

## Webinar

## ULI San Francisco: What You Need to Know Building Electrification

Date: March 10, 2021

00:00:18> 00:00:22:	So good afternoon everyone, my name is Michelle Malaka Fry.
00:00:22> 00:00:25:	I'm the executive director at ULI San Francisco and we
00:00:25> 00:00:28:	are all very excited to have you all here with
00:00:28> 00:00:32:	us today for this fantastic discussion on building electrification,
00:00:32> 00:00:36:	building electrification is actually one of my favorite topics.
00:00:36> 00:00:38:	An if you don't believe me,
00:00:38> 00:00:40:	just ask my coworkers. And so it was my great
00:00:40> 00:00:44:	pleasure to be able to serve on the Executive Steering
00:00:44> 00:00:47:	Committee of the Mayor of San Francisco's 0 Mission Building
00:00:47> 00:00:52:	Task Force. Which is responsible for creating San Francisco's recent
00:00:52> 00:00:55:	building ordinance related to electrification.
00:00:55> 00:00:58:	So why do I think electrification is so important and
00:00:58> 00:00:59:	so interesting?
00:00:59> 00:01:02:	And it's really because it's essential to our transition to
00:01:02> 00:01:04:	a clean energy economy.
00:01:04> 00:01:07:	The state of California has committed to creating a clean
00:01:07> 00:01:09:	electricity grid by 2045,
00:01:09> 00:01:12:	and the Biden administration is eyeing a goal of a
00:01:12> 00:01:13:	clean grid by 2035,
00:01:13> 00:01:17:	but essential part of this transition is for buildings to
00:01:17> 00:01:19:	be able to plug into this.
00:01:19> 00:01:21:	Clean grid an be using all electricity and to get
00:01:21> 00:01:22:	off fossil gas,
00:01:22> 00:01:25:	so getting off fossil gas is essential to us to
00:01:25> 00:01:26:	all of us.
00:01:26> 00:01:29:	Meeting our climate goals, it's important to our indoor and

00:01:29> 00:01:33:	outdoor air quality and it's important for equity because it
00:01:33> 00:01:35:	fossil gas use doesn't impact all of us equally.
00:01:35> 00:01:38:	We're going to be hearing all about this today from
00:01:38> 00:01:40:	this fantastic panel.
00:01:40> 00:01:43:	Experts that we've assembled will be hearing about big
	picture
00:01:43> 00:01:44:	things and policy,
00:01:44> 00:01:48:	but we're also getting really hear some hands on practical
00:01:48> 00:01:49:	experience.
00:01:49> 00:01:51:	For you to take back and bring back to your
00:01:51> 00:01:53:	work and to your projects.
00:01:53> 00:01:55:	So before we get started though,
00:01:55> 00:01:58:	we are just going to go through just a couple
00:01:58> 00:01:58:	of things.
00:01:58> 00:02:00:	Announcements from Ulic SF and KC.
00:02:00> 00:02:04:	If you wouldn't mind advancing thank you the slide we'd
00:02:04> 00:02:07:	like to start all of our programs off by thanking
00:02:07> 00:02:08:	our sponsors or sponsors.
00:02:08> 00:02:10:	Do you make our work possible?
00:02:10> 00:02:13:	And if anyone would like to find out more about
00:02:13> 00:02:13:	sponsorship,
00:02:13> 00:02:16:	they should please let me or someone on my team
00:02:16> 00:02:18:	now we'd be happy to give you a call and
00:02:19> 00:02:21:	the other thing we'd like to do is encourage you
00:02:21> 00:02:24:	to be, you lie member if you're not already.
00:02:24> 00:02:27:	Many great benefits to being a member.
00:02:27> 00:02:30:	In addition to discounts like on programs like this,
00:02:30> 00:02:34:	there's the opportunity to participate on committees that create programs
00:02:34> 00:02:35:	like this,
00:02:35> 00:02:38:	and if you would like to become a member,
00:02:38> 00:02:39:	you can go to uli.org/join.
00:02:39> 00:02:42:	And if you are already a member and know someone
00:02:42> 00:02:44:	who should be a member,
00:02:44> 00:02:46:	you can go to uli.org/refer.
00:02:46> 00:02:49:	You'll get a discount and the person you refer the
00:02:49> 00:02:53:	person you refer will get a discount and you'll get
00:02:53> 00:02:54:	a gift card.
00:02:54> 00:02:57:	And then one last slide I wanted to share is
00:02:57> 00:03:00:	just a little bit of housekeeping here,
00:03:00> 00:03:04:	so you'll notice that closed captioning is available if you
00:03:04> 00:03:07:	click the close captioning button you will see a live

00:03:07> 00:03:09:	transcript of this,
00:03:09> 00:03:12:	and please forgive us if there's a little bit of
00:03:12> 00:03:13:	a lag,
00:03:13> 00:03:14:	or if there are some typos.
00:03:14> 00:03:18:	Kind of how the system works.
00:03:18> 00:03:21:	Couple other things. One, this is a zoom meeting,
00:03:21> 00:03:23:	so we ask that you turn off your cameras and
00:03:24> 00:03:26:	that way all the speakers will float to the top.
00:03:26> 00:03:29:	The other thing we recommend that you do is you
00:03:29> 00:03:32:	can pin the speakers so if you float over anyone's
00:03:32> 00:03:35:	head you can press you see the three little dots
00:03:35> 00:03:38:	and there you can click that and you can click
00:03:38> 00:03:41:	pin and then all the speakers will float to the
00:03:41> 00:03:41:	top.
00:03:41> 00:03:44:	You'll be able to see them and we invite you
00:03:44> 00:03:48:	to share your thoughts and comments on Twitter where ULISF
00:03:48> 00:03:49:	and if you have comments.
00:03:49> 00:03:52:	For the speakers are moderate are and for speaker will
00:03:53> 00:03:56:	be taking some of those comments towards the end,
00:03:56> 00:03:59:	so please put those in the chat box.
00:03:59> 00:04:02:	Alright, now with that I would like to introduce our
00:04:02> 00:04:03:	first speaker,
00:04:03> 00:04:07:	an Armada rater Panama bartholomy many of you may
	already
00:04:07> 00:04:07:	know him.
00:04:07> 00:04:10:	He was a formerly a board member at the US
00:04:10> 00:04:11:	Green Building Council.
00:04:11> 00:04:15:	He was president of our Northern California chapter of the
00:04:15> 00:04:16:	US Green Building Council.
00:04:16> 00:04:19:	He was also previously, amongst other things,
00:04:19> 00:04:24:	Deputy director of the California Energy Commission's Efficiency and Renewables
00:04:24> 00:04:24:	Division,
00:04:24> 00:04:27:	and he's been an adviser to a number of our
00:04:27> 00:04:28:	state Assembly members.
00:04:28> 00:04:31:	But the reason why we've asked him here today?
00:04:31> 00:04:36:	Is because he's also the director of the building Decarbonization
00:04:36> 00:04:36:	coalition,
00:04:36> 00:04:40:	one of the most active organizations really helping us with
00:04:40> 00:04:44:	this transition to all electric buildings and he is basically
00:04:44> 00:04:48:	the go to expert on building electrification in the country.

00:04:48> 00:04:51:	And so we are delighted to have him here.
00:04:51> 00:04:56:	So it's my pleasure to introduce Panama bartholomy
00:04:56> 00:05:00:	wonderful, very gracious welcome. Thank you so much.
00:05:00> 00:05:04:	Amuli, San Francisco. It's really great to be here.
00:05:04> 00:05:08:	Thank you to all the sponsors for sponsoring this event
00:05:08> 00:05:13:	and for sponsoring you lie and great organization and I've
00:05:13> 00:05:15:	been a big fan for years.
00:05:15> 00:05:18:	I am going to get my PowerPoint started here so
00:05:18> 00:05:22:	hopefully everybody can see it and it looks well so
00:05:22> 00:05:26:	this is not the practical hands on portion of the
00:05:26> 00:05:28:	agenda. I was asked to cover.
00:05:28> 00:05:32:	Why is building electrification taking off?
00:05:32> 00:05:35:	What are some of the policies that are being implemented
00:05:35> 00:05:37:	within the San Francisco Bay area and then what can
00:05:37> 00:05:40:	we expect from the state as soon as I'm done
00:05:40> 00:05:41:	talking? After about 10 minutes,
00:05:41> 00:05:44:	we're going to hop over to the practical hands on
00:05:44> 00:05:47:	part of the conversation with some of the experts in
00:05:47> 00:05:47:	the field.
00:05:47> 00:05:50:	Ask them a few questions and then allow time for
00:05:50> 00:05:52:	you to ask some of them questions.
00:05:52> 00:05:54:	So that's what we have going ahead of us for
00:05:54> 00:05:55:	the next few minutes.
00:05:55> 00:05:59:	Our organization that building decarbonization coalition is a coalition of
00:05:59> 00:06:01:	utilities like Pacific Gas and Electric.
00:06:01> 00:06:06:	Manufacturers of heating equipment. The designing
	construction community,
00:06:06> 00:06:10:	local governments and NGOs, all working together to eliminate emissions
00:06:10> 00:06:12:	from the built environment.
00:06:12> 00:06:15:	So just first of all on the big picture is
00:06:15> 00:06:17:	you know what is building electrification.
00:06:17> 00:06:19:	Just to really simplify it,
00:06:19> 00:06:22:	it's taking some of the major end uses within our
00:06:22> 00:06:24:	buildings that traditionally use gas,
00:06:24> 00:06:27:	space heating, water heating, cooking,
00:06:27> 00:06:30:	clothes drying and transitioning those over to electricity.
00:06:30> 00:06:35:	And why? Electricity? Well, electricity is getting increasingly cleaner all
00:06:35> 00:06:37:	across the United States.
00:06:37> 00:06:39:	This is a map you see on the screen of
00:06:39> 00:06:42:	all of the states that have adopted what are called
	-

00:06:42> 00:06:47:	renewable portfolio standards or renewable electricity standards where they have
00:06:47> 00:06:50:	put in law and requirement that the grid and the
00:06:50> 00:06:54:	providers of electricity on the grid are getting cleaner over
00:06:54> 00:06:58:	time to reach a certain cleanliness point by a certain
00:06:58> 00:07:01:	date. For California, we're trying to get completely 100%
00:07:01> 00:07:04:	carbon free electricity by 2045 and you can see a
00:07:04> 00:07:08:	number of other states across the country are all trying
00:07:08> 00:07:08:	to get.
00:07:08> 00:07:13:	The cleaner electricity. So what building electrification really is is
00:07:13> 00:07:17:	it's taking that clean electricity they're produced on the grid
00:07:17> 00:07:20:	or produced on the building and combining it with really
00:07:20> 00:07:23:	highly efficient technology like heat pumps,
00:07:23> 00:07:27:	heat pump, water heaters and magnetic induction cooking to power
00:07:27> 00:07:32:	a building completely from that cleaner and cleaner electricity.
00:07:32> 00:07:33:	And so you might ask,
00:07:33> 00:07:35:	well, what's so wrong about gas?
00:07:35> 00:07:37:	And I'm not going to spend a lot of time
00:07:37> 00:07:38:	on this,
00:07:38> 00:07:41:	because ultimately I think most of you are probably interested
00:07:41> 00:07:43:	in what does it mean for me,
00:07:43> 00:07:46:	and ultimately this is some of the underlying information that's
00:07:47> 00:07:48:	really driving policy,
00:07:48> 00:07:51:	and I'd rather spend the time on the policy 'cause
00:07:51> 00:07:53:	the policy is or what it really going to impact
00:07:53> 00:07:54:	you and your work.
00:07:54> 00:07:57:	But just in short, right now gas is the fastest
00:07:57> 00:07:59:	growing climate pollutant in the world.
00:07:59> 00:08:02:	We're doing great work on power plants on industry and
00:08:02> 00:08:03:	on.
00:08:03> 00:08:06:	Vehicles were not doing as good to work on natural
00:08:06> 00:08:06:	gas,
00:08:06> 00:08:10:	and so natural gas is now the leading contributor to
00:08:10> 00:08:12:	climate change.
00:08:12> 00:08:15:	Hum, we've done great work on reducing pollution from power
00:08:15> 00:08:16:	plants and cars,
00:08:16> 00:08:19:	but those same advanced pollution controls we haven't put on
00:08:19> 00:08:22:	appliances and buildings and so right now in the Bay

5

00:08:22> 00:08:22:	Area.
00:08:22> 00:08:26:	Our buildings are actually producing more smog from the
	natural
00:08:26> 00:08:26:	gas,
00:08:26> 00:08:29:	so we burn in the buildings and all of the
00:08:29> 00:08:31:	cars that are commuting in and around the Bay Area.
00:08:31> 00:08:33:	We can build buildings we can build,
00:08:33> 00:08:38:	particularly residential buildings, cheaper when they're building all electric,
00:08:38> 00:08:41:	then with gas, and so we're actually seeing a situation
00:08:41> 00:08:43:	where if we want to be lowering the cost of
00:08:44> 00:08:45:	housing in California.
00:08:45> 00:08:47:	Building all electric is a key way to do it,
00:08:47> 00:08:50:	and we have an incredibly old pipeline system.
00:08:50> 00:08:53:	In California we have a big decision point coming soon,
00:08:53> 00:08:56:	as are we going to be replacing a gas system
00:08:56> 00:08:59:	that runs all over California?
00:08:59> 00:09:01:	Most 2/3 of the pipe is over 50 years old
00:09:01> 00:09:03:	and nearing the end of its useful life,
00:09:03> 00:09:05:	and we have a big decision to make.
00:09:05> 00:09:08:	Are we going to be investing the billions of dollars
00:09:08> 00:09:09:	into two energy systems?
00:09:09> 00:09:12:	Are gas system, air, electricity system,
00:09:12> 00:09:15:	or really focusing on getting the electricity system going and
00:09:15> 00:09:18:	stable so we can rely on that into the future.
00:09:18> 00:09:20:	And then lastly, if any city or the state of
00:09:20> 00:09:23:	California is going to achieve its climate goals is going
00:09:23> 00:09:26:	to have to eliminate gas and so it's really For
00:09:26> 00:09:29:	these reasons that we're starting to see the policy is
00:09:29> 00:09:30:	being adopted.
00:09:30> 00:09:32:	Across the state and in many cities,
00:09:32> 00:09:35:	Berkeley was the first one to really take action on
00:09:35> 00:09:38:	this in California back in July of 2019,
00:09:38> 00:09:41:	they became the first city in the nation to say
00:09:41> 00:09:44:	no more new gas in any buildings that are built
00:09:44> 00:09:46:	within the city of Berkeley.
00:09:46> 00:09:49:	The fourth person to testify at that City Council meeting
00:09:49> 00:09:52:	was daring climb from Pacific gas and Electric,
00:09:52> 00:09:54:	and Aaron stood up and said,
00:09:54> 00:09:57:	PG knees here to support Berkeley and any other city
00:09:57> 00:10:00:	in our territory that wants to help us stop the
00:10:00> 00:10:02:	expansion of our gas network.

00:10:02> 00:10:05:	We recognize in an expanding gas network does not fit
00:10:05> 00:10:09:	in where California is going on climate change and we
00:10:09> 00:10:11:	do not feel that our rate payers are going to
00:10:11> 00:10:15:	be able to afford the gas system into the future
00:10:15> 00:10:18:	and therefore we encourage you to stop expanding the gas
00:10:18> 00:10:19:	system.
00:10:19> 00:10:22:	So when you think about one of your key partners,
00:10:22> 00:10:25:	an expansion and development being your utility utility is sending
00:10:26> 00:10:29:	a very clear message within the Bay Area and across
00:10:29> 00:10:32:	their territory that they do not want to be building
00:10:32> 00:10:36:	out and providing gas to any new construction within their
00:10:36> 00:10:36:	territory.
00:10:36> 00:10:40:	Since Berkeley 41 other cities across California have adopted either
00:10:40> 00:10:43:	a gas ban or similar restrictions to make it very
00:10:43> 00:10:46:	hard to build with gas within their territory,
00:10:46> 00:10:49:	you see a heavy concentration of them in the Bay
00:10:49> 00:10:49:	Area,
00:10:49> 00:10:52:	but we're starting to see it expand to other parts
00:10:52> 00:10:53:	of the state,
00:10:53> 00:10:56:	and this looks like San Diego is going to try
00:10:56> 00:10:58:	to move forward by the end of the year and
00:10:58> 00:11:01:	a number of cities in LA County as well.
00:11:01> 00:11:04:	We have about 60 more cities that are looking about
00:11:04> 00:11:07:	adopting these local policies moving forward.
00:11:07> 00:11:09:	The ones in the Bay Area I was asked to
00:11:09> 00:11:11:	give some generalities about them.
00:11:11> 00:11:14:	What I would say is most of the ones adopted
00:11:14> 00:11:15:	across the Bay Area,
00:11:15> 00:11:19:	our whole building approaches so they say overall we want
00:11:19> 00:11:22:	the entire building to be all electric rather than focusing
00:11:22> 00:11:25:	on a specific end use like water heating or space
00:11:25> 00:11:29:	heating, and many of them cover all building types and
00:11:29> 00:11:32:	the majors and the large cities within the Bay Area
00:11:32> 00:11:33:	such as San Francisco,
00:11:33> 00:11:36:	Oakland, San Jose, cover all building types.
00:11:36> 00:11:39:	Some of them, particularly in Silicon Valley.
00:11:39> 00:11:41:	Did exempt certain building types,
00:11:41> 00:11:44:	restaurants and life sciences were two of the areas that
00:11:44> 00:11:46:	were heavily exempted at the coalition.
00:11:46> 00:11:48:	We track all of these and we have a fantastic

00:11:48> 00:11:52:	spreadsheet that lays out the differences between each and every
00:11:52> 00:11:53:	one of these codes.
00:11:53> 00:11:55:	You can see it on the website that you see
00:11:55> 00:11:56:	on the screen,
00:11:56> 00:11:59:	but and I'm sure this will be sent around afterwards
00:11:59> 00:12:00:	for you to see that,
00:12:00> 00:12:02:	but we track each and every one of these,
00:12:02> 00:12:06:	but in general this is what we're seeing from the
00:12:06> 00:12:09:	Bay Area codes that are going to be affecting your
00:12:09> 00:12:09:	work.
00:12:09> 00:12:12:	What we're starting to see now is a movement,
00:12:12> 00:12:14:	or is how do we start to deal with existing
00:12:14> 00:12:16:	buildings for existing buildings?
00:12:16> 00:12:19:	It's not Even so much of a building issue as
00:12:19> 00:12:21:	much as it's an appliance issue,
00:12:21> 00:12:23:	and So what we're seeing is a Bay Area Air
00:12:23> 00:12:27:	Quality Management District has announced that they're going to be
00:12:27> 00:12:30:	looking to adopt Ultra low NOx or zero nitrogen oxide
00:12:30> 00:12:33:	standards for water heaters and furnaces before the end of
00:12:34> 00:12:34:	the year,
00:12:34> 00:12:37:	and so all those codes I mentioned are going to
00:12:37> 00:12:38:	handle new construction.
00:12:38> 00:12:42:	The regulations at the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.
00:12:42> 00:12:45:	And the South Coast Air Quality Management District in LA
00:12:45> 00:12:48:	are going to be looking at are going to be
00:12:48> 00:12:51:	about when your systems die and when your water heating
00:12:51> 00:12:53:	and space conditioning systems die.
00:12:53> 00:12:56:	These regulations will then affect what kind of replacement you're
00:12:56> 00:12:59:	going to be able to put into your building.
00:12:59> 00:13:01:	So highly recommend you watch back mode this year at
00:13:01> 00:13:02:	the state level.
00:13:02> 00:13:05:	There's a really clear message is coming down from the
00:13:05> 00:13:08:	state about where the states going back in 2018,
00:13:08> 00:13:11:	the Energy Commission and their Seminole policy report on energy
00:13:12> 00:13:13:	said that is very clear.
00:13:13> 00:13:16:	That building electrification as a pathway the state needs to
00:13:17> 00:13:19:	go down in order to decarbonize's building stock,
00:13:19> 00:13:23:	and then, in 2019, the California Public Utilities Commission

	opened
00:13:24> 00:13:25:	up a brand new proceeding.
00:13:25> 00:13:27:	Looking at the future of gas.
00:13:27> 00:13:29:	And at the time Commissioner Liane Randolph,
00:13:29> 00:13:32:	who opened that proceeding, wrote this op Ed,
00:13:32> 00:13:34:	you see, on the screen where she said,
00:13:34> 00:13:37:	it is clear that California is going to have to
00:13:37> 00:13:39:	transition off of gas,
00:13:39> 00:13:42:	and this is a proceeding where we will be discussing
00:13:42> 00:13:44:	how the state is stops the use of gas.
00:13:44> 00:13:47:	Within its territory.
00:13:47> 00:13:49:	We're starting to see some of the first policies come
00:13:49> 00:13:52:	out of the state of California in the building code,
00:13:52> 00:13:53:	so I talked about with.
00:13:53> 00:13:56:	The locals are going on the building code I'm going
00:13:56> 00:13:59:	to finish up just briefly talking about what the state
00:13:59> 00:14:00:	is doing on the building code.
00:14:00> 00:14:03:	They're going to adopt A new building code that will
00:14:03> 00:14:04:	go into effect January 1st,
00:14:04> 00:14:07:	2023, but it's called the 2022 Building Code in August
00:14:07> 00:14:08:	of this year,
00:14:08> 00:14:10:	and then it gives him 18 months to implement it,
00:14:10> 00:14:12:	and so here's what we're seeing.
00:14:12> 00:14:13:	I know not a lot of you build the low
00:14:14> 00:14:15:	rise residential and nonresidential,
00:14:15> 00:14:17:	but for the first time ever,
00:14:17> 00:14:19:	a state is recommending that heat pumps be required.
00:14:19> 00:14:21:	Part of a building code.
00:14:21> 00:14:24:	They're going to require one of the major end uses.
00:14:24> 00:14:26:	Water heating or space heating for new construction.
00:14:26> 00:14:29:	Be a heat pump. They're going to recognize the inherent
00:14:29> 00:14:32:	pollution dangers of cooking with gas in the code and
00:14:32> 00:14:34:	require higher ventilation standards.
00:14:34> 00:14:37:	If you build a house with a gas stove compared
00:14:37> 00:14:38:	to an electric stove,
00:14:38> 00:14:41:	they're going to require even if you don't put in
00:14:41> 00:14:44:	electric appliances that you have that you have prewired and
00:14:44> 00:14:46:	provided space for electric appliances.
00:14:46> 00:14:48:	So when your gas appliance dies,
00:14:48> 00:14:50:	it'll be all set up and ready to go.
00:14:50> 00:14:54:	And they're going to give additional energy modeling points available

00:14:55> 00:14:57:	if you build an all electric building.
00:14:57> 00:15:00:	For multi family, which I'm sure a lot of you
00:15:00> 00:15:01:	do build multifamily,
00:15:01> 00:15:04:	they're going to be requiring for all single zone space
00:15:04> 00:15:05:	heating systems.
00:15:05> 00:15:08:	So yeah, individual you know apartments that have a single
00:15:08> 00:15:11:	heater isn't connected to a central system.
00:15:11> 00:15:13:	Those are going to have to be all electric.
00:15:13> 00:15:16:	They're going to allow and make it easier to build
00:15:16> 00:15:18:	with central heat pump water heating systems.
00:15:18> 00:15:22:	They're going to have the same kind of electric readiness
00:15:22> 00:15:24:	and battery storage requirements,
00:15:24> 00:15:27:	except for water heating, and then have those same higher
00:15:27> 00:15:29:	ventilation standards for cooking.
00:15:29> 00:15:32:	For the non red side is a much lighter touch
00:15:32> 00:15:34:	because so many of the central systems are a bit
00:15:35> 00:15:36:	harder racial here,
00:15:36> 00:15:39:	but from some of our speakers and so they're going
00:15:39> 00:15:42:	to be requiring again for single zone space heating.
00:15:42> 00:15:45:	Those systems will have to be all electric except for
00:15:45> 00:15:46:	restaurants,
00:15:46> 00:15:48:	hotels, motels and medical facilities.
00:15:48> 00:15:51:	For small schools or requirement for heat pump water heaters
00:15:51> 00:15:54:	and then there's going to be for the first time
00:15:54> 00:15:55:	ever in any code.
00:15:55> 00:15:59:	Photovoltaic and battery requirements for for non residential.
00:15:59> 00:16:03:	Buildings in California. So this is the future that you
00:16:03> 00:16:06:	all are really going to have to consider up here
00:16:06> 00:16:09:	and this part of the screen you're starting to see
00:16:09> 00:16:14:	policy's climate policy's and economic building electrification that's going to
00:16:14> 00:16:18:	be reducing the throughput for gas reducing gas demand an
00:16:18> 00:16:20:	when you're reducing gas demand,
00:16:20> 00:16:23:	and taking people off of the gas grid.
00:16:23> 00:16:26:	What that results in is less customers to pay for
00:16:26> 00:16:28:	the fixed costs of that system.
00:16:28> 00:16:31:	And so that's going to lead to higher gas rates.
00:16:31> 00:16:34:	As we're starting to replace the gas system that will
00:16:34> 00:16:37:	create further rate pressure on on gas on the gas
00:16:37> 00:16:38:	customers,
00:16:38> 00:16:41:	which makes it even more economic than to electrify.

00:16:41> 00:16:43: 00:16:43> 00:16:46:	Which means more people will get off the grid, which will then reduce demand on the gas grid and
00:16:46> 00:16:49:	you can start to begin to see the downward spiral
00:16:49> 00:16:51:	of the gas system in California.
00:16:51> 00:16:54:	And this is what PG talks about about not being
00:16:54> 00:16:56:	able to afford the gas system in the future.
00:16:56> 00:17:00:	So the question is, is where are your portfolios and
00:17:00> 00:17:02:	where are you taking your customers?
00:17:02> 00:17:04:	In this journey, are you at the front end of
00:17:04> 00:17:07:	this story where you're getting people out of this game
00:17:07> 00:17:08:	before it gets bad?
00:17:08> 00:17:10:	Or are you going to be left behind and be
00:17:10> 00:17:13:	some of the people holding the pipe at the end
00:17:13> 00:17:14:	of the journey?
00:17:14> 00:17:16:	So thank you for the time today.
00:17:16> 00:17:19:	This is our website buildingdecarb.org and I'm really excited to
00:17:19> 00:17:22:	now stop and take you over to our fantastic group
00:17:22> 00:17:22:	of speakers.
00:17:22> 00:17:25:	So I'm going to stop sharing.
00:17:25> 00:17:29:	And introduce you to our speakers today.
00:17:29> 00:17:32:	So we have a fantastic group really.
00:17:32> 00:17:35:	I would say it's kind of like the like.
00:17:35> 00:17:37:	A whole group of like the doctor,
00:17:37> 00:17:41:	foul cheese of building decarbonization is kind of like like
00:17:41> 00:17:45:	the Harry and Meghan's of building performance and if it's
00:17:45> 00:17:46:	almost like more,
00:17:46> 00:17:50:	more exciting than getting a vaccine is hearing from these
00:17:50> 00:17:54:	folks who are about to lay their knowledge on us.
00:17:54> 00:17:56:	So let me first introduce Sarah Neff.
00:17:56> 00:18:02:	She's a senior vice president of sustainability at Kilroy Realty
00:18:02> 00:18:03:	Corporation.
00:18:03> 00:18:08:	At Kilroy she overseas all sustainability initiatives such as implementation
00:18:08> 00:18:10:	of energy and water efficiency,
00:18:10> 00:18:15:	projects, recycling, green cleaning, LEED certifications,
00:18:15> 00:18:18:	EV's done it all. She's a fantastic hang at conferences
00:18:19> 00:18:22:	so Sarah Neff is going to be talking to us
00:18:22> 00:18:24:	as one of our commercial folks,
00:18:24> 00:18:26:	Rushi Shah. She has just a just.
00:18:26> 00:18:31:	Actually each of these first three speakers have like an
00:18:31> 00:18:32:	entire alphabet.
00:18:32> 00:18:35:	Certifications behind their name in Russia is definitely one of

00:18:36> 00:18:36:	them.
00:18:36> 00:18:40:	She's a senior sustainability manager for the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development
00:18:40> 00:18:41:	Corporation,
00:18:41> 00:18:44:	one of the largest affordable housing developers in San Francisco,
00:18:44> 00:18:47:	and she overseas about three and a half million square
00:18:47> 00:18:51:	feet of space and a sustainability program that overseas all
00:18:51> 00:18:51:	of them.
00:18:51> 00:18:53:	She, too, is in charge of energy,
00:18:53> 00:18:55:	water, carbon reduction, waste diversion,
00:18:55> 00:18:59:	and she leads decarbonization o'll decarbonization sustainable design efforts at
00:18:59> 00:19:02:	the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation.
00:19:02> 00:19:04:	So thank you for joining us.
00:19:04> 00:19:08:	She, Megan, Gunther again the alphabet behind early day PBD&C
00:19:08> 00:19:10:	well April well AP.
00:19:10> 00:19:12:	I mean, all of it.
00:19:12> 00:19:16:	Megan leads the building decarbonization building performance group for AEI
00:19:16> 00:19:17:	San Francisco office.
00:19:17> 00:19:20:	Ann is a mechanical engineer with expertise,
00:19:20> 00:19:23:	an analysis, engineering and design of mechanical systems,
00:19:23> 00:19:27:	supporting laboratories, health care, and higher education laboratories,
00:19:27> 00:19:29:	health care and higher education.
00:19:29> 00:19:32:	So Megan only works on the most difficult of building
00:19:32> 00:19:32:	types.
00:19:32> 00:19:36:	Nothing using nothing is like a square warehouse for Megan.
00:19:36> 00:19:38:	This is like the complicated stuff she leaves.
00:19:38> 00:19:42:	Decarbonization and sustainable design efforts and provide support for all
00:19:43> 00:19:44:	electric new developments.
00:19:44> 00:19:47:	And rehab projects. And last but not least,
00:19:47> 00:19:49:	we have the the boy on the on the panel.
00:19:49> 00:19:52:	We have Barry Hooper the winner only.
00:19:52> 00:19:55:	He's a green building coordinator at San Francisco and Department
00:19:55> 00:19:58:	of Environment where he manage is implementation of the city's
00:19:59> 00:20:00:	building performance,
00:20:00> 00:20:03:	labeling energy audit requirements for commercial buildings.
00:20:03> 00:20:06:	He's a department lead for San Francisco's Green Building

	code
00:20:06> 00:20:10:	for new construction and provides Technical Support to the
	Department
00:20:10> 00:20:11:	of Building Inspection.
00:20:11> 00:20:15:	Probably the least favorite part of his job.
00:20:15> 00:20:18:	He has previously worked as the Green Building and energy
00:20:18> 00:20:19:	coordinator,
00:20:19> 00:20:20:	the city of San Jose,
00:20:20> 00:20:23:	and is the Energy Commissioner for the County of Santa
00:20:23> 00:20:24:	Cruz.
00:20:24> 00:20:26:	I have no idea how you were able to do
00:20:26> 00:20:27:	that and be an employee,
00:20:27> 00:20:29:	but good job when you bury.
00:20:29> 00:20:31:	So that's who we're going to hear from just a
00:20:31> 00:20:32:	fantastic group.
00:20:32> 00:20:35:	I'm going to start off with some questions for Sarah
00:20:35> 00:20:36:	or commercial developer.
00:20:36> 00:20:38:	If you could unmute yourself.
00:20:38> 00:20:40:	Thank you, Sarah, welcome you.
00:20:40> 00:20:43:	Let's go. You're down in LA though.
00:20:43> 00:20:47:	So you electrify commercial buildings right?
00:20:47> 00:20:51:	The deal, fantastic. How is that been?
00:20:51> 00:20:54:	What challenges have come up as you started down this
00:20:54> 00:20:56:	journey of electrification?
00:20:56> 00:21:00:	And why is killroy going down the journey of electrification?
00:21:00> 00:21:02:	Well, Panama told us too,
00:21:02> 00:21:06:	so we felt like we had to know.
00:21:06> 00:21:08:	So we see that we we see the writing on
00:21:08> 00:21:09:	the wall.
00:21:09> 00:21:12:	So Kilroy declared that it was going to achieve carbon
00:21:12> 00:21:14:	neutral operations by the end of 2020,
00:21:14> 00:21:15:	which is a goal we achieved.
00:21:15> 00:21:18:	But we also see that we can get the electric
00:21:18> 00:21:18:	grid 20%
00:21:18> 00:21:21:	renewables and we cannot get the gas grid 200%
00:21:21> 00:21:25:	renewable. So therefore we need to use a lot less
00:21:25> 00:21:25:	gas.
00:21:25> 00:21:28:	So we started going all after construction.
00:21:28> 00:21:31:	I think three years ago.
00:21:31> 00:21:32:	And what we found is,
00:21:32> 00:21:35:	you know, like anything with new construction when you bake
00:21:35> 00:21:37:	it in these things are not hard,

00:21:37> 00:21:39:	especially in an office space.
00:21:39> 00:21:41:	You know there's some words the wise in terms of
00:21:41> 00:21:42:	you know,
00:21:42> 00:21:44:	saying OK, we're going to let Rick Warren Shell if
00:21:44> 00:21:47:	attendant really really wants to run a gas line later
00:21:47> 00:21:48:	to run a small,
00:21:48> 00:21:49:	you know food thing fine,
00:21:49> 00:21:52:	not great, but they're using their TI allowance for it.
00:21:52> 00:21:55:	And then at least we're not using all this gas
00:21:55> 00:21:56:	to heat and cool the building.
00:21:56> 00:21:59:	And that way the leasing team feels a little more
00:21:59> 00:22:00:	comfortable.
00:22:00> 00:22:02:	So we've actually, you know,
00:22:02> 00:22:04:	it's one of these things where I think the bark
00:22:04> 00:22:06:	is so much worse than the bite.
00:22:06> 00:22:09:	I think there's a lot of fear about electrification when
00:22:09> 00:22:10:	you actually go into it.
00:22:10> 00:22:11:	Run it. It's it's not.
00:22:11> 00:22:12:	It's just not that bad.
00:22:12> 00:22:14:	You know we've had some struggles,
00:22:14> 00:22:16:	which I think a lot of people on this call
00:22:16> 00:22:17:	know about.
00:22:17> 00:22:19:	We had some Title 24 compliance issues where when you
00:22:20> 00:22:22:	run the model with a mixture of building,
00:22:22> 00:22:24:	it passes runner model with an electric building.
00:22:24> 00:22:26:	Little bit of a Gray area,
00:22:26> 00:22:28:	a lot of people are aware of this problem.
00:22:28> 00:22:30:	I'm not the only one to experience it for working.
00:22:30> 00:22:33:	Through it you know that can be challenging.
00:22:33> 00:22:36:	We have had a small you know issue where the
00:22:37> 00:22:42:	electric building footprint is slightly larger than the mechanical footprint.
00:22:42> 00:22:45:	Not a huge deal, but not a change you want.
00:22:45> 00:22:49:	You know, in the middle of the design drawings again,
00:22:49> 00:22:51:	something just needs to be baked in.
00:22:51> 00:22:55:	Right now, you know we have depending on time of
00:22:55> 00:22:58:	year and and what prices are the cost issue in
00:22:58> 00:23:01:	terms of operations is is a little.
00:23:01> 00:23:02:	I would stay up in the air,
00:23:02> 00:23:03:	but we we see where we we,
00:23:03> 00:23:06:	we we believe we see where this is going and
00:23:06> 00:23:08:	so we're OK with that we're OK we believe that

00:23:08> 00:23:11:	we are so sort of proactive and energy efficiency and
00:23:11> 00:23:14:	development that we're able to say you know what we're
00:23:14> 00:23:15:	going to do with it.
00:23:15> 00:23:18:	We're going to overall give a better value to our
00:23:18> 00:23:21:	tenants in terms of a lower cost for utilities.
00:23:21> 00:23:24:	I'm in the major place for struggling as these these
00:23:24> 00:23:25:	pesky existing buildings.
00:23:25> 00:23:29:	Certainly ripping gas lines out of an existing building is
00:23:29> 00:23:31:	a whole different kettle of fish,
00:23:31> 00:23:32:	so I'll say in new construction,
00:23:32> 00:23:37:	specially in office, we're really dealing with a lot more.
00:23:37> 00:23:41:	I can come discomfort with something you rather than problems
00:23:41> 00:23:42:	that are really real.
00:23:42> 00:23:44:	These things can get on.
00:23:44> 00:23:47:	I'm sure Megan, who's actually mechanical engineer,
00:23:47> 00:23:48:	can get this a lot faster,
00:23:48> 00:23:51:	but the issues are not as as difficult,
00:23:51> 00:23:53:	but just as recently as this past was,
00:23:53> 00:23:55:	you know, less than a few months ago I was
00:23:56> 00:23:58:	leading at least threaten said alright guys.
00:23:58> 00:24:01:	And the other thing they be done with this lead
00:24:01> 00:24:02:	scorecard.
00:24:02> 00:24:05:	Disability needs to electric and everybody went can.
00:24:05> 00:24:07:	Oh my gosh, it's gonna be this whole thing.
00:24:07> 00:24:09:	I don't. Oh my gosh,
00:24:09> 00:24:11:	and you know I'm getting all these emails like you've
00:24:11> 00:24:13:	caused a lot of conversations internally.
00:24:13> 00:24:15:	I don't think we can do this and this isn't
00:24:15> 00:24:16:	going to happen.
00:24:16> 00:24:18:	I called him as I always do like Panama and
00:24:18> 00:24:20:	we have to go talk to these people and then
00:24:20> 00:24:22:	they stop responding to my emails,
00:24:22> 00:24:23:	which is always a concern.
00:24:23> 00:24:25:	And then you know, a couple weeks later I was
00:24:25> 00:24:26:	like hey,
00:24:26> 00:24:29:	if we. Figured out this electric building thing and they're
00:24:29> 00:24:30:	like,
00:24:30> 00:24:32:	oh, actually, when we ran the numbers it was totally
00:24:32> 00:24:33:	fine.
00:24:33> 00:24:35:	So the reason we haven't even back as we just
00:24:35> 00:24:37:	did did that thing you wanted.

00:24:37> 00:24:38:	That's fine. The real issue is this.
00:24:38> 00:24:41:	You know. Whatever landscape, pavers or some such thing.
00:24:41> 00:24:44:	So as one of these things where in the room,
00:24:44> 00:24:45:	if I had said like no if they if I
00:24:45> 00:24:48:	just take a no for an answer though this seems
00:24:48> 00:24:49:	harder than like,
00:24:49> 00:24:51:	OK no problem and then it would have gotten mixed
00:24:51> 00:24:52:	fuel forever.
00:24:52> 00:24:54:	But I was like I kind of don't want it
00:24:54> 00:24:56:	to be mixed field doesn't need it.
00:24:56> 00:24:58:	And then people actually ran the numbers.
00:24:58> 00:24:59:	And it turned out to be OK.
00:24:59> 00:25:01:	So I think we just need a little bit of
00:25:01> 00:25:02:	push right now,
00:25:02> 00:25:05:	but at least in my asset classes these things are
00:25:05> 00:25:05:	not hard.
00:25:05> 00:25:08:	I think people in retail we're going to have to
00:25:08> 00:25:10:	get used to some induction cooking and maybe some cost
00:25:10> 00:25:11:	for equipment there.
00:25:11> 00:25:15:	And obviously residential. Everybody loves their induction stoves,
00:25:15> 00:25:17:	but it's a thing that we're going to have to
00:25:17> 00:25:18:	get over,
00:25:18> 00:25:20:	but I think as soon as we get there is
00:25:20> 00:25:22:	going to be fine and I will only say is
00:25:22> 00:25:25:	the end of this anecdote that my husband for figuring
00:25:25> 00:25:27:	out how to needing to replace our stove has finally
00:25:27> 00:25:28:	agreed.
00:25:28> 00:25:30:	We won't get another gas stove.
00:25:30> 00:25:33:	He's actually OS most enough of the Kool Aid on
00:25:33> 00:25:33:	this one.
00:25:33> 00:25:36:	And we're also going to be walking the walk,
00:25:36> 00:25:39:	so I'm excited. I think that I think the barriers
00:25:39> 00:25:42:	are less than they seem in commercial,
00:25:42> 00:25:44:	and with that I'll turn it back to the home
00:25:44> 00:25:45:	and great,
00:25:45> 00:25:47:	I got one more question for you,
00:25:47> 00:25:50:	Sir. You mentioned cost briefly and it's always a silly
00:25:50> 00:25:51:	questions,
00:25:51> 00:25:54:	like how much does a building cost to build,
00:25:54> 00:25:57:	but but in general, what are you seeing on cost?
00:25:57> 00:25:59:	Both new construction and operational and?

00:25:59> 00:26:02:	Trends are building all electric.
00:26:02> 00:26:05:	Yeah, sure I can. I can provide sort of two
00:26:05> 00:26:07:	ways of looking at so.
00:26:07> 00:26:10:	l had a billion a billion dollar development.
00:26:10> 00:26:13:	OK and this development was in this big right.
00:26:13> 00:26:16:	It's 2,000,000 square feet, city block,
00:26:16> 00:26:20:	whatever and we and it's obviously something like that gets
00:26:21> 00:26:23:	designed for years and years.
00:26:23> 00:26:26:	And we made this decision to go all electric in
00:26:26> 00:26:27:	San Francisco.
00:26:29> 00:26:33:	We were in a late DDS like the design was
00:26:33> 00:26:34:	pretty baked.
00:26:34> 00:26:40:	Answer for that billion dollar project going all electric was
00:26:40> 00:26:41:	a \$1,000,000 ad.
00:26:41> 00:26:43:	So that and that was,
00:26:43> 00:26:45:	and it would have been a \$0.00 out if we
00:26:45> 00:26:46:	done it three years prior.
00:26:46> 00:26:48:	If it had been on our radar.
00:26:48> 00:26:50:	So for a billion dollar project,
00:26:50> 00:26:54:	\$1,000,000 AD, which incidentally we were able to actually entirely
00:26:54> 00:26:57:	clear by switching from a grey water to black water
00:26:57> 00:26:57:	system,
00:26:57> 00:26:59:	canceled it out. So there is,
00:26:59> 00:27:01:	you know. So this whole net thing.
00:27:01> 00:27:03:	So Sarah got everything she wanted.
00:27:03> 00:27:06:	I got black water and electric for no additional cost
00:27:06> 00:27:07:	so that all worked out fine.
00:27:07> 00:27:09:	So that that again was doing it late,
00:27:09> 00:27:11:	right? So if you did it early,
00:27:11> 00:27:15:	like? For this project I was just talking about where
00:27:15> 00:27:17:	we talked about it in the shower at no cost
00:27:17> 00:27:18:	at all,
00:27:18> 00:27:21:	right? The performer was fine when you have to start
00:27:21> 00:27:25:	redesigning things and then operationally it's a wash.
00:27:25> 00:27:28:	The building I'm sitting in happens to use gas,
00:27:28> 00:27:30:	but it's twin building which we built.
00:27:30> 00:27:33:	Both of them doesn't, and so I'm actually able to
00:27:33> 00:27:35:	see what costs are like.
00:27:35> 00:27:37:	
	It kind of depends on the year.
00:27:37> 00:27:40:	It kind of depends on the year. So so this year during kovid because the electricity was

00:27:41> 00:27:43:	we still were heating the building.
00:27:43> 00:27:46:	You know, costs of the gas building was more,
00:27:46> 00:27:47:	but I've been regularly here.
00:27:47> 00:27:51:	It's usually not so, because gas took a larger percentage
00:27:51> 00:27:52:	of the energy use.
00:27:52> 00:27:54:	So like I said, like it,
00:27:54> 00:27:55:	it can depend on energies,
00:27:55> 00:27:58:	like in what the mix and what's happening,
00:27:58> 00:28:01:	but it's not. It's not always a slam dunk operationally.
00:28:01> 00:28:05:	Every year currently, and we're just OK with that.
00:28:05> 00:28:08:	Great and you're you're putting like you're better employees in
00:28:09> 00:28:10:	the all electric building,
00:28:10> 00:28:13:	right? Yes, in the sense that more employees are all
00:28:13> 00:28:14:	in this building,
00:28:14> 00:28:18:	but gyms in the other building so you know.
00:28:18> 00:28:20:	Sarah will come back to you with questions from the
00:28:20> 00:28:21:	audience.
00:28:21> 00:28:26:	Ruching well? Thank you you just heard Sarah and what
00:28:26> 00:28:29:	she deals with on the commercial side,
00:28:29> 00:28:32:	you're building all over San Francisco.
00:28:32> 00:28:37:	You're building multifamily. You know what different considerations or challenges
00:28:37> 00:28:40:	are using in building all electric,
00:28:40> 00:28:44:	then what Sara described and what are maybe some other
00:28:44> 00:28:47:	unique you think to the multifamily sector?
00:28:47> 00:28:51:	Absolutely yeah. Some other things are pretty much the same,
00:28:51> 00:28:55:	with caveats that we build affordable housing,
00:28:55> 00:28:57:	so we have to keep in mind the tenants we
00:28:57> 00:28:58:	serve,
00:28:58> 00:29:02:	their costs and our costs of operating these assets an
00:29:02> 00:29:04:	we are long term owners and operators.
00:29:04> 00:29:08:	We have buildings that we are managing for over 3040
00:29:08> 00:29:08:	years,
00:29:08> 00:29:10:	so like servicing and keeping.
00:29:10> 00:29:15:	We had renovations aside but on new construction we started
00:29:15> 00:29:19:	doing all electric three years or maybe living.
00:29:19> 00:29:22:	More than that, before the code came in and we
00:29:22> 00:29:25:	were part of various task force and sort of giving
00:29:25> 00:29:26:	our feedback.
00:29:26> 00:29:30:	Really from our perspective, not only cost but we are

00:29:30> 00:29:34:	seeing this as a risk mitigation strategy to know combustion
00:29:34> 00:29:35:	in buildings.
00:29:35> 00:29:37:	Maintenance issues really going down,
00:29:37> 00:29:41:	and it's an opportunity for us to save our operating
00:29:41> 00:29:44:	costs as I think you had mentioned to heat pumps
00:29:44> 00:29:48:	are just so way much more efficient that we shouldn't
00:29:48> 00:29:50:	even argue about like a 60%
00:29:50> 00:29:53:	efficient gas boiler, right? So we looked at all these
00:29:54> 00:29:57:	factors Ann for multifamily affordable housing.
00:29:57> 00:29:59:	I think it just makes sense,
00:29:59> 00:30:02:	but to Sarah's point again from get go though we
00:30:02> 00:30:05:	have had some instances where in CDs DDS we have
00:30:05> 00:30:06:	made the switch.
00:30:06> 00:30:09:	But just for everyone to know the baseline for us
00:30:09> 00:30:13:	is different in our older buildings only two things are
00:30:13> 00:30:14:	running on gas.
00:30:14> 00:30:17:	It's the DSW and gas dryers for laundry.
00:30:17> 00:30:21:	We had already electrified the other things way before all
00:30:21> 00:30:23:	the all electric concept came in.
00:30:23> 00:30:25:	From a risk and cost perspective.
00:30:25> 00:30:28:	So then we just needed to think about DSW heat
00:30:28> 00:30:31:	pumps and I'm happy to sort of go a little
00:30:31> 00:30:32:	bit in cost.
00:30:32> 00:30:36:	If people are interested at a relatively small building code
00:30:36> 00:30:38:	and five units if we just look at line item
00:30:38> 00:30:39:	by line item.
00:30:39> 00:30:42:	Of course heat pump is going to cost more.
00:30:42> 00:30:45:	The difference was more than I think 100 and \$5000
00:30:45> 00:30:46:	or something.
00:30:46> 00:30:49:	But when we looked at it from a budget perspective
00:30:49> 00:30:53:	because we heard the owners and we have to look
00:30:53> 00:30:53:	at.
00:30:53> 00:30:57:	Different aspects, the cost that we're saving by not worrying
00:30:57> 00:30:58:	about gas meters,
00:30:58> 00:31:03:	gas infrastructure. Dealing with two utilities and sort of
	different
00:31:03> 00:31:04:	departments RPMS.
00:31:04> 00:31:06:	Actually, we're happy that. Oh no,
00:31:06> 00:31:08:	I don't have to worry about gas,
00:31:08> 00:31:11:	so it didn't pan out pretty well.
00:31:11> 00:31:13:	And we have right now 10 buildings,
00:31:13> 00:31:15:	all electric in design and development,

00:31:15> 00:31:19:	different phases, so FD in affordable housing can do it.
00:31:19> 00:31:22:	I think most of you can do too.
00:31:22> 00:31:27:	Yeah, let's fantastic how about existing buildings and your existing
00:31:27> 00:31:29:	portfolio and electrification?
00:31:29> 00:31:33:	And what are you finding with trying to electrify existing
00:31:33> 00:31:34:	buildings?
00:31:34> 00:31:37:	That's that's a beast. I'm going to admit to that
00:31:37> 00:31:40:	it's given the portfolio we have.
00:31:40> 00:31:44:	We have some really historic old buildings with such limited
00:31:44> 00:31:45:	electrical capacities,
00:31:45> 00:31:48:	so there are strategies energy efficiency.
00:31:48> 00:31:51:	First, we want to see how much we can reduce
00:31:51> 00:31:55:	total load before even thinking about heat pumps.
00:31:55> 00:31:56:	We have two right now.
00:31:56> 00:32:00:	Large multifamily project that got completed last year where we
00:32:00> 00:32:04:	just electrified DSW piece because from a cost and again,
00:32:04> 00:32:08:	carbon emissions perspective. We see that as number one and
00:32:08> 00:32:12:	then space heating and other things that might be on
00:32:12> 00:32:14:	gas as a second option,
00:32:14> 00:32:17:	but we are targeting in our portfolio as we do.
00:32:17> 00:32:20:	We have then we are planning for that DSW as
00:32:20> 00:32:21:	number one use case,
00:32:21> 00:32:25:	though capacity was a big issue cost there too because
00:32:25> 00:32:27:	it's not new money,
00:32:27> 00:32:31:	its operating budget. So there we are leveraging programs at
00:32:31> 00:32:32:	SFE Round Live web,
00:32:32> 00:32:37:	another rebate programs to offset or upfront costs.
00:32:37> 00:32:39:	Fantastic.
00:32:39> 00:32:40:	How has it been working with P.
00:32:40> 00:32:43:	Jeannie and I said in my presentation that P Jeannie's
00:32:43> 00:32:46:	been very supportive or wants to be supportive,
00:32:46> 00:32:48:	but are they actually carrying it out as far as
00:32:48> 00:32:52:	transformer sizing and making sure the infrastructure that is there
00:32:52> 00:32:53:	for your projects?
00:32:53> 00:32:54:	Yeah, it is a mix.
00:32:54> 00:32:56:	It depends on the project too.
00:32:56> 00:32:58:	We are in tenderloin, on on,
00:32:58> 00:33:00:	sort of a special part of the grid.
00:33:00> 00:33:04:	It's called secondary network where we are not allowed to

00:33:04> 00:33:06:	export any PV back to the grid.
00:33:06> 00:33:09:	So let's say if I put heat pump I'm increasing
00:33:09> 00:33:12:	my electric load on the building and I need more
00:33:12> 00:33:15:	PvP offset but with PG and E is that the
00:33:12> 00:33:18:	-
	issue where we always have to figure out on this
00:33:18> 00:33:19:	building?
00:33:19> 00:33:20:	Will it make sense or not?
00:33:20> 00:33:22:	So that's an ongoing issue.
00:33:22> 00:33:25:	And on every project it's different.
00:33:25> 00:33:28:	From program perspective, I think it's great right?
00:33:28> 00:33:31:	We are in San Francisco where we have CCS.
00:33:31> 00:33:33:	We can source more clean power,
00:33:33> 00:33:36:	so that's that's a big win for us.
00:33:36> 00:33:40:	But the other challenge is the program that we leverage.
00:33:40> 00:33:42:	Only a few are incentivizing heat pumps,
00:33:42> 00:33:45:	so I think if you want to walk the talk
00:33:45> 00:33:47:	and say no gas boiler,
00:33:47> 00:33:49:	let's just stop incentivizing them right?
00:33:49> 00:33:52:	So that's what I'm advocating for.
00:33:52> 00:33:54:	Yeah, and just one last question,
00:33:54> 00:33:57:	will she know what what does it mean to use
00:33:57> 00:33:59:	a central heat pump water heating system?
00:33:59> 00:34:02:	So folks that haven't done central heat pump water is
00:34:02> 00:34:05:	like what are some of the design considerations need to
00:34:05> 00:34:06:	be thinking about?
00:34:06> 00:34:09:	You know, building in the city like San Francisco or
00:34:09> 00:34:10:	spaces,
00:34:10> 00:34:12:	space is limited.
00:34:12> 00:34:15:	Yeah I think for new construction and becomes very easy
00:34:15> 00:34:19:	because they're factoring everything from get go.
00:34:19> 00:34:22:	But on my 2 projects the rehab once we did
00:34:22> 00:34:24:	get into this issue of noise,
00:34:24> 00:34:28:	the decibel levels were pretty high where we were ventilating
00:34:28> 00:34:29:	the cold air,
00:34:29> 00:34:32:	so we had to do some mitigation measures there and
00:34:32> 00:34:34:	we had to work with city,
00:34:34> 00:34:38:	so space ventilation. Those are things that we really need
00:34:38> 00:34:40:	to figure out and you need to have a good
00:34:40> 00:34:42:	me P team and engineers.
00:34:42> 00:34:44:	You sort of think through that.
00:34:44> 00:34:48:	Otherwise it can be a flop in existing buildings to
00:34:48> 00:34:50:	go through that effort and then realize,

00:34:50> 00:34:55:	Oh my God, we created ten other problems because of
00:34:55> 00:34:56:	a heat pump.
00:34:56> 00:35:00:	Great thank you. Fascinating well can't wait to learn more
00:35:00> 00:35:02:	about your projects which are working.
00:35:02> 00:35:05:	Thank you Richie Megan with the most I don't know
00:35:06> 00:35:07:	what's more difficult.
00:35:07> 00:35:10:	Your types of projects or the types of tenants you
00:35:10> 00:35:12:	have on those projects,
00:35:12> 00:35:14:	but thank you for joining us.
00:35:14> 00:35:17:	So when you're looking at life sciences and labs and
00:35:17> 00:35:19:	healthcare buildings,
00:35:19> 00:35:24:	what are some of the unique challenges to electrifying those
00:35:24> 00:35:24:	buildings?
00:35:24> 00:35:26:	So I think lab buildings.
00:35:26> 00:35:30:	I'll start with those and it's similar in health care
00:35:30> 00:35:34:	is that were unique in that the ventilation rates that
00:35:34> 00:35:37:	we need to supply to these spaces are much higher
00:35:37> 00:35:42:	than residential and commercial. So we're just supplying
	ventilation air
00:35:42> 00:35:46:	for the occupants and commercial and residential spaces in
	life
00:35:46> 00:35:47:	sciences.
00:35:47> 00:35:50:	We have to actually supply more than that to make
00:35:50> 00:35:54:	up air for our exhaust needs or air change rates.
00:35:54> 00:35:57:	Certain lab types are requiring a lot of.
00:35:57> 00:35:59:	Outside air to be brought in an not only just
00:35:59> 00:36:02:	air change rates a lot of outside air,
00:36:02> 00:36:04:	so fully 100% not conditioned air.
00:36:04> 00:36:07:	So because of that we see much higher reheating loads
00:36:07> 00:36:08:	in buildings.
00:36:08> 00:36:11:	I can't tell you how many times I've been in
00:36:11> 00:36:14:	a meeting with clients and you show them your energy
00:36:14> 00:36:17:	pie chart an were in Northern California where it's of
00:36:17> 00:36:20:	relatively mild climate. Yet 40%
00:36:20> 00:36:23:	of our building energy use actually goes to space heating,
00:36:23> 00:36:27:	which is usually really shocking to owners that don't have
00:36:27> 00:36:28:	a full grasp on.
00:36:28> 00:36:30:	What lab energies it is so?
00:36:30> 00:36:33:	Because of these high ventilation rates we have a very
00:36:33> 00:36:38:	high building heating load which makes it much more
	disproportionate
00:36:38> 00:36:39:	for our building type.
00:36:39> 00:36:43:	To really go to that electrified space feeding route.
	-

00:36:43> 00:36:46:	So Ras is relatively simple for commercial you see much
00:36:46> 00:36:50:	larger equipment impacts when we look at life sciences,
00:36:50> 00:36:53:	so I know we've talked a lot about heat pumps.
00:36:53> 00:36:57:	Typically the standard heat pump that most are familiar with
00:36:57> 00:36:58:	is an air source heat pump,
00:36:58> 00:37:02:	so you're grabbing. Heat from your air and turning that
00:37:02> 00:37:05:	into either hot water or you know your space heating
00:37:05> 00:37:08:	in your building an if we tried to do that
00:37:08> 00:37:12:	with lab buildings, oftentimes we run into space constraints.
00:37:12> 00:37:15:	Lab buildings inherently have very packed roof spaces to
	begin
00:37:16> 00:37:16:	with.
00:37:16> 00:37:18:	We have a lot of laboratory exhaust fans.
00:37:18> 00:37:22:	We usually have much larger air handling units and so
00:37:22> 00:37:24:	our real estate already is pretty limited.
00:37:24> 00:37:28:	To add any more equipment other than the chillers and
00:37:28> 00:37:30:	boilers that we presently have,
00:37:30> 00:37:33:	so that's one. Issue and challenge with lab buildings and
00:37:33> 00:37:34:	healthcare.
00:37:34> 00:37:37:	The other challenge, and I think is a bit of
00:37:37> 00:37:40:	confusion point for some people is this idea that our
00:37:40> 00:37:44:	transformer sizes all of a sudden going to explode and
00:37:44> 00:37:48:	it's going to get 3X larger because we're electrifying our
00:37:48> 00:37:48:	space eating,
00:37:48> 00:37:52:	but it's important to point out that usually you're building
00:37:52> 00:37:56:	infrastructure on the electrical side is sized for your cooling
00:37:56> 00:37:58:	demand on that building,
00:37:58> 00:38:00:	so you already are starting with a.
00:38:00> 00:38:04:	Relatively high cooling demand an all of your lights,
00:38:04> 00:38:06:	your plug loads, your fans.
00:38:06> 00:38:09:	All of those are already electric and uses anyways,
00:38:09> 00:38:12:	and so by transitioning our heating over to electrical,
00:38:12> 00:38:16:	you're usually not having your peak heating demand at the
00:38:16> 00:38:19:	same time as your peak cooling demand.
00:38:19> 00:38:23:	Therefore we have some flexibility and what are our electrical
00:38:23> 00:38:24:	service sizes,
00:38:24> 00:38:26:	which may seem like a challenge,
00:38:26> 00:38:29:	but it really isn't in the grand scheme of things,
00:38:29> 00:38:31:	and I think another issue of course is.
00:38:31> 00:38:36:	The process loads that come with laboratories and healthcare steam
00:38:36> 00:38:39:	loads that stands to be a very challenging annuus actually
	is a vory on anony in an indus actually

00:38:39> 00:38:40:	to electrify.
00:38:40> 00:38:43:	Still, depending on your tenants needs,
00:38:43> 00:38:45:	it's a bit of a mixed bag when you are
00:38:45> 00:38:47:	doing a core and shell design,
00:38:47> 00:38:50:	you don't know who the end tenant will be at
00:38:50> 00:38:51:	that time.
00:38:51> 00:38:53:	So kind of to Sarah's point.
00:38:53> 00:38:56:	We are seeing the same trend and the life sciences
00:38:56> 00:39:00:	developer realm where we're designing the corn shells all electric
00:39:00> 00:39:04:	and then later on if the building owners decide to
00:39:04> 00:39:06:	let the tenants bring natural gas.
00:39:06> 00:39:07:	And that can be done.
00:39:07> 00:39:11:	And we do see some pretty sharp efficiency declines when
00:39:11> 00:39:13:	we try to electrify or steam.
00:39:13> 00:39:16:	Just because the technology isn't there yet.
00:39:16> 00:39:19:	I think you know, as we are seeing this move
00:39:19> 00:39:22:	to all electric building design,
00:39:22> 00:39:26:	we will see the manufacturers for steam generation finding new
00:39:26> 00:39:30:	ways to make those production methods more efficient,
00:39:30> 00:39:31:	but I as it stands now,
00:39:31> 00:39:35:	that would certainly be one of those end uses as
00:39:35> 00:39:38:	we tried to electrify that it would have a.
00:39:38> 00:39:41:	Bigger impact on our service size dependent how much,
00:39:41> 00:39:44:	how much steam the user actually is meeting.
00:39:44> 00:39:49:	Great. Electric steam sounds like a like a Prince album
00:39:49> 00:39:51:	or something.
00:39:51> 00:39:55:	UN cost, you know you're already dealing with designing some
00:39:55> 00:39:57:	of the highest cost building types.
00:39:57> 00:40:00:	I mean what? What is cost in general?
00:40:00> 00:40:03:	Looking like on all electric design for these for these
00:40:03> 00:40:05:	types of projects.
00:40:05> 00:40:07:	So I'll say if you were to design an all
00:40:07> 00:40:11:	electric lab building and the same way that you would
00:40:11> 00:40:14:	design any other type of commercial building,
00:40:14> 00:40:18:	your first cost. If you're looking at swapping natural gas
00:40:18> 00:40:21:	boilers for air source heat pumps.
00:40:21> 00:40:24:	I would bet that you would actually see a pretty
00:40:24> 00:40:26:	large increase in your first cost,
00:40:26> 00:40:29:	but where we're finding and really convincing owners that this
00:40:29> 00:40:32:	isn't much of a cost premium is implementing waste heat

00:40:32> 00:40:33:	recovery,
00:40:33> 00:40:36:	and these buildings we have this beautiful opportunity and life
00:40:36> 00:40:40:	sciences buildings that were actually have a lot of
	simultaneous
00:40:40> 00:40:41:	heating and cooling demand,
00:40:41> 00:40:44:	which means that we have cooling in the building at
00:40:44> 00:40:47:	the same time that we have a heating demand in
00:40:47> 00:40:48:	the building.
00:40:48> 00:40:51:	And traditionally we would throw that heat away if we're
00:40:51> 00:40:54:	cooling the building we would throw it away either.
00:40:54> 00:40:56:	To the air or we throw it away to a
00:40:56> 00:40:56:	cooling tower,
00:40:56> 00:40:59:	and instead we've actually found ways that we can now
00:40:59> 00:41:02:	reuse that in the building because we're pulling in a
00:41:02> 00:41:03:	lot of outside air,
00:41:03> 00:41:05:	we need to cool the teardown.
00:41:05> 00:41:07:	We also need to add space space,
00:41:07> 00:41:09:	three heating at some of our zones,
00:41:09> 00:41:11:	an instead of throwing things away,
00:41:11> 00:41:12:	we can just reuse it.
00:41:12> 00:41:15:	So we're just swapping our energy around the building and
00:41:15> 00:41:17:	making it actually a really efficient system.
00:41:17> 00:41:20:	And because of this, we're also able to downsize our
00:41:20> 00:41:24:	equipment that we actually need for generating space
	heating because.
00:41:24> 00:41:27:	Now we have part of that heating coming from energy
00:41:27> 00:41:29:	reuse in the building,
00:41:29> 00:41:31:	so we only have to have a smaller fraction of
00:41:31> 00:41:32:	upfront cost,
00:41:32> 00:41:35:	and so that's. First and foremost,
00:41:35> 00:41:38:	the most important cost that we should talk about,
00:41:38> 00:41:42:	especially with developers. I just completed permit for a life
00:41:42> 00:41:44:	sciences building.
00:41:44> 00:41:48:	We actually ironically switched to an all electric design in
00:41:48> 00:41:51:	DD and we actually found that at that point in
00:41:51> 00:41:53:	the design we were able to.
00:41:53> 00:41:56:	Swapped out our cooling towers and we did some waste
00:41:57> 00:41:59:	heat recovery technologies in the building,
00:41:59> 00:42:02:	so we illuminated cooling towers but we added waste heat
00:42:02> 00:42:05:	energy recovery and it was only less than 2%
00:42:05> 00:42:07:	cost premium to do that for the project.
00:42:07> 00:42:11:	Even in DDS, so there's ways that we can find

00:42:11> 00:42:14:	some shift shuffling around of our funding.
00:42:14> 00:42:20:	Great, only an engineer describes simultaneous heating and
	cooling demand
00:42:20> 00:42:22:	as a beautiful situation.
00:42:22> 00:42:25:	What about the grid? I'm going to ask everybody when
00:42:25> 00:42:29:	we get to questions in the audience about blackouts,
00:42:29> 00:42:31:	but in general, about, you know,
00:42:31> 00:42:34:	demand as like an electrical demand from the grid.
00:42:34> 00:42:37:	Do we have a grid that can handle the increased
00:42:37> 00:42:41:	demand that's going to be coming from all electric buildings?
00:42:41> 00:42:44:	Megan, I. I think that you know to start it,
00:42:44> 00:42:46:	at least for life. Life sciences.
00:42:46> 00:42:49:	I'll start there.
00:42:49> 00:42:52:	Terms of I know that all of these blackouts and
00:42:52> 00:42:54:	power grid failures is ever popular topic.
00:42:54> 00:42:56:	We have the same risk in the summertime,
00:42:56> 00:42:59:	so I think there's this fear that by switching to
00:42:59> 00:43:01:	all electric buildings that were,
00:43:01> 00:43:03:	this is now going to be a new problem.
00:43:03> 00:43:05:	It's not a new problem,
00:43:05> 00:43:07:	it's just that space heating is going to be all
00:43:07> 00:43:08:	electric now.
00:43:08> 00:43:11:	But we have the same issues in the summertime,
00:43:11> 00:43:14:	which for California that's our our issues when we have
00:43:14> 00:43:17:	wildfires and we've already seen how we have to adapt
00:43:17> 00:43:19:	and deal with those issues.
00:43:19> 00:43:22:	Not that that is. Something that we want to be
00:43:22> 00:43:22:	dealing with,
00:43:22> 00:43:25:	but we know how to at least approach those in
00:43:25> 00:43:27:	those summer months.
00:43:27> 00:43:30:	What we're seeing with a lot of our building owners
00:43:30> 00:43:35:	is that there's different considerations when we're sizing our
	emergency
00:43:35> 00:43:36:	backup power.
00:43:36> 00:43:40:	So typically you're sizing your backup power for life sciences
00:43:40> 00:43:42:	and healthcare for that peak design day,
00:43:42> 00:43:45:	which is always going to be in the summer.
00:43:45> 00:43:48:	So when we are switching to electric heating,
00:43:48> 00:43:52:	we already have the capacity in place typically to support
00:43:52> 00:43:52:	that need,
00:43:52> 00:43:56:	so it's not really. Changing anything in terms of the
00:43:56> 00:43:59:	capacity of backup power that we need to provide,

00:43:59> 00:44:02:	nor is it, nor we've seen a substantial increase in
00:44:02> 00:44:05:	our normal power impact on the grid.
00:44:05> 00:44:08:	I think it's a bit of a different story when
00:44:08> 00:44:11:	we look at these other building types and I'll let
00:44:11> 00:44:14:	those experts speak to commercial and residential,
00:44:14> 00:44:18:	but I think there's ways that we can certainly reduce
00:44:19> 00:44:21:	those demands on the grid.
00:44:21> 00:44:24:	Great yeah, I'll circle back around how you all are
00:44:24> 00:44:28:	talking about internally into customers to clients and tenants about
00:44:28> 00:44:29:	about blackouts in a second.
00:44:29> 00:44:32:	Once we finish very.
00:44:32> 00:44:33:	It is top of mind,
00:44:33> 00:44:37:	not only in California, but of course what happened in
00:44:37> 00:44:39:	Texas this year.
00:44:39> 00:44:41:	So thank you, Megan Berry Hooper.
00:44:41> 00:44:44:	So in San Francisco this is all your fault that
00:44:44> 00:44:48:	we're having to talk about electric buildings.
00:44:48> 00:44:50:	So that was the other way around,
00:44:50> 00:44:52:	yeah?
00:44:52> 00:44:54:	Although you are all the votes yourself.
00:44:54> 00:44:57:	So why is San Francisco doing this and what are
00:44:57> 00:45:01:	the benefits of San Francisco is hoping to achieve for
00:45:01> 00:45:04:	its citizens and for the city by adopting all electric
00:45:04> 00:45:06:	ordinance?
00:45:06> 00:45:10:	Yeah well, great question. So so the ordinance itself is
00:45:10> 00:45:14:	called the San Francisco's all electric new construction ordinance,
00:45:14> 00:45:17:	and while there's quite a bit of detail,
00:45:17> 00:45:20:	it is meant to be self explanatory in the title
00:45:20> 00:45:23:	that it applies to all new buildings,
00:45:23> 00:45:25:	new construction of all scales and uses,
00:45:25> 00:45:28:	but it does not apply to existing building,
00:45:28> 00:45:30:	so it's just focused on that.
00:45:30> 00:45:34:	That easiest question 1st and the motivations for the ordinance
00:45:35> 00:45:37:	from the sponsoring supervisor and.
00:45:37> 00:45:41:	And mayor and elected officials have been health and safety
00:45:41> 00:45:42:	of San Francisco.
00:45:42> 00:45:45:	It's resilience, equity and climate change.
00:45:45> 00:45:46:	And really, in that order.
00:45:46> 00:45:48:	So you heard Panama, you know,
00:45:48> 00:45:51:	kind of you shared with us briefly.

00:45:51> 00:45:55:	Some of the major outdoor air impacts in aggregate of
00:45:55> 00:45:56:	building.
00:45:56> 00:45:59:	Building gas use, but in addition we looked with stake
00:45:59> 00:46:04:	holders at the carbon monoxide nitrogen oxide particulate matter releases
00:46:04> 00:46:06:	from using gas appliances,
00:46:06> 00:46:10:	particularly cooking inside our buildings and UCLA in the last
00:46:10> 00:46:11:	18 months.
00:46:11> 00:46:14:	Pad helpful estimate that actually quantified four SF.
00:46:14> 00:46:16:	What's the expected impact if,
00:46:16> 00:46:20:	in terms of incremental asthma and cardiovascular disease,
00:46:20> 00:46:24:	and they found that exposure indoors so those pollutants from
00:46:24> 00:46:26:	gas appliances exceeds.
00:46:26> 00:46:30:	\$1.2 billion in economic impact to the Greater Bay Area
00:46:30> 00:46:33:	annually and about 250 million of that falls on San
00:46:33> 00:46:34:	Francisco's.
00:46:34> 00:46:37:	If you we want to talk more human terms,
00:46:37> 00:46:40:	that's 65 premature deaths in the city.
00:46:40> 00:46:44:	A year that we could avoid if we weren't emitting
00:46:44> 00:46:47:	those pollutants inside our homes or buildings.
00:46:47> 00:46:50:	In terms of safety.
00:46:50> 00:46:54:	It shouldn't be too surprising horse that gas is flammable
00:46:54> 00:46:55:	and explosive material,
00:46:55> 00:46:59:	and so there's a public safety risk an we looked
00:46:59> 00:47:02:	at that the common figures that on average in the
00:47:02> 00:47:03:	US against oil,
00:47:03> 00:47:06:	gas or oil pipeline, catches fire every four days.
00:47:06> 00:47:08:	There's an injury over 5 days.
00:47:08> 00:47:10:	This explosion of 11 days,
00:47:10> 00:47:12:	if vitality every 26 days.
00:47:12> 00:47:14:	And that's not just general in the US.
00:47:14> 00:47:18:	So when in February 2019 we experienced the gas line
00:47:18> 00:47:19:	explosion.
00:47:19> 00:47:21:	Gary St. Destroyed 5 buildings.
00:47:21> 00:47:24:	Few days later the cities Hella Justice had to be
00:47:24> 00:47:26:	evacuated to another gas leak.
00:47:26> 00:47:28:	Thankfully, that didn't end in tragedy,
00:47:28> 00:47:31:	but as recently of course we can all recall the
00:47:31> 00:47:35:	events and tragedy in 2010 when explosion gets pipeline,
00:47:35> 00:47:39:	San Bruno destroyed an entire neighborhood and resulted in eight
00:47:39> 00:47:40:	fatalities.

00:47:40> 00:47:44:	So safety is something that's directly affected by plumbing a
00:47:44> 00:47:48:	flammable explosive material through all of our building
00:47:48> 00:47:51:	stock.
	And that does relate to equity in the sense that
00:47:51> 00:47:55:	those impacts both disproportionately fall on communities of color who
00:47:55> 00:47:59:	spend a disproportionate their amount of their time was prior
00:47:59> 00:48:02:	to the pandemic, and then just portion of their portion
00:48:02> 00:48:04:	of their income on energy.
00:48:04> 00:48:07:	You have a greater prevalence of asthma,
00:48:07> 00:48:10:	particularly San Francisco due to poor indoor air quality.
00:48:10> 00:48:13:	So this you know this is an important aspect for
00:48:13> 00:48:16:	us to provide progress for Community.
00:48:16> 00:48:18:	We look at resilience. Yes,
00:48:18> 00:48:20:	we do need to talk about the grid itself,
00:48:20> 00:48:23:	but we also need to look at other impacts that
00:48:23> 00:48:25:	we face and famously,
00:48:25> 00:48:28:	you know earthquakes happen in San Francisco.
00:48:28> 00:48:31:	We have a use Geological Survey estimates.
00:48:31> 00:48:33:	We have a 70% chance of a 7.9 excuse me
00:48:33> 00:48:37:	6.9 or greater earthquake within the next 30 years and
00:48:37> 00:48:40:	we work with all the utilities that serve San Francisco
00:48:40> 00:48:44:	to understand what the effects would be of likely disasters,
00:48:44> 00:48:47:	such as that. And what would it?
00:48:47> 00:48:50:	Recovery taken, how would we be prepared for it?
00:48:50> 00:48:53:	And it was pijani's estimate that it would take six
00:48:53> 00:48:56:	months to restore gas service to 95%
00:48:56> 00:49:01:	of the city and that they could restore electricity service
00:49:01> 00:49:04:	citywide within about 6 days.
00:49:04> 00:49:06:	If we look back little farther in time,
00:49:06> 00:49:09:	gas line ruptures caused about half of the fires in
00:49:09> 00:49:11:	San Francisco after the 1990.
00:49:11> 00:49:13:	Excuse me in 1989, Loma Prieta earthquake.
00:49:13> 00:49:17:	And that's similar to figures that have been found in
00:49:17> 00:49:19:	other other disasters.
00:49:19> 00:49:21:	And so then I bring this to climate change and
00:49:21> 00:49:22:	so yes,
00:49:22> 00:49:25:	climate change is important. But that's sort of abstract,
00:49:25> 00:49:28:	but we do it. I think it is actually particularly
00:49:28> 00:49:29:	important to this audience,
00:49:29> 00:49:34:	in particular that we have sometimes gotten stuck in a.
00:49:34> 00:49:36:	In the notion that there was some option to not
	·

00:49:36> 00:49:38:	change and not prepare for climate change,
00:49:38> 00:49:40:	but rather it's really the opposite.
00:49:40> 00:49:42:	But if you were building owner.
00:49:42> 00:49:45:	You essentially have bought some shares in the future,
00:49:45> 00:49:47:	and particularly in San Francisco,
00:49:47> 00:49:50:	and there isn't. There isn't a way to avoid entirely
00:49:50> 00:49:51:	avoid costs.
00:49:51> 00:49:56:	There's either addressing and mitigating risks to our community.
00:49:56> 00:49:59:	Or there's the way that we as a community have
00:49:59> 00:50:03:	to pull together if we don't mitigate climate risk is
00:50:03> 00:50:07:	through a lot of negative impacts that in the medium
00:50:07> 00:50:09:	term include taxes like that.
00:50:09> 00:50:13:	That's how we gather money to to build up and
00:50:13> 00:50:15:	improve infrastructure.
00:50:15> 00:50:18:	So we look at greenhouse gas emissions.
00:50:18> 00:50:21:	Buildings account for 45% of citywide emissions,
00:50:21> 00:50:24:	and 82% of those emissions come from natural gas.
00:50:24> 00:50:28:	The happy way to put that is in the last
00:50:28> 00:50:29:	30 years,
00:50:29> 00:50:31:	so from 1990 to 2020.
00:50:31> 00:50:37:	Emissions from operating buildings San Francisco declined 50 percent 50.
00:50:37> 00:50:40:	So the entire city, not just the city government,
00:50:40> 00:50:43:	but the whole city all in half as much emissions.
00:50:43> 00:50:46:	But 82% of the remaining emissions are from natural gas,
00:50:46> 00:50:49:	and so we really can't get to long term climate
00:50:49> 00:50:53:	emission goal without addressing the natural gas side of the
00:50:53> 00:50:53:	equation.
00:50:53> 00:50:58:	So those were really the main considerations that drove the
00:50:58> 00:51:00:	starting with new construction.
00:51:00> 00:51:04:	82% seems like a lot.
00:51:04> 00:51:07:	So what kind of feedback did you get from developers
00:51:07> 00:51:10:	and the construction community on on the adoption of the
00:51:10> 00:51:11:	standard?
00:51:11> 00:51:13:	l know you did extensive outreach,
00:51:13> 00:51:15:	So what did you hear over some of the main
00:51:16> 00:51:16:	concerns?
00:51:16> 00:51:20:	Or was everyone just pretty much clapping you on the
00:51:20> 00:51:23:	back and congratulating you and welcoming it?
00:51:23> 00:51:27:	Well, so this particular ordinance was led by Supervisor Rafael
00:51:27> 00:51:28:	Mandelman,

00:51:28> 00:51:33:	and so he had sponsored other legislation favoring electrification in
00:51:33> 00:51:35:	new construction quite recently,
00:51:35> 00:51:39:	and he really asked for input on taking that next
00:51:39> 00:51:40:	step and concurrently,
00:51:40> 00:51:44:	Mayor Breed had back at the global Climate Action Summit
00:51:44> 00:51:48:	a couple of years ago made a commitment to ensure
00:51:48> 00:51:51:	that San Francisco buildings would.
00:51:51> 00:51:53:	The new construction, would it?
00:51:53> 00:51:56:	Be able to operate with no missions no later than
00:51:56> 00:51:56:	2030,
00:51:56> 00:52:00:	and then we'd achieve the same in existing buildings throughout
00:52:00> 00:52:04:	the community by 2050 and associ having made that commitment,
00:52:04> 00:52:07:	then wanted to ask stakeholders how are we going to
00:52:07> 00:52:08:	get that done?
00:52:08> 00:52:11:	Like what is an inclusive path and practical path to
00:52:11> 00:52:15:	meeting our mission reduction responsibilities and that led to the
00:52:15> 00:52:19:	formation of a 0 mission Buildings Task Force which broke
00:52:19> 00:52:22:	the question down into smaller components so we had a
00:52:22> 00:52:25:	one word group specifically looking at new construction.
00:52:25> 00:52:27:	To directly inform this ordinance.
00:52:27> 00:52:31:	And three other working groups looking at existing municipal buildings
00:52:31> 00:52:33:	and the challenges they face.
00:52:33> 00:52:36:	The largest existing commercial buildings and the particular technical and
00:52:37> 00:52:38:	financial challenges they face.
00:52:38> 00:52:41:	And then existing residential which is.
00:52:41> 00:52:45:	Covers a lot of situations in a city's biggest SF
00:52:45> 00:52:49:	and really the the transition has to lead with equity
00:52:49> 00:52:51:	and focus on a just transition.
00:52:51> 00:52:55:	And so the whole focus on existing residential.
00:52:55> 00:52:59:	Centered those two values and was led by community partners
00:52:59> 00:53:01:	with support from department.
00:53:01> 00:53:06:	And so it was interesting in bringing together those different
00:53:06> 00:53:10:	groups and having parallel conversations on a related topic.
00:53:10> 00:53:12:	Is there were some some commonality's.
00:53:12> 00:53:16:	So for new construction to answer actually answer question,
00:53:16> 00:53:20:	you know a four major main findings were it's important
00:53:20> 00:53:24:	just to act now that delay wouldn't make the transition

00:53:24> 00:53:25:	easier,
00:53:25> 00:53:29:	and that was a. You know consensus ranging from people
00:53:29> 00:53:32:	who I do think came to the work group.
00:53:32> 00:53:34:	With an expectation of the outcome as well as we
00:53:34> 00:53:38:	had the largest meant many of the largest developers and
00:53:38> 00:53:39:	owners in the city,
00:53:39> 00:53:43:	including developers of laboratory properties and complex buildings,
00:53:43> 00:53:47:	and and including developers with small infill projects.
00:53:47> 00:53:51:	And you know that there was a concurrence that just
00:53:51> 00:53:53:	makes sense to move forward,
00:53:53> 00:53:57:	that it was helpful to recognize that health and well
00:53:57> 00:54:01:	being and resilience all pointed in the same direction.
00:54:01> 00:54:03:	Who is it? A lot of call for the city
00:54:03> 00:54:07:	to communicate about this more clearly to send it unambiguous.
00:54:07> 00:54:10:	Signal that we're going to make the transition.
00:54:10> 00:54:12:	So the question needs to shift to how,
00:54:12> 00:54:17:	rather than whether an that the workforce.
00:54:17> 00:54:18:	Has a lot of strengths,
00:54:18> 00:54:22:	but overall you know this actually is new and there's
00:54:22> 00:54:27:	need for supporting their readiness for delivering on this transition,
00:54:27> 00:54:30:	and new construction is a good place to start.
00:54:30> 00:54:35:	Just given the starting point of relative complexity and a
00:54:35> 00:54:36:	relatively clean slate.
00:54:36> 00:54:41:	So building new construction projects will help expand workforce readiness
00:54:42> 00:54:46:	to tackle the more complex problems in existing buildings.
00:54:48> 00:54:52:	So yeah, it was not Kumbaya necessarily,
00:54:52> 00:54:55:	but it definitely was a.
00:54:55> 00:54:59:	Yep, an effective way to build a lot of buy
00:54:59> 00:55:03:	in among people who didn't necessarily come to the table
00:55:04> 00:55:06:	expecting to support the outcome.
00:55:06> 00:55:08:	Great good job. Mr Anna.
00:55:08> 00:55:13:	Good process. I'm wonderful that was the questions from you
00:55:13> 00:55:14:	a lie to the speakers.
00:55:14> 00:55:17:	I think we now go to ULI members for the
00:55:17> 00:55:17:	speakers.
00:55:17> 00:55:20:	I believe we still do have around the panel is
00:55:20> 00:55:24:	going to go until 5:10 and then the networking will
00:55:24> 00:55:27:	start and we'll go do the networking until 5:25 so
00:55:27> 00:55:30:	we still have about another 15 minutes to take some

00:55:30> 00:55:32:	questions from the audience.
00:55:32> 00:55:35:	Please feel free to put them in the chat and
00:55:35> 00:55:39:	we'll choose the safe ones that don't look bad for
00:55:39> 00:55:40:	electrification.
00:55:40> 00:55:41:	So for all of you,
00:55:41> 00:55:45:	Megan mentioned it briefly, but you can't talk about building
00:55:45> 00:55:50:	electrification without talking about California's world leading wildfire seasons.
00:55:50> 00:55:53:	And then the blackouts that come from it.
00:55:53> 00:55:55:	And so I'm just wondering,
00:55:55> 00:55:59:	how do you talk internally about electrification and the blackouts
00:55:59> 00:56:00:	and wildfires?
00:56:00> 00:56:02:	How do you talk to clients?
00:56:02> 00:56:05:	How do you talk to potential tenants like what you've
00:56:05> 00:56:07:	now had three years?
00:56:07> 00:56:10:	So hopefully you know what you're doing right now on
00:56:11> 00:56:11:	this issue.
00:56:11> 00:56:14:	How are you talking about this?
00:56:14> 00:56:15:	And we can go in order.
00:56:15> 00:56:19:	Sarashi Megan Berry on this.
00:56:19> 00:56:21:	Yeah, I'm happy to jump in on this.
00:56:21> 00:56:23:	We think of this issue is you know we used
00:56:23> 00:56:27:	to live in the world where buildings were just consumers
00:56:27> 00:56:30:	of energy and we didn't really have relationship with the
00:56:30> 00:56:33:	grid. That was it. There was two way was just
00:56:33> 00:56:34:	get power,
00:56:34> 00:56:36:	power and asking us. And that was the end of
00:56:36> 00:56:36:	it.
00:56:36> 00:56:40:	Now we're really realizing this relationship that we have with
00:56:40> 00:56:43:	the grid and we're trying to help the grid itself
00:56:43> 00:56:44:	be more resilient.
00:56:44> 00:56:47:	I see the key to that being battery storage.
00:56:47> 00:56:49:	You know we really need.
00:56:49> 00:56:51:	A rapid deployment of storage,
00:56:51> 00:56:54:	and if we're really going to get to you know
00:56:54> 00:56:58:	you can get to so many percentage renewable without storage,
00:56:58> 00:57:01:	but then you really need renewables.
00:57:01> 00:57:05:	And unfortunately, especially you know over the last few years
00:57:05> 00:57:08:	I feel like everybody's sort of quote gotten away with
00:57:08> 00:57:11:	installing a lot of solar and not pairing it with

00:57:11> 00:57:14:	a bunch of storage. Storage is hard.
00:57:14> 00:57:16:	We have a bunch of storage projects.
00:57:16> 00:57:19:	They're not easy. They're not as like slam dunk for
00:57:19> 00:57:20:	as.
00:57:20> 00:57:22:	Solar PV they're not as lucrative,
00:57:22> 00:57:25:	but the really important, so that's that's one way we
00:57:25> 00:57:26:	get through it.
00:57:26> 00:57:28:	And so we, yes, we we see things like PG
00:57:28> 00:57:29:	and E's power line.
00:57:29> 00:57:32:	You know, being a causing factor of the last out
00:57:32> 00:57:34:	of another Californians.
00:57:34> 00:57:37:	But also then it's very mentioned we have gas lines
00:57:37> 00:57:37:	exploding,
00:57:37> 00:57:40:	so it's not like we're we feel any safer one
00:57:40> 00:57:43:	way or the other so we don't feel like going.
00:57:43> 00:57:45:	All electric is increasing our wildfire risk.
00:57:45> 00:57:48:	We think that we have a responsibility to not just
00:57:48> 00:57:50:	enjoy the fruits of it.
00:57:50> 00:57:54:	Increasingly renewable electric grid, but actually help it become more.
00:57:54> 00:57:58:	Electric via storage and that is how we are having
00:57:58> 00:58:00:	that conversation.
00:58:00> 00:58:04:	Thank you Richie. Yeah, we are sort of under similar
00:58:04> 00:58:05:	pads.
00:58:05> 00:58:09:	We have a few pilot projects looking at battery storage
00:58:09> 00:58:11:	through the pedia knees as Jeff program.
00:58:11> 00:58:15:	Though it's moving at a turtle speed right now,
00:58:15> 00:58:18:	I must say so. The other consideration for us is
00:58:18> 00:58:23:	also sort of thinking about internal capacity issues that are
00:58:23> 00:58:26:	building so we are trying to pair all electric with
00:58:26> 00:58:30:	and maximizing solar PV. Initially we used to do solar
00:58:30> 00:58:32:	thermal to offset the gas.
00:58:32> 00:58:36:	News from boilers, which was anyways really hard to maintain
00:58:36> 00:58:40:	that system has so many moving parts so we're trying
00:58:40> 00:58:43:	to sort of figure out within our sort of.
00:58:43> 00:58:47:	I'm thinking entirely money for the budget we have for
00:58:47> 00:58:50:	them and how can we fit in both PV an
00:58:50> 00:58:53:	all electric is sort of still meet Rey.
00:58:53> 00:58:55:	Target on the grid issue Panama.
00:58:55> 00:59:00:	Frankly I feel like putting this question back on baryon
00:59:00> 00:59:03:	on sharing a little bit on how PG and E

00:59:03> 00:59:03:	an.
00:59:03> 00:59:07:	Hetch Hetchy versus another you committee that we have to
00:59:07> 00:59:10:	get our power from because we get some of our
00:59:10> 00:59:11:	funding from city.
00:59:11> 00:59:13:	So we are in this weird PG.
00:59:13> 00:59:16:	Any head touchy situation. So I don't have too much
00:59:17> 00:59:18:	to share on that yet.
00:59:22> 00:59:25:	Barry, do you want to talk about how you're handling
00:59:25> 00:59:25:	conversation?
00:59:25> 00:59:29:	Brown blackouts, and resiliency? Sure,
00:59:29> 00:59:32:	thankfully most some of those questions you come from,
00:59:32> 00:59:35:	Richie, so I'll come back to that.
00:59:35> 00:59:37:	But the 99% of time they come from in a
00:59:37> 00:59:39:	pijani context and.
00:59:41> 00:59:44:	You know, I think we've heard the key themes that
00:59:44> 00:59:46:	the San Francisco,
00:59:46> 00:59:49:	due to its location happens to not be among the
00:59:49> 00:59:52:	communities that are that are typically are affected or are
00:59:53> 00:59:57:	currently expected to be affected by public safety power shutoffs.
00:59:57> 01:00:00:	But we have other reasons that their blackouts occur.
01:00:00> 01:00:04:	The important thing to keep in mind is we actually
01:00:04> 01:00:04:	can't,
01:00:04> 01:00:08:	as any practical matter, electrify all of San Francisco.
01:00:08> 01:00:10:	Super fast, I mean it will take time,
01:00:10> 01:00:14:	particularly existing buildings, and so if we narrow ourselves to
01:00:15> 01:00:16:	what's the situation.
01:00:16> 01:00:19:	Facing a building owner. It's already part of building a
01:00:19> 01:00:23:	new building that you would work with Pijani on electric
01:00:23> 01:00:25:	infrastructure serving that site and we.
01:00:25> 01:00:28:	All discussions I've had both on on both sides of
01:00:28> 01:00:31:	the meter have reinforced that notion that that is a
01:00:31> 01:00:32:	time consuming process,
01:00:32> 01:00:37:	but the time doesn't necessarily have to get any longer.
01:00:37> 01:00:40:	And it isn't necessarily a massive effect,
01:00:40> 01:00:44:	in part because smart engineers start looking at ways to
01:00:45> 01:00:50:	question whether the total electric peak electric load would
01:00:50> 01:00:53:	actually change in an all electric scenario
01:00:53> 01:00:53:	change in an all electric scenario,
	and recurring theme is. Until you've done a project like
01:00:57> 01:00:57:	that,
01:00:57> 01:00:59:	you think that it will,

01:00:59> 01:01:00:	and once you've done one,
01:01:00> 01:01:03:	you find a lot of solutions that help minimize that
01:01:03> 01:01:06:	incremental impact or frequently even eliminate it.
01:01:06> 01:01:10:	Uhm? And so this gets to reliability as well.
01:01:10> 01:01:14:	The grid of 2020 will not support the built environment
01:01:14> 01:01:16:	of 2050 no matter what.
01:01:16> 01:01:19:	We have to keep investing in our electric infrastructure,
01:01:19> 01:01:22:	and so predictability is, I think,
01:01:22> 01:01:26:	really, what utilities need, and that's a message we got
01:01:26> 01:01:30:	from pijani and from from the power enterprise at PC.
01:01:30> 01:01:33:	And so we wait. I think we're moving in the
01:01:33> 01:01:36:	right direction that clear signals from the state as well
01:01:36> 01:01:40:	as from cities and from customers are helping you get
01:01:40> 01:01:43:	the planning in order to improve the reliability of our
01:01:43> 01:01:44:	grid.
01:01:44> 01:01:47:	But it's going to take time whether we're using gas
01:01:47> 01:01:47:	or not.
01:01:50> 01:01:53:	Great thank you all.
01:01:53> 01:01:58:	Question about some something that I referenced which was
04.04.50 > 04.04.50.	nitrogen
01:01:58> 01:01:59:	oxides,
01:01:59> 01:02:03:	which are the major precursor to smog.
01:02:03> 01:02:07:	And that buildings in the Bay Area represented more than
01:02:07> 01:02:10: 01:02:10> 01:02:11:	double the amount of smog causing pollutants than cars. And so here's the data.
01:02:10> 01:02:11:	I also put in the chat.
01:02:12> 01:02:13:	The link to the data.
01:02:12> 01:02:13:	So instead of reading through the data,
01:02:16> 01:02:17:	some of us are visual learners,
01:02:17> 01:02:17:	and so here's the visual on it.
01:02:17> 01:02:13:	This looks at the major air quality management districts
01.02.19> 01.02.23.	across
01:02:23> 01:02:25:	California and on the right on the left side you
01:02:25> 01:02:28:	see all of the emissions that come from burning gas
01:02:28> 01:02:32:	and buildings and those different air quality management districts and
01:02:32> 01:02:34:	on the right side you see all of the.
01:02:34> 01:02:39:	Nitrogen oxides that come from cars.
01:02:39> 01:02:42:	In those same air districts and so here is the
01:02:42> 01:02:45:	Bay Area Air Quality Management District.
01:02:45> 01:02:48:	So per day we produce about 21 tons of nitrogen
01:02:48> 01:02:52:	oxides from building burning gas and buildings and our cars,
01:02:52> 01:02:55:	about 8 tons a day so you can see that

01:02:55> 01:02:57:	it's over over double for buildings.
01:02:57> 01:03:00:	So and again, the link to the actual data at
01:03:00> 01:03:04:	the Air Resources Board website is is in the chat.
01:03:04> 01:03:06:	Happy to talk offline folks.
01:03:06> 01:03:09:	Megan, it was mentioned a couple times.
01:03:09> 01:03:14:	In the presentation about energy modeling and energy efficiency and
01:03:14> 01:03:18:	the interaction between energy efficiency and electrification.
01:03:18> 01:03:21:	So where is the state right now on our modeling
01:03:21> 01:03:25:	and does is does building all electric make it hard
01:03:25> 01:03:29:	to meet our energy efficiency goals and our energy efficiency
01:03:29> 01:03:29:	laws?
01:03:32> 01:03:34:	How much time do you have?
01:03:34> 01:03:36:	I could I could go on this for hours,
01:03:36> 01:03:38:	but I will keep it short for our audience.
01:03:38> 01:03:40:	There are a lot of challenges.
01:03:40> 01:03:43:	I think the a lot of these local jurisdictions were
01:03:43> 01:03:46:	eager to get the ball rolling on electrification,
01:03:46> 01:03:48:	and I think that's wonderful.
01:03:48> 01:03:51:	And I think the Energy Commission is about three years
01:03:51> 01:03:52:	behind us.
01:03:52> 01:03:55:	You know, you gave some great updates to where we're
01:03:55> 01:03:56:	looking at for 2022,
01:03:56> 01:03:59:	which doesn't go into effect until January 1st of 2023.
01:03:59> 01:04:02:	So it's still very much a far ways off.
01:04:02> 01:04:04:	And Even so, you saw that the.
01:04:04> 01:04:07:	Changes that we need in the energy code to really
01:04:07> 01:04:10:	make big big improvements on the larger building stock are
01:04:10> 01:04:13:	limited and an I think will still have some issues
01:04:13> 01:04:15:	not to go too in the weeds,
01:04:15> 01:04:18:	but for those that are in the know on what
01:04:18> 01:04:21:	the California Energy Code requires you to demonstrate,
01:04:21> 01:04:24:	there's a standard design which is there.
01:04:24> 01:04:27:	This is what a standard building in California should be
01:04:27> 01:04:28:	designed as,
01:04:28> 01:04:31:	and you need to design this efficiency level or greater
01:04:31> 01:04:33:	right now that is still natural gas,
01:04:33> 01:04:37:	and so it's a natural gas baseline and what we're
01:04:37> 01:04:37:	finding.
01:04:37> 01:04:42:	Specifically, an needs very complex building types like life sciences
01:04:42> 01:04:43:	and healthcare.

01:04:47> 01:04:49than the standard design,01:04:49> 01:04:52:which is natural gas with an all electric design.01:04:52> 01:04:52:which for lack of a better term think of it01:04:54> 01:04:56:like energy costs,01:04:57> 01:05:06:use an then this multiplier for that01:05:01> 01:05:06:use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:03> 01:05:06:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06> 01:05:06:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06> 01:05:07:were though if we were just to look at it01:05:10> 01:05:11:with energy used to energy use,01:05:16> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the life sciences01:05:23> 01:05:20:project.01:05:23> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:26> 01:05:20:propoced all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:27> 01:05:28:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:30:building,01:05:31> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:32> 01:05:32:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:33> 01:05:31:which is the score that.01:05:34> 01:05:51:going to be seen with local prival corns and what we're01:05:52> 01:05:52:the california Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:53> 01:05:55:yb the skin of our teeth and so 1 think01:05:54> 01:05:55:going to be	01:04:43> 01:04:47:	It's very challenging to demonstrate that we are better performance
01:04:52 -> 01:04:54:Because we use these TV multipliers,01:04:54 -> 01:04:57:which for lack of a better term think of it01:04:57 -> 01:04:58:like energy costs,01:04:57 -> 01:05:01:they think it kind of adds a multiplier for the01:05:01 -> 01:05:03:amount of energy or using per end.01:05:06 -> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:08 -> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:10 -> 01:05:11:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:12 -> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design and01:05:26 -> 01:05:20:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:26 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30 -> 01:05:31:Which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:Which is the score that.01:05:34 -> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34 -> 01:05:35:Which is the score that.01:05:54 -> 01:05:55:It was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:54 -> 01:05:55:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to dor this project.01:05:56 -> 01:05:55:Had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05	01:04:47> 01:04:49:	
01:04:54 -> 01:04:57:which for lack of a better term think of it01:04:57 -> 01:04:58:like energy costs,01:04:58 -> 01:05:01:they think it kind of adds a multiplier for the01:05:01 -> 01:05:03:amount of energy or using per end.01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06 -> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:10 -> 01:05:11:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:10 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:10 -> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:16 -> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23 -> 01:05:23:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30 -> 01:05:30:building,01:05:32 -> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34 -> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37 -> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:51 -> 01:05:51:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:52 -> 01:05:55:id od or the project.01:05:54 -> 01:05:56:if sjust this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:54 -> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:54 -> 01:05:58:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:05:55 -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07 -> 01:06:09: </th <th>01:04:49&gt; 01:04:52:</th> <th>which is natural gas with an all electric design.</th>	01:04:49> 01:04:52:	which is natural gas with an all electric design.
01:04:57 -> 01:04:58:like energy costs,01:04:58 -> 01:05:01:they think it kind of adds a multiplier for the01:05:03 -> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:03 -> 01:05:06:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06 -> 01:05:06:we electricity in our building,01:05:10 -> 01:05:11:with energy used to energy use,01:05:12 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:14 -> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:23 -> 01:05:20:our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:26 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34 -> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34 -> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:59 -> 01:05:59:Which is the score that.01:05:51 -> 01:05:59:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:51 -> 01:05:56:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:52 -> 01:05:59:is gaing to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:55 -> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55 -> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:56 -> 01:06:57:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:56 -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07 -> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on, <t< th=""><th>01:04:52&gt; 01:04:54:</th><th>Because we use these TV multipliers,</th></t<>	01:04:52> 01:04:54:	Because we use these TV multipliers,
01:04:58 -> 01:05:01:they thick it kind of adds a multiplier for the01:05:01 -> 01:05:03:amount of energy or using per end.01:05:03 -> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:06 -> 01:05:10:Wore electricity in our building,01:05:07:0 -> 01:05:11:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:16 -> 01:05:12:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:26 -> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:26 -> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:33 -> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:44 -> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:47 -> 01:05:55:ind to dor this project.01:05:56 -> 01:05:55:had to dor this project.01:05:56 -> 01:05:56:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:57 -> 01:05:56:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58 -> 01:06:55:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:07 -> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:	01:04:54> 01:04:57:	which for lack of a better term think of it
01:05:01> 01:05:03:amount of energy or using per end.01:05:03> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:06> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:08> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building.01:05:10> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:16> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:24> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:32:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:47> 01:05:54:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:55:joing to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:55> 01:05:55:is just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:06:50:is yust this gering issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:06:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:06:50:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:05:55> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07: -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 0	01:04:57> 01:04:58:	like energy costs,
01:05:03 -> 01:05:06:Use an then this multiplier for that so you get01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:08 -> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:10 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:14 -> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:16 -> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16 -> 01:05:17:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:22 -> 01:05:20:roject.01:05:22 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30 -> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33 -> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:32:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like we barely got by01:05:34 -> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37 -> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:42 -> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:54 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:56 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:57 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:58 -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07 -> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07 -> 01:06:05:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07	01:04:58> 01:05:01:	they think it kind of adds a multiplier for the
01:05:06 -> 01:05:08:a bit penalized when we use.01:05:08 -> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:11 -> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12 -> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14 -> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16 -> 01:05:20:project.01:05:23 -> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23 -> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:24 -> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:26 -> 01:05:31:building,01:05:30 -> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:31 -> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:32 -> 01:05:32:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33 -> 01:05:33:which is the score that.01:05:34 -> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:34 -> 01:05:55:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:54 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55 -> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:56 -> 01:06:55:was one efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:02 -> 01:06:05:was one01:06:02 -> 01:06:05:was one01:06:05 -> 01:06:05:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:06 -> 01:06:05:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07 -> 01:06:05:was on01:06:07 -	01:05:01> 01:05:03:	amount of energy or using per end.
01:05:08> 01:05:10:More electricity in our building,01:05:10> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:20> 01:05:23:project.01:05:23> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:24> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:33:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation or energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:42> 01:05:41:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:54> 01:05:55:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:05:56:had to do for this project.01:05:56> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:56> 01:06:59:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building.01:06:07> 01:06:59:was nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building.01:06:07> 01:06:19:was on:01:06:07> 01:06:19:use so:01:06:07> 01:06:19:use so:01:06:07> 01:06:19:use so:	01:05:03> 01:05:06:	Use an then this multiplier for that so you get
01:05:10> 01:05:12:even though if we were just to look at it01:05:12> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:23> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:37> 01:05:33:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:47> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:56> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:56> 01:05:56:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:56> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:12:but think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:12:but think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:12:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:06> 01:05:08:	a bit penalized when we use.
01:05:12> 01:05:14:with energy used to energy use,01:05:14> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19> 01:05:20:project.01:05:23> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:23> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:53> 01:05:51:id to do for this project.01:05:53> 01:05:52:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:id us nore efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:15:id us this or project.01:06:07> 01:06:15:id us this in encouraged by so many in the01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practi	01:05:08> 01:05:10:	More electricity in our building,
01:05:14> 01:05:16:we have a much more efficient building.01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:30> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:54> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners there are being <th>01:05:10&gt; 01:05:12:</th> <th>even though if we were just to look at it</th>	01:05:10> 01:05:12:	even though if we were just to look at it
01:05:16> 01:05:19:I just was looking at this for the Life sciences01:05:19> 01:05:20:project.01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so 1 think01:05:57> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:12> 01:06:15:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:12> 01:05:14:	with energy used to energy use,
01:05:19> 01:05:20: 01:05:23> 01:05:23: 01:05:23> 01:05:23: 01:05:23> 01:05:26: 01:05:23> 01:05:26: 01:05:20: 01:05:26: 01:05:20: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:02: 01:06:12: 01:06:12: 01:06:12: 01:06:12: 01:06:12: 01:06:13: 01:06:14: 01:06:14: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:06:15: 01:0	01:05:14> 01:05:16:	we have a much more efficient building.
01:05:20> 01:05:23:Our UI for the standard design case or energy use01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:37> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:39> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:47> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:55> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:01> 01:06:15:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this	01:05:16> 01:05:19:	I just was looking at this for the Life sciences
01:05:23> 01:05:23:intensity.01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and01:05:26> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:33> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:33> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:54> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:55> 01:05:56:had to do for this project.01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:01> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:01> 01:06:02:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:19> 01:05:20:	project.
01:05:23> 01:05:26:The energy score was 140 for the standard design and our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences building,01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:31> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:33:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:54> 01:05:55:is yut his glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:58> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:05> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:05:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:15> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:20> 01:05:23:	Our UI for the standard design case or energy use
01:05:26> 01:05:30:our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:30> 01:05:31:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:32:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:33> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the Skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:50> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:51> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:07> 01:06:07:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:112:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:12:al lelectric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:23> 01:05:23:	intensity.
01:05:30> 01:05:30:building,01:05:30> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:51> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was soo.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:07> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:23> 01:05:26:	The energy score was 140 for the standard design and
01:05:30> 01:05:33:which was astronomical. Like amazing.01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:50> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:03> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:04> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:26> 01:05:30:	our proposed all electric building with 70 for Life Sciences
01:05:33> 01:05:34:However, when we looked at TV,01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:50> 01:05:50:ii's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:55:had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:12:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:30> 01:05:30:	building,
01:05:34> 01:05:37:the time dependent valuation of our energy use,01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:50> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:Was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:12:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:30> 01:05:33:	which was astronomical. Like amazing.
01:05:37> 01:05:39:which is the score that.01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:112:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:12:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:33> 01:05:34:	However, when we looked at TV,
01:05:39> 01:05:42:The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:12> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:al electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:34> 01:05:37:	the time dependent valuation of our energy use,
01:05:42> 01:05:44:it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:112:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:12:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:37> 01:05:39:	which is the score that.
01:05:44> 01:05:47:by the skin of our teeth and so I think01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:19:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:39> 01:05:42:	The California Energy Code gives it we barely got by
01:05:47> 01:05:50:it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we had to do for this project.01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:15:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:42> 01:05:44:	it was 420 versus 400 like we barely got by
01:05:50> 01:05:53:going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:44> 01:05:47:	by the skin of our teeth and so I think
01:05:53> 01:05:55:had to do for this project.01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:05> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:47> 01:05:50:	it's just this glaring issue right now and that we're
01:05:55> 01:05:58:We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building 01:06:02> 01:06:05:01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:50> 01:05:53:	going to be seen with local jurisdictions and what we
01:05:58> 01:06:02:a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:53> 01:05:55:	had to do for this project.
01:06:02> 01:06:05:was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building01:06:05> 01:06:07:was so.01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:55> 01:05:58:	We had to actually get an alternative compliance and get
01:06:05> 01:06:07: was so.   01:06:07> 01:06:09: There's plenty to catch up on,   01:06:09> 01:06:12: but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the   01:06:12> 01:06:15: industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building   01:06:15> 01:06:19: owners and utilities that are getting on board with this   01:06:19> 01:06:22: all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:05:58> 01:06:02:	a peer review and go through demonstrating that our building
01:06:07> 01:06:09:There's plenty to catch up on,01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:06:02> 01:06:05:	was more efficient than the Title 24 Energy Code building
01:06:09> 01:06:12:but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:06:05> 01:06:07:	was so.
01:06:12> 01:06:15:industry and a lot of practitioners and developers and building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:06:07> 01:06:09:	There's plenty to catch up on,
building01:06:15> 01:06:19:owners and utilities that are getting on board with this01:06:19> 01:06:22:all electric push that the more voices there are being		but I think I'm encouraged by so many in the
<b>01:06:19&gt; 01:06:22:</b> all electric push that the more voices there are being	01:06:12> 01:06:15:	
	01:06:15> 01:06:19:	•
01:06:22> 01:06:23: vocal that we need changes,	01:06:19> 01:06:22:	all electric push that the more voices there are being
	01:06:22> 01:06:23:	vocal that we need changes,

01:06:23> 01:06:26:	the faster change will come.
01:06:26> 01:06:30:	Great. I think a question perushim,
01:06:30> 01:06:33:	maybe Sarah. A few people asked about,
01:06:33> 01:06:38:	should we potentially be allowing like really small gas uses
01:06:38> 01:06:43:	like for outdoor barbecues or drills or fire pits for
01:06:43> 01:06:45:	restaurants to be allowed?
01:06:45> 01:06:48:	And what's so wrong about that anyway?
01:06:50> 01:06:54:	I can take take on multifamily if that's OK,
01:06:54> 01:06:56:	Sarah.
01:06:56> 01:07:00:	I would question like why for all these end users
01:07:00> 01:07:04:	that are state of the art best electric versions out
01:07:04> 01:07:05:	there,
01:07:05> 01:07:09:	right? And it's like saying let's allow smoking for a
01:07:09> 01:07:10:	few right?
01:07:10> 01:07:11:	Like why not? So I have.
01:07:11> 01:07:17:	And frankly, I've never heard an affordable enough property
	tenant
01:07:17> 01:07:20:	coming to us asking for these things.
01:07:20> 01:07:23:	If the building is doing what it's supposed to be
01:07:23> 01:07:24:	doing,
01:07:24> 01:07:26:	we have good amenities, etc.
01:07:26> 01:07:30:	I feel like it's good if we give an option
01:07:30> 01:07:34:	then we someone will ask for exception on all electric
01:07:34> 01:07:34:	ordinance.
01:07:34> 01:07:38:	Do right so at least that's my standpoint.
01:07:38> 01:07:39:	Not that I'm against it,
01:07:39> 01:07:44:	but for our purposes I've not seen that as an
01:07:44> 01:07:44:	issue.
01:07:44> 01:07:48:	Great yeah, I may be committed a totally different like
01:07:48> 01:07:51:	so everything that for she said I'll say that I
01:07:51> 01:07:55:	I have found that allowing the flexibility for different leasing
01:07:55> 01:07:59:	teams were like don't tell me you have to lease
01:07:59> 01:08:01:	a restaurant or don't tell me I can't.
01:08:01> 01:08:04:	Get this tenant because their executive chef is going to
01:08:04> 01:08:05:	want to cook with fire.
01:08:05> 01:08:08:	You know, know, right and then the whole building would
01:08:08> 01:08:10:	have used gas and So what we found is like,
01:08:10> 01:08:13:	OK, fine will make it possible and like I would
01:08:13> 01:08:15:	say it's about half honestly of the tenants.
01:08:15> 01:08:17:	Go ahead and run the gas line later,
01:08:17> 01:08:18:	but the other half don't.
01:08:18> 01:08:20:	Which to me is like very,

01:08:20> 01:08:22:	very impressive that the other but a lot of times
01:08:22> 01:08:23:	like you,
01:08:23> 01:08:25:	right? I'm gonna leave it as is.
01:08:25> 01:08:27:	You know, we're at a point where 20%
01:08:27> 01:08:29:	of killers portfolio is all electric and we're,
01:08:29> 01:08:32:	you know. And and those buildings went fine and people
01:08:32> 01:08:34:	can eat food there and it's OK.
01:08:34> 01:08:37:	So it's one of these things where I individually do
01:08:37> 01:08:39:	what I do every time somebody comes to me for
01:08:39> 01:08:43:	permission for something silly that I'm obviously gonna say
	no
01:08:43> 01:08:45:	to. Which is like somebody this recently was like can
01:08:45> 01:08:48:	we break out the waterless urinals in this building?
01:08:48> 01:08:50:	Like we don't know how to maintain that.
01:08:50> 01:08:53:	I was like, no, you can't learn how to maintain
01:08:53> 01:08:53:	them,
01:08:53> 01:08:57:	so like. Individual no, I want the sustainability programs.
01:08:57> 01:08:59:	I'm suggesting that, but it's but it's one of these
01:08:59> 01:09:02:	things where I would be delighted to talk to any
01:09:02> 01:09:05:	tenant and have them visit the executive chef for the
01:09:05> 01:09:07:	buildings those times did get on board.
01:09:07> 01:09:10:	That kind of thing. And now the executive chefs.
01:09:10> 01:09:12:	By the way we're getting this is like high end
01:09:12> 01:09:13:	commercial,
01:09:13> 01:09:15:	are like getting competitive with each other,
01:09:15> 01:09:18:	but like, well, I have so much more control of
01:09:18> 01:09:19:	my induction stove.
01:09:19> 01:09:21:	But now that the line he has when I want
01:09:21> 01:09:22:	induction stoves,
01:09:22> 01:09:24:	I mean that is already starting.
01:09:24> 01:09:26:	Which is great.
01:09:26> 01:09:27:	So it's like it's a.
01:09:27> 01:09:29:	It's a question of, you know.
01:09:29> 01:09:32:	It's not worth it to lose it entire building going
01:09:32> 01:09:35:	electric versus mixed fuel over a single stove,
01:09:35> 01:09:37:	so I'll lose that battle,
01:09:37> 01:09:39:	but often I you know at the end of the
01:09:39> 01:09:39:	day,
01:09:39> 01:09:42:	the battle is not to be lost anyway.
01:09:42> 01:09:45:	Great, we're going to wrap this up.
01:09:45> 01:09:48:	I have one lightning round question for you all and
01:09:48> 01:09:49:	it's getting to a Sarita said.

01:09:49> 01:09:53:	I would like you all to say one thing about
01:09:53> 01:09:54:	cooking.
01:09:54> 01:09:57:	Just one thing about cooking near in this whole space
01:09:57> 01:10:00:	of electric versus gas which you're experiencing relationship.
01:10:00> 01:10:03:	Just one thing. One sentence about cooking and I'm going
01:10:03> 01:10:06:	to finish up as you're thinking about that will finish
01:10:06> 01:10:09:	up that question that I just asked her if she
01:10:09> 01:10:12:	and Sarah. So what you just described about allowing a
01:10:12> 01:10:15:	little bit of gaseous or just say just cooking or
01:10:15> 01:10:18:	just barbecues or just grills is actually P Jeannie's worst
01:10:18> 01:10:21:	nightmare, not something described to me like this Star Wars
01:10:21> 01:10:25:	player or Worst Nightmare is we electrify everything except for
01:10:25> 01:10:25:	peoples.
01:10:25> 01:10:27:	Shows or barbecues or gas grills.
01:10:27> 01:10:30:	And then we're having to charge everybody \$180.00 a month
01:10:31> 01:10:33:	to be able to cook with gas because what we
01:10:33> 01:10:36:	have to do is we have to maintain that entire
01:10:36> 01:10:39:	system at a high quality of safety in order to
01:10:39> 01:10:42:	be able to provide them or hours nine years everybody
01:10:42> 01:10:45:	in the neighborhood electrify's except for the two guys that
01:10:45> 01:10:48:	want to continue to have a cook off with their
01:10:48> 01:10:51:	gas stoves and we have to maintain the entire gas
01:10:51> 01:10:55:	system so the challenges you know putting in that high
01:10:55> 01:10:59:	pressure natural gas line. Or those barbecues really brings those
01:10:59> 01:11:02:	projects into a situation of really high costs out into
01:11:02> 01:11:03:	the future.
01:11:03> 01:11:06:	So lightning round one sentence about cooking,
01:11:06> 01:11:08:	we're going to go in order.
01:11:08> 01:11:11:	Sarah Russi, Megan Berry, Sarah.
01:11:11> 01:11:14:	My friends will be check out the Consumer Reports on
01:11:14> 01:11:18:	stoves and you'll find that most of the top rated
01:11:18> 01:11:20:	stoves do not use gas,
01:11:20> 01:11:23:	so I think that we are seeing that people love
01:11:23> 01:11:26:	their induction stoves when they get them.
01:11:26> 01:11:30:	It's just a matter of getting them a little bit
01:11:30> 01:11:31:	of experience with them,
01:11:31> 01:11:35:	and that is as true of home chefs as it
01:11:35> 01:11:37:	is a professional chefs.
01:11:37> 01:11:40:	That was a great first sentence and then a good
01:11:40> 01:11:42:	additional 3 fantastic.
01:11:45> 01:11:48:	I I would just say let's care about food and

01:11:48> 01:11:49:	good food.
01:11:49> 01:11:51:	Let's not care about the source,
01:11:51> 01:11:55:	right? I mean why we're so stuck to gas when
01:11:55> 01:11:58:	I can get my same food through electric.
01:11:58> 01:12:01:	Great thank you, Megan.
01:12:01> 01:12:05:	Yeah, I think if Thomas Keller can investigate using all
01:12:05> 01:12:07:	electric cooking for the French laundry,
01:12:07> 01:12:10:	I think all of the home chefs can also get
01:12:10> 01:12:14:	on board for using all electric for their home cooking
01:12:14> 01:12:15:	needs and and again,
01:12:15> 01:12:18:	just echoing Ruthie. I mean if it the product and
01:12:18> 01:12:21:	how it tastes and the method of how you get
01:12:21> 01:12:23:	there is not important.
01:12:23> 01:12:28:	Yeah, our governor agrees, and Barry was a French laundry.
01:12:28> 01:12:33:	Well said by everyone that you were interested in meeting
01:12:33> 01:12:35:	people where they are.
01:12:35> 01:12:37:	And so we need early adopters,
01:12:37> 01:12:40:	particularly commercial, particularly with diverse cuisine.
01:12:40> 01:12:44:	So if you know someone who's good with an electric
01:12:44> 01:12:45:	stove.
01:12:45> 01:12:47:	I'd like to meet them.
01:12:47> 01:12:50:	Wonderful, well thank you all so much as I think
01:12:50> 01:12:50:	you saw.
01:12:50> 01:12:53:	We had really be Avengers of building decarbonization here.
01:12:53> 01:12:56:	Just a fantastic group. Thank you all to the speakers.
01:12:56> 01:12:58:	Think of you all. I for having us and I
01:12:58> 01:13:00:	throw it back over to you allies.
01:13:00> 01:13:04:	Wonderful leadership to take us into the networking.
01:13:04> 01:13:05:	Thank you.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact [email protected].