

Webinar

ULI PwC Webinar on Climate Risk and Insurance Implications for Real Estate

Date: February 28, 2025

00:00:25> 00:00:30:	Hello everyone and welcome to this webinar on climate risk
00:00:30> 00:00:33:	and insurance implications for real estate.
00:00:34> 00:00:37:	My name is Simon Chin and I head up the
00:00:37> 00:00:41:	research and advisory services for the Urban Land Institute.
00:00:42> 00:00:46:	So for those that aren't aware, I just wanted to
00:00:46> 00:00:49:	give a quite a brief overview of ULI and utilize
00:00:49> 00:00:54:	a global member driven organization for professionals that work in
00:00:55> 00:00:58:	the real estate and build environment sector.
00:00:59> 00:01:04:	We have around 50,000 members globally across North America, Europe
00:01:04> 00:01:05:	and Asia Pacific.
00:01:06> 00:01:09:	And all of our members convene really around the mission,
00:01:09> 00:01:13:	which is to shape the future of the built environment
00:01:13> 00:01:16:	for transformative impact in communities worldwide.
00:01:17> 00:01:21:	ULI is the oldest and largest cross disciplinary real estate
00:01:21> 00:01:24:	and land use network in the world.
00:01:24> 00:01:28:	And ULI publishes for leadership and knowledge sharing of best
00:01:28> 00:01:32:	practices for the future of real estate and the built
00:01:32> 00:01:32:	environment.
00:01:33> 00:01:36:	And a lot of the work that ULI does is
00:01:36> 00:01:40:	focused on the future of real estate and the built
00:01:40> 00:01:44:	environment and how to build resilience, particularly in the face
00:01:44> 00:01:47:	in in the in the face of climate change.
00:01:48> 00:01:51:	Which brings us on to the theme and topic of
00:01:51> 00:01:52:	of today's webinar.
00:01:54> 00:01:58:	The topic of today's session was covered in quite detail
00:01:58> 00:02:02:	in the Emerging Trends in Real Estate Europe report, which

00:02:02> 00:02:06:	was published at the end of last year in partnership
00:02:06> 00:02:07:	with PwC.
00:02:07> 00:02:09:	And I believe we'll be posting a a link to
00:02:09> 00:02:10:	that report in the chat.
00:02:10> 00:02:13:	So I do encourage people to download and have a
00:02:13> 00:02:14:	read of that at their leisure.
00:02:15> 00:02:19:	And really, we've seen that physical climate risk and real
00:02:19> 00:02:23:	estate's transition to net 0 carbon emissions have been recurring
00:02:23> 00:02:27:	themes as part of emerging trends in real estate over
00:02:27> 00:02:28:	many years now.
00:02:28> 00:02:32:	But what this chapter did was a real deeper dive
00:02:32> 00:02:38:	into the real estate insurance and financial implications for real
00:02:38> 00:02:38:	estate.
00:02:39> 00:02:44:	And really we've seen this topic and theme really brought
00:02:44> 00:02:49:	home to Europe last year, many significant extreme weather events
00:02:49> 00:02:51:	affected the continent.
00:02:51> 00:02:55:	We had the severe flooding in Central and Eastern Europe
00:02:55> 00:02:59:	in, in, in September, followed by the devastating floods in
00:03:00> 00:03:02:	in Spain in October as well.
00:03:02> 00:03:05:	So it's clear that we are already living and dealing
00:03:05> 00:03:08:	with the impact of of climate change.
00:03:08> 00:03:11:	And as real estate faces increased risks of from a
00:03:12> 00:03:17:	frequency and severity around physical climate risk alongside with that
00:03:17> 00:03:21:	transition to net zero, it's clear that it's beginning to
00:03:21> 00:03:25:	have an impact on financial costs for doing real estate
00:03:25> 00:03:29:	and also from a business interruption perspective.
00:03:30> 00:03:34:	Now in the Emerging Trends in Real Estate report, there
00:03:34> 00:03:39:	was a survey undertaken among over 1000 senior real estate
00:03:39> 00:03:39:	leaders.
00:03:39> 00:03:43:	And from that we saw nearly 2/3 of respondents saying
00:03:43> 00:03:48:	that they expect insurance costs for real estate to increase
00:03:48> 00:03:52:	over the next five year time horizon and more than
00:03:52> 00:03:56:	half anticipate the access to insurance will get more difficult
00:03:56> 00:04:00:	and harder in certain regions of the of the continent.
00:04:01> 00:04:05:	At the same time, we see insurers and financiers re
00:04:05> 00:04:09:	evaluating their business models in the face of changing regulations
00:04:09> 00:04:13:	and advances in technology as they seek to provide more
00:04:13> 00:04:18:	efficient insurance and improving financing to support real

	estate in
00:04:18> 00:04:19:	response to climate change.
00:04:20> 00:04:24:	And while many in the industry recognize that or understand
00:04:25> 00:04:29:	the issues around in insurance and financing and the implications
00:04:29> 00:04:33:	on real estate from climate risks, it's clear that the
00:04:33> 00:04:37:	current levels of awareness and collaboration do not reflect the
00:04:37> 00:04:40:	scale and urgency that is required.
00:04:40> 00:04:43:	And this is a topic that we see rising in
00:04:43> 00:04:45:	importance among ULI members globally.
00:04:46> 00:04:49:	Many are beginning to see the impacts of this impact
00:04:49> 00:04:54:	their balance sheets through rising risk premiums and the need
00:04:54> 00:04:58:	to invest CapEx in adaptive measures to make buildings more
00:04:58> 00:05:00:	resilient to climate change.
00:05:01> 00:05:05:	And for this reason, we've convened a group of experts
00:05:05> 00:05:09:	today to really do a really detailed discussion on the
00:05:09> 00:05:12:	role of insurance and the impact that it has on
00:05:12> 00:05:16:	the real estate sector facing increased climate risks and to
00:05:17> 00:05:18:	help moderate the panel.
00:05:18> 00:05:22:	Today, I'm pleased to welcome Lindsay Brugger, who leads you
00:05:22> 00:05:25:	allies urban resilience program globally.
00:05:26> 00:05:29:	Lindsay will shortly introduce our panel and moderate the group
00:05:29> 00:05:31:	discussion this afternoon.
00:05:32> 00:05:34:	And we also want to ensure that we address some
00:05:34> 00:05:37:	of the concerns that you all may have.
00:05:37> 00:05:39:	So there is AQ and a function available at the
00:05:39> 00:05:40:	bottom of the screen.
00:05:40> 00:05:43:	So we do welcome the audience to submit any questions
00:05:44> 00:05:46:	they may have for the panel, and we will try
00:05:46> 00:05:49:	to address as many of those as we can in
00:05:49> 00:05:51:	the allotted time that we have today.
00:05:51> 00:05:54:	So with that, I'll pass over to Lindsay now, who
00:05:54> 00:05:57:	will introduce you to the panel and follow up with
00:05:57> 00:05:58:	the panel discussion.
00:05:58> 00:05:59:	Over to you, Lindsay.
00:06:02> 00:06:06:	Introduction Hello everyone, so glad that you're able to join
00:06:06> 00:06:07:	us today.
00:06:07> 00:06:10:	As Simon said, I'm Lindsay Burger and I lead utilize
00:06:10> 00:06:15:	urban Resilience program, which helps buildings, cities and

	communities across
00:06:15> 00:06:18:	the globe be better prepared for the impacts of climate
00:06:18> 00:06:23:	change and other environmental vulnerabilities, which also includes the cascading
00:06:23> 00:06:27:	effects of physical climate risk like rising insurance premiums.
00:06:28> 00:06:34:	I'm thrilled to be here today with three fantastic experts,
00:06:34> 00:06:40:	Amy Barnes from Marsh, Andy Moore, London market insurance leader
00:06:40> 00:06:46:	with PwC and Laurent Laron, global head panelists to join
00:06:46> 00:06:48:	me on camera here.
00:06:48> 00:06:52:	And we'll start by asking each of these experts to
00:06:52> 00:06:57:	just tell you a little bit about themselves, particularly as
00:06:57> 00:07:01:	it relates to the content that Simon just summarized from
00:07:01> 00:07:05:	the emerging Trends you allow Europe chapter on climate risk
00:07:05> 00:07:06:	and insurance.
00:07:07> 00:07:08:	Amy, why don't we start with you?
00:07:10> 00:07:10:	Thank you.
00:07:10> 00:07:14:	So Amy Barnes, I'm Head of Climate and Sustainability strategy
00:07:14> 00:07:16:	at Marsh, so a large risk and insurance advisor.
00:07:17> 00:07:19:	My responsibility is making sure that we are there to
00:07:19> 00:07:22:	support our clients as they navigate the transition, whether that's
00:07:22> 00:07:26:	accessing insurance for low carbon technologies that aren't well understood.
00:07:26> 00:07:29:	So how do we make sure insurance isn't a barrier
00:07:29> 00:07:32:	to that really important decarbonisation work, but also when it
00:07:32> 00:07:36:	comes to adaptation and the availability cost of insurance and
00:07:36> 00:07:39:	how do we use insurance as a signal to provide
00:07:39> 00:07:42:	the return on investment we need to make the adaptation
00:07:42> 00:07:43:	adaptation measures.
00:07:43> 00:07:45:	So I leave that work for Marsh globally delighted to
00:07:45> 00:07:46:	be here would.
00:07:50> 00:07:52:	You introduce yourself and tell us a little bit about
00:07:52> 00:07:54:	your work and how it relates to this emerging trends
00:07:54> 00:07:55:	topic.
00:07:57> 00:07:57:	Right.
00:07:57> 00:07:57:	Yeah.
00:07:57> 00:08:01:	Andy Moore, I'm a London market insurance leader at PwC.
00:08:01> 00:08:02:	Thank you for having me here today.
00:08:02> 00:08:05:	So PwC and in my role in the London market,

