

Webinar

Carbon Pricing Practitioner Workshops Part 1

Date: May 21, 2024

00:00:00> 00:00:01:	Presentation ready for all of this.
00:00:02> 00:00:02:	OK.
00:00:02> 00:00:04:	Will you be sharing the slides then?
00:00:04> 00:00:05:	I certainly will.
00:00:05> 00:00:07:	As in when, As in read ready.
00:00:07> 00:00:08:	Maybe just a couple more minutes.
00:00:11> 00:00:13:	Yeah, we're all here quite promptly, so give it a
00:00:13> 00:00:14:	couple of minutes.
00:00:14> 00:00:15:	It's not even 10.
00:00:15> 00:00:16:	Fuck yeah.
00:00:17> 00:00:25:	I know my goodness, the the motivation for carbon pricing.
00:00:27> 00:00:28:	Definitely.
00:00:41> 00:00:44:	You can see there's a few more people coming in,
00:00:44> 00:00:46:	so just give it a minute or so.
00:00:52> 00:00:54:	He's red at the moment, wait till he gets green.
00:00:58> 00:01:00:	Yes, hope everyone's doing well today.
00:01:01> 00:01:02:	We'll get started shortly.
00:01:02> 00:01:04:	We'll just give it a couple of minutes.
00:01:14> 00:01:17:	I think there's a couple of people who might not
00:01:17> 00:01:19:	be muted, so just in case if you would like
00:01:19> 00:01:20:	to mute.
00:01:34> 00:01:37:	I can see a few more people making their way
00:01:37> 00:01:37:	in.
00:01:51> 00:01:53:	Welcome everyone today.
00:01:54> 00:01:57:	Just giving it a couple of more minutes and then
00:01:57> 00:01:58:	we'll get started.
00:02:09> 00:02:12:	Oh yes, I can see see the numbers ticking up.
00:02:12> 00:02:13:	Morning, everyone.
00:02:19> 00:02:20:	Morning.

00:02:25> 00:02:27:	So we'll give it one more minute.
00:02:28> 00:02:31:	I think 2 minutes passed and then started.
00:02:46> 00:02:47:	Hope everyone's doing well today.
00:02:47> 00:02:48:	Good morning.
00:02:49> 00:02:49:	How are you?
00:02:51> 00:02:52:	Very good.
00:02:52> 00:02:52:	Thank you.
00:03:04> 00:03:07:	And eight, I think we might be ready to start
00:03:08> 00:03:10:	looking toward the a good number.
00:03:11> 00:03:12:	Of course.
00:03:12> 00:03:13:	Actually, Sophie, I've just noticed.
00:03:13> 00:03:15:	Would you mind giving me sharing permission please?
00:03:19> 00:03:19:	Thank you.
00:03:38> 00:03:39:	Wonderful.
00:03:39> 00:03:40:	Well, we'll start there.
00:03:40> 00:03:42:	Well, good morning, everyone.
00:03:42> 00:03:45:	Welcome and thank you for taking the time to join
00:03:45> 00:03:48:	this session today on carbon pricing.
00:03:48> 00:03:51:	Just got a very few opening words and then we'll
00:03:51> 00:03:53:	get into the content of the session.
00:03:54> 00:03:57:	This question today is recorded.
00:03:57> 00:04:01:	So just a warning and pleased would ask you to
00:04:01> 00:04:04:	mute yourselves just so we don't get too much brown
00:04:05> 00:04:07:	noise into the virtual room.
00:04:08> 00:04:09:	My name is Rebecca.
00:04:09> 00:04:13:	I'm a consultant and I'm supporting the ULI carbon pricing
00:04:13> 00:04:14:	initiative.
00:04:15> 00:04:18:	And on the next slide, we'll have a brief agenda
00:04:18> 00:04:19:	for the day.
00:04:21> 00:04:25:	So we'll have some overviews into the Sea Change initiative,
00:04:25> 00:04:29:	then into carbon pricing and why it matters, and then
00:04:29> 00:04:32:	we'll have two first speakers.
00:04:32> 00:04:37:	Afterwards, we'll be hearing more about the policy and context,
00:04:37> 00:04:41:	followed by more about carbon pricing in real life and
00:04:41> 00:04:46:	building the the business case, followed by AQ and A
00:04:46> 00:04:49:	and then a summary with some of the recaps and
00:04:49> 00:04:51:	a final wrap up.
00:04:52> 00:04:56:	So without any further ado, I'll pass on to Sophie
00:04:56> 00:04:58:	for the intro to Uli.
00:04:58> 00:04:59:	Thank you.
00:05:00> 00:05:03:	Thank you very much and yeah, thank you so much

00:05:03> 00:05:05:	to everyone joining this call.
00:05:06> 00:05:09:	We really appreciate it and we're really pleased you're you're
00:05:09> 00:05:10:	here to learn more about it.
00:05:11> 00:05:13:	For those of you who don't know me, I'm Sophie
00:05:13> 00:05:13:	Chick.
00:05:13> 00:05:18:	I run the Sea Change program and other ESG program,
00:05:18> 00:05:18:	ULR.
00:05:20> 00:05:22:	I think there's someone not on mute.
00:05:22> 00:05:24:	If you wouldn't mind staying on mute, that would be
00:05:24> 00:05:25:	great.
00:05:26> 00:05:28:	So I'm just going to give you a very quick
00:05:28> 00:05:30:	overview of the Sea Change program.
00:05:30> 00:05:32:	It, I know a lot of you are very familiar
00:05:32> 00:05:34:	with it, but there are some new faces on this
00:05:34> 00:05:36:	call as well if you do have any further questions.
00:05:37> 00:05:38:	Just let me.
00:05:38> 00:05:42:	Know can we get to the next slide, please, Kate?
00:05:47> 00:05:50:	So we are looking at achieving real change with sea
00:05:50> 00:05:50:	change.
00:05:51> 00:05:55:	We started this program 2 1/2 years ago and we
00:05:55> 00:05:58:	set it up to help the industry speed up and
00:05:58> 00:06:00:	scale up decarbonisation.
00:06:01> 00:06:04:	So very focused point there.
00:06:04> 00:06:08:	And we're looking at accelerating solutions that are going to
00:06:08> 00:06:10:	transform our industry and protect our planet.
00:06:10> 00:06:13:	And one of the ways you allow a position to
00:06:13> 00:06:15:	do this is by bringing people together.
00:06:16> 00:06:18:	And this is a, a really good example of this
00:06:18> 00:06:21:	people from across the value chain and to connect us
00:06:21> 00:06:22:	all together.
00:06:22> 00:06:26:	And essentially we can all work together to achieve these,
00:06:26> 00:06:27:	achieve these outcomes.
00:06:28> 00:06:32:	And we're focusing on systems intervention to enable change.
00:06:33> 00:06:35:	Now, the program wouldn't be possible without our partners and
00:06:35> 00:06:36:	supporters listed here.
00:06:36> 00:06:38:	So a huge thank you to them.
00:06:38> 00:06:41:	And if anyone else is interested in joining, please do
00:06:41> 00:06:41:	let me know.
00:06:42> 00:06:43:	Next slide, please.
00:06:46> 00:06:49:	So when we started this program, the first thing that
00:06:49> 00:06:51:	we did is we wanted to understand what are the

00:06:52> 00:06:54:	barriers to decarbonising real estate.
00:06:54> 00:06:57:	And we went through a mapping exercise with Kate and
00:06:57> 00:06:59:	her team to understand this.
00:06:59> 00:07:04:	And we came out with 13 barriers and corresponding intervention
00:07:04> 00:07:07:	points that are needed to decarbonise real estate.
00:07:07> 00:07:09:	And you can see them listed here.
00:07:09> 00:07:12:	Now, some of them are already being worked on by
00:07:12> 00:07:14:	other industry organisations.
00:07:14> 00:07:17:	Some still need industry action, but they're not quite right
00:07:17> 00:07:18:	for you, a lie to focus on.
00:07:18> 00:07:21:	So we chose these five that you can see in
00:07:21> 00:07:22:	that dark green there.
00:07:22> 00:07:25:	And one of these points that we're obviously focusing on
00:07:25> 00:07:27:	today is the fact that we have a lack of
00:07:28> 00:07:28:	carbon pricing.
00:07:29> 00:07:31:	On to the next slide, please.
00:07:32> 00:07:35:	And the way that we work with these intervention points
00:07:35> 00:07:38:	and the way that we try and come up with
00:07:38> 00:07:40:	the solutions is using it as a sea change, as
00:07:40> 00:07:42:	an incubator for these barriers.
00:07:42> 00:07:45:	So the first thing when you do is identify what
00:07:45> 00:07:49:	the barrier is and what the corresponding intervention point is
00:07:49> 00:07:51:	that's needed to overcome this.
00:07:52> 00:07:54:	Once we've got that, we'll then prime the industry.
00:07:55> 00:07:58:	So within our publications, at our meetings, at our conferences,
00:07:58> 00:08:01:	we will be talking about this topic as well as
00:08:01> 00:08:04:	all the one-on-one meetings that we have with many of
00:08:04> 00:08:05:	you in the industry.
00:08:05> 00:08:09:	And we'll begin to gather feedback, understand where the industry
00:08:09> 00:08:11:	is and begin to gather an idea and also for
00:08:11> 00:08:15:	those who aren't already aware in the industry, bring it
00:08:15> 00:08:16:	to the industry's attention.
00:08:17> 00:08:19:	We then get to the incubate stage.
00:08:19> 00:08:23:	So this is when we're looking to Co create and
00:08:23> 00:08:26:	incubate solutions with the industry.
00:08:26> 00:08:28:	And I think again, this is a good example of
00:08:28> 00:08:28:	this.
00:08:28> 00:08:31:	Today, we're looking at working collectively.
00:08:31> 00:08:33:	We're not coming up with solutions on our own.
00:08:33> 00:08:36:	We're working with the industry to make sure solutions that

00.00.00 > 00.00.00.	
00:08:36> 00:08:39:	we come up with are applicable across the value chain.
00:08:39> 00:08:42:	And then finally, we want to scale these solutions to
00:08:42> 00:08:44:	a create sort of achieve critical mass adoption.
00:08:45> 00:08:47:	Kate, just final slide from me.
00:08:48> 00:08:51:	So just want to note, note that we've got really
00:08:51> 00:08:53:	strong visibility so far with sea change.
00:08:53> 00:08:56:	The main focus area that we've worked on previously has
00:08:57> 00:08:59:	been the transition risk guidelines.
00:08:59> 00:09:02:	And you can see some of the the press coverage
00:09:02> 00:09:04:	and results from this, the work that we did then
00:09:04> 00:09:07:	they were launched last summer and also a few images
00:09:07> 00:09:10:	from our Sea Change Summit that I'll give you more
00:09:10> 00:09:12:	details about at the end of this call.
00:09:12> 00:09:14:	It is worth noting we did put out a press
00:09:14> 00:09:17:	release about this work that we're doing on carbon pricing
00:09:17> 00:09:18:	a couple of weeks ago.
00:09:18> 00:09:20:	We had a really good pick up from both the
00:09:20> 00:09:22:	press and the industry on the back of that.
00:09:22> 00:09:24:	And actually I know a few of you on this
00:09:24> 00:09:27:	call are here because of that that press industry press
00:09:27> 00:09:29:	release and seeing that announcement.
00:09:29> 00:09:32:	So there is really a lot of support going on
00:09:32> 00:09:33:	for this initiative.
00:09:34> 00:09:36:	So that seems like a great point to and over
00:09:36> 00:09:39:	to Kate to tell you what we're actually trying to
00:09:39> 00:09:41:	do and and hoping to achieve.
00:09:41> 00:09:53:	Thank you so much, Kate.
00:09:53> 00:09:54:	You're just on mute.
00:09:56> 00:09:57:	Well, hello there.
00:09:57> 00:09:58:	Sorry about that.
00:09:58> 00:10:01:	So thank you so much for whoever just put themselves
00:10:01> 00:10:01:	on mute.
00:10:01> 00:10:02:	Really helpful.
00:10:03> 00:10:05:	And thank you, Sophie for the handover there.
00:10:05> 00:10:08:	So real pleasure to be here as always.
00:10:08> 00:10:10:	I do know many familiar faces on the call, but
00:10:10> 00:10:14:	for those who don't know me, it's Kate Wolfenden work
00:10:14> 00:10:16:	in 103 and it's an absolute pleasure to be able
00:10:16> 00:10:19:	to support ULI on the Sea Change initiative.
00:10:20> 00:10:21:	So yeah, I'm just going to lead you through the
00:10:21> 00:10:22:	initiative background.
00:10:22> 00:10:24:	What is carbon pricing and why it matters?

00:10:24> 00:10:27:	So where on earth did carbon pricing come from for
00:10:27> 00:10:28:	us?
00:10:28> 00:10:31:	Well, as Sophie was just talking about when we started
00:10:31> 00:10:33:	this work, one of the first things we did is
00:10:33> 00:10:36:	we did a very intensive landscape scan in order to
00:10:36> 00:10:39:	be able to identify what are the key barriers holding
00:10:39> 00:10:40:	back at the industry.
00:10:40> 00:10:42:	And of course carbon pricing and a lack of carbon
00:10:42> 00:10:45:	pricing within the within the built environment came through loud
00:10:45> 00:10:46:	and clear.
00:10:46> 00:10:49:	We didn't prioritize it in the first instance, but we
00:10:49> 00:10:54:	went into transition risk first because the quantification transition risk
00:10:54> 00:10:58:	was absolutely and still remains to be fundamentally a priority
00:10:58> 00:10:59:	focus at the time.
00:10:59> 00:11:01:	But when we did go into transition risk, what of
00:11:01> 00:11:03:	course came up carbon pricing.
00:11:03> 00:11:05:	So when the industry asked time and time and time
00:11:05> 00:11:08:	again about carbon pricing, we thought we should listen.
00:11:08> 00:11:10:	And so that's why we then lifted the lid to
00:11:10> 00:11:12:	have a little bit of a deeper look at what
00:11:12> 00:11:14:	is going on from the carbon pricing perspective.
00:11:15> 00:11:17:	So First off, when you actually look at it from
00:11:17> 00:11:19:	a from when you look at carbon pricing on mass
00:11:19> 00:11:22:	across multiple industries, the evidence is out there.
00:11:22> 00:11:25:	So this is just one example from the US power
00:11:25> 00:11:29:	sector, but you can what's been evidence in multiple industries
00:11:29> 00:11:33:	is just even with the smallest incremental increase in carbon
00:11:33> 00:11:38:	pricing across a sector can really shift emissions reductions quite
00:11:38> 00:11:39:	sizably over time.
00:11:40> 00:11:42:	So we sat with that and thought, well, that's really
00:11:42> 00:11:42:	interesting.
00:11:42> 00:11:44:	What's going on with the built environment in the real
00:11:44> 00:11:45:	estate sector.
00:11:45> 00:11:47:	So real estate as a as a portion of the
00:11:47> 00:11:50:	built environment, you'll see over here on the far right
00:11:51> 00:11:54:	hand side of this of this graph showing that actually,
00:11:54> 00:11:56:	because it's not a, a link to a hardware bait
00:11:56> 00:12:00:	industry and so therefore isn't instantly regulated, as we'll see
00:12:00> 00:12:03:	over on the left hand side of this graph, it

00:12:03> 00:12:06:	really is quite a laggard in terms of being able
00:12:06> 00:12:06:	to adopt.
00:12:07> 00:12:08:	So we wondered.
00:12:08> 00:12:09:	So we wondered a little bit more on that and
00:12:10> 00:12:11:	said, why on earth is that the case?
00:12:11> 00:12:14:	What's holding back the sector in this with this tool
00:12:14> 00:12:17:	that is genuinely really helpful in other industries?
00:12:17> 00:12:19:	And part of that was a survey at the end
00:12:19> 00:12:20:	of last year.
00:12:20> 00:12:23:	And we surveyed the ULI network extensively, asked a whole
00:12:23> 00:12:27:	series of questions related to transition risks and indeed carbon
00:12:27> 00:12:28:	pricing.
00:12:28> 00:12:30:	And one of the key questions was what are the
00:12:30> 00:12:33:	barriers for you to adopt and implement carbon pricing?
00:12:33> 00:12:34:	And if you just have a look here, the ones
00:12:34> 00:12:36:	that I really want to hone down on here is
00:12:36> 00:12:39:	obviously we know data and regulation are a challenge and
00:12:39> 00:12:41:	that remains the same across many sustainability initiatives.
00:12:41> 00:12:42:	But go below that.
00:12:43> 00:12:46:	Really what we're looking at in the top five barriers,
00:12:46> 00:12:48:	it's lack of take up, lack of consensus and lack
00:12:49> 00:12:50:	of understanding.
00:12:50> 00:12:52:	You're like, oh, that's really interesting.
00:12:52> 00:12:53:	So there is a pain point here.
00:12:53> 00:12:55:	So then that's all well and good.
00:12:55> 00:12:57:	We then said, well, what would you like us to
00:12:57> 00:12:57:	do about it?
00:12:58> 00:13:00:	And again, coming into those top three asks, obviously we
00:13:00> 00:13:03:	can't wave our magic wand and get regulation for you.
00:13:03> 00:13:04:	If we could, we would.
00:13:04> 00:13:07:	But say that the top 2 after that was how
00:13:07> 00:13:09:	can we as an industry work to be able to
00:13:09> 00:13:12:	create some good guidance for you and how can we
00:13:12> 00:13:16:	better deepen your understanding of carbon pricing mechanisms.
00:13:17> 00:13:20:	So with that insight, that triggered a whole year of
00:13:20> 00:13:23:	being able to make carbon pricing a strategic research priority
00:13:23> 00:13:24:	for us.
00:13:24> 00:13:26:	So during the course of that year, we did some
00:13:26> 00:13:27:	extensive desktop research.
00:13:27> 00:13:29:	Many of the people on the call here were involved

00:13:29> 00:13:30:	in our one to one interview.
00:13:30> 00:13:32:	So thank you so much for that.
00:13:32> 00:13:34:	That really helped us pull together a really good landscape
00:13:34> 00:13:37:	understanding of what's happening in carbon pricing and why it's
00:13:37> 00:13:39:	being held back within the built environment in the real
00:13:39> 00:13:40:	estate sector.
00:13:40> 00:13:43:	We dove into 4 multi stakeholder workshops to be able
00:13:43> 00:13:45:	to really get under the surface, to get beyond the
00:13:45> 00:13:48:	sort of the stats into the human element of what's
00:13:48> 00:13:48:	going on.
00:13:49> 00:13:52:	And indeed, we then seeded the concept at that sort
00:13:52> 00:13:53:	of C-Suite level.
00:13:53> 00:13:56:	And then the wider industry by putting it into the
00:13:56> 00:13:58:	extense, into the trend study that comes out every year
00:13:58> 00:14:01:	at the Utilize Global Trends report, which many of you,
00:14:01> 00:14:03:	I'm I'm sure will remember reading.
00:14:05> 00:14:07:	So Fast forward to 2024, this is where we're at.
00:14:07> 00:14:09:	We took all of that research and we took all
00:14:09> 00:14:11:	of that insight and we took it to our steering
00:14:11> 00:14:13:	committee at the end of last year and we said,
00:14:13> 00:14:14:	look, carbon pricing is a problem.
00:14:15> 00:14:18:	The industry seems to be willing, but unfortunately there isn't
00:14:18> 00:14:20:	no right guidance and support to be able to help
00:14:20> 00:14:21:	them through.
00:14:21> 00:14:22:	What should we do about it?
00:14:22> 00:14:25:	First off, the the steering committee, we're very supportive in
00:14:25> 00:14:27:	being able to pursue this into the second year.
00:14:27> 00:14:30:	But also there was a call for, wait a minute,
00:14:30> 00:14:34:	every time we get another initiative, we find that there's
00:14:34> 00:14:37:	a, there's a, a new level of fragmentation happening.
00:14:37> 00:14:39:	Oh, there's a new initiative here, There's a new initiative
00:14:39> 00:14:39:	here.
00:14:39> 00:14:42:	You could really help us if you bring the industry
00:14:42> 00:14:45:	together into a united position because one, that reduces our
00:14:45> 00:14:48:	amount of activity that we need to do, but two,
00:14:48> 00:14:51:	it sends a much stronger, stronger demand signal to the
00:14:51> 00:14:52:	industry.
00:14:52> 00:14:55:	So at the beginning of 2024, we reached out or
00:14:55> 00:14:57:	it's actually towards the end of 2023, but it was
00:14:57> 00:15:00:	2024 when we really started structurally putting it together.
00:15:01> 00:15:04:	And, and we are grateful to say we're now working

00:15:04> 00:15:07:	with an amazing group of organizations within Europe on this
00:15:08> 00:15:09:	very specific topic.
00:15:09> 00:15:14:	So EPRA Green, IIGCC in Rev Ricks, of course, the
00:15:14> 00:15:18:	wonderful ULI and indeed WBCSD as well.
00:15:18> 00:15:20:	And it's together that we have committed to be able
00:15:20> 00:15:23:	to deep dive into these topics together to emerge out
00:15:23> 00:15:25:	with what we hope will be a recommended and united
00:15:25> 00:15:28:	position and what would be the right step forward for
00:15:28> 00:15:30:	carbon pricing for the industry.
00:15:31> 00:15:34:	And together we Co created the idea of a three
00:15:34> 00:15:35:	work stream program.
00:15:35> 00:15:37:	So this is 2024 and onwards.
00:15:37> 00:15:38:	There are three work streams.
00:15:38> 00:15:40:	You're in the second one, but I'll walk you through
00:15:41> 00:15:41:	each of them quickly.
00:15:42> 00:15:43:	The 1st is the experts work stream.
00:15:43> 00:15:46:	So that's actually the kick off workshop for that is
00:15:46> 00:15:47:	happening later on today.
00:15:47> 00:15:49:	We'd love to get your feedback into that workshop as
00:15:49> 00:15:50:	well.
00:15:50> 00:15:53:	That's all of those membership organizations you've mentioned that I've
00:15:53> 00:15:57:	just mentioned on the previous slide have nominated leading experts.
00:15:57> 00:15:58:	So what experts in this context is an is an
00:15:59> 00:16:02:	organization or an individual with an organization has already implemented
00:16:02> 00:16:03:	a carbon price.
00:16:03> 00:16:06:	There was already in the weeds and understands what's happening
00:16:06> 00:16:08:	there to be able to help best advise what the
00:16:08> 00:16:08:	wider sector can do.
00:16:09> 00:16:11:	And they are coming together to be able to and
00:16:11> 00:16:14:	in a series of workshops similar to these to be
00:16:14> 00:16:16:	able to devise up a recommended position and an option
00:16:16> 00:16:19:	strategy strategy for the industry on carbon pricing.
00:16:19> 00:16:22:	The second work stream you find yourself in now and
00:16:22> 00:16:24:	is focusing on how do we support organizations that are
00:16:24> 00:16:28:	willing and interested, but perhaps don't necessarily haven't
	necessarily got
00:16:28> 00:16:30:	themselves as further along the journey.
00:16:30> 00:16:32:	So perhaps you don't have a carbon price.
00:16:32> 00:16:34:	I know many people on this call are in the

00:16:34> 00:16:37:	process of creating a carbon price and actually might even
00:16:37> 00:16:39:	be taking it to investment committee that just happened or
00:16:39> 00:16:40:	indeed just coming.
00:16:41> 00:16:42:	So thank you for joining.
00:16:42> 00:16:44:	And we work that that this work stream is going
00:16:44> 00:16:46:	to focus on being able to deepen that understanding across
00:16:46> 00:16:47:	the course of the year.
00:16:47> 00:16:49:	And of course, the third work stream, if we've got
00:16:49> 00:16:52:	the experts and the leaders and we've got the practitioners
00:16:52> 00:16:54:	that are really dying to be able to follow in
00:16:54> 00:16:56:	those footsteps or indeed curious to be able to follow
00:16:56> 00:16:57:	in those footsteps.
00:16:57> 00:16:59:	And one thing we really should commit to do is
00:16:59> 00:17:01:	making sure that the leadership around the world is or
00:17:02> 00:17:04:	around Europe is really clear on what's happening and why
00:17:04> 00:17:05:	it's important.
00:17:05> 00:17:07:	So that makes the job of the experts and the
00:17:07> 00:17:09:	practitioners much easier in delivery.
00:17:09> 00:17:11:	So those are the three work streams.
00:17:11> 00:17:13:	We're working on them in 2024 and beyond.
00:17:13> 00:17:15:	But just for a moment, I wanted to dive into
00:17:15> 00:17:18:	the practitioner work stream because that's where we're focusing on
00:17:18> 00:17:18:	today.
00:17:18> 00:17:21:	So you are in the 1st works workshop of this
00:17:22> 00:17:22:	work stream.
00:17:22> 00:17:25:	It's important to note we are stepping back because we've
00:17:25> 00:17:27:	got a fair few new faces on the call today.
00:17:27> 00:17:29:	So we really wanted to step down, step back to
00:17:30> 00:17:31:	the basics to say what on earth is a carbon
00:17:32> 00:17:32:	price?
00:17:32> 00:17:35:	What's the difference between internal and external mechanisms?
00:17:35> 00:17:37:	What's the difference between shadow and fee paying?
00:17:38> 00:17:40:	And then what is it take to build a case
00:17:40> 00:17:41:	right at the beginning?
00:17:41> 00:17:43:	Because many people haven't got that far yet.
00:17:43> 00:17:45:	So we'll, we'll do that bit 1st and then in
00:17:45> 00:17:48:	workshop 2, we'll move on to shadow carbon pricing and,
00:17:48> 00:17:51:	and start working on some lower complexity case studies that
00:17:52> 00:17:54:	you can relate to and relate back to your own
00:17:54> 00:17:54:	practice.

00:17:55> 00:17:57:	Before when we get to workshop 3 after the summer,
00:17:57> 00:18:00:	we'll be starting to get into fee paying and starting
00:18:00> 00:18:02:	to think about some of the really technical challenges you
00:18:02> 00:18:05:	might be facing and some much higher complexity case studies.
00:18:06> 00:18:07:	I think the real thing I wanted to share here
00:18:07> 00:18:09:	is that you do have an incredibly important role on
00:18:09> 00:18:10:	the call today.
00:18:10> 00:18:12:	We have 53 participants on the call.
00:18:12> 00:18:16:	If we could get 53 different organizations on this journey
00:18:16> 00:18:19:	feeling more confident and capable and able to be able
00:18:19> 00:18:22:	to adopt carbon pricing within their organization and we can
00:18:23> 00:18:25:	go on to support you in future years to do
00:18:25> 00:18:25:	that.
00:18:26> 00:18:29:	We're moving towards that all important shift from early adopters
00:18:29> 00:18:30:	to early majority.
00:18:30> 00:18:33:	It's called by many a critical mass and it's at
00:18:33> 00:18:35:	that point when we can really start to see an
00:18:36> 00:18:37:	industry take hold of a movement.
00:18:37> 00:18:39:	So that is our goal and you'd love to be
00:18:39> 00:18:41:	able to take you on the journey to be able
00:18:42> 00:18:44:	to help you get there right before we go in
00:18:44> 00:18:46:	what I wanted to share and please use the chat
00:18:46> 00:18:47:	function for this.
00:18:47> 00:18:49:	I want to tell you a little bit about what's
00:18:49> 00:18:51:	happening in the experts work stream later.
00:18:51> 00:18:54:	And then also, yes, so ask, tell you, but then
00:18:55> 00:18:56:	also ask me some questions.
00:18:56> 00:19:01:	So First off, the work streams happening later this afternoon.
00:19:01> 00:19:03:	Sorry, the first workshops happening later this afternoon.
00:19:03> 00:19:05:	It's a big chunky 4 hour workshop.
00:19:05> 00:19:07:	The target output by the end of all of the
00:19:07> 00:19:09:	workshop is to be able to get that Co owned
00:19:09> 00:19:12:	and Co developed recommended position on internal carbon pricing in
00:19:12> 00:19:13:	a strategy for adoption.
00:19:14> 00:19:16:	The first focus of the of the workshop today is
00:19:16> 00:19:19:	based on the principles and scoping what is actually possible
00:19:19> 00:19:22:	to achieve in this time frame, the purpose and behaviour
00:19:22> 00:19:24:	change of carbon pricing?
00:19:24> 00:19:27:	Do we need to differentiate between embodied and operational carbon?

00:19:27> 00:19:28:	All that confuse things.
00:19:29> 00:19:31:	Do we need to differentiate between the different people in
00:19:31> 00:19:34:	the organized within the within a value chain because they
00:19:34> 00:19:36:	might be more or less responsible and what is our
00:19:36> 00:19:37:	relationship to offsetting?
00:19:38> 00:19:40:	So that's the type of topics will be dealing with,
00:19:40> 00:19:41:	but how you can feed in.
00:19:41> 00:19:43:	So this is where the chat function comes in and
00:19:43> 00:19:45:	we'd love you to be able to participate.
00:19:45> 00:19:47:	I'm going to ask you these questions now at any
00:19:47> 00:19:49:	point during the course of this webinar.
00:19:49> 00:19:51:	Please feel free to put your thoughts into the chat
00:19:51> 00:19:52:	function.
00:19:52> 00:19:55:	We will store it and provide it to the experts
00:19:55> 00:19:58:	later or to the the more advanced practitioners later.
00:20:00> 00:20:01:	But I'll ask you again right at the end so
00:20:01> 00:20:03:	we make sure we can wrap it up.
00:20:03> 00:20:05:	So, but the first question is imagine you're going to
00:20:05> 00:20:08:	leave these sessions and commit to implementing a carbon
	price
00:20:08> 00:20:09:	in your company.
00:20:09> 00:20:12:	In the next 12 to 18 months, what exactly would
00:20:12> 00:20:14:	you need to make it happen?
00:20:14> 00:20:17:	So that could be informational guidance, it could be targeted
00:20:17> 00:20:19:	support to be able to underpin the business case, it
00:20:19> 00:20:21:	could be a whole host of other things.
00:20:21> 00:20:24:	Please do share your thoughts as we'd love to hear.
00:20:24> 00:20:27:	And the second question is inside your organization specifically, what
00:20:27> 00:20:29:	does the carbon price need to do to be able
00:20:29> 00:20:32:	to help accelerate the decarbonisation process?
00:20:32> 00:20:35:	Now for some, that might just be change investment decisions.
00:20:35> 00:20:37:	You might be thinking it from a shadow perspective.
00:20:37> 00:20:39:	For others, you might actually want to be able to
00:20:39> 00:20:41:	raise money to be able to decarbonize the assets or
00:20:41> 00:20:43:	it might be something a bit more technical than that.
00:20:43> 00:20:46:	So please do any point during the course of this
00:20:46> 00:20:49:	web and I'll share your thoughts and we will carry
00:20:49> 00:20:53:	those forward into the into the session tomorrow, Right.
00:20:53> 00:20:53:	OK.
00:20:53> 00:20:56:	So on to what is carbon pricing and why does
00:20:56> 00:20:57:	it matter?

00:20:57> 00:20:59:	So again, stepping back to go forward, we're going to
00:20:59> 00:21:01:	start with the very basics to be able to help
00:21:01> 00:21:01:	us move forward.
00:21:02> 00:21:04:	So First off, what on earth is a carbon price
00:21:04> 00:21:04:	though?
00:21:04> 00:21:07:	A carbon price is a financial mechanism that places a
00:21:07> 00:21:10:	monetary value on carbon emissions and it's usually put on
00:21:10> 00:21:12:	by a company industries that you may very well and
00:21:12> 00:21:13:	increasingly associate.
00:21:13> 00:21:15:	From an individual perspective.
00:21:16> 00:21:19:	The principal aim is to be able to internalise external
00:21:19> 00:21:23:	costs of greenhouse gas emissions and it's done through through
00:21:23> 00:21:26:	mechanisms such as taxes and emissions trading or in carbon
00:21:26> 00:21:27:	offset programs.
00:21:28> 00:21:31:	The purpose of it is to incentivise emission reduction and
00:21:32> 00:21:34:	that and that in order to be able to transition
00:21:34> 00:21:36:	to lower carbon solutions and practices.
00:21:36> 00:21:39:	And indeed net 0 where it's where we want to
00:21:39> 00:21:42:	get to and it's and it focuses on creating economic
00:21:42> 00:21:45:	incentives for polluters to reduce their carbon footprint.
00:21:45> 00:21:50:	So perhaps as an example, providing an economic incentive to
00:21:50> 00:21:54:	choose a lower carbon material such as timber instead of
00:21:55> 00:21:57:	let's say cement as an example.
00:21:57> 00:21:59:	It could be any, any mix of those things or
00:21:59> 00:22:02:	a lower carbon cement versus a higher carbon cement.
00:22:03> 00:22:06:	So carbon pricing, there are largely 2 groups.
00:22:07> 00:22:09:	So the first is you have external mechanisms and the
00:22:09> 00:22:11:	second is internal mechanisms.
00:22:11> 00:22:13:	So external mechanisms, we'll go into each of these in
00:22:13> 00:22:16:	a bit more depth just briefly, but we will under
00:22:16> 00:22:19:	that banner, you have such things as sort of regional
00:22:19> 00:22:22:	or national schemes, such emission trading schemes such as the
00:22:22> 00:22:22:	EUETS.
00:22:22> 00:22:25:	And you also have national taxes or even local taxes.
00:22:25> 00:22:28:	So like Germany and New York local law, from an
00:22:28> 00:22:32:	internal perspective, we have different levels of being able to
00:22:33> 00:22:33:	engage.
00:22:33> 00:22:36:	So putting that monetary price maybe a shadow.
00:22:36> 00:22:37:	So that means it's a price in theory.

00:22:37> 00:22:39:	I'll come on to that in more detail or it
00:22:39> 00:22:39.	might be an actual price be paying.
00:22:41> 00:22:44:	
00:22:44> 00:22:46:	And then under that banner, you often see the association
00:22:44> 00:22:48: 00:22:46> 00:22:48:	with carbon offsetting, but we're going to talk a little bit more about that later as well.
00:22:49> 00:22:51:	The key message here is that there are two types.
00:22:51> 00:22:53:	We're not focusing on the external, although we will be
00:22:53> 00:22:55:	aligning with it as a part of our work stream.
00:22:56> 00:22:58:	We will be focusing on the internal carbon pricing.
00:22:58> 00:23:00:	What do you have control over within your organization?
00:23:02> 00:23:03:	Before we go on to there, let me just start
00:23:04> 00:23:05:	on external mechanisms.
00:23:05> 00:23:08:	So you're clear, two major types of external mechanisms.
00:23:08> 00:23:11:	They are the highest level instruments that can be deployed
00:23:11> 00:23:14:	by local, national or regional governments.
00:23:15> 00:23:17:	But at a, a tax perspective, let's think about it
00:23:17> 00:23:20:	from a local authority or national government perspective.
00:23:20> 00:23:23:	Many of you might be familiar with the, the new
00:23:23> 00:23:25:	tax that came in, I believe it was last year
00:23:26> 00:23:28:	in Germany where it's ???25 per tonne on a heating
00:23:28> 00:23:31:	of buildings, which is in able to, to help shift
00:23:31> 00:23:33:	the urban environment in Germany.
00:23:33> 00:23:37:	And then of course, perhaps one that's made more, Can
00:23:37> 00:23:41:	you believe it carbon price headlines would be the New
00:23:41> 00:23:42:	York local law 97.
00:23:42> 00:23:44:	So seen, seen by many to be one of the
00:23:44> 00:23:48:	most ambitious local local climate laws in the United States.
00:23:48> 00:23:52:	And it applies a cap and trade approach to carbon
00:23:52> 00:23:55:	emissions in the built environment in in New York and,
00:23:55> 00:23:59:	and, and a non a not insignificant carbon price attached
00:23:59> 00:24:01:	to it afterwards.
00:24:01> 00:24:04:	So I think it's something like \$268 per tonne and
00:24:04> 00:24:07:	then so that's your carbon taxes.
00:24:07> 00:24:09:	And then from an emission trading scheme perspective, I think
00:24:09> 00:24:12:	there's about 68 trading schemes, be they national or regional
00:24:12> 00:24:15:	now around the world according to the last World Bank
00:24:15> 00:24:15:	report.
00:24:16> 00:24:19:	But these are imposed in order to be able to
00:24:19> 00:24:22:	bring down the reduction of ratcheting or ratcheting down of
00:24:23> 00:24:25:	emissions over time at a, at a regional or a
00:24:25> 00:24:26:	national level.
VV.27.20 VV.27.2V.	

00:24:26> 00:24:30:	So placed on by a, a national or regional government,
00:24:30> 00:24:33:	a cap is put in place and then the residual
00:24:33> 00:24:36:	emissions are the cost that you, sorry, you buy the
00:24:36> 00:24:40:	credits at a price and you can trade them internally.
00:24:40> 00:24:43:	And the prime example of that, because we're working in
00:24:43> 00:24:46:	Europe at the moment is the EUETS and the revision,
00:24:46> 00:24:46:	the EUETS too.
00:24:47> 00:24:48:	OK, So that's external.
00:24:48> 00:24:50:	Now thinking about internal.
00:24:50> 00:24:53:	So 2 mechanisms that we'll talk a lot about.
00:24:53> 00:24:54:	So just to be clear, what we're talking about is
00:24:55> 00:24:55:	the shadow carbon price.
00:24:55> 00:24:57:	So as I said, it's when a company puts a
00:24:58> 00:25:00:	price on each ton of CO2 emissions that they've used.
00:25:01> 00:25:02:	And then it's used to be able to help inform
00:25:02> 00:25:03:	decision making.
00:25:03> 00:25:05:	So it doesn't actually turn into a cost per SE.
00:25:05> 00:25:08:	It sits there as a shadow cost in your, your
00:25:08> 00:25:12:	investment materials, be there to discounted cash flow,
	whatever it
00:25:12> 00:25:13:	might be.
00:25:13> 00:25:15:	So you do not pay money, you do not put
00:25:15> 00:25:17:	it aside, but it helps you hedge against future risks
00:25:18> 00:25:20:	as an example or policy, future policy coming down the
00:25:20> 00:25:23:	line and you can actually start to fit to level
00:25:23> 00:25:26:	up decisions between higher carbon and lower carbon
	solutions.
00:25:26> 00:25:29:	And then a full fee paying carbon price is when
00:25:29> 00:25:32:	you actually put that same price per tonne or, or,
00:25:32> 00:25:35:	or indeed a different price, but a, a price on
00:25:35> 00:25:38:	an emission per tonne, but it is then paid somewhere.
00:25:38> 00:25:41:	Now I'm going to separate this from offsetting, we'll come
00:25:41> 00:25:42:	on to next.
00:25:42> 00:25:43:	So this is when you pay it.
00:25:43> 00:25:45:	They might you pay it into an internal funds or
00:25:46> 00:25:47:	you ring fence in some way.
00:25:47> 00:25:50:	Now when you do this, the best practice is assumed
00:25:50> 00:25:54:	to be OK, so we've allocated this, allocated this money
00:25:54> 00:25:57:	and we will put it towards our decarbonisation or investment
00:25:57> 00:25:59:	in sustainable innovation solutions.
00:26:00> 00:26:02:	In theory this is your money.
00:26:02> 00:26:04:	You don't have to put it into that, but that

00:26:04> 00:26:07:	is the principle and the best practice that is professed.
00:26:09> 00:26:10:	Finally, a word on offsetting.
00:26:10> 00:26:13:	So First off, what is an offset?
00:26:13> 00:26:15:	We all know what that is, but it's a measurable
00:26:15> 00:26:17:	reduction, removal or avoidance of greenhouse gas.
00:26:18> 00:26:20:	It's made by 1 entity to be able to compensate
00:26:21> 00:26:23:	for emissions that are produced elsewhere.
00:26:23> 00:26:27:	Various different schemes that you can apply to, to be
00:26:27> 00:26:27:	
	able to do it at a gold standard being obviously
00:26:30> 00:26:33:	the gold standard offsets invest in whole different ranges of
00:26:34> 00:26:35:	projects around the world.
00:26:35> 00:26:38:	It can be from renewable energy to a forestation to
00:26:38> 00:26:42:	community based a forestation to methane capture, etcetera.
00:26:42> 00:26:44:	We all know that there's been a particularly difficult time
00:26:44> 00:26:47:	for carbon pricing of late due to the quality and
00:26:47> 00:26:49:	consistency of those offsets, especially over the long term.
00:26:50> 00:26:53:	Now, what's the really important points that we want to
00:26:53> 00:26:55:	phrase to to make to make clear here is carbon
00:26:55> 00:26:58:	offsets are not carbon pricing that they aren't the equivalent
00:26:58> 00:27:00:	carbon offets are often confused with it.
00:27:00> 00:27:02:	They are not always the same thing.
00:27:02> 00:27:06:	So often when we've had some early conversations with people
00:27:06> 00:27:10:	their assumption is well that's so that is your that
00:27:10> 00:27:13:	is the limit of what carbon pricing can do if
00:27:13> 00:27:16:	you stop at the door of assuming that carbon pricing
00:27:16> 00:27:19:	is just there to be able to price your residual
00:27:19> 00:27:23:	emissions and then you offset them that is not what
00:27:23> 00:27:26:	carbon pricing the whole of what carbon pricing is so
00:27:26> 00:27:29:	we will go into this in more detail and and
00:27:29> 00:27:33:	and and over the over the coming webinars but we
00:27:33> 00:27:36:	just wanted to make that clear from the outset So
00:27:36> 00:27:40:	often when we've had some early conversations with people, their
00:27:40> 00:27:44:	assumption is, well, that's, so that is your, that is
00:27:44> 00:27:46:	the limit of what carbon pricing can do.
00:27:48> 00:27:48:	So we will go into this in more detail and,
00:27:48> 00:27:48:	and, and, and over the over the coming webinars, but
00:27:48> 00:27:48:	we just wanted to make that clear from the outset
00:27:48> 00:27:48:	finally.
00:27:48> 00:27:50:	And then just a little bit on why we would
00:27:50> 00:27:50:	care.
00:27:50> 00:27:54:	So obviously, really starting up at the at the top

00:27:54> 00:27:58:	of the tree here, we are seeing greater investor pressure
00:27:58> 00:28:03:	and we are seeing ever increasing ratcheting civic pressure
	as
00:28:03> 00:28:03:	well.
00:28:03> 00:28:06:	So major polluters that do belong within our value chain
00:28:06> 00:28:09:	are feeling it the strongest right now.
00:28:09> 00:28:12:	And we're seeing both lawsuits coming down the line.
00:28:12> 00:28:14:	And then indeed civic action.
00:28:14> 00:28:15:	And where does that go?
00:28:15> 00:28:16:	That doesn't feel like it original.
00:28:16> 00:28:20:	It hits US directly immediately, but well, it, it will
00:28:20> 00:28:22:	come down the line and we're talking about it, it
00:28:23> 00:28:26:	doesn't it, it's not necessarily straight into litigation, but it
00:28:26> 00:28:31:	isn't around insurability and premiums are related to
	insurability, etcetera.
00:28:31> 00:28:34:	So it comes down the line and, and indeed, sorry,
00:28:34> 00:28:36:	investor selection and reputation as well.
00:28:37> 00:28:39:	And then the other piece of why we should care,
00:28:39> 00:28:41:	it's from a policy and regulation perspective.
00:28:41> 00:28:43:	So we've talked a little bit about these today, but
00:28:43> 00:28:44:	I just want to give you a little bit of
00:28:44> 00:28:45:	the, oh, sorry, it's jumped one.
00:28:46> 00:28:46:	Wait a minute.
00:28:48> 00:28:49:	Sorry about that.
00:28:49> 00:28:49:	l just jumped.
00:28:51> 00:28:53:	It's from a policy and regulation perspective.
00:28:53> 00:28:55:	So we we just wanted to speak a little bit
00:28:55> 00:28:56:	about the trend here.
00:28:56> 00:29:01:	So from a policy mechanism perspective, there are currently 68
00:29:01> 00:29:05:	schemes globally and that's growing that that underneath that we
00:29:05> 00:29:09:	have national and regional city tax taxes that are emerging
00:29:09> 00:29:10:	as well.
00:29:10> 00:29:12:	And then there's a whole suite of reporting mechanisms now
00:29:12> 00:29:15:	that are guiding us towards 1, not only the identification,
00:29:15> 00:29:16:	but the reduction of carbon emissions.
00:29:16> 00:29:18:	And we've got them listed down at the bottom.
00:29:19> 00:29:22:	CDP and Bresby are some of the leading examples that
00:29:22> 00:29:27:	have that have carbon pricing disclosure included within the
	disclosure
00:29:27> 00:29:28:	mechanisms.
00:29:30> 00:29:32:	So finally and in summary, why does it matter and

00:29:32> 00:29:34:	why are we here today to be able to help
00:29:32> 00:29:34: 00:29:34> 00:29:37:	you do it well, it from a risk perspective, it
00:29:37> 00:29:39:	helps you mitigate risks for future policy.
00:29:39> 00:29:42:	It helps you adjust miss pricing in valuations.
00:29:42> 00:29:45:	It helps you account for unrecorded current and future costs
00:29:45> 00:29:48:	and internalize them sooner rather than later.
00:29:43> 00:29:48. 00:29:48> 00:29:51:	It helps you with your stakeholder reputation and it helps
00:29:51> 00:29:53:	
	in that long term view from civic action.
00:29:53> 00:29:56:	And then from an opportunity perspective, as we all know,
00:29:56> 00:29:58:	it incentivizes sustainable innovation.
00:29:58> 00:30:02:	It raises funds for sustainable solutions and it accelerates the
00:30:02> 00:30:05:	sustainable transition for your company.
00:30:06> 00:30:10:	So with that, I'm going to hand over hopefully that
00:30:10> 00:30:11:	was a useful summary.
00:30:12> 00:30:13:	If you've got any questions, please do feel free to
00:30:14> 00:30:15:	shoot them through in the chat and maybe I can
00:30:15> 00:30:16:	answer them as we go.
00:30:16> 00:30:19:	But instead, in the interest of time, I'm going to
00:30:19> 00:30:21:	hand over to Rebecca so you can lead us into
00:30:21> 00:30:22:	our far side chat.
00:30:22> 00:30:23:	Thanks, Rebecca.
00:30:25> 00:30:25:	Thank you, Kate.
00:30:26> 00:30:30:	And inviting Murray to come off mute and following on
00:30:30> 00:30:33:	from some of the survey results where one of the
00:30:33> 00:30:37:	barriers at the top was around policy and also one
00:30:37> 00:30:40:	of the next steps was around policy too.
00:30:40> 00:30:43:	We're going to have a short set of questions and
00:30:43> 00:30:47:	find out a little bit more about that policy context
00:30:47> 00:30:50:	and drivers for the real estate industry.
00:30:50> 00:30:55:	So I welcome Murray Bert from CWS to the Call.
00:30:56> 00:30:57:	Are you there?
00:30:57> 00:30:59:	Murray, please could you introduce yourself?
00:30:59> 00:30:59:	Great.
00:30:59> 00:31:01:	Thanks very much for inviting me.
00:31:01> 00:31:03:	So I'm in the research team at at DWS who
00:31:03> 00:31:06:	write papers to assist clients and understanding some of the
00:31:06> 00:31:09:	investment opportunities across different asset classes.
00:31:09> 00:31:12:	We work with our active and passive teams, but also
00:31:12> 00:31:15:	our alternatives team and and real estate.
00:31:15> 00:31:18:	And in particular, we've have a series of reports on
00:31:18> 00:31:22:	European transformation, which is our theme for our
	alternatives business

00:31:22> 00:31:25:	and recently published a report on the Energy Performance and
00:31:25> 00:31:26:	Buildings Directive.
00:31:26> 00:31:29:	But I have been involved there was on, I hope
00:31:30> 00:31:33:	to shape the IHECCS net 0 guidance for real estate
00:31:33> 00:31:37:	previously before my colleague Sasha and Julie took over and
00:31:37> 00:31:39:	and it's been updated recently.
00:31:40> 00:31:43:	And I do have a background in in in cover
00:31:43> 00:31:47:	markets, some of the early stages of a domestic offset
00:31:47> 00:31:50:	scheme in in my home home country of Canada, but
00:31:50> 00:31:53:	also is privileged to play a role in shaping the
00:31:54> 00:31:57:	rules for the EU carbon market from 2013 to 2020
00:31:57> 00:31:59:	on behalf of UK businesses.
00:31:59> 00:32:03:	But we've been publishing reports on on the carbon market
00:32:03> 00:32:05:	and and so happy to help you to provide for
00:32:05> 00:32:08:	buy some view and also some some references for colleagues
00:32:08> 00:32:09:	afterwards.
00:32:10> 00:32:11:	Fantastic.
00:32:11> 00:32:14:	Well, thank you for the intro and perhaps that's a
00:32:14> 00:32:16:	good place to start.
00:32:16> 00:32:18:	Kate already referenced the EUETS.
00:32:20> 00:32:22:	Maybe you could very briefly give a bit of an
00:32:22> 00:32:23:	update.
00:32:23> 00:32:26:	Any future trends which are relevant for real estate relating
00:32:27> 00:32:27:	to EUETS?
00:32:28> 00:32:28:	Yes, definitely.
00:32:29> 00:32:32:	So just to position the ETS, so there's both, 66%
00:32:32> 00:32:35:	of global emissions are unpriced.
00:32:35> 00:32:37:	They don't face any sort of carbon emissions.
00:32:37> 00:32:40:	15% of global emissions are actually have a negative carbon
00:32:40> 00:32:44:	price because there have received fossil fuel subsidies and there's
00:32:44> 00:32:47:	perhaps 18% of global emissions that are covered by some
00:32:47> 00:32:49:	sort of carbon market or carbon price.
00:32:49> 00:32:52:	But only 5% of global emissions have a sufficiently high
00:32:52> 00:32:53:	carbon price.
00:32:53> 00:32:55:	And this is due to the EUETS, but also a
00:32:55> 00:32:59:	number of domestic energy taxes or carbon taxes,
	particularly in
00:32:59> 00:33:00:	the in the Nordics.
00:33:01> 00:33:04:	But but we should think about carbon pricing from what

00:33:04> 00:33:05:	is the market price?
00:33:05> 00:33:06:	What is the current price?
00:33:07> 00:33:10:	What is the future price likely to be and perhaps
00:33:10> 00:33:12:	doing scenario analysis around that.
00:33:12> 00:33:15:	But also thinking about it from what is the cost
00:33:15> 00:33:18:	of reducing your emissions ultimately, what is actually the cost
00:33:18> 00:33:22:	of installing the technology and the carbon price that might
00:33:22> 00:33:25:	be necessary to help justify that that decision and and
00:33:25> 00:33:26:	keep a return on investment.
00:33:26> 00:33:29:	And then also the social cost of of carbon, the
00:33:29> 00:33:32:	damage cost which governments often use and increasingly used to
00:33:32> 00:33:35:	say, look, this is this is actually the damage that's
00:33:35> 00:33:38:	being caused and This is why we'd to justify for
00:33:38> 00:33:38:	governments.
00:33:38> 00:33:39:	Why?
00:33:39> 00:33:42:	Why stronger common policies are are necessary.
00:33:42> 00:33:45:	So, but the EUETS, it's a cap and trade scheme.
00:33:45> 00:33:46:	So there's a cap.
00:33:46> 00:33:49:	So there's a limit placed on the number of allowances,
00:33:49> 00:33:52:	the number of emissions that are that are in the
00:33:52> 00:33:52:	system.
00:33:53> 00:33:57:	And European power companies and heavy industrial companies are subject
00:33:57> 00:33:59:	to the to the carbon price and the scope for
00:33:59> 00:34:01:	coverage has been increasing over time.
00:34:02> 00:34:06:	So airline flights within the EU have to buy carbon
00:34:06> 00:34:11:	allowances the beginning of this year, the shipping industry.
00:34:12> 00:34:16:	So any ships travelling between European ports have to buy
00:34:16> 00:34:17:	carbon allowances.
00:34:17> 00:34:20:	And then for any to have ships be going between
00:34:20> 00:34:24:	a European port and an international port have to buy
00:34:24> 00:34:28:	allowances for half of the emissions for that, for that
00:34:28> 00:34:28:	voyage.
00:34:29> 00:34:31:	And that scope has been increasing over time.
00:34:31> 00:34:34:	And then the stringency has been increasing over time.
00:34:35> 00:34:37:	So in the middle of last year, the cap, so
00:34:37> 00:34:40:	the rate at which the the number of emissions are
00:34:40> 00:34:45:	falling each year, the government's agreed that that should strengthen.
00:34:45> 00:34:49:	So the cap was decreasing at 2.2% per year.
00:34:49> 00:34:51:	It's now decreasing at 4.3% per year.

00:34:52> 00:34:55:	And I would expect that in future years, policy makers
00:34:55> 00:34:58:	will further strengthen, further strengthen that rate.
00:34:59> 00:35:02:	So after establishing the total number of allowances, you have
00:35:02> 00:35:05:	to distribute them and, and how, who's, so who's responsible
00:35:05> 00:35:08:	for, for reducing that, that those emissions.
00:35:08> 00:35:11:	And it's primarily up to now it's been the power
00:35:11> 00:35:11:	sector.
00:35:11> 00:35:14:	So the power sector has to buy at auctions all
00:35:14> 00:35:18:	the carbon allowances they need heavy industry can that are
00:35:18> 00:35:21:	given free allowances to help protect their competitiveness.
00:35:22> 00:35:24:	But they are receiving fewer free allowances over time.
00:35:25> 00:35:27:	And they are also the route those free allowances are
00:35:28> 00:35:31:	distributed based on benchmarks to give them an encouragement to
00:35:31> 00:35:34:	to also improve within their particular sub industry.
00:35:35> 00:35:38:	But one of the other new developments last year was
00:35:38> 00:35:41:	the was the creation of the a carbon border tax.
00:35:41> 00:35:46:	So the a carbon border adjustment mechanism or C BAM,
00:35:46> 00:35:51:	which is helping to protect industrial competitiveness by any importer
00:35:51> 00:35:56:	of carbon intensive materials to would be subject to this.
00:35:56> 00:35:59:	But I think the EU, the EU ETS has been
00:35:59> 00:36:03:	primarily helping to, to encourage renewable energy investments and, and
00:36:03> 00:36:05:	that power sector decarbonization.
00:36:05> 00:36:07:	And that will continue to be the case.
00:36:07> 00:36:12:	But it is also increasingly encouraging industrial companies to invest
00:36:12> 00:36:15:	in heat pumps for, for their industrial use or other
00:36:15> 00:36:18:	electrification of of technologies.
00:36:18> 00:36:22:	And, and we the price has been has been evolving
00:36:22> 00:36:26:	and it has been a very high performing asset class
00:36:26> 00:36:27:	over the past few years.
00:36:28> 00:36:30:	The price sort of came down a little bit to
00:36:30> 00:36:32:	the beginning of at the end of last year, the
00:36:32> 00:36:34:	beginning of this year for a number of reasons.
00:36:34> 00:36:36:	There was a little bit more, it was a bit
00:36:36> 00:36:39:	warmer, a little bit more renewable energy being produced.
00:36:40> 00:36:43:	But we expect that over the next few years the
00:36:43> 00:36:48:	price will will increase particularly starting around 2027 and then
00:36:48> 00:36:51:	rising up to perhaps a hundred ???100 + 120 a

00:36:51> 00:36:52:	130 by 2030.
00:36:52> 00:36:56:	And so that price will feed through into power prices
00:36:56> 00:37:00:	and increasingly into into into product prices for for different
00:37:00> 00:37:01:	materials.
00:37:02> 00:37:07:	But on that C bam, that international component, carbon intensive
00:37:07> 00:37:09:	and energy intensive products.
00:37:09> 00:37:14:	So steel, aluminium, cement, other, other and it even goes
00:37:14> 00:37:18:	down to literally nuts and bolts because it's they're made
00:37:18> 00:37:21:	out of steel and and metals.
00:37:21> 00:37:24:	So it will apply to the cost of importing and
00:37:24> 00:37:28:	buying nuts and bolts, but it will it, it will
00:37:28> 00:37:34:	companies right now internationally are having to report their life
00:37:34> 00:37:38:	cycle emissions for their for the plants that are based
00:37:38> 00:37:39:	overseas.
00:37:39> 00:37:41:	But then there are that are being used to produce
00:37:41> 00:37:42:	products for Europe.
00:37:43> 00:37:46:	And that's, and that's what they're in this two year
00:37:46> 00:37:47:	reporting phase.
00:37:47> 00:37:50:	And then in 2027, they will start to have to
00:37:50> 00:37:54:	buy C BAM certificates, which will be linked to the
00:37:54> 00:37:54:	EUETS price.
00:37:55> 00:37:59:	And if companies are smart and starting to think about
00:37:59> 00:38:03:	their, their potential future liability and the and the rising
00:38:03> 00:38:06:	carbon price, they might start to hedge and, and buy
00:38:06> 00:38:07:	into carbon pricing now.
00:38:09> 00:38:12:	And and that does also a, a justification for, for
00:38:12> 00:38:15:	investors to it isn't just a cost that's, that's being
00:38:15> 00:38:18:	placed on, on investors as, as actually is an investment
00:38:18> 00:38:19:	opportunity.
00:38:20> 00:38:24:	And with our extractors passive investment business last year we
00:38:24> 00:38:28:	launched one of the one of the first physically backed
00:38:28> 00:38:31:	carbon exchange traded commodities and ETC.
00:38:31> 00:38:35:	So giving investors the opportunity to buy directly into the
00:38:35> 00:38:38:	EU carbon market and take advantage of that rising price
00:38:38> 00:38:40:	and participate in that rising price.
00:38:41> 00:38:44:	And also to to hedge their hedge their price risk
00:38:44> 00:38:48:	potentially that that their public equity portfolios might be facing
00:38:48> 00:38:51:	or indeed the, the risks that they might be facing
00:38:51> 00:38:55:	in, in their real estate or real assets portfolios.

00:38:56> 00:38:59:	So happy to to have further discussions with any interested
00:38:59> 00:39:01:	colleagues about about that in more depth.
00:39:04> 00:39:05:	Wonderful.
00:39:05> 00:39:06:	Well, thank you so much, Murray.
00:39:06> 00:39:11:	Really appreciate that additional context and background about the external
00:39:11> 00:39:15:	environment, about policy and some of those external drivers for
00:39:15> 00:39:17:	setting an internal carbon price.
00:39:17> 00:39:18:	Much appreciated.
00:39:18> 00:39:20:	The one, one thing I would add is, is that
00:39:20> 00:39:23:	there is also approaching is sort of an ETS2.
00:39:23> 00:39:28:	So in in 2027 companies that produce fuel.
00:39:28> 00:39:32:	So for vehicles or for natural gas for heating will
00:39:32> 00:39:36:	be subject to a separate but sort of similar, somewhat
00:39:37> 00:39:41:	similar carbon price that that will initially might have a
00:39:41> 00:39:44:	have a cap of around ???45 per tonne.
00:39:44> 00:39:47:	But perhaps that will that will go up, but it'll
00:39:47> 00:39:50:	be subject to the companies the the companies that are
00:39:50> 00:39:53:	subject to it are are the fuel distributors.
00:39:53> 00:39:56:	So the company is producing vehicle fuel and also fuel
00:39:56> 00:40:01:	for for heating that's that's used by buildings in particular.
00:40:01> 00:40:03:	So that will be another way that's that the carbon
00:40:03> 00:40:05:	price starts to broaden over time.
00:40:06> 00:40:09:	And, and then the one area of, of legislation and
00:40:09> 00:40:13:	Green Deal legislation that the policy makers weren't able to
00:40:13> 00:40:15:	agree on was the energy tax directive.
00:40:15> 00:40:18:	This is dating back to 2003 and is actually not
00:40:18> 00:40:22:	really very well aligned with climate policies.
00:40:22> 00:40:24:	And, and there's a lot of perverse incentives.
00:40:24> 00:40:27:	Electricity is overtaxed when we should be electrifying everything.
00:40:27> 00:40:30:	And, and we need to have lower taxes on electricity
00:40:30> 00:40:34:	to, to incentivize heat pumps and electric vehicles so that
00:40:34> 00:40:38:	potentially investors can play a role of encouraging governments to,
00:40:38> 00:40:40:	to reach agreement on, on that directive.
00:40:40> 00:40:43:	Any tax needs to be unanimously agreed in, in Europe.
00:40:43> 00:40:45:	So which is a which is a barrier, but it
00:40:46> 00:40:49:	is an important factor for building the business case for
00:40:49> 00:40:52:	for internal investments and such as insulation and and heat
00:40:52> 00:40:53:	pumps.
00:40:55> 00:40:55:	Absolutely.

00:40:55> 00:40:56:	Well, thank you.
00:40:56> 00:40:59:	So more to come and addressing some of those barriers
00:40:59> 00:41:01:	which were referenced earlier.
00:41:02> 00:41:03:	So yeah, much appreciated.
00:41:03> 00:41:04:	Thank you.
00:41:04> 00:41:08:	And now we'll turn to more of an internal view
00:41:08> 00:41:13:	and hear more about getting started with a carbon price.
00:41:13> 00:41:17:	So I'm delighted to speak with Martin Horseman, if he
00:41:17> 00:41:20:	could take himself off mute from Rev Deco.
00:41:21> 00:41:25:	And we'll hear more about the internal process.
00:41:25> 00:41:26:	Martin, are you there?
00:41:27> 00:41:28:	I'm here.
00:41:28> 00:41:29:	Thank you for having me.
00:41:29> 00:41:33:	It's it's, it's nice to see all the progress
00:41:33> 00:41:35:	going on and, and people joining.
00:41:35> 00:41:40:	So I'm here to share some some of our experiences.
00:41:41> 00:41:41:	Yes, please.
00:41:41> 00:41:42:	That would be great.
00:41:42> 00:41:45:	And maybe if we could start with an introduction to
00:41:45> 00:41:49:	yourself, your role and what you do, your organization.
00:41:50> 00:41:50:	Yeah.
00:41:50> 00:41:51:	I'm Martin Rochman.
00:41:52> 00:41:53:	I'm working for Redefco.
00:41:53> 00:41:59:	We are an investment manager for investor clients and we
00:41:59> 00:42:03:	have a lot of real estate in in retail, high
00:42:03> 00:42:08:	suite retail parks and and we are just acquiring a
00:42:08> 00:42:11:	lot of residential units as well.
00:42:12> 00:42:15:	And well, it's, it's interesting to be there as
00:42:15> 00:42:19:	a sustainable development manager to, to help all the teams
00:42:19> 00:42:23:	through Europe of Redefco portfolio to help them
00.40.04 . 00.40.04	decarbonize the
00:42:24> 00:42:24:	portfolio.
00:42:24> 00:42:28:	And, and well, I, I see myself as, as, as
00:42:28> 00:42:32:	a kind of a thing there for swapping left and
00:42:32> 00:42:36:	right of, of all sorts of companies or, or contacts
00:42:36> 00:42:41:	in my network who could help showcase or, or even
00:42:41> 00:42:44:	consult on how to do these things.
00:42:44> 00:42:48:	So it's really, it's really an interesting job to, to,
00:42:48> 00:42:50:	to work across all of Europe.
00:42:53> 00:42:54:	Absolutely.
00:42:54> 00:42:57:	And maybe with the title on the slide, it'd be
00:42:57> 00:43:01:	great to hear sort of where you are with the

00:43:01> 00:43:02:	carbon price.
00:43:02> 00:43:04:	I appreciate it might be quite early days and why
00:43:04> 00:43:07:	you started to think about it or consider it internally.
00:43:08> 00:43:08:	Yeah.
00:43:08> 00:43:11:	I'm actually working on a carbon strategy for the for
00:43:12> 00:43:15:	the company and it will include carbon pricing.
00:43:15> 00:43:19:	We do not really need to convince our to, to
00:43:19> 00:43:24:	convince our company of taking a, a carbon strategy and,
00:43:24> 00:43:29:	and having it priced in because it's just well already
00:43:29> 00:43:33:	been decided by, by the leadership team.
00:43:33> 00:43:36:	So there's, there's we are already next step.
00:43:36> 00:43:39:	And it, it comes now to a point that you
00:43:39> 00:43:42:	need to know where the impact is and how you
00:43:42> 00:43:45:	can work with that impact and, and analyse and, and
00:43:45> 00:43:47:	take the right decision.
00:43:47> 00:43:50:	So I think carbon pricing is, is, is a great
00:43:50> 00:43:54:	tool to, to make you help take choices and, and,
00:43:54> 00:43:58:	and make decisions in your investment proposals.
00:43:58> 00:44:00:	And just to have some kind of a mirror business
00:44:01> 00:44:03:	as usual or maybe business as used to be usual
00:44:03> 00:44:06:	and, and get into a new business as usual, which
00:44:06> 00:44:10:	does take into account that there there there is an
00:44:10> 00:44:12:	effect of everything that you do in in in your
00:44:13> 00:44:13:	business case.
00:44:16> 00:44:16:	Yeah.
00:44:16> 00:44:17:	Thank you.
00:44:17> 00:44:20:	And I'm intrigued, so where did you start?
00:44:20> 00:44:24:	Is there a particular area, a particular type of real
00:44:25> 00:44:26:	estate or acquisitions?
00:44:27> 00:44:29:	Just curious to hear more about how you got started.
00:44:30> 00:44:35:	Yeah, it it, it started with of course joining the,
00:44:35> 00:44:38:	the World Green Building Council.
00:44:40> 00:44:41:	What's it called?
00:44:41> 00:44:45:	The Commitment 0 carbon commitment.
00:44:45> 00:44:49:	So we need to decarbonize our assets on the management
00:44:49> 00:44:53:	by 20-30 and by 2040 even for scope 3 emissions.
00:44:53> 00:44:56:	So that will be the emissions by the tenants that
00:44:56> 00:44:57:	use our assets.
00:44:58> 00:45:01:	And it's obvious that that the scope 3 is, is,
00:45:01> 00:45:05:	is the largest chunk of what you need to achieve.
00:45:05> 00:45:08:	But let's focus first on what we are responsible for
00:45:08> 00:45:09:	as an owner.

00:45:10> 00:45:14:	And then well, it starts with smart metering to know
00:45:14> 00:45:18:	what is going around in, in energy intensity use of
00:45:18> 00:45:20:	of these these assets.
00:45:20> 00:45:24:	And then there's also an obligation for the embodied carbon
00:45:24> 00:45:29:	that is involved in redevelopments, developments or even acquisitions.
00:45:30> 00:45:34:	So taking that into account, you have business cases where
00:45:35> 00:45:39:	you will find that if you take a different approach
00:45:39> 00:45:44:	on different materials, have a lot of sustainability measures,
	it,
00:45:44> 00:45:47:	it always becomes expensive.
00:45:47> 00:45:49:	But why does it come become expensive?
00:45:50> 00:45:53:	And I, I don't think I need to explain that
00:45:53> 00:45:57:	that excavation and all the damage that does appear there
00:45:57> 00:46:01:	and, and, and everything in, in the, in the process
00:46:01> 00:46:04:	of, of, of producing products is, is not counted in,
00:46:05> 00:46:08:	and it's just being paid by, well, everyone else.
00:46:09> 00:46:13:	And, and it's, it's strange that, that you just have
00:46:13> 00:46:17:	shareholders making, making a lot of profit on, on, on
00:46:17> 00:46:21:	that work and then leave the garbage and, and, and
00:46:21> 00:46:24:	the damage to, well, everyone else.
00:46:24> 00:46:27:	And it it's obvious that we already pay for that
00:46:27> 00:46:28:	through taxes.
00:46:28> 00:46:31:	And I don't think that the taxes will be lower
00:46:31> 00:46:33:	once we price it in and have a carbon tax
00:46:33> 00:46:33:	at all.
00:46:33> 00:46:36:	So everything will just become more expensive in the end.
00:46:36> 00:46:39:	So I think it's it's good to have a sort
00:46:40> 00:46:43:	of view on what is a moral choice instead of
00:46:43> 00:46:45:	just a financial choice.
00:46:45> 00:46:48:	And that's where it starts to find out why you
00:46:48> 00:46:51:	should make your changes in a different way.
00:46:51> 00:46:56:	And you should be prepared for new regulations coming up.
00:46:58> 00:47:00:	So probably in, in the form of taxes.
00:47:00> 00:47:03:	And if you will be taxed on the, on the
00:47:03> 00:47:07:	carbon impact of your, of your company, then you better
00:47:07> 00:47:12:	start now getting this, this, this carbon impact to decrease
00:47:12> 00:47:15:	instead of keeping at the same pace.
00:47:15> 00:47:19:	So I think it's it's quite logic to have something
00:47:19> 00:47:24:	of a tool to start influencing your decision making in
00:47:24> 00:47:26:	in your in your business.
00:47:28> 00:47:28:	Absolutely.
00:47:28> 00:47:31:	And we had Murray talk a little bit earlier about

00:47:31> 00:47:33:	the external drivers.
00:47:33> 00:47:37:	And I'm curious internally you mentioned already there was
	some
00:47:37> 00:47:38:	buy in around this.
00:47:38> 00:47:42:	But is there any further comments to make about how
00:47:42> 00:47:45:	this can be used internally and creating that buy in
00:47:45> 00:47:49:	or perhaps even any areas you faced and dealing with
00:47:49> 00:47:51:	different departments internally?
00:47:53> 00:47:53:	Yeah.
00:47:53> 00:47:56:	So the, the, the strategy is still to be presented
00:47:56> 00:47:59:	to the leadership team and and approved.
00:47:59> 00:48:03:	And so I think to be honest that if you
00:48:03> 00:48:08:	have if, if, if it will get to an external
00:48:08> 00:48:13:	mechanism, then it will be something that is opposed to
00:48:14> 00:48:14:	everyone.
00:48:15> 00:48:17:	So it doesn't make you different from the rest.
00:48:17> 00:48:20:	So I think you should definitely focus on the internal
00:48:20> 00:48:21:	side.
00:48:21> 00:48:26:	And well, sustainability has been an add on for well
00:48:26> 00:48:29:	decades already, I would say.
00:48:30> 00:48:34:	And it was always a sustainability team looking at certain
00:48:34> 00:48:39:	figures and, and, and calculations to present in, in, in,
00:48:39> 00:48:43:	in ACI report what we are, how we are performing.
00:48:44> 00:48:48:	And the asset managers were sort of kept outside because
00:48:48> 00:48:52:	they, they have their, their, their contracts to, to manage.
00:48:52> 00:48:56:	And well, this was something that was extra, but it,
00:48:57> 00:48:58:	it's not extra anymore.
00:48:58> 00:49:02:	So what we have been doing for the well for
00:49:02> 00:49:06:	a lot of years already is now becoming to be
00:49:06> 00:49:09:	in the job of these asset managers.
00:49:09> 00:49:12:	So it's not just the, the rental figures that they
00:49:12> 00:49:16:	need to share to control, but they will also need
00:49:16> 00:49:19:	to share your current energy intensities figures and, and we
00:49:20> 00:49:22:	can then recalculate them to carbon.
00:49:22> 00:49:26:	But it's really interesting to see that, that there was
00:49:26> 00:49:31:	never a focus in, in managing assets on the performance
00:49:31> 00:49:34:	of the assets in, in, in, in energy, in the
00:49:34> 00:49:36:	energy consumption.
00:49:36> 00:49:38:	So it's, it was always based on, on your rental
00:49:38> 00:49:41:	income, which is of course really important because that's why
00:49:41> 00:49:42:	you have the asset.

00.40.40.500.40.45	
00:49:42> 00:49:45:	But I think it's, it's crucial to see all sides
00:49:45> 00:49:49:	of it so that you can really manipulate probably the,
00:49:49> 00:49:53:	the asset as it is because you have more of
00:49:53> 00:49:56:	an overview of everything that's going on.
00:49:56> 00:50:01:	So we already embedded the, the these figures to be
00:50:01> 00:50:04:	in the decision making paper.
00:50:04> 00:50:08:	So when once you want to do an investment, you
00:50:08> 00:50:12:	write a proposal and there's a business case and I'm
00:50:12> 00:50:16:	now trying to get there a carbon case involved as
00:50:16> 00:50:16:	well.
00:50:16> 00:50:20:	And then when you have a proposal proposed to the,
00:50:20> 00:50:24:	to the investment committee, you will get an approval on
00:50:24> 00:50:27:	your business case and your carbon case.
00:50:27> 00:50:30:	And then it will be hard to change because then
00:50:30> 00:50:34:	you will have to get back to the investment committee
00:50:34> 00:50:37:	to ask to, you know, to change your, your project.
00:50:37> 00:50:38:	And it will be affecting both ways.
00:50:38> 00:50:42:	So even sometimes it's, it's more expensive and, and once
00:50:42> 00:50:46:	you do a tender for a project, it appears that
00:50:46> 00:50:49:	that the steel prices go sky high or your concrete
00:50:49> 00:50:53:	prices go sky high or it's your stimmer that goes
00:50:53> 00:50:53:	sky high.
00:50:53> 00:50:56:	And then you would like to see if it's, if
00:50:56> 00:50:59:	you could make a different choice for, for the SSB
00:50:59> 00:51:00:	and redeveloped.
00:51:01> 00:51:03:	And then you have to recalculate everything.
00:51:04> 00:51:07:	And it's, it's not as black and white as it
00:51:07> 00:51:11:	seems because there's a lot going on there that if
00:51:11> 00:51:16:	you have now, for instance, a timber frame, you already
00:51:16> 00:51:20:	had one of these occasions was too expensive and they
00:51:20> 00:51:23:	tried to, to, to cut down on cost.
00:51:23> 00:51:25:	And then they, they switch to steel.
00:51:25> 00:51:28:	And then it appears that the steel will bring you
00:51:28> 00:51:32:	even more rental value because there's more square meters
	because
00:51:32> 00:51:34:	you needed to have a lot of material in the
00:51:34> 00:51:37:	timber framing, which makes it cut on both sides.
00:51:37> 00:51:40:	So then it's a no brainer that you can't have
00:51:40> 00:51:44:	to timber because it will just then your project will
00:51:44> 00:51:44:	fail.
00:51:44> 00:51:48:	And I think it's it's really important to know where
00:51:48> 00:51:52:	the carbon is, where the impact is and to well

00:51:52> 00:51:54:	to take wise decisions on that.
00:51:54> 00:51:58:	And even if you are to use the materials that
00:51:58> 00:52:02:	are known for a high carbon impacts, choose to implement
00:52:02> 00:52:05:	them on a in a wise way in the design
00:52:05> 00:52:09:	so that you can just dismantle everything and build it
00:52:09> 00:52:12:	up again without damaging it.
00:52:12> 00:52:15:	And and have proper records of what you put where
00:52:15> 00:52:18:	in your building and how it's attached so you can
00:52:18> 00:52:19:	detach it again.
00:52:19> 00:52:22:	And it will save you a lot of failure cost
00:52:22> 00:52:25:	as well, even in, in building, in construction, but also
00:52:25> 00:52:27:	in in the management part of it.
00:52:27> 00:52:29:	So when you do maintenance.
00:52:31> 00:52:32:	Thank you, really interesting.
00:52:32> 00:52:35:	And so to use it really as that input or
00:52:35> 00:52:37:	tool to help strategic decision making.
00:52:38> 00:52:44:	And perhaps you mentioned financial information, existing established methods of
00:52:44> 00:52:47:	managing financials and measuring.
00:52:48> 00:52:50:	And I'm intrigued to get your take.
00:52:50> 00:52:53:	One of the barriers that was mentioned earlier in the
00:52:53> 00:52:56:	survey was around uncertainty or lack of data relating to
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
00:52:56> 00:52:56:	carbon.
00:52:56> 00:52:56:	carbon.
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59:	carbon. And how did you deal with that?
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far?
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases.
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:09> 00:53:12:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:09> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:09> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16: 00:53:16> 00:53:18:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact.
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:10> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16: 00:53:16> 00:53:18: 00:53:18> 00:53:21:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:12> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16: 00:53:16> 00:53:18: 00:53:18> 00:53:21: 00:53:21> 00:53:23:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact is in, in an asset, if you are to build
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:12> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16: 00:53:18> 00:53:21: 00:53:21> 00:53:23: 00:53:23> 00:53:27:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact is in, in an asset, if you are to build a new asset, then the, the, the structure and, and
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:12> 00:53:12: 00:53:16> 00:53:16: 00:53:18> 00:53:21: 00:53:21> 00:53:23: 00:53:23> 00:53:27: 00:53:27> 00:53:30:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact is in, in an asset, if you are to build a new asset, then the, the, the structure and, and even the flooring of the structure would be I think
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:12> 00:53:12: 00:53:16> 00:53:16: 00:53:18> 00:53:18: 00:53:21> 00:53:21: 00:53:23> 00:53:23: 00:53:23> 00:53:27: 00:53:27> 00:53:30: 00:53:30> 00:53:34:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact is in, in an asset, if you are to build a new asset, then the, the, the structure and, and even the flooring of the structure would be I think the flooring only 40% of the whole building, the whole
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:09> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16: 00:53:16> 00:53:18: 00:53:21> 00:53:21: 00:53:23> 00:53:23: 00:53:23> 00:53:27: 00:53:30> 00:53:34: 00:53:34> 00:53:38:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact is in, in an asset, if you are to build a new asset, then the, the, the structure and, and even the flooring of the structure would be I think the flooring only 40% of the whole building, the whole asset and then another 20% for the bearing structure in
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:09> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16: 00:53:16> 00:53:18: 00:53:21> 00:53:21: 00:53:23> 00:53:23: 00:53:23> 00:53:30: 00:53:30> 00:53:34: 00:53:34> 00:53:38: 00:53:38> 00:53:38:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact is in, in an asset, if you are to build a new asset, then the, the, the structure and, and even the flooring of the structure would be I think the flooring only 40% of the whole building, the whole asset and then another 20% for the bearing structure in there.
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:52:59> 00:53:01: 00:53:03> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:10> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16: 00:53:16> 00:53:18: 00:53:21> 00:53:21: 00:53:23> 00:53:23: 00:53:23> 00:53:30: 00:53:30> 00:53:34: 00:53:34> 00:53:38: 00:53:38> 00:53:38: 00:53:39> 00:53:42:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact is in, in an asset, if you are to build a new asset, then the, the, the structure and, and even the flooring of the structure would be I think the flooring only 40% of the whole building, the whole asset and then another 20% for the bearing structure in there. And then you will have about 20% for your facade.
00:52:56> 00:52:56: 00:52:57> 00:52:59: 00:53:03> 00:53:01: 00:53:04> 00:53:04: 00:53:04> 00:53:09: 00:53:12> 00:53:12: 00:53:12> 00:53:16: 00:53:16> 00:53:18: 00:53:21> 00:53:21: 00:53:23> 00:53:23: 00:53:30> 00:53:30: 00:53:34> 00:53:34: 00:53:38> 00:53:38: 00:53:39> 00:53:42: 00:53:42> 00:53:43:	carbon. And how did you deal with that? Is that something that you've come across so far? Well, we started just with cases. So we have an interesting case of a redevelopment where we could reuse a whole lot of the structure of a building, which made it possible to get to a really low carbon impact. Because if you do a study on where your impact is in, in an asset, if you are to build a new asset, then the, the, the structure and, and even the flooring of the structure would be I think the flooring only 40% of the whole building, the whole asset and then another 20% for the bearing structure in there. And then you will have about 20% for your facade. And that's why you.

00.52.52 > 00.52.50.	
00:53:53> 00:53:56: 00:53:56> 00:54:00:	it's really up to making the right choices to make
	it all be constructed for reuse so that you can
00:54:00> 00:54:03:	profit in the D section of of the the
00:54:03> 00:54:05:	life cycle, the carbon life cycle.
00:54:06> 00:54:10:	And it starts with, if you start with a standing
00:54:10> 00:54:14:	asset and you are going to change the asset, then
00:54:14> 00:54:18:	there will be parts coming from the standing assets which
00:54:19> 00:54:22:	you could probably have for reuse or recycling.
00:54:22> 00:54:26:	So that's where you can have some kind of a
00:54:26> 00:54:28:	credit before you start.
00:54:28> 00:54:32:	But when you start with with with a Greenfield, then
00:54:32> 00:54:35:	you will just be adding carbon in the in the
00:54:35> 00:54:36:	start.
00:54:36> 00:54:38:	So that's that's why more it's more carbon intensive.
00:54:39> 00:54:43:	So it's really depends on the fields you're active in.
00:54:43> 00:54:48:	And we have a lot of retail high streets assets
00:54:48> 00:54:51:	and we will not be demolishing them.
00:54:51> 00:54:54:	We will be probably restructuring, redeveloping them.
00:54:55> 00:54:58:	And that would well that would make it a bit
00:54:58> 00:55:02:	more easy to have a low carbon impacts design.
00:55:03> 00:55:06:	But still, you need to convince people that that you
00:55:06> 00:55:09:	can't just tear it all down and build up new
00:55:09> 00:55:09:	again.
00:55:12> 00:55:14:	Yes, really interesting.
00:55:14> 00:55:16:	So I think sort of look at look at what
00:55:16> 00:55:19:	you have in the portfolio and and where you can
00:55:19> 00:55:22:	get started and where it's most effective and with carbon
00:55:22> 00:55:25:	emissions and calculating those carbon emissions.
00:55:26> 00:55:28:	Again, I was just curious if there was any uncertainty
00:55:28> 00:55:30:	you came across there while you're measuring and how you
00:55:30> 00:55:30:	dealt with it.
00:55:31> 00:55:34:	Maybe it was like another area of measurement where
	perhaps
00:55:34> 00:55:37:	have to deal with those uncertainties.
00:55:37> 00:55:42:	Well, we, we started with requirements for new designs.
00:55:42> 00:55:45:	So you have to meet CRAM targets and you have
00:55:45> 00:55:48:	to meet CRAM 2040 targets and that's for operational carbon.
00:55:49> 00:55:53:	And because the assets that you will be redeveloping today
00:55:53> 00:55:57:	will be handed over just before 20-30 or maybe even
00:55:57> 00:56:01:	just past 20-30 and somewhere around 2033 the the CRAM
00:56:01> 00:56:05:	target level of 2040 will be reached for most of
00:56:05> 00:56:06:	the asset type classes.

00:56:06> 00:56:08:	So that's a no brainer.
00:56:08> 00:56:11:	And then if you if you get to the embodies
00:56:11> 00:56:15:	carbon part, I think it has been calculated that you
00:56:15> 00:56:19:	need to get within the borders of around 150 kilograms
00:56:19> 00:56:23:	per square meter, which is reasonably low.
00:56:23> 00:56:27:	And we just set the requirements on 200 kilograms and
00:56:27> 00:56:30:	to see what, what the design teams would come up
00:56:30> 00:56:34:	with just to see if they could comply either complain
00:56:34> 00:56:38:	why they couldn't and then get to a certain level
00:56:38> 00:56:39:	that we could accept.
00:56:40> 00:56:44:	And we also had a new build project and there
00:56:44> 00:56:49:	was a lot of resistance on, on the target because
00:56:49> 00:56:51:	they just couldn't do it.
00:56:52> 00:56:55:	And they just said, well, we, we will refer to,
00:56:55> 00:56:58:	to a lattice scheme of 650, maybe 700, which would
00:56:58> 00:57:01:	be feasible because that's best practice.
00:57:01> 00:57:03:	And I said, well, that's best practice of what we
00:57:03> 00:57:06:	are capable of today based on best practices of the
00:57:06> 00:57:08:	last couple of years.
00:57:08> 00:57:10:	And I would like you to develop something to the
00:57:11> 00:57:12:	best practices of 2028.
00:57:13> 00:57:15:	And that's where it got really confusing for them.
00:57:16> 00:57:19:	But we still managed to get to to to a
00:57:19> 00:57:22:	reasonable low 1 lower than than Letty.
00:57:23> 00:57:25:	It still has to be defined.
00:57:25> 00:57:30:	But I think just having the conversation and yeah, we'll
00:57:30> 00:57:34:	try to the count of people with, with, with, with
00:57:34> 00:57:38:	requirements that are really hard to, to get, helps us
00:57:38> 00:57:43:	learn and helps the, the other, well, the other, the,
00:57:43> 00:57:47:	the consultants as well to, to have the right questions
00:57:47> 00:57:48:	to us.
00:57:48> 00:57:50:	And if we can't answer the questions, we'll have to
00:57:50> 00:57:51:	find the answer together.
00:57:51> 00:57:54:	So it is after all it's it's a job of
00:57:54> 00:57:57:	working together on these type of questions.
00:57:59> 00:57:59:	Absolutely.
00:57:59> 00:58:03:	So some learning by doing and the importance of collaboration
00:58:03> 00:58:04:	in this space too.
00:58:04> 00:58:06:	And maybe final question also, please.
00:58:06> 00:58:10:	Yeah, the most important they start start registering
	everything you
00:58:03> 00:58:04: 00:58:04> 00:58:06:	collaboration in this space too. And maybe final question also, please.

00:58:10> 00:58:10:	do.
00:58:10> 00:58:14:	So if you make, for instance, building passports where you
00:58:14> 00:58:17:	have everything in there to make, to make a whole
00:58:17> 00:58:20:	life carbon assessment on your, your, your asset.
00:58:20> 00:58:23:	And you will have all the information needed.
00:58:23> 00:58:26:	And, and even if you are not to, to start
00:58:26> 00:58:29:	with carbon pricing or in any way, if, if you
00:58:29> 00:58:33:	just, if you managed to have the information on your
00:58:33> 00:58:37:	assets and, and some kind of a taxation comes in,
00:58:37> 00:58:41:	then you will have your, your, your, your baseline.
00:58:41> 00:58:43:	And you can start with it.
00:58:43> 00:58:46:	And you do not have to start at the moment.
00:58:46> 00:58:48:	It's it's being imposed.
00:58:51> 00:58:51:	Exactly.
00:58:51> 00:58:53:	So yeah, getting that.
00:58:54> 00:58:57:	So pre assessment before things move mandatory.
00:58:57> 00:59:01:	And just a quick comment for everyone, please, if you
00:59:01> 00:59:05:	do have any questions for Martin, for Murray or for
00:59:05> 00:59:08:	others, please feel free to put them in the chat.
00:59:09> 00:59:13:	We'll also open in case there's questions people want to
00:59:13> 00:59:16:	raise verbally to and Martin.
00:59:16> 00:59:20:	Just maybe one final section question before we go into
00:59:20> 00:59:24:	Q&A, which was just zooming back out again.
00:59:24> 00:59:29:	How did this support more wider initiatives or other
	developments
00:59:29> 00:59:31:	in your organization?
00:59:31> 00:59:34:	So how did thinking and considering this carbon price support
00:59:34> 00:59:37:	some of those wider strategic initiatives?
00:59:39> 00:59:42:	Well, I, I think it's too early to say that
00:59:42> 00:59:46:	there's been a wider effect, but you can imagine that
00:59:46> 00:59:49:	if, if you have this strategy approved by your leadership
00:59:49> 00:59:53:	team and, and the carbon prices in, even if it's
00:59:53> 00:59:57:	low, it doesn't matter because every developer that that gets
00:59:57> 01:00:00:	a cut on this on, on this business case tries
01:00:00> 01:00:03:	to get this cut as as low as possible because
01:00:03> 01:00:05:	it's just like, it's like a game then so.
01:00:06> 01:00:08:	Maybe it helps by, by gamifications.
01:00:09> 01:00:12:	I think it's really interesting that they will be eager
01:00:12> 01:00:14:	to to get to the lowest cut possible.
01:00:14> 01:00:15:	So I think it will work in the end.
01:00:15> 01:00:18:	Even if it's low, it shouldn't be too low obviously.
01:00:18> 01:00:21:	But if you would like a real, real, real price,

01:00:21> 01:00:24:	then then it will become, well, true pricing is is
01:00:25> 01:00:27:	just a different, different type.
01:00:27> 01:00:30:	It it will be probably 100 times as much as
01:00:30> 01:00:34:	you would expect it to be today as a carbon
01:00:34> 01:00:35:	price.
01:00:35> 01:00:38:	So well probably it will get to some kind of
01:00:38> 01:00:42:	ETS level where you well which is a sort of
01:00:42> 01:00:44:	accepted level by industry.
01:00:47> 01:00:50:	Now coming back to your question is that if you
01:00:50> 01:00:53:	have a strategy for, for carbon, you could imagine that
01:00:53> 01:00:56:	you could have a strategy like that for all the
01:00:56> 01:00:58:	S and, and G types of, of ES and G.
01:00:58> 01:01:01:	So once there's more clearance on how to measure it
01:01:01> 01:01:04:	and, and if there's, there's an acceptable standard by the
01:01:04> 01:01:07:	industry of measuring, then you can embed that in the
01:01:07> 01:01:10:	strategy and you can start use KP is to improve
01:01:10> 01:01:11:	yourself.
01:01:11> 01:01:13:	So you just need to get to a plan to
01:01:13> 01:01:16:	check act cycle to, to be able to tell where
01:01:16> 01:01:18:	you are now, what you plan to do about it.
01:01:18> 01:01:20:	And if you succeeded in, in doing so.
01:01:20> 01:01:23:	And once you have succeeded, you can look back at
01:01:23> 01:01:25:	where you started and think, OK, we can do a
01:01:25> 01:01:27:	next step and we can do even better than that.
01:01:28> 01:01:30:	But if you lack the data, then then well, you
01:01:30> 01:01:31:	lack your base.
01:01:31> 01:01:34:	So if you don't have a baseline and, and that's
01:01:34> 01:01:35:	where it starts.
01:01:35> 01:01:38:	So I think you, you really need to start measuring
01:01:38> 01:01:41:	and to start gathering data on, on your assets or
01:01:41> 01:01:42:	how they're performing.
01:01:43> 01:01:46:	And that doesn't mean that you have to take your,
01:01:46> 01:01:51:	your standing portfolio through an assessment on the carbon
	embodies
01:01:52> 01:01:53:	carbon of these buildings.
01:01:54> 01:01:56:	But I think every time that you will do a
01:01:56> 01:01:59:	redevelopment of an assets that you will have to do
01:01:59> 01:02:02:	a due diligence on what will I be retrieving from
01:02:03> 01:02:06:	the assets and what will I be changing and everything
01:02:06> 01:02:08:	I retrieve, can I do that in a way that
01:02:08> 01:02:12:	is being recycled, reused or whatever, just to make sure
01:02:12> 01:02:15:	that your carbon impact is as low as possible.

$01:02:15 \rightarrow 01:02:18:$ $01:02:18 \rightarrow 01:02:22:$ $01:02:22 \rightarrow 01:02:23:$ $01:02:23 \rightarrow 01:02:28:$ $01:02:26 \rightarrow 01:02:33:$ $01:02:33 \rightarrow 01:02:33:$ $01:02:36 \rightarrow 01:02:42:$ $01:02:42 \rightarrow 01:02:44:$ $01:02:44 \rightarrow 01:02:47:$ $01:02:52 \rightarrow 01:02:55:$ $01:02:55 \rightarrow 01:02:59:$ $01:02:59 \rightarrow 01:03:03:$ $01:03:03 \rightarrow 01:03:15:$ $01:03:10 \rightarrow 01:03:15:$ $01:03:15 \rightarrow 01:03:15:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:23:$ $01:03:30 \rightarrow 01:03:23:$ $01:03:30 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:23 \rightarrow 01:03:20:$ $01:03:30 \rightarrow 01:03:33:$ $01:03:30 \rightarrow 01:03:33:$ $01:03:30 \rightarrow 01:03:33:$ $01:03:30 \rightarrow 01:03:33:$ $01:03:30 \rightarrow 01:03:33:$ $01:03:30 \rightarrow 01:03:34:$ $01:03:42 \rightarrow 01:03:43:$ $01:03:43 \rightarrow 01:03:49:$	So it even starts before your design stage and then you will get to your, your production and and construction phase and then the use phase. And, and you've got to be aware that the embodied carbon, I think this is an important one. The embodied carbon that you invest in, in, in an asset at, at day one is going to predict the level of all your redevelopments and refurbishment in the, in, in the future coming. So if you will have a refurbishment every 15 years, we've we've done a calculation with UN Studio that there, there is about to be quarter of of your initial carbon impact by the structure or the OR the whole asset actually that you have to invest again after 15 years and after 15 years again. So actually after 60 years, you've invested twice the initial carbon impact and that will be significantly higher than your than your operational carbon. And I think that that's an important one to notice. So if you have a low carbon start, then you will have low carbon refurbishments and redevelopments. But if you have it all glued together and poured together, then you will have to make a lot of damage to, to change it and you have to add a lot of joints again. And if you just keep on repeating that it's, it's going to stay like that. So your your initial choices that you make in in the in the in the first day before even before
01:03:46> 01:03:49:	the in the first day before even before
01:03:50> 01:03:52:	you start drawing something on the paper.
01:03:53> 01:03:56:	It is is actually the setting the standard for
01:03:56> 01:03:59:	the rest of the life cycle of this asset.
01:04:01> 01:04:01:	Yeah, indeed.
01:04:01> 01:04:05:	So I'm thinking about that end to end operational, embodied
01:04:05> 01:04:05:	and so on.
01:04:05> 01:04:10:	And thank you very much to Martin for those questions
01:04:10> 01:04:11:	and answers.
01:04:13> 01:04:15:	I can see there's some questions in the chat and
01:04:15> 01:04:19:	maybe before going into the questions in the chat, and
01:04:19> 01:04:21:	we can also reply to some of those afterwards and
01:04:21> 01:04:25:	share them afterwards, maybe just to see if anyone has
01:04:25> 01:04:27:	any questions to raise in the room.

01:04:27> 01:04:31:	If so, please could you raise a hand and then
01:04:31> 01:04:32:	we'll turn to you.
01:04:32> 01:04:34:	Just give it a moment.
01:04:40> 01:04:44:	If not, I'll give it one second then Martin, I
01:04:44> 01:04:48:	can see there's a bit of questions in the chat
01:04:48> 01:04:51:	for you and one of those was looks.
01:04:52> 01:04:53:	Like there's a, there's a hand.
01:04:54> 01:04:54:	OK.
01:04:55> 01:04:55:	Anna.
01:04:57> 01:05:00:	Yeah, the handles for a question, so I don't know
01:05:00> 01:05:02:	if you prefer me to write in the chat.
01:05:02> 01:05:02:	Or.
01:05:02> 01:05:04:	To ask directly.
01:05:05> 01:05:06:	Please ask directly.
01:05:07> 01:05:07:	OK.
01:05:09> 01:05:12:	So Martin, thank you very much for the explanation.
01:05:12> 01:05:17:	I had a question regarding the embodied carbon because it
01:05:17> 01:05:21:	also raised was raised in previous meetings.
01:05:22> 01:05:28:	Have you created or defined different considerations to approach the
01:05:28> 01:05:34:	embodied carbon measurement or strategies of reduction for your new
01:05:34> 01:05:39:	assets compared to sorry for your existing assets before even
01:05:39> 01:05:41:	they undergo any renovation?
01:05:41> 01:05:45:	How do you approach this element in the assets already
01:05:45> 01:05:45:	there?
01:05:45> 01:05:48:	Since it's an element that because of their age or
01:05:48> 01:05:52:	time of development works not available or not calculated.
01:05:52> 01:05:57:	Have you defined a specific approach to start integrating these
01:05:57> 01:06:03:	imported carbon and part of calculation consideration in your existing
01:06:03> 01:06:06:	assets even before they undergo any renovation?
01:06:08> 01:06:12:	Not particular for assets that are standing and are untouched,
01:06:13> 01:06:16:	but it is a common requirement, has become a common
01:06:16> 01:06:20:	requirement since I joined Redefco that we do in urban
01:06:20> 01:06:24:	mining scan before starting redevelopment of an asset.
01:06:25> 01:06:28:	And sometimes there's already plans for the asset.
01:06:28> 01:06:32:	While there's, there's, there's still a tenant in and we
01:06:32> 01:06:35:	might not even know if, if the tenant might change
01:06:35> 01:06:38:	or if there comes a break or not.
01:06:38> 01:06:40:	But sometimes it's, it's good to just see what the

04.06.40 > 04.06.42.	notantial of an apact is
01:06:40> 01:06:42: 01:06:42> 01:06:46:	potential of an asset is. And then you could start with this, this urban mining
01:06:46> 01:06:48:	scan just to find out how is it built?
01:06:48> 01:06:50:	What, what's the structure like?
01:06:50> 01:06:54:	If we would like to change it, what, what are
01:06:54> 01:06:59:	the, the limits that you, that you have for regulations
01:06:59> 01:07:03:	And what should we change to the essay to make
01:07:03> 01:07:05:	it crime target 2040 proof.
01:07:05> 01:07:09:	So insulation values, your, your facade, your roof structure, that
01:07:10> 01:07:11:	sort of things.
01:07:11> 01:07:14:	And if you are prepared for that, then you know
01:07:14> 01:07:17:	what to do with, with the, with the offtake of,
01:07:17> 01:07:20:	of, of the asset that you are going to change.
01:07:20> 01:07:25:	And of course, we, we have a lot of retail
01:07:25> 01:07:32:	High Street assets that are only, oh, maybe AAA locations
01:07:32> 01:07:38:	and it's, it's, they're in most, I think most assets
01:07:38> 01:07:43:	are even quite old to maybe even listed.
01:07:44> 01:07:47:	So that makes it really hard to, to really change
01:07:47> 01:07:47:	the assets.
01:07:47> 01:07:52:	So it's, it's then also hard to, to make it
01:07:52> 01:07:53:	Paris proof.
01:07:53> 01:07:56:	So if it isn't possible, well, you, you will end
01:07:56> 01:07:59:	up with a debit and then you'll have to do
01:07:59> 01:08:02:	some offsetting not right that that's what it is.
01:08:02> 01:08:05:	So I think that even if you have to change
01:08:05> 01:08:08:	it, make an urinary scan and then if you have
01:08:08> 01:08:11:	all the changes, do it in a way that that
01:08:11> 01:08:12:	it's reversible.
01:08:14> 01:08:17:	So it means that it started with kind of a
01:08:17> 01:08:21:	data set elaboration and guidelines to approach the new and
01:08:21> 01:08:24:	existing so that it can feed also then in parallel
01:08:24> 01:08:27:	the carbon strategy with a pricing next to.
01:08:28> 01:08:31:	Because if if the acid is is in use, then
01:08:31> 01:08:35:	it doesn't make make make sense to to to do
01:08:35> 01:08:40:	an assessment on the embodied carbon of the existing structure
01:08:40> 01:08:43:	because it's just unknown what you are to do with
01:08:43> 01:08:44:	it.
01:08:44> 01:08:46:	So if only if you have plans and you know
01:08:46> 01:08:48:	what you will touch and and if you will touch
01:08:48> 01:08:50:	it, what the impact would be.
01:08:53> 01:08:53:	Thank you.
	manix you.

01:08:54> 01:08:55:	Welcome.
01:08:55> 01:08:56:	Thank you.
01:08:56> 01:08:59:	And there's some questions in the chat.
01:08:59> 01:09:01:	I'll just try and summarize.
01:09:01> 01:09:04:	But I think Martin, again, it's for you, it was
01:09:04> 01:09:06:	question around comparability.
01:09:06> 01:09:10:	So if you have different geographies, different regions perhaps in
01:09:10> 01:09:13:	one country, how you deal with those different geographies?
01:09:13> 01:09:15:	Is it a uniform price?
01:09:16> 01:09:18:	If not, is it comparable?
01:09:18> 01:09:19:	How are you thinking about that?
01:09:22> 01:09:27:	Up to a certain level, it will be local regulations.
01:09:27> 01:09:30:	So I think that's just what it is.
01:09:30> 01:09:33:	So you if you if it comes to your business,
01:09:33> 01:09:37:	your whole portfolio, then you just choose for yourself.
01:09:37> 01:09:43:	So you choose a price that would match the decision
01:09:43> 01:09:48:	making efforts and and and then if there comes a
01:09:48> 01:09:52:	taxation from a different from an external.
01:09:55> 01:09:57:	Just to turn yourself on mute, please.
01:10:00> 01:10:00:	Great.
01:10:03> 01:10:06:	So it's yeah, it's just you have to make a
01:10:06> 01:10:10:	choice for yourself or your organization because the external parts
01:10:10> 01:10:12:	you can't influence.
01:10:12> 01:10:14:	So you have to take your decisions once it once
01:10:14> 01:10:18:	it's internal, you take your decisions on your internal choices
01:10:18> 01:10:19:	that you've made.
01:10:20> 01:10:24:	
	And it is true that that even even the life
01:10:24> 01:10:29:	And it is true that that even even the life cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe.
01:10:24> 01:10:29: 01:10:29> 01:10:33:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within
	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years,
01:10:29> 01:10:33:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years, sometimes
01:10:29> 01:10:33: 01:10:33> 01:10:34:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years, sometimes it's 75 years.
01:10:29> 01:10:33: 01:10:33> 01:10:34: 01:10:34> 01:10:37:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years, sometimes it's 75 years. But in the end, I, I, I've done a short
01:10:29> 01:10:33: 01:10:33> 01:10:34: 01:10:34> 01:10:37: 01:10:37> 01:10:41:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years, sometimes it's 75 years. But in the end, I, I, I've done a short calculation for one, one asset that, that, that I just
01:10:29> 01:10:33: 01:10:33> 01:10:34: 01:10:34> 01:10:37: 01:10:37> 01:10:41: 01:10:41> 01:10:43:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years, sometimes it's 75 years. But in the end, I, I, I've done a short calculation for one, one asset that, that, that I just wanted to know about.
01:10:29> 01:10:33: 01:10:33> 01:10:34: 01:10:34> 01:10:37: 01:10:37> 01:10:41: 01:10:41> 01:10:43: 01:10:43> 01:10:46:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years, sometimes it's 75 years. But in the end, I, I, I've done a short calculation for one, one asset that, that, that I just wanted to know about. And it appears that it may be at 12 to
01:10:29> 01:10:33: 01:10:33> 01:10:34: 01:10:34> 01:10:37: 01:10:37> 01:10:41: 01:10:41> 01:10:43: 01:10:43> 01:10:46: 01:10:46> 01:10:51:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years, sometimes it's 75 years. But in the end, I, I, I've done a short calculation for one, one asset that, that, that I just wanted to know about. And it appears that it may be at 12 to 13% that, that you're off on, on your carbon impacts.
01:10:29> 01:10:33: 01:10:33> 01:10:34: 01:10:34> 01:10:37: 01:10:37> 01:10:41: 01:10:41> 01:10:43: 01:10:43> 01:10:46: 01:10:46> 01:10:51: 01:10:52> 01:10:55:	cycle assessments are different in different countries within Europe. So sometimes it's 50 years, sometimes it's 60 years, sometimes it's 75 years. But in the end, I, I, I've done a short calculation for one, one asset that, that, that I just wanted to know about. And it appears that it may be at 12 to 13% that, that you're off on, on your carbon impacts. If, if you calculate 50 to 60 years or 60

 01:11:07> 01:11:10: So I think that could be acceptable because it's, it's 01:11:10> 01:11:12: as vague as, as, as you know. 01:11:12> 01:11:15: So I think you, you don't need to take well, 01:11:15> 01:11:20: specific regulations or specific calculations to sort of make it
01:11:12> 01:11:15: So I think you, you don't need to take well,
01:11:15> 01:11:20: specific regulations or specific calculations to sort of make it
01:11:20> 01:11:21: comparable.
01:11:21> 01:11:24: I think it's, it's just that if you have a,
01:11:24> 01:11:27: a standard strategy and, and you apply it to all
01:11:27> 01:11:30: the assets, then it will help you benchmark them.
01:11:30> 01:11:34: And everything that's external is just external.
01:11:34> 01:11:34: You have to deal with it.
01:11:38> 01:11:39: Yeah, thank you.
01:11:39> 01:11:45: And again, just opening up, if there's anyone on the
01:11:45> 01:11:51: call who would like to raise a hand, please jump
01:11:51> 01:11:56: in and ask a question, if not, Murray.
01:11:56> 01:11:59: There is a question in the in the shed, Rebecca.
01:12:00> 01:12:00: Thank.
01:12:00> 01:12:02: You Another one from Trent.
01:12:03> 01:12:04: Thank you.
01:12:04> 01:12:08: And yes, again, I think it's a question for yourself,
01:12:08> 01:12:09: Martin.
01:12:09> 01:12:13: So it was a question on deriving the carbon price
01:12:13 > 01:12:18 : and whether that was based on estimated cost intervention
for 01:12:18> 01:12:23: a particular asset, the portfolio and how to deal with
01:12:23> 01:12:29: variations in cost, so whether that was maintenance or decarbonisation.
01:12:29> 01:12:32: So just maybe to summarize thinking about cost and how
01:12:32> 01:12:34: to set that carbon price cost.
01:12:35> 01:12:35: Yeah.
01:12:36> 01:12:40: Well if you would get to a true pricing system,
01:12:40> 01:12:44: you might end up with prices around 2000 plus per
01:12:44 > 01:12:49: tonne, which is probably not being implemented in your for
01:12:49> 01:12:52: your internal decision making.
01:12:52> 01:12:57: So that's why I think it's, it's more more logical
01:12:57> 01:13:01: to to sort of start with with a lower sort
01:13:01> 01:13:03: of accepted level like ETS.
01:13:03> 01:13:07: So you could think of prices from 45 to to
01:13:07> 01:13:09: ???100 per tonne probably.
01:13:10> 01:13:14: Just remember it's, it's a benchmark for trying to change
01:13:14> 01:13:15: decision making.
01:13:15> 01:13:20: It it's not really related to, to, to true cost

01:13:20> 01:13:24:	because it's, it's not being standardised.
01:13:24> 01:13:28:	If through pricing would be the case, then we would
01:13:28> 01:13:33:	not need this, this, this carbon pricing exercise because it's
01:13:33> 01:13:37:	just something that needs to be assessed on every product
01:13:37> 01:13:38:	that you buy.
01:13:38> 01:13:40:	What is it being made from?
01:13:40> 01:13:42:	What resources have been used?
01:13:42> 01:13:44:	Is it is it possible to reuse it?
01:13:44> 01:13:49:	So basically everything that is cradle to cradle and certified
01:13:49> 01:13:54:	would then be the the lowest priced products ever.
01:13:54> 01:13:58:	And today you will see that it's, it's probably a
01:13:58> 01:14:01:	little bit more expensive to have a, a, a really
01:14:01> 01:14:06:	good renewable resource based products than the standard one that's
01:14:06> 01:14:09:	being produced for take make waste.
01:14:09> 01:14:11:	So I think we are, we are still not there
01:14:11> 01:14:15:	on the circular economy to have things really priced in.
01:14:15> 01:14:18:	So that's why I think you should just focus on
01:14:18> 01:14:21:	your decision making internally and and base your price on
01:14:21> 01:14:22:	that.
01:14:22> 01:14:25:	And what I did make some some kind of a
01:14:25> 01:14:28:	calculation on one asset just to get a grip on
01:14:28> 01:14:29:	the figures.
01:14:29> 01:14:33:	And if you then account for 60 years with four
01:14:33> 01:14:38:	refurbishments and you count the embodied carbon at initial year
01:14:38> 01:14:42:	zero and then you're 4 * 1/4, then you get
01:14:42> 01:14:45:	to two times the embodied carbon.
01:14:45> 01:14:49:	If you then would take a cycle of 60 years,
01:14:49> 01:14:54:	cram 2040 targets, then you would end up with a
01:14:54> 01:15:00:	carbon, carbon intensity and body carbon intensity of around 75
01:15:00> 01:15:02:	kilograms per square meter.
01:15:02> 01:15:07:	And if you then get to your, if you would
01:15:07> 01:15:12:	then build to a lattice standard of 650 which is
01:15:12> 01:15:18:	considered low, then you are exceeding it by 575 kilograms.
01:15:18> 01:15:23:	So if you were to price that you would probably
01:15:23> 01:15:27:	end up with a carbon price of around 350 or
01:15:27> 01:15:30:	???400 per kilogram, no per tonne.
01:15:31> 01:15:34:	And I think that, but that's just specific for this
01:15:35> 01:15:35:	case.
01:15:35> 01:15:37:	So it could be if I would be making different
01:15:37> 01:15:41:	calculations for different asset types in different situations,

	there will
01:15:41> 01:15:44:	be a different price and then it's not comparable anymore.
01:15:44> 01:15:46:	It's like your business case.
01:15:46> 01:15:49:	You would like to have your IRR on always on
01:15:49> 01:15:52:	the, on the same, based on the same line of,
01:15:52> 01:15:56:	of costs and expenses and, and, and, and your rental
01:15:56> 01:15:56:	income.
01:15:56> 01:16:00:	Because if you differ the, the, the basis of your,
01:16:00> 01:16:04:	of your calculations, then it's not comparable anymore.
01:16:04> 01:16:07:	And then your investment committee will never be able to,
01:16:07> 01:16:10:	to, to approve or only be able to disapprove because
01:16:10> 01:16:12:	they can't just benchmark it.
01:16:12> 01:16:16:	So it's really important that you have a standard and
01:16:16> 01:16:19:	and the baseline to get back to so that it's
01:16:19> 01:16:22:	benchmarkable for, for your, your whole organization.
01:16:25> 01:16:26:	Thank you, Martin, really helpful.
01:16:26> 01:16:28:	And I can see there's a few more questions in
01:16:28> 01:16:30:	the chat, so we will get to them, maybe not
01:16:30> 01:16:31:	in this call, but another time.
01:16:31> 01:16:33:	So thank you very much for that.
01:16:33> 01:16:36:	Maybe just a perspective on that, on that question, I
01:16:36> 01:16:38:	think it's look at to look at the, the ultimate
01:16:39> 01:16:41:	damage cost that like this is the social cost of
01:16:41> 01:16:44:	carbon and the US government set to \$190.00 per tonne
01:16:44> 01:16:47:	for US tonne as as their sort of policy cost
01:16:47> 01:16:50:	of, and it doesn't include all the types of damages
01:16:50> 01:16:51:	that that happened.
01:16:52> 01:16:54:	And then looking at what is like that technology cost
01:16:54> 01:16:57:	that, that Martin was seeing, like what is the cost
01:16:57> 01:17:00:	to decarbonize your buildings and the type of buildings, older
01:17:00> 01:17:03:	buildings, newer buildings, and, and what, because that is,
04.47.00 > 04.47.07.	that's
01:17:03> 01:17:07:	what the requirements of the energy performance building structive and
01:17:07> 01:17:11:	efficiency directives ultimately are going towards, even
	though they're still,
01:17:11> 01:17:13:	they need to be implemented in, in member states.
01:17:14> 01:17:17:	And then like looking at what are, what are the
01:17:17> 01:17:20:	sort of the trajectories for the EUETS, the, the ETS
01:17:20> 01:17:23:	too, and where they could, they could head to and
01:17:23> 01:17:25:	where energy tax reform, if it, if it's done by
01:17:25> 01:17:28:	member states, could also could also do.
01:17:28> 01:17:30:	And, and then how that affects your tenants.

01:17:30> 01:17:32:	And, and if, if prices are going up in, in
01:17:32> 01:17:35:	inefficient building and you're just passing on the cost to
01:17:35> 01:17:37:	tenants, maybe they would didn't decide to move.
01:17:37> 01:17:39:	And so as a, as a way to, to retain
01:17:39> 01:17:41:	your tenants as part of that, that strategy.
01:17:41> 01:17:44:	So, and then on the embodied carbon, I think it's
01:17:44> 01:17:47:	be, it's important to look at the sort of the
01:17:47> 01:17:48:	political context.
01:17:49> 01:17:53:	There was an Antwerp declaration by many heavy, heavy industry
01:17:53> 01:17:56:	in, in Europe calling for competitiveness to be a car
01:17:56> 01:18:00:	core part of, but also considering green and, and and
01:18:00> 01:18:03:	the transition for the next EU Commission.
01:18:04> 01:18:06:	But one of their recommendations was that customers of, of,
01:18:06> 01:18:08:	of energy intensive companies could support.
01:18:08> 01:18:11:	And so we are as the real estate industry are
01:18:11> 01:18:15:	buying and buying products, products and services from the construction
01:18:15> 01:18:16:	industry.
01:18:16> 01:18:18:	So how can, how can we play a role in
01:18:18> 01:18:21:	helping them decarbonize in, in different ways.
01:18:22> 01:18:25:	So there, there's some initiatives in, in that area, but
01:18:25> 01:18:26:	could be, could be strengthened.
01:18:27> 01:18:28:	So thanks.
01:18:29> 01:18:32:	Yeah, I, I would expect industry to price in carbon
01:18:32> 01:18:33:	taxes anyway.
01:18:33> 01:18:36:	So you will be paying for them and it, it,
01:18:36> 01:18:38:	it, I think they will be the first ones to
01:18:38> 01:18:41:	be hit because it's, it's the largest chunk.
01:18:41> 01:18:44:	And we've got a lot of assets that are standing
01:18:44> 01:18:49:	assets and actually brownfield developments will be the largest part
01:18:49> 01:18:53:	of, of developments and redevelopments and not really the, the,
01:18:53> 01:18:55:	the just new build.
01:18:55> 01:18:58:	So it's, it's definitely having to do with what we've
01:18:58> 01:19:00:	got now and what I've.
01:19:00> 01:19:02:	Already I've already seen a sell side report looking from
01:19:02> 01:19:04:	a major investment bank.
01:19:04> 01:19:06:	So looking at the cement industry and like what is
01:19:06> 01:19:09:	if you've got a more efficient cement plant and do
01:19:09> 01:19:12:	they have a better carbon management strategy for their for
01:19:12> 01:19:13:	their EU carbon allowances?

01:19:14> 01:19:17: 01:19:17> 01:19:20: 01:19:20> 01:19:24:	They're buying when the prices were low, then they will be advantage or compared to a competitor or an importer more carbon intensive and they'll have to likely put up
01:19:24> 01:19:26:	their their cement prices to.
01:19:27> 01:19:27:	So who are you?
01:19:27> 01:19:30:	Who are you ultimately contracting for cement for with?
01:19:33> 01:19:33:	Yeah.
01:19:33> 01:19:37:	Thank you very much and really appreciate the insights, both
01:19:37> 01:19:38:	Murray and Martin.
01:19:38> 01:19:42:	And we'll collect those questions in the chat and get
01:19:42> 01:19:43:	back to you.
01:19:43> 01:19:47:	And let's have sort of two final slides to recap
01:19:47> 01:19:49:	some of what we've heard today.
01:19:52> 01:19:56:	And hopefully this will also help support some of the
01:19:56> 01:19:58:	rationale and business case.
01:20:00> 01:20:04: 01:20:04> 01:20:07:	And maybe actually before we go to those slides, just
01:20:07> 01:20:07:	very briefly, Martin, I just wondered if you had any
01:20:10> 01:20:12:	sort of final short words if you wanted to add anything at this stage.
01:20:10> 01:20:12:	Oh, not really good at in in short answers.
01:20:19> 01:20:20:	Just just start, be brave.
01:20:20> 01:20:25:	Just start with doing so start registering and start analysing
01:20:25> 01:20:28:	what you're working on and create a vision for what
01:20:28> 01:20:31:	it could be within the next five years.
01:20:31> 01:20:35:	And just keep it simple and, and once you get
01:20:35> 01:20:40:	your experiences, then you can make it more, more well
01:20:40> 01:20:43:	integrated and, and maybe more complex.
01:20:43> 01:20:47:	But that's, that's probably the best thing to do just
01:20:47> 01:20:50:	to make it into small chunks which are easy to
01:20:50> 01:20:53:	understand and easy to well to, to pass on to
01:20:53> 01:20:55:	others within your company.
01:20:55> 01:20:57:	Because if you make it too complex, you want to
01:20:57> 01:21:01:	do everything in one step, you will probably not get
01:21:01> 01:21:01:	there.
01:21:02> 01:21:03:	Wonderful.
01:21:03> 01:21:03:	Thank you.
01:21:03> 01:21:05:	And Mallory may be the same to you.
01:21:05> 01:21:07:	Just a quick response.
01:21:07> 01:21:09:	Anything you just wanted to add as a final sum
01:21:09> 01:21:10:	up?
01:21:10> 01:21:10:	Yeah.
01:21:10> 01:21:13:	I think also it's it's what you're doing internally and

01:21:13> 01:21:16:	affecting your decision making, but it's also our external voices
01:21:16> 01:21:17:	as an industry towards policymakers.
01:21:18> 01:21:21:	The EU after the elections will be debating at a
01:21:21> 01:21:22:	2040 climate target.
01:21:22> 01:21:25:	And then I think they need to restart debate on
01:21:25> 01:21:29:	energy tax reform to build the business case for further
01:21:29> 01:21:30:	electrification.
01:21:31> 01:21:34:	And investors could and should be more active in that
01:21:34> 01:21:34:	area.
01:21:34> 01:21:37:	The Net zero asset owner Alliance has been calling for
01:21:37> 01:21:40:	asset managers to be more active on, on policy to
01:21:40> 01:21:43:	change the rules of the game and, and by investigating
01:21:43> 01:21:46:	carbon pricing and internal and, and policies, that gives you
01:21:46> 01:21:50:	the foundation for becoming more active in, in, in policy
01:21:50> 01:21:53:	and communicating what types of policies are more effective to,
01:21:53> 01:21:55:	to bring in, bring in investment.
01:21:55> 01:21:58:	And that's I think an important message for the, the,
01:21:58> 01:22:00:	the, the Sea Change programme in general.
01:22:01> 01:22:01:	Thanks.
01:22:02> 01:22:02:	Indeed.
01:22:02> 01:22:03:	Well, thank you both.
01:22:04> 01:22:07:	And then turning to those slides and it echoes some
01:22:07> 01:22:08:	of what we've heard already.
01:22:08> 01:22:11:	So we'll go through relatively briefly.
01:22:11> 01:22:13:	But firstly on the drivers.
01:22:14> 01:22:17:	So I've heard about some of the external drivers including
01:22:18> 01:22:21:	policy named as one of the barriers but is incoming
01:22:21> 01:22:22:	and ratcheting up.
01:22:23> 01:22:29:	We also heard about pricing, the externality, the negative externality
01:22:29> 01:22:33:	of carbon and bringing that long term view, which currently
01:22:33> 01:22:39:	often isn't priced into short term decision making, influencing and
01:22:39> 01:22:44:	looking at strategy internally by applying an internal carbon price
01:22:44> 01:22:47:	to decisions and giving that lens.
01:22:47> 01:22:50:	So an additional business lens that can influence some of
01:22:50> 01:22:51:	those decisions.
01:22:52> 01:22:55:	And also the importance of getting started.
01:22:55> 01:22:59:	And on the next slide, I just have some of
01:22:59> 01:23:04:	the final summing up and I'm here just carrying some

01:23:04> 01:23:05:	of the thoughts.
01:23:05> 01:23:09:	So the importance of getting started, it will expand, it
01:23:09> 01:23:13:	will evolve over time, ensuring transparency and having that
	balanced.
01:23:13> 01:23:17:	So that means talking about what you're doing, estimates, assumptions,
01:23:17> 01:23:20:	but also talking about areas for improvement, things which are
01:23:20> 01:23:21:	going well.
01:23:22> 01:23:26:	And finally looking at this as many other different strategic
01:23:26> 01:23:29:	issues where there is an element of uncertainty.
01:23:29> 01:23:32:	And it's about trying to understand and have some of
01:23:32> 01:23:36:	those decisions brought into the short term by looking at
01:23:36> 01:23:39:	some of those long term views and things that may
01:23:39> 01:23:41:	happen in the future.
01:23:41> 01:23:44:	So the importance of thinking about this internally and using
01:23:45> 01:23:48:	it for strategic decision making, maybe thinking about it in
01:23:48> 01:23:51:	the way that we think about other types of business
01:23:51> 01:23:53:	issues have some uncertainty.
01:23:54> 01:24:00:	And with that, I'll hand back to Sophie ULI.
01:24:01> 01:24:02:	Sophie, over to you.
01:24:03> 01:24:04:	Hey, thank you so much.
01:24:05> 01:24:08:	I hope you've all found this session as interesting and
01:24:09> 01:24:10:	as informative as I have.
01:24:10> 01:24:13:	And as we've said throughout it, please do get in
01:24:13> 01:24:13:	touch.
01:24:14> 01:24:19:	We want this to be a really collaborative initiative that
01:24:19> 01:24:20:	we're doing.
01:24:20> 01:24:22:	So we've got those questions that we asked.
01:24:22> 01:24:24:	Any other follow up questions, thoughts, things that you want
01:24:24> 01:24:26:	us to have a look at, things that would be
01:24:26> 01:24:27:	helpful to go over?
01:24:28> 01:24:29:	Do you get in touch?
01:24:29> 01:24:30:	Just some key dates for you.
01:24:31> 01:24:33:	If we could go to the next slide, please, Kate.
01:24:34> 01:24:37:	So you should already have in your Diaries the date
01:24:37> 01:24:39:	for Workshop 2 and workshop three.
01:24:39> 01:24:41:	We've got one in June, 1 in September.
01:24:41> 01:24:43:	If they're not in your Diaries, please get in touch
01:24:43> 01:24:44:	and let me know.
01:24:45> 01:24:48:	Also worth noting, if there's people that you think would
01:24:48> 01:24:52:	be interested in this initiative who aren't on the call,
01:24:52> 01:24:56:	colleagues or, you know, peers in your industries, again,
······································	

	we'd
01:24:56> 01:24:59:	like this to be as collaborative as possible.
01:25:00> 01:25:01:	Do get in touch.
01:25:01> 01:25:04:	And then we have our date for the Sea Change
01:25:04> 01:25:05:	Summit in October.
01:25:05> 01:25:07:	So we're going to be in Barcelona this year on
01:25:07> 01:25:10:	the 17th of October and we really hope you can
01:25:10> 01:25:10:	join us.
01:25:10> 01:25:13:	I, we are working on getting the, the joining link
01:25:13> 01:25:16:	in the website up and running should be with you
01:25:16> 01:25:17:	in a, in a week's time.
01:25:17> 01:25:19:	And I will send, send you all a follow up
01:25:19> 01:25:22:	message because that is where we're going to be launching
01:25:22> 01:25:24:	the findings of the work that we're doing over the
01:25:24> 01:25:25:	next five months.
01:25:26> 01:25:28:	So we would really love to see all of you
01:25:28> 01:25:31:	there and hopefully be really helpful for you as well
01:25:31> 01:25:33:	to see the output of this work that we're doing.
01:25:34> 01:25:37:	And then just to also note, we have our main
01:25:37> 01:25:42:	Europe ULI conference coming up very shortly in June in
01:25:42> 01:25:44:	a few weeks time.
01:25:44> 01:25:46:	There is still enough time to get tickets if you
01:25:46> 01:25:47:	haven't already.
01:25:47> 01:25:49:	So we hope see as many of you there as
01:25:49> 01:25:50:	possible.
01:25:50> 01:25:53:	We are doing some workshops for sea change while we're
01:25:53> 01:25:56:	there as well and some sort of smaller workshops.
01:25:56> 01:25:58:	1 is on carbon pricing.
01:25:58> 01:26:01:	We're also doing one on the preserved tool which is
01:26:01> 01:26:05:	looking at transition risk and valuation and also an owner
01:26:06> 01:26:07:	occupier alignment.
01:26:07> 01:26:09:	So if you are interested in any of those workshops,
01:26:09> 01:26:12:	again, you can sign up if you're already attending the
01:26:12> 01:26:15:	conference, but lots of opportunities to get involved.
01:26:15> 01:26:18:	We hope to see you all at these various events
01:26:18> 01:26:19:	and hear from you soon.
01:26:20> 01:26:23:	I think that is everything from me other than than
01:26:24> 01:26:26:	to just say like huge thank you for taking the
01:26:26> 01:26:29:	time out and and joining us today and and we'll
01:26:29> 01:26:31:	see you all very soon.
01:26:31> 01:26:32:	Thank you very much.
01:26:37> 01:26:37:	Wonderful.

01:26:37> 01:26:38:	Thenkyou even/hedy
01:26:38> 01:26:38:	Thank you, everybody. Thank.
01:26:38> 01:26:39:	
	You, everybody.
01:26:39> 01:26:40:	Have a great day.
01:26:41> 01:26:41:	Thank you.
01:26:42> 01:26:42:	Thank you very.
01:26:42> 01:26:43:	
01:26:56> 01:26:58:	There's still some interesting questions I think in the in
01:26:58> 01:26:59:	the chat.
01:27:00> 01:27:03:	Yeah, yes, we're going to stay on so we can
01:27:03> 01:27:04:	lift those out actually.
01:27:06> 01:27:06:	Yeah.
01:27:08> 01:27:09:	Thank you so much.
01:27:09> 01:27:12:	That was a really helpful and really insightful.
01:27:13> 01:27:14:	Yeah.
01:27:14> 01:27:16:	I think people really got a lot from it based
01:27:16> 01:27:17:	on the on the feedback.
01:27:17> 01:27:18:	So thank you you're.
01:27:20> 01:27:20:	Welcome.
01:27:20> 01:27:23:	Yeah, it's, you know, and if it's all new, then
01:27:24> 01:27:27:	why not share your experiences so other people can, can,
01:27:27> 01:27:29:	can live up with it?
01:27:29> 01:27:32:	So you have to make your own choices anyway.
01:27:34> 01:27:35:	Amazing.
01:27:35> 01:27:36:	Wonderful.
01:27:36> 01:27:38:	Just in the process of trying to copy all this.
01:27:38> 01:27:41:	I've got some more.
01:27:41> 01:27:42:	Have you got them all?
01:27:42> 01:27:42:	Marrow.
01:27:43> 01:27:47:	In the recording, but worth copying down just in case.
01:27:47> 01:27:48:	I have.
01:27:48> 01:27:49:	I have everything in the Word document.
01:27:49> 01:27:53:	Every questions, every answers, everything is in the Word
	document.
01:27:53> 01:27:54:	Right now.
01:27:54> 01:27:56:	I have a version with everything I will send to
01:27:56> 01:27:57:	you right away.
01:27:58> 01:27:59:	Amazing.
01:27:59> 01:28:00:	And I've got some just because.
01:28:00> 01:28:02:	The only thing is I don't know whether it picks
01:28:02> 01:28:04:	up on yours because I've had some private messages of
01:28:04> 01:28:05:	the questions as well.

01:28:05> 01:28:06:	The private no.
01:28:06> 01:28:07:	The the ones that are.
01:28:07> 01:28:07:	Just.
01:28:07> 01:28:08:	Private to you.
01:28:08> 01:28:10:	Doesn't doesn't show to me.
01:28:10> 01:28:13:	So if any of you as a private message with
01:28:13> 01:28:17:	the question will be amazing just to to send over.
01:28:17> 01:28:19:	Take them down before we before we leave.
01:28:19> 01:28:20:	OK, It's wonderful.
01:28:21> 01:28:21:	All right.
01:28:21> 01:28:24:	Well, thank you so much and thank you very much,
01:28:24> 01:28:25:	Martin for staying on as well.
01:28:25> 01:28:28:	We will be taking these questions and feeding them into
01:28:28> 01:28:31:	the the next round of meetings as well.
01:28:31> 01:28:33:	So very much appreciated.
01:28:33> 01:28:37:	Great if you want feedback on beforehand and just send
01:28:37> 01:28:39:	them over and I will take a look on how
01:28:40> 01:28:42:	I would answer them so we could have a chat
01:28:43> 01:28:43:	about it.
01:28:44> 01:28:45:	Yeah, it might be nice.
01:28:45> 01:28:48:	I'm going to do a follow up e-mail to everyone,
01:28:48> 01:28:51:	including like the recording because I'll send it to you.
01:28:51> 01:28:54:	There was a, a longer list, although I'd say the
01:28:54> 01:28:57:	attendance was pretty good from the people on the list.
01:28:58> 01:29:00:	But I'm going to do a follow up with the
01:29:00> 01:29:02:	recording so everyone's got a copy of it.
01:29:02> 01:29:04:	And perhaps we could include some of the questions that
01:29:04> 01:29:06:	we haven't been able to answer if you were happy
01:29:06> 01:29:07:	with that, Martin.
01:29:07> 01:29:10:	And I'll include things like the Sea Change Summit once
01:29:10> 01:29:11:	we've got that link.
01:29:11> 01:29:12:	So it'll probably be next week.
01:29:12> 01:29:14:	It's not nothing urgent.
01:29:15> 01:29:17:	So that might be a nice way to sort of
01:29:17> 01:29:19:	include those if if you're happy to, so we, we
01:29:19> 01:29:22:	can e-mail those over to you, the ones that we
01:29:22> 01:29:23:	didn't get round to on the call.
01:29:25> 01:29:26:	I even saw some question on that.
01:29:26> 01:29:29:	What if there's no regulations and there's there's no fees
01:29:29> 01:29:29:	and whatever?
01:29:29> 01:29:33:	So but just take your bold steps and just do
01:29:33> 01:29:34:	it.

01:29:34> 01:29:36: 01:29:39> 01:29:43: 01:29:43> 01:29:49: 01:29:50> 01:29:50: 01:29:56> 01:30:03: 01:30:04> 01:30:08: 01:30:08> 01:30:10: 01:30:10> 01:30:12: 01:30:12> 01:30:13: 01:30:13> 01:30:14: 01:30:14> 01:30:14: 01:30:16> 01:30:17: 01:30:19> 01:30:18: 01:30:19> 01:30:19: 01:30:19> 01:30:19: 01:30:21> 01:30:21: 01:30:21> 01:30:22: 01:30:22> 01:30:22: 01:30:25> 01:30:24: 01:30:26> 01:30:27: 01:30:27> 01:30:28: 01:30:28> 01:30:28:	It's what I did form a job. Yeah, I I almost got fired at the airport for organising a true pricing workshop by PwC in on the airport. So our CFO was not amused and and she called the business units to stop it funny years ago. Gosh, gosh, no, that would be lovely. If if, if we could put some little that's some follow up questions in that that mail out. I think they'd appreciate them. Yeah. Talk to you later today then probably. We will meet. You next time indeed see. You again? Yeah. Enjoy lunch. OK. Thank you. Yeah, that's top priority after this. Bye later. Bye. OK, see you later. Bye.
01:30:29> 01:30:29:	Bye.
01:30:37> 01:30:37:	Hello.
01:30:37> 01:30:37:	Hi.
01:30:38> 01:30:39:	Hello I.
01:30:39> 01:30:40: 01:30:40> 01:30:42:	Think, I think I'm going to drop off. I think it went well.
01:30:40> 01:30:42:	Maybe we've got the debriefs tomorrow as well so I
01:30:46> 01:30:47:	can get a bit more into.
01:30:47> 01:30:50:	Yeah, we've got the debriefs with the wider with the
01:30:50> 01:30:51:	wider organization.
01:30:51> 01:30:51:	Yeah.
01:30:51> 01:30:54:	So I think yes, I feel like there's more.
01:30:54> 01:30:57:	There's, there's a, there's a crying for more technical
	questions.
01:30:58> 01:31:00:	So I think they're it's like they're sort of pushing
01:31:00> 01:31:01:	for a little bit further.
01:31:01> 01:31:02:	So I think we just need to, I think that's
01:31:03> 01:31:03:	fine.

01:31:03> 01:31:05:	We can just respond to it in the follow-ups and
01:31:05> 01:31:07:	in the in the next the next ones.
01:31:08> 01:31:11:	I think it's what you've been saying Kate, in that
01:31:11> 01:31:13:	there, there may be a few people on the call
01:31:13> 01:31:16:	who who it was new for, but there's a lot
01:31:16> 01:31:18:	of people in there who already know a lot about
01:31:18> 01:31:18:	it.
01:31:19> 01:31:21:	So it is difficult to get there.
01:31:21> 01:31:24:	I think this will have worked as a really good
01:31:24> 01:31:27:	intro into the topic and then it it does mean
01:31:27> 01:31:30:	that we've got more scope to go more into some
01:31:30> 01:31:32:	detail for the next workshops.
01:31:33> 01:31:36:	So yeah, I think that that should be really good.
01:31:37> 01:31:38:	Yeah, it made me think as well.
01:31:38> 01:31:41:	And you know, when they're building the case stuff, the
01:31:41> 01:31:43:	paper you're doing separately, is there scope for that to
01:31:43> 01:31:44:	be a how to?
01:31:45> 01:31:47:	Because if we could do like, because I was just
01:31:47> 01:31:49:	thinking, but what we're doing with UNGC at the moment
01:31:49> 01:31:50:	is, is a webinar series.
01:31:50> 01:31:51:	And we do.
01:31:51> 01:31:54:	And in the format of that webinar series, we do
01:31:54> 01:31:56:	a how to at the beginning and then we then
01:31:56> 01:31:58:	we hear from the late leaders on the ground.
01:31:58> 01:32:01:	And I wonder whether for like the shadow carbon pricing
01:32:01> 01:32:01:	next.
01:32:01> 01:32:03:	It's like a how to, this is how you do
01:32:03> 01:32:03:	it.
01:32:03> 01:32:05:	And we can have like maybe a couple of 1
01:32:05> 01:32:06:	to ones beforehand.
01:32:06> 01:32:08:	So we make sure that we get it bang on,
01:32:08> 01:32:09:	but this is a how to on how you do
01:32:09> 01:32:12:	carbon pricing, shadow carbon pricing then OK.
01:32:12> 01:32:14:	So let's hear from people on the ground and the
01:32:14> 01:32:15:	particular complexities they're facing etcetera.
01:32:16> 01:32:18:	Similarly on the then when we go to the fee
01:32:18> 01:32:20:	pay and get more technical.
01:32:20> 01:32:23:	But the one thing we haven't necessarily done on this
01:32:23> 01:32:26:	one, but we could do in retrospect with your paper
01:32:26> 01:32:27:	is a how to.
01:32:27> 01:32:29:	So it's not just building the case as in like
01:32:29> 01:32:31:	you know how we did with transition risks paper, it

04-00-04 > 04-00-00-	
01:32:31> 01:32:32:	was like here's the case.
01:32:32> 01:32:33:	This is what we think.
01:32:33> 01:32:36:	It's like literally how do you build the case, if
01:32:36> 01:32:36:	that?
01:32:36> 01:32:38:	It seems to be that's what they're crying for.
01:32:38> 01:32:41:	Like practical, like, OK, I get it, I get that,
01:32:41> 01:32:42:	I get that stuff.
01:32:42> 01:32:44:	What I really need to know is how on earth
01:32:44> 01:32:47:	do I persuade my investment director?
01:32:47> 01:32:48:	And it's that bit.
01:32:48> 01:32:49:	And I wonder whether we've got scope for that within
01:32:49> 01:32:51:	that paper or is it or is it pitched to
01:32:51> 01:32:52:	something different?
01:32:52> 01:32:52:	Well.
01:32:53> 01:32:55:	I so I guess it's the why it's pitched as
01:32:55> 01:32:58:	AY carbon pricing, which I think for a lot of
01:32:58> 01:33:01:	people is still the starting point, but it's almost there's
01:33:01> 01:33:03:	a bit of overlap, isn't there?
01:33:03> 01:33:08:	Because often the how it starts with like really understanding
01:33:08> 01:33:11:	the why, if that makes sense.
01:33:11> 01:33:14:	And and sort of getting that by for people to
01:33:14> 01:33:18:	understand why and getting the buy in at the senior
01:33:18> 01:33:21:	level is almost the first step of how to do
01:33:21> 01:33:21:	it.
01:33:22> 01:33:24:	I mean it, are we not going to have more,
01:33:24> 01:33:28:	I guess the outcomes that we're going to publish at
01:33:28> 01:33:29:	Sea change Summit?
01:33:29> 01:33:33:	Will that not be more on, could that not include
01:33:33> 01:33:35:	a sort of how to at that point?
01:33:35> 01:33:36:	Because I see what you mean.
01:33:36> 01:33:40:	It would be a helpful thing, but I I think
01:33:40> 01:33:43:	it needs to sit somewhere, but it's I.
01:33:43> 01:33:46:	Wonder if just to brainstorm, what if I do that,
01:33:46> 01:33:48:	if I can get like a one to one or
01:33:48> 01:33:52:	something in before or somebody who's done it, Why don't
01:33:52> 01:33:54:	I make that the the thing in June at the
01:33:54> 01:33:56:	sea change Summit?
01:33:56> 01:33:57:	And then if we record it, we can send it
01:33:58> 01:34:00:	around to people afterwards because it's literally I just need
01:34:00> 01:34:00:	a 1 to 1.
01:34:00> 01:34:02:	So somebody can explain to me how they properly did
01:34:02> 01:34:02:	it.

01:34:03> 01:34:05:	And then we could just do a session on.
01:34:05> 01:34:08:	OK, so how to specifically how do you do it?
01:34:09> 01:34:12:	And would that be the June workshop or the June
01:34:12> 01:34:14:	session at the conference?
01:34:15> 01:34:17:	June, sorry, let's say that we'll stick to our usual
01:34:17> 01:34:18:	principle on this.
01:34:18> 01:34:20:	So we'll go shadow and fee paying, but I mean
01:34:20> 01:34:22:	just that you will like you will I see change
01:34:22> 01:34:23:	conference.
01:34:23> 01:34:26:	Sorry, you will live annual conference in a few weeks.
01:34:27> 01:34:27:	I'll get there.
01:34:27> 01:34:28:	I'll get there.
01:34:28> 01:34:30:	I know, I know this is not going on.
01:34:31> 01:34:33:	Yeah, no, I mean, I think we haven't really talked
01:34:33> 01:34:35:	about what the session for that will look like.
01:34:35> 01:34:39:	Yeah, I'm, I haven't yet had a chance to look
01:34:39> 01:34:42:	@ups for it or anything, but let's have a look
01:34:42> 01:34:46:	at who's in the room and work out whether that
01:34:46> 01:34:47:	would be appropriate.
01:34:48> 01:34:52:	But otherwise, I think it's something, if not, we could
01:34:52> 01:34:55:	always do it as a webinar, you know, post the
01:34:55> 01:34:58:	Sea change conference as a sort of follow up and
01:34:58> 01:35:01:	have it as something that we, because I think we
01:35:01> 01:35:04:	have it as something, but it's online that we can
01:35:04> 01:35:05:	then record.
01:35:05> 01:35:07:	Then we can put it up as a like a
01:35:07> 01:35:10:	how to and it can go up on the website
01:35:10> 01:35:12:	and etcetera, etcetera.
01:35:13> 01:35:16:	But yeah, there's no reason why we couldn't do both,
01:35:16> 01:35:16:	to be honest.
01:35:18> 01:35:19:	Yeah, yeah.
01:35:19> 01:35:20:	Well, let's, let's have a chat with him.
01:35:20> 01:35:21:	But why don't we add it to our agenda next
01:35:21> 01:35:21:	week?
01:35:21> 01:35:24:	Because we've still got time and I can definitely call
01:35:24> 01:35:25:	up somebody who's already done one.
01:35:26> 01:35:27:	Even Olivia.
01:35:27> 01:35:28:	Olivia would love doing that.
01:35:28> 01:35:30:	We'd love a chat about how to so I could
01:35:30> 01:35:33:	have a chat with him and then we'll work.
01:35:33> 01:35:33:	We'll work something out.
01:35:34> 01:35:35:	And what was the other thing I was going to

01:35:35> 01:35:35:	say?
01:35:36> 01:35:37:	Yeah, just flagging.
01:35:37> 01:35:39:	We've got the steering committee next.
01:35:39> 01:35:40:	Is it next week?
01:35:41> 01:35:42:	So if you want anything from me, let me know.
01:35:44> 01:35:48:	Yes, I think well, a lot of the steering committee
01:35:48> 01:35:50:	are on this, on these calls.
01:35:50> 01:35:52:	A lot of that meeting is going to be on
01:35:52> 01:35:53:	the preserve talks.
01:35:53> 01:35:56:	I think we will have the critical meeting, but it
01:35:56> 01:35:59:	would still be good, I think just to give them
01:35:59> 01:36:01:	an update of where we are on carbon pricing and
01:36:01> 01:36:02:	banks stuff.
01:36:03> 01:36:06:	But I don't think we'll have very long for that,
01:36:06> 01:36:09:	especially as last time we didn't get through a lot
01:36:09> 01:36:10:	of the a lot of the content.
01:36:10> 01:36:13:	So I think because they've all been involved in the
01:36:13> 01:36:16:	carbon, I think almost everyone from the steering committee
	is
01:36:16> 01:36:17:	in one group or another.
01:36:17> 01:36:18:	We've tried to make sure.
01:36:19> 01:36:22:	So it'd just be a very short update, but I'll
01:36:22> 01:36:24:	get on to the I'll get on to that.
01:36:25> 01:36:25:	The agenda.
01:36:25> 01:36:26:	Well, I just thought I'd plug it.
01:36:27> 01:36:28:	No, no, no, definitely it won't do.
01:36:28> 01:36:30:	It's nothing long from you.
01:36:30> 01:36:33:	I think if anything, we'll just do a, I don't
01:36:33> 01:36:35:	worry about, you know, 5 minutes or so on, just
01:36:35> 01:36:38:	this is where we're at and, and use it to
01:36:38> 01:36:42:	really focus on preserve maybe Berlin and maybe some
	education
01:36:42> 01:36:42:	stuff.
01:36:42> 01:36:44:	I think ideally that's what we would do.
01:36:47> 01:36:49:	Well, if there's someone you just give me the trigger.
01:36:49> 01:36:51:	It only takes me, you know, 10 minutes to make
01:36:51> 01:36:51:	those slides.
01:36:51> 01:36:53:	But just let me know and then I can make
01:36:53> 01:36:53:	sure I've got the point.
01:36:55> 01:36:55:	Great.
01:36:55> 01:37:00:	Well, I was like 2 hours last time and yeah,
01:37:00> 01:37:01:	perfect.
01:37:01> 01:37:03:	I think that went really well.

01:37:03> 01:37:06:	I'm really pleased with the attendance and hopefully we'll see
01:37:06> 01:37:08:	some more people soon.
01:37:09> 01:37:09:	Amazing.
01:37:10> 01:37:11:	Look forward to it.
01:37:11> 01:37:14:	All right then later, have a nicer bye bye.
01:37:14> 01:37:15:	Catch you later, bye bye bye.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .