

## Webinar

Reshaping the City: Zoning for a More Equitable Resilient and Sustainable Future

Date: March 24, 2023

00:00:01> 00:00:05:	Hi, everyone. I'm Matt Norris, Senior Director for the Urban
00:00:05> 00:00:09:	Land Institute's Healthy Places program and we're really excited for
00:00:09> 00:00:13:	you to join us today. Today we've assembled a really
00:00:13> 00:00:17:	fantastic panel of experts who are going to share opportunities
00:00:17> 00:00:22:	related to updating zoning the communities across the United States.
00:00:22> 00:00:25:	We're going to explore how updated zoning policies can.
00:00:26> 00:00:30:	Support everything from health to social and racial equity, climate
00:00:30> 00:00:34:	action and environmental resilience. And all of this with a
00:00:34> 00:00:38:	focus on aligning land use policy with community priorities and
00:00:38> 00:00:43:	also real estate development objectives. Today's webinar is being cohosted
00:00:43> 00:00:46:	by Smart Growth America and we're very excited for Smart
00:00:46> 00:00:51:	Growth America's partnership. We're thrilled that you've joined. So let's
00:00:51> 00:00:55:	begin. So I'm excited to introduce you today's speakers.
00:00:56> 00:00:59:	First off, we have Tokara Nicole Thomas. She's the Director
00:00:59> 00:01:03:	of Land Use and Development at Smart Growth America, and
00:01:03> 00:01:07:	her role includes leading the Form Based Codes Institute. Tokara
00:01:07> 00:01:12:	leads SGA's thought leadership, advocacy, and technical assistance work, all
00:01:12> 00:01:16:	with the goal to create prosperous, resilient, and healthy communities.
00:01:17> 00:01:20:	Next up we have Nolan Gray. Nolan is the author
00:01:20> 00:01:23:	of the book Arbitrary Lines, How Zoning Broke the American
00:01:23> 00:01:25:	City, and How to Fix It.

00:01:26> 00:01:29:	He is a professional city planner and an expert in
00:01:29> 00:01:33:	urban land use regulation. He's also currently completing his PhD
00:01:33> 00:01:37:	in Urban planning at UCLA. Next up is Heather Worthington.
00:01:37> 00:01:42:	Heather is managing consultant at the Center for Economic Conclusion,
00:01:42> 00:01:46:	which is an organization that works to build regional economies
00:01:46> 00:01:50:	that work for everyone, new systems for racial and economic,
00:01:50> 00:01:53:	racial and economic justice, and equitable wealth.
00:01:54> 00:01:58:	Among other goals previously, Heather and her team led the
00:01:58> 00:02:04:	creation, engagement and policy adoption of the Minneapolis 2040 Comprehensive
00:02:04> 00:02:07:	Plan, and our final speaker is Jeremy Sharp. Jeremy is
00:02:07> 00:02:12:	Norfolk Virginia's zoning administrator, and he's worked as a local
00:02:12> 00:02:17:	government urban planner in Virginia since 2000, 2005, and he's
00:02:17> 00:02:20:	been responsible for Norfolk's 2013 Comprehensive Plan.
00:02:21> 00:02:25:	It's 2016 Sea Level Rise Adaptation strategy and it's 2018
00:02:25> 00:02:29:	Zoning ordinance rewrite, so clearly you're in good hands with
00:02:29> 00:02:33:	this panel. Today's webinar was organized through the ULI Randall
00:02:33> 00:02:38:	Lewis Center for Sustainability and Real Estate, which is dedicated
00:02:38> 00:02:43:	to creating healthy, resilient, and high performance communities around the
00:02:43> 00:02:46:	world. So today's agenda I'm going to provide a brief
00:02:46> 00:02:49:	overview of ULI's new Reshaping the City report.
00:02:50> 00:02:54:	Then our panelists will share brief presentations on their perspectives
00:02:54> 00:02:57:	on the need to update zoning policies and opportunities for
00:02:58> 00:03:00:	reforms. We'll conduct a few polls where all of you
00:03:00> 00:03:03:	will get to share your thoughts and answer questions on
00:03:04> 00:03:06:	your views about zoning and then we'll have time for
00:03:06> 00:03:10:	question and answer with the panelists. I also wanted to
00:03:10> 00:03:12:	flag that this webinar is being recorded and we will
00:03:13> 00:03:16:	share a recording with all participants and it'll be available
00:03:16> 00:03:18:	on Utilized Knowledge Finder website.
00:03:19> 00:03:22:	Please use the Q&A box rather than the chat for
00:03:22> 00:03:25:	questions. You can also upvote any questions in the Q&A
00:03:25> 00:03:28:	that you like just very quickly. I also wanted to
00:03:28> 00:03:31:	share that you and I will be hosting a great

00:03:31> 00:03:34:	event as part of our Spring Meeting in Toronto. For
00:03:34> 00:03:37:	those of you that are joining you like Spring Meeting,
00:03:37> 00:03:41:	I highly recommend that you sign up for the Resilience
00:03:41> 00:03:43:	Summit, which will bring leaders together.
00:03:45> 00:03:49:	Real estate and resilience leaders together to share solutions to
00:03:49> 00:03:54:	protect communities while enhancing economic opportunity and social equity. You
00:03:54> 00:03:59:	can find more information about the Resilience Summit at ula.org/resilience
00:03:59> 00:04:03:	Summit. So as I alluded to earlier, today's webinar accompanies
00:04:03> 00:04:06:	a brand new ULI report called Reshaping the City.
00:04:07> 00:04:12:	The report highlights connections among many traditional zoning and land
00:04:12> 00:04:16:	use challenges that cities and towns are grappling with today,
00:04:16> 00:04:19:	and it makes the case for updating policies to create
00:04:19> 00:04:24:	healthier and more equitable, sustainable and resilient cities. It also
00:04:24> 00:04:27:	includes a section from Smart Growth America on form based
00:04:28> 00:04:31:	codes, so when cities across the United States began adopting
00:04:31> 00:04:34:	zoning ordinances over a contury ago
00.04.31> 00.04.34.	zoning ordinances over a century ago.
00:04:34> 00:04:38:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common
	Many policies centered on promoting public health and
00:04:34> 00:04:38:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful
00:04:34> 00:04:38: 00:04:39> 00:04:43:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also
00:04:34> 00:04:38: 00:04:39> 00:04:43: 00:04:43> 00:04:47:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from
00:04:34> 00:04:38: 00:04:39> 00:04:43: 00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning
00:04:34> 00:04:38: 00:04:39> 00:04:43: 00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based
00:04:34> 00:04:38:  00:04:39> 00:04:43:  00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55: 00:04:55> 00:04:58:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based zoning
00:04:34> 00:04:38:  00:04:39> 00:04:43:  00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55: 00:04:55> 00:04:58:  00:04:58> 00:05:01:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based zoning was banned by the Supreme Court in 1917.  Exclusionary zoning laws that created and maintained
00:04:34> 00:04:38:  00:04:39> 00:04:43:  00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55: 00:04:55> 00:04:58:  00:04:58> 00:05:01: 00:05:02> 00:05:06:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based zoning was banned by the Supreme Court in 1917.  Exclusionary zoning laws that created and maintained segregation soon became common and included provisions such as minimum lot size
00:04:34> 00:04:38:  00:04:39> 00:04:43:  00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55: 00:04:55> 00:04:58:  00:04:58> 00:05:01: 00:05:02> 00:05:06:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based zoning was banned by the Supreme Court in 1917.  Exclusionary zoning laws that created and maintained segregation soon became common and included provisions such as minimum lot size requirements,
00:04:34> 00:04:38:  00:04:39> 00:04:43:  00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55: 00:04:55> 00:04:58:  00:04:58> 00:05:01: 00:05:02> 00:05:06:  00:05:10> 00:05:10:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based zoning was banned by the Supreme Court in 1917. Exclusionary zoning laws that created and maintained segregation soon became common and included provisions such as minimum lot size requirements, prohibitions on multifamily homes, and limits on the height of
00:04:34> 00:04:38:  00:04:39> 00:04:43:  00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55: 00:04:55> 00:04:58:  00:04:58> 00:05:01: 00:05:02> 00:05:06:  00:05:10> 00:05:10: 00:05:14> 00:05:18:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based zoning was banned by the Supreme Court in 1917.  Exclusionary zoning laws that created and maintained segregation soon became common and included provisions such as minimum lot size requirements, prohibitions on multifamily homes, and limits on the height of buildings. And many of these policies are still common today.
00:04:34> 00:04:38:  00:04:39> 00:04:43:  00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55: 00:04:55> 00:04:58:  00:04:58> 00:05:01: 00:05:02> 00:05:06:  00:05:10> 00:05:10:  00:05:14> 00:05:18: 00:05:18> 00:05:21:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based zoning was banned by the Supreme Court in 1917.  Exclusionary zoning laws that created and maintained segregation soon became common and included provisions such as minimum lot size requirements, prohibitions on multifamily homes, and limits on the height of buildings. And many of these policies are still common today. They go a little deeper into some of the effects
00:04:34> 00:04:38:  00:04:39> 00:04:43:  00:04:43> 00:04:47: 00:04:47> 00:04:51: 00:04:51> 00:04:55: 00:04:55> 00:04:58:  00:04:58> 00:05:01: 00:05:02> 00:05:06:  00:05:10> 00:05:10:  00:05:14> 00:05:14: 00:05:18> 00:05:21: 00:05:21> 00:05:24:	Many policies centered on promoting public health and safety. Common provisions included separating dwellings from harmful industrial uses and also ensuring that buildings would not block light and air from reaching the sidewalk, but also from the very start, zoning laws have been used to discriminate against people of color and people with low incomes. After explicit race based zoning was banned by the Supreme Court in 1917.  Exclusionary zoning laws that created and maintained segregation soon became common and included provisions such as minimum lot size requirements, prohibitions on multifamily homes, and limits on the height of buildings. And many of these policies are still common today. They go a little deeper into some of the effects of many traditional zoning policies. We know that they often

00:05:33> 00:05:38:	homes results in higher greenhouse gas emissions. Again, exclusionary zoning
00:05:38> 00:05:42:	has led to racial segregation, which has created disparities in
00:05:42> 00:05:46:	health outcomes and economic opportunity. Many current policies also limit
00:05:46> 00:05:50:	the supply and affordability of homes, so just one example
00:05:50> 00:05:52:	is that unlike single family housing.
00:05:53> 00:05:57:	Multi unit buildings are often subject to mandatory public hearings
00:05:57> 00:06:00:	and are more likely to be rejected as a result.
00:06:01> 00:06:04:	And zoning policies have also largely failed to mitigate or
00:06:04> 00:06:09:	adapt to climate change and exacerbated risks like rising temperatures
00:06:09> 00:06:13:	and seas. Key reason to advance zoning updates is to
00:06:13> 00:06:18:	create more predictability in the development process, potentially making projects
00:06:18> 00:06:20:	less expensive and risky.
00:06:20> 00:06:24:	So outdated policies can make projects that aim to support
00:06:24> 00:06:28:	in demand types of development with green features and projects
00:06:28> 00:06:32:	that are more walkable, sustainable and more vibrant places. Outdated
00:06:32> 00:06:36:	policies can make these illegal or difficult and expensive to
00:06:36> 00:06:40:	complete. But zoning that advances local priorities and response to
00:06:40> 00:06:44:	market demand for healthier and more environmentally friendly projects on
00:06:44> 00:06:48:	more projects to be approved by right, making development application
00:06:48> 00:06:50:	outcomes more certain.
00:06:50> 00:06:53:	So it's just really important that real estate developers are
00:06:53> 00:06:57:	engaged as advocates for zoning reforms that are targeted to
00:06:57> 00:07:01:	better match market demand and community needs. The specific techniques
00:07:01> 00:07:04:	being used to update zoning are not necessarily new, but
00:07:04> 00:07:07:	they're being used in innovative ways to promote key goals.
00:07:07> 00:07:10:	So some common techniques include those that you see on
00:07:10> 00:07:14:	your screen. So, for example, comprehensive overhauls are the creation
00:07:14> 00:07:17:	of entirely new policies to replace previous codes.
00:07:18> 00:07:22:	Overlays are special districts placed over existing zones, zoning incentives
00:07:22> 00:07:26:	or tools to make it more financially feasible for developers
00:07:26> 00:07:29:	to provide certain benefits. And form based codes are land
00:07:29> 00:07:33:	development regulations that use physical form rather than

separation of 00:07:33 --> 00:07:36: uses as the organizing principle for a code. And that 00:07:36 --> 00:07:39: is a perfect segue into our first speaker today. 00:07:40 --> 00:07:44: I'm pleased to pass it over to Takara Nicole Thomas, 00:07:44 --> 00:07:48: our resident expert in all things form based codes. So 00:07:48 --> 00:07:49: Takara, take it away. 00:07:50 --> 00:07:53: Thank you so much, Matt, for giving us that overview 00:07:53 --> 00:07:56: of the report and giving me that warm introduction. If 00:07:56 --> 00:07:58: we can go to the next slide, please. 00:08:00 --> 00:08:03: So I'm going to talk to us today about zoning 00:08:03 --> 00:08:06: reform from a recovery practitioners view. Before we get started 00:08:06 --> 00:08:09: into that, I'm going to tell you a little bit 00:08:09 --> 00:08:12: more about Smart Growth America, my role as Smart Growth 00:08:12 --> 00:08:15: America. And then we'll dig into some of the projects 00:08:15 --> 00:08:18: that I've worked on throughout my career, which lends itself 00:08:18 --> 00:08:21: to the conversation we're going to have today. Overall. Next 00:08:21 --> 00:08:25: slide please. So Smart Growth America is a national nonprofit. 00:08:25 --> 00:08:28: We are headquartered in Washington, DC, but we work. 00:08:28 --> 00:08:31: Nationally and foreign based codes in institute which is a 00:08:31 --> 00:08:34: program of foreign based code of Smart Growth America is 00:08:34 --> 00:08:38: actually international, but we're focused on Smart Growth America For 00:08:38 --> 00:08:41: just this slide. Our North Star is that we envision 00:08:41 --> 00:08:44: a country where no matter where you live or who 00:08:44 --> 00:08:47: you are, you can enjoy living in a place that 00:08:47 --> 00:08:50: is healthy, prosperous and resilient. And we accomplish that 00:08:50 --> 00:08:54: North Star through our impact model of thought leadership, advocacy 00:08:54 --> 00:08:56: and technical assistance. Next slide please. 00:08:59 --> 00:09:03: We have 3 programmatic priorities. Setting communities up for climate 00:09:03 --> 00:09:08: change and climate resilience, advancing racial equity, keeping in mind 00:09:08 --> 00:09:11: that if your smart growth isn't racially equitable, it's not 00:09:11 --> 00:09:15: smart growth, and creating and setting up infrastructure for communities

be healthy for the long run. Next slide please.

to be considered healthy, whether that means.

The built environment actually lends itself to healthy

setting up a community to be sustainable so it can

determinants or

00:09:15 --> 00:09:18:

00:09:19 --> 00:09:22:

00:09:22 --> 00:09:25:

00:09:25 --> 00:09:28:

00:09:29> 00:09:32:	Not going to spend too much time on my background
00:09:32> 00:09:35:	as Matt kind of gave you a little bit of
00:09:35> 00:09:38:	overview, but I will talk about how I am uniquely
00:09:38> 00:09:41:	qualified to serve as the Executive Director of the Foreign
00:09:42> 00:09:44:	Base Code and how that role fits into my larger
00:09:44> 00:09:48:	role as Smart Growth America. My main spirit of influence
00:09:48> 00:09:52:	is advancing and attainable housing for all, and doing that
00:09:52> 00:09:56:	by advocating for zoning, innovation and zoning reform writ large
00:09:56> 00:09:58:	and specifically with foreign base codes.
00:09:59> 00:10:03:	I bring very practical planning experience to the role. I
00:10:03> 00:10:06:	like to think of myself as the Swiss Army knife
00:10:06> 00:10:10:	of land use, because pretty much any project that you
00:10:10> 00:10:13:	can think of I've either worked on or touched on
00:10:13> 00:10:18:	or supported running the gamut from leading comprehensive planning updates,
00:10:18> 00:10:23:	neighborhood planning updates, zoning administration, so on and so forth.
00:10:23> 00:10:26:	And I've also worked across the country, and I have
00:10:26> 00:10:29:	served in leadership roles on both.
00:10:29> 00:10:34:	Both Colts Florida and California and my personal and professional
00:10:34> 00:10:38:	background is housing attainability. I have a Master's art in
00:10:38> 00:10:42:	Urban Regional planning and I was a HUD scholar, so
00:10:42> 00:10:46:	I specifically drilled down on affordable housing and that lens
00:10:46> 00:10:49:	is what I bring to everything I do.
00:10:50> 00:10:52:	Now going to the next slide and bridging that gap
00:10:52> 00:10:55:	of how that fits into my experiences, the Ed of
00:10:55> 00:10:59:	the Form Based Codes Institute, we advocate for zoning reform
00:10:59> 00:11:01:	through the use of Form based codes.
00:11:01> 00:11:06:	We do that through our educational offerings, our technical assistance
00:11:06> 00:11:09:	program for codes for communities and our form based code
00:11:09> 00:11:13:	award program where we highlight exemplary versions of form based
00:11:13> 00:11:17:	codes, Right. Earlier I said that I am uniquely qualified
00:11:17> 00:11:20:	for this role and let's unpack that. What I mean
00:11:20> 00:11:23:	by that is my very first job out of Graduate
00:11:23> 00:11:26:	School was at a redevelopment agency in Florida and.
00:11:27> 00:11:31:	Redevelopment agencies in Florida all have form based codes. That
00:11:31> 00:11:35:	is something that we really don't talk about in Florida.
00:11:35> 00:11:38:	But every single one of those is a form based

00:11:38> 00:11:42:	code and my steering committee members have either written or
00:11:42> 00:11:45:	contributed heavily to those form based codes and I found
00:11:46> 00:11:47:	in early in my career that.
00:11:48> 00:11:52:	I had a lot of autonomy and creativity in advancing
00:11:52> 00:11:57:	community development, community redevelopment under that form based code. I've
00:11:57> 00:12:01:	always personally professionally thought about how do we get to
00:12:01> 00:12:03:	a yes and I found that with the form based
00:12:03> 00:12:07:	code, getting to that yes is inherently baked in the
00:12:07> 00:12:10:	code as it is a land development regulation that is
00:12:10> 00:12:14:	focused on the predictable built results right instead of the
00:12:14> 00:12:15:	segregation of uses.
00:12:16> 00:12:19:	So now if we move to the next clock side,
00:12:19> 00:12:22:	please, what do I mean by that? That innovation and
00:12:22> 00:12:26:	that creativity and that flexibility? I worked on some projects
00:12:26> 00:12:30:	and directly contributed to and directly managed and implemented projects
00:12:30> 00:12:33:	that some people will consider a once in a lifetime
00:12:33> 00:12:35:	projects for a planner.
00:12:36> 00:12:40:	The timeline horizon for projects typically can be thought of
00:12:40> 00:12:44:	like 30 years, right? Typically, I've known some planners who
00:12:44> 00:12:47:	worked on one project for their entire career, they retire
00:12:47> 00:12:50:	and then that baton is passed on to another planner,
00:12:50> 00:12:54:	right? I directly innovated and implemented some of those projects
00:12:54> 00:12:56:	and the only way I was able to do that
00:12:56> 00:12:59:	is because I had a form based code that did
00:12:59> 00:13:01:	not stand in the way of these projects.
00:13:02> 00:13:06:	Permitting and entitlements is a very strong barrier to projects
00:13:06> 00:13:10:	coming out of the ground, especially affordable housing projects and
00:13:10> 00:13:14:	housing projects writ large. So working at a foreign based
00:13:14> 00:13:18:	code based redevelopment agency, I didn't have that barrier and
00:13:18> 00:13:21:	I got projects out of the ground quickly. On the
00:13:21> 00:13:26:	screen is the awardwinning and internationally recognized Ocean Mall redevelopment
00:13:26> 00:13:28:	and if we go to the next slide.
00:13:29> 00:13:32:	We also worked on directly in the Riviera Beach Heights
00:13:32> 00:13:38:	neighborhood, wrote and managed the Neighborhood Stabilization plan that is

00:13:38> 00:13:42:	an internationally recognized and awarded. We won several bursary awards
00:13:43> 00:13:45:	to support this planning project.
00:13:46> 00:13:49:	And then the projects that came out of this plan,
00:13:49> 00:13:52:	namely the Riviera Beach Heights Community Garden, we implemented a
00:13:52> 00:13:55:	series of houses that we were able to get out
00:13:55> 00:13:58:	of the ground that were affordable housing. And you know,
00:13:59> 00:14:01:	the time frame was very quickly we were able to
00:14:01> 00:14:05:	just get them out because we didn't have zoning standing
00:14:05> 00:14:07:	in our way. Next slide please. And then we also
00:14:07> 00:14:08:	did the.
00:14:09> 00:14:14:	World class Marina Village and Marina redevelopment. This is about
00:14:14> 00:14:19:	a 20 acre Marina redevelopment, seawall and infrastructure and the
00:14:19> 00:14:22:	development that came out of the ground. Again, we did
00:14:23> 00:14:27:	not have to deal with any zoning and entitlement barriers
00:14:27> 00:14:31:	because the farm based code says inherently if the project
00:14:31> 00:14:35:	looks like the vision that we've outlined, go ahead and
00:14:35> 00:14:37:	do it. Next slide please.
00:14:38> 00:14:41:	Which is contrasted with my time working with communities with
00:14:41> 00:14:46:	traditional zoning codes. They're in flexibility, directly constrained creatively and
00:14:46> 00:14:50:	directly constrained projects coming out of the ground. Next slide,
00:14:50> 00:14:50:	please.
00:14:52> 00:14:55:	So I worked with one community where you would think
00:14:55> 00:14:58:	a simple question should be able to get you a
00:14:58> 00:15:02:	simple answer, right? But unfortunately the zoning code was so
00:15:02> 00:15:05:	complex and so inflexible, sometimes you had to go to
00:15:05> 00:15:09:	15 different pieces of information to just get the simple
00:15:09> 00:15:12:	answer of what is this parcel zoned as right? There's
00:15:12> 00:15:15:	lack of inconsistency, and when you have so many different
00:15:15> 00:15:19:	zoning amendments that you're trying to retroactively.
00:15:19> 00:15:22:	Put together, that piece is a puzzle. You have to
00:15:22> 00:15:25:	make sure everything is as consistent, and it wasn't always
00:15:25> 00:15:29:	so you couldn't really just get a simple answer immediately.
00:15:29> 00:15:32:	And then the other big question that seems simple is,
00:15:32> 00:15:35:	is agriculture is agriculture right? If I have a piece
00:15:35> 00:15:39:	of property that is zoned for agriculture, I should be
00:15:39> 00:15:42:	able to extensively grow any crop. Unfortunately, we weren't

able 00:15:42 --> 00:15:45: to give them a simple answer in that community. We 00:15:45 --> 00:15:48: had to adopt A 40 page zoning amendment. 00:15:48 --> 00:15:51: Just to be able to get to the answer of 00:15:51 --> 00:15:54: whether or not you could grow that crop, next slide, 00:15:54 --> 00:15:57: please. Another example of the inflexibility of the zoning code 00:15:57 --> 00:16:01: is that there was an administrative error for one piece 00:16:01 --> 00:16:04: of property. The entire neighborhood was rezoned as part of 00:16:04 --> 00:16:08: a general plan update, but due to that administrative error, 00:16:08 --> 00:16:11: there was an inconsistency with the use, the existing use 00:16:11 --> 00:16:14: on the property, the future land use of the property 00:16:14 --> 00:16:18: and the designation of that property in the general plan. 00:16:18 --> 00:16:22: This wasn't under this wasn't found until about several property 00:16:23 --> 00:16:26: owner changes and it ended up costing the property owner 00:16:26 --> 00:16:31: several \$1000 to get this administrative error fixed and logically 00:16:31 --> 00:16:34: you would think there's an existing use. This is what 00:16:34 --> 00:16:38: the existing you should be to match the neighborhood that 00:16:38 --> 00:16:41: it was rezoned for would be. Because of there was 00:16:41 --> 00:16:47: that inconsistent inconsistency and inflexibility we were unable to accommodate. 00:16:47 --> 00:16:52: This property owner without going through this administrative discretionary review 00:16:53 --> 00:16:57: process, next slide please. And then finally we'll talk about 00:16:57 --> 00:17:00: how the same community also had a very significant housing 00:17:00 --> 00:17:04: crisis and the cultivators who recognized their part in this 00:17:04 --> 00:17:09: housing crisis because they will bring in significant workers, a 00:17:09 --> 00:17:12: large amount of workers in a short time were willing 00:17:12 --> 00:17:14: to help fix this housing crisis. 00:17:15 --> 00:17:20: Many times they suggested using some flexible and innovative housing 00:17:20 --> 00:17:25: products such as manufacture housing, shipping, container housing and tiny 00:17:25 --> 00:17:29: houses, which can be deployed relatively quickly in a practical 00:17:29 --> 00:17:29: sense. 00:17:30 --> 00:17:34: But we were absolutely unable to deploy these products because 00:17:34 --> 00:17:39: the zoning ordinance expressly prohibited these zoning products, these housing 00:17:39 --> 00:17:43: products, right. So the only alternative was to go through

a rezoning process that could take years. But the cultivators

kind of push back was like, well, we need housing

00:17:43 --> 00:17:47:

00:17:47 --> 00:17:50:

00:17:50> 00:17:53:	now. We need to house our workers now. So that
00:17:53> 00:17:57:	ended up causing more issues because they resorted to.
00:17:58> 00:18:02:	Tents and RV's, which exacerbated a problem and resulted
	in
00:18:02> 00:18:07:	code enforcement issues. So the zoning, ordinance, and flexibility expressly
00:18:07> 00:18:11:	prohibited the community from fixing their own issues without going
00:18:11> 00:18:15:	through a massive comprehensive rewrite. Next slide please. So I
00:18:15> 00:18:19:	will leave us with the idea that ultimately zoning reform
00:18:19> 00:18:23:	and housing attainability comes down to a choice. And that
00:18:23> 00:18:26:	choice. That choice is what do you want your community
00:18:26> 00:18:27:	to look like?
00:18:28> 00:18:31:	And does your zoning ordinance or your land use decisions
00:18:31> 00:18:34:	match that choice? And if it doesn't, how are you
00:18:34> 00:18:38:	able to accommodate that? And with that, that concludes, oh,
00:18:38> 00:18:41:	I also have some slides with some resources if you're
00:18:41> 00:18:45:	interested in learning more about some of the work that
00:18:45> 00:18:47:	Smart Growth America produces.
00:18:47> 00:18:51:	We have our Zoned in report which outlines the economic
00:18:51> 00:18:54:	benefits of Zoned in dangerous by design. The key take
00:18:54> 00:18:58:	away there was even though driving went down during the
00:18:58> 00:19:03:	pandemic, pedestrian fatalities increased and and specifically people of color,
00:19:04> 00:19:08:	particularly Native and block Americans, were more likely to
	particularly Native and black Americans, were more likely to die
00:19:08> 00:19:12:	
00:19:08> 00:19:12: 00:19:12> 00:19:15:	die
	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is
00:19:12> 00:19:15:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year.
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass.
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25: 00:19:25> 00:19:28:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass. And so we kind of talked through some ideas there
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25:  00:19:25> 00:19:28: 00:19:28> 00:19:32:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass. And so we kind of talked through some ideas there of what does that mean and unpack those statistics. And
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25:  00:19:25> 00:19:28: 00:19:28> 00:19:32: 00:19:32> 00:19:35:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass. And so we kind of talked through some ideas there of what does that mean and unpack those statistics. And finally, I love to talk, I love the chat, love to connect. So we'll share these slides afterwards and that's how you reach us and I'll turn it over to
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25:  00:19:25> 00:19:28: 00:19:28> 00:19:32: 00:19:32> 00:19:35: 00:19:35> 00:19:39: 00:19:39> 00:19:42: 00:19:42> 00:19:44:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass. And so we kind of talked through some ideas there of what does that mean and unpack those statistics. And finally, I love to talk, I love the chat, love to connect. So we'll share these slides afterwards and that's
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25:  00:19:25> 00:19:28: 00:19:28> 00:19:32: 00:19:32> 00:19:35: 00:19:35> 00:19:39: 00:19:39> 00:19:42:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass. And so we kind of talked through some ideas there of what does that mean and unpack those statistics. And finally, I love to talk, I love the chat, love to connect. So we'll share these slides afterwards and that's how you reach us and I'll turn it over to
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25:  00:19:25> 00:19:28: 00:19:28> 00:19:32: 00:19:32> 00:19:35: 00:19:35> 00:19:39: 00:19:39> 00:19:42: 00:19:42> 00:19:44: 00:19:49> 00:19:52: 00:19:52> 00:19:54:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass. And so we kind of talked through some ideas there of what does that mean and unpack those statistics. And finally, I love to talk, I love the chat, love to connect. So we'll share these slides afterwards and that's how you reach us and I'll turn it over to Nolan to take it from here. Hi, everyone. It's a pleasure to be speaking here today. My name is Nolan Gray. As Matt mentioned, I am
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25:  00:19:25> 00:19:28: 00:19:28> 00:19:32: 00:19:32> 00:19:35: 00:19:35> 00:19:39: 00:19:39> 00:19:42: 00:19:42> 00:19:44: 00:19:49> 00:19:52: 00:19:52> 00:19:54: 00:19:54> 00:19:57:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass. And so we kind of talked through some ideas there of what does that mean and unpack those statistics. And finally, I love to talk, I love the chat, love to connect. So we'll share these slides afterwards and that's how you reach us and I'll turn it over to Nolan to take it from here. Hi, everyone. It's a pleasure to be speaking here today. My name is Nolan Gray. As Matt mentioned, I am a professional city planner and new research director here at
00:19:12> 00:19:15: 00:19:15> 00:19:16: 00:19:17> 00:19:20: 00:19:20> 00:19:25:  00:19:25> 00:19:28: 00:19:28> 00:19:32: 00:19:32> 00:19:35: 00:19:35> 00:19:39: 00:19:39> 00:19:42: 00:19:42> 00:19:44: 00:19:49> 00:19:52: 00:19:52> 00:19:54:	die in traffic accidents and in foot traffic ahead, which is our most recent piece of reporting which came out earlier this year. The big headline there is that almost 20% of the total USGDP is found in approximately 1.2% of American landmass. And so we kind of talked through some ideas there of what does that mean and unpack those statistics. And finally, I love to talk, I love the chat, love to connect. So we'll share these slides afterwards and that's how you reach us and I'll turn it over to Nolan to take it from here. Hi, everyone. It's a pleasure to be speaking here today. My name is Nolan Gray. As Matt mentioned, I am

00:20:03> 00:20:06:	a little bit about late news planning reforms that have
00:20:06> 00:20:09:	been passed and that are actively under consideration and a
00:20:09> 00:20:12:	little bit about where I think we go from here.
00:20:12> 00:20:15:	Next slide, please. Oh, there are really, really 3 paths
00:20:15> 00:20:18:	to reform that are really being pursued and that are
00:20:18> 00:20:22:	going to be occurring more and more cities and states.
00:20:22> 00:20:25:	The first, of course, that local governments can remove a
00:20:25> 00:20:29:	lot of these regulatory barriers that have made American cities
00:20:29> 00:20:33:	so unaffordable, stagnant, segregated and sprawling. Of course, local government.
00:20:33> 00:20:36:	Local zoning, as it is today, is almost exclusively local
00:20:37> 00:20:37:	power.
00:20:37> 00:20:40:	State delegated power, but we give local governments a lot
00:20:40> 00:20:43:	of leeway over how they administer those powers. Of course,
00:20:43> 00:20:46:	local governments can and should start adopting reforms. The second
00:20:46> 00:20:49:	path to reform here is states putting up more guardrails
00:20:49> 00:20:52:	around how local powers are administered. As I mentioned ladies
00:20:52> 00:20:55:	planning as a state delegated power to local governments, and
00:20:55> 00:20:58:	it's appropriate for states to put up guardrails around how
00:20:58> 00:21:01:	those powers are administered in the longer term, something I
00:21:01> 00:21:02:	argue in my book.
00:21:03> 00:21:06:	Is I think it's actually time for a fundamental rethink
00:21:06> 00:21:09:	of how we do light news planning and to the
00:21:09> 00:21:11:	
	extent I have time, I'll talk a little bit about
00:21:11> 00:21:14:	extent I have time, I'll talk a little bit about that next slide please. So to talk about a few
00:21:11> 00:21:14: 00:21:14> 00:21:18:	
	that next slide please. So to talk about a few
00:21:14> 00:21:18:	that next slide please. So to talk about a few local reforms that I think are actually really exciting, many local governments increasingly are legalizing low rise
00:21:14> 00:21:18: 00:21:18> 00:21:22:	that next slide please. So to talk about a few local reforms that I think are actually really exciting, many local governments increasingly are legalizing low rise multifamily typologies in areas that were historically restricted to single family zoning.
00:21:14> 00:21:18: 00:21:18> 00:21:22: 00:21:22> 00:21:26:	that next slide please. So to talk about a few local reforms that I think are actually really exciting, many local governments increasingly are legalizing low rise multifamily typologies in areas that were historically restricted to single family zoning. As
00:21:14> 00:21:18: 00:21:18> 00:21:22: 00:21:22> 00:21:26: 00:21:26> 00:21:29:	that next slide please. So to talk about a few local reforms that I think are actually really exciting, many local governments increasingly are legalizing low rise multifamily typologies in areas that were historically restricted to single family zoning. As most folks on this call probably know, single family zoning
00:21:14> 00:21:18: 00:21:18> 00:21:22: 00:21:22> 00:21:26: 00:21:26> 00:21:29: 00:21:29> 00:21:31:	that next slide please. So to talk about a few local reforms that I think are actually really exciting, many local governments increasingly are legalizing low rise multifamily typologies in areas that were historically restricted to single family zoning. As most folks on this call probably know, single family zoning policies were explicitly designed.  To exclude lower to moderate income households from the
00:21:14> 00:21:18: 00:21:18> 00:21:22:  00:21:22> 00:21:26:  00:21:26> 00:21:29: 00:21:29> 00:21:31: 00:21:32> 00:21:35:	that next slide please. So to talk about a few local reforms that I think are actually really exciting, many local governments increasingly are legalizing low rise multifamily typologies in areas that were historically restricted to single family zoning. As most folks on this call probably know, single family zoning policies were explicitly designed.  To exclude lower to moderate income households from the vast
00:21:14> 00:21:18: 00:21:18> 00:21:22:  00:21:22> 00:21:26:  00:21:26> 00:21:29: 00:21:29> 00:21:31: 00:21:32> 00:21:35:  00:21:35> 00:21:38:	that next slide please. So to talk about a few local reforms that I think are actually really exciting, many local governments increasingly are legalizing low rise multifamily typologies in areas that were historically restricted to single family zoning. As most folks on this call probably know, single family zoning policies were explicitly designed.  To exclude lower to moderate income households from the vast majority of most US residential areas have land as land
00:21:14> 00:21:18: 00:21:18> 00:21:22:  00:21:22> 00:21:26:  00:21:26> 00:21:29: 00:21:29> 00:21:31: 00:21:32> 00:21:35:  00:21:35> 00:21:38: 00:21:38> 00:21:41:	that next slide please. So to talk about a few local reforms that I think are actually really exciting, many local governments increasingly are legalizing low rise multifamily typologies in areas that were historically restricted to single family zoning. As most folks on this call probably know, single family zoning policies were explicitly designed.  To exclude lower to moderate income households from the vast majority of most US residential areas have land as land prices have gone up. Of course, that has locked many

	those
00:21:51> 00:21:55:	single family zoning policies and allow things like accessory dwelling
00:21:55> 00:21:59:	units, duplexes, small lot single family homes, townhouses, and of
00:21:59> 00:22:03:	course small multi family buildings. Minneapolis broke through the impasse
00:22:03> 00:22:06:	here and was the first city to eliminate single family
00:22:06> 00:22:09:	zoning we've seen a whole bunch of other cities adopt.
00:22:10> 00:22:14:	Similar such reforms. In fact, just this week, Arlington County
00:22:14> 00:22:17:	voted to legalize 4 plexes and six plexes in nearly
00:22:17> 00:22:21:	all residential areas of the county. Next slide, please. Another
00:22:21> 00:22:26:	reform that's quite popular is eliminating parking requirements requirements. These
00:22:26> 00:22:29:	raise the cost of housing. They can raise the cost
00:22:29> 00:22:31:	of housing by as much as assist.
00:22:31> 00:22:34:	This can add \$50,000 to the cost of a unit.
00:22:34> 00:22:38:	Many jurisdictions are saying, hey, developers and consumers are in
00:22:38> 00:22:41:	a better position to determine how much parking needs to
00:22:41> 00:22:46:	be built. Many jurisdictions are eliminating these requirements altogether. Next
00:22:46> 00:22:50:	slide, please. Of course, the most important news story of
00:22:50> 00:22:53:	this year so far in my hometown of Lexington, KY,
00:22:53> 00:22:56:	removed these minimum parking requirements. Next slide.
00:23:01> 00:23:05:	Another exciting local reform is Another exciting local reform is
00:23:06> 00:23:10:	minimum lot size productions. So minimum lot sizes rules require
00:23:10> 00:23:13:	that lot sizes be a certain size above and beyond
00:23:13> 00:23:17:	what consumers might prefer, what developers might be able to
00:23:17> 00:23:20:	actually build. Houston is a really exciting example of this.
00:23:20> 00:23:24:	In 1998 they lowered minimum lot sizes from 5000 square
00:23:24> 00:23:25:	feet. 1400 square feet.
00:23:26> 00:23:29:	This helped to kick off a an infill townhouse building
00:23:29> 00:23:32:	boom that as 2016 had produced about 25,000 new units
00:23:32> 00:23:35:	within the l610 loop, so close to job centers and
00:23:35> 00:23:39:	in areas that potentially can be served by transit. This
00:23:39> 00:23:42:	is I think a really valuable reform, particularly in suburban
00:23:42> 00:23:46:	areas where the bulk of the new development is still
00:23:46> 00:23:49:	going to be single family housing. Next slide please.
00:23:53> 00:23:57:	I think another important reform that remains on the horizon
00:23:57> 00:24:01:	here is legalizing the bottom of the housing market. So

00:24:01> 00:24:05:	historically the housing safety net was provided by the market
00:24:05> 00:24:10:	in the forms of things like single room occupancies. Essentially
00:24:10> 00:24:13:	we might give the most residential hotels you have a
00:24:13> 00:24:18:	private bedroom with maybe some rudimentary facility and a shared
00:24:18> 00:24:18:	bathroom.
00:24:19> 00:24:23:	These were essential for keeping people up streets and we
00:24:23> 00:24:26:	can draw a Direct Line from many cities making SRO's
00:24:26> 00:24:30:	illegal and actively polishing them to the current homelessness crisis
00:24:30> 00:24:33:	in the suburban and rural areas. Manufactured housing served a
00:24:33> 00:24:37:	similar role and again, they face really antagonistic local zoning
00:24:37> 00:24:41:	regulatory environment that we need more and more cities to
00:24:41> 00:24:45:	be considered. There was legislation in Arizona just recently actually
00:24:45> 00:24:48:	to legalize both of these ipologies statewide. Slide please.
00:24:52> 00:24:56:	Realistically though, in most jurisdictions the politics at the local
00:24:56> 00:24:58:	level are not going to line up to allow for
00:24:58> 00:25:01:	a lot of reform. Of course, particularly in smaller suburbs,
00:25:01> 00:25:03:	the politics of exclusion are rock solid, and the only
00:25:03> 00:25:06:	way you're going to get land use reforms in a
00:25:06> 00:25:08:	lot of these jurisdictions is by having some sort of
00:25:08> 00:25:11:	state intervention. So here in California, a lot of people
00:25:11> 00:25:14:	who know this, in 1982 the state of California directed
00:25:14> 00:25:16:	local governments to come up with a plan to legalize
00:25:16> 00:25:17:	accessory dwelling units.
00:25:18> 00:25:21:	But they could write whatever rules they wanted, and if
00:25:21> 00:25:25:	they really didn't want to legalize access for going units,
00:25:25> 00:25:28: 00:25:28> 00:25:31:	they could write a book report explaining why they didn't have to legalize them. It won't surprise most people here
00:25:31> 00:25:34:	to learn we got very, very few ADU's built. That
00:25:34> 00:25:36:	all changed in 2016 when the state said views are
00:25:36> 00:25:40:	legal statewide and we're going to set clear, statewide,
	workable
00:25:40> 00:25:43:	standards for these units. What we immediately saw was a
00:25:43> 00:25:45:	building boom coming out of that.
00:25:46> 00:25:49: 00:25:49> 00:25:52:	Homeowners jumped at the opportunity and now about 1/4 to
00:25:52> 00:25:52:	1:00 and 4:00 to 1:00 and 3:00 units permit in
UU.∠3.3∠> UU:∠3:30:	California last year was accessory dwelling unit. These are

new 00:25:56 --> 00:26:00: inherently affordable homes being built in areas that historically have 00:26:00 --> 00:26:03: not built much housing for the past 70 years. Next 00:26:03 --> 00:26:06: slide in California we've sponsored a bunch of legislation to 00:26:07 --> 00:26:10: do similar sorts of interventions and 2021 S P9 legalized 00:26:10 --> 00:26:11: duplexes and lot splits. 00:26:12 --> 00:26:15: Statewide, small lot homes as low as 1200 square feet. 00:26:15 --> 00:26:18: They've cleaned up legislation that's being considered on that this 00:26:18 --> 00:26:21: year and 10 exempted missing middle up zonings from the 00:26:21 --> 00:26:25: onerous environmental review mandates that we have here in California. 00:26:25 --> 00:26:28: Last year we had two really big exciting bills, 802011 00:26:28 --> 00:26:32: allowed mixed income multifamily in all commercial zones and 802097 00:26:32 --> 00:26:35: eliminated parking requirements within 1/2 mile of transit. This is 00:26:35 --> 00:26:38: an exciting and ambitious agenda and I think you're already 00:26:38 --> 00:26:41: starting to see even more ambitious reforms. 00:26:41 --> 00:26:45: In other states, I've been following what's going on in 00:26:45 --> 00:26:50: Montana, Arizona, Colorado. It's spreading across the Mountain West. Of 00:26:50 --> 00:26:54: course, Governor Hobo and New York is considering similar ideas. 00:26:54 --> 00:26:58: This is really only the big thing. Next slide, please. 00:26:58 --> 00:27:01: As I mentioned, this is happening all over the country, 00:27:01 --> 00:27:05: right? So of course you have conservative states like Utah, 00:27:05 --> 00:27:07: progressive states like Oregon. 00:27:08 --> 00:27:12: Purple states like Minnesota, states of all different sorts of 00:27:12 --> 00:27:16: political persuasions, reconsidering these rules and trying to figure out 00:27:17 --> 00:27:19: how to make it easier to build house. Fly, please. 00:27:20 --> 00:27:22: The role of the federal government I think is is 00:27:22 --> 00:27:25: still being figured out. You know, I think a lot 00:27:25 --> 00:27:29: of the discussions now, again, there's this bipartisan interest. 00:27:29 --> 00:27:32: of the ideas it's been floating around DC for a 00:27:32 --> 00:27:35: while now is to add more incentive programs to federal 00:27:35 --> 00:27:36: funding. 00:27:37 --> 00:27:40: To provide carrots for local governments to remove a lot 00:27:40 --> 00:27:44: of these regulatory barriers to new housing production. Of

tying these, tying such conditions to the CBDG grant funding

course,

00:27:44 --> 00:27:48:

00:27:48> 00:27:52:	or tying conditions to federal transportation dollars hasn't been a
00:27:52> 00:27:56:	lot of movement on this yet. It's mostly been talk
00:27:56> 00:27:59:	but such the nature of DC these days. Next slide
00:27:59> 00:27:59:	please.
00:28:03> 00:28:06:	I'll tee up a broader discussion here. Maybe we can
00:28:06> 00:28:08:	talk about it in a Q&A. And of course I
00:28:08> 00:28:10:	talk about it a lot in my book. Of course,
00:28:10> 00:28:12:	I think these reforms are all well and good, but
00:28:12> 00:28:15:	I think there's actually a lot of capacity to do
00:28:15> 00:28:18:	much deeper reforms. Of course, in the US, we have
00:28:18> 00:28:20:	this notion that we're gonna go out and touch the
00:28:20> 00:28:23:	rest of the world how to do everything. Meanwhile, we.
00:28:24> 00:28:26:	Generally, do lighting things significantly worse than a lot of
00:28:27> 00:28:29:	our OEC peers. I actually think that there's a lot
00:28:29> 00:28:31:	of lessons to be learned from other countries how they
00:28:31> 00:28:34:	administer zoning like policies. In the book I talk about
00:28:34> 00:28:37:	Japan, but France actually has a very similar system. It's
00:28:37> 00:28:40:	different in two ways, procedurally and substantively. Usually in the
00:28:40> 00:28:43:	Japanese and French systems, the same districts are defined at
00:28:43> 00:28:45:	higher levels of government. So you might think of this
00:28:45> 00:28:47:	to put it in US terms, the ordinance is written
00:28:47> 00:28:50:	by the national government and then maps are created by
00:28:50> 00:28:51:	local governments.
00:28:51> 00:28:54:	Probably not an appropriate model for the US, but states
00:28:54> 00:28:58:	could actually fill a similar role. Where they are defining
00:28:58> 00:29:01:	zoning districts that local governments can map, other codes are
00:29:01> 00:29:05:	also other systems. Zoning systems are also substantially more liberal
00:29:05> 00:29:08:	on the actual substance of the regulation. So even the
00:29:08> 00:29:11:	most restrictive zoning district in countries like Japan or many
00:29:11> 00:29:15:	European countries will allow a mixture of lowres residential housing
00:29:15> 00:29:18:	typologies and neighborhood serving retail. Next slide.
00:29:22> 00:29:24:	As I as I teased, but I'm already running a
00:29:24> 00:29:27:	little bit behind, I'll take a little bit, I think
00:29:27> 00:29:30:	the butter ideas about where we go from here. In
00:29:30> 00:29:32:	the book I talk a lot about Houston as a
00:29:32> 00:29:36:	fascinating example of a city that doesn't have conventional Euclidean

00:29:36> 00:29:40:	zoning. I have a very different system with benefits and
00:29:40> 00:29:43:	drawbacks. To talk a little bit about that next slide
00:29:43> 00:29:45:	and then I make the case that you know.
00:29:46> 00:29:49:	Critiques of zoning, I think, are not necessarily critiques of
00:29:49> 00:29:52:	planning. Indeed, I think, well, the cost of zoning has
00:29:52> 00:29:55:	been that it's distracted us from really important light news
00:29:55> 00:29:58:	and transportation planning functions that we could be better allocating
00:29:58> 00:30:01:	our civil service toward. Of course, regulating things like the
00:30:02> 00:30:05:	actual externalities that bother people, right? Things like noise. We
00:30:05> 00:30:07:	generally do a very loosey goosey job of that. Or
00:30:07> 00:30:11:	actually administering on street parking or transportation management, the things
00:30:11> 00:30:14:	that really bug people and people in a position where
00:30:14> 00:30:16:	they're opposing new development. Next slide.
00:30:18> 00:30:20:	I also think we can get a lot of what
00:30:20> 00:30:23:	we want out of city planning by actually doing city
00:30:23> 00:30:26:	planning. You know, I have a friend and a colleague
00:30:26> 00:30:28:	who's on a city Planning Commission. He told me it
00:30:28> 00:30:31:	should probably be renamed to the the, the city, the
00:30:31> 00:30:34:	the city Reacting Committee, right, because so much of what
00:30:34> 00:30:37:	planners do today is react to private applications. I l
00:30:37> 00:30:40:	I find so many suburbs and exurban areas where they
00:30:40> 00:30:43:	they will have a comprehensive zoning document.
00:30:44> 00:30:48:	Detailing the minor rules around setbacks and permit home based
00:30:49> 00:30:53:	businesses and then they won't even have a broader streets
00:30:53> 00:30:57:	plan or any sort of long term plan for parks
00:30:57> 00:31:00:	and sewer. That's the type of work that I think
00:31:01> 00:31:05:	language planning can be doing much better forcing our energy
00:31:05> 00:31:09:	on and it's a picture project next slide right. So
00:31:09> 00:31:11:	quickly wrapping up here.
00:31:12> 00:31:16:	There's a lot of exciting movement happening on this space.
00:31:16> 00:31:19:	Folks are interested in these reforms. Get involved with your
00:31:19> 00:31:22:	state and local MV chapters. Talk to your local state
00:31:23> 00:31:26:	elected officials and planners. And then of course, we need
00:31:26> 00:31:29:	people to leverage all of these new laws and build
00:31:29> 00:31:32:	the housing that our communities need. Next slide, Thanks so
00:31:33> 00:31:36:	much. Sorry for the technical issues, but I'm thankful for
00:31:36> 00:31:39:	the opportunity to be here. I look forward to the

00:31:39> 00:31:40:	discussion.
00:31:42> 00:31:45:	All right. Thanks, Nolan, and thanks, everyone, for bearing with
00:31:45> 00:31:49:	our audio issues. We're really excited to hear from you.
00:31:49> 00:31:52:	We want to get some of your thoughts and opinions
00:31:52> 00:31:55:	on zoning in your area. So Victoria, if you want
00:31:55> 00:31:58:	to launch these quick polls, we're just going to ask
00:31:58> 00:32:01:	you 4 simple questions. They're just agree or disagree, and
00:32:01> 00:32:04:	we just want to hear what you think. So the
00:32:04> 00:32:07:	first one, agree or disagree? Current zoning, where I live,
00:32:07> 00:32:10:	supports the types of development projects.
00:32:10> 00:32:15:	Needed to sustain thriving, equitable communities. The second agree or
00:32:15> 00:32:18:	disagree Current zoning where I live or work will help
00:32:18> 00:32:22:	mitigate and adapt to the effects of climate change. Third
00:32:22> 00:32:26:	one agree or disagree residential development where I live is
00:32:26> 00:32:29:	sufficient to meet the needs of the region and the
00:32:29> 00:32:33:	4th one current zoning where I live or work promotes
00:32:33> 00:32:36:	the type of development projects the market demands.
00:32:37> 00:32:40:	And communities are seeking, we see lots of answers coming
00:32:40> 00:32:42:	in. They're still rolling in really fast. By the way,
00:32:42> 00:32:45:	this is we have a great turn out today. So
00:32:45> 00:32:48:	it's so exciting. I think Takara and Nolan's presentations teed
00:32:48> 00:32:51:	up the fact that there's so much momentum and thought
00:32:51> 00:32:54:	going into opportunities to update zoning to tackle the issues
00:32:54> 00:32:54:	of the day.
00:32:55> 00:32:59:	All of you came out today really showing the enthusiasm
00:32:59> 00:33:03:	to move forward with zoning updates across the country. We
00:33:03> 00:33:06:	still have answers rolling in, but I think in the
00:33:06> 00:33:10:	interest of time, let's go ahead and end the poll
00:33:10> 00:33:13:	and share the results. And I'm going to pull in
00:33:13> 00:33:16:	all of our speakers if you want to come on
00:33:16> 00:33:19:	camera and we'll kind of react to some of what
00:33:19> 00:33:21:	you see. So First off.
00:33:21> 00:33:24:	Current zoning where I live supports the type of development
00:33:24> 00:33:29:	projects needed to sustain thriving and equitable communities. Interesting, we
00:33:29> 00:33:32:	have about 3/4 that disagree but 1/4 that agree. Does
00:33:32> 00:33:35:	that surprise you? Maybe maybe I'll pull in Heather first.
00:33:35> 00:33:38:	Did you think it would be higher? Lower. What are
00:33:38> 00:33:40:	your thoughts on this? What does this mean to you
00:33:40> 00:33:41:	that.

00:33:42> 00:33:44:	Looks about right to me, I think. I think I
00:33:44> 00:33:46:	mean, most cities are still grappling with.
00:33:47> 00:33:50:	These issues and don't really grasp some of the underlying
00:33:50> 00:33:54:	challenges that are in place in terms of regulation. So
00:33:54> 00:33:57:	I think I think this looks about right. I'm pleased
00:33:57> 00:34:00:	to see that the vast majority of people are saying
00:34:00> 00:34:02:	they're not working.
00:34:03> 00:34:05:	Yeah. And it'd be interesting to know and obviously we
00:34:05> 00:34:07:	can't capture this, but for the 23 that agree, perhaps
00:34:07> 00:34:09:	they're living in places that are doing a lot of
00:34:09> 00:34:11:	work and maybe there's some of the people doing the
00:34:11> 00:34:14:	work themselves. And to car, I see you're off mute.
00:34:14> 00:34:15:	You have thoughts on this one?
00:34:16> 00:34:20:	100% aligns with what I'm seeing. Market trends are changing.
00:34:20> 00:34:24:	People want to live in walkable, mixed shoes places. I'm
00:34:25> 00:34:29:	seeing that millennials are willing to pay more to live
00:34:29> 00:34:34:	in smaller units that are walkable and pedestrian oriented, seeing
00:34:34> 00:34:37:	that seniors, the elderly, want to age in place.
00:34:38> 00:34:42:	So, but they've spent 30-40 years in the community. They
00:34:42> 00:34:45:	don't want to move. They don't want to be reshuffled
00:34:45> 00:34:49:	to a retirement community. But that's how we planned and
00:34:49> 00:34:53:	designed our communities. With that mindset that you would buy
00:34:53> 00:34:56:	a house, you raise a family, you move out 30
00:34:56> 00:35:00:	years later and then it cycle starts all over. That
00:35:00> 00:35:03:	cycle's not the same way. And one more point before
00:35:03> 00:35:06:	I make my next one is that there's also a
00:35:06> 00:35:07:	declining.
00:35:09> 00:35:12:	Millennials and the the, the the that they aren't
00:35:12> 00:35:15:	having children is to meet that same level of that
00:35:15> 00:35:18:	cycle, right. So all that kind of comes together that
00:35:18> 00:35:22:	people want different types of units. Another stat is that
00:35:22> 00:35:25:	about 60% of seniors say they want to age in
00:35:25> 00:35:27:	place and they will be willing to live in the
00:35:27> 00:35:30:	Adu. But all that wraps up to that. Our commute,
00:35:30> 00:35:34:	our communities aren't zoned that way. You started the presentation.
00:35:35> 00:35:38:	With the stat that about 75% of American land and
00:35:38> 00:35:42:	zone for single family is actually a little bit higher,
00:35:42> 00:35:45:	it's about 95%. Not to kind of just be like
00:35:45> 00:35:48:	okay fact checking you on the spot, but all that

00:35:48> 00:35:52:	kind of comes together and they're saying that market trends
00:35:52> 00:35:56:	are changing, but what people want, the market isn't building
00:35:56> 00:35:59:	to match up with those changing market trends. And so
00:35:59> 00:36:02:	we're at an impasse and we need to do something
00:36:02> 00:36:04:	now because zoning is.
00:36:04> 00:36:10:	Expressly preventing the developers to give people the the products
00:36:10> 00:36:11:	they want.
00:36:12> 00:36:14:	Yeah, I'll just, I'll just emphasize that to car. I
00:36:14> 00:36:16:	just want to jump in and say that when we
00:36:16> 00:36:19:	were leading 2040 in Minneapolis, the most common.
00:36:19> 00:36:22:	Input we got from people sort of over the age
00:36:22> 00:36:24:	of 60 was that they wanted to stay in their
00:36:24> 00:36:27:	neighborhood, but they also wanted their children to be able
00:36:27> 00:36:31:	to live in their neighborhood, their adult children. And our
00:36:31> 00:36:34:	zoning was not assisting them in that that goal primarily
00:36:34> 00:36:37:	because it was all single family zoning in their area
00:36:37> 00:36:39:	and was driving prices up too high for their their
00:36:39> 00:36:42:	adult children to be able to afford to live there.
00:36:42> 00:36:44:	So that was a that's a great example of this
00:36:44> 00:36:48:	this sort of market versus regulation condition that you're highlighting.
00:36:49> 00:36:52:	I think that's a great point. I want to pull
00:36:52> 00:36:54:	Jeremy in on the second question. Jeremy has done a
00:36:55> 00:36:58:	ton of work in Norfolk on resilience and adapting to
00:36:58> 00:37:01:	and mitigating climate change. Jeremy, were you surprised It's is
00:37:01> 00:37:04:	another three quarters, 1/4 split here. So 1/4 of people
00:37:05> 00:37:07:	think that we're that a lot is being done where
00:37:07> 00:37:10:	they live to mitigate and adapt to the effects of
00:37:10> 00:37:13:	climate change. But 3/4 don't feel like enough is being
00:37:13> 00:37:14:	done.
00:37:14> 00:37:17:	No, really not really not surprised by us to be
00:37:17> 00:37:19:	honest. I think we've done a lot of work here
00:37:19> 00:37:22:	and we've talked a lot about around the country about
00:37:22> 00:37:24:	some of the work that we've done here. But I
00:37:24> 00:37:27:	think there's there's still a lot of barriers to to
00:37:27> 00:37:30:	making the types of improvements that I think we've started
00:37:30> 00:37:32:	making. And worse, by no means are we where we
00:37:32> 00:37:33:	should be ultimately.
00:37:35> 00:37:37:	I think in the interest of time, I want to
00:37:37> 00:37:39:	move it over to Heather to share some thoughts. We
00:37:39> 00:37:43:	can come back to these potentially afterwards an additional

Q&A.

	QuA.
00:37:43> 00:37:45:	Also see some great questions coming in through the Q&A
00:37:46> 00:37:48:	and I promise we're going to get to those as
00:37:48> 00:37:50:	well. But Heather, why don't you take it away?
00:37:51> 00:37:53:	Thanks, Matt. And you can just tee up the first
00:37:53> 00:37:56:	slide there. You can just skip the intro slide. There
00:37:56> 00:37:58:	we go. I thought it would be helpful to talk
00:37:58> 00:38:01:	this morning about some of the lessons that we've learned
00:38:01> 00:38:01:	in the.
00:38:02> 00:38:05:	Almost five years since 2040 was adopted in Minneapolis and
00:38:05> 00:38:09:	appreciate the the intro from Takara and Nolan. You can
00:38:09> 00:38:12:	go to the next slide. So I thought it would
00:38:12> 00:38:15:	be helpful to start with what I think is one
00:38:15> 00:38:18:	of the major problems that we're facing with the the
00:38:18> 00:38:20:	housing crisis in the US right now.
00:38:21> 00:38:25:	Yeah, there's some things that we know are common denominators
00:38:25> 00:38:28:	for success for families and and kids who have stable
00:38:28> 00:38:31:	housing do much better in school. Every measure that we
00:38:31> 00:38:33:	looked at during 2040 prove this.
00:38:34> 00:38:36:	But what we saw was kids were typically in in
00:38:36> 00:38:40:	destabilized housing. They were typically moving every calendar or every
00:38:40> 00:38:44:	school year. That had an enormous impact on their educational
00:38:44> 00:38:47:	outcomes. We also know that adults who have stable housing
00:38:47> 00:38:50:	have a much higher rate of employment, much less underemployment,
00:38:50> 00:38:52:	which is also a crisis in this country.
00:38:53> 00:38:55:	And we know that low barrier housing for people who
00:38:56> 00:38:58:	are struggling with drug and alcohol use is really a
00:38:58> 00:39:01:	key to the housing ecosystem in communities. And this is
00:39:01> 00:39:05:	one of the largest issues impacting homelessness right now in
00:39:05> 00:39:08:	the Twin Cities and I suspect in your communities as
00:39:08> 00:39:10:	well. So it's my firm belief that we need to
00:39:10> 00:39:13:	reshape the dominant narrative about housing, which is that it's
00:39:14> 00:39:16:	sort of an earned thing and commit to housing as
00:39:16> 00:39:19:	a human right approach in our narrative about it. Next
00:39:19> 00:39:19:	slide.
00:39:21> 00:39:24:	I just wanted to ground us a little bit in

00:39:24> 00:39:26:	some of the realities of how difficult it is to
00:39:27> 00:39:29:	move, to move the needle on how we change land
00:39:29> 00:39:32:	use and regulation even when we make changes to our
00:39:32> 00:39:35:	ordinances at the local level. So this is a red
00:39:35> 00:39:38:	line map from Minneapolis about 1925. And you can see
00:39:39> 00:39:41:	it. Yeah. And just stay there for a second. One
00:39:41> 00:39:44:	thing I want to draw your attention to is the
00:39:44> 00:39:45:	blue and green are the.
00:39:46> 00:39:50:	Quote UN quote desirable areas. The red and yellow are
00:39:50> 00:39:52:	the, as I've come to call them, the no go
00:39:52> 00:39:56:	zones. In the red and yellow areas, you were not
00:39:56> 00:40:00:	eligible for federally underwriting loans. In the green and blue
00:40:00> 00:40:04:	areas of this map, they were primarily zoned about five
00:40:04> 00:40:07:	years later, three years later to become single family only
00:40:08> 00:40:11:	areas of the city. Next slide this this chart is
00:40:11> 00:40:12:	from 2018, 2017.
00:40:12> 00:40:16:	And you can see that those settlement patterns that were
00:40:16> 00:40:20:	determined by redlining and then reinforced by zoning have
	been
00:40:20> 00:40:23:	incredibly durable over the last 100 years. And So what
00:40:23> 00:40:27:	you're looking at here is work from the Mapping Prejudice
00:40:27> 00:40:31:	Project at the University of Minnesota. These are the found
00:40:31> 00:40:34:	racial covenants in red and then in blue you can
00:40:34> 00:40:37:	see the area, the historic area of 38th and Chicago.
00:40:38> 00:40:42:	And the near north side which are historic black and
00:40:42> 00:40:45:	indigenous people of color areas of the city and you
00:40:45> 00:40:49:	can also see that the white neighborhoods are those areas
00:40:49> 00:40:53:	which were zoned for single family. And so these are
00:40:53> 00:40:58:	very durable settlement patterns that are very difficult to
	break
00:40:58> 00:41:02:	up even when you change zoning and regulation in these
00:41:02> 00:41:05:	areas. And I just want to ground us in that
00:41:05> 00:41:06:	because I think.
00:41:06> 00:41:11:	It requires a level of intentionality in practice and in
00:41:11> 00:41:17:	policy to overturn these settlement patterns and disparities. Next slide,
00:41:17> 00:41:22:	I wanted to just highlight that it's interesting in most
00:41:22> 00:41:26:	cities and I I said earlier I, I'm actually in
00:41:26> 00:41:30:	Allentown, PA right now. I'm not in the Twin Cities,
00:41:30> 00:41:33:	excuse me, and driving around yesterday.
00:41:35> 00:41:39:	Really enjoyed seeing the housing typology here in
	Allentown, which
00:41:39> 00:41:43:	is primarily what I would call duplex, double house, row

00:41:43> 00:41:47:	house and so probably built beginning in the I would
00:41:47> 00:41:50:	say 1860s and 70s, probably right after the Civil War
00:41:50> 00:41:54:	and up through the first part of the early 20th
00:41:54> 00:41:54:	century.
00:41:55> 00:41:58:	And really built with an intention for providing a certain
00:41:58> 00:42:01:	amount of density to meet the needs of workforce in
00:42:01> 00:42:05:	this area particularly and in most Midwestern cities and certainly
00:42:05> 00:42:08:	in every Rust Belt city in America, we see that
00:42:08> 00:42:11:	development pattern up through about 1930.
00:42:11> 00:42:16:	But when cities adopted modern zoning codes, that development pattern
00:42:16> 00:42:19:	ceases. And so you start with a neighborhood like this,
00:42:19> 00:42:23:	that's the inside of Minneapolis, through the interior neighborhoods close
00:42:23> 00:42:26:	to downtown. And you can see in this photo just
00:42:26> 00:42:30:	an amazing variety of housing types. You've got duplexes. You've
00:42:30> 00:42:34:	got, you know, those little small sort of small scale
00:42:34> 00:42:38:	apartment buildings. You've got fourplexes. It's a really rich typology
00:42:38> 00:42:41:	that was meeting the needs of the local economy and
00:42:41> 00:42:41:	of.
00:42:41> 00:42:45:	Of the workforce in terms of housing, when we implemented
00:42:45> 00:42:49:	zoning in Minneapolis in 1927, we went away from that
00:42:45> 00:42:49: 00:42:49> 00:42:52:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were,
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950.
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:09> 00:43:13:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis,
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:16:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:16: 00:43:16> 00:43:19:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to the point where there are only two people living in
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:16: 00:43:16> 00:43:19: 00:43:19> 00:43:22:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to the point where there are only two people living in each house in Minneapolis. So we we don't so much
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:13: 00:43:16> 00:43:19: 00:43:19> 00:43:22: 00:43:22> 00:43:25:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to the point where there are only two people living in each house in Minneapolis. So we we don't so much have a housing problem as we have a tenancy and
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:13: 00:43:16> 00:43:19: 00:43:19> 00:43:22: 00:43:22> 00:43:25: 00:43:25> 00:43:28:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to the point where there are only two people living in each house in Minneapolis. So we we don't so much have a housing problem as we have a tenancy and density problem, right in these large cities where we built
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:13: 00:43:16> 00:43:19: 00:43:19> 00:43:22: 00:43:22> 00:43:25: 00:43:25> 00:43:31:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to the point where there are only two people living in each house in Minneapolis. So we we don't so much have a housing problem as we have a tenancy and density problem, right in these large cities where we built lots of homes that were single family only. And then
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:13: 00:43:16> 00:43:19: 00:43:19> 00:43:22: 00:43:22> 00:43:25: 00:43:25> 00:43:31: 00:43:31> 00:43:31:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to the point where there are only two people living in each house in Minneapolis. So we we don't so much have a housing problem as we have a tenancy and density problem, right in these large cities where we built lots of homes that were single family only. And then we tried to figure out how.
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:13: 00:43:16> 00:43:19: 00:43:19> 00:43:22: 00:43:22> 00:43:25: 00:43:25> 00:43:31:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to the point where there are only two people living in each house in Minneapolis. So we we don't so much have a housing problem as we have a tenancy and density problem, right in these large cities where we built lots of homes that were single family only. And then
00:42:49> 00:42:52: 00:42:52> 00:42:56: 00:42:56> 00:42:59: 00:42:59> 00:43:02: 00:43:02> 00:43:05: 00:43:05> 00:43:09: 00:43:13> 00:43:13: 00:43:16> 00:43:19: 00:43:19> 00:43:22: 00:43:22> 00:43:25: 00:43:25> 00:43:31: 00:43:31> 00:43:31:	and we went to almost a strictly single family zoning type ology. And what that created were lots that were, you know, 5 to 10,000 square feet with one home on them. And we really limited the potential of the city in terms of growth and meeting the needs of its residents. And you know it's interesting in 1950. There were five people living in every house in Minneapolis, and over the next 70 years, the population decreased to the point where there are only two people living in each house in Minneapolis. So we we don't so much have a housing problem as we have a tenancy and density problem, right in these large cities where we built lots of homes that were single family only. And then we tried to figure out how.  People could sustain these homes economically and you

00:43:44> 00:43:47:	this really leads into a conversation about what does this
00:43:47> 00:43:50:	look like on the ground as it's occurring. So between
00:43:50> 00:43:54:	2000 and 2014, the Center for Urban and Regional Affairs
00:43:54> 00:43:56:	at the University of Minnesota, excuse me, study.
00:43:57> 00:44:03:	Rental housing affordability in Minneapolis neighborhoods, they were looking in
00:44:03> 00:44:06:	particular, you know, for parts of the city that had
00:44:06> 00:44:11:	long hosted Bipoc communities or had robust Bipoc
	communities. And
00:44:11> 00:44:14:	you can see between 2000 and 2014, because of an
00:44:14> 00:44:19:	increase in the median rent and the affordability threshold, there
00:44:19> 00:44:22:	were no neighborhoods in Minneapolis after 2014.
00:44:22> 00:44:25:	That were affordable to blacks who made just under a
00:44:25> 00:44:29:	living wage, right? So these are people living in poverty
00:44:29> 00:44:33:	as as determined by our regional government, the Metropolitan Council.
00:44:33> 00:44:36:	For Hispanics and Latinos, it became a much smaller number
00:44:36> 00:44:40:	of neighborhoods. And even for whites who don't identify as
00:44:40> 00:44:43:	Hispanic or Latino, it became a smaller number of neighborhoods
00:44:44> 00:44:45:	that were affordable to them.
00:44:46> 00:44:50:	So we're seeing a real measurable impact on the affordability
00:44:50> 00:44:53:	of homes and or you know either rental or owner
00:44:53> 00:44:57:	occupied in Minneapolis. Next slide I'll just wrap up by
00:44:57> 00:45:01:	saying some of this is you know what Nolan addressed
00:45:01> 00:45:02:	earlier, but I think.
00:45:03> 00:45:06:	I want to be maybe a little bit more pointed
00:45:06> 00:45:10:	and just say that I don't think we're, we're adequately
00:45:10> 00:45:13:	addressing the gap between the cost of housing and all
00:45:13> 00:45:16:	of you on the coast will laugh now, but a
00:45:16> 00:45:19:	house, a housing unit in Minneapolis right now costs about
00:45:20> 00:45:22:	\$300,000 a door and in other areas I know it's
00:45:23> 00:45:26:	much higher but we we see residents who typically can
00:45:26> 00:45:29:	afford less than 100,000 sort of in terms of their
00:45:29> 00:45:30:	housing access.
00:45:31> 00:45:34:	And we aren't really addressing that ability to pay this
00:45:34> 00:45:37:	gap between the cost of that unit and the ability
00:45:37> 00:45:40:	of the end user to pay. And we continue to
00:45:40> 00:45:44:	think that the market, which is sort of illdefined, right,
00:45:44> 00:45:48:	will fill the gap. And I think that's magical thinking.
00:45:48> 00:45:51:	I don't think that's going to happen. I think this
00:45:51> 00:45:55:	is a shared responsibility and much like we prioritize other

00:45:55> 00:45:57:	spending at the federal level.
00:45:58> 00:46:01:	And at the state level, we should be prioritizing housing
00:46:01> 00:46:04:	because in the end housing has the most impact on
00:46:04> 00:46:08:	people's lives and their ability to be meaningful and
	thoughtful
00:46:08> 00:46:13:	and important workers and and contributors to their
	community. Housing
00:46:13> 00:46:17:	markets also just continue to experience low inventory, extreme price
00:46:17> 00:46:20:	increases and we just need to build more housing at
00:46:20> 00:46:24:	every price point immediately. There's been a focus in the
00:46:24> 00:46:26:	conversation on affordable.
00:46:26> 00:46:30:	Housing, which is very, very important. But I would argue
00:46:30> 00:46:33:	that it's also important to be building up market to
00:46:33> 00:46:37:	encourage people who are living in naturally occurring affordable units
00:46:37> 00:46:40:	but but can't access market rate units but could afford
00:46:40> 00:46:43:	them, can then move and free up those snow units.
00:46:43> 00:46:46:	Nolan really covered all of this. I want to pull
00:46:46> 00:46:49:	out a few things on regulatory levers. I think we
00:46:49> 00:46:53:	actually need to be offering incentives for the construction of
00:46:53> 00:46:54:	a Du's and cottage courts.
00:46:55> 00:46:59:	And other not novel housing typologies like Takara pointed out,
00:46:59> 00:47:02:	you know, things like small homes, container homes, that kind
00:47:03> 00:47:05:	of thing. I think we need to reform eviction and
00:47:05> 00:47:09:	eviction law in most states. I think this is perhaps
00:47:09> 00:47:12:	one of the most dangerous but sort of underappreciated issues
00:47:12> 00:47:16:	impacting housing stability and we need to really look at
00:47:16> 00:47:19:	that. And then I I would just call out and
00:47:19> 00:47:21:	I really want to focus on two more things here.
00:47:21> 00:47:22:	I think that.
00:47:23> 00:47:27:	More construction of housing that includes low barrier or low
00:47:27> 00:47:30:	height step in for entry and these are primarily units
00:47:30> 00:47:33:	for active drug and alcohol users who are either in
00:47:33> 00:47:36:	treatment or have not been able to get treatment yet.
00:47:37> 00:47:40:	I think those are really important, especially as we think
00:47:40> 00:47:44:	about homelessness in our communities and we are ignoring that
00:47:44> 00:47:46:	in a big way in the Twin Cities and that's
00:47:46> 00:47:50:	really damaging, damaging our communities and the people who are

00:47:50> 00:47:53:	living outside, especially in the winter.
00:47:53> 00:47:56:	I can tell you that it's impossible to survive in
00:47:56> 00:47:59:	a tent when it's 30 below 0. It's not fun
00:47:59> 00:48:01:	to survive in a tent in San Francisco, but you
00:48:01> 00:48:04:	will die in Minnesota. And so we have to really
00:48:04> 00:48:08:	start to be thoughtful and intentional about that. I also
00:48:08> 00:48:11:	think we need to adopt some hedge strategies to preserve
00:48:11> 00:48:15:	Noah properties and you know, we can do this through
00:48:15> 00:48:19:	existing groups like our housing redevelopment authorities, our public housing
00:48:19> 00:48:20:	agencies.
00:48:20> 00:48:24:	Just like we built that housing in the 1950s through
00:48:24> 00:48:26:	the 70s and 80s, we can now buy it back
00:48:26> 00:48:29:	and hold on to it to ensure longterm affordability and
00:48:29> 00:48:32:	access for people who are living at or below the
00:48:32> 00:48:35:	poverty line. And then I really think we have to
00:48:35> 00:48:39:	look seriously at our state and local policy around property
00:48:39> 00:48:42:	tax as the primary revenue generator. That's not the case
00:48:42> 00:48:45:	in every state, but in most in many states it
00:48:45> 00:48:47:	is. That can be a deeply regressive.
00:48:48> 00:48:52:	Tax that really feeds into gentrification and displacement cycles. So
00:48:52> 00:48:54:	I think it's a I think we have to look
00:48:54> 00:48:57:	at the entire ecosystem of our housing challenge here, our
00:48:57> 00:49:00:	housing crisis. And I think we all need to come
00:49:00> 00:49:03:	together and be alive around the same values. And I
00:49:03> 00:49:06:	think many people I talked to, whether you're in the
00:49:06> 00:49:09:	private sector as a developer, you're in the public sector
00:49:09> 00:49:11:	as a regulator or or bureaucrat.
00:49:11> 00:49:14:	If you're in the nonprofit sector, I think we all
00:49:14> 00:49:17:	share some values around this. And I think that's where
00:49:17> 00:49:19:	we have to come together and make this a priority.
00:49:19> 00:49:21:	So I will end there and pass it out to
00:49:21> 00:49:22:	Jeremy. Thank you.
00:49:25> 00:49:28:	Hey, good afternoon everyone or good morning to those who
00:49:29> 00:49:31:	are not on the East Coast again, I'm Jeremy Sharpe.
00:49:31> 00:49:34:	I'm the zoning administrator for the City of Norfolk. In
00:49:34> 00:49:37:	in 15 years here though, I've done just about every
00:49:37> 00:49:40:	every planning job you can think of in a in
00:49:40> 00:49:43:	a local government. So a lot of different perspectives on
00:49:43> 00:49:46:	that. So welcome to Norfolk. Next slide please. Just real
00:49:46> 00:49:49:	quick, if you're not familiar with us, we're on the

00:49:49> 00:49:51:	coast. We are, we are. We're walled in by our
00:49:51> 00:49:53:	neighbors. We're walled in by the water.
00:49:54> 00:49:58:	We're 97% developed. So we're built on anything we're doing
00:49:58> 00:50:02:	is shifting, shifting the deck chairs around, moving around
	things
00:50:02> 00:50:05:	in the closet. That's what we do with our development
00:50:05> 00:50:09:	here in Norfolk. Next slide, please. So we're an old
00:50:09> 00:50:12:	city. We have a number of significant challenges that we
00:50:12> 00:50:16:	face. And so we view everything in our department in
00:50:16> 00:50:19:	our city from the standpoint of resilience and we have
00:50:19> 00:50:23:	three big resilience challenges that we're approaching.
00:50:24> 00:50:27:	One, coastal resilience. We are one of the most at
00:50:27> 00:50:30:	risk seat cities in the country to sea level rise.
00:50:30> 00:50:34:	We deal with recurrent flooding on a daily basis. It's
00:50:34> 00:50:37:	just a part of life around here and it's just
00:50:37> 00:50:41:	it's just getting worse. We do deal with economic resilience.
00:50:41> 00:50:44:	We are very limited in our economy to to Navy,
00:50:44> 00:50:49:	port facilities, in related industries. So when those aspects of
00:50:49> 00:50:51:	the economy are down, we're down. We are.
00:50:52> 00:50:56:	Vastly improving that over the last decades. But we're still
00:50:56> 00:50:59:	we do still struggle. And then as many cities, we
00:50:59> 00:51:05:	have significant issues with concentrated poverty,
	disconnecting communities, interstates running
00:51:05> 00:51:09:	through cutting neighborhoods in half. Redlining was a
00:51:09> 00:51:12:	significant issue. Here we have the good and the bad of urban
00:51:12> 00:51:15:	renewal examples of both. Norfolk was actually one of the.
00:51:17> 00:51:21:	One of the poster children for urban renewal and we
00:51:21> 00:51:25:	are dealing with the results of that still today. Next
00:51:25> 00:51:29:	slide please. So we went into a process really we
00:51:29> 00:51:33:	we when we rewrote our comprehensive plan in 20 in
00:51:33> 00:51:37:	2013, we went into the process of starting to ask
00:51:37> 00:51:39:	ourselves how do we.
00:51:39> 00:51:43:	How do we use zoning to respond to resilience challenges?
00:51:43> 00:51:47:	Zoning, and as as Nolan's work speaks to zoning, has
00:51:47> 00:51:50:	been used very poorly in a lot of communities. It
00:51:50> 00:51:53:	was used very poorly in Norfolk. But zoning has a
00:51:53> 00:51:56:	lot of power. Zoning can can do quite a bit
00:51:56> 00:51:59:	for us. How do we use zoning to respond to
00:51:59> 00:52:01:	those challenges? Next slide, please.
00:52:03> 00:52:06:	So in 2015, we dove into a zoning ordinance rewrite.
00:52:06> 00:52:09:	Classic process. Don't need to go too much. Anybody who's
00:52:10> 00:52:14:	been through this process. That's what the zoning ordinance

rewrite 00:52:14 --> 00:52:17: is like. I'll I'll highlight two things though. One, for 00:52:17 --> 00:52:21: some strange reason I don't entirely understand, we stopped halfway 00:52:21 --> 00:52:22: through. 00:52:23 --> 00:52:25: Pause pretty much everything in the road a sea level 00:52:25 --> 00:52:28: rise action plan. And I joked the reason why we 00:52:28 --> 00:52:31: did that is because we realized we didn't really have 00:52:31 --> 00:52:34: a good enough strategy to address the resilience challenging 00:52:35 --> 00:52:38: flooding a resilience challenges of flooding in our comprehensive plan 00:52:38 --> 00:52:41: we need to add something else. So we stopped and 00:52:41 --> 00:52:43: we did what we call Vision 2100 which is our 00:52:44 --> 00:52:46: our sea level rise, sea level rise action strategy. 00:52:47 --> 00:52:50: And in second, so we stopped, we did that, we 00:52:50 --> 00:52:53: adopted it and moved on. And in second we we 00:52:53 --> 00:52:57: really went overboard, I think not overboard. We went very, 00:52:57 --> 00:53:00: very strong on the side of working with the local 00:53:00 --> 00:53:01: builders. 00:53:01 --> 00:53:05: There were many agree disagree moments. Many agree disagree moments, 00:53:05 --> 00:53:07: but we work with them hand in hand. We still 00:53:07 --> 00:53:10: work with them on a regular basis and we still 00:53:10 --> 00:53:13: have agree to disagree moments. But I know other communities 00:53:13 --> 00:53:16: they they tend to shy away Local government, the builders 00:53:16 --> 00:53:19: are aren't going to cooperate with us, so we're not 00:53:19 --> 00:53:21: going to work with them. We met with them weekly 00:53:22 --> 00:53:25: for a time. Just constant conversations are zoning ordinance. So 00:53:25 --> 00:53:27: just a lesson we we share with a lot of 00:53:27 --> 00:53:30: communities when we talk about this next time, please. 00:53:31 --> 00:53:34: So there's two real things that I want to talk 00:53:34 --> 00:53:37: about here in our zoning orders. We've, you know, listening 00:53:37 --> 00:53:40: to know and listening to Heather talk about what they've 00:53:40 --> 00:53:43: what what they see in the communities around the country. 00:53:43 --> 00:53:46: We're, yeah, we did that. Yeah, we did that. Yeah, 00:53:46 --> 00:53:48: we did that. We're we're doing a lot of those 00:53:48 --> 00:53:51: things. But there's two other things I want to touch 00:53:51 --> 00:53:54: on that really kind of frame the power for us 00:53:54 --> 00:53:56: of what zoning can do to help us with our 00:53:56 --> 00:53:59: challenges. One, we implemented what we call a resilience

question.

00:54:01> 00:54:04:	So our resilience question applies to any new development and
00:54:04> 00:54:07:	it's we look at it in two ways on the
00:54:07> 00:54:10:	screen here you see how we will apply it to
00:54:10> 00:54:13:	single family development. We do 2 to 400 new single
00:54:13> 00:54:16:	family homes a year, almost all of them are redevelopment,
00:54:17> 00:54:20:	taking down old house, putting up a new house and
00:54:20> 00:54:23:	so we require for all new single family homes we
00:54:23> 00:54:26:	require these three things elevate so you're less risk of
00:54:26> 00:54:27:	flooding.
00:54:28> 00:54:31:	Simple as that, 16 inches. We're not saying crawl space.
00:54:31> 00:54:35:	We're saying two blocks elevated up off the ground so
00:54:35> 00:54:38:	that if the storm system fails in front of your
00:54:38> 00:54:41:	house, you won't get water in your house. We we
00:54:41> 00:54:44:	address stormwater management two ways of doing that. One, it
00:54:45> 00:54:48:	was initially called the rain barrel roll. We require the
00:54:48> 00:54:51:	installation of rain barrels and as you can see, 200
00:54:51> 00:54:55:	gallons. That ends up being often times 450 gallon rain
00:54:55> 00:54:55:	barrels.
00:54:56> 00:54:59:	We don't love that rule. We didn't have a much
00:54:59> 00:55:02:	better idea at that point. Since the since 2018 though,
00:55:02> 00:55:05:	we've had people doing come up with a lot of
00:55:05> 00:55:08:	little mini BMP's and things like that to capture water
00:55:08> 00:55:11:	that we've approved as part of standard conditions. And more
00:55:11> 00:55:15:	recently we actually adopted a tree preservation ordinance that incorporated
00:55:16> 00:55:19:	a preservation and planting incentive. The most important thing for
00:55:19> 00:55:21:	us? We want you to preserve the tree.
00:55:22> 00:55:25:	If there's a big tree on your yard, preserve it.
00:55:25> 00:55:28:	And so we give credit for that. And then the
00:55:28> 00:55:32:	third, we require everybody to install a generator. Hookup don't
00:55:32> 00:55:35:	have to install a generator, you know it's just the
00:55:35> 00:55:38:	switch on the the panel. That alone we thought we
00:55:38> 00:55:42:	we see hurricanes and make sure that we could people
00:55:42> 00:55:43:	could easily.
00:55:44> 00:55:47:	Deal with that sort of thing without having to get
00:55:47> 00:55:51:	the electrical permit to come install the the hook up
00:55:51> 00:55:55:	and then do the generator. So basic approach, single family,
00:55:55> 00:56:01:	next slide please. So multifamily, commercial, industrial

	projects, it gets
00:56:01> 00:56:06:	much more complicated. There's really two approaches.
	There's, there's one.
00:56:06> 00:56:10:	The first one we say capture your first inch and
00:56:10> 00:56:14:	a quarter of water that falls. So generally you're doing
00:56:14> 00:56:18:	a stormwater pond, some other type of BMP. Make sure
00:56:18> 00:56:23:	that facility can accommodate that that level of stormwater
	you
00:56:23> 00:56:27:	you you address that we're good. We also allow for
00:56:27> 00:56:30:	the other option is a set of points tables, so
00:56:30> 00:56:31: 00:56:32> 00:56:34:	we have.
00:56:34> 00:56:37:	You need to get a certain number of points for
00:56:37> 00:56:37:	the number of units you're developing. And then you get to choose from those 3 categories that I mentioned, risk
00:56:39> 00:56:43:	5
00:56:59> 00:56:45:	reduction, stormwater management, energy resilience. And you can see from
00:56:43> 00:56:46:	the graphics here on the top right, just one example
00:56:46> 00:56:49:	of a project that has resulted in developers providing things
00:56:49> 00:56:52:	that they wouldn't have otherwise provided in their development. So
00:56:52> 00:56:55:	you see this project actually installed a full roof, which
00:56:55> 00:56:57:	is not something that we've seen very much of.
00:56:58> 00:57:01:	So we don't require it. It's not a strict strict
00:57:01> 00:57:05:	zoning requirements, not an incentive. It's simply you have to
00:57:05> 00:57:08:	meet the stairs somehow. There's a list of 20 things
00:57:08> 00:57:11:	you can do. You have to get enough, they each
00:57:11> 00:57:14:	have a point value, you have to get something and
00:57:14> 00:57:17:	include those things. It our our opinion this has been
00:57:17> 00:57:20:	a pretty big success. It has not driven away development,
00:57:20> 00:57:24:	it has not raised development cost substantially and we have
00:57:24> 00:57:26:	seen a lot of really good interventions.
00:57:27> 00:57:30:	Installed that otherwise would not have been installed. So this
00:57:30> 00:57:33:	is the thing we probably talk about the most with
00:57:33> 00:57:36:	our with our new zoning ordinance, our resilience question, but
00:57:36> 00:57:38:	there's a lot of other things that we can always
00:57:38> 00:57:40:	touch on as well. Next slide please. So the other
00:57:40> 00:57:43:	thing I wanted to talk about in this relates to
00:57:43> 00:57:46:	our social and neighborhood resilience and economic challenges is something
00:57:46> 00:57:49:	that we've done actually we had done, it actually predates
00:57:49> 00:57:51:	our our new zoning ordinance a little bit.

00:57:52> 00:57:55:	But it's a we think a really good example of
00:57:55> 00:57:59:	how you can leverage zoning to really get make some
00:57:59> 00:58:05:	big differences. So our our old Tiresville neighborhood, Norfolk historically
00:58:05> 00:58:10:	African American community was very much a red line community
00:58:10> 00:58:14:	basically ignored by the by the region at large for
00:58:14> 00:58:18:	the last several decades high crime, your classic in inner
00:58:19> 00:58:20:	city neighborhood.
00:58:21> 00:58:25:	Almost no new development the last 20 years and what
00:58:26> 00:58:29:	little was in there did not fit the pattern at
00:58:29> 00:58:33:	all. It was the next slide please. So the neighborhood
00:58:33> 00:58:37:	was was engaged and in about 2015, 2016 with the
00:58:37> 00:58:41:	idea of what can we do to bring new development
00:58:41> 00:58:43:	as the community and we.
00:58:43> 00:58:46:	We had a an idea and a willing community. We
00:58:46> 00:58:49:	had an idea that why don't we develop a set
00:58:49> 00:58:52:	of plans that that can be used by community member,
00:58:52> 00:58:56:	by developers to develop in this community. And so we
00:58:56> 00:59:00:	created the traditional neighborhoods pattern book and this is, I'm
00:59:00> 00:59:04:	sorry, plan book. This is not a pattern book. It's
00:59:04> 00:59:07:	not design guidelines. It's none of that. This is these
00:59:07> 00:59:11:	are actual stamped plans that a developer can come in
00:59:11> 00:59:11:	by a lot.
00:59:12> 00:59:15:	Use these plans, come down the city, get them for
00:59:15> 00:59:18:	free use these plans, go build on with a site
00:59:18> 00:59:21:	plan and you're and you're done. And so we developed
00:59:21> 00:59:24:	these in 2017 for our first neighborhood and what we
00:59:24> 00:59:27:	call the Huntersville. And then a couple years ago it
00:59:27> 00:59:30:	was put into another neighborhood because it was such a
00:59:30> 00:59:34:	success. But I'll talk a little bit more about Huntersville
00:59:34> 00:59:37:	first. So from a zoning standpoint, the plan, but that's
00:59:37> 00:59:38:	not zoning.
00:59:38> 00:59:42:	It it fits into it. But from the zoning standpoint
00:59:42> 00:59:45:	we had to we had to really work with the
00:59:45> 00:59:50:	community. So Huntersville was an area that was disadvantaged by
00:59:50> 00:59:54:	zoning. It was largely developed with 25 by 100 foot
00:59:54> 00:59:59:	lots, narrow lots, largely single family, largely in 19 between
00:59:59> 01:00:03:	1920s and 1940s. And it was, it was laid out
01:00:03> 01:00:07:	that way but sometime after the war it was rezoned
01:00:07> 01:00:07:	to.

01:00:08> 01:00:10:	50 by 100 minimum lot size. So you suddenly took
01:00:10> 01:00:14:	all of these lots and you made them grandfather
	nonconforming,
01:00:14> 01:00:18:	which immediately limits what people can spend on their properties
01:00:18> 01:00:20:	to maintain them and it makes it makes it very
01:00:20> 01:00:23:	challenging. You have to go through a lot more hoops
01:00:23> 01:00:26:	to develop. So first step we took again working with
01:00:26> 01:00:30:	the community. Many communities are very suspicious of this
	type
01:00:30> 01:00:33:	of thing, but we work very closely with this community.
01:00:33> 01:00:36:	We reduce the minimum lot size down to 2525 by
01:00:36> 01:00:36:	100.
01:00:37> 01:00:42:	But the community, rightfully so, was concerned about what would
01:00:42> 01:00:45:	happen if we did that and then didn't put some
01:00:45> 01:00:48:	standards in place. That's where the the Plan book comes
01:00:48> 01:00:52:	in. But that's where the the standards. So we put
01:00:52> 01:00:56:	strict standards about elevation, porch sizes, garage location, in fact
01:00:56> 01:01:00:	25 foot lots, garages are largely not permitted.
01:01:01> 01:01:04:	Those types of elements were brought into place and then
01:01:04> 01:01:07:	if you follow the city plans you can do this
01:01:07> 01:01:09:	by right if you want to deviate from those plans
01:01:09> 01:01:13:	and and go another direction and most developers would want
01:01:13> 01:01:15:	to do that. The plans that we developed are very
01:01:15> 01:01:18:	good plans. They're in many, they're two by sixes instead
01:01:18> 01:01:22:	of 2 by 4. They're encapsulating crawl spaces there. There
01:01:22> 01:01:24:	are a lot of things that are really cutting is
01:01:24> 01:01:27:	the idea of really developing resilient homes that would last
01:01:27> 01:01:28:	the test of time.
01:01:30> 01:01:34:	Large, larger developers shied away. There's no interest in doing
01:01:34> 01:01:37:	this. But a lot of smaller developers, minority developers have
01:01:37> 01:01:41:	come in, and it's been actually an overwhelming success. With
01:01:41> 01:01:42:	that next slide, please.
01:01:45> 01:01:47:	And Jeremy, after this one, I think we might need
01:01:47> 01:01:48:	to pause just the way I have time to answer
01:01:48> 01:01:49:	a few questions.
01:01:49> 01:01:52:	This should be my last slide anyway, so so the
01:01:53> 01:01:57:	results here in a neighborhood where we had 300 vacant

01:01:57> 01:01:57:	lots.
01:01:58> 01:02:01:	We had very little on the way of development in
01:02:01> 01:02:04:	recent year until recent years. We've built 60 new homes.
01:02:04> 01:02:07:	Almost every one of them is one of our traditional
01:02:07> 01:02:11:	neighborhoods. Plan book homes in nearly all. We're on 25
01:02:11> 01:02:14:	foot now, conforming lots rather than not conforming lots. Just
01:02:14> 01:02:17:	showing the power of what you can do between the
01:02:17> 01:02:21:	the the element of the city providing some investment and
01:02:21> 01:02:24:	some incentives to developers, but also the the idea of
01:02:24> 01:02:25:	really.
01:02:25> 01:02:29:	Responsibly up zoning a neighborhood and actually properly zoning the
01:02:29> 01:02:32:	neighborhood to what it should have always been zoned before.
01:02:32> 01:02:34:	And with that, I am. I believe I'm done.
01:02:36> 01:02:40:	All right. Thanks. I'm going to stop sharing my screen
01:02:40> 01:02:43:	for a second and get to some of the questions
01:02:43> 01:02:45:	and we have just a few minutes left. So we
01:02:45> 01:02:49:	have lots of great questions in the Q&A. First, I
01:02:49> 01:02:51:	wanted to open this up to the full panel. We
01:02:51> 01:02:54:	had a question from Phil who says.
01:02:55> 01:02:58:	And this was earlier on. He says he didn't notice
01:02:58> 01:03:01:	a lot about outreach to developers of the real estate
01:03:01> 01:03:04:	community to find solutions to zoning hurdles. Jeremy, I know,
01:03:04> 01:03:07:	I think you touched in your presentation a little bit
01:03:07> 01:03:10:	on this, but could maybe maybe I'll pass it to
01:03:10> 01:03:13:	Nolan 1st and talk about you could just share a
01:03:13> 01:03:16:	couple thoughts about the role of real estate developers and
01:03:16> 01:03:20:	in finding solutions to updating zoning and then anyone else
01:03:20> 01:03:21:	who wants to chime in after that.
01:03:25> 01:03:28:	Yeah, sure. I mean, we work very collaboratively with developers.
01:03:28> 01:03:30:	I mean we we're trying to legalize housing that our
01:03:30> 01:03:33:	local developers are, especially our small developers can immediately start
01:03:33> 01:03:36:	building. We work collaboratively when we're developing the policy and
01:03:36> 01:03:39:	then we work with them when we're figuring out how
01:03:39> 01:03:40:	to refine it and we go back and check it
01:03:40> 01:03:42:	if we're not getting the units that we need to
01:03:42> 01:03:43:	see.
01:03:44> 01:03:46:	Yep. Heather, I'm sure you did a lot of outreach

01:03:46> 01:03:50:	with the development community and your work on Minneapolis 2040.
01:03:50> 01:03:52:	Is there anything you wanted to add there?
01:03:53> 01:03:56:	No, just to say that yes, we did an immense
01:03:56> 01:03:59:	amount of outreach with the development community and I was
01:03:59> 01:04:02:	on the ULI Management Committee for the Minnesota chapter at
01:04:02> 01:04:04:	that time. So I had a lot of interaction with
01:04:05> 01:04:07:	my colleagues in the private sector. And I think, you
01:04:07> 01:04:10:	know that was one of the main things that drove
01:04:10> 01:04:14:	parking minimum requirements on in that conversation where developers, especially
01:04:14> 01:04:18:	affordable housing developers who noted that \$50,000 of space and
01:04:18> 01:04:21:	the parking requirement imposed by the city was really unreasonable.
01:04:22> 01:04:26:	And that generated, I think a major change that Minneapolis
01:04:26> 01:04:27:	adopted so.
01:04:29> 01:04:32:	Great. I see a question for Jeremy, which is about
01:04:32> 01:04:37:	accessibility. How do you address this accessibility when elevating buildings
01:04:37> 01:04:40:	and is what's it seems like you know could be
01:04:40> 01:04:44:	a considerable cost and how, how is that addressed?
01:04:44> 01:04:47:	It it is a it is a considerable challenge that
01:04:47> 01:04:51:	we do deal with. We hear from the developers at
01:04:51> 01:04:53:	all times. I don't know that I have a great
01:04:54> 01:04:56:	answer for it is is it's a challenge that we
01:04:57> 01:04:59:	deal with. We one of the things that we have
01:04:59> 01:05:04:	specifically dealt with is with multifamily, with commercial, any elevation
01:05:05> 01:05:06:	requirements we have.
01:05:06> 01:05:09:	They're for the, they're for the unit, they're not for
01:05:09> 01:05:12:	the lobby. So giving people the opportunity to get get
01:05:12> 01:05:15:	their residents, get their guests, I elevated through the building,
01:05:15> 01:05:18:	giving it more flexibility there. But it's that's definitely a
01:05:18> 01:05:20:	challenge that we've had to have had had to deal
01:05:20> 01:05:21:	with.
01:05:22> 01:05:27:	Great. And I've seen a few questions about formbased codes,
01:05:27> 01:05:32:	lots of interest there. Takara, maybe you can speak to
01:05:32> 01:05:35:	this question from Rachel Toker. It's.
01:05:37> 01:05:39:	In terms of form based codes, can you talk about

01:05:39> 01:05:42:	how they can promote environmental resilience or green infrastructure? Do
01:05:42> 01:05:45:	you have any thoughts or examples you could share for
01:05:45> 01:05:45:	Rachel on that?
01:05:46> 01:05:50:	Some thoughts. I would say implicitly and explicitly it supports
01:05:50> 01:05:53:	that. The idea of the kind of the driving idea
01:05:54> 01:05:57:	behind form based code is that you have that mixed-use
01:05:57> 01:06:02:	compact development, so it's inherently baked into that, right? You're
01:06:02> 01:06:03:	not using as much.
01:06:04> 01:06:08:	Surface covering with asphalt, you have units that are closer
01:06:08> 01:06:11:	together, units that are closer to the street. So all
01:06:11> 01:06:15:	that kind of works together from like a practical standpoint
01:06:15> 01:06:19:	and then from like a theoretical standpoint is just kind
01:06:19> 01:06:22:	of baked into the mentality of forming code.
01:06:24> 01:06:27:	Great. I see a question about gentle density and missing
01:06:27> 01:06:30:	middle proposals. We've seen a lot in the media about
01:06:30> 01:06:31:	missing middle.
01:06:31> 01:06:35:	Recently, but this question is about has anyone started to
01:06:35> 01:06:40:	see an increased interest in neighborhood commercial or accessory commercial
01:06:40> 01:06:44:	units to support denser neighborhoods? It's a really
	interesting question.
01:06:45> 01:06:49:	interesting question.  That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it
01:06:45> 01:06:49: 01:06:49> 01:06:52:	
	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it
01:06:49> 01:06:52:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56: 01:06:56> 01:06:59:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56: 01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56: 01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56: 01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03: 01:07:03> 01:07:07:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you kind of go back and think about traditional zoning, where
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56: 01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03: 01:07:03> 01:07:07: 01:07:07> 01:07:10:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you kind of go back and think about traditional zoning, where it was all about the separation of uses for the health of the community, separating those noxious uses. So
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56:  01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03: 01:07:03> 01:07:07: 01:07:07> 01:07:10: 01:07:10> 01:07:14:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you kind of go back and think about traditional zoning, where it was all about the separation of uses for the health of the community, separating those noxious uses. So you wanted to have your heavy industrial away from your
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56:  01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03: 01:07:03> 01:07:07: 01:07:07> 01:07:10: 01:07:10> 01:07:14:  01:07:14> 01:07:18:  01:07:21> 01:07:21: 01:07:21> 01:07:25:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you kind of go back and think about traditional zoning, where it was all about the separation of uses for the health of the community, separating those noxious uses. So you wanted to have your heavy industrial away from your residential.  That's kind of not the point now because heavy industrials for the most part in America is offshore. So that's
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56:  01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03: 01:07:03> 01:07:07: 01:07:07> 01:07:10: 01:07:14> 01:07:14:  01:07:14> 01:07:18:  01:07:21> 01:07:25: 01:07:25> 01:07:27:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you kind of go back and think about traditional zoning, where it was all about the separation of uses for the health of the community, separating those noxious uses. So you wanted to have your heavy industrial away from your residential.  That's kind of not the point now because heavy industrials for the most part in America is offshore. So that's kind of a moot point, right. So now you have
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56:  01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03: 01:07:03> 01:07:07: 01:07:07> 01:07:10: 01:07:14> 01:07:14:  01:07:14> 01:07:18:  01:07:21> 01:07:21: 01:07:25> 01:07:27: 01:07:27> 01:07:30:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you kind of go back and think about traditional zoning, where it was all about the separation of uses for the health of the community, separating those noxious uses. So you wanted to have your heavy industrial away from your residential.  That's kind of not the point now because heavy industrials for the most part in America is offshore. So that's kind of a moot point, right. So now you have these areas where you can kind of think about.
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56:  01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03: 01:07:03> 01:07:07: 01:07:07> 01:07:10: 01:07:14> 01:07:14:  01:07:14> 01:07:21: 01:07:21> 01:07:25: 01:07:27> 01:07:30: 01:07:31> 01:07:34:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you kind of go back and think about traditional zoning, where it was all about the separation of uses for the health of the community, separating those noxious uses. So you wanted to have your heavy industrial away from your residential.  That's kind of not the point now because heavy industrials for the most part in America is offshore. So that's kind of a moot point, right. So now you have these areas where you can kind of think about.  What does it look like versus what is the use
01:06:49> 01:06:52: 01:06:52> 01:06:56:  01:06:56> 01:06:59: 01:06:59> 01:07:00: 01:07:01> 01:07:03: 01:07:03> 01:07:07: 01:07:07> 01:07:10: 01:07:14> 01:07:14:  01:07:14> 01:07:18:  01:07:21> 01:07:21: 01:07:25> 01:07:27: 01:07:27> 01:07:30:	That is a fantastic question. Say it goes through, it goes back to that form based codes are kind of like agnostic about the use. Youth is not completely eliminated from the conversation, but the idea is that does it look like the form?  Yes, any use can go there. And so if you kind of go back and think about traditional zoning, where it was all about the separation of uses for the health of the community, separating those noxious uses. So you wanted to have your heavy industrial away from your residential.  That's kind of not the point now because heavy industrials for the most part in America is offshore. So that's kind of a moot point, right. So now you have these areas where you can kind of think about.

04-07-40 > 04-07-45-	And the bind of the subset demonstrate
01:07:42> 01:07:45:	And it's kind of like what do people want to
01:07:45> 01:07:48:	see this use, this, this building become?
01:07:49> 01:07:51:	One and another thing I kind of add on like
01:07:51> 01:07:54:	just the idea of missing middle, there actually was a
01:07:54> 01:07:58:	really big win about that Most recently Tuesday, Arlington County
01:07:58> 01:08:01:	approved their missing middle housing plan and they're think they're
01:08:01> 01:08:05:	framing it as expanding housing options. So just wanted to
01:08:05> 01:08:08:	throw that out there for everybody that it's it's gaining
01:08:08> 01:08:11:	traction, it's on the county level, but we think it's
01:08:11> 01:08:14:	going to be a national best practice that the county's
01:08:14> 01:08:15:	adopting it at that level.
01:08:19> 01:08:22:	Great. And I think maybe just to close out, we
01:08:22> 01:08:25:	have a question. What is the best way for those
01:08:25> 01:08:29:	who want to advocate at the local level for zoning
01:08:29> 01:08:32:	reform? What do they do? I want like maybe just
01:08:32> 01:08:36:	three or four words pieces of advice for folks, maybe
01:08:36> 01:08:39:	all of you. I'll Heather's off from you.
01:08:39> 01:08:42:	What do you say, Heather? Yeah, you know, I'm anxious
01:08:42> 01:08:43:	to talk about this it.
01:08:43> 01:08:45:	Can be done in three or four words too. It's
01:08:45> 01:08:45:	very.
01:08:46> 01:08:50:	Yeah, absolutely. Build an alliance with shared values.
01:08:51> 01:08:57:	Great, Nolan. Well, not to put people on the spot.
01:08:58> 01:09:00:	Yeah, No, I I would say this is a moment
01:09:00> 01:09:03:	where we really have a once in a generation window
01:09:03> 01:09:05:	to make a lot of really big reforms and I
01:09:05> 01:09:06:	would say, you know.
01:09:06> 01:09:09:	Get active. Talk to your local elected officials, your state
01:09:09> 01:09:12:	elected officials. Talk to your planners. Certainly within a lot
01:09:12> 01:09:15:	of planning offices, I find there are people who know
01:09:15> 01:09:17:	what needs to be done, and they're waiting for cover.
01:09:17> 01:09:20:	They're waiting for popular demand and buying for some of
01:09:20> 01:09:23:	the stuff. Get involved. Figure out what the binding constraints
01:09:23> 01:09:26:	on infill development in your communities are and and and
01:09:26> 01:09:27:	start building a coalition for reform.
01:09:28> 01:09:31:	Before we go to Takar and Jeremy, my colleague Victoria
01:09:31> 01:09:34:	put a link in the chat to a survey about
01:09:34> 01:09:37:	today's webinar. We would really appreciate it if you take
01:09:37> 01:09:39:	the survey to let us know what you thought about
01:09:39> 01:09:42:	the webinar today and our programs moving forward. So
	, , , ,

	please
01:09:42> 01:09:45:	click that link, just it'll take one minute to take
01:09:45> 01:09:48:	the survey. And now I'll kick it over to Jeremy,
01:09:48> 01:09:49:	your closing thoughts.
01:09:50> 01:09:52:	You know I say from a from a community member,
01:09:52> 01:09:55:	you know it's it's know who the decision makers are.
01:09:55> 01:09:57:	Know who those who can move the needle. I can't
01:09:57> 01:09:59:	tell you how many times I've I've dealt with the
01:10:00> 01:10:02:	preaching to the choir and as a as a local
01:10:02> 01:10:04:	practitioner I want to do it. I'm pushing for it.
01:10:04> 01:10:06:	I can't get you there. You're going to have to
01:10:06> 01:10:09:	get it there through other means. You're going to have
01:10:09> 01:10:10:	to work the council members.
01:10:10> 01:10:14:	Etcetera. So great. Thanks Jeremy. And to Carl, I'll let
01:10:14> 01:10:16:	you close this out.
01:10:17> 01:10:19:	Biggie backing off of what Jeremy said. Be present.
01:10:20> 01:10:23:	What is it that 90% of opportunities in life are
01:10:23> 01:10:27:	taken by those who are simply there. Be present, speak
01:10:27> 01:10:31:	up, become known as your your Planning Commission and your
01:10:31> 01:10:36:	governing bodies conversations and help drown out the vocal minority
01:10:36> 01:10:40:	so that everybody's voice can be heard. And that's what
01:10:41> 01:10:44:	the civic engagement process is there for, for us to
01:10:44> 01:10:45:	to be present.
01:10:46> 01:10:49:	But we find that sometimes just one person or a
01:10:49> 01:10:53:	select few are able to access that process. So simply
01:10:53> 01:10:57:	figuring out who is your planning staff members, who is
01:10:57> 01:11:01:	your, your, your governing body, that local board, who
01:11:01> 01:11:05:	is your elected official, and engaging with them both at
01:11:05> 01:11:07:	those meetings and and offline.
01:11:08> 01:11:11:	All right. Thank you so much again. Victoria. Put the
01:11:11> 01:11:14:	link in the chat again for the survey. Please share
01:11:14> 01:11:15:	your feedback with us.
01:11:16> 01:11:19:	But I want to thank our four panelists, Jeremy Sharpe,
01:11:19> 01:11:23:	Heather Worthington, Nolan Gray and Takara Nicole Thomas. We really
01:11:23> 01:11:26:	appreciate your expertise, your time today. And to all of
01:11:26> 01:11:29:	you who joined today's webinar, thank you for engaging in
01:11:29> 01:11:33:	this conversation, very lively conversation in the chat in the
01:11:33> 01:11:36:	Q&A, look out for the recording and please stay engaged
01:11:36> 01:11:39:	with you, Ally, on this topic and others. So thank
01:11:39> 01:11:41:	you again. And with that, I'll close out.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact [email protected].