

Webinar

Beyond the Pandemic: The Importance of Social Value

Date: May 27, 2020

00:01:41 --> 00:01:44:

00:00:16 --> 00:00:21: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to today's you like 00:00:21 --> 00:00:22: Europe webinar. 00:00:22 --> 00:00:26: Beyond the pandemic, the importance of social value. 00:00:26 --> 00:00:29: We're very excited about today's theme as it this is 00:00:29 --> 00:00:31: at the heart of what we do. 00:00:31 --> 00:00:35: Would you lie? We've done a lot of work and 00:00:35 --> 00:00:40: research around smart Urban Development in the past five six 00:00:40 --> 00:00:40: years, 00:00:40 --> 00:00:44: which started off with looking at good density, 00:00:44 --> 00:00:49: followed by looking at the potential return of investing in 00:00:49 --> 00:00:50: code density. 00:00:50 --> 00:00:53: AM. And. At the core of the of all of 00:00:54 --> 00:00:59: that work is an integral focus on commercial development, 00:00:59 --> 00:01:04: alongside social infrastructure and placemaking. 00:01:04 --> 00:01:08: We are currently working on the launch of a new 00:01:08 --> 00:01:12: research project that's the natural next step of the previous 00:01:12 --> 00:01:16: work we've done and it will focus on the measurement 00:01:16 --> 00:01:19: of social value or social impact. 00:01:19 --> 00:01:22: Assessing the current practice used by developers, 00:01:22 --> 00:01:27: investors and manager managers aimed to combine all of this 00:01:27 --> 00:01:33: collective expertise to come up with a common measurement framework 00:01:33 --> 00:01:36: that the industry then confer to build on. 00:01:36 --> 00:01:40: An impressive list of member companies have already agreed to 00:01:40 --> 00:01:41: support that project,

and if this is something that could be of interest

00:01:44> 00:01:45:	to you,
00:01:45> 00:01:46:	just let me know. OK,
00:01:46> 00:01:49:	that's enough for today on UNICE projects.
00:01:49> 00:01:52:	I would like to get started and before of that
00:01:52> 00:01:54:	just a bit of housekeeping.
00:01:54> 00:01:56:	Um, if you have any technical problems,
00:01:56> 00:01:58:	please let the staff know.
00:01:58> 00:02:01:	You can do that either through the chat or through
00:02:01> 00:02:04:	the Q and a button and it will pick it
00:02:04> 00:02:04:	up.
00:02:04> 00:02:09:	We would encourage everyone to actively participate in the survey
00:02:09> 00:02:12:	in the in the web and R and you can
00:02:12> 00:02:16:	do that by posting your questions in the Q&A.
00:02:12 -> 00:02:19:	Clicking on the button.
00:02:19> 00:02:21:	And.
00:02:21> 00:02:24:	Anne and then will will address those questions during the
00:02:25> 00:02:25:	conversation.
00:02:27> 00:02:31:	I'm very pleased to be joined by such a high
00:02:31> 00:02:36:	level panel with such a broad and longstanding expertise on
00:02:36> 00:02:41:	the on this theme of social value and impact investing.
00:02:41> 00:02:43:	First of all, I would like to welcome Michelle Gayden.
00:02:43> 00:02:47:	She's the partner and co-founder of Bridges Fund
0010=111	Management.
00:02:47> 00:02:52:	We have delete cooler is managing director of Estipona
	management
00:02:52> 00:02:53:	based in Spain,
00:02:53> 00:02:58:	Richard Meyer, co-founder of stories based in UK and
00:02:58> 00:02:59:	Christine Babkin.
00:02:59> 00:02:39:	She's a director of corporate social responsibility at Ivanhoe
00.02.33> 00.03.04.	Cambridge.
00:03:04> 00:03:07:	Before we move into the conversation,
00:03:07> 00:03:11:	I would also like to engage you as the audience
00:03:11> 00:03:15:	and we have prepared a couple of polling questions.
00:03:15> 00:03:19:	Can I have the first question please?
00:03:19> 00:03:22:	Because we would like to know a bit how much
00:03:22> 00:03:25:	involvement you already have with this topic.
00:03:25> 00:03:28:	So the first question is my company is active in
00:03:28> 00:03:32:	creating social impact or impact investing or exploring the
	options,
00:03:32> 00:03:36:	and the options are yes no or don't know.
00:03:36> 00:03:39:	Please respond to the to the pole now.
00:03:52> 00:03:54:	Could I see the results?

00:03:58> 00:04:02:	Oh, so we we can expect some we have 81%
00:04:02> 00:04:04:	yes 11% no and 8%
00:04:04> 00:04:11:	don't know. So that's an overwhelmingly positive response
	which I
00:04:11> 00:04:17:	think will makes that we can expect some very interesting
00:04:17> 00:04:19:	questions along the way.
00:04:19> 00:04:22:	I would like now like to.
00:04:22> 00:04:24:	Open it up to the speakers,
00:04:24> 00:04:28:	giving each of them the opportunity to give a couple
00:04:28> 00:04:30:	of opening remarks.
00:04:30> 00:04:33:	How involved are each of you in the topic.
00:04:33> 00:04:37:	What is the background of your company?
00:04:37> 00:04:42:	And then we'll move on to in the subsequent discussion.
00:04:42> 00:04:45:	Ann Michelle. Could I kick off with you?
00:04:45> 00:04:49:	Can you please explain your thinking behind setting up britches
00:04:49> 00:04:50:	front management?
00:04:50> 00:04:54:	Almost 20 years ago at a time that probably nobody
00:04:54> 00:04:58:	was thinking about impact or social value.
00:04:58> 00:05:00:	And maybe describe the journey since then.
00:05:00> 00:05:05:	Also because you are not only active in real estate
00:05:05> 00:05:07:	but also in order.
00:05:07> 00:05:12:	Business is an asset classes and could you please elaborate
00:05:12> 00:05:12:	on that?
00:05:12> 00:05:17:	Thank you absolutely knows that and it's a great pleasure
00:05:17> 00:05:18:	to be here.
00:05:18> 00:05:20:	Thank you for inviting me.
00:05:20> 00:05:26:	Yes, bridges is now a private funds manager uniquely dedicated,
00:05:26> 00:05:30:	solely dedicated to investing in the transition to a more
00:05:30> 00:05:33:	sustainable and inclusive economy.
00:05:33> 00:05:35:	We started some time ago.
00:05:35> 00:05:40:	As you say, 2002. We launched bridges and really our
00:05:40> 00:05:44:	vision there and was to take the the tool of
00:05:44> 00:05:49:	investment capital and use it to help solve societal challenges.
00:05:49> 00:05:54:	And we actually started in the private equity space and
00:05:54> 00:05:59:	we actually started simply with the challenge of under invested
00:05:59> 00:06:02:	
	neighborhoods so lower income,
00:06:02> 00:06:06:	inner city areas and our first ever fund invested in
	-
00:06:02> 00:06:06:	inner city areas and our first ever fund invested in

00 00 44 > 00 00 40	
00:06:11> 00:06:16: 00:06:16> 00:06:18:	we started thinking well, what is the competitive advantage of
00:06:18> 00:06:22:	those under invested in a city,
00:06:22> 00:06:26:	areas and actually affordable unloved buildings? Was one of the competitive advantages that led us into
00:06:26> 00:06:29:	·
00:06:29> 00:06:33:	making investments like the office group, which was an early example of flexible space for small
00:06:33> 00:06:39:	businesses which we then introduced an environmental
00:00:33> 00:00:39:	dimension into our
00:06:39> 00:06:39:	retrofits.
00:06:39> 00:06:42:	And we also invested in a number of budget but
00:06:42> 00:06:43:	boutique hotels.
00:06:43> 00:06:47:	The Hoxton Hotel in London being an example and this
00:06:47> 00:06:50:	led us to realize a couple of interesting things about
00:06:50> 00:06:51:	real estate out,
00:06:51> 00:06:56:	one that clearly making an investment in unloved building
00.00.01> 00.00.00.	stock,
00:06:56> 00:06:59:	turning it into a vibrant hotel or small business space,
00:06:59> 00:07:04:	really unlocked regeneration in the local neighborhood and
	the other
00:07:04> 00:07:07:	words we got to understand the carbon impacts of buildings,
00:07:07> 00:07:10:	which in the UK are somewhere between.
00:07:10> 00:07:13:	Probably around 40% of the UK's total emissions come from
00:07:13> 00:07:17:	our building stock and that got us really interested in
00:07:17> 00:07:20:	the power of real estate investing to be part of
00:07:20> 00:07:22:	a more inclusive and sustainable economy.
00:07:22> 00:07:26:	So we now have private equity funds with the platform
00:07:26> 00:07:28:	of three or four types of funds.
00:07:28> 00:07:30:	We have private equity funds,
00:07:30> 00:07:32:	traditional growth, capital investing funds.
00:07:32> 00:07:34:	We have real estate funds,
00:07:34> 00:07:38:	so we currently investing about 400 million Sterling in
	property
00:07:38> 00:07:39:	alternatives,
00:07:39> 00:07:40:	but always with a focus.
00:07:40> 00:07:45:	On sustainability and impact. And by the way double digit
00:07:45> 00:07:46:	returns.
00:07:46> 00:07:50:	To be clear, those funds are opportunistic style,
00:07:50> 00:07:55:	high returning funds. And then we also have a long
00:07:55> 00:07:56:	hold growth fund.
00:07:56> 00:08:02:	A business on our platform and social outcomes contract
00:08:02> 00:08:07:	investing. So for platforms and now for fanatics sustainable Planet
	So for platforms and now for fanatics sustainable Planet,
00:08:07> 00:08:10:	Education, Health and then our original.

00:08:10> 00:08:15:	Format of under invested areas under invested communities.
00:08:18> 00:08:21:	Thank you, that's very helpful.
00:08:21> 00:08:25:	Maybe one more question, how do you define social value?
00:08:25> 00:08:28:	I know you don't talk about social value.
00:08:28> 00:08:30:	Do you talk about impact?
00:08:30> 00:08:32:	What does it mean for you,
00:08:32> 00:08:35:	however? So in every investment that we make,
00:08:35> 00:08:39:	we're looking for the reason that that investment is going
00:08:39> 00:08:44:	to progress our economy towards a more sustainable or more
00:08:44> 00:08:45:	inclusive economy.
00:08:45> 00:08:48:	We do use the four themes as guidance,
00:08:48> 00:08:51:	so we are when we are sourcing when we originate
00:08:52> 00:08:53:	Ng investments.
00:08:53> 00:08:57:	We're looking at what are the macro trends in health,
00:08:57> 00:09:00:	for example, and how could that lead us to to
00:09:00> 00:09:03:	to an interesting real estate investment.
00:09:03> 00:09:08:	That's why we've done things like assisted living for the
00:09:08> 00:09:08:	elderly,
00:09:08> 00:09:12:	care environmentally friendly care homes,
00:09:12> 00:09:16:	and then we measure the impact using.
00:09:16> 00:09:20:	Uh, an impact statement, which is driven from the work
00:09:20> 00:09:25:	of the Impact management project that we might come to
00:09:25> 00:09:25:	later.
00:09:25> 00:09:31:	So for our investors, they're always given annually their financial
00:09:31> 00:09:33:	and commercial updates,
00:09:33> 00:09:37:	and then also an impact statement and Impact Update,
00:09:37> 00:09:40:	which we now score. So we score on firemen,
00:09:40> 00:09:46:	fundamentals of impact underneath each of the four themes in
00:09:46> 00:09:48:	which we invest.
00:09:48> 00:09:54:	Thank you. Yeah, we'll talk a bit more about measurement
00:09:54> 00:09:57:	and creating impact later.
00:09:57> 00:09:59:	Philip, can I go to you now?
00:09:59> 00:10:01:	Could you please switch on your mic?
00:10:01> 00:10:04:	Your yes great.
00:10:04> 00:10:08:	Your base in Spain. Your business focuses heavily on retail.
00:10:08> 00:10:12:	We all know retail is in quite a difficult position
00:10:12> 00:10:17:	currently facing a lot of structural change following the growth
00:10:17> 00:10:21:	of E Commerce and now cyclically being basically in the
00:10:21> 00:10:25:	fire line of the COVID-19 crisis.
00:10:25> 00:10:29:	So how do you use shared value social value to

00:10:29> 00:10:34:	create the value in in the retail centers you own
00:10:34> 00:10:35:	and and manage?
00:10:35> 00:10:39:	Yes, I think that it's important to separate out different
00:10:39> 00:10:40:	trends.
00:10:40> 00:10:43:	I mean, the code is relatively recent experience.
00:10:43> 00:10:46:	We could put it that way and really we haven't
00:10:46> 00:10:49:	been able to come to terms with with a social
00:10:49> 00:10:53:	strategy that could could overcome overcome those issues that are
00:10:53> 00:10:56:	now being generated in the economy at large and also
00:10:56> 00:11:01:	in in local communities were essentially an asset management company
00:11:01> 00:11:04:	where we Co invest or reinvest off her own balance
00:11:04> 00:11:07:	sheet, just in retail properties.
00:11:07> 00:11:09:	We've been operating in Spain.
00:11:09> 00:11:12:	Esteban has been operating in Spain since 2014.
00:11:12> 00:11:15:	I've been working in Spain since 1990 for companies like
00:11:15> 00:11:17:	traffic on in Heinz,
00:11:17> 00:11:21:	etc, and we've done about 220,000 square meters of leasable
00:11:21> 00:11:21:	projects.
00:11:21> 00:11:24:	We our experience to some extent,
00:11:24> 00:11:28:	is quite similar to that of Michelle and that we
00:11:28> 00:11:32:	really focused on non performing retail properties and we try
00:11:33> 00:11:36:	and create part of our an integral part of our
00:11:36> 00:11:41:	strategy is to create value in those properties using social
00:11:41> 00:11:42:	initiatives.
00:11:42> 00:11:45:	What we refer to as shared value were a member
00:11:45> 00:11:50:	of the Shared Value Initiative which is an organization that
00:11:50> 00:11:52:	was created by Mark.
00:11:52> 00:11:56:	Kramer, and probably better known Michael Porter of the University
00:11:56> 00:11:57:	of Harvard,
00:11:57> 00:12:00:	and we've our teams have undertaken courses with with them
00:12:00> 00:12:02:	on how you create shared value.
00:12:02> 00:12:06:	We tried to apply those strategies which essentially focused on
00:12:06> 00:12:09:	on on on different levels is either an input level
00:12:09> 00:12:12:	in the world you try and make sure that your
00:12:12> 00:12:16:	supplies are all correct and they're all following similar strategies.
00:12:16> 00:12:19:	We trying to solve a social problem,
00:12:19> 00:12:21:	which is what we try and do in our retail

00:12:21> 00:12:23:	Centers for example.
00:12:21> 00:12:25:	And we can come on to that perhaps later on.
00:12:25> 00:12:29:	But creating we use a retail center assistant to try
00:12:29> 00:12:30:	and create.
00:12:30> 00:12:34:	
00:12:34> 00:12:34:	To solve social problems, we have like schools we have.
00:12:34> 00:12:38:	Formal structure classes for people with mental disabilities and we
00:12:38> 00:12:40:	try and place them in the retail center and we
00:12:40> 00:12:43:	create a business model out of that and it becomes
00:12:43> 00:12:46:	self serving. It really doesn't actually cost money,
00:12:46> 00:12:48:	it produces a return as as well which is the
00:12:48> 00:12:51:	show at the second level of a shared value initiative
00:12:51> 00:12:54:	in the third level would be what I would try
00:12:54> 00:12:56:	and look at it through the lens of placemaking.
00:12:56> 00:12:59:	In other words, you trying maximize an ecosystem and other
00:12:59> 00:13:03:	stakeholders around you and create value for those stakeholders,
00:13:03> 00:13:06:	stakeholders as well. So really how we part with NGOs?
00:13:06> 00:13:07:	We got NGO partners in.
00:13:07> 00:13:09:	Spain and we proceed on that basis.
00:13:12> 00:13:16:	Thanks Phillip, I think you raise a few very interesting
00:13:16> 00:13:20:	points on returns versus impact and we'll talk about that.
00:13:20> 00:13:23:	Also a little later.
00:13:23> 00:13:26:	Christine. Based out of Canada.
00:13:26> 00:13:28:	Welcome good morning for you.
00:13:28> 00:13:32:	Um, I've been OK. Rich is globally active as investor
00:13:32> 00:13:34:	developer manager of Real Estate.
00:13:34> 00:13:38:	And how does corporate social responsibility as you call it
00:13:38> 00:13:41:	feature into all these elements?
00:13:41> 00:13:46:	Anne, how do you incorporate that in your business?
00:13:46> 00:13:50:	Thank you lizette. Thank you for inviting me to share.
00:13:50> 00:13:53:	Ivanhoe Cambridge you on the social impact and if I
00:13:53> 00:13:56:	may let me start by thinking a few seconds to
00:13:56> 00:13:57:	present.
00:13:57> 00:14:01:	Ivanhoe Cambridge might as well be recognized in Europe.
00:14:01> 00:14:03:	We are the real estate arm of the CD PQ,
00:14:03> 00:14:06:	which is Quebec's pension fund.
00:14:06> 00:14:09:	So basically our job is to contribute to the financial
00:14:09> 00:14:11:	security of all Quebecers.
00:14:11> 00:14:14:	So in itself that is a corporate responsibility.
00:14:14> 00:14:17:	This is our focus, our daily focus.
00:14:17> 00:14:21:	To make sure every dollar we invest has an impact.
	·

00:14:21> 00:14:22:	So as a long term investor,
00:14:22> 00:14:26:	we're committed to creating living spaces that foster well
	being
00:14:27> 00:14:31:	of people and communities while reducing our environmental footprint.
00:14:31> 00:14:35:	So we invest alongside partners and major real estate funds
00:14:35> 00:14:38:	that are leaders in their respective markets.
00:14:38> 00:14:44:	Through subsidiaries and partnership, we hold interest in more than
00:14:44> 00:14:45:	1000 buildings,
00:14:45> 00:14:49:	primarily in the industrial and Logistics Office,
00:14:49> 00:14:53:	residential and retail sectors. As of December 2019,
00:14:53> 00:14:57:	we have close to 64 billion in Canadian dollars.
00:14:57> 00:14:59:	So to answer your question,
00:14:59> 00:15:03:	our latest strategic plan, position CSR at the heart of
00:15:03> 00:15:05:	our business model,
00:15:05> 00:15:10:	we want to create sustainable impact with Capri comprehensive.
00:15:10> 00:15:14:	Urban solution focus on people's needs so so that's our
00:15:14> 00:15:15:	daily task.
00:15:20> 00:15:22:	Thank you, that's it. Thank you.
00:15:22> 00:15:25:	Yeah, we'll go into detail a bit more later on.
00:15:27> 00:15:29:	And last, but definitely not least,
00:15:29> 00:15:32:	you worked for urgent for many years.
00:15:32> 00:15:35:	Many people know urgent of Kings Cross and you were
00:15:35> 00:15:37:	also very involved in that.
00:15:37> 00:15:39:	Um?
00:15:39> 00:15:43:	Please explain why you now set up your own development
00:15:43> 00:15:48:	business stories and with the sole focus on social
	responsible
00:15:48> 00:15:49:	development.
00:15:49> 00:15:52:	And what drives your business model?
00:15:52> 00:15:55:	Thanks, thanks very much for inviting me to be part
00:15:55> 00:15:56:	of today.
00:15:56> 00:15:59:	And yes, I spent 15 years at Kings Cross and
00:15:59> 00:16:02:	and hopefully that project in its own right created a
00:16:02> 00:16:04:	lot of positive social value as well.
00:16:04> 00:16:07:	But I got to a point where I suppose that
00:16:07> 00:16:09:	you just get get to stage of your career where
00:16:10> 00:16:12:	you have a bit of an ambition or an idea
00:16:12> 00:16:15:	you want to test it out and that's that's where
00:16:15> 00:16:17:	I got to and my my idea and my aim
00:16:17> 00:16:19:	was to really say to ourselves.

00:16:19> 00:16:21:	Or how can we. How can how can we look
00:16:21> 00:16:24:	through how can we change the sort of lens that
00:16:24> 00:16:26:	we have on property development?
00:16:26> 00:16:29:	So could we could we look through a lens of
00:16:29> 00:16:33:	positive outcomes that we want you know good positive outcomes
00:16:33> 00:16:35:	that we want to create for people?
00:16:35> 00:16:37:	And how can we use property development as a tool
00:16:38> 00:16:39:	to facilitate that in a way?
00:16:39> 00:16:43:	That's why the business which the property business is called
00:16:43> 00:16:46:	stories because it's ultimately about the outcomes,
00:16:46> 00:16:49:	the stories that people tell about how they've been able
00:16:49> 00:16:51:	to get on better in life and so.
00:16:51> 00:16:53:	And I thought, well, if I wanted to sort of
00:16:54> 00:16:55:	challenge myself on that,
00:16:55> 00:16:58:	let's go co-founder new business because it gave the opportunity
00:16:59> 00:17:01:	to sort of embed that DNA from the outset.
00:17:01> 00:17:03:	Gave you a clean sheet of paper and you sort
00:17:03> 00:17:05:	of got yourself to our support.
00:17:05> 00:17:08:	If you're not successful in getting there.
00:17:08> 00:17:10:	So, so we set the business up at the end
00:17:10> 00:17:10:	of 2018.
00:17:10> 00:17:13:	And actually, with a little bit of a little bit
00:17:13> 00:17:16:	of similarity to some of the observations made earlier,
00:17:16> 00:17:18:	right from, I think Michelle's comments.
00:17:18> 00:17:20:	What are we actually sort of?
00:17:20> 00:17:22:	Projects we're working on? Well,
00:17:22> 00:17:25:	actually, we. We become involved in maybe slightly more challenging
00:17:25> 00:17:28:	projects or something that might be seen as a little
00:17:28> 00:17:29:	unloved.
00:17:29> 00:17:32:	There projects that generally fall within the lowest third.
00:17:32> 00:17:35:	The indices of multiple deprivation.
00:17:35> 00:17:38:	They generally focused around social infrastructure.
00:17:38> 00:17:40:	You know, housing, health, education,
00:17:40> 00:17:43:	and so on, and generally what we where we think
00:17:43> 00:17:46:	we can get the model to work best and perhaps
00:17:46> 00:17:49:	talk about later on is is where we've got the
00:17:49> 00:17:53:	best alignment or optimum alignment of interests between landowner,
00:17:53> 00:17:55:	Thunder and and others as well.

00:17:55> 00:17:57:	So I thought just just in brief,
00:17:57> 00:18:00:	the first project that we that we want in competition
00:18:00> 00:18:02:	and ODU competition is with St.
00:18:02> 00:18:04:	Mungo's, which is a homeless charity.
00:18:04> 00:18:08:	In Westminster and what it involves doing is creating a
00:18:08> 00:18:10:	new facility and new building for them,
00:18:10> 00:18:14:	which will offer thousands of 10s of thousands of Knights
00:18:14> 00:18:17:	of care per year for for their customers from
00:18:17> 00:18:20:	emergency to longer term accommodation,
00:18:20> 00:18:22:	and involves 100 rental homes,
00:18:22> 00:18:26:	and then ultimately will have other impacts within the wider
00:18:26> 00:18:27:	community as well.
00:18:27> 00:18:28:	So so it's very much,
00:18:28> 00:18:32:	you know we saw. I suppose you could say it's
00:18:32> 00:18:35:	taking quite a broadview of what social value is.
00:18:35> 00:18:38:	Really about just finding ways in which we can change,
00:18:38> 00:18:40:	you know.
00:18:40> 00:18:43:	Change people's lives and help people get on in life
00:18:43> 00:18:44:	little bit better as well.
00:18:44> 00:18:46:	So so perhaps we can talk a little bit more
00:18:46> 00:18:48:	about that project in a bit as well.
00:18:51> 00:18:54:	Thanks Richard, that's very helpful.
00:18:54> 00:18:57:	I would now like to dig in a bit more
00:18:57> 00:19:00:	on the measurement of of impact and how do you
00:19:01> 00:19:03:	actually create that impact,
00:19:03> 00:19:05:	because we hear a lot about that,
00:19:05> 00:19:08:	but OK, what is at the heart of it?
00:19:08> 00:19:11:	And also how dead links to returns?
00:19:11> 00:19:15:	Because that's all seems to be always and ever coming
00:19:15> 00:19:16:	back question.
00:19:16> 00:19:19:	And yes, that's nice social impact,
00:19:19> 00:19:22:	but it probably comes at the cost of your financial
00:19:23> 00:19:23:	return.
00:19:23> 00:19:25:	So let's talk about it.
00:19:25> 00:19:27:	More about that, but before we do that,
00:19:27> 00:19:32:	I would like to get the second polling question.
00:19:32> 00:19:35:	Which is how do you think the real estate industry
00:19:35> 00:19:39:	can make to create the greatest impact an which and
00:19:39> 00:19:41:	we have a couple of options?
00:19:41> 00:19:44:	And actually you can choose as many as you want,
00:19:44> 00:19:49:	creating more affordable housing, creating more social
	equality,

00:19:49> 00:19:53:	mobility, reducing the environmental impact of the built environment,
00:19:53> 00:19:57:	creating thriving communities. Order don't know.
00:19:57> 00:20:01:	Can you please give your votes now?
00:20:17> 00:20:19:	Can I have the results please?
00:20:22> 00:20:26:	OK, well we see quite equal outcomes with still the
00:20:27> 00:20:32:	biggest focus on reducing the environmental impact of the built
00:20:32> 00:20:34:	environment with 63%.
00:20:34> 00:20:37:	Um, voting for that, and now we have 60%
00:20:37> 00:20:42:	for creating thriving communities. A bit less 50%
00:20:42> 00:20:46:	for affordable housing and creating more social equity,
00:20:46> 00:20:54:	equality and mobility. 44% interesting outcomes with quite similar results.
00:20:54> 00:20:58:	I would like to dig in a bit on how
00:20:58> 00:21:00:	do you create the impact we see.
00:21:00> 00:21:04:	Kind of the last two three years I think we've
00:21:04> 00:21:08:	seen a real tendency for impact investing funds.
00:21:08> 00:21:11:	Well, sometimes it seems as a I often draw the
00:21:11> 00:21:17:	analogy to the development of sustainability save 1520 years
	ago
00:21:17> 00:21:22:	where that first started with specific funds focused on sustainability,
00:21:22> 00:21:27:	where you had your cream front almost as a unique
00:21:27> 00:21:29:	selling point.
00:21:29> 00:21:32:	Often when I hear about impact investing funds,
00:21:32> 00:21:33:	I get the same thought.
00:21:33> 00:21:36:	Is there's a unique selling point and how does that
00:21:36> 00:21:40:	relate to kind of integrally incorporating it in in your
00:21:40> 00:21:41:	business and kind of?
00:21:41> 00:21:43:	What are the skills need?
00:21:43> 00:21:45:	What is the operational focus?
00:21:45> 00:21:48:	How do you successfully create that impact?
00:21:48> 00:21:50:	Delete can I start with you?
00:21:56> 00:21:57:	You are mute delete.
00:22:01> 00:22:05:	Sorry about that. I think first of all is a
00:22:05> 00:22:07:	as a general comment.
00:22:07> 00:22:09:	I would I would view that,
00:22:09> 00:22:11:	for example, that ESG or what is known as CST,
00:22:11> 00:22:15:	and there's a lot of different sort of symbols that
00:22:15> 00:22:18:	significant the setting of the same thing.
00:22:18> 00:22:21:	And impact or what you want to call shared value
00:22:21> 00:22:23:	are not necessarily the same thing.

They can be the same thing or for example in the SG. 00:22:25 --> 00:22:26: 00:22:26 --> 00:22:29: The social aspect could be could refer to two different 00:22:29 --> 00:22:31: types of social social investment, 00:22:31 --> 00:22:34: but really they can follow two quite different, 00:22:34 --> 00:22:37: quite different paths. And an example of that could be. 00:22:37 --> 00:22:40: For example. I remember reading an article in fact by 00:22:40 --> 00:22:43: by some other people at the Shared value initiative Mark 00:22:43 --> 00:22:44: Kramer, 00:22:44 --> 00:22:47: who raised when I thought was interesting point that for 00:22:47 --> 00:22:47: example, 00:22:47 --> 00:22:50: if you look at the subprime crisis. 00:22:50 --> 00:22:53: In 2008, all those banks received full marks in terms 00:22:53 --> 00:22:55: of their yest initiatives, 00:22:55 --> 00:22:57: and they were all they got. 00:22:57 --> 00:23:02: It got a very clean slate and yet they created. 00:23:02 --> 00:23:05: Well, they were partly assisted in creating a situation that 00:23:05 --> 00:23:06: was far from optimal. 00:23:06 --> 00:23:08: Looking at the way that they they, 00:23:08 --> 00:23:11: perhaps they behaved in terms of. 00:23:11 --> 00:23:13: That alone, let alone our portfolio, 00:23:13 --> 00:23:16: so that brings it on to the next question of 00:23:16 --> 00:23:17: I look for. 00:23:17 --> 00:23:20: We tend to look through things from the lens of 00:23:20 --> 00:23:22: shared value from that point of view. 00:23:22 --> 00:23:25: What we try and look at is how much societal 00:23:25 --> 00:23:28: value you can create through a series of specific initiatives. 00:23:28 --> 00:23:32: But within a corporate structure so that from that point 00:23:32 --> 00:23:35: of view we are relatively different in that, 00:23:35 --> 00:23:38: for example, Michelle is clearly an impact investor, 00:23:38 --> 00:23:41: and the funds that she has constituted our our impact 00:23:41 --> 00:23:42: fund. 00:23:42 --> 00:23:44: Where is really what we're trying to do to certain 00:23:44 --> 00:23:44: extent, 00:23:44 --> 00:23:48: is something a little different in that way we're using. 00:23:48 --> 00:23:52: Shared value strategies that form a central part of our 00:23:52 --> 00:23:56: strategy is not necessarily something that an adjunct to a 00:23:56 --> 00:23:57: core strategy. 00:23:57 --> 00:24:00: It's an integral part of that core strategy, 00:24:00 --> 00:24:02: and we buy. As I said, 00:24:02 --> 00:24:07: non performing retail and we use those strategies to create 00:24:07 --> 00:24:09: more resonance with the community.

00:22:23 --> 00:22:25:

00:24:09> 00:24:12:	In terms of things like measurement, we tend to move more down the line of.
00:24:12> 00:24:16:	
00:24:16> 00:24:19:	Assessing initially, incidentally, we did just.
00:24:19> 00:24:22:	We just did assess output and we didn't do very
00:24:22> 00:24:23:	well at all.
00:24:23> 00:24:25:	So he tried to move further down the line and
00:24:26> 00:24:27:	assess outcomes,
00:24:27> 00:24:30:	and that created a very different image of what was
00:24:30> 00:24:31:	what was going on,
00:24:31> 00:24:33:	and but the success rates we were having.
00:24:33> 00:24:36:	So really it's it's information and its metrics,
00:24:36> 00:24:40:	but it's information and metrics to help us better what
00:24:40> 00:24:43:	we're trying to undertake as part of a core strategy.
00:24:47> 00:24:51:	Yeah, thanks a lot, that's very useful and maybe Richard
00:24:51> 00:24:54:	you talked about kind of embedding social impact in your
00:24:54> 00:24:55:	business.
00:24:55> 00:24:58:	So how does the. How do you create that value?
00:24:58> 00:25:01:	How do you embed that in practice?
00:25:01> 00:25:04:	Well I mean, firstly, yeah there's a few things we
00:25:04> 00:25:07:	do it you could say at a corporate level that
00:25:07> 00:25:10:	we've tried to do to ensure that we hold ourselves
00:25:10> 00:25:13:	account to what we're trying to achieve.
00:25:13> 00:25:16:	Around you would see that we are a B Corp
00:25:16> 00:25:18:	pending status at the moment and I can I can
00:25:18> 00:25:21:	come back to that in a bit if there's time
00:25:21> 00:25:24:	but but I mean ultimately that's that's about us having
00:25:24> 00:25:28:	a mechanism to actually just support our broader aspirations
00:25:28> 00:25:31:	and names that we've talked about already,
00:25:31> 00:25:32:	•
00:25:32> 00:25:35:	and so when creating, you know, in terms of what are the tools that we would
00:25:35> 00:25:38:	apply as a developer in trying to create more impact
00:25:38> 00:25:41:	or one of the key ones is actually trying to
00:25:41> 00:25:44:	not sort of. Price not not try to sort of
00:25:44> 00:25:48:	take returns and so on for mispriced risk.
00:25:48> 00:25:52:	But to actually try to better align interests between the
00:25:52> 00:25:55:	landowner in particular and the capital.
00:25:55> 00:25:59:	So typically that involves. Both of those parties wanting to
00:25:59> 00:26:02:	have a longer term interest in what they're what they're
00:26:02> 00:26:04:	trying to achieve.
00:26:04> 00:26:06:	We think that that makes quite a quite a quite
00:26:07> 00:26:10:	quite a set things up to create better outcomes and,

00:26:10> 00:26:13:	and Secondly, we we think there are tools that we
00:26:13> 00:26:15:	can deploy which don't actually cost anything.
00:26:15> 00:26:19:	So in terms of your comment about.
00:26:19> 00:26:22:	You know, is there a sort of tradeoff between economic
00:26:22> 00:26:26:	returns and maybe broader value that broader social returns that
00:26:26> 00:26:27:	can be achieved well?
00:26:27> 00:26:30:	Actually, some of the things I think we can do,
00:26:30> 00:26:33:	you know, not necessarily having to invest more money,
00:26:33> 00:26:36:	for example, but just doing it better or doing it
00:26:36> 00:26:37:	more thoroughly,
00:26:37> 00:26:39:	or doing more deeply. So one of the key things
00:26:39> 00:26:40:	can be around,
00:26:40> 00:26:42:	you know how we engage with people,
00:26:42> 00:26:44:	for example.
00:26:44> 00:26:47:	And what tools and methods we used to do that?
00:26:47> 00:26:48:	You know whether it's using,
00:26:48> 00:26:50:	you know feet on the ground,
00:26:50> 00:26:53:	but also technology such as you know these various tools
00:26:53> 00:26:55:	like built ID and things like that to find it
00:26:55> 00:26:58:	get a deeper level of engagement people so we can
00:26:58> 00:27:01:	better understand, need and then better actually propose a set
00:27:01> 00:27:04:	or generate a set of outcomes for any given project
00:27:04> 00:27:06:	that we're proposing to to work on.
00:27:06> 00:27:09:	And actually sure you might spend a little bit more
00:27:09> 00:27:10:	money on that.
00:27:10> 00:27:12:	But actually, if you consider the sum of money,
00:27:12> 00:27:14:	you spend marketing a project.
00:27:14> 00:27:17:	Person to sum of money you spend engaged,
00:27:17> 00:27:20:	he said, was if I transferred a bit of that
00:27:20> 00:27:23:	budget from marketing to to investing in the engagement piece
00:27:23> 00:27:27:	and actually that could be your marketing in a very
00:27:27> 00:27:30:	different way for the project as well as maybe a
00:27:30> 00:27:32:	sort of final final thoughts on it.
00:27:32> 00:27:35:	Is that the you know we as a business also
00:27:35> 00:27:37:	do genuinely seek to take a a sort of Fair
00:27:37> 00:27:39:	distribution of the returns.
00:27:39> 00:27:43:	You know, we ours is a commercial business again today.
00:27:43> 00:27:44:	We're not a not for profit.
00:27:44> 00:27:47:	The charity we need to make some sensible returns,
00:27:47> 00:27:50:	but it's about trying to make it fair and I

00:27:50> 00:27:52:	think on did it come about this sort of,
00:27:52> 00:27:56:	you know how do we maximize shared value?
00:27:56> 00:28:00:	And stakeholder value, rather than necessarily just shareholder value.
00:28:00> 00:28:03:	You know, for us, that means that actually our particular
00:28:03> 00:28:03:	return,
00:28:03> 00:28:06:	because we've been able to hopefully dearest some things
	as
00:28:06> 00:28:06:	well,
00:28:06> 00:28:09:	can be a little bit more modest at the end
00:28:09> 00:28:09:	of the day,
00:28:09> 00:28:11:	it's appropriate for what we want,
00:28:11> 00:28:12:	and it's trying to say,
00:28:12> 00:28:14:	can we just get a little bit of about a
00:28:14> 00:28:17:	balance in terms of who benefits from any given any
00:28:17> 00:28:18:	given project?
00:28:20> 00:28:23:	Actually check that's very helpful.
00:28:23> 00:28:27:	And Michelle, you've been in this business for the longest,
00:28:27> 00:28:30:	so you can really comment on the the tradeoff between
00:28:30> 00:28:33:	the financial return and the impact it what we hear
00:28:34> 00:28:36:	often is that on an absolute basis,
00:28:36> 00:28:39:	financial returns might not be as high as in other
00:28:39> 00:28:42:	parts or real estate worlds or private equity,
00:28:42> 00:28:44:	but on a risk adjusted basis,
00:28:44> 00:28:48:	they're very compelling and and we hear about long-term versus
00:28:48> 00:28:49:	short-term.
00:28:49> 00:28:51:	What's your view?
00:28:51> 00:28:55:	And so my view is that that question itself
00:28:55> 00:28:57:	is quite a blunt instrument.
00:28:57> 00:29:01:	It very much depends what you are investing in.
00:29:01> 00:29:05:	So if I look first just to practically at our
00:29:05> 00:29:07:	own real estate funds,
00:29:07> 00:29:14:	those vehicles, they're opportunistic. We're doing lots of development redevelopment,
00:29:14> 00:29:17:	they are highly and utterly commercial.
00:29:17> 00:29:21:	And in those funds, we think that having a lens
00:29:21> 00:29:22:	on the societal.
00:29:22> 00:29:25:	Outcomes of the buildings that we are investing in makes
00:29:26> 00:29:27:	us better investors.
00:29:27> 00:29:28:	Why does it do that?
00:29:28> 00:29:31:	Well, it does it partly because it's to do with
00:29:31> 00:29:32:	spotting trends,

00:29:32> 00:29:35:	so I gave the example and we were looking at
00:29:35> 00:29:37:	unloved buildings in inner city areas,
00:29:37> 00:29:41:	but the customer using actually Michael Porter Dilip.
00:29:41> 00:29:44:	The competitive advantage of the inner city we were looking
00:29:44> 00:29:47:	for where they could be really interesting.
00:29:47> 00:29:50:	Commercial and social value opportunities.
00:29:50> 00:29:51:	So it's very old story,
00:29:51> 00:29:53:	but the Hot Sun Hotel.
00:29:53> 00:29:55:	Actually made us about 7 times our money.
00:29:55> 00:29:57:	Why did it do that?
00:29:57> 00:30:01:	Because it was looking early at taking those inner city
00:30:01> 00:30:03:	buildings and creating budget boutique.
00:30:03> 00:30:07:	So our focus on those geographies led us because we've
00:30:07> 00:30:10:	commercially minded to say well how can we come up
00:30:10> 00:30:14:	with something creative that uses that building in a way
00:30:14> 00:30:18:	that's actually going to play into macro trends and do
00:30:18> 00:30:19:	really well.
00:30:19> 00:30:22:	So one of the reasons that our outcomes focus we
00:30:22> 00:30:23:	think delivers.
00:30:23> 00:30:27:	Superior Financial returns is because it takes us into looking
00:30:27> 00:30:32:	at challenges of SoC and then looking for investable
	opportunities.
00:30:32> 00:30:34:	So that has led us over the years into,
00:30:34> 00:30:37:	for example, those period of time when nobody wanted to
00:30:38> 00:30:40:	invest in building elderly care homes,
00:30:40> 00:30:42:	care homes for the elderly.
00:30:42> 00:30:45:	Those are incredibly important for the body of our SoC
00:30:45> 00:30:48:	and we went in and we were the ones that
00:30:48> 00:30:49:	were prepared to work with.
00:30:49> 00:30:54:	Had a partnership with one particular developer developed 100 million
00:30:54> 00:30:54:	pounds worth.
00:30:54> 00:30:57:	Of care homes for the elderly that is now a
00:30:57> 00:31:01:	•
00.30.57> 00.31.01.	sector that's moved on an institutional money will very happily
00:31:01> 00:31:03:	do that kind of investment.
00:31:03> 00:31:05:	So we've moved on to what we think the next
00:31:05> 00:31:06:	challenge is,
00:31:06> 00:31:08:	which is aging in place.
00:31:08> 00:31:11:	And so we've looked. We're looking now at assisted living
00:31:11> 00:31:14:	for elderly people for rentals so that they can not
00:31:15> 00:31:17:	have to end up in a care home at the
00:31:17> 00:31:20:	end of their lives. They can hopefully age in place

00:31:20> 00:31:22:	with as much as little care as they need,
00:31:22> 00:31:25:	and perhaps hopefully even die in place.
00:31:25> 00:31:28:	So we feel that by using this outcomes based approach,
00:31:28> 00:31:32:	we're spotting macro trends and spotting opportunities and
	kind of
00:31:32> 00:31:35:	getting there before the more institutional investors.
00:31:35> 00:31:39:	And then we're exiting to the institution investors and then
00:31:39> 00:31:41:	the other element of future proofing,
00:31:41> 00:31:44:	or of measuring and thinking about societal impact is that
00:31:44> 00:31:46:	you do you future proof any investment.
00:31:46> 00:31:50:	So by looking by just being smarter to Richard's point,
00:31:50> 00:31:53:	just thinking more when we are building a new building,
00:31:53> 00:31:55:	how can we use the cutting edge in terms of.
00:31:55> 00:31:59:	Being as environmentally friendly as we can within our cost
00:31:59> 00:32:00:	constraints,
00:32:00> 00:32:03:	we're going to get a building that we think is
00:32:03> 00:32:06:	going to be occupied faster and is going to sell
00:32:06> 00:32:09:	well because it's being built in that way and then
00:32:09> 00:32:11:	finally in low income housing.
00:32:11> 00:32:14:	We've also found it really kind of ties with Richard.
00:32:14> 00:32:16:	Was saying it's about approach,
00:32:16> 00:32:19:	so we were looking at building some.
00:32:19> 00:32:23:	Large residential accommodation in Croydon.
00:32:23> 00:32:26:	It was a situation in which it had been approved
00:32:26> 00:32:26:	with only a 15%
00:32:26> 00:32:29:	affordable elements, and then there had been a change in
00:32:29> 00:32:30:	the politics.
00:32:30> 00:32:32:	The majority of the Council and they said,
00:32:32> 00:32:35:	right? We're taking that. You have to do higher.
00:32:35> 00:32:38:	The developer walked away. We walked in with our joint
00:32:38> 00:32:39:	venture partners,
00:32:39> 00:32:42:	saying, OK, we want to build as much affordable as
00:32:42> 00:32:43:	we possibly can.
00:32:43> 00:32:44:	How can we work with you to do it?
00:32:44> 00:32:47:	And we ended up with a situation in which the
00:32:47> 00:32:49:	Council put in the land and we didn't have to
00:32:49> 00:32:52:	pay for it until after we had already finished the
00:32:52> 00:32:54:	property. They actually made some affordable.
00:32:54> 00:32:56:	Loans available as a result,
00:32:56> 00:32:59:	we did 50% affordable. We did not reduce the returns
00:32:59> 00:33:00:	on that at all.
00:33:00> 00:33:03:	It's partly just breaking down that sort of war between

00:33:03> 00:33:07:	developers who are seeking to always minimize the affordable elements
00:33:07> 00:33:10:	and planners who are seeking to try to maximize it
00:33:10> 00:33:12:	by having a different view.
00:33:12> 00:33:14:	So I don't say that all impact investing is at
00:33:15> 00:33:16:	market rates of return,
00:33:16> 00:33:19:	but I definitely depending on the assets we think it's
00:33:19> 00:33:22:	enhancing of return and the last thing I wanted to
00:33:22> 00:33:24:	say was slightly more technical.
00:33:24> 00:33:26:	Point about. PSG versus impact,
00:33:26> 00:33:29:	I suppose. The major difference that we see there is
00:33:29> 00:33:32:	ESG tends to be very focused on risk D risking
00:33:32> 00:33:33:	buildings or D.
00:33:33> 00:33:36:	Risking investments tend to be very focused on processes and
00:33:36> 00:33:40:	what I've already heard from the others on this panel
00:33:40> 00:33:41:	is this new language.
00:33:41> 00:33:44:	We think of an impact language which is more about
00:33:44> 00:33:46:	what is material one the material,
00:33:46> 00:33:50:	negatives, material positives. How do we maximize the material and
00:33:50> 00:33:53:	positives and minimize material negatives?
00:33:53> 00:33:55:	And let's think about outcomes.
00:33:55> 00:33:59:	Not just process is an let's think about opportunity and
00:33:59> 00:34:00:	not just risk.
00:34:04> 00:34:06:	I think that's very interesting.
00:34:06> 00:34:09:	Your last comment and maybe Christine I can come to
00:34:09> 00:34:12:	you because you operate globally all across the real estate
00:34:12> 00:34:13:	spectrum.
00:34:13> 00:34:17:	An often in in collaboration with local partners if I'm
00:34:18> 00:34:18:	correct.
00:34:18> 00:34:22:	So if I if I take Michelle's latest comment,
00:34:22> 00:34:27:	last comment on ESG is around the risking.
00:34:27> 00:34:30:	And in fact, it's more about material optimizing the positive
00:34:31> 00:34:34:	elements and minimizing the negative focusing on outcomes.
00:34:34> 00:34:37:	How do you deal with that internally?
00:34:37> 00:34:40:	Is it more process focused in your due diligence,
00:34:40> 00:34:44:	your management, or is it outcome focused?
00:34:44> 00:34:46:	I think we have a part of both.
00:34:46> 00:34:49:	You know, we use the ESG language to define our
00:34:50> 00:34:53:	aspects to understand what is material for us,
00:34:53> 00:34:57:	and we obviously work with our risk group for due
00:34:57> 00:35:01:	diligence to understand there with with our partners where

the 00:35:01 --> 00:35:03: risks of the markets are. 00:35:03 --> 00:35:06: But I think in our philosophy, 00:35:06 --> 00:35:08: in our into, in our eight, 00:35:08 --> 00:35:10: in our DNA we were impact focus, 00:35:10 --> 00:35:14: you know, are the title of our latest strategic plan 00:35:14 --> 00:35:14: 00:35:14 --> 00:35:20: Investing with this conviction to to make a lasting impact. 00:35:20 --> 00:35:25: So it's definitely in our way of doing things so. 00:35:25 --> 00:35:29: Creating and measuring impact is a mindset for us, 00:35:29 --> 00:35:33: ultimately. So you know, we've been trusted some capital to 00:35:33 --> 00:35:34: best in this. 00:35:34 --> 00:35:38: To create that impact. So real estate by definition is 00:35:38 --> 00:35:40: a long term investment, 00:35:40 --> 00:35:43: you know and and we want to create value for all our stakeholders and that does include our communities. 00:35:43 --> 00:35:47: 00:35:47 --> 00:35:50: It includes the occupants of the building. 00:35:50 --> 00:35:56: It includes our shareholders. It includes our employees and our suppliers. 00:35:56 --> 00:35:57: 00:35:57 --> 00:36:01: That is, that is income tax in the return that 00:36:01 --> 00:36:02: we want to calculate. 00:36:02 --> 00:36:06: SO extra financial aspects need to be considered to, 00:36:06 --> 00:36:09: and that's where we maybe call them ESG. 00:36:09 --> 00:36:13: But ultimately we want to have the global picture of 00:36:14 --> 00:36:17: our impact either on the financial side, 00:36:17 --> 00:36:21: which has been which where the frameworks I've been present 00:36:21 --> 00:36:22: forever. 00:36:22 --> 00:36:25: the ROI, and now we're working on Sr. 00:36:25 --> 00:36:28: Wise. So the difference between all of that. 00:36:28 --> 00:36:32: Is I think and and some of you mentioned it 00:36:32 --> 00:36:34: is is the notion of time, 00:36:34 --> 00:36:39: so the financial, environmental, economical and social impacts are not 00:36:39 --> 00:36:42: measured or evaluated on the same time stand. 00:36:42 --> 00:36:46: We, although we don't believe that we can have good 00:36:46 --> 00:36:51: returns in integrating those easy astic in having that impact, 00:36:51 --> 00:36:55: it you know one the return does not come on 00:36:55 --> 00:36:59: sacrifice of being a responsible company and vice versa. 00:36:59 --> 00:37:03: Anne Anne Anne. I've made a little research 'cause I 00:37:03 --> 00:37:07: if you know there are still some skeptics around there,

but it's been demonstrated that responsible invest

00:37:07 --> 00:37:13:

investments still delivers 00:37:13 --> 00:37:18: better performance over the long term than than their regular 00:37:18 --> 00:37:22: and irregular than the US market SP 5500 for example. 00:37:22 --> 00:37:25: So when they were when the comparing Mssi KLD 400 00:37:25 --> 00:37:27: social index to the SP 500. 00:37:27 --> 00:37:30: More often than not, the social. 00:37:30 --> 00:37:34: Index performs better than the SP 500 in the in 00:37:34 --> 00:37:36: over 10 years, 00:37:36 --> 00:37:41: so between 2000 seven 2016 it was between plus 6% 00:37:41 --> 00:37:43: to minus 3% of return. 00:37:43 --> 00:37:45: So on average on a 10 year. 00:37:45 --> 00:37:51: The Sri index or the social index performed better than 00:37:52 --> 00:37:53: the SP 500 so. 00:37:53 --> 00:37:56: I think in itself you know that we're going to 00:37:56 --> 00:37:58: see that's proof in the pudding, 00:37:58 --> 00:38:00: and when you're an investor, 00:38:00 --> 00:38:04: your Co investors and your your your stakeholders always 00:38:04 --> 00:38:08: you what is the global performance of your of your 00:38:08 --> 00:38:08: portfolio. 00:38:08 --> 00:38:11: And obviously and we'll talk about it later. 00:38:11 --> 00:38:14: I think measurement it is key to that. 00:38:14 --> 00:38:17: We realized in the past few months that many investors 00:38:18 --> 00:38:20: are at the same I call it being on the 00:38:20 --> 00:38:23: corner of the street where we all look at each 00:38:23 --> 00:38:26: other to see. So how do we measure that impact? 00:38:26 --> 00:38:30: You know, when you have huge portfolios like we have 00:38:30 --> 00:38:34: in when you have such diversified portfolio an where we're 00:38:34 --> 00:38:37: all looking at each other to to find what? 00:38:37 --> 00:38:41: Which model would make sense for the business and what 00:38:41 --> 00:38:44: we realize is that in measuring an impact you can 00:38:44 --> 00:38:47: have the overarching measurement of it. 00:38:47 --> 00:38:50: But then there are so many specifics KPI and targets 00:38:51 --> 00:38:54: that you can identify that it makes it hard to 00:38:54 --> 00:38:54: have. 00:38:54 --> 00:39:01: An A standardized measurement so so we started working on 00:39:01 --> 00:39:02: a more. 00:39:02 --> 00:39:05: Custom I'm going to call it like that and more 00:39:05 --> 00:39:09: custom model so we're testing something with our affordable housing 00:39:09 --> 00:39:12: portfolio to see if it makes sense to with the KPI that makes sense for us that are that are 00:39:12 --> 00:39:15:

To our stakeholders and for the success of our business 00:39:18 --> 00:39:22: and then with a different. 00:39:22 --> 00:39:25: 00:39:25 --> 00:39:27: Investment vehicles in affordable housing. 00:39:27 --> 00:39:29: We're looking to see which one makes. 00:39:29 --> 00:39:32: Seems to have more impact in our community and for 00:39:32 --> 00:39:33: the community. 00:39:33 --> 00:39:34: And when I say impact. 00:39:34 --> 00:39:37: Obviously I'm talking bout positive impacts. 00:39:37 --> 00:39:39: Anne Anne Anne. In time, 00:39:39 --> 00:39:43: we've realized, and that's that's where I think there's a. 00:39:43 --> 00:39:47: There's a progression between environmental impact. 00:39:47 --> 00:39:51: So in an or measurement and social measurements. 00:39:51 --> 00:39:54: So environmental measurements I. I think. 00:39:54 --> 00:39:57: can be. I'm going to be easier 'cause when you 00:39:57 --> 00:40:00: you measure an environmental footprint, 00:40:00 --> 00:40:04: you obviously most of it is is related to energy 00:40:04 --> 00:40:06: or or a waste or water. 00:40:06 --> 00:40:08: And most of those have a cost. 00:40:08 --> 00:40:10: No and or a fee attached to it. 00:40:10 --> 00:40:14: Because when somebody picks up the recycling or deals with 00:40:14 --> 00:40:15: compost, 00:40:15 --> 00:40:17: there's a cost associated to that. 00:40:17 --> 00:40:20: So when we reduce our environmental footprint, 00:40:20 --> 00:40:24: we're able to attach an economy call value to it. 00:40:24 --> 00:40:28: For the social measurement, it's a little different because. 00:40:28 --> 00:40:31: Did the time span is different? 00:40:31 --> 00:40:33: You know in affordable housing, 00:40:33 --> 00:40:36: how do you measure the quality of life of the 00:40:36 --> 00:40:40: of the tenants and then their success into education into 00:40:40 --> 00:40:41: job? 00:40:41 --> 00:40:44: The fact that you you've been able to to to 00:40:44 --> 00:40:49: offer affordable housing so it leaves them with. 00:40:49 --> 00:40:52: A larger discretional budget. So what do they do with 00:40:52 --> 00:40:53: that budget? 00:40:53 --> 00:40:56: Do they eat better than they get educated? 00:40:56 --> 00:40:59: Better you do, they save to be able to go 00:40:59 --> 00:41:02: to the next level of housing so all those impacts 00:41:03 --> 00:41:05: you know it could be two years, 00:41:05 --> 00:41:09: five years, 10 years. Depending on on this subject itself. 00:41:09 --> 00:41:11: So so so. I think I know where at the 00:41:11 --> 00:41:13: corner of that to figure out.

material as Michelle was saying.

00:39:15 --> 00:39:18:

00:41:13> 00:41:16:	OK, what makes more sense for us to measure?
00:41:16> 00:41:20:	And what makes more sense for us to communicate?
00:41:20> 00:41:21:	And as Richard was saying,
00:41:21> 00:41:24:	and that's the name of his company,
00:41:24> 00:41:26:	what is the story of Ivanhoe Cambridge?
00:41:26> 00:41:29:	What we know though, is that at the heart of
00:41:29> 00:41:32:	our story is that we want to create a positive
00:41:32> 00:41:36:	positive impact with a little bit of French coming in
00:41:36> 00:41:39:	the conversation. And we want to create a positive positive
00:41:40> 00:41:40:	impact.
00:41:40> 00:41:43:	And we want to create value for all our stakeholders
00:41:43> 00:41:46:	and mainly at the at the heart of real estate
00:41:46> 00:41:49:	is people you know when we spend 8090%
00:41:49> 00:41:52:	of our time indoors. Most of us in the industrial
00:41:52> 00:41:54:	country that leaves an enigma,
00:41:54> 00:41:57:	now even developing countries. So real estate is at the
00:41:57> 00:41:58:	heart of what you do.
00:41:58> 00:41:59:	So people are, you know,
00:41:59> 00:42:01:	we work for the people you want to.
00:42:01> 00:42:04:	You want to create value for our communities.
00:42:06> 00:42:09:	Thanks for saying that's really helpful.
00:42:09> 00:42:12:	Let's move on to the measurement topic you for many
00:42:13> 00:42:15:	of you have already commented on it.
00:42:15> 00:42:18:	We've already heard quite a few frameworks.
00:42:18> 00:42:21:	Dilip talked about shared value initiative.
00:42:21> 00:42:24:	Richard, you mentioned bicorp Christine.
00:42:24> 00:42:28:	I know I've now Cambridge is supporting or is addressing
00:42:28> 00:42:31:	four of the 17 UN sustainable development Goals.
00:42:31> 00:42:35:	And Michelle, you've been involved as bridge is very happy
00:42:36> 00:42:37:	with the measurement.
00:42:37> 00:42:40:	The Impact measurement project.
00:42:42> 00:42:47:	We've seen similar things with sustainability where we have Braham,
00:42:47> 00:42:50:	we have lead how. How helpful is it to have
00:42:50> 00:42:52:	all these different initiatives?
00:42:52> 00:42:56:	How important is measurement? We see that often as an
00:42:56> 00:42:58:	impediment for further focus.
00:42:58> 00:43:00:	What what's the next step?
00:43:00> 00:43:04:	Do we need to make those measurement systems common?
00:43:04> 00:43:07:	Who if you want to comment on that?
00:43:07> 00:43:10:	I would be I'd be delighted to kick off if
00:43:10> 00:43:15:	that's OK just because it's something that we've really

agonized 00:43:15 --> 00:43:15: about. 00:43:15 --> 00:43:18: I just want to clarify one thing. 00:43:18 --> 00:43:22: First of all, when I made my comments about ESG 00:43:22 --> 00:43:23: and impact, 00:43:23 --> 00:43:26: I suppose the way I see it is that now 00:43:26 --> 00:43:27: I don't. 00:43:27 --> 00:43:31: I think that ESG practitioners on the whole are themselves 00:43:31 --> 00:43:36: moving from a focus on processes and checklists to a 00:43:36 --> 00:43:37: focus on outcomes. 00:43:37 --> 00:43:41: so I wasn't seeking to say sort of impact is 00:43:41 --> 00:43:44: there than you better version than the ESG. 00:43:44 --> 00:43:47: I think we've seen. ESG and Impact grow up in 00:43:47 --> 00:43:51: separate silos and now we see them coming together and 00:43:51 --> 00:43:53: it's part of what you said is that about? 00:43:53 --> 00:43:57: Is this something that will be a specialist activity or 00:43:57 --> 00:44:00: is this something that everyone will be doing? 00:44:00 --> 00:44:03: And I suppose bridges own experience has been we started 00:44:03 --> 00:44:06: out with a relatively small goal which was let's take 00:44:07 --> 00:44:10: this tool of investment and use it for some societal 00:44:10 --> 00:44:13: purpose and we thought that would always sort of just 00:44:13 --> 00:44:17: sit on the on the outside of mainstream investing. 00:44:17 --> 00:44:20: But we've always also had a mission to try to 00:44:20 --> 00:44:24: get more capital invested in that way as we went 00:44:24 --> 00:44:26: into our second decade. 00:44:26 --> 00:44:29: we realized a much more ambitious goal, 00:44:29 --> 00:44:32: which is actually impact outcomes. 00:44:32 --> 00:44:36: Should be one of the dimensions included in every single 00:44:36 --> 00:44:39: business and investment decision. 00:44:39 --> 00:44:42: Now, if that is going to be the case, 00:44:42 --> 00:44:46: then we absolutely cannot carry on with so many different 00:44:46 --> 00:44:48: and competing approaches. 00:44:48 --> 00:44:52: And standards, it makes it incredibly difficult for LP's like 00:44:52 --> 00:44:54: the ones that Christine is. 00:44:54 --> 00:44:57: Is is working with, where they've got multiple investments. 00:44:57 --> 00:45:01: They're trying to compare. Different asset cards are trying to

00:44:54 --> 00:44:57: Is is working with, where they've got multiple investments.

00:44:57 --> 00:45:01: They're trying to compare. Different asset cards are trying to compare different managers or different projects.

00:45:05 --> 00:45:09: And those projects are really using the Corporation or they're using Iris,

00:45:09 --> 00:45:11: or they're using the PRI, **00:45:11 --> 00:45:12:** or they're using. I mean,

00:45:12 --> 00:45:16: the list goes on. So we decided through we've got

	64 ABAH 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
00:45:16> 00:45:19:	a nonprofit at Bridges whose job is,
00:45:19> 00:45:22: 00:45:22> 00:45:26:	you know, to try to be impactful in the world
00.45.22> 00.45.26.	through using intellectual capital as opposed to financial capital and
00:45:26> 00:45:29:	trying to see where could we do some thinking that
00:45:29> 00:45:32:	might push the market and born out of that.
00:45:32> 00:45:37:	Was this thing called the Impact Management Project Impact Management
00:45:37> 00:45:38:	project number one?
00:45:38> 00:45:41:	It's a project, doesn't want to last forever.
00:45:41> 00:45:44:	It's project is to try to get globally accepted norms
00:45:44> 00:45:45:	and standards.
00:45:45> 00:45:47:	Not just for measurement actually,
00:45:47> 00:45:50:	but for management of impact because management of impact means
00:45:50> 00:45:53:	identifying what are the material positives and negatives,
00:45:53> 00:45:56:	because every investment has them.
00:45:56> 00:46:00:	And then the process of trying to enhance the positive
00:46:00> 00:46:02:	and trying to decrease the negatives.
00:46:02> 00:46:05:	That process is the management of impact.
00:46:05> 00:46:09:	In order to do it you need to measure impact
00:46:09> 00:46:12:	so that you can identify what is material and you
00:46:12> 00:46:13:	can manage it.
00:46:13> 00:46:15:	What have we done so far?
00:46:15> 00:46:19:	So this is a collaborative efforts over 2000.
00:46:19> 00:46:23:	The first thing that the group in our non-profit brought
00:46:23> 00:46:26:	together was a 2000 asset owners and asset managers.
00:46:26> 00:46:29:	To try to agree, what are the five dimensions of?
00:46:29> 00:46:32:	We came up with five dimensions of impacts and what
00:46:32> 00:46:34:	do we even mean by impact outcomes?
00:46:34> 00:46:35:	We came up with the five dimensions.
00:46:35> 00:46:38:	It's all publicly available on the Impact management site,
00:46:38> 00:46:41:	but there are things like who is impacted with what,
00:46:41> 00:46:44:	how much, what is the contribution of the business of
00:46:44> 00:46:45:	the investor,
00:46:45> 00:46:46:	and what is the risk?
00:46:46> 00:46:49:	What is the impact risk as we should always remember
00:46:49> 00:46:52:	that impacts can be negative as well as positive.
00:46:52> 00:46:55:	So we came up with the five fundamentals that were
00:46:55> 00:46:58:	agreed by that practitioner group of about 2000,
00:46:58> 00:47:00:	and now we've moved into the next stage.
00:47:00> 00:47:03:	We continue with that, but the project is now working
00:47:03> 00:47:06:	with 13 of the global standard setters,

00:47:06> 00:47:08:	so that is the global impact investment network,
00:47:08> 00:47:12:	the PRI. It's the United Nations Development Program
	because of
00:47:12> 00:47:15:	the Sustainable Development Goals and the project,
00:47:15> 00:47:19:	the Impact Management project is working with them to try
00:47:19> 00:47:22:	to have them come up with shared standards and shared
00:47:22> 00:47:22:	norms.
00:47:22> 00:47:26:	And it's hopefully hopefully going to get to a place
00:47:26> 00:47:29:	where it could even be apart of the G7 and
00:47:29> 00:47:33:	hopefully the G20 in terms of governments coming in and
00:47:33> 00:47:35:	recognizing some of those norms.
00:47:35> 00:47:37:	So the projects very ambitious.
00:47:37> 00:47:42:	It's trying to essentially do for accounting do for impact
00:47:42> 00:47:47:	outcomes measurements what was done for financial
	accounting around the
00:47:47> 00:47:50:	time of the Wall Street crash in 100 years ago,
00:47:50> 00:47:53:	so I've stopped there, but fundamentally.
00:47:53> 00:47:58:	What we're about there is is ensuring that.
00:47:58> 00:48:02:	Investments and managers can be assessed not on how good
00:48:02> 00:48:06:	they are at measuring impact through their own systems for
00:48:06> 00:48:06:	it,
00:48:06> 00:48:10:	but actually. What is their impact on society?
00:48:10> 00:48:13:	Because we have some shared norms.
00:48:13> 00:48:15:	And I would love you know.
00:48:15> 00:48:18:	I think a lot of this originates in.
00:48:18> 00:48:21:	Business investing and then there is a terrific opportunity is
00:48:22> 00:48:23:	very excited about your project.
00:48:23> 00:48:27:	I think there's a particular a terrific opportunity meant maybe
00:48:27> 00:48:29:	even to collaborate to think about,
00:48:29> 00:48:31:	you know this has to happen across all asset classes
00:48:31> 00:48:35:	and a lot of corporate social responsibility has originated in
00:48:35> 00:48:37:	companies and how they think about themselves.
00:48:37> 00:48:40:	How do we? How do we really make it work
00:48:40> 00:48:44:	and make it sing for real estate investing and then
00:48:44> 00:48:46:	infrastructure and will be other assets?
00:48:46> 00:48:48:	I think it's a great initiative.
00:48:48> 00:48:51:	Anyone else who wants to comment on that.
00:48:51> 00:48:54:	In the meantime, I can also raise a few questions
00:48:54> 00:48:59:	which you maybe could incorporate because someone asks about reconciling
00:48:59> 00:49:03:	the need for measurement at corporate level with the danger
	the need for measurement at corporate level with the danger
00:49:03> 00:49:05:	of instrumentalization at ground level.

00:49:05> 00:49:09:	And another question also about measurement is how do you
00:49:09> 00:49:13:	measure the various and numerous indirect social impact
	effects that
00:49:13> 00:49:15:	stem from an initial investment.
00:49:15> 00:49:18:	The example given is around affordable housing.
00:49:18> 00:49:21:	And the impact on higher future savings.
00:49:21> 00:49:25:	Better education, Christine. Some of the topics you talked about.
00:49:25> 00:49:30:	Better job matching. Is there a standard tool that government
00:49:30> 00:49:33:	industry and government use to attach these values?
00:49:33> 00:49:36:	If not, how are the differences aligned?
00:49:36> 00:49:39:	I think this is the the challenge that keeps us
00:49:39> 00:49:40:	all busy.
00:49:40> 00:49:43:	No, Christine, you want to comment.
00:49:43> 00:49:47:	Well, well, you said it is that it is challenging
00:49:47> 00:49:48:	and it is.
00:49:48> 00:49:50:	It is very hard to evaluate.
00:49:50> 00:49:54:	I think we we were looking into working with with
00:49:54> 00:49:58:	with a group that could help us identify the indirect
00:49:58> 00:50:01:	benefits of social housing for example,
00:50:01> 00:50:04:	and health as the person who asked the question on
00:50:04> 00:50:07:	the forum and mentioned it health.
00:50:07> 00:50:11:	It is one of those indirect impact you know when
00:50:11> 00:50:13:	people are well loved.
00:50:13> 00:50:16:	Obviously they they have more time to take care of
00:50:16> 00:50:17:	themselves,
00:50:17> 00:50:19:	they are there. We feel more secure.
00:50:19> 00:50:21:	So in terms of well being,
00:50:21> 00:50:24:	that also has an impact on their mental health and
00:50:24> 00:50:26:	eventually on their physical health.
00:50:26> 00:50:29:	So just to say that we are at the beginning
00:50:30> 00:50:33:	of working on that and we're looking into.
00:50:33> 00:50:37:	Some kind of partnership with with an agency to to
00:50:37> 00:50:42:	understand that and behind all of that is is huge
00:50:42> 00:50:45:	databases and an algorithm and.
00:50:45> 00:50:48:	Historical data to show the outcomes of that you know.
00:50:48> 00:50:52:	So we have to identify proxy that makes sense for
00:50:52> 00:50:54:	our business model and see how to apply it.
00:50:54> 00:50:57:	Because as I was saying earlier,
00:50:57> 00:51:00:	it's not something that you will have an immediate result
00:51:00> 00:51:03:	on an it's not something that as a company will
00:51:04> 00:51:07:	be able to identify clearly 'cause we don't have necessarily

00:51:09 --> 00:51:13: You know some of that data is kept into governmental 00:51:13 --> 00:51:13: hands. 00:51:13 --> 00:51:15: Some of that data is is. 00:51:15 --> 00:51:20: Approximate with proxies and and and so it's not an 00:51:20 --> 00:51:21: easy task. 00:51:21 --> 00:51:24: Thank you, I feel we've just got up to steam, 00:51:24 --> 00:51:27: but we're actually have only 8 minutes left, 00:51:27 --> 00:51:29: so we need to start closing already. 00:51:29 --> 00:51:32: I'm very sorry for that so I would like to 00:51:32 --> 00:51:34: move to the last part of the conversation. 00:51:34 --> 00:51:38: And can I have the last polling question please, 00:51:38 --> 00:51:41: which is what do you think would be needed to 00:51:41 --> 00:51:42: attract more capital, 00:51:42 --> 00:51:45: social value, impact investing strategies? 00:51:45 --> 00:51:48: Helping us to look forward with the options are better 00:51:48 --> 00:51:51: understanding of definitions and scope. 00:51:51 --> 00:51:53: I feel free to already answer again. 00:51:53 --> 00:51:56: You can choose as many as you want. 00:51:56 --> 00:51:59: Better understanding of the risk return profile, 00:51:59 --> 00:52:01: better ability to measure impact, 00:52:01 --> 00:52:03: more pressure from investors, stakeholders, 00:52:03 --> 00:52:06: more and better products for investors, 00:52:06 --> 00:52:07: orders or not sure. 00:52:15 --> 00:52:18: Could I have the results please? 00:52:20 --> 00:52:22: Argon, quite a mixed picture. 00:52:22 --> 00:52:24: Again similar to Glass, but well, 00:52:24 --> 00:52:28: we've been talking about this a lot already. 00:52:28 --> 00:52:31: Better ability to measure impact and then we see similar 00:52:32 --> 00:52:35: results for more pressure from investors stakeholders. 00:52:35 --> 00:52:40: 49% better understanding of the risk return profile 57 and 00:52:40 --> 00:52:43: I interpret that as also a measurement. 00:52:43 --> 00:52:47: Issue because if you have a better understanding you need 00:52:47 --> 00:52:48: to to do that. 00:52:48 --> 00:52:53: You need to measure better understanding of definitions and scope. 00:52:53 --> 00:52:56: 33% more and better products for investors at 18%. 00:52:58 --> 00:53:01: I would like you to ask all of you the 00:53:01 --> 00:53:03: last question more. 00:53:03 --> 00:53:04: Is it kind of what? 00:53:04 --> 00:53:08: What do you think is needed to? 00:53:08 --> 00:53:13: To kind of increase of focus on social outcomes all

direct access to the data.

00:51:07 --> 00:51:09:

00:53:15 --> 00:53:18: and um. And what what do you think are the 00:53:18 --> 00:53:20: important elements for that? 00:53:20 --> 00:53:22: And maybe Richard I can. 00:53:22 --> 00:53:24: I can start with you. 00:53:24 --> 00:53:28: Sure, yeah, so so my I suppose my first thing 00:53:28 --> 00:53:31: is my my please with my developer hat on and 00:53:31 --> 00:53:33: links to one of your questions, 00:53:33 --> 00:53:37: which is that I would ask for a real effort 00:53:37 --> 00:53:42: from from developers to try to actually establish projects and 00:53:42 --> 00:53:46: opportunities that actually do further enhance outcomes. 00:53:46 --> 00:53:50: I was quite interested in another good piece of work 00:53:50 --> 00:53:51: which is being, 00:53:51 --> 00:53:54: I think by the Green Building Council, 00:53:54 --> 00:53:57: UK Green. Coding Council and was just looking at case 00:53:57 --> 00:54:00: studies of projects that have you know that in one 00:54:00 --> 00:54:04: way or form contribute to this debate in this discussion 00:54:04 --> 00:54:07: and our understanding of what what impact is and actually 00:54:07 --> 00:54:07: it was, 00:54:07 --> 00:54:10: it was great. There was some great examples there, 00:54:10 --> 00:54:14: but actually there were relatively few examples in away and 00:54:14 --> 00:54:17: none of them actually or many of them didn't really 00:54:17 --> 00:54:20: tend to have the opportunity to run in terms of 00:54:20 --> 00:54:23: how they were measured for the continuous life of the 00:54:23 --> 00:54:24: project as well. 00:54:24 --> 00:54:25: So so my please to is. 00:54:25 --> 00:54:28: This is for people such as myself to try to 00:54:28 --> 00:54:32: create more opportunities for people to to be able to 00:54:32 --> 00:54:36: hold up as something that we think is is move 00:54:36 --> 00:54:38: this, you know, move, move, 00:54:38 --> 00:54:40: move the narrative forward a bit. 00:54:40 --> 00:54:44: I also think I think one of the things that 00:54:44 --> 00:54:47: if I feel important is that. 00:54:47 --> 00:54:50: There's an important conversation to be had about the role 00:54:50 --> 00:54:53: of how public and private sector work together, 00:54:53 --> 00:54:55: and you know, actually, there there are. 00:54:55 --> 00:54:57: Also there's all sorts of things. 00:54:57 --> 00:54:59: So, for example, in the UK, 00:54:59 --> 00:55:02: when land public land is being disposed of in some 00:55:02 --> 00:55:03: way or being procured, 00:55:03 --> 00:55:06: there are tests you know for good reason, 00:55:06 --> 00:55:09: like best consideration tests which which you know through

across the industry,

00:53:13 --> 00:53:15:

the 00:55:09 --> 00:55:12: Social Value Act and so on have been expanded a 00:55:12 --> 00:55:15: bit to take into account broader definitions of what what 00:55:15 --> 00:55:18: best consideration is. But it ended the day. 00:55:18 --> 00:55:21: Often coming back to to money and opportunity, 00:55:21 --> 00:55:24: might my other ask is an opportunity for public sector 00:55:24 --> 00:55:26: to be able to take up more joined up approach 00:55:26 --> 00:55:30: in terms of how they value the consideration that's coming 00:55:30 --> 00:55:33: their way. If they're going to partner with somebody on 00:55:33 --> 00:55:35: a piece of land is also one way in which 00:55:35 --> 00:55:37: one can one can move things forward, 00:55:37 --> 00:55:40: so it's it's as much about how land values treated, 00:55:40 --> 00:55:43: particularly the public sector, can be involved in it as 00:55:43 --> 00:55:46: much as it is how investors perceive it when they're 00:55:46 --> 00:55:48: making an investment decision as well. 00:55:52 --> 00:55:55: Thanks Richard, that's very helpful. 00:55:55 --> 00:55:58: Um, Michelle, you want to comment? 00:55:58 --> 00:56:02: Gosh and definitely one of the biggest obstacles that we 00:56:02 --> 00:56:03: have is is planning, 00:56:03 --> 00:56:06: so just that's a that's a very UK element because 00:56:06 --> 00:56:09: we we as I tried to say in the case 00:56:09 --> 00:56:12: for example of the affordable housing we've got so much 00:56:12 --> 00:56:16: in common with planners in terms of what we want 00:56:16 --> 00:56:16: to achieve, 00:56:16 --> 00:56:20: but actually just being able to move quicker through that 00:56:20 --> 00:56:24: process would release a lot more capital into those kinds 00:56:24 --> 00:56:25: of investments, 00:56:25 --> 00:56:28: but much more generally than that I think. 00:56:28 --> 00:56:30: I think. 00:56:30 --> 00:56:33: I'm going to call out expertise, 00:56:33 --> 00:56:36: so I think that one of the reasons that you 00:56:36 --> 00:56:38: know ESG has become so prevalent, 00:56:38 --> 00:56:42: in part because it's become a norm to have somebody 00:56:42 --> 00:56:46: in an investment firm that is specialized in it. 00:56:46 --> 00:56:49: And I do think it's really important if you look 00:56:49 --> 00:56:52: at the real estate sector too. 00:56:52 --> 00:56:57:

00:56:42 --> 00:56:46: in an investment firm that is specialized in it.
00:56:46 --> 00:56:49: And I do think it's really important if you look
00:56:49 --> 00:56:52: at the real estate sector too.
00:56:52 --> 00:56:57: Just training and expertise to allow traditional real estate investors
00:56:57 --> 00:57:01: to better understand the opportunities associated with.
00:57:01 --> 00:57:05: Social value lens in investing will be a very positive
00:57:06 --> 00:57:06: thing.
No doubt that LP investor investor pressure remains

important, 00:57:11 --> 00:57:16: but I think there's something and there was a question 00:57:16 --> 00:57:20: on the on on the Q&A there about financial returns 00:57:20 --> 00:57:25: on what happens if financial returns are not market and 00:57:25 --> 00:57:30: I think just openness about which strategies can be can 00:57:30 --> 00:57:31: involve. 00:57:31 --> 00:57:35: Market rates of return and which can't to ensure that 00:57:35 --> 00:57:37: investors then can self select. 00:57:37 --> 00:57:39: So if you think about bridges, 00:57:39 --> 00:57:42: we've got the funds. I've mostly talked about or are 00:57:42 --> 00:57:46: sustainable alternative property funds and they enjoy, 00:57:46 --> 00:57:50: you know, market returns, so there's a lot of institutional 00:57:50 --> 00:57:52: investors can invest there, 00:57:52 --> 00:57:56: but we do do some other property investments like ethical 00:57:56 --> 00:57:59: Housing Company which is only going to ever generate low 00:58:00 --> 00:58:01: single digit returns. 00:58:01 --> 00:58:05: Very derisked though. And the investor base is really quite 00:58:05 --> 00:58:06: different. 00:58:06 --> 00:58:10: so segmentation of the investor base and understanding their impact 00:58:10 --> 00:58:13: does not mean a tradeoff in returns, 00:58:13 --> 00:58:16: but you know. But but being really clear about both, 00:58:16 --> 00:58:20: what impact can be achieved and what financial returns can 00:58:20 --> 00:58:22: be achieved in different products. 00:58:22 --> 00:58:26: I think would lift a veil of confusion from a 00:58:26 --> 00:58:31: lot of capital that is slightly doubtful about whether there 00:58:31 --> 00:58:33: is a trade off or not. 00:58:33 --> 00:58:35: Thank you, delete. 00:58:35 --> 00:58:39: So could you remind me what the question was? 00:58:39 --> 00:58:40: Again, I'm sorry, could you? 00:58:40 --> 00:58:43: Well, what do you think is needed? 00:58:43 --> 00:58:46: Going forward to get a bigger focus on social value, 00:58:46 --> 00:58:50: more integrated role of public private sector. 00:58:50 --> 00:58:52: The any of that? Yeah, 00:58:52 --> 00:58:55: I mean, I think I'll just take a different perspective. 00:58:55 --> 00:58:56: I mean, I think that well, Michelle Richard have said it's really correct and there's a 00:58:56 --> 00:59:00: 00:59:00 --> 00:59:02: lot of issues like at the local level. 00:59:02 --> 00:59:04: You need to map out a system people need to 00:59:05 --> 00:59:07: need to understand each other better. 00:59:07 --> 00:59:10: And perhaps when you're trying to create something together 00:59:10 --> 00:59:13: want me to understand as well that capital isn't the

00:59:13> 00:59:17:	necessarily the protagonist in all of these these stories,
00:59:17> 00:59:20:	you need to try and understand other people in this
00:59:20> 00:59:22:	system and try and bring them in.
00:59:22> 00:59:25:	And have a clear framework to to or model to
00:59:25> 00:59:28:	to develop a strategy initially,
00:59:28> 00:59:32:	but I think what's going to happen in the future
00:59:32> 00:59:36:	is that I think that really since about the last
00:59:36> 00:59:39:	since the last recession in 2008.
00:59:39> 00:59:43:	The impact investing shared value and ESC have all taken
00:59:43> 00:59:47:	on a new form and and you protagonism globally.
00:59:47> 00:59:49:	You can see that for example,
00:59:49> 00:59:52:	with the Business Roundtable in the United States,
00:59:52> 00:59:57:	whereby they've essentially come in and put purpose into
	their
00:59:57> 00:59:58:	their decision-making.
00:59:58> 01:00:02:	Whether that's sort of just talk over wash over or
01:00:02> 01:00:02:	not.
01:00:02> 01:00:04:	That remains to be seen,
01:00:04> 01:00:08:	and a board member of the Spanish equivalent in in
01:00:08> 01:00:08:	Madrid.
01:00:08> 01:00:10:	And it's an issue that is.
01:00:10> 01:00:13:	It's being raised a lot by civil society throughout,
01:00:13> 01:00:16:	and as we approach the situation with going back to
01:00:16> 01:00:17:	your initial question,
01:00:17> 01:00:20:	if you like the the situation with respect,
01:00:20> 01:00:22:	their covid and how that will redefine,
01:00:22> 01:00:24:	at least in the short term,
01:00:24> 01:00:27:	high society for operable. It's a bit like putting it
01:00:27> 01:00:29:	the other way around.
01:00:29> 01:00:32:	I mean, those business actually have the opportunity to
	ignore
01:00:32> 01:00:33:	impact investing,
01:00:33> 01:00:35:	social value creation, and ESG.
01:00:35> 01:00:37:	ESG is relatively simple. I mean,
01:00:37> 01:00:41:	to certain extent, environmental issues are already a clear business
01:00:41> 01:00:44:	model in in terms of reducing your carbon footprint,
01:00:44> 01:00:46:	that's now gone to the next level up as much
01:00:46> 01:00:48:	as Michelle was was referring to.
01:00:48> 01:00:51:	But I would say it's the other way around.
01:00:51> 01:00:53:	I don't think companies now in the world that we
01:00:53> 01:00:55:	live in can really ignore this.
01:00:55> 01:01:00:	This this this. Discussed in this understanding of their role
	-

01:01:00 --> 01:01:01: in society. 01:01:01 --> 01:01:03: That's my point. It's Christine. 01:01:03 --> 01:01:06: Do you want to close with your Maps? 01:01:06 --> 01:01:08: Yes, well, thank you for I know the time is 01:01:08 --> 01:01:09: tight. 01:01:09 --> 01:01:12: I think what we see is we're under moves. You know they're the largest investors voiced their influence 01:01:12 --> 01:01:16: 01:01:16 --> 01:01:19: demonstrate the value or the creation of value and impact. 01:01:19 --> 01:01:21: Investing as the Philip said, 01:01:21 --> 01:01:25: the through crisis and we're going through one crisis right 01:01:25 --> 01:01:25: now. 01:01:25 --> 01:01:29: I think this will accelerate the transformation and it will 01:01:29 --> 01:01:32: offer opportunities to better understand. 01:01:32 --> 01:01:36: And focus on impact in our communities and in terms 01:01:36 --> 01:01:39: of what the role of the government can play. 01:01:39 --> 01:01:42: You know it will take courage from from them. 01:01:42 --> 01:01:46: Unfortunately they have short term mandate so that makes it 01:01:46 --> 01:01:50: a little bit more difficult to have social impact that 01:01:50 --> 01:01:53: require long term results and focus. 01:01:53 --> 01:01:57: But some decisions in the near future might not be 01:01:57 --> 01:01:57: popular, 01:01:57 --> 01:02:00: but as I was saying in transition, 01:02:00 --> 01:02:04: transition needs to happen towards a more responsible. 01:02:04 --> 01:02:08: Behavior from companies from human beings from citizens themselves. 01:02:08 --> 01:02:13: So I believe the unfortunately the actual crisis that we're 01:02:13 --> 01:02:17: going through will help us accelerate this behavioral change. 01:02:19 --> 01:02:21: Thank you, thank you all. 01:02:21 --> 01:02:24: Um, I could have gone on for a few hours 01:02:24 --> 01:02:26: and but time is up. 01:02:26 --> 01:02:28: I would think all of the panelists. 01:02:28 --> 01:02:30: So much for the contribution. 01:02:30 --> 01:02:33: I thought I found it really interesting. 01:02:33 --> 01:02:37: I would like to thank all the participants for your 01:02:37 --> 01:02:39: time and contribution. 01:02:39 --> 01:02:43: Listening in you can find this recording as well as 01:02:43 --> 01:02:47: the all the other recordings and the research on our 01:02:47 --> 01:02:50: website theknowledgefinderknowledge.uli.org. 01:02:50 --> 01:02:54: And then finally I would like to draw your attention 01:02:54 --> 01:02:56: to the upcoming webinars next week, 01:02:56 --> 01:03:00: 3rd of June. The role of technology in managing real

01:03:00 --> 01:03:04: estate and on the 10th of June confronting the pandemic

01:03:04 --> 01:03:07: and beyond redefining city resilience.

01:03:07 --> 01:03:10: Thank you all and have a very good day.

01:03:10 --> 01:03:13: Bye bye. Thank you, thank you,

01:03:13 --> 01:03:15: thank you.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .