standards into our landscaping

00:29:32> 00:29:33:	practices.
00:29:34> 00:29:39:	And some of these policies also help us protect ecosystem
00:29:39> 00:29:43:	services, support our economic goals as well.
00:29:43> 00:29:46:	So I think more and more we're really looking at
00:29:47> 00:29:49:	these multi benefit solutions.
00:29:51> 00:29:56:	So the way that we really breakdown the opportunities for
00:29:56> 00:30:01:	integrating water and land use into one another is by
00:30:01> 00:30:05:	focusing on five different tool boxes.
00:30:06> 00:30:11:	And they they include long range planning, which is ensuring
00:30:11> 00:30:18:	that a community's comprehensive or general plan
	adequately addresses water,
00:30:18> 00:30:23:	especially regarding the planned growth for the community.
00:30:23> 00:30:28:	And that those comprehensive plans or general plans are in
00:30:29> 00:30:33:	line with the water providers water supply plans on or
00:30:33> 00:30:36:	their water efficiency plans.
00:30:37> 00:30:41:	So that everyone's on the same page in terms of
00:30:41> 00:30:45:	the the water needs for the community as well as
00:30:45> 00:30:50:	the the development and growth projections for the
	community as
00:30:50> 00:30:51:	well.
00:30:52> 00:30:57:	Then we have water adequacy and alternative supplies.
00:30:58> 00:31:03:	This toolbox is really about policies that help us ensure
00:31:03> 00:31:08:	that sufficient water is available for new development and
	also
00:31:08> 00:31:13:	that alternative sources of water are being maximized to really
00:31:13> 00:31:18:	reduce any projected gap between water supply and
	demand.
00:31:19> 00:31:27:	And some of those policies include policies around water
	allocation.
00:31:27> 00:31:28:	I'll talk a little bit more about that.
00:31:29> 00:31:37:	Conservation, tap fees, grey water use where potable water
00:31:37> 00:31:40:	is or isn't acceptable to use.
00:31:40> 00:31:43:	We're seeing more policies around that these days as well.
00:31:45> 00:31:50:	The next toolbox is around the urban form and the
00:31:51> 00:31:53:	built environment.
00:31:53> 00:31:59:	This really includes policies that promote A compact urban
00.01.00/ 00.01.03.	form,
00:31:59> 00:32:05:	policies that promote efficient buildings and low water use,
	landscapes
00:32:05> 00:32:08:	and reducing outdoor water use.
00:32:09> 00:32:15:	And many of those policies really are implemented through
	zoning

00:32:15> 00:32:19:	or building landscape or subdivision codes.
00:32:20> 00:32:23:	The 4th toolbox is around watershed health.
00:32:23> 00:32:29:	It's focused on those policies that protect sensitive
	ecosystems and
00:32:29> 00:32:34:	buffer the built environment from areas, you know, ensuring that
00:32:34> 00:32:39:	areas remain undeveloped or are developed in a certain way
00:32:39> 00:32:46:	to promote things like stormwater infiltration, floodplain management, water quality
00:32:46> 00:32:47:	protection, etcetera.
00:32:49> 00:32:55:	And then the last toolbox is really about policies that
00:32:55> 00:33:01:	can influence existing development and water users.
00:33:01> 00:33:07:	So that includes conservation based water rates and strategies like
00:33:07> 00:33:12:	retrofitting on resale, which I'll talk about in a moment
00:33:12> 00:33:13:	as well.
00:33:17> 00:33:20:	So I have just a couple of examples actually, let
00:33:20> 00:33:21:	me go back for a moment.
00:33:22> 00:33:27:	I'm highlighting one example from each of these last four
00:33:27> 00:33:31:	tool boxes to just give a better sense of what
00:33:31> 00:33:35:	can be achieved at the local level in terms of
00:33:35> 00:33:40:	better integrating water into land use policies.
00:33:41> 00:33:47:	So this first example is around water allocation policies.
00:33:47> 00:33:53:	This is an example from the city of Chandler, AZ.
00:33:53> 00:33:59:	In 2015, they adopted a water allocation policy because they
00:33:59> 00:34:04:	recognized that they did not have infinite water supply and
00:34:04> 00:34:09:	they wanted to ensure that the water that they had
00:34:09> 00:34:15:	in their portfolio was being directed towards uses that supported
00:34:15> 00:34:17:	their community values.
00:34:17> 00:34:23:	And so they developed a three tier allocation policy where
00:34:24> 00:34:29:	utilizing kind of a base allocation, you know of a
00:34:29> 00:34:33:	certain amount of projected water use.
00:34:33> 00:34:37:	If a new development fell into that tier, then you
00:34:38> 00:34:42:	know they would provide the water to that new development.
00:34:44> 00:34:47:	If the the water use of a new development was
00:34:48> 00:34:53:	going to exceed that base allocation, they would fall into
00:34:53> 00:34:53:	Tier 2.
00:34:53> 00:34:59:	Which if it is determined by the water resource management
00:35:00> 00:35:05:	strategy that the use of that water was in line
00:35:05> 00:35:11:	with the values and priorities of the community, then that
00:35:11> 00:35:17:	additional water would be provided as a quality of life
00:35:17> 00:35:18:	allocation.

00:35:19> 00:35:26:	And then the third tier is essentially, if that additional
00:35:26> 00:35:31:	needed water was not deemed to be in line with
00:35:31> 00:35:37:	the priorities of the community, then the the developer or
00:35:37> 00:35:42:	new user needs to purchase and bring water to the
00:35:42> 00:35:46:	city above that Tier 1 allocation.
00:35:47> 00:35:51:	And I think this is a really timely discussion because
00:35:52> 00:35:56:	just today there was or yesterday, I think it was
00:35:56> 00:36:01:	sometime this week, the the Colorado Sun published a really
00:36:01> 00:36:05:	great and in depth article about data centers.
00:36:05> 00:36:09:	And, you know, what they highlighted was not only do
00:36:10> 00:36:13:	they use a lot of energy, but they also use
00:36:13> 00:36:15:	a lot of water.
00:36:15> 00:36:20:	And so with the increase in the use of AI,
00:36:20> 00:36:26:	data centers are, you know, being built all over the
00:36:27> 00:36:27:	place.
00:36:27> 00:36:32:	And I think it's an important discussion that communities need
00:36:32> 00:36:36:	to have about whether they have any policies in place
00:36:36> 00:36:40:	about how they're allocating their water resources.
00:36:43> 00:36:47:	So then the next strategy that I want to highlight
00:36:48> 00:36:51:	is zoning for density and infill.
00:36:52> 00:36:58:	We know that multi family housing, you know, uses less
00:36:58> 00:37:03:	water kind of per capita than single family housing does.
00:37:04> 00:37:10:	We know that infill development it typically uses less water
00:37:10> 00:37:14:	because the the space was already irrigated.
00:37:14> 00:37:19:	If they're you're talking about adding an accessory dwelling unit
00:37:19> 00:37:24:	or converting something that was single family to duplex, you're
00:37:24> 00:37:29:	not adding a whole new water footprint in your community.
00:37:30> 00:37:33:	And so I wanted to share this example from the
00:37:34> 00:37:35:	San Francisco Bay Area.
00:37:36> 00:37:42:	They developed a a plan that highlighted the ways in
00:37:42> 00:37:50:	which the region can grow without increasing water demand.
00:37:50> 00:37:54:	And one of the main tenets of that study and
00:37:54> 00:38:01:	that plan was that they identified that through more compact
00:38:01> 00:38:07:	land use and some other improvements in water efficiency, the
00:38:07> 00:38:13:	Bay Area could add 2.1 million jobs, have 6.8 million
00:38:13> 00:38:18:	people and 2.2 million new homes by 2070 and still
00:38:18> 00:38:25:	offset all water use from that growth through those strategies.
00:38:26> 00:38:31:	And so I think it's important for local governments to
00:38:31> 00:38:38:	look at whether their zoning is overly restrictive and whether,

00:38:38> 00:38:43:	you know, single family zoned areas should be revised in
00:38:43> 00:38:49:	order to allow, you know, by right accessory dwelling units,
00:38:50> 00:38:55:	you know, increases in density to, you know, duplex or
00:38:55> 00:38:57:	multi family homes.
00:38:58> 00:39:03:	And that's increasingly relevant when we're looking at the
	affordable
00:39:04> 00:39:05:	housing prices.
00:39:05> 00:39:09:	This was in the New York Times this morning and
00:39:09> 00:39:14:	it really highlights the ways in which States and local
00:39:14> 00:39:20:	governments are trying to increase density and focus on infill
00:39:20> 00:39:21:	development.
00:39:24> 00:39:29:	Then I want to briefly mention a strategy that supports
00:39:29> 00:39:35:	watershed health and ecosystem services and this is a subdivision
00:39:35> 00:39:39:	strategy called cluster or conservation subdivision.
00:39:40> 00:39:46:	And enabling this in your subdivision code allows developers who
00:39:46> 00:39:52:	are building new subdivisions to reduce the lot sizes of
00:39:52> 00:39:59:	the residential development and cluster them in particular areas of
00:39:59> 00:40:04:	the site rather than spreading them out evenly.
00:40:04> 00:40:08:	And what that does is it allows areas to be
00:40:08> 00:40:12:	undisturbed and preserved as open space.
00:40:13> 00:40:15:	And I think one of the, you know.
00:40:16> 00:40:20:	Big benefits there is you know, not only are you
00:40:20> 00:40:25:	ending up with smaller lot sizes, which then you know
00:40:25> 00:40:31:	require less landscaping, which reduces outdoor water use, but you're
00:40:31> 00:40:38:	also preserving the outdoor space and enabling traditional drainage ways
00:40:38> 00:40:39:	to still exist.
00:40:40> 00:40:44:	And here's an example of Highlands Ranch Co where they
00:40:44> 00:40:49:	clustered their development in such a way that it preserved
00:40:49> 00:40:54:	the drainage ways, which has the added benefit of also
00:40:54> 00:41:00:	providing recreational opportunities for the residents to kind of use
00:41:00> 00:41:04:	paths and natural areas throughout the community.
00:41:06> 00:41:10:	The last one that I will mention here is a
00:41:10> 00:41:17:	policy that requires existing development to retrofit their fixtures and
00:41:17> 00:41:22:	appliances when the when the property is sold.
00:41:22> 00:41:27:	And so this is something that the city of San
00:41:27> 00:41:32:	Diego enacted quite a long time ago in 1997 before
00:41:32> 00:41:39:	the passage of California legislation, SB4O7 that really

kicked in 00:41:39 --> 00:41:41: starting in 2014. 00:41:41 --> 00:41:47: And it essentially just requires that, you know, toilets, shower 00:41:47 --> 00:41:54: heads, faucets, etcetera, be replaced with water efficient fixtures before 00:41:54 --> 00:41:56: a change of ownership. 00:41:56 --> 00:42:01: And so that is something that you know, communities can 00:42:01 --> 00:42:07: consider enacting and it really addresses that existing development. 00:42:08 --> 00:42:13: I think by some accounts, the San Diego ordinance has 00:42:13 --> 00:42:18: resulted in over 300,000 retrofits of older toilets and urinals 00:42:18 --> 00:42:23: and has resulted in savings of over 10 million gallons 00:42:23 --> 00:42:24: of water per day. 00:42:24 --> 00:42:29: So can be an effective way of tackling the existing 00:42:29 --> 00:42:33: development and it also saves new homeowners money. 00:42:33 --> 00:42:39: And Andrew was mentioning the the water demand calculator. 00:42:39 --> 00:42:45: This was something, you know, pulled from epa.gov water sense 00:42:45 --> 00:42:49: that it can really save hundreds of dollars in in 00:42:49 --> 00:42:51: reduced water. 00:42:51 --> 00:42:51: Costs. 00:42:53 --> 00:42:55: So just a couple of other quick things. 00:42:55 --> 00:43:01: If you are more interested in learning about local policies 00:43:01 --> 00:43:07: for integrating water and land use planning, I have 3 00:43:07 --> 00:43:09: publications for you. 00:43:09 --> 00:43:13: One is ours, it's our Water and land use Nexus 00:43:13 --> 00:43:18: guidebook, and the other is the Urban Land Institute Water 00:43:18 --> 00:43:23: wise publication at Marianne, authored a couple of years ago. 00:43:24 --> 00:43:29: And the third is a pretty detailed guidebook for local 00:43:29 --> 00:43:34: planners that really dives into some of the strategies that 00:43:34 --> 00:43:39: I briefly highlighted today and that was put out by 00:43:39 --> 00:43:44: Western resource advocates and the Land Use Law Center at 00:43:44 --> 00:43:45: Pace Law School. So I'll pop those into the chat. 00:43:45 --> 00:43:47: 00:43:49 --> 00:43:55: If you are interested in exploring local policies around water 00:43:55 --> 00:44:00: wise development and you are affiliated with a community in 00:44:00 --> 00:44:06: Colorado, Arizona, California or along the US Mexico border,

we do offer these Growing Water Smart workshops and will

would encourage you to get in touch with us because

be in the coming months in several different states.

00:44:06 --> 00:44:11:

00:44:11 --> 00:44:16:

00:44:16 --> 00:44:21:

00:44:21> 00:44:25:	It is no cost to participating teams.
00:44:25> 00:44:29:	You just need to apply and put together a team
00:44:29> 00:44:33:	of planners and water providers and elected officials to come
00:44:34> 00:44:37:	and talk for several days around what what are the
00:44:38> 00:44:42:	best strategies at the local level to address your water
00:44:42> 00:44:43:	challenges.
00:44:45> 00:44:49:	And I also encourage folks on the call who maybe
00:44:49> 00:44:55:	have had some successes in integrating water into various land
00:44:56> 00:44:59:	use policies to showcase your successes.
00:45:00> 00:45:03:	And if you happen to be in Colorado, you can
00:45:03> 00:45:08:	nominate your community for an American Planning Association Colorado Award
00:45:08> 00:45:10:	for Growing Water Smart.
00:45:12> 00:45:17:	And it's exciting that Colorado Springs is here because they
00:45:17> 00:45:21:	were a recipient of this award last year.
00:45:21> 00:45:24:	So they'll be sharing what it is that they did
00:45:24> 00:45:26:	in their community.
00:45:26> 00:45:28:	And I will leave it at that so that we
00:45:28> 00:45:30:	can get to their presentation.
00:45:30> 00:45:31:	So thank you.
00:45:33> 00:45:35:	Thank you so much, Waverly.
00:45:35> 00:45:36:	Excellent presentation.
00:45:37> 00:45:40:	We have time for maybe a couple questions before we
00:45:40> 00:45:42:	go on to our Colorado Springs presenters.
00:45:42> 00:45:45:	Does anyone want to unmute and ask a quick question?
00:45:51> 00:45:54:	And if I don't see any now, please put them
00:45:54> 00:45:56:	in the chat as you think about them and we
00:45:56> 00:45:57:	really can respond.
00:46:01> 00:46:02:	All right, I'm not seeing any right now.
00:46:02> 00:46:05:	So we're going to move on to our Colorado Springs
00:46:05> 00:46:10:	award winners, Morgan Hester and Scott Winter with Colorado Springs.
00:46:16> 00:46:18:	I could not find the unmute button.
00:46:18> 00:46:21:	Hello everyone, can y'all see my screen before I start
00:46:21> 00:46:23:	going through the presentation?
00:46:24> 00:46:27:	Yeah, you, Morgan, can you go into full screen mode?
00:46:28> 00:46:29:	Yes, yeah.
00:46:29> 00:46:31:	But I just want to make sure that y'all could
00:46:31> 00:46:32:	see it and then looking good.
00:46:32> 00:46:33:	OK, great.
00:46:33> 00:46:34:	Thank you.

00:46:35> 00:46:38:	So loved Waverly's presentation.
00:46:39> 00:46:40:	We do work together.
00:46:40> 00:46:42:	So disclaimer on that.
00:46:42> 00:46:45:	And as she mentioned, we did win an award last
00:46:45> 00:46:50:	year for our approach to water conservation and landscaping,
	which
00:46:50> 00:46:53:	Scott and I will go over today.
00:46:53> 00:46:56:	So he and I will be using the same presentation
00:46:56> 00:46:57:	together.
00:46:57> 00:47:00:	We've split it in half and hopefully, no, I'm just
00:47:00> 00:47:01:	kidding.
00:47:01> 00:47:03:	I, I will be very mindful of how long I
00:47:03> 00:47:06:	talked about this because I could go on for forever,
00:47:06> 00:47:09:	But just to introduce myself, my name is Morgan Hester.
00:47:09> 00:47:12:	I am the Long range planning Division manager for the
00:47:12> 00:47:16:	City of Colorado Springs and I was the project manager
00:47:16> 00:47:20:	for the Retool Cos project, which resulted in our now
00:47:20> 00:47:24:	adopted Unified Development Code or the UDC, which has some
00:47:24> 00:47:27:	measures in place that we will go over in our
00:47:27> 00:47:28:	presentation today.
00:47:29> 00:47:34:	So an introduction for what Colorado Springs is, recognizing that
00:47:34> 00:47:37:	maybe not everyone is familiar with our jurisdiction.
00:47:38> 00:47:41:	We are larger than 200 square miles.
00:47:42> 00:47:45:	We're also nestled next to a mountain range.
00:47:45> 00:47:49:	So Pikes Peak is located within the Springs area, high
00:47:49> 00:47:54:	elevation semi area, arid Prairie and foothills, which read that
00:47:55> 00:47:57:	to say, doesn't rain here much.
00:47:57> 00:48:02:	Last summer it was pretty wet, but it's not typical
00:48:02> 00:48:05:	for us to have super rainy seasons.
00:48:06> 00:48:09:	Water is a finite resource, and that's something that we've
00:48:10> 00:48:13:	been extremely mindful of and also whenever we were working
00:48:13> 00:48:17:	through our code rewrite, over half a million residents and
00:48:17> 00:48:21:	for where they live, a little over 200,000 us within
00:48:21> 00:48:22:	our jurisdiction.
00:48:22> 00:48:26:	We do have 5 military installations, so a lot of
00:48:26> 00:48:28:	our population is somewhat transient.
00:48:28> 00:48:31:	Whenever orders come up, then they have to move.
00:48:31> 00:48:34:	But that is a crucial part of our economy and
00:48:35> 00:48:36:	the makeup of our region.
00:48:38> 00:48:43:	Within the city limits, we have about 125,000 acres and

00:48:44> 00:48:48:	to 27,000 of the acres of that are undeveloped.
00:48:48> 00:48:52:	So we do have some potential for infill within our
00:48:52> 00:48:56:	city limits and we've had significant population growth in the
00:48:57> 00:49:00:	past and we're also looking to that in the future.
00:49:00> 00:49:04:	So that did also play a huge part in our
00:49:04> 00:49:06:	process of updating our code.
00:49:07> 00:49:10:	And so with me saying that, what does that really
00:49:10> 00:49:10:	mean?
00:49:11> 00:49:14:	So the Retool Cos project was the rewrite of our
00:49:14> 00:49:19:	now former zoning and subdivision ordinance plants US is
00.49.14> 00.49.19.	our
00:49:19> 00:49:23:	comprehensive plan, which I say is kind of the umbrella.
00:49:23> 00:49:25:	It guides what we do within the city.
00:49:25> 00:49:29:	So not just a planning document, but there are other
00:49:29> 00:49:31:	plans that are reliant on that.
00:49:31> 00:49:35:	So Connect Cos is the transportation plans, that's public works
00:49:35> 00:49:40:	Home Cos, that's housing attainability and affordability, which was adopted
00:49:40> 00:49:43:	by our community development group.
00:49:43> 00:49:47:	Smart Cos is smart cities, that's through innovation Retool, that's
00:49:47> 00:49:49:	the code rewrite project.
00:49:49> 00:49:52:	We have Annex Cos, which is currently in the works
00:49:52> 00:49:57:	and our neighborhood planning program, but today's presentation is focused
00:49:57> 00:49:58:	on the retool project.
00:49:58> 00:50:01:	
	But just wanted to mention that why we did it.
00:50:02> 00:50:05:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six
	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six
00:50:05> 00:50:08:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there
	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code.
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11: 00:50:12> 00:50:13:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated.
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated. We had gone through a process for several decades of
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11: 00:50:12> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated. We had gone through a process for several decades of amending our codes and recognizing a huge factor of water
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11: 00:50:12> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:20:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated. We had gone through a process for several decades of
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11: 00:50:12> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:22:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated. We had gone through a process for several decades of amending our codes and recognizing a huge factor of water being a finite resource. We saw as an opportunity to incorporate some really
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11: 00:50:12> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:22: 00:50:22> 00:50:26:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated. We had gone through a process for several decades of amending our codes and recognizing a huge factor of water being a finite resource. We saw as an opportunity to incorporate some really significant
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11: 00:50:12> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:22: 00:50:22> 00:50:26:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated. We had gone through a process for several decades of amending our codes and recognizing a huge factor of water being a finite resource. We saw as an opportunity to incorporate some really significant and positive change.
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11: 00:50:12> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:22: 00:50:22> 00:50:26: 00:50:26> 00:50:27: 00:50:28> 00:50:32:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated. We had gone through a process for several decades of amending our codes and recognizing a huge factor of water being a finite resource. We saw as an opportunity to incorporate some really significant and positive change. Our code was adopted last year Valentine's Day and it
00:50:05> 00:50:08: 00:50:08> 00:50:11: 00:50:11> 00:50:11: 00:50:12> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:22: 00:50:22> 00:50:26: 00:50:28> 00:50:32: 00:50:32> 00:50:34:	Essentially our comprehensive plan gave the direction for these six projects that are on the screen of recognizing that there was a need for a total overhaul of our zoning code. It was extremely outdated. We had gone through a process for several decades of amending our codes and recognizing a huge factor of water being a finite resource. We saw as an opportunity to incorporate some really significant and positive change. Our code was adopted last year Valentine's Day and it went into effect in June.

00:50:40> 00:50:41:	looks like.
00:50:41> 00:50:44:	So the focus of the rewrite, I'm not going to
00:50:44> 00:50:48:	go into all of the details today, will largely focus
00:50:48> 00:50:53:	on the landscape component as well as new zone districts.
00:50:53> 00:50:56:	So I'll focus more on the land use and zoning
00:50:56> 00:50:59:	side and Scott will address the landscape changes.
00:51:00> 00:51:05:	We, we really made a huge effort to make significant
00:51:05> 00:51:09:	change with our existing residential zone districts.
00:51:10> 00:51:14:	And with that, we've really touched on this in her
00:51:14> 00:51:20:	presentation of the need for including more permitted uses
	within
00:51:20> 00:51:21:	residential.
00:51:21> 00:51:26:	So from a density standpoint, infrastructure, being mindful of how
00:51:26> 00:51:31:	developments are occurring and what the character of them are,
00:51:31> 00:51:35:	I'm hesitating before I go to this next slide 'cause
00:51:35> 00:51:38:	I kind of want to build this up a little
00:51:38> 00:51:41:	more, but we made the attempt to include more uses
00:51:42> 00:51:44:	within what was currently in existence.
00:51:44> 00:51:47:	And when I say currently, I mean three years ago,
00:51:47> 00:51:50:	two years ago, one year ago when this was still
00:51:50> 00:51:53:	in the works, direction from our City Council was to
00:51:53> 00:51:56:	not make any changes to the existing residential districts.
00:51:57> 00:51:59:	So what was the result on the left side of
00:51:59> 00:52:00:	the screen?
00:52:00> 00:52:03:	That was all of the districts that were in place
00:52:03> 00:52:07:	and we essentially carried them all forward, but we created
00:52:07> 00:52:08:	3 new zone districts.
00:52:08> 00:52:12:	And that is a significant change which I'll go over
00:52:12> 00:52:14:	a little more later in this presentation.
00:52:16> 00:52:19:	But what we have in place are essentially retired districts
00:52:20> 00:52:23:	that are still functioning as they were whenever they were
00:52:23> 00:52:27:	initially adopted, primarily one or two permitted uses.
00:52:27> 00:52:32:	So it's maybe you know, single family allows single family.
00:52:32> 00:52:35:	And then as you go up in density, it might
00:52:35> 00:52:39:	be single family and duplex or single family duplex
00.50.20 > 00.50.40	multifamily.
00:52:39> 00:52:42:	And that just is something that we've recognized was not
00:52:43> 00:52:45:	working with our commercial districts.
00:52:45> 00:52:46:	We made a huge change.
00:52:47> 00:52:50:	The commercial districts were all converted to mixed-use districts.

00:52:51> 00:52:54:	So now they all permit residential as a component.
00:52:54> 00:52:57:	There's not a requirement for a certain percentage.
00:52:58> 00:53:00:	If someone wants to do residential, they can or they
00:53:00> 00:53:02:	can do all commercial.
00:53:02> 00:53:03:	It's completely up to the developer.
00:53:03> 00:53:08:	But wanting to provide additional options for more housing and
00:53:08> 00:53:12:	you know, an opportunity for additional density.
00:53:13> 00:53:17:	So with the new zone districts I mentioned, we created
00:53:17> 00:53:19:	the flex districts.
00:53:19> 00:53:25:	So having the three different districts, I'll go over what
00:53:25> 00:53:27:	the difference for them all is.
00:53:27> 00:53:31:	But we wanted to have an opportunity for applicants or
00:53:31> 00:53:32:	developers.
00:53:33> 00:53:36:	They wanted to do a residential development as long as
00:53:36> 00:53:39:	the density requirement is met, they could do whatever mix
00:53:40> 00:53:40:	made sense.
00:53:40> 00:53:44:	So if it's something that maybe one block face has
00:53:44> 00:53:47:	all single family, the end cap is duplex or maybe
00:53:47> 00:53:51:	a low density multifamily, they could do that again as
00:53:51> 00:53:53:	long as the density is met.
00:53:53> 00:53:56:	So there wasn't a limitation to the permitted uses.
00:53:56> 00:54:01:	This also reduced the lot size.
00:54:01> 00:54:06:	So with our former residential zone districts, which again mentioned
00:54:06> 00:54:10:	that they were carried over the quote highest density single
00:54:11> 00:54:13:	family district was 6000 square feet.
00:54:14> 00:54:17:	We had a lot of planned unit developments popping up
00:54:17> 00:54:21:	because it was something that the market was just people
00:54:21> 00:54:24:	wanted smaller lots and there was a desire to have
00:54:24> 00:54:25:	more dense development.
00:54:26> 00:54:30:	So we essentially established within a hard zone district the
00:54:30> 00:54:33:	lower lot size requirements.
00:54:33> 00:54:37:	So with those flex districts, one of the districts I
00:54:37> 00:54:40:	know is, you know, the, the minimum is like 2000
00:54:40> 00:54:41:	square feet.
00:54:41> 00:54:47:	So encouraging more density, but recognizing the amount of
	infrastructure
00:54:47> 00:54:50:	that it requires for new developments.
00:54:50> 00:54:54:	And then I mentioned with the mixed-use districts, the
	conversion
00:54:54> 00:54:57:	of commercial districts to mixed-use so that there could be
00:54:57> 00:54:58:	a housing component.

00:54:59> 00:55:03: 00:55:03> 00:55:07:	This is something that City Council was in support of recognizing that if a use is permitted, the amount of
00:55:07> 00:55:11:	time and financial commitment of an applicant to rezone a
00:55:11> 00:55:15:	property or convert it in some way would be reduced
00:55:15> 00:55:18:	by just including it as a permitted use.
00:55:19> 00:55:22:	So expanding a little more on the flex districts, this
00:55:22> 00:55:25:	graphic is just a general representation of what a flex
00:55:25> 00:55:27:	district could look like.
00:55:28> 00:55:33:	Wanting to move away from the more Euclidean type zoning,
00:55:33> 00:55:38:	so the separation of specific use types and encouraging
00.55.55> 00.55.56.	more
00:55:38> 00:55:40:	creative development.
00:55:40> 00:55:44:	There's a less lesser requirement for new infrastructure.
00:55:44> 00:55:47:	This is something that can be utilized for infill projects.
00:55:48> 00:55:51:	Really wanting to encourage this type of development.
00:55:51> 00:55:54:	I continue to use the word opportunity and that's extremely
00:55:54> 00:55:57:	intentional because this is not a mandate for anybody.
00:55:57> 00:55:58:	It's it's an option.
00:55:58> 00:56:02:	So we've had many of our larger developers worked with
00:56:02> 00:56:05:	us on the zoning code rewrite and we're in huge
00:56:05> 00:56:08:	support of this because they were having to go through
00:56:08> 00:56:12:	and doing the PUD, which is now PDZ planned development
00:56:12> 00:56:12:	zone.
00:56:13> 00:56:15:	I like to call the create your own zone district.
00:56:15> 00:56:19:	So having to go through rezone properties because they needed
00:56:19> 00:56:23:	something that was a smaller lot more housing types and
00:56:23> 00:56:25:	a mix of what that looked like.
00:56:25> 00:56:27:	So this is now something that they don't have to
00:56:28> 00:56:30:	go through rezoning request and they can come in and
00:56:30> 00:56:33:	just say I'm going to do the zoning and everything
00:56:33> 00:56:36:	meets the dimensional standards and they should be good to
00:56:36> 00:56:36:	go.
00:56:39> 00:56:42:	Again mentioned with the mixed-use districts that conversion.
00:56:42> 00:56:46:	This also is focused on the need for less infrastructure.
00:56:46> 00:56:51:	We have some properties, vacant commercial properties,
	where there have
00:56:51> 00:56:56:	been many requests that have come in, ideas of wanting
00:56:56> 00:57:00:	to use, let's say a former Sam's Club and converting
00:57:00> 00:57:01:	that to housing.
00:57:02> 00:57:07:	Recognizing that the infrastructure is already in existence, wanting to
00:57:07> 00:57:12:	essentially retrofit a property to include additional housing,

	but knowing
00:57:12> 00:57:16:	that there is this need for housing, wanting to be
00:57:16> 00:57:18:	more creative, utilizing properties.
00:57:18> 00:57:23:	There's not an additional pressure on our systems that we
00:57:23> 00:57:24:	already have.
00:57:26> 00:57:28:	Again, just as an opportunity.
00:57:28> 00:57:31:	But this is something that has been met with a
00:57:31> 00:57:36:	lot of excitement and has encouraged more creative developments within
00:57:36> 00:57:41:	Colorado Springs and we have some additional housing options.
00:57:41> 00:57:45:	So still looking at it from the perspective of different
00:57:45> 00:57:51:	housing types, smaller lots opportunities for infill, we have added
00:57:51> 00:57:53:	in additional use types.
00:57:53> 00:57:57:	So Tiny Homes is a new addition with our code.
00:57:58> 00:58:00:	We do have some developments in place.
00:58:00> 00:58:02:	Of course, there was nothing in the code before.
00:58:02> 00:58:05:	So it was my favorite create your own zone district.
00:58:05> 00:58:08:	They were approved, but this is something that now it
00:58:08> 00:58:11:	is found in our use table as a permitted use,
00:58:11> 00:58:15:	which is extremely beneficial again from the time and financial
00:58:15> 00:58:19:	commitment standpoint for an applicant and have that defined in
00:58:19> 00:58:22:	certain zone districts of where it would be permitted to
00:58:22> 00:58:26:	meet with the character of what that development type would
00:58:26> 00:58:27:	look like.
00:58:27> 00:58:33:	We've also included or expanded upon campgrounds and RV usage.
00:58:33> 00:58:37:	So what we had before was, I don't want to
00:58:37> 00:58:41:	say like an outright exclusion of that use, but it
00:58:41> 00:58:46:	was more we have pockets within the springs, enclaves of
00:58:46> 00:58:50:	county property within the city that have not yet been
00:58:51> 00:58:51:	annexed.
00:58:52> 00:58:56:	And it being something that needing to provide an additional
00:58:56> 00:59:00:	use for various housing types that are not quote UN
00:59:00> 00:59:04:	quote traditional as one may think, but wanting to have
00:59:05> 00:59:09:	that inclusion because it's still an opportunity for housing AD
00:59:09> 00:59:10:	us.
00:59:10> 00:59:11:	We've really brought this up.
00:59:11> 00:59:14:	This is something that was adopted prior to the new
00:59:14> 00:59:14:	code.

00:59:15> 00:59:18:	So the inclusion and allowance for AD us within various
00:59:18> 00:59:20:	residential zone districts.
00:59:21> 00:59:23:	So that is the end of me and Scott also
00:59:23> 00:59:27:	clicked through the slides for you, but I'm going to
00:59:27> 00:59:28:	mute myself now.
00:59:29> 00:59:29:	Great.
00:59:29> 00:59:29:	Thank you.
00:59:30> 00:59:34:	So I'm gonna talk about the utilities perspective on this
00:59:34> 00:59:35:	issue.
00:59:36> 00:59:40:	To clarify, the city of Colorado Springs and Colorado Springs
00:59:40> 00:59:44:	utilities are two separate organizations, which it historically has led
00:59:45> 00:59:48:	to a lot of siloing and some disconnects between, you
00:59:48> 00:59:51:	know, what we do on the utility side and what
00:59:51> 00:59:52:	the city is doing.
00:59:54> 00:59:56:	So we've done a lot of work in the last
00:59:56> 00:59:58:	couple of years to make sure that we're meeting on
00:59:59> 01:00:01:	a consistent basis and and working together on all these
01:00:01> 01:00:02:	issues.
01:00:03> 01:00:04:	So we are a Forest Service utility.
01:00:04> 01:00:06:	We do still answer to our City Council.
01:00:06> 01:00:10:	So we have kind of the same, the same leadership
01:00:10> 01:00:11:	but separate organization.
01:00:12> 01:00:15:	We do provide water, wastewater, electric and natural gas service.
01:00:15> 01:00:19:	So we provide all the utilities for the city.
01:00:20> 01:00:22:	We get most of our water, about 70% actually from
01:00:23> 01:00:24:	the Colorado River.
01:00:24> 01:00:28:	So we we recognize that the primary source of our
01:00:28> 01:00:32:	supplies is, you know, under threat in many ways from
01:00:32> 01:00:37:	growth and changing climate and that sort of thing.
01:00:37> 01:00:40:	So, so we've been doing a lot of conservation over
01:00:40> 01:00:43:	the last, you know, 25 years or so and we've
01:00:43> 01:00:47:	made a lot of progress, but we're still serving about
01:00:47> 01:00:51:	24 billion gallons of water a year on the potable
01:00:51> 01:00:55:	side, about 10% more than that on the reclaimed water
01:00:55> 01:00:55:	side.
01:00:56> 01:00:59:	So we've got a lot of demand, but you can
01:00:59> 01:01:03:	go ahead and go to the next slide, Morgan, if
01:01:03> 01:01:04:	we can do that.
01:01:06> 01:01:06:	All right.
01:01:06> 01:01:09:	So we we've still got a lot of water demand,

01:01:09> 01:01:12:	but we we have conserved A tremendous amount.
01:01:12> 01:01:16:	So our population has doubled since about 1985, but our
01:01:16> 01:01:21:	demands overall, our overall sales have not changed since 1985.
01:01:21> 01:01:23:	And I think that's a a similar story can be
01:01:23> 01:01:26:	told in many cities, particularly in the West.
01:01:26> 01:01:30:	But you know that's the the current state of things
01:01:30> 01:01:34:	here is a tremendous increase in efficiency over the last
01:01:34> 01:01:35:	25 years or so.
01:01:36> 01:01:40:	Residential sales per customer are down about 40% since 2000
01:01:40> 01:01:43:	and non residential is down about 35%.
01:01:43> 01:01:46:	So we, we have done a lot of conservation both
01:01:46> 01:01:47:	indoor and outdoor.
01:01:47> 01:01:54:	So landscape irrigation is is down nearly 50% essentially since
01:01:54> 01:01:54:	2000.
01:01:54> 01:01:57:	So most of the conservation or the majority of the
01:01:58> 01:02:01:	conservation has been done in the landscape, but we've also
01:02:02> 01:02:05:	really seen big changes in in indoor uses as well.
01:02:06> 01:02:09:	So conservation, we, we like to take a lot of
01:02:09> 01:02:12:	credit for that when we're talking to groups like this.
01:02:12> 01:02:15:	But when our revenue folks come calling, we we don't
01:02:15> 01:02:15:	answer.
01:02:16> 01:02:21:	So you know, this is multifaceted.
01:02:22> 01:02:25:	We've done a lot of conservation, but we've had multiple
01:02:25> 01:02:28:	droughts and water shortages since 2000.
01:02:28> 01:02:31:	And those biggest drops you see on the chart on
01:02:31> 01:02:36:	the right there, especially that blue line which represents our
01:02:36> 01:02:41:	residential use per customer, those two steepest drops coincide with
01:02:41> 01:02:44:	the water shortages that we've had.
01:02:44> 01:02:48:	And with each of those we did not see any
01:02:48> 01:02:52:	bounce back at all to previous usage rates.
01:02:52> 01:02:56:	So the droughts are a big, big factor and increasing
01:02:56> 01:02:57:	prices as well.
01:02:57> 01:03:02:	We've we've built one large water project which led to
01:03:03> 01:03:08:	a lot of increases in pricing along that, you know
01:03:08> 01:03:10:	the last 1520 years.
01:03:10> 01:03:14:	And so we are seeing big impacts from that as
01:03:14> 01:03:14:	well.
01:03:15> 01:03:16:	So go ahead and go to the next one, Morgan.
01:03:19> 01:03:24:	Counter to that, we are seeing significant increases in use

01:03:24> 01:03:26:	in new construction.
01:03:26> 01:03:30:	So sort of counterintuitive considering a lot of the efficiency
01:03:30> 01:03:33:	standards and things we've seen addressing indoor use.
01:03:34> 01:03:39:	But the outdoor use component, because of the ubiquity of
01:03:39> 01:03:46:	irrigation systems now since about the early 80s, irrigation
	rates
01:03:46> 01:03:52:	and outdoor use generally has been increasing in new construction.
01:03:52> 01:03:57:	So homes built in 2020 in general are using quite
01:03:57> 01:04:00:	a bit more than homes built in 1970.
01:04:01> 01:04:04:	And so that trend has continued.
01:04:04> 01:04:08:	And that's really one of the reasons why we wanted
01:04:08> 01:04:12:	to address the new construction side of things.
01:04:12> 01:04:16:	Most of our conservation has been related to existing
••	construction
01:04:16> 01:04:19:	and we needed to do more to address the new
01:04:19> 01:04:23:	construction side of things and and this is indicative of
01:04:23> 01:04:23:	that need.
01:04:24> 01:04:24:	Go ahead.
01:04:27> 01:04:30:	So our 2022 water efficiency plan in the state of
01:04:30> 01:04:34:	Colorado, we've we've got to update our water efficiency plans
01:04:34> 01:04:35:	every seven years.
01:04:35> 01:04:40:	And so this most recent plan set a target that
01:04:40> 01:04:45:	has been even modified since it was published because of
01:04:46> 01:04:48:	Colorado River issues.
01:04:48> 01:04:52:	We're looking at about 1.9 billion gallons of savings that
01:04:52> 01:04:55:	we're looking to achieve by 2050.
01:04:56> 01:05:00:	And a portion of that has to come from, from
01:05:00> 01:05:03:	landscaping in new construction.
01:05:04> 01:05:08:	The, the pie chart on the right shows retool, which
01:05:08> 01:05:12:	is the, the project that led to the, the new
01:05:12> 01:05:15:	UDC Uniform Unified Development Code.
01:05:15> 01:05:19:	And that portion, that's the portion that we're gonna, is
01:05:19> 01:05:23:	gonna contribute to the new construction side of things.
01:05:23> 01:05:27:	So about 300 million gallons from new construction codes, ordinances
01:05:27> 01:05:28:	and incentives.
01:05:28> 01:05:31:	So the, the UDC is really the the code side
01:05:31> 01:05:32:	of it.
01:05:32> 01:05:37:	And ordinance, there's a landscape ordinance portion both
04.05.27 \ 04.05.20.	addressing residential
01:05:37> 01:05:39:	and commercial development.

01:05:40> 01:05:45:	And with some of the information we're collecting in this
01:05:45> 01:05:50:	process, we're going to feed that in to increase our
01:05:50> 01:05:55:	our ability to incentivize changes in addition to what the
01:05:56> 01:05:57:	code provides.
01:05:57> 01:06:02:	So you know, things like development, what we call
01:06:02> 01:06:06:	development charges, those are, are tap fee incentives.
01:06:06> 01:06:08:	We don't currently have incentives for tap fees.
01:06:09> 01:06:13:	Some of the data we're collecting in this process associated
01:06:13> 01:06:16:	with the the new code will allow us to better
01:06:17> 01:06:22:	incentivize those tap fees and provide some additional incentives and
01:06:22> 01:06:23:	savings for us.
01:06:24> 01:06:29:	About 200 of the 300 million gallons of savings we
01:06:29> 01:06:34:	anticipate from new construction will be associated with the the
01:06:34> 01:06:35:	UDC though.
01:06:35> 01:06:39:	So, so some of the things that we're doing are
01:06:40> 01:06:42:	are going to be yet to come.
01:06:42> 01:06:44:	So go ahead to the next slide.
01:06:46> 01:06:46:	All right.
01:06:46> 01:06:52:	So the data collection portion of this, so in order
01:06:52> 01:06:56:	to ensure that the code is being met in new
01:06:56> 01:07:03:	construction, we've developed standards for turf can be no more
01:07:03> 01:07:09:	than 25% of both commercial and residential landscapes.
01:07:09> 01:07:14:	Now in the past, commercial landscapes could be as much
01:07:14> 01:07:17:	as 40% turf grass and we did not have any
01:07:17> 01:07:20:	standards for residential landscapes.
01:07:20> 01:07:24:	So those did not have any any limitations at all.
01:07:24> 01:07:27:	So, so now we have a 25% limit.
01:07:28> 01:07:31:	I know some cities have have gone beyond the 25%
01:07:31> 01:07:35:	in recent years, but in Colorado Springs, 25% was as
01:07:35> 01:07:37:	far as we could go.
01:07:39> 01:07:44:	In order to ensure that that's occurring, we've built a
01:07:44> 01:07:49:	tool that developers use to input the landscape composition of
01:07:49> 01:07:53:	their developments into this into this tool.
01:07:53> 01:07:59:	And they're also providing irrigation type and some
	information about
01:07:59> 01:08:04:	how they anticipate those areas being irrigated.
01:08:04> 01:08:08:	So what we're seeing is a lot of native grass
01:08:08> 01:08:14:	in these areas and native grass historically has actually been

01:08:14> 01:08:18:	very often irrigated like turf grass.
01:08:18> 01:08:21:	And so we want to make sure that where native
01:08:21> 01:08:26:	grass is being specified, we understand how they anticipate
	that
01:08:26> 01:08:30:	being irrigated and so that we can estimate some water
01:08:30> 01:08:31:	demand from that.
01:08:32> 01:08:35:	Essentially what we end up with in this process is
01:08:35> 01:08:40:	a total irrigation budget for each project and that allows
01:08:40> 01:08:44:	us to understand the impact of the code itself, but
01:08:44> 01:08:48:	also to anticipate future water, water needs that we can
01:08:48> 01:08:51:	tie to some other future efforts.
01:08:51> 01:08:54:	So we could do some ground truthing with this as
01:08:55> 01:08:55:	well.
01:08:56> 01:09:00:	And when with the the customer, the ultimate utility customer
01:09:00> 01:09:05:	occupies the site, we can actually compare their, their
01:09:05> 01:09:07:	to what was planned on the site.
01:09:07> 01:09:11:	So we can figure out if we need some additional
01:09:11> 01:09:16:	programming to ensure that that the demands meet the
01:09:16> 01:09:19:	actual design of the site.
01:09:19> 01:09:22:	So budget based rates are are one way of of
01:09:22> 01:09:25:	doing that and we are slowly moving toward budget based
01:09:25> 01:09:26:	rates as well.
01:09:26> 01:09:28:	So go ahead to the next slide.
01:09:31> 01:09:34:	So the data we've collected so far sort of breaks
01:09:34> 01:09:37:	down as you see in this chart.
01:09:38> 01:09:41:	Prior to the code going into effect, we had collected
01:09:41> 01:09:46:	several years of data for development plans going back to
01:09:46> 01:09:46:	about 2016.
01:09:47> 01:09:52:	And the baseline was about 17% of the irrigable area
01:09:52> 01:09:55:	was going in as cool season turf grass.
01:09:55> 01:09:59:	And what we're seeing since the code went into effect
01:09:59> 01:10:01:	last summer, it was about 12% turf grass.
01:10:02> 01:10:04:	And the assumption that we had made prior to to
01:10:04> 01:10:08:	the implementation was that we could get it down to
01:10:08> 01:10:09:	about 14% conservatively.
01:10:10> 01:10:14:	And maybe further what we're seeing so far is 12%
01:10:14> 01:10:18:	and we're seeing a lot of a lot of developments
01:10:18> 01:10:21:	since the code went into effect.
01:10:21> 01:10:24:	You know some of the some of these numbers are
01:10:24> 01:10:29:	including some developments that had started prior to the
	new
01:10:29> 01:10:31:	code going into effect.

01:10:31> 01:10:34:	We're seeing a lot of developments with no turf press
01:10:34> 01:10:34:	at all.
01:10:34> 01:10:37:	So, so it is it is having an effect so
01:10:37> 01:10:40:	far and I think that will expand.
01:10:40> 01:10:43:	Go ahead to the next one.
01:10:44> 01:10:45:	I think that's it.
01:10:47> 01:10:50:	Thank you, Marvin and Scott, if you don't mind stopping
01:10:50> 01:10:53:	sharing, we'll open it up to a couple questions before
01:10:53> 01:10:55:	we move on to the group discussion.
01:10:59> 01:11:01:	Does anyone have any questions?
01:11:06> 01:11:07:	All right.
01:11:07> 01:11:09:	Well, if you think of something, please put it in
01:11:09> 01:11:11:	the chat box and Morgan and Scott can respond.
01:11:13> 01:11:14:	Right?
01:11:16> 01:11:19:	Just to keep going here, I just wanted to mention
01:11:19> 01:11:23:	that during our last meeting, we had a meeting on
01:11:23> 01:11:30:	landscaping codes and ordinances and resources and
01.11.20 × 01.11.00.	templates, design templates.
01:11:31> 01:11:33:	And I'm wondering if anyone from Northern Water is still
01:11:33> 01:11:34:	on the line.
01:11:36> 01:11:40:	Frank Kinder, if you don't mind unmuting, I'm just giving
01:11:40> 01:11:44:	a little shout out to your new landscape design templates.
01:11:51> 01:11:53:	And Frank, if you, if you could put them in
01:11:54> 01:11:57:	the chat box when you're available, that would be great.
01:11:57> 01:12:00:	But I just wanted to mention that we also created
01:12:00> 01:12:03:	a list of resources from that session that we can
01:12:03> 01:12:04:	share.
01:12:04> 01:12:06:	And I can put that in a follow up e-mail
01:12:06> 01:12:08:	or in in the chat box shortly.
01:12:08> 01:12:11:	But I was wondering if we should do something similar
01:12:11> 01:12:15:	for policies, both state level and local level policies.
01:12:15> 01:12:19:	I'm wondering if people wouldn't mind unmuting and letting
	me
01:12:19> 01:12:20:	know, does that already exist?
01:12:21> 01:12:24:	Do we already have a list of resources for policies
01:12:24> 01:12:28:	related to water wise strategies or is that something that
01:12:28> 01:12:30:	we should invest time in creating?
01:12:36> 01:12:38:	Just feel free to unmute.
01:12:40> 01:12:40:	Hi.
01:12:40> 01:12:44:	Marianne, this is Chelsea Benjamin from Western Resource Advocates.
01:12:44> 01:12:48:	We have a sort of working list of state level
01:12:48> 01:12:52:	policies related to land use and water, and it's broken

01:12:52> 01:12:56:	down into different categories of strategies.
01:12:56> 01:12:59:	It's in constantly in the update process.
01:12:59> 01:13:02:	The last time we updated it was in 2022, but
01:13:02> 01:13:05:	we hope to keep adding to it and adding some
01:13:05> 01:13:07:	of the newer ones this summer.
01:13:07> 01:13:09:	And I can pop the link to that in the
01:13:09> 01:13:09:	chat.
01:13:10> 01:13:11:	That would be perfect, Yeah.
01:13:11> 01:13:15:	For anyone who has resources, obviously we've heard about
	some
01:13:16> 01:13:19:	of the other resources from our speakers today, but I'd
01:13:19> 01:13:23:	love to maybe create another document like what we did
01:13:23> 01:13:28:	for landscape ordinances and PUT include Western resource advocates as
01:13:28> 01:13:28:	well.
01:13:34> 01:13:38:	Anybody else have resources that they're aware of?
01:13:50> 01:13:50:	Right.
01:13:50> 01:13:52:	This is a quiet group today.
01:13:54> 01:13:54:	All right.
01:13:54> 01:13:57:	And then I just wanted to mention that the Next
01:13:57> 01:14:00:	Generation Water Summit is coming up on June 20th and
01:14:00> 01:14:03:	21st and the link is here and I can put
01:14:03> 01:14:05:	that in the chat box as well.
01:14:09> 01:14:13:	Just in terms of upcoming programming, these are the plans
01:14:13> 01:14:15:	for the upcoming meetings.
01:14:15> 01:14:18:	We'd love for you guys to give us some input.
01:14:18> 01:14:22:	So our next meeting will be on affordability related to
01:14:22> 01:14:25:	water pricing, tap fees and equity.
01:14:25> 01:14:28:	And we have some folks who have already volunteered to
01:14:28> 01:14:29:	talk about that.
01:14:29> 01:14:32:	But if any of you are interested in being a
01:14:32> 01:14:36:	speaker or contributing resources along those lines, please let us
01:14:36> 01:14:37:	know.
01:14:37> 01:14:39:	You can put it in the chat box and we'll
01:14:39> 01:14:39:	capture that.
01:14:41> 01:14:45:	After that, we're thinking about having a session on water
01:14:45> 01:14:52:	neutral development and then data-driven water ladies forecasting and then
01:14:52> 01:14:53:	water reuse.
01:14:53> 01:14:56:	And I'm wondering if people don't mind unmuting and just
01:14:56> 01:14:58:	providing feedback or putting it in the chat box.
01:15:04> 01:15:06:	Are there any other topics that you would like us
	, ,

01:15:13 --> 01:15:14: I'm just we. 01:15:14 --> 01:15:22: Could add a conversation on preventing displacement and the perpetuation 01:15:22 --> 01:15:24: of inequities. 01:15:24 --> 01:15:24: As we. 01:15:25 --> 01:15:27: Invest in more water wise development. 01:15:29 --> 01:15:29: Yeah. 01:15:29 --> 01:15:32: And that, so that will kind of be covered in 01:15:32 --> 01:15:36: our our next session related to equity and affordability. 01:15:37 --> 01:15:40: And yeah, is there anything beyond that that or in 01:15:40 --> 01:15:43: particular that you would like us to talk about? 01:15:43 --> 01:15:46: Yeah, I'm thinking more on the displacement side. 01:15:47 --> 01:15:50: So I'm, I'm guessing in the context of water pricing, 01:15:50 --> 01:15:52: you're talking about affordability and equity there. 01:15:53 --> 01:15:57: What about as we invest in new communities and infill 01:15:57 --> 01:16:01: development whereas we see a lot of gentrification which. 01:16:01 --> 01:16:02: Leads to displacement. 01:16:02 --> 01:16:04: So I'm wondering how we as the at the Nexus 01:16:04 --> 01:16:07: of water and land use planning, how we can help 01:16:07 --> 01:16:10: to work against that gentrification and displacement. 01:16:11 --> 01:16:11: OK. 01:16:12 --> 01:16:16: We haven't seen too much displacement related to water pricing 01:16:16 --> 01:16:20: in particular, but definitely with new developments and just the, 01:16:20 --> 01:16:24: you know, affordability crisis in general, that's a big issue. 01:16:24 --> 01:16:27: I really recommend getting involved in ULI because we have 01:16:27 --> 01:16:29: so much content related to that. 01:16:29 --> 01:16:32: For this meeting, it will be more related to water. 01:16:33 --> 01:16:37: And just because water pricing historically hasn't been as high 01:16:37 --> 01:16:40: as other utilities and it's not really tied as much 01:16:41 --> 01:16:44: to housing prices in general, it's not as it's not 01:16:44 --> 01:16:47: considered as responsible for displacement. 01:16:50 --> 01:16:51: Anybody else? 01:17:01 --> 01:17:02: OK, Thank you. 01:17:03 --> 01:17:04: Just want to make a quick plug. 01:17:05 --> 01:17:08: Our Resilience Summit, Uli's Resilience Summit is coming up 01:17:08 --> 01:17:09: April 12th. 01:17:09 --> 01:17:11: It'll be in New York City. 01:17:11 --> 01:17:15: Water will be covered as part of the Resilience Summit

to add to this list?

01:15:06 --> 01:15:07:

01:17:15> 01:17:18:	and this event will be in coordination with Uli's spring
01:17:19> 01:17:19:	meeting.
01:17:19> 01:17:24:	So if you're interested in housing affordability, if you're interested
01:17:24> 01:17:28:	in development in general, utilize Global meetings are the place
01:17:28> 01:17:31:	to go to learn a lot of new content, network
01:17:31> 01:17:32:	across the country.
01:17:33> 01:17:34:	There's even international members.
01:17:34> 01:17:37:	We have over 46,000 members worldwide.
01:17:38> 01:17:40:	So this is a great event to go to and
01:17:40> 01:17:43:	really plug into the real estate and development world.
01:17:43> 01:17:46:	So Danielle, since we're talking about these things, like we
01:17:46> 01:17:49:	talk about these things all the time, not necessarily in
01:17:49> 01:17:52:	the water space, but definitely it's a huge issue.
01:17:53> 01:17:56:	So we really recommend that you join us in New
01:17:56> 01:17:59:	York City in April and you can use this QR
01:17:59> 01:18:02:	code For more information and to register.
01:18:04> 01:18:07:	And then I also wanted to make a quick update.
01:18:07> 01:18:10:	We had talked about potentially doing a symposium related
	to
01:18:10> 01:18:11:	water wise strategies.
01:18:11> 01:18:15:	We will be doing that at Utilize Fall meeting coming
01:18:15> 01:18:16:	up in Las Vegas.
01:18:17> 01:18:21:	So it'll be our official Lewis Center for Sustainability forum.
01:18:21> 01:18:24:	It'll be on water wise strategies on October 28th.
01:18:25> 01:18:26:	It'll be all day.
01:18:26> 01:18:30:	We're going to feature experts from all around the country
01:18:30> 01:18:34:	and featuring local expertise as well on water wise strategies
01:18:34> 01:18:37:	which they're which is abundant in Las Vegas.
01:18:37> 01:18:40:	We're also going to have water wise tours of the
01:18:40> 01:18:41:	Venetian and the Bellagio.
01:18:42> 01:18:44:	And if you'd like more information, it will be posted
01:18:45> 01:18:48:	soon, especially after our upcoming spring meeting in April.
01:18:48> 01:18:49:	And I put the link right here.
01:18:54> 01:18:54:	Great.
01:18:55> 01:18:57:	And with that, I'll close this out unless anyone has
01:18:58> 01:18:59:	any other comments or questions.
01:19:00> 01:19:02:	You're always welcome to reach out to me if you
01:19:02> 01:19:05:	have if something comes to mind outside of these meetings.
01:19:05> 01:19:06:	But we love having you here.
01:19:07> 01:19:08:	So thank you for joining us today.
01:19:09> 01:19:10:	And we really I see your hand is up.

01:19:11 --> 01:19:14: Yes, thanks, Marianne. 01:19:14 --> 01:19:17: I was just noticing that there were a couple of 01:19:17 --> 01:19:21: questions that popped up in the chat for Scott and 01:19:21 --> 01:19:21: Morgan. 01:19:21 --> 01:19:24: And so I know we still have a few minutes. 01:19:25 --> 01:19:28: I think it would be great to give them a 01:19:28 --> 01:19:31: chance to to talk a little bit more in response 01:19:31 --> 01:19:33: to those questions. 01:19:33 --> 01:19:33: Oh, great. 01:19:33 --> 01:19:34: Thank you. 01:19:35 --> 01:19:38: For those of you have questions, please unmute and just 01:19:38 --> 01:19:38: go ahead and ask. 01:19:42 --> 01:19:44: Hi, good afternoon. 01:19:44 --> 01:19:46: Sorry, I was having some trouble with the mute button. 01:19:46 --> 01:19:49: I'm Andrew with the city of Goodyear, AZ water. 01:19:50 --> 01:19:53: And I just had a question related to what Scott 01:19:53 --> 01:19:56: was presenting on or some of those the on the 01:19:56 --> 01:19:57: end use. 01:19:58 --> 01:20:00: I was curious on the end use of the adjustments 01:20:00 --> 01:20:04: that you've been making to the landscape for some of 01:20:04 --> 01:20:06: those, residential and commercial. 01:20:06 --> 01:20:10: Are the actual numbers that you're seeing at the end 01:20:10 --> 01:20:14: of the day reflecting the changes you were hoping to 01:20:14 --> 01:20:17: see in the conservation numbers overall? 01:20:17 --> 01:20:21: So I guess my question is after the landscapes are 01:20:21 --> 01:20:24: installed, they all of them tend to have that growing. 01:20:24 --> 01:20:28: Are the end use customers making those changes to reflect 01:20:28 --> 01:20:30: what they actually have long term? 01:20:30 --> 01:20:32: And then are you seeing those water savings from there 01:20:32 --> 01:20:34: or are you even that far in the process? 01:20:34 --> 01:20:35: Thank you. 01:20:37 --> 01:20:38: Yeah, that's a very good question. 01:20:39 --> 01:20:42: We're not that far along in the process since the 01:20:42 --> 01:20:45: code just went into effect last June. 01:20:46 --> 01:20:49: That is something we plan to start doing this year 01:20:49 --> 01:20:51: looking at their actual consumption. We know there's an establishment. 01:20:52 --> 01:20:53: 01:20:53 --> 01:20:55: That we have to to consider with that. 01:20:55 --> 01:20:59: But you know, one of the things that we're looking 01:20:59 --> 01:21:03: at is adding on to the the code elements with 01:21:03 --> 01:21:06: budget based rates for example. 01:21:06 --> 01:21:10: So we want to see how customers end up using

01:21:10 --> 01:21:16: water on those sites, make sure that they understand if 01:21:16 --> 01:21:20: need be what the site was designed to use and 01:21:20 --> 01:21:25: if, if we need to, you know, implement budget based 01:21:25 --> 01:21:29: rates to provide some accountability for that. 01:21:30 --> 01:21:32: But we do a lot of education as well. 01:21:32 --> 01:21:36: We do irrigation audits and things of that nature to, 01:21:36 --> 01:21:39: to make sure we're connecting with our customers to help 01:21:39 --> 01:21:41: them use water effectively. 01:21:41 --> 01:21:44: But the the verdict's still hot on, on how water's 01:21:44 --> 01:21:47: actually going to be used on some of these sites. 01:21:47 --> 01:21:49: So that's a very good question. 01:21:51 --> 01:21:51: Thank you. 01:21:59 --> 01:22:01: Was there anyone else who had a question for Scott 01:22:01 --> 01:22:02: and Morgan? 01:22:08 --> 01:22:08: You're muted. 01:22:08 --> 01:22:09: Maybe like, go ahead. 01:22:09 --> 01:22:12: I think Peter Mayer had a question in the chat 01:22:12 --> 01:22:14: but he may have had to jump off so. 01:22:16 --> 01:22:16: Yeah. 01:22:18 --> 01:22:18: All right. 01:22:18 --> 01:22:20: Well, maybe we can follow up with him. 01:22:20 --> 01:22:21: Yeah. 01:22:21 --> 01:22:22: Great. 01:22:22 --> 01:22:23: Well, thank you all so much for joining. 01:22:23 --> 01:22:26: I just want to mention that I the landscaping resource 01:22:26 --> 01:22:29: list that we started creating since our last meeting, I 01:22:29 --> 01:22:30: put that in the chat box. 01:22:30 --> 01:22:33: So you're welcome to use that and add to it. 01:22:33 --> 01:22:34: We would love that. 01:22:34 --> 01:22:38: It's a coalition shared resource, so we're hoping it grows 01:22:38 --> 01:22:39: over time. 01:22:40 --> 01:22:40: All right. 01:22:41 --> 01:22:42: Well, thank you all so much for joining today. 01:22:42 --> 01:22:46: We hope you have a wonderful afternoon or evening and 01:22:46 --> 01:22:48: we look forward to seeing you soon. 01:22:50 --> 01:22:50: Bye everybody. 01:22:50 --> 01:22:51: Thanks so much for. 01:22:51 --> 01:22:51: Looking appreciate. 01:22:52 --> 01:22:54: Everyone, thank you door speakers.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .