

Webinar

Missing Middle Housing Comes of Age

Date: October 20, 2023

| 00:00:01> 00:00:03: | Good morning and good afternoon, everyone. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:00:03> 00:00:06: | Thank you for joining the Uli Twiliger webinar. |
| 00:00:06> 00:00:10: | Today I'm going to turn it over to our speakers |
| 00:00:10> 00:00:10: | in a moment. |
| 00:00:11> 00:00:14: | We want to cover some housekeeping items before we start. |
| 00:00:15> 00:00:18: | This webinar is being recorded and will be shared with |
| 00:00:18> 00:00:19: | attendees. |
| 00:00:19> 00:00:22: | If you have any questions, please put them in the |
| 00:00:23> 00:00:23: | Q&A box. |
| 00:00:24> 00:00:27: | We prefer that rather than the chat box and we |
| 00:00:28> 00:00:31: | will get to as many questions as we can at |
| 00:00:31> 00:00:34: | the end of the presentation On to our panel. |
| 00:00:35> 00:00:40: | I am pleased to welcome Dan Perelek, Jonathan Fern and |
| 00:00:40> 00:00:42: | Rick Severance today. |
| 00:00:42> 00:00:47: | Dan is an architect and Orban designer who founded Opticus |
| 00:00:47> 00:00:50: | Design in 1998 and has grown it to a national |
| 00:00:50> 00:00:55: | sought after firm for their expertise in urban placemaking, innovative |
| 00:00:55> 00:00:59: | housing design and zoning reform to enable 21st century towns |
| 00:00:59> 00:01:01: | and cities to thrive. |
| 00:01:02> 00:01:06: | Jonathan is the Head of Development of Oak Impact Group, |
| 00:01:06> 00:01:10: | A real estate firm specializing in the acquisition, development and |
| 00:01:10> 00:01:13: | management of commercial and residential properties. |
| 00:01:15> 00:01:19: | And Rick is President of Welland Park, one of America's |
| 00:01:19> 00:01:23: | largest and fastest selling master planned communities and home to |
| 00:01:23> 00:01:26: | the Atlanta Brave spring training facility. |
| 00:01:27> 00:01:29: | I now turn it over to Dan. |
| | |

| 00:01:32> 00:01:33: | Hello everybody. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:01:33> 00:01:34: | Thank you for joining us. |
| 00:01:35> 00:01:37: | First of all, I'd like to thank the Terwilliger Center |
| 00:01:37> 00:01:38: | for. |
| 00:01:38> 00:01:42: | Posting this important conversation about much needed housing choices. |
| 00:01:43> 00:01:47: | About a month ago, I was giving a similar missing |
| 00:01:47> 00:01:51: | middle presentation at the Utah League of Cities Annual Conference |
| 00:01:51> 00:01:55: | and just before me one of the state representatives was |
| 00:01:55> 00:01:57: | giving a housing focus. |
| 00:01:57> 00:02:02: | Presentation noted that 66% of households in the Salt Lake |
| 00:02:02> 00:02:04: | City region could not afford. |
| 00:02:05> 00:02:08: | To purchase a single family detached house. |
| 00:02:08> 00:02:11: | And so it just once again reinforced with me the |
| 00:02:11> 00:02:15: | importance of this conversation about this need for a broader |
| 00:02:15> 00:02:20: | range of housing choices, including the missing middle housing typologies. |
| 00:02:21> 00:02:25: | So if you haven't seen this document, this is a |
| 00:02:25> 00:02:31: | really great document that ULI published in partnership with RCL |
| 00:02:31> 00:02:32: | Co in 2019. |
| 00:02:32> 00:02:33: | I think it was. |
| 00:02:34> 00:02:39: | Focused on giving recommending a series of tools for the |
| 00:02:40> 00:02:46: | developers to deliver attainable housing, and it identified missing metal |
| 00:02:46> 00:02:52: | housing typologies as one of the three strategies to enable |
| 00:02:52> 00:02:59: | builders to deliver attainability as right land prices, materials prices, |
| 00:02:59> 00:03:00: | labor prices. |
| 00:03:01> 00:03:04: | Entitlement links had had had made it really, really hard |
| 00:03:04> 00:03:08: | to to deliver single family detached houses at attainable prices. |
| 00:03:08> 00:03:10: | And so just encourage you to take a look at |
| 00:03:10> 00:03:11: | that document. |
| 00:03:11> 00:03:13: | It's really, really great document. |
| 00:03:13> 00:03:15: | And so I I want to make sure as a |
| 00:03:15> 00:03:19: | start that we're all on the same page about how |
| 00:03:19> 00:03:23: | missing middle housing is defined because in that document and |
| 00:03:23> 00:03:28: | the way that we have defined missing middle housing since |
| 00:03:28> 00:03:29: | about 2011 is that. |
| 00:03:29> 00:03:33: | It's a range of housing typologies or product types first |
| 00:03:33> 00:03:34: | and foremost. |

| 00:03:34> 00:03:39: | And with thoughtful design, those typologies can deliver attainability, but |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:03:39> 00:03:42: | they can also be targeted at other market segments as |
| 00:03:42> 00:03:43: | well. |
| 00:03:43> 00:03:44: | We can talk a little bit about that in the |
| 00:03:44> 00:03:45: | conversation. |
| 00:03:45> 00:03:47: | So I think that's just a really important place to |
| 00:03:47> 00:03:49: | start the conversation. |
| 00:03:50> 00:03:53: | I think what's interesting, if you've another good resource for |
| 00:03:53> 00:03:56: | you to look at is this 2023 Community and Transportation |
| 00:03:56> 00:04:00: | Preference Survey by the National Association of Realtors. |
| 00:04:00> 00:04:06: | Some pretty staggering statistics that keep growing, it seems that. |
| 00:04:06> 00:04:10: | Now, 77% of Americans would pay a premium to live |
| 00:04:10> 00:04:14: | in a walkable neighborhood, and that goes up to 92% |
| 00:04:14> 00:04:15: | for Gen. |
| 00:04:15> 00:04:18: | Z, which is, you know, one of the largest market |
| 00:04:18> 00:04:19: | segments right now. |
| 00:04:19> 00:04:24: | And then even more applicable to the missing middle conversations |
| 00:04:24> 00:04:28: | is that over half of the respondents said that they |
| 00:04:28> 00:04:28: | would. |
| 00:04:29> 00:04:32: | Prefer to live in a non single family, detached housing |
| 00:04:32> 00:04:34: | type or missing middle housing. |
| 00:04:34> 00:04:36: | And so that just really goes to show you that |
| 00:04:36> 00:04:39: | there's a need for this to deliver attainability, but there's |
| 00:04:40> 00:04:43: | also a really strong demand for these missing middle housing |
| 00:04:43> 00:04:44: | types for this as well. |
| 00:04:44> 00:04:47: | And so I wanted to start the conversation today, and |
| 00:04:47> 00:04:49: | I'm going to hand it off to Rick. |
| 00:04:50> 00:04:53: | And Jonathan, I'm going to talk about first of all |
| 00:04:53> 00:04:57: | what missing middle housing needs in the context of for |
| 00:04:57> 00:05:02: | sale housing and trying to deliver that entry level home |
| 00:05:02> 00:05:05: | or what we often call attainability by design. |
| 00:05:05> 00:05:09: | And I'm sure many of you have seen this because |
| 00:05:09> 00:05:13: | of right land value, cost of construction, all these rising |
| 00:05:13> 00:05:17: | cost to deliver homes, we've really in most markets kind |
| 00:05:17> 00:05:18: | of pushed. |
| 00:05:19> 00:05:22: | A single family detached house to an extreme. |
| 00:05:22> 00:05:25: | We call these tall skinnies and they're kind of popping |
| 00:05:25> 00:05:27: | up in a lot of markets across the country. |
| 00:05:27> 00:05:30: | It's like how how far can you you push a |
| 00:05:30> 00:05:32: | single family detached house? |

| 00:05:32> 00:05:35: | And to me, what this is demonstrating is that we |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:05:35> 00:05:36: | really need to think. |
| 00:05:36> 00:05:40: | Beyond the single family detached house and how we can |
| 00:05:40> 00:05:44: | remove the barriers for builders to deliver the broader range |
| 00:05:44> 00:05:49: | of missing middle typologies because it's a much better solution |
| 00:05:49> 00:05:51: | for where most markets are at. |
| 00:05:51> 00:05:55: | So just one example of this that I just wanted |
| 00:05:55> 00:05:58: | to touch upon and it is a case study and |
| 00:05:58> 00:06:02: | UL is attainable housing document as well are the Muse |
| 00:06:02> 00:06:05: | homes that we designed for Holmes Homes. |
| 00:06:05> 00:06:09: | In the Salt Lake City region, in the the master |
| 00:06:09> 00:06:15: | plan community of Daybreak and these these homes demonstrated that |
| 00:06:15> 00:06:20: | with thoughtful design that you you know missing middle typologies |
| 00:06:20> 00:06:24: | can deliver attainable price points. |
| 00:06:24> 00:06:28: | And these units ranged from a little under 1000 square |
| 00:06:28> 00:06:30: | feet up to about 1400 square feet. |
| 00:06:31> 00:06:34: | And these prices are a little bit laughable at this |
| 00:06:34> 00:06:34: | point in time. |
| 00:06:34> 00:06:39: | This is pre COVID, they started at 185 and went |
| 00:06:39> 00:06:40: | up to 217. |
| 00:06:40> 00:06:43: | But the important thing here is to note that they |
| 00:06:43> 00:06:46: | were our client was able to sell them at a |
| 00:06:46> 00:06:50: | price point between 25 and \$30,000 less than the conventional |
| 00:06:50> 00:06:54: | 3 story tuck under townhouse with parking on the ground |
| 00:06:54> 00:06:55: | floor. |
| 00:06:55> 00:06:57: | And that, but at the same time it's also you |
| 00:06:57> 00:06:59: | can see on the right hand side this double story |
| 00:06:59> 00:07:00: | living spaces. |
| 00:07:00> 00:07:03: | It's also delivering a very high quality of living which |
| 00:07:03> 00:07:06: | was really important for our client to not just deliver |
| 00:07:07> 00:07:10: | sort of lower cost attainable housing for housing sake that |
| 00:07:10> 00:07:11: | doesn't live well. |
| 00:07:12> 00:07:17: | And so the mixing of types became really important. |
| 00:07:17> 00:07:20: | It was an 8 acre piece that had really deep |
| 00:07:20> 00:07:20: | lots. |
| 00:07:21> 00:07:23: | And so we we did mix some of the more |
| 00:07:24> 00:07:27: | conventional 3 story tuck under townhouses that our client was |
| 00:07:27> 00:07:30: | was building on the perimeter of the block and white |

| 00:07:30> 00:07:33: | with the internal muse homes that are having a dress |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:07:34> 00:07:37: | on a pedestrian only walkway that goes connects east and |
| 00:07:37> 00:07:39: | West and North and South through the site. |
| 00:07:40> 00:07:43: | So you can see sort of the IT kind of |
| 00:07:43> 00:07:46: | took a a constraint of a deep lot condition and |
| 00:07:46> 00:07:48: | made it an opportunity to create. |
| 00:07:49> 00:07:52: | In a 24 foot deep building type that addressed an |
| 00:07:52> 00:07:56: | internal a pedestrian walkway, that's a really high quality living |
| 00:07:57> 00:08:00: | address and we it's just a very basic concept that |
| 00:08:00> 00:08:03: | we like to follow which is fronts facing fronts because |
| 00:08:04> 00:08:06: | we see a lot of site plans with the tuck |
| 00:08:06> 00:08:11: | under townhouses in particular where fronts of buildings are awkwardly |
| 00:08:11> 00:08:15: | facing backs of buildings and just feels really really awkward |
| 00:08:15> 00:08:16: | at times and so. |
| 00:08:19> 00:08:22: | What we've also find is that with a thoughtful approach |
| 00:08:23> 00:08:28: | including missing middle typologies is that it differentiates our clients |
| 00:08:28> 00:08:31: | projects from anything else in the market as well. |
| 00:08:31> 00:08:34: | So it's a, it's sort of a risk mitigator in |
| 00:08:34> 00:08:38: | terms of differentiation and delivering something that nobody else in |
| 00:08:38> 00:08:40: | the market is delivering. |
| 00:08:40> 00:08:43: | And so this is also just wanted to demonstrate if |
| 00:08:43> 00:08:47: | it's adaptable to climate in different market conditions. |
| 00:08:47> 00:08:50: | We're applying this to a number of different projects and |
| 00:08:50> 00:08:53: | this is one in Florence, AL that's actually using more |
| 00:08:53> 00:08:54: | of a courtyard configuration. |
| 00:08:55> 00:08:58: | And we also introduced a smaller sort of a AA750 |
| 00:08:58> 00:09:02: | square foot house that our client wanted to really sort |
| 00:09:02> 00:09:06: | of hit the lower end of the the price point |
| 00:09:06> 00:09:09: | for in the highest level of attainability. |
| 00:09:10> 00:09:13: | And I guess where I feel the the for sale |
| 00:09:13> 00:09:17: | market really needs to go in most markets across the |
| 00:09:17> 00:09:21: | country are small missing middle scale condos. |
| 00:09:21> 00:09:24: | And there are a lot of reasons that we can |
| 00:09:24> 00:09:28: | talk about why this doesn't happen including construction defect risk |
| 00:09:28> 00:09:29: | liability. |
| 00:09:29> 00:09:32: | But when I was even doing the research for my |
| 00:09:32> 00:09:35: | book, it was nearly impossible to find. |
| 00:09:35> 00:09:38: | Sort of a sub 15 unit condo building. |

| 00:09:39> 00:09:42: | The, the one example that I did recently come across |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:09:42> 00:09:45: | is actually the, the the client of ours who built |
| 00:09:45> 00:09:47: | the Muse homes are building these. |
| 00:09:47> 00:09:49: | They're called Tempo condos. |
| 00:09:49> 00:09:52: | They're I think they're 11 unit condo buildings. |
| 00:09:53> 00:09:56: | And he said our clients said they can't build them |
| 00:09:56> 00:10:01: | fast enough and they're starting at about \$364,000 and ranging |
| 00:10:01> 00:10:04: | in size from 1100 to 1500 square feet. |
| 00:10:04> 00:10:06: | So I do really feel like that's where. |
| 00:10:07> 00:10:09: | The for sale market really needs to go and so |
| 00:10:09> 00:10:12: | we need to find the ways to remove those barriers |
| 00:10:12> 00:10:14: | to enable that to happen. |
| 00:10:14> 00:10:19: | So this was actually an image shared to me by |
| 00:10:19> 00:10:23: | a colleague Troy Thiel who's a realtor. |
| 00:10:23> 00:10:26: | And it just if you look at this diagram in |
| 00:10:26> 00:10:30: | red are condo multi family buildings with condos and blue |
| 00:10:30> 00:10:33: | are the multi family buildings that were delivered for rent |
| 00:10:33> 00:10:35: | and you can see post. |
| 00:10:35> 00:10:39: | In 2008 basically there were just very, very few condos |
| 00:10:39> 00:10:43: | built and it the the market never recovered for the |
| 00:10:43> 00:10:47: | delivery of these condo buildings and we need to figure |
| 00:10:47> 00:10:50: | out a way to to to fix that and correct |
| 00:10:50> 00:10:54: | that to deliver sort of attainability in most markets. |
| 00:10:54> 00:10:57: | And so shifting then to a conversation about for rent, |
| 00:10:57> 00:10:58: | missing middle. |
| 00:10:59> 00:11:02: | And you know, a lot of our efforts over the |
| 00:11:02> 00:11:06: | 1st 10 years of missing middle advocacy, planning and design |
| 00:11:06> 00:11:08: | with our developer clients focused on infill. |
| 00:11:09> 00:11:12: | But then we realized there's actually also a really strong |
| 00:11:12> 00:11:15: | need and a really strong demand for building. |
| 00:11:15> 00:11:19: | Missing middle housing at scale and the the opportunities that |
| 00:11:19> 00:11:22: | we're seeing are #1 sort of 40 plus acre sites |
| 00:11:23> 00:11:27: | in Greenfield conditions to create a a complete new missing |
| 00:11:27> 00:11:30: | middle neighborhood and I'm gonna show you an example of |
| 00:11:31> 00:11:32: | that in just a second. |
| 00:11:32> 00:11:36: | Secondarily, we're seeing like you know, 5-10 acre infill sites |
| 00:11:36> 00:11:40: | that our clients are finding in their communities to apply |
| 00:11:40> 00:11:41: | missing middle and then. |
| 00:11:42> 00:11:45: | What Rick's going to talk about in just a little |
| 00:11:45> 00:11:49: | bit here is actually larger master plan communities where the |

| 00:11:49> 00:11:54: | master developers identifying 1520 forty 60 acres adjacent to the |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:11:54> 00:11:57: | commercial area or the Town Center where they feel the |
| 00:11:57> 00:12:02: | missing middles are great opportunity to transition from the commercial |
| 00:12:02> 00:12:07: | and the higher intensity residential into the primarily single family |
| 00:12:07> 00:12:08: | neighborhoods. |
| 00:12:08> 00:12:10: | And so this first case study I'm going to show |
| 00:12:10> 00:12:12: | before I hand it off to Rick. |
| 00:12:12> 00:12:15: | Is a project that we design and it's in Papillion |
| 00:12:16> 00:12:18: | NE which is in the Omaha NE Metro. |
| 00:12:18> 00:12:23: | But it's really redefining Class A multi family with missing |
| 00:12:23> 00:12:24: | middle application. |
| 00:12:24> 00:12:27: | And even by looking at this image on the right |
| 00:12:27> 00:12:31: | you can see this is not a conventional suburban garden |
| 00:12:31> 00:12:32: | apartment project. |
| 00:12:33> 00:12:38: | It's very much about it's it's a 50 acre site. |
| 00:12:39> 00:12:42: | It's going to deliver ultimately 742 units. |
| 00:12:43> 00:12:47: | About half of that is built and occupied. |
| 00:12:48> 00:12:52: | It's now outperforming every other suburban apartment project in the |
| 00:12:52> 00:12:53: | metro. |
| 00:12:53> 00:12:56: | And just one note here is this project, even in |
| 00:12:56> 00:13:01: | a a very isolated Greenfield condition is actually parking at |
| 00:13:01> 00:13:03: | one parking space per unit off St. |
| 00:13:04> 00:13:06: | and the rest of the parking is on street. |
| 00:13:06> 00:13:09: | And I'll I'll talk a little bit about that in |
| 00:13:09> 00:13:09: | a bit. |
| 00:13:09> 00:13:11: | But it's proving that I'm sort of you can you |
| 00:13:12> 00:13:15: | can deliver a functional and desirable project with lower parking |
| 00:13:15> 00:13:16: | requirements off St. |
| 00:13:17> 00:13:19: | in a in a suburban context like this. |
| 00:13:20> 00:13:24: | I love this image because it demonstrates the great variety |
| 00:13:24> 00:13:28: | and typology massing and how the buildings are really coming |
| 00:13:28> 00:13:32: | together to create what feels like a like a neighborhood |
| 00:13:32> 00:13:36: | and people are really finding this highly, highly desirable. |
| 00:13:36> 00:13:40: | And the number represents the number of units in each |
| 00:13:40> 00:13:44: | of the the typologies and you know building a a |
| 00:13:44> 00:13:49: | larger number of smaller buildings has inefficiencies obviously. |
| 00:13:49> 00:13:54: | But our client in this particular instance, Urban Waters, found |

7

| 00:13:54> 00:13:59: | ways to find efficiencies in the project like that this |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:13:59> 00:14:00: | public St. |
| 00:14:00> 00:14:03: | becoming what would otherwise have been a parking lot and |
| 00:14:04> 00:14:04: | SO. |
| 00:14:04> 00:14:09: | Super interesting project and application of missing Middle and due |
| 00:14:09> 00:14:13: | to the large demand of this particular application, we actually |
| 00:14:13> 00:14:14: | recently launched. |
| 00:14:15> 00:14:19: | A licensing online licensing portal for the use of these |
| 00:14:19> 00:14:24: | typologies where they're available sort of quickly and at a |
| 00:14:24> 00:14:28: | low cost for quick delivery of a missing middle at |
| 00:14:28> 00:14:28: | scale. |
| 00:14:28> 00:14:30: | And so I just encourage you to take a look |
| 00:14:30> 00:14:30: | at that. |
| 00:14:30> 00:14:33: | We're really excited about instead of just doing project by |
| 00:14:33> 00:14:36: | project design, but actually looking to kind of take this |
| 00:14:36> 00:14:38: | idea of missing middle to scale. |
| 00:14:38> 00:14:40: | And so one of those types in that mix of |
| 00:14:40> 00:14:43: | the missing middle neighborhood kit is what we call the |
| 00:14:43> 00:14:44: | mansion apartment. |
| 00:14:44> 00:14:47: | And I think when I've been talking with Rick about |
| 00:14:47> 00:14:49: | this, I I knew Rick for, I've known Rick for |
| 00:14:49> 00:14:50: | about 20 years. |
| 00:14:50> 00:14:53: | We worked on Seaside Florida together when he was the |
| 00:14:53> 00:14:55: | CEO of Seaside and when he I think we've been |
| 00:14:55> 00:14:58: | talking about missing middle for a while and when he |
| 00:14:58> 00:15:02: | saw this mansion apartment design, sort of, it really struck |
| 00:15:02> 00:15:02: | his. |
| 00:15:03> 00:15:06: | His interest and sort of we sort of I wanted |
| 00:15:06> 00:15:10: | him to talk about from a master developer's perspective of |
| 00:15:10> 00:15:14: | why he's he see he's attracted to Missing Middle and |
| 00:15:14> 00:15:18: | like where it fits into the different types of of |
| 00:15:18> 00:15:23: | living options that he's delivering within Welland Park with Madamy |
| 00:15:23> 00:15:27: | Homes, which is one of the the fastest, fastest selling |
| 00:15:27> 00:15:30: | master planned communities in the country. |
| 00:15:30> 00:15:31: | So I'll hand it off to Rick. |
| 00:15:33> 00:15:34: | Thank you very much. |
| 00:15:34> 00:15:34: | l appreciate it. |
| 00:15:35> 00:15:37: | And and you, you stole some of the Thunder. |
| 00:15:37> 00:15:41: | I was going to give folks history by the way. |
| 00:15:41> 00:15:46: | It's more like 20-7 years, 25 years than a long |
| | |

| 00:15:46> 00:15:47: | time. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:15:47> 00:15:49: | So I one of the things I wanted to reference |
| 00:15:49> 00:15:49: | was that. |
| 00:15:51> 00:15:54: | Dan and I sat on a panel probably 2 1/2 |
| 00:15:54> 00:15:57: | years ago and I had seen the bones and the |
| 00:15:57> 00:16:01: | first progressions of the of the neighborhood in Nebraska and |
| 00:16:01> 00:16:02: | I was, I was smitten. |
| 00:16:02> 00:16:03: | That would be the understatement. |
| 00:16:03> 00:16:04: | I I was. |
| 00:16:05> 00:16:08: | I loved the the algebra and the calculus of solving |
| 00:16:08> 00:16:11: | a problem that we've all been talking about for so |
| 00:16:12> 00:16:12: | long. |
| 00:16:12> 00:16:16: | So having heard that and then having a relationship with |
| 00:16:16> 00:16:20: | Dan, I just kept thinking there was there's got to |
| 00:16:20> 00:16:21: | be a way, so. |
| 00:16:21> 00:16:23: | Let me give you a little background on Welland Park |
| 00:16:23> 00:16:23: | if I could. |
| 00:16:23> 00:16:25: | If you can go to the next slide that'd be |
| 00:16:25> 00:16:25: | great. |
| 00:16:25> 00:16:28: | So we are 12,000 acres. |
| 00:16:28> 00:16:31: | We have entitlements river 25,000 Du's. |
| 00:16:32> 00:16:35: | We sell give or give or take 1000 homes a |
| 00:16:35> 00:16:39: | year, which puts us always in the top ten in |
| 00:16:39> 00:16:42: | terms of top selling master plans. |
| 00:16:42> 00:16:44: | We peaked at 1400. |
| 00:16:44> 00:16:47: | We'll probably get back there in two years based on |
| 00:16:47> 00:16:49: | supply, a lot of residential. |
| 00:16:49> 00:16:51: | So this image gives you a. |
| 00:16:51> 00:16:54: | Sense of the master plan around us, everything you see |
| 00:16:54> 00:16:57: | in yellow is either under construction, actively selling. |
| 00:16:57> 00:16:59: | So the two neighbourhoods to the north are sold out. |
| 00:17:00> 00:17:04: | We just opened our new downtown with 40,000 square feet |
| 00:17:04> 00:17:08: | of, I'm sorry, 50,000 square feet of of retail and |
| 00:17:08> 00:17:11: | there's apartments that you can see are in the Navy |
| 00:17:12> 00:17:14: | blue around where this red arrow is. |
| 00:17:14> 00:17:17: | We had this site that. |
| 00:17:18> 00:17:19: | ls is awkward. |
| 00:17:19> 00:17:21: | It would not be a traditional, it wouldn't be a |
| 00:17:21> 00:17:24: | site that you would want a traditional multi family because |
| 00:17:24> 00:17:25: | it didn't have the geometry for that. |
| 00:17:26> 00:17:29: | And I just felt like this might be the perfect |
| 00:17:29> 00:17:32: | entree if you will, for missing middle because of the |

| 00:17:32> 00:17:34: | things that Dan's already referenced. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:17:34> 00:17:37: | Its proximity to the commercial core, it's fine. |
| 00:17:37> 00:17:38: | You can go to that slide. |
| 00:17:38> 00:17:42: | It's proximity to the commercial core, the walkability to downtown, |
| 00:17:42> 00:17:43: | it's lake frontage. |
| 00:17:44> 00:17:46: | You can do some on street parking as well and |
| 00:17:46> 00:17:48: | we had density goals for this area. |
| 00:17:49> 00:17:51: | To give you an indication, the star is the site |
| 00:17:51> 00:17:54: | that we're looking at, it's give or take 15 acres. |
| 00:17:54> 00:17:57: | We're getting what I think 12 1/2 units an acre |
| 00:17:57> 00:17:59: | on this particular site. |
| 00:18:00> 00:18:02: | So we worked on the site plan with Dan and |
| 00:18:02> 00:18:05: | his team and and as he referenced in the in |
| 00:18:05> 00:18:08: | the opening, you know, the Mansion House for me was |
| 00:18:08> 00:18:09: | the differentiator. |
| 00:18:10> 00:18:12: | He and I both, you know when when you have |
| 00:18:12> 00:18:16: | an affinity for architecture, you really know what you don't |
| 00:18:16> 00:18:16: | like. |
| 00:18:16> 00:18:19: | But then when you finally see something you do, you |
| 00:18:19> 00:18:21: | try to figure that out and and where is the |
| 00:18:21> 00:18:22: | place for it. |
| 00:18:22> 00:18:25: | For me, the Mansion House with the density was architecturally |
| 00:18:25> 00:18:29: | something I felt we could coastalize because we're in Sarasota |
| 00:18:29> 00:18:29: | County, Florida. |
| 00:18:30> 00:18:33: | And it still had traditional bones to it and candidly, |
| 00:18:33> 00:18:34: | it's great looking. |
| 00:18:35> 00:18:37: | So it was so close to the downtown that I |
| 00:18:37> 00:18:39: | knew it had to be great looking. |
| 00:18:39> 00:18:41: | But the site, as you can see, it's got wetland |
| 00:18:41> 00:18:42: | on one side, wetland on the other. |
| 00:18:43> 00:18:44: | It's kind of pinched. |
| 00:18:44> 00:18:46: | So we work together to create. |
| 00:18:47> 00:18:50: | A lamp plan that met our density goals, a product |
| 00:18:50> 00:18:54: | type and product differentiation that we felt would make sense |
| 00:18:54> 00:18:55: | for the market. |
| 00:18:55> 00:18:59: | So what I didn't share is we already have about, |
| 00:18:59> 00:19:05: | we'll call it 1700 multifamily units actively selling under construction |
| 00:19:05> 00:19:06: | or leasing. |

| 00:19:06> 00:19:09: | So we have traditional multifamily. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:19:09> 00:19:12: | We have a built to rent product with cottages at |
| 00:19:12> 00:19:14: | 1011 an acre alley loaded singles for rent. |
| 00:19:14> 00:19:16: | We have age restricted 200. |
| 00:19:17> 00:19:19: | Units of age restricted, multi family. |
| 00:19:19> 00:19:22: | So to me in order for everyone to play nice |
| 00:19:22> 00:19:27: | in the sandbox we needed stratification, stratification of |
| | product and |
| 00:19:27> 00:19:30: | in one in one context in a radius we had |
| 00:19:30> 00:19:35: | some exclusives first rider refusals with another multi family developer. |
| 00:19:35> 00:19:37: | And so we went to them and said hey this |
| 00:19:37> 00:19:39: | is the product, this is what we really want. |
| 00:19:39> 00:19:41: | What do you think and how do you think this |
| 00:19:41> 00:19:43: | would compete or you know? |
| 00:19:44> 00:19:46: | Because at the end of the day, we feel strongly |
| 00:19:46> 00:19:49: | that essential or attainable housing is a must. |
| 00:19:49> 00:19:51: | And if no one's willing to go out there and |
| 00:19:51> 00:19:54: | try, even if this product of 189 units, even if |
| 00:19:54> 00:19:57: | we did a proportionate share, that would be safe harbor, |
| 00:19:57> 00:20:00: | that would be rent, rent controlled, if you will, but |
| 00:20:00> 00:20:01: | nobody would know the difference. |
| 00:20:02> 00:20:04: | It gives us a lot of variables to work with |
| 00:20:04> 00:20:07: | and gives us some flexibility in terms of what the |
| 00:20:07> 00:20:08: | financial plan would be. |
| 00:20:09> 00:20:12: | The multi family developer was absolutely thumbs up so much |
| 00:20:12> 00:20:15: | so so it's you know it's it's it's a |
| 00:20:15> 00:20:18: | rental product so much so that they're the ones that |
| 00:20:18> 00:20:19: | said we want to do it. |
| 00:20:20> 00:20:21: | So we were thinking it was going to be a |
| 00:20:21> 00:20:22: | competitor. |
| 00:20:22> 00:20:24: | They literally said it's so good we would like to |
| 00:20:24> 00:20:27: | actually take ownership of it and do the project ourselves |
| 00:20:27> 00:20:30: | even though we've never built something you know just like |
| 00:20:30> 00:20:30: | this. |
| 00:20:30> 00:20:33: | So I I will say parking was easier for us |
| 00:20:33> 00:20:36: | because we could do some on street. |
| 00:20:36> 00:20:38: | I think the amenity you know that we chose to |
| 00:20:38> 00:20:40: | do something embedded in the community. |
| 00:20:40> 00:20:43: | It's literally less than 1/4 of a mile back to |
| 00:20:43> 00:20:46: | our seaside days to the urban core of the playground |
| 00:20:46> 00:20:49: | and the the retail where you can get a drink |

| 00:20:49> 00:20:52: | and a cocktail and the rooftop bars and all of |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:20:52> 00:20:52: | that. |
| 00:20:52> 00:20:54: | So it checks so many boxes. |
| 00:20:55> 00:20:58: | I would tell you the jury's out in terms of |
| 00:20:58> 00:21:00: | where we think the rents will be. |
| 00:21:00> 00:21:03: | But again, the intention is to have a product that's |
| 00:21:03> 00:21:07: | attainable, that fits and is curated, but it's also beautiful |
| 00:21:07> 00:21:10: | and fits with our architectural standards. |
| 00:21:10> 00:21:13: | And so we worked on this is the new elevations |
| 00:21:13> 00:21:15: | for our our project specifically. |
| 00:21:16> 00:21:18: | We basically took the bones of the of the Mansion |
| 00:21:18> 00:21:22: | House, did some front porches because actually the multi family |
| 00:21:22> 00:21:24: | developer came to us and said we'll get better rates |
| 00:21:24> 00:21:27: | and given where we are in Florida and as hot |
| 00:21:27> 00:21:29: | as it's been, we really need to have some of |
| 00:21:29> 00:21:30: | those deep overhangs. |
| 00:21:31> 00:21:34: | So as you can see it's something we're I think |
| 00:21:34> 00:21:38: | collectively Dan, myself, Nicholas and Alex are really, really |
| | very |
| 00:21:39> 00:21:39: | proud of. |
| 00:21:39> 00:21:42: | So I turned it back over to you. |
| 00:21:42> 00:21:44: | We just couldn't be any more excited to be a |
| 00:21:45> 00:21:47: | part of the missing middle solution. |
| 00:21:53> 00:21:53: | Thanks, Rick. |
| 00:21:53> 00:21:57: | And yeah, I just really like that. |
| 00:21:57> 00:21:59: | l mean 10 years ago if you had told me |
| 00:21:59> 00:22:02: | that you know there'd be large master plan developers looking |
| 00:22:02> 00:22:05: | at applying missing middle at at these scales. |
| 00:22:05> 00:22:07: | I I would, wouldn't wouldn't have thought it was possible. |
| 00:22:07> 00:22:10: | But the markets have changed so much in the the |
| 00:22:11> 00:22:14: | demand for for for more rental choices that. |
| 00:22:14> 00:22:18: | It's great to see this application and I'm going to |
| 00:22:18> 00:22:22: | shift the conversation now the second part a little bit |
| 00:22:22> 00:22:25: | to more of public sector applications and part of it's |
| 00:22:25> 00:22:30: | what public sector, what cities are doing, what, what regional |
| 00:22:30> 00:22:32: | planning agencies are doing. |
| 00:22:32> 00:22:35: | That part of it's what the changes they're making are |
| 00:22:35> 00:22:36: | delivering. |
| 00:22:36> 00:22:40: | And because I actually noticed a lot of the registrants |
| 00:22:40> 00:22:44: | for this session were actually representatives of cities. |
| 00:22:44> 00:22:47: | And so that's that's really great to see because this |

| 00:22:47> 00:22:51: | is a conversation that sort of spreads across public sector |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:22:51> 00:22:55: | and private sector professionals And it's I like that because |
| 00:22:55> 00:22:59: | it's our work is about half with public sector clients |
| 00:22:59> 00:23:01: | and half with private sector. |
| 00:23:01> 00:23:05: | So how do, how do innovate innovative housing solutions that |
| 00:23:05> 00:23:06: | public sector? |
| 00:23:08> 00:23:11: | With public sector changes in zoning policy and future land |
| 00:23:11> 00:23:13: | use what what are they delivering? |
| 00:23:13> 00:23:17: | And you know, I I I've been a zoning geek |
| 00:23:17> 00:23:18: | for 23 years. |
| 00:23:19> 00:23:21: | I people love this slide. |
| 00:23:21> 00:23:24: | And when when I say that most city zoning codes |
| 00:23:24> 00:23:28: | the the foundation for them was created the same year |
| 00:23:28> 00:23:32: | that this camera was created right in the middle, realize |
| 00:23:32> 00:23:33: | like, why? |
| 00:23:33> 00:23:38: | Why all conventional zoning has been ineffective in delivering housing |
| 00:23:38> 00:23:38: | choice. |
| 00:23:38> 00:23:41: | And what we really need to look to do is |
| 00:23:41> 00:23:45: | deliver you know a a state-of-the-art regulatory system that can |
| 00:23:45> 00:23:49: | deliver these housing choices and and remove the barriers for |
| 00:23:49> 00:23:52: | the types of housing that the market wants and that |
| 00:23:52> 00:23:55: | developers are are wanting to deliver as well. |
| 00:23:55> 00:23:59: | And so one thing we realized is that conventional planning |
| 00:23:59> 00:23:59: | tools. |
| 00:24:00> 00:24:05: | Weren't necessarily being effective in delivering the missing middle in |
| 00:24:05> 00:24:09: | particular because it all got classified and clumped into this |
| 00:24:09> 00:24:13: | multi family category that could mean anything from a triplex |
| 00:24:13> 00:24:15: | up to a 300 unit building. |
| 00:24:15> 00:24:18: | And so one of the tools we've started using is |
| 00:24:18> 00:24:19: | what we call a missing middle scan. |
| 00:24:19> 00:24:23: | And we're doing this for cities across the country where |
| 00:24:23> 00:24:26: | it really does three things it introduces. |
| 00:24:27> 00:24:31: | Missing middle housing to a community with appropriate messaging and |
| 00:24:31> 00:24:32: | strong graphics. |
| 00:24:33> 00:24:38: | Secondarily, it identifies geographically where a city should prioritize missing |
| 00:24:38> 00:24:38: | middle. |

| 00:24:38> 00:24:42: | And thirdly, it does a dive down into policy, zoning |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:24:42> 00:24:46: | and even area plans to identify the the things that |
| 00:24:46> 00:24:50: | need to change to truly enable the missing middle housing. |
| 00:24:50> 00:24:55: | And Greenville, SC even used the missing middle priority map |
| 00:24:55> 00:24:57: | in their comprehensive. |
| 00:24:57> 00:24:59: | Plan as A as a missing middle policy, which was |
| 00:24:59> 00:25:00: | great to see. |
| 00:25:01> 00:25:04: | We're also working on and cities are working on citywide |
| 00:25:04> 00:25:05: | missing middle plans. |
| 00:25:06> 00:25:10: | We're about 3/4 of the way through a a citywide |
| 00:25:10> 00:25:12: | strategy for Sacramento, CA. |
| 00:25:12> 00:25:15: | And one of the pieces of this that I'm really |
| 00:25:15> 00:25:19: | excited about it our colleagues at Cascadia are working on |
| 00:25:19> 00:25:23: | as a displacement risk analysis that sort of just understands |
| 00:25:23> 00:25:27: | what when we're, when we're turning levers on regulations and |
| 00:25:27> 00:25:32: | policy, making sure that we're being thoughtful about reducing, minimizing |
| 00:25:32> 00:25:36: | or eliminating A displacement especially of black and the black |
| 00:25:36> 00:25:38: | and brown households. |
| 00:25:39> 00:25:42: | So also what we've found is that. |
| 00:25:42> 00:25:46: | Running the pro forma analysis for a range of missing |
| 00:25:46> 00:25:51: | middle build out scenarios is very, very critical in informing |
| 00:25:51> 00:25:55: | sort of the zoning, zoning and policy changes. |
| 00:25:55> 00:25:57: | So we're not just making changes and hoping that they're |
| 00:25:57> 00:26:00: | going to work, but rather letting the pro forma analysis |
| 00:26:00> 00:26:03: | sort of on a neighbourhood by neighbourhood basis inform that |
| 00:26:03> 00:26:04: | decision making. |
| 00:26:04> 00:26:06: | Because what we've discovered is that. |
| 00:26:07> 00:26:10: | It's not actually that easy to find what we call |
| 00:26:10> 00:26:13: | the missing middle sweet spot, which is where you can |
| 00:26:13> 00:26:14: | deliver feasibility. |
| 00:26:14> 00:26:18: | You're changing your zoning to allow feasibility for a developer, |
| 00:26:18> 00:26:22: | but you're also changing in a way that is incentivizing |
| 00:26:22> 00:26:27: | the delivery of attainably priced housing, but also delivering livable |
| 00:26:27> 00:26:27: | solutions. |
| 00:26:27> 00:26:31: | And so just has to be a very thoughtful approach. |
| 00:26:31> 00:26:32: | And if it was easy, I tell people, it would |
| 00:26:32> 00:26:33: | have been done already. |

| 00:26:34> 00:26:37: | We're also seeing the need for regional solutions just to |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:26:38> 00:26:40: | the dire because of the dire nature of the housing |
| 00:26:40> 00:26:43: | crisis in every corner of the country. |
| 00:26:43> 00:26:47: | And so we recently worked with the Washington State Department |
| 00:26:47> 00:26:51: | of Commerce on some educational tools and a a zoning |
| 00:26:51> 00:26:55: | tool kit that could be applied to it's 82 jurisdictions |
| 00:26:55> 00:26:57: | in the Puget Sound region. |
| 00:26:57> 00:27:00: | And so that's really the scale we feel this conversation |
| 00:27:00> 00:27:01: | needs to happen at. |
| 00:27:02> 00:27:05: | And then so sort of jumping into a couple case |
| 00:27:05> 00:27:08: | studies before I hand it off to Jonathan, is what |
| 00:27:08> 00:27:12: | happens if a city allows EDUS to be sold separately. |
| 00:27:12> 00:27:15: | And this is a really interesting project that we worked |
| 00:27:15> 00:27:17: | on in Seattle with Rudd Development. |
| 00:27:17> 00:27:20: | It's a it's a little pocket neighbourhood or cottage court. |
| 00:27:20> 00:27:25: | So this is under Seattle's residential small lot zoning. |
| 00:27:26> 00:27:29: | And so just a couple examples is of how it's |
| 00:27:29> 00:27:33: | delivering really creative solutions for AD US is this is |
| 00:27:33> 00:27:37: | a typology that has a 2 1/2 story townhouse that's |
| 00:27:37> 00:27:40: | 12 foot wide on one side in the pink on |
| 00:27:40> 00:27:42: | the left hand side. |
| 00:27:42> 00:27:45: | You have a 1 1/2 story townhouse on the second |
| 00:27:45> 00:27:47: | and third floors with an Adu below. |
| 00:27:47> 00:27:51: | That could either be sold separately as its own primary |
| 00:27:51> 00:27:54: | unit, or it could be sold with the the unit |
| 00:27:54> 00:27:54: | 1 above it. |
| 00:27:55> 00:28:02: | And then secondarily, this design introduces a little two-story attached |
| 00:28:02> 00:28:04: | Adu as a wing to this. |
| 00:28:04> 00:28:08: | What then becomes a triplex and and basically that Adu |
| 00:28:09> 00:28:11: | can be sold as its own separate unit. |
| 00:28:11> 00:28:15: | And because there's a maximum unit size, I think it's |
| 00:28:15> 00:28:19: | 850 square feet, if I remember remembering correctly, it's delivering |
| 00:28:19> 00:28:21: | smaller, more attainably priced housing. |
| 00:28:22> 00:28:25: | To this market and that what's interesting is the the |
| 00:28:26> 00:28:29: | AD us do not count toward the density calculation which |
| 00:28:29> 00:28:31: | is a really great incentive. |
| 00:28:31> 00:28:34: | They do count toward the maximum FAR. |
| 00:28:34> 00:28:37: | But the other thing that this is a really nuanced |
| 00:28:37> 00:28:40: | set of standards that I really, we really enjoyed designing |
| 00:28:40> 00:28:44: | under because one of the things is that the maximum |

| 00:28:44> 00:28:47: | lot coverage is actually pretty low and what that does |
|---|---|
| 00:28:47> 00:28:47: | is it. |
| 00:28:48> 00:28:51: | Allows in this instance a density of about 31 units |
| 00:28:51> 00:28:55: | per acre, but it it's sort of requiring the type |
| 00:28:55> 00:28:59: | of open space that we created in this courtyard oriented |
| 00:28:59> 00:28:59: | scheme. |
| 00:28:59> 00:29:02: | So it's a it's it's I just encourage you to |
| 00:29:02> 00:29:04: | take a look at that that Seattle approach, it's a |
| 00:29:05> 00:29:07: | really sort of nuanced way to regulate. |
| 00:29:07> 00:29:10: | And then you know, we've been talking about this a |
| 00:29:10> 00:29:13: | long time in our form based code conversations about what |
| 00:29:13> 00:29:17: | happens when a city removes density caps but still regulates |
| 00:29:17> 00:29:17: | form. |
| 00:29:18> 00:29:21: | And this is a little micro unit courtyard housing project |
| 00:29:21> 00:29:25: | that we're designing in Santa Maria, CA under their downtown |
| 00:29:25> 00:29:27: | specific plan that did just that. |
| 00:29:27> 00:29:30: | It removed the density cap. |
| 00:29:30> 00:29:34: | There's no maximum density, but it does very thoughtfully |
| | regulate |
| 00:29:34> 00:29:38: | height, regulate setbacks and a percentage of open space that |
| | |
| 00:29:38> 00:29:40: | is required in the project. |
| 00:29:38> 00:29:40: 00:29:40> 00:29:41: | |
| | is required in the project. |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:29:59> 00:30:02: 00:30:02> 00:30:03: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:29:59> 00:30:02: 00:30:02> 00:30:03: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. And so this just demonstrates that with some thoughtfulness |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:29:59> 00:30:02: 00:30:02> 00:30:03: 00:30:03> 00:30:07: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. And so this just demonstrates that with some thoughtfulness in |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:29:59> 00:30:02: 00:30:02> 00:30:03: 00:30:07> 00:30:10: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. And so this just demonstrates that with some thoughtfulness in our zoning refinements that we can find ways to |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:30:02> 00:30:02: 00:30:03> 00:30:10: 00:30:10> 00:30:13: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. And so this just demonstrates that with some thoughtfulness in our zoning refinements that we can find ways to deliver a really broad range of high quality. |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:30:02> 00:30:02: 00:30:03> 00:30:10: 00:30:10> 00:30:13: 00:30:13> 00:30:16: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. And so this just demonstrates that with some thoughtfulness in our zoning refinements that we can find ways to deliver a really broad range of high quality. Missing middle and this is a little bit more of |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:30:02> 00:30:02: 00:30:03> 00:30:10: 00:30:10> 00:30:13: 00:30:13> 00:30:16: 00:30:16> 00:30:17: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. And so this just demonstrates that with some thoughtfulness in our zoning refinements that we can find ways to deliver a really broad range of high quality. Missing middle and this is a little bit more of that upper missing middle housing scale. |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:30:02> 00:30:02: 00:30:03> 00:30:10: 00:30:10> 00:30:10: 00:30:16> 00:30:17: 00:30:18> 00:30:20: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. And so this just demonstrates that with some thoughtfulness in our zoning refinements that we can find ways to deliver a really broad range of high quality. Missing middle and this is a little bit more of that upper missing middle housing scale. And so with that I'm going to hand it off |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: 00:29:41> 00:29:44: 00:29:45> 00:29:46: 00:29:46> 00:29:50: 00:29:51> 00:29:51: 00:29:52> 00:29:55: 00:29:55> 00:29:59: 00:30:02> 00:30:02: 00:30:03> 00:30:10: 00:30:10> 00:30:13: 00:30:16> 00:30:17: 00:30:18> 00:30:20: 00:30:20> 00:30:23: | is required in the project. So what's interesting about this? So it's an 80 foot by 72 foot lot. It has 20 micro units. So it actually generates a density of almost 130 units per acre. And I'll tell you that when most comprehensive plans or zoning codes are allowing 130 units per acre, it's assuming that it's a a mid rise or a high rise building. And so this just demonstrates that with some thoughtfulness in our zoning refinements that we can find ways to deliver a really broad range of high quality. Missing middle and this is a little bit more of that upper missing middle housing scale. And so with that I'm going to hand it off to Jonathan and I just want to note that Jonathan |

| 00:30:29> 00:30:30: | maybe even more. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:30:30> 00:30:34: | And Jonathan is great because he wears a couple of |
| 00:30:34> 00:30:35: | different caps. |
| 00:30:35> 00:30:38: | He's he's worked in the multi family industry for a |
| 00:30:38> 00:30:40: | long time with some larger. |
| 00:30:40> 00:30:41: | Multi family developer. |
| 00:30:41> 00:30:44: | So he understands sort of that larger system, but he |
| 00:30:44> 00:30:48: | also sort of functions as a planning commissioner for the |
| 00:30:48> 00:30:50: | city of Oakland and just a passionate urbanist. |
| 00:30:50> 00:30:52: | So with that, I'm going to hand it off to |
| 00:30:52> 00:30:52: | Jonathan. |
| 00:30:54> 00:30:54: | Well, thanks Dan. |
| 00:30:54> 00:30:55: | I appreciate that. |
| 00:30:56> 00:31:00: | And yeah, I I do come at this from my |
| 00:31:00> 00:31:03: | my career as one that has done. |
| 00:31:04> 00:31:08: | Multi family hide density, multi family projects in the Bay |
| 00:31:08> 00:31:09: | Area for the last 20 years. |
| 00:31:09> 00:31:12: | And so through that experience and and the challenges of |
| 00:31:13> 00:31:15: | of actually doing that kind of work, I really tried |
| 00:31:15> 00:31:19: | to try to understand better the the policy environment that. |
| 00:31:19> 00:31:22: | I was operating in and and why it was so |
| 00:31:22> 00:31:25: | difficult to get housing built in the Bay Area in |
| 00:31:25> 00:31:28: | particular and the one of the reasons why is is |
| 00:31:28> 00:31:29: | this slide right here. |
| 00:31:29> 00:31:32: | I like to show this a lot because it basically |
| 00:31:32> 00:31:35: | shows how much of our residency zoned land in the |
| 00:31:35> 00:31:38: | Bay Area only allows for one unit, and it's fully |
| 00:31:39> 00:31:41: | 82% of all the residency zoned land that you can |
| 00:31:42> 00:31:44: | only put one unit on, or at least up until |
| 00:31:44> 00:31:45: | recent times. |
| 00:31:46> 00:31:49: | That meant that folks like myself had to find ways |
| 00:31:49> 00:31:52: | to put our projects in the remaining 18% of the |
| 00:31:52> 00:31:56: | of the developable land in the Bay Area and that |
| 00:31:56> 00:32:00: | obviously has has tremendous issues in our ability to respond |
| 00:32:00> 00:32:02: | to the housing crisis. |
| 00:32:02> 00:32:05: | And so zoning really kind of undergirds what our what |
| 00:32:05> 00:32:08: | our whole issue is from an environmental and economic and |
| 00:32:08> 00:32:11: | an economic standpoint in terms of this is forced forces |
| 00:32:11> 00:32:14: | people to drive longer distances to get to work to |
| 00:32:14> 00:32:15: | equity standpoint. |
| 00:32:16> 00:32:20: | Where folks can't access, you know, high resource areas. |

| 00:32:21> 00:32:23: | So if you could, if you could switch the slide, |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:32:23> 00:32:24: | so how's that kind of built? |
| 00:32:24> 00:32:26: | How's that kind of played out in real life? |
| 00:32:26> 00:32:28: | And so I'd like to show this slide as well |
| 00:32:28> 00:32:32: | because this really kind of shows how the Bay Area |
| 00:32:32> 00:32:34: | has built out since World War 2. |
| 00:32:34> 00:32:36: | And what's become prominent as you see as we get |
| 00:32:36> 00:32:39: | into later years is that that dark blue and that |
| 00:32:39> 00:32:42: | dark blue really shows we're not doing any construction at |
| 00:32:42> 00:32:42: | all. |
| 00:32:44> 00:32:47: | And so we're either doing no construction or the other |
| 00:32:47> 00:32:49: | thing that starts to pop up are is the red |
| 00:32:49> 00:32:53: | where we're doing the the 50 plus unit structures that |
| 00:32:53> 00:32:56: | the high density kind of mid rise projects and and |
| 00:32:56> 00:32:59: | high rise projects that people are are familiar with. |
| 00:32:59> 00:33:02: | And what I'll say about this is that the Bay |
| 00:33:02> 00:33:04: | Area is not unique as a as it relates to |
| 00:33:04> 00:33:05: | its its land pattern. |
| 00:33:05> 00:33:07: | What what is unique about the Bay Area has been |
| 00:33:07> 00:33:10: | its economy for the last 20 years, which has created |
| 00:33:10> 00:33:11: | tremendous wealth. |
| 00:33:12> 00:33:15: | And the demand for high paying jobs and what we're |
| 00:33:15> 00:33:18: | seeing and experiencing in the Bay Area is that collision |
| 00:33:18> 00:33:23: | between that dynamic economy and an inelastic housing |
| | market where |
| 00:33:23> 00:33:26: | we can't produce sufficient numbers of housing to to to |
| 00:33:26> 00:33:27: | respond. |
| 00:33:27> 00:33:30: | And so the I, I fully support obviously as somebody |
| 00:33:30> 00:33:32: | who has done high density housing continues to do high |
| 00:33:33> 00:33:35: | density mid rise housing that that is a piece of |
| 00:33:35> 00:33:36: | the puzzle. |
| 00:33:36> 00:33:38: | The problem is it can't be the only piece. |
| 00:33:38> 00:33:40: | What we're doing is we're only doing one type of |
| 00:33:40> 00:33:41: | product type. |
| 00:33:42> 00:33:44: | And to to the next slide, thank you, Dan. |
| 00:33:45> 00:33:48: | That product type just in and of itself is inherently |
| 00:33:48> 00:33:49: | expensive. |
| 00:33:49> 00:33:51: | So as you can see there the kind of average |
| 00:33:51> 00:33:54: | construction cost that's not development cost, it's simply a |
| | construction |
| 00:33:54> 00:33:57: | cost of that product type is about 400 KA unit |
| 00:33:57> 00:33:58: | and is likely more now. |
| | |

| 00:33:59> 00:34:01: | And that by itself, in and of itself is out |
|--|---|
| 00:34:01> 00:34:03: | of the reach of of many of many households in |
| 00:34:03> 00:34:05: | in the state of California. |
| 00:34:05> 00:34:07: | And there's reasons behind that these. |
| 00:34:07> 00:34:10: | These projects have elevator systems. |
| 00:34:10> 00:34:13: | They have large amounts of concrete for their parking. |
| 00:34:14> 00:34:17: | They are heavily amenities with pool decks and club rooms, |
| 00:34:17> 00:34:18: | so on and so forth. |
| 00:34:18> 00:34:20: | And so the the analogy that I like to say |
| 00:34:20> 00:34:23: | is like we're building Tesla Model S s and that's |
| 00:34:23> 00:34:23: | it. |
| 00:34:24> 00:34:27: | And our policy response is we are telling Tesla to |
| 00:34:27> 00:34:31: | either rent or lease, excuse me, rent or sell a |
| 00:34:31> 00:34:34: | portion of their fleet to folks that can't afford them |
| 00:34:34> 00:34:37: | or we're giving people money to afford. |
| 00:34:38> 00:34:41: | The cars that ordinarily couldn't do it, it it raises |
| 00:34:41> 00:34:44: | the logical question to the next slide Dan of well |
| 00:34:44> 00:34:47: | why don't we just simply build another product type and |
| 00:34:47> 00:34:50: | that's where the missing middle kind of comes in. |
| 00:34:51> 00:34:55: | You know we there's a lot of discussion around how |
| 00:34:55> 00:34:59: | do we reduce cost of housing delivery with modular off |
| 00:34:59> 00:35:03: | site construction, 3D printing and you name it. |
| 00:35:04> 00:35:06: | But I think it really doesn't take away from the |
| 00:35:06> 00:35:09: | inherent expense of those high density projects. |
| 00:35:09> 00:35:12: | What we really need to start focusing on from a |
| 00:35:12> 00:35:17: | policy standpoint is allowing for a different product type to |
| 00:35:17> 00:35:18: | to flourish. |
| 00:35:19> 00:35:21: | So if you move on to the next slide, Dan, |
| 00:35:21> 00:35:24: | you know fortunately we don't have to recreate the wheel |
| 00:35:24> 00:35:24: | here. |
| 00:35:24> 00:35:26: | I think you know in many of the cities in |
| 00:35:27> 00:35:31: | the Bay Area, Oakland, San Francisco, Berkeley, there are |
| 00.33.27> 00.33.31. | examples |
| 00:35:31> 00:35:34: | of these product types that were built pre World War |
| 00:35:34> 00:35:36: | 2 that have, that have since been. |
| 00:35:36> 00:35:39: | The commonality here is that they've all been kind of |
| 00:35:39> 00:35:41: | zoned out of existence. |
| 00:35:41> 00:35:44: | You can't build these units any longer due to the |
| 00:35:44> 00:35:46: | zoning constraints primarily. |
| 00:35:47> 00:35:48: | And so how do we kind of unlock that? |
| 00:35:47> 00:35:48. 00:35:48> 00:35:50: | I mean that's what we really need to focus on. |
| 00:35:50> 00:35:53: | And in addition to these, we need to focus on |
| VV.JJ.JV VV.JJ.JJ. | |

| 00:35:53> 00:35:55: | kind of the next level up. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:35:55> 00:35:56: | So if you go to the next slide, Dan. |
| 00:35:59> 00:36:01: | You know this is an example of a of a |
| 00:36:01> 00:36:04: | of a 10 unit project and this is important as |
| 00:36:04> 00:36:08: | well because the removal of the of zoning is necessary |
| 00:36:08> 00:36:10: | but it's not sufficient. |
| 00:36:10> 00:36:12: | And so I think the next barrier where we will |
| 00:36:12> 00:36:15: | we will face certainly in places like the Bay Area |
| 00:36:15> 00:36:19: | where we don't have large Greenfield opportunities, we're going to |
| 00:36:19> 00:36:23: | have to kind of redevelop existing in in existing neighborhoods |
| 00:36:23> 00:36:25: | is how do we make this delivery economical. |
| 00:36:25> 00:36:28: | And so when you allow for the capacity for more |
| 00:36:28> 00:36:29: | units and and. |
| 00:36:29> 00:36:33: | Projects like these, I think those that that will allow |
| 00:36:33> 00:36:37: | these projects to be to be more feasible, but I |
| 00:36:38> 00:36:38: | think. |
| 00:36:39> 00:36:42: | We we don't even have to re reinvent the wheel |
| 00:36:42> 00:36:44: | in that regard either because I think we can kind |
| 00:36:44> 00:36:47: | of look at the playbook that has happened with AD |
| 00:36:47> 00:36:50: | US in California and and AD US over the last |
| 00:36:50> 00:36:53: | few years have really, really caught on as a as |
| 00:36:53> 00:36:54: | a product type. |
| 00:36:54> 00:36:58: | But that has been through years of policy changes that |
| 00:36:58> 00:37:02: | have allowed that it that that kind of prototype to |
| 00:37:02> 00:37:06: | to to flourish and there's fully now 83,000 I believe |
| 00:37:06> 00:37:08: | permits for AD US last year. |
| 00:37:08> 00:37:09: | It's it's. |
| 00:37:09> 00:37:12: | It's almost like a third of all the building permits |
| 00:37:12> 00:37:16: | that were issued in LA but what happened is they |
| 00:37:16> 00:37:19: | they the the state laws that were passed allowed |
| 00:37:19> 00:37:22: | for a broad scale change of the zoning which allow |
| 00:37:22> 00:37:26: | these things to to flourish which allow for a new |
| 00:37:26> 00:37:27: | ecosystem to flourish. |
| 00:37:27> 00:37:30: | So, so that's kind of what we need to do |
| 00:37:30> 00:37:35: | with with with small scale development there there have |
| 00:37:35> 00:37:36: | been. |
| 00:37:37> 00:37:40: | There there have been forays into this SB-9 was passed |
| 00:37:41> 00:37:44: | a couple years ago which you know which allowed for |
| 00:37:44> 00:37:47: | four units on a single family lot but there are |
| 00:37:47> 00:37:51: | a number of requirements and and and within that law |

| 00:37:51> 00:37:55: | that really prevented it from catching on along with SB-9 |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:37:55> 00:37:58: | kind of in the background SB10 caught on or or |
| 00:37:58> 00:38:02: | was passed that allowed for 10 unit buildings and under |
| 00:38:02> 00:38:05: | to be streamlined but but but a city has to |
| 00:38:05> 00:38:06: | opt into that rather than. |
| 00:38:07> 00:38:10: | Being mandated and I think that's a key issue and |
| 00:38:10> 00:38:13: | and Speaking of opting in, what what I'd like to |
| 00:38:13> 00:38:17: | highlight is, is the city of Oakland and and it |
| 00:38:17> 00:38:20: | as it relates to their general plan update, they did |
| 00:38:20> 00:38:26: | a whole suite of zoning modifications, planning modifications specifically targeted |
| 00:38:26> 00:38:29: | to encouraging missing middle housing. |
| 00:38:29> 00:38:31: | I really thought it was very smart, the kind of |
| 00:38:31> 00:38:33: | a suite of things that they were doing. |
| 00:38:33> 00:38:35: | A couple of examples. |
| 00:38:35> 00:38:39: | They established a new residential designation that allows 4 dwelling |
| 00:38:39> 00:38:42: | units on lots that are 4000 units and up. |
| 00:38:43> 00:38:45: | They adjusted maximum height limits. |
| 00:38:45> 00:38:48: | They reduced minimum lot coverages and lot sizes. |
| 00:38:49> 00:38:53: | And they reduce setbacks to allow products like these to |
| 00:38:53> 00:38:54: | to to to to pencil out. |
| 00:38:55> 00:38:58: | They created to to one of Dan's points, they created |
| 00:38:58> 00:39:01: | the actual residential facility type called a 2 to 4 |
| 00:39:01> 00:39:02: | unit residential facility. |
| 00:39:02> 00:39:06: | So previously they only had anything above 2 units was |
| 00:39:06> 00:39:07: | multi family. |
| 00:39:07> 00:39:10: | So they created an actual product type in the code |
| 00:39:10> 00:39:13: | that recognized 2 to 4 unit billing, which is important |
| 00:39:13> 00:39:15: | from a streamlining standpoint. |
| 00:39:15> 00:39:18: | They eliminated conditionally permitted densities and major. |
| 00:39:19> 00:39:23: | Conditional use permit requirements, again trying to streamline these things |
| 00:39:23> 00:39:26: | and then they also reduced open space regulations and they |
| 00:39:26> 00:39:29: | eliminated parking requirements as well, which is. |
| 00:39:30> 00:39:30: | Which is important. |
| 00:39:30> 00:39:33: | I think some of the things that they that we |
| 00:39:33> 00:39:35: | that they still have to think through which have significant |
| 00:39:36> 00:39:39: | impacts on smaller projects are things like inclusionary zoning. |
| 00:39:39> 00:39:42: | I think that's one thing that they're still working through |
| 00:39:42> 00:39:45: | where you know on a fourplex if you require one |
| 00:39:45> 00:39:49: | unit to be affordable then that's a 25% affordability |

| | requirement |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:39:49> 00:39:52: | which is challenging even for a 300 unit project to |
| 00:39:52> 00:39:53: | to accommodate. |
| 00:39:53> 00:39:55: | So you know, I think. |
| 00:39:55> 00:39:57: | The zoning as I mentioned is is the first thing |
| 00:39:57> 00:40:01: | to happen it's it's necessary but there still are barriers |
| 00:40:01> 00:40:03: | that are going to be needed to be worked through |
| 00:40:03> 00:40:04: | once that happens. |
| 00:40:04> 00:40:08: | But certainly the broad scale changing of the ground rules |
| 00:40:08> 00:40:11: | is is really the first thing and and I I |
| 00:40:11> 00:40:14: | give kudos to Oakland but again they are one city |
| 00:40:14> 00:40:18: | within a much, much broader region that that can only |
| 00:40:18> 00:40:19: | have so much impact. |
| 00:40:19> 00:40:22: | So that's the reason for the state to really come |
| 00:40:22> 00:40:25: | in and and establish kind of a a floor |
| 00:40:25> 00:40:25: | for. |
| 00:40:25> 00:40:27: | That will allow for these kind of product types. |
| 00:40:27> 00:40:29: | So with that, I'll turn it back to you, Dan, |
| 00:40:29> 00:40:30: | and we can go on for our closing discussions. |
| 00:40:35> 00:40:36: | Thanks, Jonathan. |
| 00:40:36> 00:40:38: | I just have a few closing slides here and there's |
| 00:40:38> 00:40:40: | a lot of really good questions coming in. |
| 00:40:41> 00:40:44: | As Rick and Jonathan and I were talking about this, |
| 00:40:44> 00:40:46: | I think we identified right. |
| 00:40:46> 00:40:48: | Zoning is still a big barrier in a lot of |
| 00:40:49> 00:40:50: | communities I would say. |
| 00:40:51> 00:40:54: | At least 80% of cities across the country don't effectively |
| 00:40:54> 00:40:57: | regulate for the delivery of missing middle and we even |
| 00:40:58> 00:41:01: | have done zoning code updates for large cities that didn't |
| 00:41:01> 00:41:04: | have a single zoning district that really truly enabled missing |
| 00:41:04> 00:41:05: | middle. |
| 00:41:05> 00:41:08: | So some, but some of the other barriers once we |
| 00:41:08> 00:41:11: | get beyond the zoning is in places like where like |
| 00:41:11> 00:41:15: | Oregon where in in California where the states are allowing |
| 00:41:15> 00:41:16: | a multiple. |
| 00:41:17> 00:41:19: | Units on single family lots. |
| 00:41:19> 00:41:23: | One of the biggest barriers that we're seeing is building |
| 00:41:23> 00:41:26: | codes because once you jump from 2 to 3 units |
| 00:41:26> 00:41:30: | in a building, you go from the residential building code |
| 00:41:30> 00:41:34: | to the commercial building code, which has huge cost implications |
| 00:41:34> 00:41:35: | to the construction. |

| 00:41:36> 00:41:40: | There's also then I mentioned earlier, construction defect |
|---------------------|---|
| | liability, which |
| 00:41:40> 00:41:42: | makes it and it's worse in some states. |
| 00:41:42> 00:41:45: | I know California's really onerous. |
| 00:41:45> 00:41:46: | I think Colorado. |
| 00:41:47> 00:41:50: | And Washington has been working on theirs, but it just |
| 00:41:50> 00:41:53: | makes it really hard to justify taking on the risk |
| 00:41:53> 00:41:56: | to do small scale condo projects and we just need |
| 00:41:56> 00:41:58: | to find a way to to fix that. |
| 00:41:58> 00:42:01: | And then in terms of what we all are seeing |
| 00:42:01> 00:42:05: | with efforts from cities is right, there are cities that |
| 00:42:05> 00:42:08: | are going through this very well intended efforts, but you |
| 00:42:08> 00:42:12: | know in some instances they they they don't sort of |
| 00:42:12> 00:42:16: | fix everything that really needs to be fixed like impact |
| 00:42:16> 00:42:16: | fees. |
| 00:42:16> 00:42:19: | Might have or they might have a wrong metric or |
| 00:42:19> 00:42:22: | even just higher parking requirements and what a project can |
| 00:42:22> 00:42:24: | truly fully accommodate. |
| 00:42:24> 00:42:27: | So there's just, there's often just something left even after |
| 00:42:27> 00:42:31: | well intended efforts to change zoning and policy that that |
| 00:42:31> 00:42:32: | is a barrier. |
| 00:42:32> 00:42:35: | And then in other places, there are cities that really |
| 00:42:35> 00:42:38: | just don't know what they want and they haven't gone |
| 00:42:38> 00:42:43: | through the process of, you know, changing their comprehensive plan |
| 00:42:43> 00:42:43: | or zoning. |
| 00:42:44> 00:42:46: | And they kind of are throwing their arms up and |
| 00:42:46> 00:42:49: | and sort of Rick even mentioned that you know they're |
| 00:42:49> 00:42:52: | saying well why don't you bring something to the table. |
| 00:42:52> 00:42:55: | And it's like a really kind of it's it's it's |
| 00:42:55> 00:42:59: | hard because it's it's just unpredictable about where those sorts |
| 00:42:59> 00:43:02: | of conversations are going to go and what the city's |
| 00:43:02> 00:43:06: | ultimately going to be sort of willing to accommodate. |
| 00:43:06> 00:43:09: | Just want to mention that there are some really great |
| 00:43:09> 00:43:12: | examples of higher end missing middle application, in |
| 00.43.03> 00.43.12. | particular to |
| 00:43:13> 00:43:13: | single. |
| 00:43:14> 00:43:18: | Person, households and baby boomers downsizing. |
| 00:43:18> 00:43:20: | And this is just a cottage court project that we |
| 00:43:20> 00:43:21: | designed in Healdsburg, CA. |
| 00:43:21> 00:43:23: | That's just one example. |
| 00:43:23> 00:43:26: | This was developed by Jim Hyde, who many of you |
| | |

| 00:43:26> 00:43:29: | might know because he's the author of the Building small |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:43:29> 00:43:31: | book that Uli published. |
| 00:43:31> 00:43:33: | It's another really great resource I'd encourage you to take |
| 00:43:33> 00:43:34: | a look at. |
| 00:43:35> 00:43:38: | And there's also this response to the demand for car |
| 00:43:39> 00:43:40: | free living and. |
| 00:43:41> 00:43:44: | Especially with the younger population, this is just, this is |
| 00:43:44> 00:43:47: | the case study of a project we did the master |
| 00:43:47> 00:43:49: | plan and early architecture for. |
| 00:43:49> 00:43:50: | It's called cul-de-sac Tempe. |
| 00:43:51> 00:43:56: | It's going to have about 600 units over 15 acres |
| 00:43:56> 00:44:00: | upon completion and it has it's been all over the |
| 00:44:00> 00:44:01: | media. |
| 00:44:01> 00:44:04: | You've probably seen it, but it has about 11,000 people |
| 00:44:04> 00:44:05: | on an interested list. |
| 00:44:06> 00:44:08: | And so the demand is is really, really high for |
| 00:44:08> 00:44:11: | for something like this, especially at this missing middle scale. |
| 00:44:12> 00:44:17: | Because of our rapidly aging population, AARP has become one |
| 00:44:18> 00:44:21: | of the biggest advocates for missing middle. |
| 00:44:21> 00:44:25: | This is a a really excellent document that AARP recently |
| 00:44:25> 00:44:28: | made available for free download that we work with him |
| 00:44:29> 00:44:29: | on. |
| 00:44:29> 00:44:31: | Just encourage you to take a look at that as |
| 00:44:31> 00:44:33: | a really great resource for educating. |
| 00:44:34> 00:44:39: | Decision makers, planners, community members about the the benefits of |
| 00:44:39> 00:44:40: | missing middle housing. |
| 00:44:40> 00:44:43: | So with that, I just want to, I want to |
| 00:44:43> 00:44:45: | thank our panelists for joining today. |
| 00:44:45> 00:44:48: | Taking the time I know you're super busy. |
| 00:44:48> 00:44:50: | Appreciate your insight. |
| 00:44:50> 00:44:53: | I do want to just to give a little plug |
| 00:44:53> 00:44:53: | for my book. |
| 00:44:53> 00:44:56: | If you haven't seen it, it's called missing Middle housing, |
| 00:44:56> 00:45:00: | Thinking big and building small to respond to today's housing |
| 00:45:00> 00:45:00: | crisis. |
| 00:45:01> 00:45:03: | Encourage you to look at your local bookstore to see |
| 00:45:03> 00:45:04: | if they might have it. |
| 00:45:04> 00:45:06: | If not, it is available on Amazon. |
| 00:45:06> 00:45:11: | So with that, I'm going to ask a few questions |
| 00:45:11> 00:45:16: | to Jonathan and Rick and then we're going to open |
| | |

| 00:45:16> 00:45:18: | it up to the larger. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:45:19> 00:45:21: | I know there have been a ton of questions coming |
| 00:45:21> 00:45:22: | in through the chat. |
| 00:45:22> 00:45:24: | I've sort of had a a few minutes to look |
| 00:45:24> 00:45:27: | at them while Rick and Jonathan, but I think. |
| 00:45:29> 00:45:32: | I think I'm going to start with Rick and just |
| 00:45:32> 00:45:35: | I want I'd like you to you talked about it, |
| 00:45:35> 00:45:37: | but I'd like you to dive a little bit more |
| 00:45:37> 00:45:41: | deeply into like finding that right partner to deliver as |
| 00:45:41> 00:45:42: | a master developer. |
| 00:45:42> 00:45:45: | Because we see this a lot, where the master developer |
| 00:45:45> 00:45:48: | identifies this as a real need in the market and |
| 00:45:48> 00:45:52: | they're interested in fitting this into their community, the larger |
| 00:45:52> 00:45:53: | community. |
| 00:45:53> 00:45:53: | But. |
| 00:45:54> 00:45:55: | There's nobody right. |
| 00:45:55> 00:45:56: | Nobody's done it. |
| 00:45:56> 00:45:59: | Nobody's built it and and most of the multi family |
| 00:45:59> 00:46:03: | developers are doing more conventional product types and so can |
| 00:46:03> 00:46:06: | you tell a little bit more about you know. |
| 00:46:06> 00:46:11: | Identifying the right partner and and how how you went |
| 00:46:11> 00:46:14: | about doing this and what you got. |
| 00:46:14> 00:46:17: | I I think first some, you know, the Mouse trap |
| 00:46:17> 00:46:18: | is really important. |
| 00:46:18> 00:46:20: | You have to have somebody who's already bought in to |
| 00:46:20> 00:46:21: | the market. |
| 00:46:21> 00:46:24: | They understand market demand economics. |
| 00:46:25> 00:46:27: | I wouldn't be bringing somebody fresh. |
| 00:46:27> 00:46:30: | That had didn't have an understanding of of our master |
| 00:46:30> 00:46:34: | plan and certainly who the consumer is who's renting, who's |
| 00:46:34> 00:46:37: | renting to own you know at a later time they're |
| 00:46:37> 00:46:39: | going to do a step up or or choosing to |
| 00:46:39> 00:46:42: | rent and and stepping down purposefully. |
| 00:46:42> 00:46:43: | So they understood the market. |
| 00:46:43> 00:46:46: | That was essential to me because I didn't really want |
| 00:46:46> 00:46:48: | to have to re educate someone on where we were, |
| 00:46:48> 00:46:51: | why we were successful and how they could be successful. |
| 00:46:52> 00:46:55: | I think for me it's always someone who. |
| 00:46:55> 00:46:59: | Wants to have an open mind for us. |
| 00:46:59> 00:47:02: | It was also multi family who has dabbled and were |

| 00:47:02> 00:47:06: | proportionate share of their businesses already on essential housing and |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:47:06> 00:47:07: | attainable housing. |
| 00:47:07> 00:47:11: | They already they already have gone through those and and |
| 00:47:11> 00:47:16: | gone through those hoops if you will overcome those obstacles. |
| 00:47:16> 00:47:18: | They see that as part of their business strategy. |
| 00:47:18> 00:47:21: | So they have market and then and then more attainable |
| 00:47:22> 00:47:23: | and affordable for us. |
| 00:47:23> 00:47:25: | There was very, very few folks that. |
| 00:47:26> 00:47:28: | Hit those criterias and so when we went I was |
| 00:47:28> 00:47:31: | I was I would tell you I was really excited |
| 00:47:31> 00:47:33: | about their appetite right out of the gate. |
| 00:47:34> 00:47:37: | It was not a tough sell at all If anything |
| 00:47:37> 00:47:40: | they they viewed it and this was the CEO of |
| 00:47:40> 00:47:42: | of of Davis he he said I view this as |
| 00:47:43> 00:47:45: | another arrow in our quiver right. |
| 00:47:45> 00:47:48: | It just gives us another product that we can leverage |
| 00:47:48> 00:47:50: | and and layer in the market and see what the |
| 00:47:50> 00:47:51: | demand might be so. |
| 00:47:52> 00:47:52: | That's great. |
| 00:47:53> 00:47:53: | Thanks Rick. |
| 00:47:53> 00:47:55: | And then then, Jonathan? |
| 00:47:56> 00:48:00: | Obviously the like jumping to this infill discussion, I know |
| 00:48:00> 00:48:02: | people in the chat are like is this all a |
| 00:48:02> 00:48:03: | Greenfield discussion. |
| 00:48:04> 00:48:05: | I think it's it's both. |
| 00:48:05> 00:48:08: | I think really there's such a need for missing middle |
| 00:48:08> 00:48:11: | both in an infill condition and in a larger sort |
| 00:48:11> 00:48:14: | of neighbourhood scale or Greenfield condition. |
| 00:48:14> 00:48:16: | That's that's that's why I was excited to bring Jonathan |
| 00:48:16> 00:48:19: | and Rick to sort of bring that broad perspective. |
| 00:48:19> 00:48:23: | But in as you sort of have digested the. |
| 00:48:24> 00:48:28: | All of California's housing legislation over the last several years, |
| 00:48:28> 00:48:31: | right it's it's like a drinking through a fire hose |
| 00:48:32> 00:48:35: | and and it it's continuing right which is great they're |
| 00:48:35> 00:48:38: | they're trying to figure it out and I think the |
| 00:48:38> 00:48:42: | Adu legislation after like the 5th or 6th refinement finally |
| 00:48:42> 00:48:45: | they removed parking and it was able to deliver results |
| 00:48:45> 00:48:48: | but like you're you're sort of looking at why do |
| 00:48:48> 00:48:51: | you think more of this sort of missing middle and |
| 00:48:51> 00:48:52: | upper missing middle. |

| 00:48:53> 00:48:57: | Isn't happening and like do you feel that the it's |
|--|--|
| 00:48:57> 00:49:02: | going to take more state legislation or more nuanced |
| | refinements |
| 00:49:02> 00:49:07: | from the local jurisdictions to really truly enable that that |
| 00:49:07> 00:49:09: | 10 Plex or 12 Plex on a you know on |
| 00:49:09> 00:49:11: | 100 by 100 foot lot. |
| 00:49:13> 00:49:15: | Yeah, I I I do, I mean I think really |
| 00:49:15> 00:49:17: | from a state level up to this point, you know |
| 00:49:17> 00:49:20: | we've been focused on from a from a housing legislation |
| 00:49:20> 00:49:24: | standpoint, it's really been focused on housing or transit. |
| 00:49:24> 00:49:27: | You know I didn't see it maximizing housing And so |
| 00:49:27> 00:49:29: | the, you know I think people have now started to |
| 00:49:30> 00:49:32: | see the opportunity that missing middle housing presents. |
| 00:49:33> 00:49:36: | I think a lot of smaller cities certainly are going |
| 00:49:36> 00:49:39: | to take a closer look at this now because they |
| 00:49:39> 00:49:42: | have requirements from the state in terms of housing units |
| 00:49:42> 00:49:44: | they have to provide or have to zone for and |
| 00:49:44> 00:49:47: | this is a more appropriate way of doing it. |
| 00:49:47> 00:49:50: | But as I mentioned, you know even in Oakland, you |
| 00:49:50> 00:49:53: | know I was, I was actually talking to the zoning |
| 00:49:53> 00:49:57: | administrator about what our zoning code looked like in 1935 |
| 00:49:57> 00:49:58: | versus now. |
| | |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: | And it's just simply much more complex. |
| | And it's just simply much more complex. And so to kind of peel back that complexity that |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: | |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:13> 00:50:13: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:13> 00:50:13: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:13> 00:50:13: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:09> 00:50:09: 00:50:13> 00:50:13: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: 00:50:23> 00:50:26: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state level from from a missing middle standpoint, we got there |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: 00:50:23> 00:50:26: 00:50:26> 00:50:29: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state level from from a missing middle standpoint, we got there from AD us from an Adu standpoint. |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:09> 00:50:09: 00:50:13> 00:50:13: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: 00:50:23> 00:50:24: 00:50:26> 00:50:29: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state level from from a missing middle standpoint, we got there from AD us from an Adu standpoint. But there's still is a lot of wood to chop |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:03> 00:50:06: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: 00:50:23> 00:50:24: 00:50:26> 00:50:29: 00:50:29> 00:50:31: 00:50:31> 00:50:33: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state level from from a missing middle standpoint, we got there from AD us from an Adu standpoint. But there's still is a lot of wood to chop from from the state level and I and I do |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: 00:50:23> 00:50:24: 00:50:26> 00:50:29: 00:50:29> 00:50:31: 00:50:31> 00:50:33: 00:50:33> 00:50:35: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state level from from a missing middle standpoint, we got there from AD us from an Adu standpoint. But there's still is a lot of wood to chop from from the state level and I and I do that's all question in the in the chat you know |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: 00:50:23> 00:50:29: 00:50:29> 00:50:31: 00:50:31> 00:50:35: 00:50:35> 00:50:38: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state level from from a missing middle standpoint, we got there from AD us from an Adu standpoint. But there's still is a lot of wood to chop from from the state level and I and I do that's all question in the in the chat you know do do you think that state preemption is is going |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: 00:50:23> 00:50:29: 00:50:29> 00:50:31: 00:50:31> 00:50:33: 00:50:35> 00:50:38: 00:50:38> 00:50:38: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state level from from a missing middle standpoint, we got there from AD us from an Adu standpoint. But there's still is a lot of wood to chop from from the state level and I and I do that's all question in the in the chat you know do do you think that state preemption is is going to be necessary? |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:00: 00:50:00> 00:50:03: 00:50:06> 00:50:09: 00:50:09> 00:50:13: 00:50:13> 00:50:17: 00:50:17> 00:50:18: 00:50:18> 00:50:20: 00:50:20> 00:50:23: 00:50:23> 00:50:24: 00:50:31> 00:50:33: 00:50:35> 00:50:38: 00:50:38> 00:50:38: 00:50:39> 00:50:38: 00:50:39> 00:50:38: | And so to kind of peel back that complexity that is kind of baked into our, you know our our zoning code just region wide I think is going to take some time and some thought because you know when you start redeveloping in these in existing neighborhoods it's going to be fraught. I mean I think that's that's really the issue. But you know we haven't gotten there from a state level from from a missing middle standpoint, we got there from AD us from an Adu standpoint. But there's still is a lot of wood to chop from from the state level and I and I do that's all question in the in the chat you know do do you think that state preemption is is going to be necessary? I, I, I unfortunately I do. |

| 00:50:45> 00:50:47: | to move the needle enough. |
|--|---|
| 00:50:45> 00:50:47: 00:50:47> 00:50:49: | I think it does have to be at a minimum |
| 00:50:49> 00:50:51: | a regional response. |
| 00:50:52> 00:50:55: | But I think cities can think through that and then |
| | C C |
| 00:50:55> 00:50:57: | what that means to them on a city by city |
| 00:50:57> 00:50:57: | basis. |
| 00:50:57> 00:51:00: | But I think there's going to have to be something |
| 00:51:00> 00:51:03: | that sets that kind of floor in terms of what |
| 00:51:03> 00:51:06: | a a base density needs to be, you know, in |
| 00:51:06> 00:51:09: | order to really make it such that this, this, this |
| 00:51:09> 00:51:12: | kind of economy can, this kind of economy can flourish. |
| 00:51:12> 00:51:14: | It's just it really is going to require a little |
| 00:51:14> 00:51:16: | more than we are right now. |
| 00:51:16> 00:51:16: | Yeah. |
| 00:51:18> 00:51:22: | And you know, there's a, there's a lot of questions |
| 00:51:22> 00:51:26: | and comments about just ask asking like what are the |
| 00:51:26> 00:51:28: | factors to get to attainability? |
| 00:51:29> 00:51:33: | And what I would say first of all is. |
| 00:51:34> 00:51:36: | The attainable price point whether it's for rent or for |
| 00:51:36> 00:51:38: | sale varies market to market, right. |
| 00:51:39> 00:51:43: | And some some markets we target 60% of median income |
| 00:51:43> 00:51:45: | as the middle. |
| 00:51:45> 00:51:47: | In a lot of markets it's 80 percent, 100% like |
| 00:51:48> 00:51:51: | in higher value markets, right, it's 100% median income and |
| 00:51:51> 00:51:51: | above. |
| 00:51:51> 00:51:52: | So it it varies. |
| 00:51:53> 00:51:58: | It varies by market for sure, but also the solutions. |
| 00:51:59> 00:52:03: | And and what's feasible and what's necessary to get the |
| 00:52:03> 00:52:04: | feasibility varies. |
| 00:52:04> 00:52:08: | You know whether you're in Rick's context where it's you're |
| 00:52:08> 00:52:10: | you're building at scale. |
| 00:52:10> 00:52:13: | But it's a you're right you your your land costs |
| 00:52:13> 00:52:17: | are lower because you've right you've you you bought the |
| 00:52:17> 00:52:18: | land at large scale. |
| 00:52:18> 00:52:21: | Whereas in an infill condition and in like a hotter |
| 00:52:21> 00:52:24: | market like the Bay Area, the land cost and of |
| 00:52:24> 00:52:26: | itself sometimes becomes a real barrier. |
| 00:52:27> 00:52:31: | Can can you both talk about specific strategies whether it's |
| 00:52:31> 00:52:35: | unit size, sort of reductions in parking, what what other |
| 00:52:35> 00:52:39: | about some some just strategies for getting to attainability in |
| 00:52:39> 00:52:43: | your different contexts that you're working in well? |
| 00:52:45> 00:52:46: | l'll jump in. |
| | |

| 00:52:46> 00:52:48: | You know, one of the one of the questions in |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:52:48> 00:52:49: | the chat had to do with. |
| 00:52:49> 00:52:53: | Is single family more profitable and and ironically this you |
| 00:52:53> 00:52:56: | know this was unique but you get you got to |
| 00:52:56> 00:52:59: | realize scale in context of 12,000 acres, right. |
| 00:52:59> 00:53:02: | So you have to have stratification of product. |
| 00:53:03> 00:53:06: | But I would say this met all the IRR hurdles |
| 00:53:06> 00:53:08: | even at market rate for the land and what they |
| 00:53:09> 00:53:11: | you know what the units would likely be. |
| 00:53:12> 00:53:15: | So I felt like you know yes single family can |
| 00:53:15> 00:53:19: | be but we wouldn't be doing multi family you know |
| 00:53:19> 00:53:22: | for free so or the OR the OR the missing |
| 00:53:22> 00:53:23: | middle for free. |
| 00:53:25> 00:53:28: | Dan re remind me what you what you ask, 'cause |
| 00:53:28> 00:53:29: | I I I apologize. |
| 00:53:29> 00:53:32: | Just like what sort of strategies to get to attainability? |
| 00:53:32> 00:53:33: | Like would you? |
| 00:53:34> 00:53:38: | Well, again, parking is 1, which we talked about what's |
| 00:53:38> 00:53:39: | on street. |
| 00:53:39> 00:53:42: | It could also be if you depending on you're at |
| 00:53:42> 00:53:45: | 60 or 80 AMI, you know what are those incentives, |
| 00:53:45> 00:53:47: | what are those reduced impact fees? |
| 00:53:48> 00:53:51: | Those are things you can go to the municipality with |
| 00:53:51> 00:53:54: | because you're creating a solution that they don't have, that |
| 00:53:54> 00:53:55: | they don't have and there isn't one. |
| 00:53:56> 00:53:58: | And for us, we were recently hit by a storm |
| 00:53:58> 00:54:00: | and we had a lot of the inventory that was |
| 00:54:00> 00:54:03: | in our particular region wiped out with whatever. |
| 00:54:03> 00:54:06: | I would say affordable or attainable housing wiped out with |
| 00:54:06> 00:54:08: | the with the storm and so you're you're one of |
| 00:54:09> 00:54:11: | the few that are willing, we were one of the |
| 00:54:11> 00:54:13: | few willing to put a product out there. |
| 00:54:13> 00:54:17: | So when we're asking for reduced impact fees or incentives, |
| 00:54:17> 00:54:20: | you know we're we're much more fortunate just based on |
| 00:54:20> 00:54:23: | some of the the opportunities that are presented to us |
| 00:54:23> 00:54:23: | what? |
| 00:54:24> 00:54:27: | About you Jonathan, what are you, what would you say |
| 00:54:27> 00:54:29: | some strategies for infill finding attainability? |
| 00:54:30> 00:54:32: | Yeah, I mean I think you know one I I |
| 00:54:32> 00:54:35: | saw the question as well about single stair reform and |
| 00:54:35> 00:54:38: | that's certainly you know strategies along that that you know |
| 00:54:38> 00:54:40: | would would help dramatically. |
| VV.VT.VV VV.J7.7V. | |

| 00:54:40> 00:54:42: | l think, you know, it's funny l've spent a lot |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:54:42> 00:54:45: | of time focused on planning and zoning reform. |
| 00:54:45> 00:54:47: | But building code reform to your point Dan is is |
| 00:54:47> 00:54:50: | really the next step and really it's it is very, |
| 00:54:50> 00:54:54: | very sneaky about how much building codes actually do add |
| 00:54:54> 00:54:55: | to the cost of housing. |
| 00:54:56> 00:54:59: | I'll also say that you know stuff like you know |
| 00:54:59> 00:55:02: | we have welfare tax exemption up to 80%, you know |
| 00:55:02> 00:55:04: | that went up to you know 100 hundred and 100 |
| 00:55:04> 00:55:06: | percent, 120% in California. |
| 00:55:06> 00:55:09: | That would certainly move the needle in terms of making |
| 00:55:09> 00:55:13: | projects pencil out better but obviously that has tax implications |
| 00:55:13> 00:55:15: | and revenue implications for the state. |
| 00:55:15> 00:55:17: | What I'll also say is that one of the reasons |
| 00:55:17> 00:55:19: | why I am a fan of of missing middle housing |
| 00:55:19> 00:55:21: | is from a displacement standpoint. |
| 00:55:21> 00:55:24: | I think when we talk about attainability we need to |
| 00:55:24> 00:55:26: | really focus on well what is the cost of you |
| 00:55:26> 00:55:29: | know attaining or a house in a in an existing |
| 00:55:29> 00:55:29: | neighborhood. |
| 00:55:29> 00:55:32: | And often times to your point, Dan, single family homes |
| 00:55:32> 00:55:33: | are just simply out of reach. |
| 00:55:34> 00:55:37: | And so people go migrate to other neighborhoods to find |
| 00:55:37> 00:55:40: | housing that's affordable to them and that pushes other folks |
| 00:55:41> 00:55:42: | out and displaces folks. |
| 00:55:42> 00:55:45: | But if you were to allow for a more affordable |
| 00:55:45> 00:55:48: | product type, it doesn't have to be necessarily affordable, quote |
| 00:55:48> 00:55:51: | UN quote, like capital a affordable that would help in |
| 00:55:51> 00:55:55: | my opinion with some of the displacement pressures that we |
| 00:55:55> 00:55:57: | find certainly here in the Bay Area. |
| 00:55:57> 00:55:59: | So it's just important to keep that in mind, the |
| 00:55:59> 00:56:01: | relative cost of these homes and relative sale prices of |
| 00:56:01> 00:56:02: | these of these products. |
| 00:56:03> 00:56:05: | Absolutely. |
| 00:56:05> 00:56:07: | And like in an infill context, right. |
| 00:56:07> 00:56:11: | It's like I hate the concept of density and actually |
| 00:56:11> 00:56:14: | think we need to get rid of it because it |
| 00:56:14> 00:56:16: | doesn't mean anything. |
| 00:56:16> 00:56:18: | And what it does is when you have a system |
| 00:56:18> 00:56:21: | that allows a certain number of units per acre, it |
| 00:56:21> 00:56:24: | disincentivize the is the delivery of the smaller more |

| | attainable |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:56:24> 00:56:25: | unit. |
| 00:56:25> 00:56:26: | So just something to think about. |
| 00:56:26> 00:56:29: | So like in Santa Maria where the city's like. |
| 00:56:30> 00:56:33: | You can do whatever size you want, whatever number, but |
| 00:56:33> 00:56:36: | we're going to, we're going to define the the the |
| 00:56:36> 00:56:39: | container like that encourages the developer to say I know |
| 00:56:40> 00:56:43: | there's a market for you know lower price smaller well |
| 00:56:43> 00:56:46: | designed units and so they don't have that disincentive to |
| 00:56:46> 00:56:47: | deliver small. |
| 00:56:48> 00:56:51: | And so, like I I I think that's super interesting |
| 00:56:51> 00:56:54: | because if you're working on a small infill site in |
| 00:56:54> 00:56:56: | Oakland, like land value is high. |
| 00:56:57> 00:56:59: | It does have access to transit. |
| 00:56:59> 00:57:00: | Right. |
| 00:57:00> 00:57:01: | And it's bikeable. |
| 00:57:01> 00:57:04: | It's probably walkable to services amenities. |
| 00:57:04> 00:57:07: | So parking really needs to be removed from the equation |
| 00:57:07> 00:57:11: | completely and smaller units make sense and that's not the |
| 00:57:11> 00:57:12: | case everywhere obviously. |
| 00:57:12> 00:57:15: | But and what one thing I'll just add, you know |
| 00:57:15> 00:57:19: | talking about the 1935 code, they had five zoning designations |
| 00:57:19> 00:57:22: | and they had a single family designation, A2 to 4 |
| 00:57:22> 00:57:25: | unit designation and then a multi family designation that kind |
| 00:57:25> 00:57:28: | of varied but to your point they did not have |
| 00:57:28> 00:57:29: | any density calculations. |
| 00:57:29> 00:57:31: | It was defined by the box. |
| 00:57:31> 00:57:33: | And so again we we, we we don't have to |
| 00:57:33> 00:57:35: | you know recreate the wheel here. |
| 00:57:35> 00:57:37: | There is precedence for this. |
| 00:57:38> 00:57:39: | It's just we got to go dig it up. |
| 00:57:39> 00:57:40: | So it's just interesting to think about that. |
| 00:57:41> 00:57:41: | Yeah. |
| 00:57:43> 00:57:44: | I wanted to say 11. |
| 00:57:44> 00:57:48: | Quick thing Dan, I was just answering something that was |
| 00:57:48> 00:57:52: | in the chat relative to the perception or perspective of |
| 00:57:52> 00:57:56: | of the social infrastructure of somebody moving into these units |
| 00:57:56> 00:58:00: | and the differentiation and I see them as elegant solutions. |
| 00:58:00> 00:58:03: | l don't see their you know we've we've been around |
| 00:58:03> 00:58:05: | right some affordable. |
| 00:58:05> 00:58:09: | And attainable housing is really not good looking and it |
| | |

| 00:58:09> 00:58:12: | almost the differentiation is easy because the aesthetic of the |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:58:12> 00:58:16: | elevation you know dictates that these are these are really |
| 00:58:16> 00:58:17: | phenomenal designs. |
| 00:58:17> 00:58:20: | And so I don't see there being a social implication |
| 00:58:20> 00:58:23: | of somebody choosing to rent in there versus a traditional |
| 00:58:23> 00:58:24: | multi family. |
| 00:58:24> 00:58:26: | And then the other comment had to do with sustainability. |
| 00:58:27> 00:58:29: | I, you know, I used to be the President of |
| 00:58:29> 00:58:31: | Babcock and we did a lot of research on. |
| 00:58:31> 00:58:35: | Precision built homes and that would be called modular, but |
| 00:58:35> 00:58:39: | bring the factory to the area, SIP panel construction and |
| 00:58:39> 00:58:40: | related. |
| 00:58:40> 00:58:43: | I think we need to get some momentum in Florida |
| 00:58:43> 00:58:45: | on the product and get buy in and then we'll |
| 00:58:45> 00:58:47: | get an investor to layer that in. |
| 00:58:47> 00:58:50: | I also think you need enough runway to create that |
| 00:58:50> 00:58:53: | to create the manufacturing facility on site. |
| 00:58:53> 00:58:55: | So I think we're not there yet, but I think |
| 00:58:55> 00:58:58: | we're right for creating some of those solutions. |
| 00:58:59> 00:59:00: | Absolutely. |
| 00:59:01> 00:59:03: | I think we probably have time to discuss one more |
| 00:59:03> 00:59:06: | topic here and then we probably need to jump off. |
| 00:59:06> 00:59:07: | There's a lot more questions. |
| 00:59:07> 00:59:10: | Sorry, we didn't get a chance to answer them all. |
| 00:59:11> 00:59:13: | Let's see here there. |
| 00:59:13> 00:59:16: | I mean there's there's a lot of questions about, well, |
| 00:59:16> 00:59:19: | let's dive into this Adu question because I think it's |
| 00:59:20> 00:59:24: | super relevant and the question was like what difference differentiates |
| 00:59:24> 00:59:26: | an Adu and makes it attractive? |
| 00:59:26> 00:59:30: | To a developer and I guess what we're seeing in |
| 00:59:30> 00:59:35: | places like Sacramento like there's no impact fee for an |
| 00:59:35> 00:59:39: | Adu, they in in most instances right you cap the |
| 00:59:39> 00:59:44: | size so it's it's encouraging smaller in Seattle it didn't |
| 00:59:44> 00:59:49: | count toward the density maximum density calculation and. |
| 00:59:51> 00:59:55: | Didn't most places does not require parking where it's been |
| 00:59:55> 00:59:59: | successful because especially in infill conditions, you're not going to |
| 00:59:59> 01:00:03: | get a parking space and definitely no open space requirements. |
| 01:00:04> 01:00:06: | Any other thoughts from? |
| 01:00:07> 01:00:09: | From either of you, I know, I know Rick like |
| | |

| 01:00:09> 01:00:12: | we're for you, We're like we were trying to encourage |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:00:12> 01:00:14: | like it makes sense to do sort of the Adu |
| 01:00:14> 01:00:16: | above the some of the parking spaces at the mid |
| 01:00:16> 01:00:19: | block, but it's a different context and rental than it |
| 01:00:19> 01:00:19: | is. |
| 01:00:19> 01:00:20: | The for sale. |
| 01:00:20> 01:00:20: | For sale. |
| 01:00:21> 01:00:24: | Jonathan, do you want to just just comment, especially maybe |
| 01:00:24> 01:00:26: | California context what's happening? |
| 01:00:27> 01:00:30: | Yeah, I mean in the California context, I think you |
| 01:00:30> 01:00:32: | know from the, you know you're seeing it more from |
| 01:00:32> 01:00:33: | just the individual homeowner. |
| 01:00:33> 01:00:37: | I mean really that's really what's driving a lot of |
| 01:00:37> 01:00:39: | the the Adu production. |
| 01:00:40> 01:00:43: | You know developers I think would would would find them |
| 01:00:43> 01:00:46: | attractive because it's streamlined. |
| 01:00:46> 01:00:49: | I mean that you they don't there's there's really, really |
| 01:00:49> 01:00:50: | no kind of. |
| 01:00:51> 01:00:54: | Ability to kind of stop their development in essence like |
| 01:00:54> 01:00:56: | you would see in a in a typical project, a |
| 01:00:56> 01:00:58: | typical mid rise project. |
| 01:00:58> 01:01:01: | So that's one reason why it's attractive because you can |
| 01:01:01> 01:01:02: | just deliver it easily. |
| 01:01:03> 01:01:05: | But I think you know what we're what we're hoping |
| 01:01:05> 01:01:08: | is that you know individuals and the homeowners will find |
| 01:01:08> 01:01:11: | this stuff attractive because you you typically see that the |
| 01:01:11> 01:01:13: | the they will rent to folks at A at a |
| 01:01:13> 01:01:14: | much lower level. |
| 01:01:14> 01:01:17: | Actually, studies that have been done related they they have |
| 01:01:17> 01:01:19: | a different way of determining what they see as a |
| 01:01:19> 01:01:20: | as an acceptable rent. |
| 01:01:21> 01:01:24: | Typically lower than you than you would see on the |
| 01:01:24> 01:01:26: | market from a a new unit so but but it's |
| 01:01:26> 01:01:30: | just the streamlined ability to get them done is what |
| 01:01:30> 01:01:33: | makes them attractive and 20% of the units in California |
| 01:01:33> 01:01:36: | last year that were delivered were AD US just just |
| 01:01:36> 01:01:36: | came in. |
| 01:01:37> 01:01:39: | So closing thoughts, Rick, do you have any closing thoughts |
| 01:01:39> 01:01:42: | on Missing Middle to send send the group away? |
| 01:01:42> 01:01:45: | No, I just can't wait to come back in what, |
| 01:01:45> 01:01:48: | 18 months and and show instead of rendered elevations the |

| 01:01:49> 01:01:52: 01:01:52> 01:01:52: | real elevations and be able to speak to how people live. |
|--|---|
| | |
| 01:01:52> 01:01:54: | The one thing I love about working with you Dan |
| 01:01:55> 01:01:57: | and Opticos is it's very collaborative and we talk about |
| 01:01:58> 01:02:00: | how does the how does the pedestrian get from A |
| 01:02:00> 01:02:02: | to B, how do they interact with the space. |
| 01:02:02> 01:02:05: | You know we're very golf cart or low speed vehicle, |
| 01:02:05> 01:02:08: | electric vehicle friendly, how does that, how does that work? |
| 01:02:08> 01:02:10: | So I'd love to test ourselves in two years and |
| 01:02:10> 01:02:12: | and share with everyone. |
| 01:02:12> 01:02:12: | How? |
| 01:02:12> 01:02:16: | How it actually lives Great Jonathan, closing thoughts. |
| 01:02:17> 01:02:19: | Yeah, I just that you know, I don't want anybody |
| 01:02:19> 01:02:21: | to think that this is going to be the silver |
| 01:02:22> 01:02:22: | bullet. |
| 01:02:22> 01:02:25: | It's just one of the necessary tools in the quiver. |
| 01:02:25> 01:02:27: | You know we we need all kind of housing, but |
| 01:02:27> 01:02:29: | what we really need the most is housing choice. |
| 01:02:29> 01:02:32: | And I think people don't really realize how limited we |
| 01:02:32> 01:02:35: | are certainly in the Bay Area about what what we |
| 01:02:35> 01:02:36: | can actually live in. |
| 01:02:36> 01:02:38: | And I think given the the real, true changing nature |
| 01:02:39> 01:02:42: | of the American family, we just need different product types |
| 01:02:42> 01:02:42: | and. |
| 01:02:42> 01:02:45: | Missing middle really solves a whole lot of of issues |
| 01:02:45> 01:02:46: | in that in that regard. |
| 01:02:46> 01:02:48: | So I I love that comment is is like, yeah, |
| 01:02:48> 01:02:52: | it's like this we're not saying that bigger development isn't |
| 01:02:52> 01:02:53: | necessary, right. |
| 01:02:53> 01:02:55: | It's like yes, you need to do that. |
| 01:02:55> 01:02:58: | But yes we need to enable this middle scale which |
| 01:02:58> 01:02:59: | we haven't done. |
| 01:02:59> 01:03:02: | So it is yeah it's I love the analogy of |
| 01:03:02> 01:03:03: | the quiver. |
| 01:03:04> 01:03:05: | So just it's just one. |
| 01:03:06> 01:03:08: | So it's just part of a a housing strategy that |
| 01:03:08> 01:03:10: | every city, every region needs. |
| 01:03:10> 01:03:14: | And we are seeing, you know, a really strong interest |
| 01:03:14> 01:03:18: | from developers because of where costs have gone. |
| 01:03:18> 01:03:20: | And so I think there's a real real and and |
| 01:03:20> 01:03:22: | there's just a need for it every in every corner |
| 01:03:22> 01:03:23: | of the country. |
| | |

| 01:03:23> 01:03:26: | And so I will say that I'm pretty active on |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:03:26> 01:03:28: | LinkedIn, if anybody. |
| 01:03:28> 01:03:30: | If I didn't get a chance to answer your question, |
| 01:03:30> 01:03:32: | feel free to reach out via LinkedIn. |
| 01:03:33> 01:03:35: | Rick and Jonathan, how do you feel about that, a |
| 01:03:35> 01:03:36: | LinkedIn connection? |
| 01:03:37> 01:03:41: | Of course absolutely so but it was a great conversation |
| 01:03:41> 01:03:43: | today it's it's hours and never enough. |
| 01:03:44> 01:03:46: | Hopefully we'll get a chance to do a follow up |
| 01:03:46> 01:03:49: | with the Terwilliger Center here to to sort of do |
| 01:03:49> 01:03:51: | even even more of a deep dive as as the |
| 01:03:52> 01:03:54: | missing middle application continues to progress. |
| 01:03:55> 01:03:57: | Thank you for the attendees. |
| 01:03:57> 01:04:00: | Thank you to Rick and Jonathan and thanks to the |
| 01:04:00> 01:04:03: | Terwilliger Center for hosting us in this important missing middle |
| 01:04:04> 01:04:04: | discussion today. |
| 01:04:07> 01:04:08: | Thank you. |
| 01:04:08> 01:04:12: | Thank you to all our panellists for a wonderful presentation |
| 01:04:12> 01:04:13: | and discussion. |
| 01:04:13> 01:04:16: | We will share a link to the report that Dan |
| 01:04:16> 01:04:19: | referenced and the webinar recording. |
| 01:04:19> 01:04:22: | We will also include a link to our next Housing |
| 01:04:22> 01:04:26: | Attainability conference in Austin next February, which I also think |
| 01:04:26> 01:04:29: | it's being added right now to our chat box. |
| 01:04:29> 01:04:32: | And yeah, thank you all for for joining us. |
| 01:04:32> 01:04:33: | See you next time. |

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .