

## Webinar

Change is Coming: Climate Risk Disclosures and Real Estate Investment

(US/Europe)

Date: October 13, 2023

00:00:00 --> 00:00:03: Hello, everybody, and welcome to our webinar Today. 00:00:03 --> 00:00:06: Change is coming, climate risk disclosure and real estate investment. 00:00:07 --> 00:00:10: We'll be getting started in just a minute as we 00:00:10 --> 00:00:13: wait for the audience to slowly file in to this 00:00:14 --> 00:00:15: virtual meeting. 00:00:29 --> 00:00:33: So hello, everybody, and welcome to today's ULI Webinar. 00:00:33 --> 00:00:37: Change is Coming, Climate risk disclosure and real estate investment. 00:00:37 --> 00:00:41: I'm Billy Grayson, Chief Initiative Officer at the Urban Land 00:00:41 --> 00:00:42: Institute. 00:00:42 --> 00:00:45: We're really excited to have you join us for this exciting discussion today around climate, risk and real estate 00:00:45 --> 00:00:48: investment. 00:00:51 --> 00:00:57: Next slide mention. 00:00:57 --> 00:00:59: I'm Billy Grayson, great to see everybody today. 00:00:59 --> 00:01:03: I'm joined today by Laura Kraft, Global Head of Portfolio 00:01:03 --> 00:01:07: Strategies at Heitman and Shiva Rajkopal, the Kessler and **Burns** Professor at Columbia Business School. 00:01:08 --> 00:01:10: 00:01:12 --> 00:01:12: Next slide. 00:01:15 --> 00:01:18: So we're very excited to be bringing you a webinar 00:01:18 --> 00:01:23: on the 4th Climate Risk and real Estate Investment report 00:01:23 --> 00:01:25: partnership between ULI and Heidman. 00:01:26 --> 00:01:29: We began this collaboration in 2019 with what at the 00:01:29 --> 00:01:33: time was a catalyzing discussion on how to assess price 00:01:33 --> 00:01:37: and mitigate climate risk and real estate investment portfolios. 00:01:38 --> 00:01:41: Our second report together explored market level risk and

how

00:01:41> 00:01:44:	a city's climate risk and resilience strategy could impact outcomes
00:01:44> 00:01:46:	for all the assets in our region.
00:01:47> 00:01:49:	And our third report looked at the emerging trend of
00:01:49> 00:01:53:	climate driven global migration and explored how investors in the
00:01:53> 00:01:56:	public sector can better prepare and adapt for these changing
00:01:56> 00:01:57:	demographic part patterns.
00:01:58> 00:02:02:	You can find all these reports at knowledge.uli.org.
00:02:02> 00:02:05:	It's it's a good binge read series, so I would
00:02:05> 00:02:08:	encourage everybody to think about blocking out some time this
00:02:08> 00:02:08:	weekend.
00:02:08> 00:02:11:	It's a great beach read too, if it's still beach
00:02:11> 00:02:12:	weather in your region.
00:02:13> 00:02:16:	Our newest report looks at the acceleration of climate and
00:02:17> 00:02:20:	ESG related regulations and the impact that these new regulations
00:02:20> 00:02:23:	will have on transition risk, a growing component of the
00:02:24> 00:02:27:	overall risk picture for climate risk and real estate investment.
00:02:32> 00:02:35:	So we titled this report Changes coming, but I think
00:02:35> 00:02:38:	a more appropriate title might be Changes upon us and
00:02:38> 00:02:40:	more changes Changing every Changey day.
00:02:41> 00:02:45:	This is a very dynamic topic right now as global
00:02:45> 00:02:52:	regulations, national regulations and sub national regulations continue to accelerate
00:02:52> 00:02:57:	as every government is looking to address the climate crisis
00:02:57> 00:03:02:	and investors are asking for more transparency, more consistency and
00:03:02> 00:03:07:	more disclosure and trends in climate risks and opportunities.
00:03:08> 00:03:11:	I want to start with a couple observations about climate
00:03:11> 00:03:13:	ESG regulations in the last few years as well as
00:03:13> 00:03:15:	those that are on the horizon.
00:03:16> 00:03:20:	ULI does an annual policy scan for our global ESG
00:03:20> 00:03:22:	and climate policy database.
00:03:22> 00:03:26:	We're currently tracking over 100 global, federal and sub federal
00:03:26> 00:03:30:	policies, regulations and incentive programs connected to ESG disclosure and
00:03:30> 00:03:31:	ESG performance.
00:03:32> 00:03:36:	And for better or worse, this database is constantly out
00:03:36> 00:03:36:	of date.
00:03:36> 00:03:39:	It's usually out of date the minute that we release

00:03:39> 00:03:39:	it.
00:03:39> 00:03:43:	Just because the landscape is changing so fast, it doesn't
00:03:43> 00:03:46:	mean it's not a valuable tool, but it means that
00:03:46> 00:03:49:	it is incredibly difficult to keep up with this changing
00:03:49> 00:03:51:	landscape of ESG regulation.
00:03:52> 00:03:56:	A second observation is that policies are impacting real estate
00:03:57> 00:04:01:	investors at every level and fund managers like Heitmann have
00:04:01> 00:04:03:	it coming at them from every direction.
00:04:04> 00:04:08:	Some policies are impacting the asset level, some impact the
00:04:08> 00:04:10:	fund level, some the overall corporate level.
00:04:11> 00:04:14:	Some policies are global, but most are national and sub
00:04:14> 00:04:17:	national and will vary by the market that a real
00:04:17> 00:04:19:	estate investor is focused on.
00:04:20> 00:04:23:	And virtually all of these ESG policies fall into one
00:04:23> 00:04:24:	of three major themes.
00:04:24> 00:04:28:	Regulations that focus on data transparency and data quality.
00:04:28> 00:04:32:	Regulations that indirectly are going to help enhance the green
00:04:32> 00:04:35:	premium for high performing ESG companies funds and assets and
00:04:35> 00:04:39:	also drive the brown discount, whether that's through fines or
00:04:39> 00:04:42:	stricter construction standards for new buildings.
00:04:43> 00:04:46:	And then also regulations that'll impact how you can talk
00:04:46> 00:04:49:	about your ESG policies and performance, whether this is in
00:04:49> 00:04:52:	marketing materials or how you characterize an investment fund that
00:04:52> 00:04:55:	you're building or even what you can say on a
00:04:55> 00:04:57:	public webinar about your ESG programs.
00:04:58> 00:05:01:	Next slide, I want to take a semi deeper dive
00:05:01> 00:05:06:	on a couple of regulations that are global or regional
00:05:06> 00:05:09:	in nature and we believe are going to have a
00:05:09> 00:05:14:	profound impact in the near term on how climate risk
00:05:14> 00:05:18:	is disclosed and tracked by many of the global real
00:05:18> 00:05:22:	estate developers and investors in our network.
00:05:22> 00:05:26:	The first one is Task Force on Climate Related Financial
00:05:26> 00:05:29:	Disclosures or TCFDTCFD by itself is a voluntary standard
	that
00:05:30> 00:05:35:	helps companies align their climate reporting with integrated financial reporting
00:05:35> 00:05:35:	standards.
00:05:36> 00:05:39:	It requires specific disclosure on climate related risks and opportunities

00:05:39> 00:05:42:	that your company or your asset will face, both in
00:05:42> 00:05:43:	the short and long term.
00:05:44> 00:05:47:	A TCSD is moving from what was a voluntary standard
00:05:47> 00:05:51:	to a piece of a regulatory standard in most markets.
00:05:51> 00:05:55:	The proposed SCC climate rule in the US reference
	references.
00:05:55> 00:06:00:	TCFD&TCFD is either a recognized reporting framework or aligns closely
00:06:00> 00:06:04:	with the national ESG reporting guidelines being released for
00.00.00> 00.00.04.	publicly
00:06:04> 00:06:09:	traded companies in Hong Kong, Switzerland, Singapore and
	Japan, as
00:06:09> 00:06:11:	well as several other countries.
00:06:13> 00:06:16:	A second regulation I want to introduce to the audience
00:06:16> 00:06:21:	is the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation or SFDRSFDR is in
00:06:21> 00:06:21:	Europe.
00:06:22> 00:06:26:	It's mandatory and it requires comprehensive ESG reporting at both
00:06:26> 00:06:28:	the corporate and product level.
00:06:28> 00:06:31:	And for those of us in real estate, product means
00:06:31> 00:06:33:	asset or and or fund.
00:06:33> 00:06:36:	It makes ESG reporting mandatory for all entities over a
00:06:36> 00:06:39:	certain size headquartered in or doing business in the EU.
00:06:40> 00:06:43:	And it requires fund managers to rate their funds based
00:06:43> 00:06:45:	on a level of ESG strategy that a fund contains.
00:06:46> 00:06:49:	And this data is disclosed to investors, many of whom
00:06:49> 00:06:55:	are already increasingly requiring some level of sustainability integration into
00:06:55> 00:06:58:	their fund strategy, which in SDR speak means a Level
00:06:58> 00:07:01:	8 or Level 9 as opposed to a Level 6.
00:07:02> 00:07:02:	Next slide.
00:07:05> 00:07:08:	So what is the new corporate reporting landscape look like
00:07:08> 00:07:10:	with these emerging ESG regulations?
00:07:11> 00:07:14:	We're we're moving from a business as usual that includes
00:07:14> 00:07:19:	profit and loss statements, annual reporting, quarterly reporting and voluntary
00:07:19> 00:07:24:	sustainability standards to whatever standard you choose or whatever standard
00:07:24> 00:07:27:	your investors, tenants or other stakeholders ask for you to
00:07:27> 00:07:31:	report to, to a future state that includes a business
00:07:31> 00:07:35:	as usual financial reporting but also mandatory disclosure of verified
00:07:35> 00:07:40:	greenhouse gas emissions, completion of physical climate

	risk assessments and
00:07:40> 00:07:42:	fund classifications based on ESG criteria.
00:07:47> 00:07:50:	As we talked to some of the forward thinking investors
00:07:50> 00:07:53:	in compiling this report, we asked them how they were
00:07:53> 00:07:56:	navigating this evolving market and these are the common themes
00:07:57> 00:07:59:	that we heard in terms of best practices.
00:07:59> 00:08:04:	It included developing A baseline to to track ESG impacts
00:08:04> 00:08:07:	across a a portfolio and a fund all the way
00:08:07> 00:08:09:	down to the asset level.
00:08:10> 00:08:13:	A portfolio assessment for physical and transition risks in a
00:08:14> 00:08:18:	new regulatory environment and mentioned that that assessment for transition
00:08:18> 00:08:22:	risks is a big challenge, but it's an important component
00:08:22> 00:08:25:	now of assessing risk from an ESG perspective.
00:08:25> 00:08:29:	It's not just physical risk, Getting started with voluntary reporting
00:08:29> 00:08:32:	standards and tools, whether this is TCFD which I reference
00:08:32> 00:08:36:	the science based target initiative or a major reporting framework
00:08:36> 00:08:40:	in real estate, the global real estate sustainability benchmark and
00:08:40> 00:08:44:	then starting to proactively address climate and product offerings at
00:08:44> 00:08:48:	the asset level with clear data-driven marketing and communications.
00:08:50> 00:08:52:	Now I'm lucky to be joined by a couple of
00:08:52> 00:08:56:	real estate investment experts who are navigating this regulatory landscape
00:08:56> 00:08:58:	right now and I'd like to turn it over first
00:08:58> 00:09:01:	to Laura Craft to talk a little bit about how
00:09:01> 00:09:04:	Hytman is navigating the change that we're seeing in the
00:09:04> 00:09:04:	market.
00:09:05> 00:09:05:	Laura.
00:09:06> 00:09:07:	Thanks, Billy.
00:09:07> 00:09:10:	Appreciate that and thanks for setting the landscape.
00:09:10> 00:09:12:	So Hytman, we are an investment manager.
00:09:12> 00:09:16:	We have over 50 billion in assets under management around
00:09:16> 00:09:16:	the globe.
00:09:17> 00:09:21:	So we are paying close attention to the regulations that
00:09:21> 00:09:23:	they are influx changing.
00:09:23> 00:09:26:	This is our 4th report in the series and I
00:09:26> 00:09:27:	would say this is our hardest.
00:09:27> 00:09:30:	This was the hardest report to write just because when

00:09:30> 00:09:33:	we would write something all of a sudden new regulations
00:09:33> 00:09:36:	would come out, we would have to revise things.
00:09:36> 00:09:39:	So changes is here and it will be into the
00:09:39> 00:09:40:	foreseeable future.
00:09:41> 00:09:43:	But I want to talk today about some of the
00:09:43> 00:09:44:	regulation.
00:09:44> 00:09:47:	Impacting the firm, the fund and the property level.
00:09:48> 00:09:52:	So at the firm level, the SEC has proposed regulations
00:09:52> 00:09:57:	or firm disclosures on material physical climate risk, greenhouse gas
00:09:57> 00:10:01:	emissions, climate targets and transition plans.
00:10:01> 00:10:04:	So from a real estate perspective, when you think about
00:10:04> 00:10:08:	climate risk, they tend to be bucketed into two different
00:10:08> 00:10:09:	types of climate risk.
00:10:10> 00:10:13:	The physical climate risk and the transition climate risk.
00:10:13> 00:10:17:	So real estate investment managers have portfolios of real estates
00:10:17> 00:10:20:	and they are location based that can be impacted by
00:10:20> 00:10:23:	extreme weather events, hurricanes, typhoons.
00:10:24> 00:10:27:	Heat stress, water stress, flooding, you name it.
00:10:27> 00:10:29:	So that's the physical component.
00:10:29> 00:10:33:	The transitional component is those buildings are also susceptible to
00:10:33> 00:10:36:	regulatory changes in the local market.
00:10:36> 00:10:40:	Resource availability of energy and water The availability to get
00:10:40> 00:10:42:	those resources to your building.
00:10:43> 00:10:46:	The greenhouse gas emissions, How much that building is emitted.
00:10:47> 00:10:48:	Increased cost.
00:10:48> 00:10:49:	Operating costs such as.
00:10:50> 00:10:54:	Insurance costs, as we know that insurance has been a
00:10:54> 00:10:57:	changing landscape over the past few years.
00:10:57> 00:11:00:	Insurance more than likely went up in most markets.
00:11:01> 00:11:04:	We are also seeing higher taxes and with all of
00:11:04> 00:11:09:	this analysis related to climate change, it's also changing behaviors
00:11:09> 00:11:13:	related to where some investors want to make investments.
00:11:15> 00:11:16:	So.
00:11:16> 00:11:18:	So dive in a little bit deeper.
00:11:18> 00:11:21:	I just mentioned the climate risk that could impact real
00:11:21> 00:11:25:	estate, but how does that impact the financial statements?
00:11:26> 00:11:29:	On the left hand side you'll see some of the

00:11:29> 00:11:34:	activities such as reduce interest, investor interest, reduced exit values.
00:11:34> 00:11:37:	Higher loan rates for riskier assets.
00:11:37> 00:11:40:	So all of these things could occur, which could lead
00:11:40> 00:11:42:	to lower property valuations.
00:11:42> 00:11:45:	So when your discount rate goes up or your loan
00:11:45> 00:11:49:	rates go up, that leads to lower property valuations at
00:11:49> 00:11:50:	the property level.
00:11:50> 00:11:54:	If you have less demand, renter demand for your assets,
00:11:54> 00:11:57:	then you're achieving less rental revenue so that.
00:11:57> 00:11:58:	Could go down.
00:11:59> 00:12:02:	Additionally, these additional expenses could go up.
00:12:02> 00:12:07:	So This is why real estate investment managers are very
00:12:07> 00:12:11:	focused on the impacts of climate change, the impacts of
00:12:11> 00:12:15:	climate events to our portfolio and how it could impact
00:12:16> 00:12:20:	our underwriting and ultimately our in our returns.
00:12:24> 00:12:28:	So leading investment managers, what we're seeing is that they're
00:12:28> 00:12:32:	doing 3 levels of assessments when they're selecting an investment.
00:12:32> 00:12:34:	They're looking at the asset itself.
00:12:34> 00:12:38:	So the building level is the building susceptible to climate
00:12:38> 00:12:41:	change, climate risk such as flooding, for instance.
00:12:42> 00:12:46:	And that may trigger additional due diligence such as has
00:12:46> 00:12:46:	that asset.
00:12:47> 00:12:49:	Been elevated out of the flood zone.
00:12:49> 00:12:52:	So it's doing analysis on the actual asset itself and
00:12:52> 00:12:55:	and the potential risk of climate change.
00:12:56> 00:12:59:	The next level of analysis is the market that the
00:12:59> 00:13:02:	property may not be at risk to flooding, but let's
00:13:02> 00:13:04:	just say the markets at risk to flooding and so
00:13:04> 00:13:08:	that would also trigger a level of analysis to understand
00:13:08> 00:13:11:	how the market is preparing for climate change or climate
00:13:11> 00:13:11:	risk.
00:13:12> 00:13:14:	Another good example is hurricanes.
00:13:14> 00:13:17:	Markets tend to be over.
00:13:17> 00:13:20:	Were all impacted by hurricanes and so how is a
00:13:20> 00:13:23:	market preparing for the types of large scale events occurring?
00:13:25> 00:13:28:	And then from an investment manager perspective, we're also looking
00:13:28> 00:13:30:	at the portfolio level risk.
00:13:30> 00:13:34:	So within a portfolio, where are the assets located and

00:13:34> 00:13:37:	is there a concentration of risk?
00:13:37> 00:13:40:	Such that if an if an event happened that a
00:13:40> 00:13:43:	large proportion of the portfolio would be impacted.
00:13:44> 00:13:47:	So these are the types of of analysis that investment
00:13:47> 00:13:51:	managers are taking when it comes to climate risk to
00:13:51> 00:13:54:	to try to mitigate the risk either at the asset
00:13:54> 00:13:58:	level, the portfolio level or understand how the market has
00:13:58> 00:13:59:	mitigated the risk.
00:14:00> 00:14:03:	And all of these decisions could lead to additional or
00:14:03> 00:14:08:	changes in the underwriting and decisions potentially not to proceed
00:14:08> 00:14:10:	with particular investments.
00:14:13> 00:14:16:	So as a guidance, where do firms start?
00:14:16> 00:14:18:	And TCFD is a good guidance.
00:14:18> 00:14:22:	It's endorsed by a number of countries around the world.
00:14:23> 00:14:26:	It's broad enough, but also there's enough.
00:14:26> 00:14:28:	There's a lot of examples out there that firms are
00:14:29> 00:14:30:	using this and they publicly report it.
00:14:31> 00:14:35:	It's it's centered around 4 different issues, government strategy, risk
00:14:35> 00:14:38:	management metrics and targets and as best practice.
00:14:39> 00:14:44:	It's probably important for firms to have sustainability teams, senior
00:14:44> 00:14:49:	leadership oversight, Make sure that ESG climate risk is integrated
00:14:49> 00:14:54:	into annual business planning analysis of investments, and that you
00:14:54> 00:14:58:	have a process in place to analyze physical transition risk
00:14:58> 00:15:03:	and implement opportunities, as well as setting targets and metrics
00:15:03> 00:15:07:	for your firm to make sure that you are progressing
00:15:07> 00:15:08:	and making progress.
00:15:09> 00:15:13:	Against trying to mitigate risk and strive for opportunities within
00:15:14> 00:15:16:	your portfolio to drive investment returns.
00:15:20> 00:15:25:	So beyond the firm level disclosure requirements, there are proposed
00:15:25> 00:15:30:	fund level requirements from the SEC and then there are
00:15:30> 00:15:32:	standing requirements.
00:15:32> 00:15:37:	In Europe, related to SFDR and article or fund categorization.
00:15:37> 00:15:40:	So all funds marketed in the EU must be categorized
00:15:40> 00:15:44:	and they have to be categorized under article 6-8 or
00:15:44> 00:15:44:	nine.
00:15:45> 00:15:48:	Article 6 means that sustainability may be part of the

00:15:48> 00:15:48:	strategy.
00:15:49> 00:15:53:	But it's not being promoted as a fund that's that's
00:15:53> 00:15:57:	focused on sustainability or ESG issues.
00:15:57> 00:16:02:	Article 8 products promote either an environmental or social characteristic
00:16:02> 00:16:04:	as part of the fund strategy.
00:16:04> 00:16:10:	And Article 9 has sustainable investment as the product objective
00:16:10> 00:16:14:	and that is based on the EU taxonomy definition of
00:16:14> 00:16:16:	sustainable investment.
00:16:17> 00:16:19:	In the SEC, this is like I said change is
00:16:19> 00:16:20:	coming.
00:16:20> 00:16:24:	This was just a few weeks ago got announced that
00:16:24> 00:16:28:	funds with ESG or Sustainability in the funds name that
00:16:29> 00:16:32:	it will now be under the names role which would
00:16:32> 00:16:36:	mean that if you have ESG in the funds name
00:16:36> 00:16:38:	80% of the assets within that fund.
00:16:39> 00:16:42:	Should and must align with the funds name.
00:16:42> 00:16:46:	So this is the SEC's response to try to make
00:16:46> 00:16:50:	sure that there is not green washing or that there's
00:16:50> 00:16:53:	backup for if a fund marketed as an ESG fund
00:16:53> 00:16:57:	with its ESG and the titling that there there are
00:16:57> 00:17:00:	metrics to support the funds name.
00:17:03> 00:17:07:	So moving beyond the fun level regulations, there are also
00:17:07> 00:17:12:	a number of building level regulations and this is categorizing
00:17:12> 00:17:15:	at a high level what we're seeing in each of
00:17:15> 00:17:16:	the regions.
00:17:16> 00:17:19:	So in North America, we're seeing a lot of the
00:17:19> 00:17:24:	regulations focused on energy disclosure and benchmarking requirements, so disclosing
00:17:24> 00:17:27:	the energy and the carbon emissions of buildings.
00:17:28> 00:17:32:	Where we're seeing advanced regulations is in certain areas like
00:17:32> 00:17:33:	New York and Boston.
00:17:34> 00:17:37:	Where there could be carbon limit, where there will
00:17:37> 00:17:39:	be carbon limit and fines.
00:17:39> 00:17:43:	So a building cannot emit over a specified amount of
00:17:43> 00:17:47:	carbon and if they do then they are then fines
00:17:47> 00:17:49:	will be imposed.
00:17:49> 00:17:52:	So we're we're seeing it on kind of a North
00:17:52> 00:17:56:	America very much on the the carbon emissions emitted of
00:17:56> 00:17:57:	buildings.
00:17:58> 00:18:00:	In Europe, what we're seeing is that each building in

00:18:00> 00:18:03:	Europe has an energy performance certificate.
00:18:03> 00:18:04:	It's a letter grade.
00:18:04> 00:18:08:	So you got a BCDEFA being the the strongest, the
00:18:08> 00:18:13:	most efficient, energy efficient building and then you go down
00:18:13> 00:18:14:	the list.
00:18:14> 00:18:19:	And what we're seeing in some countries is a minimum
00:18:19> 00:18:23:	requirement of an EPC rating of a C in order
00:18:23> 00:18:26:	to lease an asset in 2023 the Netherlands.
00:18:27> 00:18:32:	Put this rule into effect and we're seeing that the
00:18:32> 00:18:35:	UK has proposed ruling of an EPC rating of a
00:18:35> 00:18:37:	C starting in 2025.
00:18:37> 00:18:42:	So that limits an investments investment managers ability to to
00:18:42> 00:18:46:	lease an asset if it's not efficient enough under these
00:18:46> 00:18:47:	standards.
00:18:47> 00:18:50:	In Asia Pacific, what we're seeing is that there are
00:18:50> 00:18:55:	energy disclosure and benchmarking requirements, so disclosing how much energy
00:18:55> 00:18:58:	a property is using and the carbon emissions of particular
00:18:58> 00:18:59:	assets.
00:18:59> 00:19:03:	But what we're we're seeing kind of the the advanced
00:19:03> 00:19:08:	local regulations is around new construction and renovations we're seeing
00:19:08> 00:19:11:	in Australia and and Singapore and in Japan.
00:19:12> 00:19:17:	That new construction and renovations have to meet
	minimum energy
00:19:17> 00:19:22:	ratings or or efficiency levels in order for the the
00:19:22> 00:19:26:	building to get approval or or to have that occupancy
00:19:26> 00:19:30:	final sign off from construction approval process.
00:19:31> 00:19:34:	So that's where we're seeing each of the regions take
00:19:34> 00:19:37:	building regulations in a slightly different way.
00:19:41> 00:19:41:	So what?
00:19:42> 00:19:44:	Could all this mean for an owner?
00:19:45> 00:19:49:	If a building doesn't meet an energy efficiency standard in
00:19:49> 00:19:54:	one of these region, it could trigger additional unexpected capital
00:19:54> 00:19:58:	expenditure to bring the building up to higher efficiency standards,
00:19:58> 00:20:01:	to be able to lease the building or to not
00:20:01> 00:20:04:	have to pay a fine, or to be able to
00:20:04> 00:20:07:	get the final sign offs of your new construction or
00:20:07> 00:20:09:	major renovation.
00:20:09> 00:20:12:	We're also seeing that it could lead to less liquidity

00:20:12> 00:20:14:	from investors and lenders.
00:20:14> 00:20:18:	Investors are starting to take note of the energy efficiency,
00:20:14> 00:20:10:	the ratings of particular buildings.
00:20:20> 00:20:23:	
	And if there are regulations that buildings have to meet
00:20:23> 00:20:27:	a minimum threshold, then investors may not want to invest
00:20:27> 00:20:30:	in those buildings where they know that there's work that
00:20:30> 00:20:32:	needs to be done in order to to, you know,
00:20:33> 00:20:34:	use the building as intended.
00:20:35> 00:20:38:	There also could be a lot less demand from tenants
00:20:38> 00:20:40:	with their own sustainability goals.
00:20:40> 00:20:44:	We're seeing in the USA number of corporate tenants have
00:20:44> 00:20:49:	sustainability corporate goals and they're wanting to locate in buildings
00:20:49> 00:20:53:	that match those goals that are energy efficient because the
00:20:54> 00:20:58:	building's emissions there will go on their corporate balance sheet
00:20:58> 00:21:00:	of their energy usage.
00:21:00> 00:21:04:	And so they're wanting to make sure that they're able
00:21:04> 00:21:07:	to hit their own corporate goals and then you may
00:21:07> 00:21:11:	lost your ability to lease or sell an asset again
00:21:11> 00:21:15:	if you do not hit these certain building regulation in
00:21:15> 00:21:16:	the various markets.
00:21:17> 00:21:21:	And then lastly, it could cost additional time and consulting
00:21:21> 00:21:24:	fees and reputational risk if you have to pay fines
00:21:24> 00:21:27:	that your buildings aren't meeting certain levels.
00:21:30> 00:21:33:	So how are investors using this data or how have
00:21:33> 00:21:35:	we seen investors use this data?
00:21:35> 00:21:40:	We've seen certain investors decide and determine where to deploy
00:21:40> 00:21:45:	capital based on fund categorization or based on property level
00:21:45> 00:21:47:	certifications or ratings.
00:21:47> 00:21:51:	We've also seen particularly in Europe that there are at
00:21:51> 00:21:56:	times green premium for properties that achieve higher levels of
00:21:56> 00:21:58:	energy efficiency standards.
00:21:59> 00:22:03:	This is also a way that certain investment managers can
00:22:03> 00:22:07:	classify properties and and put them in various funds.
00:22:08> 00:22:11:	And you know ultimately the the goal of this is
00:22:11> 00:22:15:	to be able to have greater transparency and comparability between
00:22:15> 00:22:17:	firms, funds and properties.
00:22:18> 00:22:22:	On the negative side, what this could do is, is
00:22:22> 00:22:26:	identify assets that could be stranded or you know need

00:22:27> 00:22:30:	additional capital to bring them up to standards.
00:22:31> 00:22:34:	And in the US we're seeing at times that this
00:22:34> 00:22:38:	could create some brown discount for properties that are not
00:22:38> 00:22:41:	staying up to speed with the market standards.
00:22:41> 00:22:45:	So ultimately all of this data is leading to potentially
00:22:45> 00:22:48:	different outcomes and decision making.
00:22:51> 00:22:53:	So I want to wrap up by saying that change
00:22:53> 00:22:54:	is coming.
00:22:55> 00:22:58:	Be aware of the various regulations happening at the firm
00:22:58> 00:23:01:	level, the fund level and the property level.
00:23:02> 00:23:05:	Be able to have a system in place at your
00:23:05> 00:23:09:	company to underwrite this risk and have a process to
00:23:09> 00:23:12:	manage the rest of strategy and be able to disclose
00:23:12> 00:23:15:	and report these results back to investors.
00:23:16> 00:23:20:	You know, I think that this is a journey there.
00:23:20> 00:23:23:	There's this has been very much in flux of you
00:23:23> 00:23:26:	know how do we get comparability between companies and I
00:23:26> 00:23:30:	think that we're really in the beginning stages of that,
00:23:30> 00:23:34:	but this is where companies need to start, starts on
00:23:34> 00:23:36:	how they're collecting all this data.
00:23:36> 00:23:40:	And at some point in time in the future hopefully
00:23:40> 00:23:44:	we have greater comparability between investment
	managers and buildings and
00:23:44> 00:23:48:	and greater clarity on pricing and climate risk back into
00:23:48> 00:23:50:	investment decision making processes.
00:23:51> 00:23:54:	So with that, I will turn it back to Billy.
00:23:59> 00:24:00:	Shiva, over to you.
00:24:00> 00:24:03:	What are you seeing in this changing climate and ESG
00:24:03> 00:24:05:	regulatory market?
00:24:05> 00:24:06:	Oh, thank you.
00:24:06> 00:24:07:	Thank you, Billy, and thank you, Laura.
00:24:08> 00:24:12:	So I think Billy, you did a great job laying
00:24:12> 00:24:16:	out what's on the menu, the regulatory domain.
00:24:16> 00:24:20:	And Laura, you added a lot in terms of granular
00:24:20> 00:24:23:	thinking about what an investor wants, so.
00:24:24> 00:24:26:	I'm going to take all this to the next level
00:24:26> 00:24:28:	in the following sense, right?
00:24:28> 00:24:30:	So let's go back to the basics.
00:24:30> 00:24:32:	As an investor, what am I looking for?
00:24:33> 00:24:36:	A, is climate risk material for a real estate business
00:24:36> 00:24:39:	And bear with me if that sounds like a silly
00:24:39> 00:24:41:	question because it's not.

00:24:42> 00:24:47:	And two, is climate risk priced assuming it's material and
00:24:47> 00:24:51:	you know, assuming that I'm buying a fund or?
00:24:52> 00:24:55:	I'm consuming publicly available reports.
00:24:56> 00:24:59:	Am I anywhere close to getting answers to that question?
00:24:59> 00:25:02:	The answer is absolutely not okay and here's my thought
00:25:03> 00:25:03:	experiment.
00:25:04> 00:25:09:	So I picked 2 REACH real estate investment trusts which
00:25:09> 00:25:10:	have.
00:25:10> 00:25:12:	A lot of coastal properties, you know, on the East
00:25:13> 00:25:14:	Coast and the West Coast.
00:25:14> 00:25:17:	And I tried running these two ideas by, you know,
00:25:17> 00:25:19:	can can I a, make sense of is climate risk
00:25:19> 00:25:20:	a big deal?
00:25:20> 00:25:22:	Well, it must be because there are lots of coastal
00:25:22> 00:25:25:	properties here sitting in Boston, various parts of California, New
00:25:25> 00:25:26:	York and so on, and Florida.
00:25:28> 00:25:30:	And B, can I even begin to get a sense
00:25:30> 00:25:33:	for the physical risk, let alone transition?
00:25:33> 00:25:34:	That's a deeper conversation.
00:25:35> 00:25:37:	And you know, by and large.
00:25:38> 00:25:42:	These two reads put out the glassy sustainability reports.
00:25:42> 00:25:43:	Nice pictures.
00:25:44> 00:25:48:	Occasionally they have some conversation about installing flood doors and
00:25:48> 00:25:52:	gates, which is all great, but virtually nothing else.
00:25:52> 00:25:55:	But thankfully, as you know, reads give you addresses.
00:25:55> 00:25:58:	So I got I pulled out these addresses of the
00:25:58> 00:26:01:	buildings, found a consulting firm to do some work on
00:26:01> 00:26:03:	telling me are these in a floodplain?
00:26:03> 00:26:06:	You know what's the fire hazard risk like etcetera.
00:26:07> 00:26:09:	And they came back to me with some data which
00:26:10> 00:26:12:	does suggest that there is some risk.
00:26:12> 00:26:15:	But then as an investor, I by and large no,
00:26:15> 00:26:16:	nothing, you know.
00:26:16> 00:26:19:	So for instance, I need to know, you know, what
00:26:19> 00:26:23:	are likely to be, say you know, the physical damage
00:26:23> 00:26:25:	to these buildings.
00:26:25> 00:26:29:	What might increased operating costs look like due to maintenance?
00:26:29> 00:26:32:	What might repairs and insurance premiums look like?
00:26:33> 00:26:36:	You know, are you likely to have reduced occupancy rates
00:26:36> 00:26:37:	and tenant demand?

00:26:37> 00:26:40:	I don't know, you know, what might the impact of
00:26:40> 00:26:43:	all the regulatory changes that Laura and Billy talked about
00:26:43> 00:26:45:	have on these properties.
00:26:45> 00:26:48:	You know, is there likely to be a market shift
00:26:48> 00:26:51:	to maybe resilient or more sustainable locations?
00:26:51> 00:26:54:	That's the transition risk part, you know and if you
00:26:54> 00:26:55:	have.
00:26:55> 00:26:59:	Let's say going beyond residential rates, if you have a
00:26:59> 00:27:03:	commercial rate and let's say Amazon has a distribution center
00:27:03> 00:27:07:	there, what's the, you know, risk of business interruption to
00:27:07> 00:27:08:	an Amazon?
00:27:08> 00:27:11:	So it goes beyond just the physical risk because the
00:27:11> 00:27:13:	there's a multiplier effect, right.
00:27:13> 00:27:17:	So it's going to hurt Amazon's business, you know, is
00:27:17> 00:27:20:	there, is there any implication for the liability on the
00:27:20> 00:27:20:	rate?
00:27:22> 00:27:24:	How much more by way of CapEx, the point that
00:27:24> 00:27:27:	Laura talked about, will these firms have to spend to
00:27:27> 00:27:28:	respond to some potential damage?
00:27:29> 00:27:31:	And more important, you know, will they just flip the
00:27:31> 00:27:32:	properties?
00:27:32> 00:27:35:	So, you know, maybe all this is not important because
00:27:35> 00:27:38:	they'll just sell the properties and leave before the actual
00:27:38> 00:27:39:	climate damage hits.
00:27:39> 00:27:43:	So bottom line, as an investor, you know, I'm looking
00:27:43> 00:27:45:	for answers to these questions.
00:27:46> 00:27:49:	In my mind, the current state of disclosure is, frankly,
00:27:49> 00:27:49:	is awful.
00:27:50> 00:27:51:	It's really, really hard to know anything.
00:27:53> 00:27:56:	And let's now go to will these regulations fix anything,
00:27:56> 00:27:56:	right.
00:27:57> 00:27:59:	A lot of these regulations are going to push us
00:27:59> 00:28:02:	to tell us to say a little bit more about
00:28:02> 00:28:04:	the inputs and that's a great start.
00:28:04> 00:28:07:	But the ideal, you know, alternative that I need as
00:28:07> 00:28:09:	an investor is what does that mean in terms of
00:28:09> 00:28:12:	future cash flows, You know, so a lot of the
00:28:12> 00:28:15:	regulations so far will give you a little bit about
00:28:15> 00:28:18:	what goes into hopefully some kind of risk assessment model.
00:28:19> 00:28:21:	What does that mean in terms of actual output, in

00:28:21> 00:28:24:	terms of range of physical, you know, future cash flows
00:28:24> 00:28:25:	is what I ideally want.
00:28:25> 00:28:28:	So bottom line, these are all great steps and when,
00:28:28> 00:28:32:	when, when folks are thinking about writing these sustainability reports.
00:28:33> 00:28:35:	It's hard, I know, but play the role of a
00:28:35> 00:28:36:	critical investor.
00:28:36> 00:28:38:	Start with the basics.
00:28:38> 00:28:40:	I want to understand this climate, risk, price.
00:28:40> 00:28:44:	What data points do I need to get there and
00:28:44> 00:28:45:	help me help you?
00:28:46> 00:28:48:	It would be where I would close this and happy
00:28:48> 00:28:49:	to take questions.
00:28:49> 00:28:49:	Thank you.
00:28:53> 00:28:56:	Shiva, yeah, I would love to ask our panelists a
00:28:56> 00:28:57:	couple questions.
00:28:57> 00:28:59:	I'd also like to remind the audience that we'd love
00:28:59> 00:29:01:	to have your questions.
00:29:01> 00:29:04:	So please drop them into the Q&A and we will
00:29:04> 00:29:08:	answer them as fast and as accurately as we can.
00:29:09> 00:29:11:	Laura, question for you.
00:29:12> 00:29:16:	As you're looking to comply with SFDR and prepare Hytman
00:29:16> 00:29:20:	for the SEC climate rule, what challenges are you
00.20.20 > 00.20.22.	encountering,
00:29:20> 00:29:23: 00:29:23> 00:29:24:	either in data collection or reporting?
00:29:28> 00:29:30:	And how are you navigating them?
00:29:30> 00:29:33:	You know, I think that part of the challenges is that a lot of these regulations are just coming out.
00:29:33> 00:29:36:	SFDR came out in 2021.
00:29:37> 00:29:40:	So when we started launching funds in 2021, we had
00:29:40> 00:29:43:	to make sure that our property, our, our funds would
00:29:43> 00:29:45:	be compliant in that.
00:29:45> 00:29:48:	And so I think it was catching up to speed,
00:29:48> 00:29:52:	staying up to speed with what was required to categorize
00:29:52> 00:29:52:	funds.
00:29:53> 00:29:56:	And then in in recent weeks that you hear that
00:29:56> 00:29:59:	SFDR is doing a consultation.
00:29:59> 00:30:04:	And potentially changing some of those regulations related to
00.29.39> 00.30.04.	fund
00:30:04> 00:30:06:	classifications and disclosures.
00:30:06> 00:30:09:	And so I think that part of the issue is
00:30:10> 00:30:12:	that these regulations are in flux.
00:30:13> 00:30:17:	The SEC rules are all proposed, nothing has been finalized.

00:30:18> 00:30:22:	So I think from my perspective it's not looking so
00:30:22> 00:30:26:	much of what are what regulations are in place, but
00:30:26> 00:30:28:	what do we need to do as a firm to
00:30:28> 00:30:32:	be able to answer any regulations that come out.
00:30:33> 00:30:36:	So that's where we believe that it's an it's an
00:30:36> 00:30:40:	internal process to make sure that you're answering what it's
00:30:40> 00:30:43:	the true risk to your portfolios and being able to
00:30:44> 00:30:46:	track that within the portfolio.
00:30:46> 00:30:50:	So, so that's how I would answer it is that
00:30:50> 00:30:53:	you know you need to follow a framework and we
00:30:53> 00:30:56:	do like TCFD kind of at a broad level that
00:30:56> 00:31:00:	that gives generalized guideline on on how companies can begin
00:31:00> 00:31:05:	to think about this and incorporate back into their businesses.
00:31:05> 00:31:10:	So they can answer any regulations that do get finalized
00:31:10> 00:31:11:	and stay finalized.
00:31:13> 00:31:17:	Yeah, it's, it's interesting, I think about the investment cycle
00:31:17> 00:31:19:	catching up to the regulatory cycle.
00:31:19> 00:31:21:	It must be even harder for debt investors who have
00:31:21> 00:31:25:	a 10/20/30 year interest in these properties to make sure
00:31:25> 00:31:26:	that they're complying.
00:31:27> 00:31:29:	Shiva, question for you.
00:31:30> 00:31:33:	You touched on this a little bit in your remarks,
00:31:33> 00:31:36:	but what's life going to look like for you and
00:31:36> 00:31:41:	for investment analysts, professionals when you have more data, theoretically
00:31:41> 00:31:42:	it's more consistent?
00:31:43> 00:31:47:	And there's something audit quality or quote UN quote verified
00:31:47> 00:31:48:	about it.
00:31:48> 00:31:52:	Is that gonna change calculations and how people purchase this
00:31:52> 00:31:54:	data in their investment analysis?
00:31:55> 00:31:59:	For sure, that's why I tried to go through what
00:31:59> 00:32:01:	my ideal life would look like.
00:32:02> 00:32:05:	My worry, Billy, going back to the regulation idea, you
00:32:05> 00:32:07:	know, I know we're all worried about regulation.
00:32:07> 00:32:11:	Regulation at best is probably going to be A1 size
00:32:11> 00:32:17:	fits all, lowest common denominator response to a difficult problem,
00:32:17> 00:32:17:	right.
00:32:18> 00:32:18:	So.
00:32:19> 00:32:22:	You know, and I would encourage everybody on the call

00:32:22> 00:32:26:	to worry less about compliance and worry more about become
00:32:26> 00:32:28:	becoming truly investor friendly.
00:32:28> 00:32:31:	So ask yourself, what would I need?
00:32:31> 00:32:33:	What would a good analyst or an investor need, going
00:32:33> 00:32:36:	back to your point to help us bound some of
00:32:36> 00:32:39:	the risks, Because the worry when you don't see this
00:32:39> 00:32:42:	in a sustainability report is that is management even thinking
00:32:42> 00:32:42:	about this.
00:32:43> 00:32:45:	That's the biggest signal that I'm getting right.
00:32:45> 00:32:49:	But you know, if you don't know, clearly, you know,
00:32:49> 00:32:51:	the confusion kind of shows up.
00:32:51> 00:32:54:	Either management hasn't thought about this and or doesn't want
00:32:54> 00:32:56:	to disclose this and they're both bad signals.
00:32:57> 00:33:02:	So in an ideal world and it frankly there may
00:33:02> 00:33:04:	be no climate risk okay.
00:33:04> 00:33:08:	I'm being very agnostic and being academic about this.
00:33:08> 00:33:09:	This is not a political conversation.
00:33:09> 00:33:12:	It's about investment and if this is not a concern
00:33:12> 00:33:13:	for you.
00:33:13> 00:33:14:	Great.
00:33:14> 00:33:16:	You've done the work and you tell me it's not
00:33:16> 00:33:18:	a concern because my horizon as you said is like
00:33:18> 00:33:20:	not 20 years, it's probably five years.
00:33:21> 00:33:22:	And I've done some careful modeling.
00:33:23> 00:33:26:	So all one is looking for is some kind of
00:33:26> 00:33:32:	acknowledgement, you know, a deeper understanding from management whether this
00:33:32> 00:33:34:	is a concern or not, some modeling.
00:33:35> 00:33:37:	And I'm not saying show us everything.
00:33:37> 00:33:39:	I know you have proprietary cost concerns, but give us
00:33:39> 00:33:40:	enough to work with.
00:33:41> 00:33:44:	Right now the the state of ESG disclosure is frankly
00:33:44> 00:33:47:	very, very behind compared to the state of say financial
00:33:47> 00:33:48:	reporting disclosure.
00:33:49> 00:33:52:	You know that the controls are not there, the rigor
00:33:52> 00:33:55:	is missing, compatibility is a problem and everybody is waiting
00:33:55> 00:33:58:	with with bated breadth for a regulator in shining armor
00:33:59> 00:34:00:	to come and solve the problem.
00:34:01> 00:34:02:	That's not going to happen.
00:34:02> 00:34:03:	It I think Lara made this point.

00:34:04> 00:34:06:	It has to be management and it has to be
00:34:06> 00:34:07:	your discipline.
00:34:09> 00:34:12:	Yeah, Laura, I mean, you're, you're going to be a
00:34:12> 00:34:14:	reporter, but you're also going to have this treasure trove
00:34:15> 00:34:15:	of data.
00:34:16> 00:34:18:	Is there anything you're excited about in terms of what
00:34:18> 00:34:21:	asset level data you'll have or the quality of that
00:34:21> 00:34:23:	data compared to what you've had in the past?
00:34:24> 00:34:29:	Yeah, you know, and talking about climate change in particular,
00:34:29> 00:34:33:	you know, back up 10 years, the ESG sustainability world
00:34:33> 00:34:37:	was very much focused more on carbon emissions and less
00:34:37> 00:34:39:	so on physical climate risk.
00:34:39> 00:34:42:	That's why we started this ULI hype and series reports
00:34:42> 00:34:46:	is we wanted to understand where the industry was at
00:34:46> 00:34:50:	with understanding physical climate risk within the portfolios.
00:34:50> 00:34:54:	And that report when we released it in 2019 was
00:34:54> 00:34:57:	one of the first reports to dive into that topic.
00:34:58> 00:35:01:	So I think that this is relatively a new topic
00:35:01> 00:35:05:	that many real estate investment managers and owners are thinking
00:35:05> 00:35:05:	about.
00:35:06> 00:35:11:	10 years ago there weren't forward-looking models to understand a
00:35:11> 00:35:16:	property's risk to to hurricanes into the future or to
00:35:16> 00:35:17:	flooding.
00:35:17> 00:35:20:	We were very much reliant on FEMA maps, which in
00:35:20> 00:35:24:	the US in particular, which tend to be outdated and
00:35:24> 00:35:26:	they're binary in nature.
00:35:26> 00:35:30:	So you know, in our 2019 report, we were trying
00:35:30> 00:35:34:	to survey the market on what is best practice for
00:35:34> 00:35:38:	understanding true climate risk within portfolios.
00:35:38> 00:35:41:	And that was when data companies were just starting to
00:35:41> 00:35:42:	come out.
00:35:42> 00:35:47:	There was maybe only a handful of companies that could
00:35:47> 00:35:52:	pinpoint your assets from Longitude Latitude and tell you you're
00:35:52> 00:35:56:	a rest of the the historical looking rest, but also
00:35:56> 00:36:00:	the future rest on a number of perils to your
00:36:00> 00:36:00:	asset.
00:36:01> 00:36:03:	So if we think that we've only had this data
00:36:04> 00:36:06:	for the past five years or so, I think we're
00:36:06> 00:36:09:	in the infancy of trying to figure out how it

00:36:09> 00:36:13:	really funnels down into decision making and what is our
00:36:13> 00:36:14:	time horizon.
00:36:15> 00:36:19:	The other factor which I think is, is really coming
00:36:19> 00:36:21:	to light is insurance.
00:36:22> 00:36:25:	When we first did our report in in 2019, a
00:36:25> 00:36:29:	lot of investment managers said, well I've got insurance for
00:36:29> 00:36:30:	climate risk.
00:36:30> 00:36:32:	I'm not as concerned.
00:36:32> 00:36:36:	And what we're seeing now is that insurance pricing in
00:36:36> 00:36:39:	the past year has dramatically increased.
00:36:39> 00:36:42:	And we're also seeing in the US certain insurers pull
00:36:42> 00:36:43:	out of markets.
00:36:43> 00:36:46:	If you can't get insurance, can you get a loan
00:36:46> 00:36:48:	on that property.
00:36:48> 00:36:51:	I think these are some of the the risk
00:36:51> 00:36:55:	factors that real estate managers are having to grapple with
00:36:55> 00:36:58:	and it's not something that we had to grapple with
00:36:58> 00:37:01:	10 years ago or it wasn't quite as in our
00:37:01> 00:37:02:	face as it is now.
00:37:02> 00:37:05:	So I think the landscape has changed and we have
00:37:05> 00:37:07:	to take this more seriously.
00:37:07> 00:37:12:	Costs are going up, the insurers that will provide insurance
00:37:12> 00:37:15:	and markets is going down.
00:37:15> 00:37:18:	You know we're seeing insurance renewals.
00:37:18> 00:37:21:	I know there was a number of studies done that
00:37:21> 00:37:25:	insurance that didn't even have claims went up maybe by
00:37:25> 00:37:29:	50%, even double the insurance premiums and then
	insurance that
00:37:29> 00:37:33:	was impacted, you know you could see those rates go
00:37:33> 00:37:34:	up even more.
00:37:35> 00:37:38:	So I think that that's going to have a material
00:37:38> 00:37:43:	impact on investment managers cash flows and it's
	something that
00:37:43> 00:37:45:	we have to take seriously.
00:37:47> 00:37:47:	Thanks, Laura.
00:37:47> 00:37:51:	So the questions have been rolling in and they're not
00:37:51> 00:37:54:	easy questions, so I'm going to throw them out there
00:37:54> 00:37:54:	recognizing.
00:37:55> 00:37:57:	It's possible that none of us will be able to
00:37:57> 00:37:59:	answer some of them, but hopefully one of us will
00:37:59> 00:38:01:	be able to answer most of them.
00:38:02> 00:38:06:	First question, How are climate risks impacting real estate
	taxes

00:38:07> 00:38:07:	and where?
00:38:09> 00:38:12:	Any thoughts I can try to answer this one.
00:38:12> 00:38:16:	So our report to which focused on market level risk,
00:38:16> 00:38:21:	we wanted to understand how markets were responding to climate
00:38:21> 00:38:22:	risk.
00:38:22> 00:38:26:	And part of that is, is how are how are
00:38:26> 00:38:32:	certain cities or local jurisdictions funding infrastructure upgrades.
00:38:32> 00:38:36:	And a lot of those infrastructure upgrades, they could be
00:38:36> 00:38:38:	funded through real estate taxes.
00:38:38> 00:38:41:	And so if you're investing in a market that and
00:38:41> 00:38:46:	where that market needs to protect itself, they need to
00:38:46> 00:38:51:	spend capital to harden the infrastructure to protect against flooding
00:38:51> 00:38:55:	or to protect against sea level rise, then that's where
00:38:55> 00:38:59:	an investor we, we want to understand how are those
00:38:59> 00:39:02:	those capital upgrades being funded?
00:39:02> 00:39:05:	Is it through increasing property taxes?
00:39:07> 00:39:13:	Yeah, even just in, in Florida and Mexico, we've seen
00:39:13> 00:39:14:	every strategy.
00:39:14> 00:39:18:	We've seen an increase in resort taxes and fees we've
00:39:18> 00:39:18:	seen.
00:39:19> 00:39:22:	Taxes associated with real estate transactions.
00:39:22> 00:39:25:	We've seen sales taxes and a portion of a sales
00:39:25> 00:39:28:	tax being carved off to help pay for these infrastructure
00:39:28> 00:39:31:	improvements and many of these cities and and Miami Beach
00:39:31> 00:39:32:	is a great example.
00:39:32> 00:39:36:	So that they don't have to increase taxes, they indirectly
00:39:36> 00:39:40:	will float a a bond to pay for infrastructure investment,
00:39:40> 00:39:43:	which in an era of low interest rates can be
00:39:43> 00:39:46:	a really amazing low cost way for a city to
00:39:47> 00:39:48:	distribute the cost.
00:39:49> 00:39:53:	Of these infrastructure upgrades more broadly, it's becoming harder to
00:39:53> 00:39:56:	issue those resilience bonds at a favorable interest rate these
00:39:56> 00:39:59:	days compared to three or four years ago.
00:40:00> 00:40:02:	There's a question for Shiva.
00:40:02> 00:40:05:	Shiva, have you seen any reporting standards that that you
00:40:05> 00:40:08:	think have been helpful in assessing climate risk in a
00:40:08> 00:40:09:	way that's helpful for an investor?
00:40:11> 00:40:12:	And then?

```
00:40:14 --> 00:40:16:
                          Seems like the answer may be no.
00:40:16 --> 00:40:17:
                          Well, maybe not.
00:40:17 --> 00:40:17:
                          It's not.
00:40:17 --> 00:40:20:
                          You know, if I if I sounded too pessimistic, I'm
00:40:20 --> 00:40:20:
                          sorry.
00:40:20 --> 00:40:22:
                          But you know, the hope is to get to a
00:40:22 --> 00:40:22:
                          better place.
00:40:22 --> 00:40:27:
                          But let me give you 222 role models, arguably both
00:40:27 --> 00:40:32:
                          surprising, I would imagine one Exxon Mobil, the the energy
00:40:32 --> 00:40:33:
                          company, right.
00:40:33 --> 00:40:37:
                          So you know, we often accuse American companies of being
00:40:37 --> 00:40:38:
                          myopic and so on.
00:40:39 --> 00:40:44:
                          Exxon actually gives out a scenario for where that business
00:40:44 --> 00:40:48:
                          might go or where would revenue come from by 2050.
00:40:49 --> 00:40:51:
                          Now by definition these are scenarios and so on, but
00:40:51 --> 00:40:53:
                          still it's super insightful.
00:40:53 --> 00:40:56:
                          So they say that even maybe in 2040 or 2050,
00:40:56 --> 00:40:59:
                          half of our energy consumption is still going to be
00:40:59 --> 00:41:02:
                          oil and gas, more gas, perhaps less oil because it's
00:41:03 --> 00:41:05:
                          a transition field and all that.
00:41:05 --> 00:41:08:
                          But most of the money would still be would be
00:41:08 --> 00:41:12:
                          made through chemicals, you know, So I'm guessing before
                          the
00:41:12 --> 00:41:15:
                          whole ESG climate revolution, I'm guessing no U.S.
00:41:15 --> 00:41:18:
                          company actually wrote 30 year plans or 40 year plans.
00:41:18 --> 00:41:21:
                          So that's a road model where whether you agree or
00:41:21 --> 00:41:24:
                          disagree, at least there's a management view out there on
00:41:24 --> 00:41:27:
                          what they intend to do, which I think is very
00:41:27 --> 00:41:30:
                          helpful and I hope somebody in real estate picks that
00:41:30 --> 00:41:30:
                          up.
00:41:30 --> 00:41:34:
                          The other example at a more tactical level is actually
00:41:34 --> 00:41:37:
                          a tobacco company which the ESG people obviously don't
                          like.
00:41:38 --> 00:41:41:
                          It's the, it's Philip Morris, you know Philip Morris if
00:41:41 --> 00:41:44:
                          you go pull up their data is amazing.
00:41:44 --> 00:41:46:
                          They have 18 KPIs on ESG.
00:41:46 --> 00:41:49:
                          It sounds like a lot, but everybody has line item
00:41:49 --> 00:41:53:
                          responsibility at a divisional level for some KPI.
00:41:53 --> 00:41:54:
                          They're paid on that.
00:41:54 --> 00:41:59:
                          They actually take ESG seriously in terms of integrating that
00:41:59 --> 00:42:00:
                          with strategy.
00:42:00 --> 00:42:02:
                          See, that's the that's the number one problem, right.
```

The questioner also says that.

00:40:13 --> 00:40:14:

00:42:02> 00:42:06:	If you don't integrate this with your strategic thinking, it's
00:42:06> 00:42:07:	always going to look disjointed.
00:42:07> 00:42:10:	The sustainability report is never going to talk to the
00:42:10> 00:42:14:	10K and people are going to accuse your brainwashing,
	maybe
00:42:14> 00:42:15:	legitimately so.
00:42:15> 00:42:19:	So SO2SO2 ideas there and the bigger practical take away
00:42:19> 00:42:24:	think about integrating this in your strategic thinking, right, Maybe
00:42:24> 00:42:27:	that's the only real way for the organization to to
00:42:27> 00:42:29:	kind of move forward?
00:42:32> 00:42:34:	I think indirectly Shiva, you're also suggesting that the real
00:42:34> 00:42:37:	estate industry may want to look to other forward thinking
00:42:37> 00:42:40:	Fortune 5 hundreds in other industries who may have absolutely
00:42:40> 00:42:41:	there are Rd.
00:42:41> 00:42:42:	models out there.
00:42:42> 00:42:44:	And if you want a third example, maybe you don't
00:42:44> 00:42:46:	want this, but look at AXA, this is an insurance
00:42:46> 00:42:48:	company and this is kind of the opposite of what
00:42:49> 00:42:50:	Laura was saying, right?
00:42:50> 00:42:51:	Let's look at what they are doing.
00:42:52> 00:42:54:	So of course they're increasing prices for us as real
00:42:54> 00:42:57:	estate people, but that's their revenue.
00:42:57> 00:42:59:	And are they really covering their cost?
00:42:59> 00:43:02:	Turns out not because the Europeans are more worried because
00:43:02> 00:43:04:	they're active in the reinsurance market.
00:43:04> 00:43:07:	The US property casualty insurance companies are frankly in my
00:43:08> 00:43:10:	mind not super, you know, when they don't have a
00:43:10> 00:43:13:	lot of skin in the game because they're effectively running
00:43:13> 00:43:16:	a cost plus model when when losses go up, they
00:43:16> 00:43:18:	simply spread that over the rate base and they move
00:43:18> 00:43:19:	on with the profit.
00:43:20> 00:43:22:	So you want to look at the Europeans and AXA.
00:43:22> 00:43:25:	AXA is a is a fabulous role model
00:43:25> 00:43:27:	if you're looking for role models.
00:43:30> 00:43:33:	So a tough question about the future of cities.
00:43:33> 00:43:36:	Do you foresee climate change slim areas forming or more
00:43:36> 00:43:39:	of them forming due to buildings not being able to
00:43:39> 00:43:42:	improve to meet climate change performing targets?
00:43:42> 00:43:44:	Do it due to something that we've talked about our

00:43:44 --> 00:43:48: previous webinar, these buildings being stranded assets that it's going 00:43:48 --> 00:43:50: to cost more to bring them up to. 00:43:50 --> 00:43:53: Code or standards then the building is actually work. 00:43:55 --> 00:43:56: Is this a? 00:43:56 --> 00:43:57: Is this a real risk? 00:43:57 --> 00:43:59: Is it something that you all are tracking? 00:44:00 --> 00:44:04: I think there is a risk for buildings not being 00:44:04 --> 00:44:08: in compliant local law 97 for instance that it goes 00:44:08 --> 00:44:09: into effect 2024. 00:44:09 --> 00:44:13: It was going to be a fine and it sounds 00:44:13 --> 00:44:15: like in the recent week that. 00:44:16 --> 00:44:19: There could be a an opportunity to to showcase how 00:44:20 --> 00:44:23: you plan to reduce energy and carbon and maybe you 00:44:23 --> 00:44:26: won't be hit with a fine in 2024. 00:44:26 --> 00:44:29: So I think that some of these rules that are 00:44:29 --> 00:44:32: coming out that that may have a lot of teeth 00:44:32 --> 00:44:34: in them are potentially being rolled back slightly. 00:44:36 --> 00:44:38: So in terms of this being imminent, I do think 00:44:38 --> 00:44:40: it's very important. 00:44:41 --> 00:44:43: And I do think that there could be an opportunity. 00:44:43 --> 00:44:47: There may be a pricing reset for assets that are 00:44:47 --> 00:44:50: not compliant or are heavy carbon emitters. 00:44:51 --> 00:44:54: And there could be an opportunity for an investor to 00:44:54 --> 00:44:57: come in and buy that asset at a lower cost 00:44:57 --> 00:45:00: basis and make upgrades and turn that into a value 00:45:00 --> 00:45:01: add asset. 00:45:01 --> 00:45:05: So reposition the asset through capital upgrades. 00:45:07 --> 00:45:10: So Billy, if I may, just a quick 32nd, you 00:45:10 --> 00:45:11: know, a point to add here. 00:45:12 --> 00:45:16: So I think last month Columbia ran a conference on 00:45:16 --> 00:45:17: managed retreat. 00:45:17 --> 00:45:21: You know, it sounds awful, but it's a it's a 00:45:21 --> 00:45:21: reality. 00:45:22 --> 00:45:24: You know, at some point we just have to, you 00:45:24 --> 00:45:26: know, I'm just very pessimistic about us fixing anything frankly. 00:45:27 --> 00:45:29: I think the only option we really have is to 00:45:29 --> 00:45:29: adapt. 00:45:29 --> 00:45:33: And 11 strategy associated with adaptation is just we just 00:45:33 --> 00:45:34: have to retreat. 00:45:35 --> 00:45:37: So this is, this sounds like science fiction.

00:45:37> 00:45:39:	It's it's probably not science fiction.
00:45:39> 00:45:40:	It's going to happen.
00:45:41> 00:45:43:	So you know, and folks in your audience probably might
00:45:43> 00:45:44:	want to think about that.
00:45:46> 00:45:50:	Yeah, we've, I mean we've seen places like Jakarta and
00:45:50> 00:45:54:	DACA and Manila and Cairo already starting to do just
00:45:54> 00:45:56:	that out of necessity.
00:45:56> 00:45:59:	And it's not unlikely that this is going to happen
00:45:59> 00:46:01:	in more communities in the United States.
00:46:01> 00:46:03:	I mean, there is even a little bit of this
00:46:04> 00:46:07:	happening along the Gulf Coast and then and there are
00:46:07> 00:46:10:	states that have pretty proactive programs already like New York
00:46:10> 00:46:13:	and New Jersey that are being very thoughtful about how
00:46:13> 00:46:15:	they incentivize managed retreat.
00:46:16> 00:46:19:	When I think that that was something we were trying
00:46:19> 00:46:22:	to head on with report three is climate migration.
00:46:22> 00:46:26:	Are people taking climate risks into account when they make
00:46:26> 00:46:27:	decisions on where to locate?
00:46:28> 00:46:30:	You know, and I think we got some mixed results
00:46:30> 00:46:31:	from that.
00:46:31> 00:46:33:	You can't just look at climate risk and say people
00:46:33> 00:46:35:	are not going to move towards climate risk.
00:46:35> 00:46:38:	What we found is people are thinking about the cost
00:46:38> 00:46:39:	of living and quality of life.
00:46:40> 00:46:41:	So you know at what point do.
00:46:42> 00:46:45:	Do those two factors tip to where people choose to
00:46:45> 00:46:46:	move somewhere else?
00:46:47> 00:46:49:	And I think that that's when you're going to start
00:46:49> 00:46:50:	to see the real manage retreat.
00:46:53> 00:46:55:	I mean, I have three good questions.
00:46:55> 00:46:57:	They're probably more, but I want to get through all
00:46:57> 00:46:59:	three of these and get both of your opinions on
00:46:59> 00:46:59:	this.
00:46:59> 00:47:04:	So the first one's about green certifications, building level certifications
00:47:04> 00:47:05:	such as lead.
00:47:05> 00:47:10:	I'd also add in Energy Star, Bream Neighbors.
00:47:10> 00:47:13:	Can you talk about the future role that these third
00:47:13> 00:47:16:	party certifications will play in eliminating some of the risks
00:47:16> 00:47:17:	from Laura's presentation?
00:47:18> 00:47:20:	What's the future role of of lead and other asset
00:47:20> 00:47:23:	level standards and and helping to comply with these

	emerging
00:47:23> 00:47:24:	regulations?
00:47:26> 00:47:29:	So I do think that there is a place for
00:47:29> 00:47:33:	lead operations, but the, you know their lead has a
00:47:33> 00:47:38:	certification for new construction and then a certification for
	operations
00:47:38> 00:47:39:	and management.
00:47:39> 00:47:43:	I think it's important to develop a green friendly building,
00:47:43> 00:47:46:	but I think it's it's almost more important to run
00:47:46> 00:47:47:	your asset very efficiently.
00:47:48> 00:47:52:	So if we're talking about the operational component, I think
00:47:52> 00:47:57:	that that's very important to get operational efficiency, green energy
00:47:57> 00:48:01:	stars ratings, a high rating and certifications that can
00:48:01> 00:48:05:	backstop all your data and make sure that your building is
00:48:05> 00:48:07:	running as efficiently as possible.
00:48:07> 00:48:10:	And I tend to think that certifications can be a
00:48:10> 00:48:11:	great.
00:48:12> 00:48:16:	Best practice for property management on site on things that
00:48:16> 00:48:17:	they should be doing.
00:48:17> 00:48:20:	It's just, it can be a reminder of you know.
00:48:20> 00:48:22:	Here's a set of best practice.
00:48:24> 00:48:27:	Do you have any thoughts on this role of lead
00:48:27> 00:48:29:	Energy Star Neighbors increase in this new.
00:48:29> 00:48:30:	Yeah.
00:48:30> 00:48:33:	So I'm gonna comment this more slightly different angle that,
00:48:33> 00:48:36:	you know, I like the fact that audit as a
00:48:36> 00:48:40:	function is getting decentralized with my worry is that the,
00:48:40> 00:48:43:	the big four, you know, I'm sure people in the
00:48:43> 00:48:46:	audience have opinions about how effective they are.
00:48:47> 00:48:50:	At least there are other auditors now in this domain,
00:48:50> 00:48:51:	which is good.
00:48:51> 00:48:54:	You know, competition is not a bad thing and they're
00:48:54> 00:48:58:	specialists as opposed to having, you know, the big four
00:48:58> 00:49:00:	pretty much a point on everything.
00:49:00> 00:49:01:	So that's the that's that's nice.
00:49:01> 00:49:05:	So there's democratization of the audit process which is which
00:49:05> 00:49:08:	is what I like about the the emerging certifications and
00:49:08> 00:49:08:	so on.
00:49:09> 00:49:11:	But having said that, it's also death by NGOs.
00:49:11> 00:49:14:	I mean this is an area where there are like

00:49:14> 00:49:17:	gazillion 4 four letter NGOs writing standards, right.
00:49:18> 00:49:22:	You you mentioned a few TCFDT and FDGRI biases
	etcetera,
00:49:22> 00:49:22:	etcetera.
00:49:23> 00:49:25:	So how does one manage that proliferation is the cost.
00:49:26> 00:49:28:	But the the benefit is, you know, I like the
00:49:29> 00:49:32:	democratization idea, so all power to good certifiers.
00:49:35> 00:49:38:	I have a great question from Kristen and Kristen and
00:49:38> 00:49:41:	I apologize if I don't paraphrase this completely correctly.
00:49:41> 00:49:45:	Kristen's A consultant working on climate assessment and adaptations, but
00:49:45> 00:49:48:	she's seen owners not progress with this work because of
00:49:48> 00:49:51:	cost or potential liability that the challenge of we knew
00:49:51> 00:49:53:	the risk and didn't fix it.
00:49:53> 00:49:55:	So I mean, are you seeing?
00:49:55> 00:49:59:	Owners, I've I've personally seen a lot more legal counsel
00:49:59> 00:50:02:	showing up in our climate risk and resilience conversations
	than
00:50:02> 00:50:04:	I ever saw in other ESG conversations.
00:50:05> 00:50:08:	Is this a challenge that we're facing in the market,
00:50:08> 00:50:10:	That people don't even want to know their climate risk
00:50:10> 00:50:12:	because then they will feel they have a legal responsibility
00:50:12> 00:50:13:	to fix it?
00:50:16> 00:50:16:	Yeah, sure.
00:50:16> 00:50:18:	Cuz I don't know if Laura wasn't coming on that.
00:50:19> 00:50:20:	Well, I don't mind.
00:50:20> 00:50:23:	You know, I'm a tenure professor, so I'm reasonably immune
00:50:23> 00:50:24:	to getting fired.
00:50:24> 00:50:27:	But having said that, this reminds me of, you know,
00:50:27> 00:50:30:	not, not not going to your annual physical hoping that
00:50:30> 00:50:32:	something doesn't get discovered right?
00:50:33> 00:50:37:	Yeah, but it's, it's real, but it's probably not the
00:50:37> 00:50:40:	best long term health strategy.
00:50:40> 00:50:42:	Well, if you intend to flip your property, well, I
00:50:42> 00:50:44:	can't flip my body, but if you intend to flip
00:50:44> 00:50:46:	your property, it's great, right?
00:50:47> 00:50:49:	And I worry that that's totally fine.
00:50:49> 00:50:51:	If that's your strategy, that's totally fine.
00:50:51> 00:50:53:	Yeah, I know there's a risk.
00:50:51> 00:50:53: 00:50:53> 00:50:55:	Yeah, I know there's a risk. I don't want to quantify it because I'd rather sell
00:50:51> 00:50:53: 00:50:53> 00:50:55: 00:50:56> 00:50:57:	Yeah, I know there's a risk. I don't want to quantify it because I'd rather sell the property in the next three years.
00:50:51> 00:50:53: 00:50:53> 00:50:55:	Yeah, I know there's a risk. I don't want to quantify it because I'd rather sell

Pro. 00:51:06 --> 00:51:09: And one of the great things about tools like Risk 00:51:09 --> 00:51:13: Factor is it's now available to every every residential user 00:51:13 --> 00:51:16: of realtor.org and for a very small fee it's available 00:51:17 --> 00:51:19: to folks to assess commercial properties. 00:51:20 --> 00:51:23: So even if you're as an owner not disclosing this 00:51:23 --> 00:51:27: risk, it it is becoming easier and easier for potential 00:51:27 --> 00:51:30: buyers to to assess these risks on their own. 00:51:31 --> 00:51:32: Yeah, no, I find it. 00:51:32 --> 00:51:35: I find it really interesting because we wanna know the 00:51:35 --> 00:51:38: risk, we wanna understand how we can mitigate it. 00:51:39 --> 00:51:42: So I I think it's important for like Billy, like 00:51:42 --> 00:51:45: you said, the information is out there now. 00:51:45 --> 00:51:48: 10 years ago, maybe not, but now it's out there. 00:51:49 --> 00:51:52: Can I answer a quick footnote to that comment, Billy? 00:51:52 --> 00:51:54: You know, somebody mentioned brown discount. 00:51:54 --> 00:51:56: Has anybody actually seen a brown discount? 00:51:56 --> 00:51:58: I mean, I've been looking hard. 00:51:58 --> 00:52:00: So if you have evidence of brown discounts, people say 00:52:00 --> 00:52:02: it's there in Europe. 00:52:02 --> 00:52:03: And I don't follow you up too much, but please 00:52:03 --> 00:52:04: send it to me. 00:52:04 --> 00:52:05: You know, you can just Google my name. 00:52:06 --> 00:52:07: I'm looking for a brown discount. 00:52:07 --> 00:52:09: I can't find it, you know, but there should be 00:52:09 --> 00:52:10: a brown discount. 00:52:11 --> 00:52:13: I think the the place to look until a couple 00:52:13 --> 00:52:16: weeks ago would have been New York City because. 00:52:17 --> 00:52:19: It was very easy for people to assess the cost 00:52:19 --> 00:52:22: of the fine for non compliance and the cost of 00:52:22 --> 00:52:26: mitigation to come into compliance with Local law 97. 00:52:26 --> 00:52:29: Now if things have been relaxed a little bit, maybe 00:52:29 --> 00:52:33: some of that brown discount will stop being priced in. 00:52:33 --> 00:52:37: But I have to believe that the transactions happening in 00:52:37 --> 00:52:41: New York City for assets that may face that fine 00:52:41 --> 00:52:46: have to have that taken into consideration in the underwriting. 00:52:47 --> 00:52:51: But again, I it's hard with all the other factors 00:52:51 --> 00:52:54: to probably tease out what that brown discount is. 00:52:54 --> 00:52:56: You have to talk to the buyer about whether or 00:52:56 --> 00:52:59: not they factored it in and if So what what

discount rate they used.

00:52:59 --> 00:53:00:

00:53:03> 00:53:04:	One last question.
00:53:06> 00:53:09:	To what extent do you anticipate landlords need to take
00:53:09> 00:53:12:	more responsibility or actually enforce tenants?
00:53:12> 00:53:17:	Sustainable business practices like energy and water usage
00.00.12 > 00.00.17.	or social
00:53:17> 00:53:21:	criteria like labor issues now that these regulations are in
00:53:21> 00:53:25:	place and that most of them affect whole building, ESG
00:53:26> 00:53:27:	or climate performance.
00:53:29> 00:53:32:	So I think that this is something that we've seen
00:53:32> 00:53:37:	within our portfolios and within real estate portfolios more generally
00:53:37> 00:53:41:	is that corporate tenants have their own sustainability goals.
00:53:41> 00:53:44:	And so there is more of a partnership now than
00:53:44> 00:53:45:	there was a few years ago.
00:53:45> 00:53:48:	It used to be, you know, if landlords had sustainability
00:53:49> 00:53:52:	goals that landlords were the ones trying to push it,
00:53:52> 00:53:55:	but tenants necessarily weren't cooperating or maybe sharing data.
00:53:56> 00:53:59:	But I think that with the with a lot of
00:53:59> 00:54:04:	tenants more having public announcements around their goals, there tends
00:54:04> 00:54:08:	to be more cooperation in getting to a shared outcome.
00:54:08> 00:54:10:	So I think that you know this is going in
00:54:10> 00:54:11:	the right direction.
00:54:11> 00:54:14:	I would say that we still have, you know we
00:54:14> 00:54:17:	cannot we we may have industrial properties within our portfolio
00:54:17> 00:54:18:	which are triple net.
00:54:18> 00:54:20:	So we do not control the operations.
00:54:20> 00:54:22:	We may not even see the energy bills.
00:54:22> 00:54:25:	But what we can do is have a conversation with
00:54:25> 00:54:28:	our tenant and encourage them, but we can't tell them
00:54:28> 00:54:30:	necessarily how to run their operations.
00:54:31> 00:54:34:	So I think there there still can be I guess
00:54:34> 00:54:38:	a delineation around what a landlord is is able to
00:54:38> 00:54:41:	do or not do or encourage or not encourage.
00:54:48> 00:54:48:	All right.
00:54:48> 00:54:53:	Well, I also did get a question about what resources
00:54:53> 00:54:55:	can we recommend.
00:54:55> 00:54:58:	So I'd also like to say for panelists, other than
00:54:58> 00:55:02:	going to ULI, are there other places that you go
00:55:02> 00:55:04:	for your climate risk information?
00:55:08> 00:55:11:	So, you know, you know, I read a lot of

00:55:16 --> 00:55:18: I often tell my students, you know, don't read the 00:55:18 --> 00:55:21: National Enquirer, read a proxy statement instead. 00:55:21 --> 00:55:22: There's more fun to be heard. 00:55:22 --> 00:55:25: So look at what companies are doing in the public 00:55:25 --> 00:55:27: domain, both in Europe and the US. 00:55:27 --> 00:55:28: It's super informative. 00:55:28 --> 00:55:31: There's a lot of innovation in this space because there 00:55:31 --> 00:55:32: is no regulation. 00:55:32 --> 00:55:35: But as we discussed, that can also be a problem. 00:55:39 --> 00:55:39: Great. 00:55:39 --> 00:55:42: Well, I before we go, I'd like to ask everybody 00:55:42 --> 00:55:44: to take a look in the chat. 00:55:46 --> 00:55:49: We are trying hard to collect information on how good 00:55:49 --> 00:55:52: of a job we do in presenting the information through 00:55:52 --> 00:55:53: these webinars. 00:55:53 --> 00:55:55: So we would love for everybody. 00:55:55 --> 00:55:58: Just take a minute before this webinar ends, click on 00:55:58 --> 00:56:01: that link and make sure that you let us know 00:56:01 --> 00:56:02: how we're doing. 00:56:02 --> 00:56:05: I also did a very bad job of typing something 00:56:05 --> 00:56:07: into the chat on the last question. 00:56:07 --> 00:56:09: I would also encourage the audience to check out Developing 00:56:09 --> 00:56:10: Urban Resilience. 00:56:11 --> 00:56:15: It's a website collection of case studies and reports produced 00:56:15 --> 00:56:18: by ULI on the question of climate risk and resilience. 00:56:19 --> 00:56:22: There's some great resources there on both physical and transition 00:56:22 --> 00:56:25: risk and I'm sure that if this report is not 00:56:25 --> 00:56:27: up there yet, it will be by the end of 00:56:27 --> 00:56:27: the day today. 00:56:28 --> 00:56:29: So thank you again. 00:56:29 --> 00:56:32: Everybody, please take the time to fill out our survey. 00:56:32 --> 00:56:35: I'd like to thank Laura and Shiv, our panelists today. 00:56:35 --> 00:56:37: And I'd like to thank you all for attending. 00:56:37 --> 00:56:38: Have a great rest of your week. 00:56:39 --> 00:56:39: Bye, bye. 00:56:40 --> 00:56:40: Thanks for having us. 00:56:40 --> 00:56:41: Thank you. 00:56:41 --> 00:56:41: Bye, bye.

annual reports, proxy statements and sustainability reports.

00:55:11 --> 00:55:16:

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact [email protected].