00:08:05> 00:08:09:	we support companies across the insurance sector, whether that be
00:08:09> 00:08:13:	all the way through from thinking about reporting through to
00:08:13> 00:08:15:	strategy and everything in between.
00:08:16> 00:08:20:	A really critical factor of that is how companies continue
00:08:20> 00:08:23:	to address the impacts of climate on their business.
00:08:24> 00:08:27:	And in that circumstance, that's often related to the impacts
00:08:27> 00:08:30:	of the rising cost of claims related to the increased
00:08:30> 00:08:33:	frequency and severity of severe weather events.
00:08:39> 00:08:40:	Good afternoon.
00:08:40> 00:08:43:	So I'm Nora Liver and I'm Global Head of Sustainability
00:08:43> 00:08:48:	of exciting ads covering real estate, infrastructure and natural capital.
00:08:48> 00:08:52:	So investing in forestry as well as farm lands and
00:08:52> 00:08:57:	climate change, its impact on our investments is something I've
00:08:57> 00:09:03:	witnessed before taking this responsibility using the next time outside.
00:09:03> 00:09:08:	It was responsible for the asset management globally for for
00:09:08> 00:09:12:	our investment and the impact on our assets of the
00:09:12> 00:09:16:	climate change has been visible as well as on our
00:09:16> 00:09:18:	discussion with insurance companies.
00:09:18> 00:09:21:	So glad to share a little bit of our insight
00:09:21> 00:09:23:	on what we we have been experiencing and how we
00:09:23> 00:09:24:	are looking forward.
00:09:27> 00:09:30:	For joining us today as we open this discussion, Andy,
00:09:30> 00:09:33:	I'm hoping you could tell us a little bit more
00:09:33> 00:09:36:	about the fundamentals of insurance and what the audience really
00:09:36> 00:09:39:	needs to understand as we dive into this conversation.
00:09:40> 00:09:41:	Yeah.
00:09:41> 00:09:42:	Thanks, Lindsay.
00:09:42> 00:09:44:	I think let me start by just taking a moment
00:09:44> 00:09:47:	to think about that in the context of any type
00:09:47> 00:09:51:	of insurance that people buy, whether that be insuring your
00:09:51> 00:09:54:	car, your home, a large industrial building, an aeroplane, a
00:09:54> 00:09:56:	satellite about to launch.
00:09:56> 00:09:58:	The basics of all of them are actually the same
00:09:58> 00:10:00:	because it's all about pooling of risk.
00:10:01> 00:10:04:	Now, whilst each one of us might feel a frustration
00:10:04> 00:10:07:	as we don't necessarily see the correlation between our own
00:10:07> 00:10:11:	individual experience and the premium that we're charged for, that

00:10:11> 00:10:14:	it's because it's very difficult to assess premium on an
00:10:14> 00:10:15:	individual basis.
00:10:15> 00:10:17:	And insurance works best when we think about it in
00:10:17> 00:10:19:	the context of a diversified pool.
00:10:19> 00:10:21:	And that's certainly how insurers have to think about it.
00:10:22> 00:10:26:	It's also one of the only industries whereby a company
00:10:26> 00:10:30:	sets the price for its product and then eventually works
00:10:30> 00:10:32:	out how much it costs to produce it.
00:10:33> 00:10:36:	And by that what I mean is insurers estimate premiums
00:10:36> 00:10:39:	looking backwards at the experience they've had in the past,
00:10:39> 00:10:41:	but they only find out the cost of claims.
00:10:41> 00:10:45:	On average, they'll work all of that at about four
00:10:45> 00:10:48:	years after the premium's been set.
00:10:48> 00:10:51:	So it's quite a different mindset in an insurance industry
00:10:51> 00:10:53:	and that's why there's an awful lot of estimation involved.
00:10:54> 00:10:57:	So the premiums that insurers charge and are an estimate
00:10:57> 00:11:00:	of the risk that they perceive and that's a factor
00:11:01> 00:11:04:	of a number of different underlying building blocks.
00:11:05> 00:11:07:	Most of it will be the estimated cost of claims,
00:11:07> 00:11:09:	which is predominantly based on historical data.
00:11:09> 00:11:12:	So looking backwards but also with an eye to the
00:11:12> 00:11:15:	future, that future view of risk, what may be different
00:11:15> 00:11:15:	in the future.
00:11:15> 00:11:18:	And we've certainly seen a lot of change particularly around
00:11:18> 00:11:21:	climate related events which have have factored into that.
00:11:22> 00:11:24:	It's a factor of the expenses and how much it
00:11:24> 00:11:26:	costs for them to run their business, the cost and
00:11:26> 00:11:29:	availability of reinsurance, but also the cost of capital.
00:11:30> 00:11:32:	And that is driven by how attractive insurance is as
00:11:32> 00:11:33:	a sector.
00:11:33> 00:11:36:	So the more attractive insurance is as a sector, the
00:11:36> 00:11:39:	more capital flows in, the cheaper capital is and the
00:11:39> 00:11:42:	more chance that insurance premiums fall and vice versa.
00:11:42> 00:11:44:	So there are a number of factors that go into
00:11:44> 00:11:44:	that.
00:11:45> 00:11:50:	If I think specifically about that estimated cost of claims,
00:11:50> 00:11:53:	then which is a really critical factor, there is a
00:11:53> 00:11:57:	huge and heavy reliance on historical data to try and
00:11:57> 00:11:58:	predict the future.
00:11:59> 00:12:03:	The insurance industry employs most of the world's
	actuaries, I
00:12:03> 00:12:06:	would say, who are fantastic at trying to estimate what's

00:12:06> 00:12:09:	going to happen in the future, but they're limited by
00:12:09> 00:12:11:	the data that they have.
00:12:11> 00:12:14:	And so therefore that view of the past with an
00:12:14> 00:12:16:	estimate of what's happening in the future is critical.
00:12:17> 00:12:20:	What we've seen therefore in the past is a rising
00:12:20> 00:12:23:	trend and we've seen a rising trend of inflationary costs.
00:12:24> 00:12:28:	We've seen a rising trend of frequency, particularly of different
00:12:28> 00:12:32:	types of storm, hurricanes, typhoons, they're very much, you know,
00:12:32> 00:12:33:	well understood.
00:12:33> 00:12:36:	We now have the concept context of a severe convective
00:12:36> 00:12:37:	storm, right?
00:12:37> 00:12:38:	Why do we call those now?
00:12:38> 00:12:41:	They're to delineate them, to create another category of something
00:12:41> 00:12:44:	because they operate in a different way and they need
00:12:44> 00:12:46:	to be modelled in a different way, but also flood
00:12:46> 00:12:48:	models and thinking about that impact.
00:12:48> 00:12:51:	And also obviously we've seen the devastating impact in the
00:12:51> 00:12:54:	US of wildfires, not just this year when they've been
00:12:54> 00:12:56:	very much headlined, but over the last few years they've
00:12:56> 00:12:57:	been increasing.
00:12:59> 00:13:02:	So with all of that in mind, insurers could always
00:13:02> 00:13:03:	come up with a premium.
00:13:04> 00:13:07:	It is always possible to estimate a premium that may
00:13:07> 00:13:10:	not be a premium that a client or an underlying
00:13:10> 00:13:12:	company is willing to pay.
00:13:13> 00:13:16:	I think about back in 2007 in the UK, we
00:13:16> 00:13:19:	had a series of flood events which were were were
00:13:19> 00:13:22:	pretty impactful at the time.
00:13:22> 00:13:24:	And I remember one of my clients at the time
00:13:24> 00:13:27:	was insuring a number of static caravans.
00:13:28> 00:13:31:	Now the main issue with a static caravan in a
00:13:31> 00:13:33:	flood event is that it it no longer is static
00:13:33> 00:13:36:	and it's no longer really a caravan, it becomes a
00:13:37> 00:13:37:	boat.
00:13:38> 00:13:40:	And so therefore the cost of those in a severe
00:13:40> 00:13:43:	flood is that it's a complete, complete write off.
00:13:43> 00:13:48:	Effectively the insurance cost in the following year of a
00:13:48> 00:13:52:	30,000 LB static caravan rose to nearly ??15,000.
00:13:52> 00:13:55:	So you can insure something, but the cost of doing
00:13:55> 00:13:56:	so really isn't worth it.

00:13:57> 00:14:01:	And that therefore leads on to thinking about how you
00:14:01> 00:14:05:	can do the right things, particularly when we're thinking about
00:14:05> 00:14:09:	physical damage, to try and mitigate the costs of insurance
00:14:09> 00:14:12:	in the context of there being more difficult events.
00:14:13> 00:14:16:	The final thing I wanted to say is that insurers
00:14:16> 00:14:21:	continue to improve their ability to model risk that's driven
00:14:21> 00:14:25:	by the quality and quantity of data, the quality and
00:14:25> 00:14:28:	quantity of technology that is available.
00:14:28> 00:14:31:	And so they can look at, you know, the impact
00:14:31> 00:14:34:	of wind and storm events, they can look at flood
00:14:34> 00:14:34:	events.
00:14:34> 00:14:37:	They can, you know, have an estimate of what happens
00:14:37> 00:14:38:	in those circumstances.
00:14:39> 00:14:40:	But they're not perfect.
00:14:41> 00:14:44:	And the only way therefore that insurers can continue to
00:14:44> 00:14:48:	work and provide affordable premiums is if they're working directly
00:14:48> 00:14:52:	with their clients to understand the risks and work out
00:14:52> 00:14:55:	how resilience can continue to be built into the system
00:14:55> 00:14:59:	such that everybody understands the impact of these ever
	increasing
00:14:59> 00:15:00:	climate events.
00:15:00> 00:15:03:	And also how you can try and mitigate mitigate the
00:15:03> 00:15:03:	cost of it.
00:15:06> 00:15:08:	Helpful fundamentals.
00:15:09> 00:15:12:	Laurent, could you tell us a little bit about how
00:15:12> 00:15:17:	the evolving insurance landscape is impacting commercial real estate?
00:15:22> 00:15:25:	Yeah, of course I think the first, the first thing
00:15:25> 00:15:28:	I would like to say is physical risk exposure is
00:15:28> 00:15:30:	not new for real assets investor.
00:15:30> 00:15:33:	You know it has been always been part of our
00:15:33> 00:15:36:	underwriting and management policy to assess what is a physical
00:15:36> 00:15:38:	risk exposure of an asset.
00:15:38> 00:15:43:	The challenging piece as on the was mentioning it is
00:15:43> 00:15:46:	that you're looking backward basically.
00:15:47> 00:15:50:	So to assess your, your, your risk, you're not looking
00:15:50> 00:15:54:	forward and the look forward is extremely volatile.
00:15:54> 00:15:58:	There are so many parameters You can, I think everyone
00:15:58> 00:16:02:	understand that the climate change will increase severity and frequency
00:16:02> 00:16:04:	of natural events.

00:16:04> 00:16:08:	The question is for this given location, what would be
00:16:08> 00:16:10:	exactly the impact?
00:16:10> 00:16:12:	That's super difficult to assess.
00:16:12> 00:16:15:	And there are a number of models which exist to
00:16:15> 00:16:19:	forecast up to, you know, 30-40 fifty years of climate
00:16:19> 00:16:22:	change, which is, which is a reasonable time frame.
00:16:22> 00:16:26:	When you are in infrastructure or real estate investor, you,
00:16:26> 00:16:29:	you are thinking that for the next two to three
00:16:29> 00:16:32:	years, but for the next decades you get very, very
00:16:32> 00:16:36:	different outcomes based on the model because of the number
00:16:36> 00:16:37:	of parameters.
00:16:37> 00:16:38:	So I think this path is challenging.
00:16:39> 00:16:44:	What it means in itself being insured or not is
00:16:44> 00:16:46:	not in itself a problem.
00:16:47> 00:16:49:	We're investor in Japan.
00:16:49> 00:16:52:	In Japan you don't get insurance for earthquake.
00:16:53> 00:16:57:	It's not, it's not a problem in itself for the
00:16:57> 00:17:02:	proper functioning this market not to have insurance, you still
00:17:02> 00:17:06:	get financing, but you are looking at the mitigation and
00:17:06> 00:17:10:	that adaptation your focus is not on are you exposed
00:17:10> 00:17:11:	to earthquake?
00:17:11> 00:17:13:	Yes, of course you are, you are in Japan.
00:17:15> 00:17:19:	It's more about does the asset has been designed and
00:17:19> 00:17:23:	built in a way that he can resist to mature
00:17:23> 00:17:24:	seismic event.
00:17:25> 00:17:28:	And if the answer is yes and the market is
00:17:28> 00:17:32:	used to it, you get financing and you get buyers.
00:17:32> 00:17:36:	And I think the risk we have is Japan has
00:17:36> 00:17:41:	centuries of knowledge about earthquake.
00:17:42> 00:17:47:	The market in Europe has years of discovering the impact
00:17:47> 00:17:51:	of climate change, which means that if tomorrow you're losing
00:17:51> 00:17:56:	your insurance cover in a European asset, the likelihood that
00:17:56> 00:18:00:	you will get the financing is very, very, very small,
00:18:00> 00:18:03:	if not existent at all because no one is used
00:18:03> 00:18:04:	to it.
00:18:05> 00:18:07:	So it's, it's stand out basically for a lender to
00:18:07> 00:18:11:	get an insurance cover for, for the asset he's financing.
00:18:12> 00:18:15:	So for me the, the challenge would be to make
00:18:15> 00:18:19:	sure that if at one point in time, because of
00:18:19> 00:18:23:	the the change of of climate and and severity of
00:18:23> 00:18:27:	event, we are losing some of the coverage in terms

00:18:27> 00:18:32:	of insurance that the market is able to understand who
00:18:32> 00:18:35:	has been able to mitigate and or to adapt to
00:18:36> 00:18:37:	this risk or not.
00:18:37> 00:18:40:	So that is still can be an asset which is
00:18:40> 00:18:45:	valuable, financeable and and attractive to a next buyer on
00:18:45> 00:18:45:	tenants.
00:18:49> 00:18:49:	Yeah.
00:18:49> 00:18:52:	And so we've we've touched on a little bit today
00:18:52> 00:18:55:	and I'm already seeing questions from the audience related
	to
00:18:55> 00:18:59:	this intersection of risk reduction and the cost and availability
00:18:59> 00:19:00:	of insurance.
00:19:00> 00:19:03:	Often times commercial real estate will think of insurance as
00:19:03> 00:19:04:	kind of a black box.
00:19:04> 00:19:07:	And Amy, I'm hoping you can unpack that black box
00:19:07> 00:19:09:	for us and tell us a little bit more about
00:19:09> 00:19:12:	what folks can or cannot expect when it comes to
00:19:12> 00:19:15:	risk reduction as it relates to insurance premium and availability.
00:19:16> 00:19:18:	Yeah, I'm very happy to and a fantastic level set
00:19:19> 00:19:20:	by Andy in terms of the context.
00:19:20> 00:19:23:	And I saw the question in the chat about what
00:19:23> 00:19:27:	impact does resilience have if the majority of the risk
00:19:27> 00:19:30:	is being driven by by the location, if we if
00:19:30> 00:19:33:	we forget extreme weather for a moment.
00:19:33> 00:19:35:	And exactly as Laurent said, insurance industry has been thinking
00:19:35> 00:19:37:	about extreme weather for a long time.
00:19:37> 00:19:40:	We're just seeing more on different extreme weather with climate
00:19:40> 00:19:40:	change.
00:19:41> 00:19:45:	In those instances, if you exclude all natural catastrophe events,
00:19:45> 00:19:49:	underwriters absolutely are trying to understand the character, the building
00:19:49> 00:19:50:	characteristics.
00:19:51> 00:19:54:	And so we think about if we're just talking about
00:19:54> 00:20:00:	property insurance insurers think about COPE, what's the construction type,
00:20:00> 00:20:04:	the occupation, the oh, the P, the protections and the,
00:20:04> 00:20:07:	and the E's gone, the El come back.
00:20:07> 00:20:07:	This happened today.
00:20:08> 00:20:10:	So the construction type matters.

00:20:10> 00:20:12:	I used to live in Houston and if you were,
00:20:12> 00:20:15:	if I was living in a concrete apartment block, it
00:20:15> 00:20:19:	was very different to if I was building, living in
00:20:19> 00:20:21:	a wooden single Storey dwelling.
00:20:21> 00:20:22:	So that construction type matters.
00:20:23> 00:20:25:	If you think about the occupation, am I is there
00:20:25> 00:20:26:	a high hazard activity?
00:20:26> 00:20:29:	Is there something that uses a lot of hydrocarbons?
00:20:29> 00:20:31:	Is there something that uses heat?
00:20:31> 00:20:33:	That occupation is a higher risk than an office risk.
00:20:34> 00:20:36:	What protections people think about sprinkler systems and it was
00:20:36> 00:20:39:	really the insurance industry that was a major catalyst of
00:20:39> 00:20:41:	making sure that those protections are brought in.
00:20:41> 00:20:46:	So actually the underwriter is already very used to underwriting
00:20:46> 00:20:49:	the the building characteristics.
00:20:50> 00:20:53:	Now I should say that for asset owners, when they
00:20:53> 00:20:58:	have large portfolios of assets, often the insurer relied on
00:20:58> 00:21:02:	the internal diversification of that portfolio to not become too
00:21:03> 00:21:08:	granular in their in underwriting, in underwriting information requirements for
00:21:08> 00:21:10:	particular buildings.
00:21:10> 00:21:13:	So in the past, asset owners, I'm going to say
00:21:13> 00:21:16:	could get away with, but it was just customer practice
00:21:16> 00:21:18:	that if you had a large portfolio of assets, you
00:21:18> 00:21:21:	could provide really quite limited information.
00:21:21> 00:21:26:	As we're now more worried about different perils, that information
00:21:26> 00:21:30:	about the type, the the asset characteristics becomes increasingly important.
00:21:31> 00:21:34:	And that's where you can then differentiate and differentiate on
00:21:34> 00:21:34:	resilience.
00:21:34> 00:21:37:	I'm going to go back to my Houston example now
00:21:37> 00:21:40:	and bring in the concept the the, the concept of
00:21:40> 00:21:40:	floods.
00:21:40> 00:21:43:	So we're bringing back natural catastrophe events.
00:21:44> 00:21:47:	My apartment block in Houston, well, most apartment blocks
00.04.47	in Houston have get all the car parks on the lower
00:21:47> 00:21:49:	Houston have got all the car parks on the lower
00:21:49> 00:21:49:	level.
00:21:49> 00:21:52: 00:21:52> 00:21:55:	So those bottom 6 storeys were all car park. So a flood was a relatively low consequence when I

00:21:55> 00:21:56:	was living in an apartment.
00:21:56> 00:21:59:	When I moved into a single Storey dwelling and wooden
00:21:59> 00:22:03:	construction suddenly exactly the same hazard I have a very
00:22:03> 00:22:04:	different exposure to.
00:22:04> 00:22:08:	So in answer to your question, the question that the
00:22:08> 00:22:15:	building characteristics and then the defences are completely, completely contemplated
00:22:15> 00:22:18:	to, to sort of talk about the black box a
00:22:18> 00:22:19:	little bit.
00:22:20> 00:22:23:	I, I think some of the vocabulary that's worth understanding
00:22:23> 00:22:26:	is the, the, the climate models that scientists have been
00:22:26> 00:22:27:	talking about for a really long time.
00:22:28> 00:22:31:	Talk about the hazard, how likely is a hazard to
00:22:31> 00:22:31:	happen.
00:22:32> 00:22:36:	But if we come back to my Houston example, insurers
00:22:36> 00:22:39:	care about how vulnerable is the asset to that hazard.
00:22:40> 00:22:43:	And so what's the exposure that they have from that
00:22:43> 00:22:47:	hazard and any intervention you can make on to that
00:22:47> 00:22:52:	vulnerability is going to be positive from an underwriting perspective.
00:22:52> 00:22:55:	Now I can go into, it's more technical than that
00:22:55> 00:22:58:	because the models contemplate some kinds of intervention.
00:22:59> 00:23:01:	But just at a very basic level, if you, if,
00:23:01> 00:23:04:	if you're exposed to a hazard, you have a certain
00:23:04> 00:23:08:	level of vulnerability, you make an intervention to reduce your
00:23:08> 00:23:08:	vulnerability.
00:23:08> 00:23:11:	Let's put up, put up a flood wall, move your
00:23:11> 00:23:14:	services so they come into the building at a higher
00:23:14> 00:23:14:	level.
00:23:14> 00:23:17:	If you're exposed to wind, if you nail down more
00:23:17> 00:23:20:	of your shingles, whatever those responses are, then that reduces
00:23:20> 00:23:23:	your vulnerability, hence reduces your exposure and can be factored
00:23:24> 00:23:24:	into the price.
00:23:24> 00:23:26:	But let me pause there and we, I'm sure we'll
00:23:26> 00:23:27:	come back to that.
00:23:29> 00:23:29:	That's it.
00:23:29> 00:23:29:	Thank you.
00:23:29> 00:23:34:	Amy and Laura, you had some great thoughts earlier about
00:23:34> 00:23:38:	how commercial real estate is managing at the asset scale.
00:23:39> 00:23:40:	Let's zoom out a little bit.
00:23:40> 00:23:44:	What else should commercial real estate be thinking about

12

	related
00:23:44> 00:23:47:	to the larger ecosystem and the community surrounding a
	building
00:23:47> 00:23:51:	when thinking about physical climate risk and how to manage
00:23:51> 00:23:51:	that?
00:23:55> 00:23:56:	Yes, indeed.
00:23:57> 00:24:03:	In fact, insurance cover you on cost, not on value.
00:24:04> 00:24:08:	And in fact the value of an asset derives mostly
00:24:08> 00:24:13:	from the location, but also the ecosystem it is part
00:24:13> 00:24:13:	of.
00:24:14> 00:24:16:	So to certain extent, initial reaction.
00:24:17> 00:24:20:	Let's take the example of fluid flooding risk is to
00:24:20> 00:24:24:	pick a location in a city where you feel that
00:24:24> 00:24:26:	you would be out of the danger zone.
00:24:26> 00:24:27:	So should you should be good.
00:24:28> 00:24:32:	But when you are thinking about climate change, if the
00:24:32> 00:24:36:	rest of the area which is surrounding you is flooded
00:24:36> 00:24:40:	every year, several time a year, that would be a
00:24:40> 00:24:40:	problem.
00:24:40> 00:24:43:	There would be a problem on this community.
00:24:44> 00:24:48:	We can think about, you know, impact of climate change
00:24:48> 00:24:50:	on entire city like Jakarta.
00:24:50> 00:24:53:	You know, Indonesia is moving the capital city to a
00:24:53> 00:24:54:	different place.
00:24:55> 00:24:58:	If you're a property owner in that in Jakarta, even
00:24:58> 00:25:01:	if on the paper, based on, you know, projection, you
00:25:01> 00:25:04:	feel that your asset should be still OK for the
00:25:04> 00:25:07:	next, you know, 50 years, the fact that it's no
00:25:07> 00:25:10:	more the capital city will impact you.
00:25:11> 00:25:14:	So that that's where you can't look at this risk
00:25:14> 00:25:18:	in installation trying just to protect your assets.
00:25:19> 00:25:22:	You need to understand what the city or the surrounding
00:25:22> 00:25:25:	are doing to protect the entire area, to protect the
00:25:25> 00:25:28:	value of the asset, not just the cost exposure.
00:25:28> 00:25:31:	You would have to, you know, clean the asset or
00:25:31> 00:25:32:	rebuild it.
00:25:32> 00:25:36:	That that's where you know, it goes much beyond your
00:25:36> 00:25:37:	your assets.
00:25:39> 00:25:41:	Systems approach, absolutely.
00:25:42> 00:25:44:	And Amy, can you talk a little bit about how
00:25:44> 00:25:47:	insurance and the insurance industry views this market scale
	risk?
00:25:48> 00:25:51:	Well, and and so Laurent absolutely highlights the problem

	that
00:25:51> 00:25:54:	the insurance market struggles to quantify.
00:25:54> 00:25:56:	So, so to put a framework around that, what I
00:25:56> 00:26:00:	described when I was talking about how underwriters look at
00:26:00> 00:26:03:	think about hazard and vulnerability, that's very much just an
00:26:03> 00:26:04:	asset lens.
00:26:04> 00:26:06:	So look at asset lens looking what happens within the
00:26:06> 00:26:08:	boundary of your property.
00:26:08> 00:26:09:	But Lawrence, absolutely right.
00:26:09> 00:26:11:	Assets operate within a system.
00:26:12> 00:26:14:	So the example of Jakarta where you can have a
00:26:14> 00:26:17:	changing land value may be the case, but you also
00:26:18> 00:26:21:	operate within a system that you'll have will have your
00:26:21> 00:26:25:	tenants will have supply chain issues, they'll have dependency on
00:26:25> 00:26:26:	infrastructure.
00:26:26> 00:26:29:	Do they have reliable access to power or there's a
00:26:29> 00:26:31:	lot of extreme wind that can take out the power
00:26:31> 00:26:32:	cables?
00:26:32> 00:26:35:	Are they reliant on a river to move their goods
00:26:35> 00:26:37:	and services that can be in drought.
00:26:37> 00:26:41:	And so all of those systems effects impact the attractiveness
00:26:42> 00:26:45:	of your of your assets to tenants, but also there
00:26:45> 00:26:49:	can be systems wide interventions that can either increase the
00:26:49> 00:26:51:	risk or reduce the risk.
00:26:51> 00:26:54:	I'm speaking to you today from London where I don't
00:26:54> 00:26:56:	quite know the geographic make up of of of your
00:26:56> 00:26:59:	audience, but we have the Thames Barrier that is an
00:26:59> 00:27:02:	intervention that provides protections to to many homes.
00:27:03> 00:27:06:	And for an insurer it is very, very hard to
00:27:06> 00:27:11:	quantify the impact of those systems levels resilience measures.
00:27:12> 00:27:13:	And so there's a lot of work going on at
00:27:13> 00:27:14:	the moment in that.
00:27:14> 00:27:17:	How can we make sure that those resilience measures are
00:27:17> 00:27:20:	valued because the city needs to have a return on
00:27:20> 00:27:24:	investment or the municipalities that that whatever the grouping that
00:27:24> 00:27:27:	is, that is that isn't at the asset level, that's
00:27:27> 00:27:28:	building on that resilience.
00:27:29> 00:27:31:	They need to be able to demonstrate an ROI.
00:27:31> 00:27:35:	And if an insurer or other capital providers aren't able

00:27:35> 00:27:38:	to contemplate the value that that resilience is giving, then
00:27:38> 00:27:41:	it's really difficult to make that business case.
00:27:41> 00:27:45:	The tools don't exist uniformly.
00:27:45> 00:27:48:	Currently, clearly things like the Thames Barrier already is factored
00:27:48> 00:27:49:	in, It's mature enough.
00:27:50> 00:27:54:	But some of the measures that will be used to
00:27:54> 00:27:59:	build community resilience, such as slowing the flow, there's a
00:27:59> 00:28:02:	big emphasis on can we stop water?
00:28:02> 00:28:05:	And flood isn't the only issue for climate change, it's
00:28:05> 00:28:06:	just often the easiest exemplar.
00:28:06> 00:28:10:	So if we're thinking about river flood, we want to
00:28:10> 00:28:12:	slow the water from getting to our cities.
00:28:12> 00:28:15:	Now, they were doing this in Petra, however many 10s
00:28:15> 00:28:17:	of thousands of years ago.
00:28:17> 00:28:19:	They created systems to slow, slow the flow just to
00:28:19> 00:28:21:	stop that going into cities.
00:28:21> 00:28:24:	But there are examples in Milwaukee, There are examples in
00:28:24> 00:28:28:	Shropshire in the UK where people are reinstating wetlands, they're
00:28:28> 00:28:31:	building ponds to slow down when it does rain water
00:28:31> 00:28:33:	getting to our urban areas.
00:28:33> 00:28:36:	And we don't have tools to quantify yet the economic
00:28:37> 00:28:39:	benefit of those interventions.
00:28:39> 00:28:41:	So is it coming?
00:28:41> 00:28:41:	Yes.
00:28:41> 00:28:42:	Do we need it?
00:28:42> 00:28:42:	Yes.
00:28:42> 00:28:43:	But it's not.
00:28:43> 00:28:44:	It's not here yet.
00:28:46> 00:28:47:	Hopefully soon.
00:28:47> 00:28:47:	Thank you, Amy.
00:28:48> 00:28:51:	We had a question, Andy, that I, I hope you
00:28:51> 00:28:52:	might be able to expand on.
00:28:52> 00:28:57:	We were talking about insurance fundamentals earlier and there's a
00:28:57> 00:29:01:	question about the role of reinsurance and how reinsurance contributes
00:29:01> 00:29:04:	to insurance availability and affordability.
00:29:05> 00:29:05:	Yes.
00:29:06> 00:29:08:	So it's, it's a great question and I'll try not
00:29:08> 00:29:10:	to make sure that I, I get too technical on

00:29:10> 00:29:10:	it.
00:29:10> 00:29:13:	But if we think just very simply as reinsurance is
00:29:13> 00:29:16:	insurers buying their own insurance coverage, right?
00:29:16> 00:29:19:	So what's the benefit of doing that?
00:29:19> 00:29:23:	Well, it allows them to take on more insurance.
00:29:23> 00:29:26:	So it allows them to have a greater risk appetite,
00:29:26> 00:29:28:	but it also allows them to manage the impact on
00:29:28> 00:29:32:	their own balance sheets and their own sustainability of severe
00:29:32> 00:29:35:	events and lays that off into a wider pool of
00:29:35> 00:29:37:	capital, which is then more broadly supported.
00:29:37> 00:29:38:	And why is that relevant?
00:29:38> 00:29:42:	Well, if you're an insurer that's only writing predominantly physical
00:29:42> 00:29:45:	risks, property risks, then actually perhaps even though you may
00:29:45> 00:29:49:	have a diversified geographical portfolio, you haven't necessarily got a
00:29:49> 00:29:51:	completely diversified portfolio.
00:29:52> 00:29:54:	You can put that into a reinsurer where they've got
00:29:54> 00:29:57:	an even greater ability to diversify and would have separate
00:29:57> 00:29:58:	capital sources.
00:29:58> 00:30:00:	They also have more data than you do because actually
00:30:01> 00:30:03:	they've got data not just from the underlying insurers that
00:30:03> 00:30:04:	they've got.
00:30:04> 00:30:06:	They've got that from all of their underlying clients.
00:30:06> 00:30:09:	They may have a different view and also a different
00:30:09> 00:30:10:	view in terms of diversification.
00:30:11> 00:30:13:	So what it allows is if you like a further
00:30:13> 00:30:16:	laying off of the bet that is being taken to
00:30:16> 00:30:19:	a bigger balance sheet and a wider group, which allows
00:30:19> 00:30:21:	them to, to, to do that and also protect the
00:30:21> 00:30:22:	downside.
00:30:22> 00:30:25:	So really critically important.
00:30:26> 00:30:28:	There were a couple of other bits that I just
00:30:28> 00:30:30:	wanted to pick up, but based on one of the
00:30:30> 00:30:33:	former questions and, and something that Amy said, which is,
00:30:33> 00:30:35:	you know, from what I said, you could take the
00:30:35> 00:30:38:	point that, you know, people can't do anything about their
00:30:38> 00:30:38:	premiums.
00:30:38> 00:30:42:	Well, we're focusing here on the impacts and changes in
00:30:42> 00:30:43:	climate risk.

00:30:43> 00:30:46:	If you think about when insurers think about the claims
00:30:46> 00:30:49:	that they pay, they're broadly split into three pieces, right?
00:30:49> 00:30:51:	And, and, and that would be what they call attritional,
00:30:52> 00:30:54:	which is just the ongoing claims that would happen anyway.
00:30:55> 00:30:57:	Large claims, which are bigger ones, and then things driven
00:30:58> 00:30:58:	by catastrophes.
00:30:59> 00:31:03:	And broadly speaking, you can think of that split into
00:31:03> 00:31:05:	2/3 and then 1/3 for large and, and cat.
00:31:05> 00:31:09:	So Lloyds of London data would tell you that 10%
00:31:09> 00:31:13:	out of broadly a 60% loss ratio is catastrophe events.
00:31:14> 00:31:16:	So it doesn't drive all of the premium by any
00:31:16> 00:31:18:	means, but it's the bit that's been most volatile and
00:31:18> 00:31:20:	it's been the bit that's changed the most over a
00:31:21> 00:31:21:	period of time.
00:31:22> 00:31:24:	So it shouldn't be all doom and gloom that people
00:31:24> 00:31:27:	can't impact their premiums by, you know, reducing the
	impact
00:31:27> 00:31:29:	of claims on on their businesses.
00:31:30> 00:31:33:	The final bit and and if it's OK, Lindsay just
00:31:33> 00:31:36:	to carry on while I'm while I'm on a on
00:31:36> 00:31:38:	a roll is I saw the question just about a
00:31:39> 00:31:42:	flood re which is a really fascinating because I brought
00:31:42> 00:31:46:	up the topic originally of you know what happened after
00:31:46> 00:31:49:	events in in the UK in flood events in 2007.
00:31:49> 00:31:52:	Now in the UK, there were homes that were literally
00:31:52> 00:31:55:	uninsurable OK, because people couldn't afford it.
00:31:55> 00:31:58:	Now that is not a great political position for a
00:31:58> 00:32:02:	government to find themselves in having individuals unable
	to buy
00:32:02> 00:32:03:	insurance.
00:32:03> 00:32:07:	Back to Amy's point, perhaps because flood defences weren't maintained
00:32:07> 00:32:09:	or because the wise man chose, rather than to build
00:32:09> 00:32:12:	his house upon the rock, instead to build it right
00:32:12> 00:32:14:	next to the river that was prone to flooding.
00:32:15> 00:32:19:	So they created a public private partnership which allowed anybody
00:32:19> 00:32:22:	to get insurance at a more affordable price if they
00:32:22> 00:32:23:	were in a flood zone.
00:32:24> 00:32:26:	And they did that by putting some government money in,
00:32:27> 00:32:30:	but then working in partnership with the insurance industry to
00:32:30> 00:32:33:	work out how that could be supported by the reinsurance
00:32:33> 00:32:35:	industry that's been running now for 13 years.

00:32:35> 00:32:37:	I think it was 2012 that that came into force.
00:32:38> 00:32:41:	There've been other typical other other similar things.
00:32:41> 00:32:43:	There are other things in other countries that work on
00:32:43> 00:32:44:	a similar basis.
00:32:44> 00:32:48:	So there is definitely the case that in periods whereby
00:32:48> 00:32:51:	the chances of loss are incredibly high, we have seen
00:32:52> 00:32:56:	industry and government work effectively together to try and work
00:32:56> 00:32:57:	that through.
00:32:57> 00:33:01:	It generally tends to be focused on the individual though,
00:33:01> 00:33:04:	because it's individuals who can vote rather than companies, and
00:33:04> 00:33:07:	so therefore it's more likely that if things have a
00:33:08> 00:33:12:	direct personal social impact, they'll get more more government backing.
00:33:13> 00:33:15:	He looked like he wanted to chime in on that.
00:33:20> 00:33:23:	I, I was smiling because in fact we have a
00:33:23> 00:33:27:	similar situation in the US and the problem is that
00:33:27> 00:33:31:	if you don't give the correct pricing signal to the
00:33:31> 00:33:35:	end consumer, you are leading to further disaster.
00:33:35> 00:33:36:	In fact that that's as simple as that.
00:33:36> 00:33:41:	So you are aggravating basically the situation because you are
00:33:41> 00:33:45:	encouraging or not discouraging, let's put it like that way,
00:33:45> 00:33:48:	people not to build in certain area where we know
00:33:48> 00:33:50:	they will have problems.
00:33:50> 00:33:54:	And I I guess that taxpayers in areas which are
00:33:54> 00:33:58:	not exposed the same way at one point in time
00:33:58> 00:34:03:	may decide that they disagree on the fact that their
00:34:03> 00:34:07:	tax are used to fund the damages on for areas
00:34:07> 00:34:11:	which were are known to be a problem and where
00:34:11> 00:34:14:	people continue to build and to live.
00:34:14> 00:34:17:	So that that that would become, I think, a big
00:34:17> 00:34:19:	source of tension inside the inside country.
00:34:19> 00:34:23:	And, and the USI think many insurance companies have left
00:34:23> 00:34:27:	or stopped insuring the US notably because there is this
00:34:27> 00:34:31:	kind of approach where the regulator can try to fix
00:34:31> 00:34:35:	the premium and the of course the regulator state owned
00:34:35> 00:34:37:	the political view in pricing.
00:34:37> 00:34:40:	It's not a market pricing, it is a politically LED
00:34:40> 00:34:41:	level of pricing.
00:34:41> 00:34:44:	So at that point in time, I think it doesn't
00:34:44> 00:34:47:	help to make the right decision basically.

00:34:48> 00:34:50:	I'm afraid you've got a panel that sort of violently
00:34:50> 00:34:50:	agrees.
00:34:50> 00:34:52:	I know that that's not always that helpful.
00:34:52> 00:34:56:	I mean, I think it's insurance gives a cost of
00:34:56> 00:34:59:	the risk and we need to listen to that pricing
00:34:59> 00:35:00:	signal.
00:35:00> 00:35:01:	We need to understand it is the cost of the
00:35:01> 00:35:02:	risk.
00:35:02> 00:35:04:	It isn't a dysfunction in the pricing in in the
00:35:04> 00:35:05:	insurance market.
00:35:05> 00:35:07:	More often than not, it's an issue with the underlying
00:35:07> 00:35:07:	risk.
00:35:07> 00:35:09:	And so that's what needs to be addressed.
00:35:10> 00:35:13:	And it's at our peril that we ignore that signal
00:35:13> 00:35:16:	because as I can't remember if it was Laura or
00:35:16> 00:35:19:	Andy was saying about in in Japan where there's a
00:35:19> 00:35:24:	lot of maturity and there's understanding the building codes around
00:35:24> 00:35:28:	earthquake in most western areas, it is expected that physical
00:35:28> 00:35:29:	assets are insured.
00:35:29> 00:35:31:	And if they're not, they become illiquid.
00:35:31> 00:35:34:	They are very difficult to buy and sell if they
00:35:34> 00:35:34:	are not insured.
00:35:34> 00:35:36:	And so we need to listen to that pricing signal.
00:35:36> 00:35:38:	So we take the right, right actions.
00:35:38> 00:35:40:	Sorry to violently agree with my panelists.
00:35:41> 00:35:45:	It's a point that's worth amplifying, absolutely.
00:35:45> 00:35:49:	We cannot expect to get premium reductions for reducing risk
00:35:50> 00:35:53:	if the base price is already not risk adjusted to
00:35:53> 00:35:57:	reflect the true risk that these properties face.
00:35:59> 00:36:01:	We are been talking a lot about physical climate risk,
00:36:01> 00:36:04:	absolutely important when we're talking about insurance.
00:36:05> 00:36:08:	But I think something that's really nuanced about the emerging
00:36:08> 00:36:11:	trends chapter that Simon shared with us is the intersection
00:36:11> 00:36:12:	with transition risk.
00:36:12> 00:36:15:	And recognizing that when we are looking to reduce our
00:36:15> 00:36:19:	physical climate risk, we need to also reduce our greenhouse
00:36:19> 00:36:19:	gas emissions.
00:36:21> 00:36:24:	There was a great question in the chat regarding comparing
00:36:24> 00:36:28:	2 buildings, 1 low carbon and one high carbon, what

00:36:28> 00:36:30:	would be the impact on premiums?
00:36:30> 00:36:32:	And Amy, could you talk a little bit more about
00:36:33> 00:36:35:	the intersection of how we can achieve both of our
00:36:35> 00:36:38:	goals regarding physical and transition risk reduction?
00:36:40> 00:36:45:	So there isn't a straightforward answer to this question.
00:36:46> 00:36:50:	When we when we for many insurance policies, they're written
00:36:50> 00:36:53:	on an annual cycle, not exclusively we have long term
00:36:53> 00:36:58:	construction product policies, long term credit policies, but property insurance
00:36:58> 00:37:00:	tends to be written on a 12 month cycle.
00:37:01> 00:37:04:	And so that means that the underwriter is contemplating the
00:37:04> 00:37:07:	expected if we're just focusing on the weather element of
00:37:07> 00:37:10:	the risk, the expected extreme weather in that next 12
00:37:10> 00:37:10:	months.
00:37:11> 00:37:14:	Which means that they not may not be so worried
00:37:14> 00:37:17:	about the weather they may expect in 20-30 as that
00:37:17> 00:37:19:	isn't in this underwriting.
00:37:20> 00:37:21:	But they are very incense.
00:37:21> 00:37:24:	They are very cognizant of the likelihood of loss in
00:37:24> 00:37:25:	that 12 months.
00:37:26> 00:37:30:	And so where we have modern methods of construction, maybe
00:37:30> 00:37:34:	we've got mass, mass timber, cross laminated timber, then there
00:37:34> 00:37:37:	is a concern around with actually a lot of timber
00:37:37> 00:37:41:	the concern is inundation, it's getting wet rather than fire.
00:37:41> 00:37:44:	A lot of timber responds very well in a fire
00:37:44> 00:37:48:	very there is concern about how those materials will perform
00:37:49> 00:37:53:	and so you've got competing tensions of understanding the behaviour
00:37:53> 00:37:57:	of or the performance of new building materials.
00:37:57> 00:37:59:	Low carbon cement, do we know how it will work
00:37:59> 00:38:00:	over the long term?
00:38:00> 00:38:02:	Low carbon still isn't really an issue.
00:38:03> 00:38:06:	So underwriters trying to build their familiarity with those technologies
00:38:06> 00:38:09:	when they don't have the data sets that we know
00:38:09> 00:38:12:	from Andy's description at the outset that they rely on,
00:38:12> 00:38:15:	whereas a lot of the resilience that that we expect
00:38:15> 00:38:17:	to see is really going to pay off as a
00:38:17> 00:38:18:	benefit further down the line.
00:38:19> 00:38:22:	So that's the, the, the tension is the different time

00:38:22> 00:38:24:	horizons over which those factors are being contemplated.
00:38:25> 00:38:28:	So for modern methods construction, that's a lot of the
00:38:28> 00:38:30:	work that we're doing is trying to make sure that
00:38:30> 00:38:32:	we are pulling and sharing data and leveraging those data.
00:38:32> 00:38:36:	So there's as little uncertainty as possible because in the
00:38:36> 00:38:39:	absence of an understanding of how some of these materials
00:38:39> 00:38:43:	will perform conservative, it's conservatism built in comes into the
00:38:43> 00:38:45:	pricing model putting the premiums up.
00:38:51> 00:38:53:	Laurent, I was seeing you nodding quite a bit.
00:38:53> 00:38:54:	Is this resonating with you?
00:38:54> 00:38:55:	What are you seeing in your work?
00:38:57> 00:39:00:	It's resonating a lot in fact to, to to supplement
00:39:01> 00:39:04:	what Amy was saying first ensures as I said it
00:39:04> 00:39:06:	are covering cost not value.
00:39:07> 00:39:09:	So the fact that you are high carbon or low
00:39:10> 00:39:12:	carbon asset is a value risk you are having as
00:39:12> 00:39:13:	an investor.
00:39:14> 00:39:16:	But the cost to build off to, to, to, to,
00:39:16> 00:39:18:	to rebuild the asset or to clean it, if it
00:39:18> 00:39:21:	has been done, it will be the main issue for
00:39:21> 00:39:22:	the insurance company.
00:39:22> 00:39:25:	Where you could have a little bit of of impact
00:39:25> 00:39:29:	is if the insurance company has itself taken some commitment
00:39:29> 00:39:31:	in terms of financing the transition.
00:39:31> 00:39:35:	Green, green supporting the the the transition where they will
00:39:36> 00:39:40:	tend to favour the underwriting of low carbon asset rather
00:39:40> 00:39:43:	than high carbon asset unless the owner has a clear
00:39:43> 00:39:44:	transition plan.
00:39:46> 00:39:49:	But I think the low carbon, high carbon is much
00:39:49> 00:39:51:	more an issue for the financing of the assets and
00:39:51> 00:39:55:	the banks because they understand the values are lending against
00:39:55> 00:39:58:	the value of the asset and they need to understand
00:39:58> 00:40:01:	what basically will be the value at the time of
00:40:01> 00:40:01:	refinancing.
00:40:02> 00:40:06:	Will there be a buyer at the right price to
00:40:06> 00:40:08:	to get their loan back on it?
00:40:09> 00:40:14:	And 2nd on the transition, I completely agree with Amy.
00:40:14> 00:40:18:	We have not enough experience.
00:40:18> 00:40:23:	On some changes we're making to our asset and that
00:40:23> 00:40:28:	insurance don't like no story or no clear statistics about

00:40:28> 00:40:32:	some events and you gave the example of using much
00:40:32> 00:40:36:	more wood in assets, which is an issue.
00:40:36> 00:40:40:	We have issues with Fire Brigades and insurance companies
	Fire
00:40:40> 00:40:44:	Brigades because they are used to concrete mostly in Europe
00:40:44> 00:40:46:	and not so much to, to wood.
00:40:46> 00:40:49:	So even if you, you, you looks more positive Amy
00:40:49> 00:40:53:	on the fire resistance of wood, Fire Brigades are a
00:40:53> 00:40:57:	pain when we're adding wood in our, in our assets.
00:40:57> 00:41:01:	And the second is heavy charging, you know, and the
00:41:01> 00:41:05:	solar panels that is creating, you know producing energy or
00:41:05> 00:41:08:	or putting high level of energy and batteries in some
00:41:08> 00:41:10:	areas is clearly a fire risk.
00:41:12> 00:41:15:	And while it is absolutely needed as part of the
00:41:15> 00:41:18:	transition, in fact in practice it is leading to higher
00:41:18> 00:41:22:	requirement from your insurer in terms of protection of the
00:41:22> 00:41:24:	asset or higher premium.
00:41:24> 00:41:26:	Basically that's the direct consequence.
00:41:26> 00:41:29:	So while you you believe that you are making the
00:41:29> 00:41:33:	good adding EV charging in your assets, the deploying solar
00:41:33> 00:41:37:	panel, in fact it can lead you to additional cost
00:41:37> 00:41:40:	in terms of protection and or additional premium.
00:41:44> 00:41:46:	You know a lot about some of the constraints of
00:41:46> 00:41:49:	the industry, the the lack of data, the need for
00:41:49> 00:41:53:	additional information to reduce this uncertainty on both sides.
00:41:54> 00:41:57:	I'd like to, as we're starting to wind down in
00:41:57> 00:41:58:	our time here, look ahead.
00:41:59> 00:42:03:	There's a really lovely question in the chat here about
00:42:03> 00:42:07:	what insurers and I'll add, what can commercial real estate
00:42:07> 00:42:11:	owners and developers each do to support resilience measures across
00:42:11> 00:42:12:	the industry.
00:42:13> 00:42:17:	There's some suggestions in the chat related to incentives to
00:42:17> 00:42:22:	pooling funds to support play space resilience, particularly for residential
00:42:22> 00:42:26:	and smaller businesses as well as larger commercial real estate
00:42:26> 00:42:27:	portfolios.
00:42:27> 00:42:30:	So if we could maybe go around the room here
00:42:30> 00:42:32:	and share some of your thoughts on what we hope
00:42:32> 00:42:35:	might happen in the future, I'll leave it open to

00:42:35> 00:42:37:	say who would like to begin that.
00:42:38> 00:42:41:	So I would like the economists to help us come
00:42:41> 00:42:44:	up with a way to to, to get over the
00:42:44> 00:42:46:	prisoner's dilemma.
00:42:47> 00:42:50:	So I talked about some of the systems level interventions
00:42:50> 00:42:54:	that we need because in reality, we need real estate
00:42:54> 00:42:55:	owners, asset owners.
00:42:55> 00:42:58:	Do not buy assets that don't have resilience.
00:42:59> 00:43:01:	Contemplate in the way that they're built just and think
00:43:01> 00:43:03:	about building resilience into your own assets.
00:43:03> 00:43:06:	But we're still going to need systems level interventions.
00:43:07> 00:43:09:	The problem that we have is often that the people
00:43:09> 00:43:12:	that need to bear the cost of those interventions aren't
00:43:12> 00:43:14:	the same as the beneficiaries and we don't have a
00:43:14> 00:43:15:	pricing mechanism for it.
00:43:16> 00:43:18:	So if I want to protect a new development of
00:43:18> 00:43:21:	a few hundred, a few thousand homes and I need
00:43:21> 00:43:24:	to reinstate a wetland that needs to be paid for
00:43:24> 00:43:26:	and people can opt out of paying for it.
00:43:26> 00:43:29:	So we need to get to mechanisms whereby those interventions
00:43:30> 00:43:32:	we have groups of we have groups of people that
00:43:32> 00:43:35:	say we need the systems level intervention, we need to
00:43:35> 00:43:38:	find out, find a way to jointly fund it because
00:43:38> 00:43:40:	we will all benefit from those protections.
00:43:41> 00:43:43:	So that's, that's my, that's, that's my call to action
00:43:44> 00:43:45:	and my, my great hope.
00:43:50> 00:43:53:	In terms of solution, I, I think you, you, you
00:43:53> 00:43:56:	may see this, this kind of emergence of alternative insurance.
00:43:57> 00:44:00:	You know, as a reminder, insurance has been created by
00:44:00> 00:44:03:	asset owners to where it, where the shipping companies a
00:44:03> 00:44:06:	few centuries ago who are trying to pull the risk
00:44:06> 00:44:09:	between them because, you know, losing a ship was a
00:44:09> 00:44:10:	disaster.
00:44:11> 00:44:15:	And you see that already in certain markets in the
00:44:15> 00:44:18:	US, if you're an investor in forestry, you will not
00:44:18> 00:44:22:	get insurance for fire against fire, but on earth are
00:44:22> 00:44:26:	grouping themselves and are maturizing the risk.
00:44:26> 00:44:29:	So it's a, you know, a little bit like the
00:44:29> 00:44:31:	Lloyds 3 or 400 years ago.
00:44:32> 00:44:35:	So that that might happen when there is no public
00:44:35> 00:44:37:	answer to, to, to this situation.

00:44:38> 00:44:41:	My biggest concern to, to be back as an investor
00:44:41> 00:44:43:	is I can do whatever I want from my asset,
00:44:43> 00:44:45:	but at the end of the day, I'm, there are
00:44:45> 00:44:47:	a lot of dependencies.
00:44:47> 00:44:51:	So contrary to, let's say, energy performance of my asset
00:44:51> 00:44:55:	or decarbonization of my asset, I'm in mostly in control
00:44:55> 00:44:57:	for, for the natural disaster.
00:44:57> 00:45:00:	I'm not, you know, when you think about what happened
00:45:00> 00:45:03:	in Spain, the South of the city was destroyed because
00:45:03> 00:45:07:	they were putting prevention, flooding prevention to protect the city
00:45:07> 00:45:07:	center.
00:45:08> 00:45:10:	And they worked very, very, very well, very well for
00:45:10> 00:45:10:	the city center.
00:45:11> 00:45:15:	It was completely intact, but it completely destroyed the South
00:45:15> 00:45:15:	of the city.
00:45:16> 00:45:18:	And so if you were in the South of the
00:45:18> 00:45:21:	city before they decided to build this kind of of
00:45:21> 00:45:25:	protection, you are getting exposed about the risk which was
00:45:25> 00:45:27:	not existent basically or, or much lower.
00:45:27> 00:45:29:	So that's back to me.
00:45:30> 00:45:34:	It, it, it is clearly a public, private work to,
00:45:34> 00:45:36:	to work on resilience.
00:45:36> 00:45:39:	lt's, it's not just an asset level issue.
00:45:40> 00:45:43:	And to a certain extent, I believe that if everyone
00:45:43> 00:45:46:	had to build a protection on his asset, typically for
00:45:46> 00:45:49:	fluid, that's a that's a very good example.
00:45:49> 00:45:52:	If everyone has to spend the money to protect from
00:45:52> 00:45:56:	fluid and asset, my guess is it's way more expensive
00:45:56> 00:46:00:	then collect certain amount of money and build the appropriate
00:46:00> 00:46:02:	protection at city level.
00:46:03> 00:46:05:	So, so that's where I think is, is we need
00:46:05> 00:46:07:	this level of engagement.
00:46:07> 00:46:08:	I'm not saying it's not happening.
00:46:08> 00:46:11:	I think most of the major cities have clear flooding
00:46:11> 00:46:15:	plans and investing and protecting their their cities, but it's
00:46:15> 00:46:16:	not everywhere.
00:46:17> 00:46:19:	And and that's where I think as part of your
00:46:19> 00:46:23:	decision making when you're investing, you need to understand if
00:46:23> 00:46:27:	the city has really taken seriously the issue at stake

00:46:27> 00:46:30:	and investing on it and you may contribute as a
00:46:30> 00:46:32:	owner and we have property tax etcetera.
00:46:32> 00:46:35:	So we know that it might be the case.
00:46:35> 00:46:37:	But generally speaking it will protect significantly of value as
00:46:37> 00:46:40:	you are paying insurance premium to a certain extent.
00:46:42> 00:46:45:	Just just pulling a couple of those threads together, I
00:46:45> 00:46:48:	think it's interesting if you go back to the origins
00:46:48> 00:46:51:	of insurance and oral mentions Lloyds of London and syndicates
00:46:51> 00:46:54:	and you know, the coffee houses of the late 1700s
00:46:54> 00:46:57:	and the ship owners gathering around to basically mutualize their
00:46:57> 00:46:58:	risk.
00:46:58> 00:47:01:	And the origin of most insurance groups was as mutual
00:47:02> 00:47:05:	societies, right owned by their policyholders.
00:47:05> 00:47:08:	Now as with many things that started off in mutualization,
00:47:08> 00:47:11:	the the corporate world has has changed that, but the
00:47:11> 00:47:14:	underlying dynamics of it are are still the same and
00:47:14> 00:47:18:	we still see pockets of the world whereby mutualization remains
00:47:18> 00:47:22:	in the shipping industry whilst the the physical damage, the
00:47:22> 00:47:25:	kind of hull and cargo elements are just out in
00:47:25> 00:47:26:	the corporate world.
00:47:26> 00:47:30:	The majority of the liability coverage, what's called known as
00:47:30> 00:47:34:	protection indemnity, PNI is, is written into mutual societies which
00:47:34> 00:47:36:	are owned by the ship owners.
00:47:37> 00:47:41:	So we do see pockets whereby people have continued to
00:47:41> 00:47:46:	group together to create societies in order to mutualise risk
00:47:46> 00:47:50:	where the markets weren't offering them those protections.
00:47:50> 00:47:51:	Now is it easy to do that?
00:47:51> 00:47:53:	Absolutely not.
00:47:53> 00:47:58:	We have seen though, through intermediaries, through brokers, we've seen
00:47:58> 00:48:01:	groups pulling together in order to try and put groups
00:48:01> 00:48:05:	together, to present them to insurance groups that present a
00:48:05> 00:48:07:	different level of risk.
00:48:07> 00:48:10:	So if there are elements whereby people are able to
00:48:10> 00:48:12:	do that, I do think it's possible, but it isn't
00:48:12> 00:48:13:	going to happen quickly or easily.
00:48:14> 00:48:16:	It's going to need, it's going to need some real
00:48:16> 00:48:18:	thought and it will need thought leadership and somebody willing

00:48:18> 00:48:20:	to be brave to make that change.
00:48:22> 00:48:25:	Thank you for those and hopefully we can take action
00:48:25> 00:48:27:	on on some of these ideas in the future.
00:48:28> 00:48:30:	Before we close out, we had a great question for
00:48:30> 00:48:30:	Laurent.
00:48:31> 00:48:35:	As asset managers, do you utilize any internal tool or
00:48:35> 00:48:41:	methodology that applies quantitative analysis to challenge insurance premiums set
00:48:41> 00:48:45:	by insurers and ensure that costs are not solely based
00:48:45> 00:48:47:	on retrospective assessments?
00:48:50> 00:48:51:	Yes, we do.
00:48:51> 00:48:54:	So I, I, I should say that first we do
00:48:54> 00:48:59:	integrate physical exposure in all our underwriting and we are
00:48:59> 00:49:03:	using in fact well the key enough an insurance tool
00:49:03> 00:49:05:	from our parent company.
00:49:06> 00:49:10:	So which give us a good assessment in fact of
00:49:10> 00:49:13:	how an insurance company will look at the the risk.
00:49:15> 00:49:19:	So it is also that worth using a lot group
00:49:19> 00:49:23:	policy, what I mean by group policy policies which are
00:49:23> 00:49:25:	covering all our assets.
00:49:26> 00:49:28:	So back to the point Amy was making.
00:49:29> 00:49:32:	So it is our portfolio is so large that basically
00:49:32> 00:49:37:	the insurance companies which are covering us are not necessarily
00:49:37> 00:49:40:	doing a deep dive on each and every asset.
00:49:40> 00:49:43:	They are seeing that's, you know, the worth 2500 properties,
00:49:43> 00:49:46:	but there is already materialization within our contract.
00:49:48> 00:49:52:	But that say yes, for forces the asset of higher
00:49:52> 00:49:54:	value and is exposure.
00:49:54> 00:49:57:	They are making deep dive and we're having this kind
00:49:57> 00:49:58:	of conversation.
00:49:58> 00:50:01:	I was referring to, you know, the solar panel deploying
00:50:01> 00:50:02:	EV charging in our asset.
00:50:02> 00:50:03:	It's not straightforward at all.
00:50:03> 00:50:06:	You you feel that you're doing a good action and
00:50:06> 00:50:09:	you you may end up with a a significant cost
00:50:09> 00:50:10:	to your property.
00:50:10> 00:50:14:	You know, if you have to, to sprinkle an, an
00:50:14> 00:50:19:	entire 6, 66 floor parking lot, it was not part
00:50:19> 00:50:20:	of the plan.
00:50:20> 00:50:24:	So that that's I think the, the way we integrated
00:50:25> 00:50:30:	and when we're improving the measurement back to, I mean,

00:50:30> 00:50:34:	it's, it's mostly on the larger, larger assets.
00:50:34> 00:50:37:	The underwriter are, are people who are highly technical, you
00:50:37> 00:50:39:	know, they completely understand the risk of underwriting.
00:50:40> 00:50:43:	So if we are able to demonstrate that we have
00:50:43> 00:50:48:	brought appropriate measure to mitigate the risk, generally speaking, either
00:50:48> 00:50:52:	you you come back to an acceptance of the insurance
00:50:52> 00:50:55:	if it was denied or a reduction of your of
00:50:55> 00:50:56:	your premium.
00:50:56> 00:50:57:	Yes, it works.
00:50:57> 00:50:59:	Yeah, it's a reasonable people to understand the risks of
00:50:59> 00:51:00:	taking, generally speaking.
00:51:03> 00:51:06:	Amy, I'm curious to hear the flip side of that
00:51:06> 00:51:11:	from your perspective working with insurers, how can commercial real
00:51:11> 00:51:16:	estate owners convincingly tell their climate story to encourage recognition
00:51:16> 00:51:19:	of the risk reduction measures that they are taking?
00:51:20> 00:51:23:	So, so it start, it starts with understanding your portfolio
00:51:23> 00:51:26:	and, and, and clearly that's the work that that that
00:51:26> 00:51:29:	we do to help clients understand the hazard, the likelihood
00:51:29> 00:51:32:	of being impacted by event, but also a resilience intervention.
00:51:32> 00:51:35:	Now high risk sites have have long, this long standing
00:51:35> 00:51:39:	convention that they would have risk engineering, they would have
00:51:39> 00:51:42:	an engineer going out to understand the likelihood of loss
00:51:42> 00:51:44:	from insurance perspective.
00:51:44> 00:51:47:	And so all of those risk engineers that that we
00:51:47> 00:51:50:	have, they are also thinking about how, how exposed are
00:51:50> 00:51:54:	you and what's your vulnerability or your resilience to potential
00:51:54> 00:51:54:	future climate.
00:51:56> 00:51:58:	In a lot of the real estate portfolios, however, they
00:51:58> 00:52:00:	aren't high hazard occupancy.
00:52:00> 00:52:03:	So it isn't customer practice to have that on the
00:52:03> 00:52:04:	ground data.
00:52:04> 00:52:07:	So I would say that the start point is to
00:52:07> 00:52:12:	to build your understanding and your knowledge of your portfolio
00:52:12> 00:52:15:	and taking a triage approach.
00:52:15> 00:52:17:	So start with a hazard mapping, which areas are red,
00:52:17> 00:52:19:	amber, green, start with the Reds.
00:52:19> 00:52:21:	How well do you understand the construction type?

00:52:21> 00:52:24:	How well do you understand the resilience measures that they've
00:52:24> 00:52:25:	already got?
00:52:25> 00:52:27:	Again, I can't remember who stayed at the outset, if
00:52:27> 00:52:29:	it was Laura or Andy was talking about.
00:52:31> 00:52:34:	Not just insurers have been thinking about extreme weather
	for
00:52:34> 00:52:37:	a long time, but the asset managers have typically as
00:52:37> 00:52:37:	well.
00:52:37> 00:52:39:	And I know we're talking about real estate here rather
00:52:39> 00:52:42:	than necessarily owner occupiers, but we find often when a
00:52:42> 00:52:45:	risk manager can be sitting in their office wondering what's
00:52:45> 00:52:47:	going on and how they're thinking about flood, the team
00:52:47> 00:52:50:	on the ground have been thinking about this risk for
00:52:50> 00:52:51:	a really long time.
00:52:51> 00:52:53:	It may be becoming more frequent, it may be becoming
00:52:53> 00:52:55:	more severe, but there is local knowledge.
00:52:55> 00:52:58:	So making sure that local knowledge is understood and where
00:52:58> 00:53:01:	the local knowledge doesn't match up to the future hazard,
00:53:01> 00:53:03:	what actions can you take to bolster that?
00:53:04> 00:53:06:	What we're then able to help people do is because
00:53:07> 00:53:10:	we understand future cost of risk, thinking about insurance pricing
00:53:10> 00:53:13:	is to provide a return on investment for those resilience
00:53:13> 00:53:15:	measures as very few people can do all of the
00:53:15> 00:53:18:	things that they that they potentially want to do in
00:53:18> 00:53:19:	the near term.
00:53:19> 00:53:21:	How do you prioritise those because of the ROI?
00:53:23> 00:53:25:	And, and I think that's the you have to sort
00:53:25> 00:53:28:	of undertake it in a stage, stage process.
00:53:29> 00:53:31:	But it it, it isn't the case that if there
00:53:32> 00:53:35:	is a \$4 million intervention that you're going to see
00:53:35> 00:53:39:	a \$4 million premium reduction, you're reducing the risk over
00:53:39> 00:53:42:	the next 20-30 year life of that asset, which is
00:53:42> 00:53:45:	the period over which the the risk reduction will be
00:53:45> 00:53:46:	felt.
00:53:48> 00:53:49:	Great point.
00:53:49> 00:53:51:	We, there are a lot of nuances here.
00:53:51> 00:53:54:	And Andy, I know you mentioned earlier the just what
00:53:54> 00:53:57:	percentage catastrophe risk is of all claims and there are
00:53:57> 00:54:01:	a lot of reasons that insurance premiums are rising, but
00:54:01> 00:54:04:	that's all the more reason to take action where we

00:54:04> 00:54:04:	can.
00:54:04> 00:54:05:	They're falling at the moment.
00:54:05> 00:54:06:	Premium premiums are falling.
00:54:07> 00:54:09:	Love it, love seeing that.
00:54:09> 00:54:12:	And you know one interesting thing that we heard and
00:54:12> 00:54:16:	in our report that I'll mention in a minute, we
00:54:16> 00:54:20:	did a report with Heitman on insurance, commercial real estate
00:54:20> 00:54:22:	and investment decision making.
00:54:22> 00:54:25:	And one of the things we heard from our interviews
00:54:25> 00:54:28:	is that as the insurance market softens, we were previously
00:54:28> 00:54:30:	in a hard market where premiums are rising.
00:54:30> 00:54:34:	As that cycle continues down and we see more of
00:54:34> 00:54:38:	the premiums coming down, things like risk reduction will be
00:54:38> 00:54:42:	a differentiating factor for insurers and allow insurers perhaps to
00:54:43> 00:54:46:	compete a little bit for our business and provide more
00:54:46> 00:54:50:	favorable rates recognizing the value of that risk reduction.
00:54:52> 00:54:56:	So we have unfortunately reaching the top of the hour
00:54:56> 00:54:56:	here.
00:54:56> 00:54:58:	And I just want to thank so much all of
00:54:58> 00:55:02:	our panelists for sharing their expertise in this lively discussion.
00:55:03> 00:55:07:	We do have a couple last things to share with
00:55:07> 00:55:10:	you and we'll put those up on some slides here
00:55:10> 00:55:12:	for those who are.
00:55:13> 00:55:15:	We are not familiar with you, Eli.
00:55:15> 00:55:16:	We hope.
00:55:16> 00:55:18:	We're so glad that you joined us and we hope
00:55:18> 00:55:20:	that you found this valuable.
00:55:20> 00:55:24:	We will provide a quick survey that's on your screen
00:55:24> 00:55:24:	here.
00:55:24> 00:55:28:	Just two quick seconds to select excellent, good, average, or
00:55:28> 00:55:29:	poor.
00:55:29> 00:55:32:	We really hope that you enjoyed this conversation and that
00:55:32> 00:55:35:	it was helpful to you and your feedback will help
00:55:35> 00:55:39:	us further refine engagements like this in the future.
00:55:39> 00:55:40:	And I see so many of you are voting.
00:55:40> 00:55:41:	Thank you.
00:55:41> 00:55:43:	This is wonderful to hear.
00:55:44> 00:55:48:	While you're voting, I want to share that we do
00:55:48> 00:55:52:	have some additional webinars coming up on the 19th of
00:55:52> 00:55:56:	March, again related to our emerging Trends in real estate

00:55:56> 00:56:00:	report that You Alive produces every year in collaboration with
00:56:00> 00:56:01:	PwC.
00:56:01> 00:56:04:	We focus today on just a single chapter of this
00:56:04> 00:56:07:	report, but there is so much in this report, so
00:56:07> 00:56:09:	many fantastic insights.
00:56:09> 00:56:12:	I know you will find these valuable if you're able
00:56:12> 00:56:13:	to join us next month.
00:56:14> 00:56:17:	I mentioned briefly this report, Insurance on the Rise.
00:56:18> 00:56:20:	I mentioned just one little tidbit.
00:56:20> 00:56:24:	There's so much in here on why insurance prices are
00:56:24> 00:56:28:	rising, the impact of rising costs on commercial real estate,
00:56:28> 00:56:34:	strategies for securing coverage, and most importantly, emerging trends that
00:56:34> 00:56:36:	could reshape markets.
00:56:36> 00:56:40:	So please feel free to read this report at your
00:56:40> 00:56:41:	leisure.
00:56:42> 00:56:46:	And then lastly, if you enjoyed this discussion on physical
00:56:46> 00:56:49:	climate risk and resilience, we got into a lot of
00:56:49> 00:56:52:	nuances beyond just insurance and you think you might be
00:56:52> 00:56:56:	in Denver, Co joining you alive for our spring meeting.
00:56:56> 00:56:59:	I'd love for you to stay one extra day and
00:56:59> 00:57:03:	join us on May 15th for our 6th Annual Resilience
00:57:03> 00:57:03:	Summit.
00:57:04> 00:57:08:	This is our flagship climate adaptation event that brings together
00:57:08> 00:57:12:	real estate and resilience leaders from across the globe to
00:57:12> 00:57:16:	really navigate the challenges of physical climate risk and also
00:57:16> 00:57:21:	seize the opportunities that come with change in the industry.
00:57:21> 00:57:23:	We'd love to see you there and you can join.
00:57:23> 00:57:27:	You can learn more at uli.org/resilience Summit.
00:57:29> 00:57:32:	l will thank you all again for joining us and
00:57:32> 00:57:34:	a special thank you to our experts for making this
00:57:35> 00:57:36:	such a fantastic discussion.
00:57:39> 00:57:39:	Thank you.
00:57:40> 00:57:40:	Thank you.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .