

Webinar

ULI InfraXchange Spring Series Session 4: Smart Mobility in Transit-Oriented Complete Communities

Date: June 23, 2023

00:00:00> 00:00:03:	Good evening, good afternoon and also good morning as well.
00:00:04> 00:00:07:	So this is a global webinar. We're covering a different
00:00:07> 00:00:11:	area among our UI network, which is excellent. So today
00:00:11> 00:00:15:	is our last session of the Spring series on infrastructure
00:00:15> 00:00:19:	exchange and primarily this is a series put together. We
00:00:19> 00:00:22:	want to use it to showcase some of the leading
00:00:22> 00:00:26:	edge infrastructure solutions to build complete community.
00:00:26> 00:00:29:	So we're going to look at two set of cities
00:00:29> 00:00:33:	today, one in Uri America regions, another one in Uri
00:00:33> 00:00:38:	Asia Pacific and particularly highlighting how the decision that was
00:00:38> 00:00:41:	made, some of them are 20 years ago and some
00:00:41> 00:00:44:	of them even 40 years ago has a profound impact
00:00:44> 00:00:48:	to the quality of life and our experience to the
00:00:48> 00:00:48:	city today.
00:00:49> 00:00:52:	So the first one we're going to look at is
00:00:52> 00:00:55:	within a Toronto area. So that is the image at
00:00:55> 00:00:59:	the bottom. So it's catered to a decision that was
00:00:59> 00:01:02:	made in 2008 on Big Move and how it lead
00:01:02> 00:01:06:	to set up an agency called Infrastructure Ontario. And further
00:01:06> 00:01:11:	with the transit Oriented Communities policy in 2020 just before
00:01:11> 00:01:16:	COVID, there's really driving the complete model of integrating mobility
00:01:16> 00:01:17:	and community.
00:01:18> 00:01:21:	And in Hong Kong, so that's the image to the
00:01:21> 00:01:24:	top. It talks about how the decision made in 1960s
00:01:24> 00:01:28:	looking at the overall growth and what is the most

00:01:28> 00:01:31:	effective way to help the city to grow it lead
00:01:31> 00:01:34:	to set up off the MTR agency. So our speaker
00:01:34> 00:01:38:	from MTR today is going to talk about their success
00:01:38> 00:01:42:	of a very integrated model thinking about the end goal
00:01:42> 00:01:46:	of building complete community in mind when they're making transit
00:01:46> 00:01:47:	decisions.
00:01:48> 00:01:52:	So I'm Yvonne Young. I'm the principal of planning and
00:01:52> 00:01:57:	Sustainable Cities and Communities for Hedge Urban solutions Canadian based
00:01:57> 00:02:01:	company is actually is very interesting is that it's primarily
00:02:01> 00:02:05:	started with building rapid transit and also have a key
00:02:05> 00:02:09:	role to play when Toronto was building the subway back
00:02:09> 00:02:09:	in the days.
00:02:10> 00:02:13:	So I'm part of Urban Solution. This is the group
00:02:13> 00:02:16:	that providing the guidance and the vision how we can
00:02:16> 00:02:21:	combine different sets of holistic infrastructure to build complete community.
00:02:21> 00:02:24:	So I'm also serving as a Your Eyes Curtis infrastructure
00:02:24> 00:02:27:	fellow and we're going to talk about a recent report
00:02:27> 00:02:30:	that we use a year to work with members across
00:02:30> 00:02:33:	our different District Council to pull that together.
00:02:34> 00:02:39:	I'm also representing the Product Council on the Suburban Development
00:02:39> 00:02:43:	and Redevelopment Transformation and I also sit on the Toronto
00:02:44> 00:02:45:	Advisory Board.
00:02:46> 00:02:52:	So this initiative is made possible through the generous support
00:02:52> 00:02:57:	of Jim Curtis, which is a really visionary developer based
00:02:57> 00:03:02:	in San Francisco. And he believes that every single person
00:03:02> 00:03:06:	in the land use process has the real ability to
00:03:06> 00:03:11:	make a visible difference because you are within the process.
00:03:12> 00:03:15:	And if we would make both decisions to push for
00:03:15> 00:03:18:	the right choices, each one of them has the ability
00:03:19> 00:03:22:	to change the world. So therefore this series was put
00:03:22> 00:03:27:	together particularly helping to drive the upstream decisions. So great
00:03:27> 00:03:32:	introduction about the cornerstone of this initiative. So this initiative
00:03:32> 00:03:35:	was set up in 2021 during COVID.
00:03:35> 00:03:38:	From our colleague Paul and Angelo at that time and
00:03:38> 00:03:42:	very quickly is using that to build a movement because

00:03:42> 00:03:44:	that's the time when in the US and Canada has
00:03:44> 00:03:49:	announced trillions of dollars of infrastructure dollars and they are
00:03:49> 00:03:52:	time bound seven years. So the problem set is that
00:03:52> 00:03:54:	how we can make the best use out of it.
00:03:55> 00:03:59:	So through this our initiative has four very structured focus,
00:03:59> 00:04:02:	first is providing the toolkit so that is the building
00:04:03> 00:04:07:	for two-minute community leadership guide that we're going to talk
00:04:07> 00:04:10:	about that a little bit at the end. We also
00:04:10> 00:04:13:	host our infrastructure forum, so we do it twice per
00:04:13> 00:04:17:	year and we recently finished the forum in spring meeting
00:04:17> 00:04:20:	and the recording the slide that is available on the
00:04:20> 00:04:22:	website and we really.
00:04:22> 00:04:26:	Encourage everybody to have a look because they provide you
00:04:26> 00:04:30:	with some very tangible case study from for example, shifting
00:04:30> 00:04:33:	from officers to residential users for live in downtown to
00:04:33> 00:04:37:	some of the very tangible examples where the funding resources
00:04:37> 00:04:40:	are coming from Build America. So those are all the
00:04:40> 00:04:43:	pieces in the slide deck that people can take advantage
00:04:43> 00:04:43:	of.
00:04:45> 00:04:48:	We also support local District Council work. So this is
00:04:48> 00:04:51:	an example working with the Toronto District Council leveraging on
00:04:51> 00:04:55:	the new policy changes on transit oriented communities. So we
00:04:55> 00:04:57:	do a deep dive on one community and using that
00:04:57> 00:05:01:	really trying to understand all the decision making, how we
00:05:01> 00:05:05:	can make those more effective, particularly about making infrastructure multifunctional.
00:05:07> 00:05:10:	So this is part of the series of the exchange
00:05:10> 00:05:14:	and food exchange. We have highlighted leading example in different
00:05:14> 00:05:18:	set of infrastructure from environmental, energy, mobility and also community
00:05:18> 00:05:21:	which is education, health and social.
00:05:22> 00:05:25:	So this is a quick wrap up of our Spring
00:05:25> 00:05:29:	series. We would have an article in urban land to
00:05:29> 00:05:32:	wrap up some of the key learning so that we
00:05:32> 00:05:36:	encourage everybody to take these as an inspiration and continue
00:05:36> 00:05:39:	to apply to your local projects.

00:05:40> 00:05:43:	So one of the key theme that we learn, particularly
00:05:43> 00:05:47:	working with our UI audience and colleagues, is that when
00:05:47> 00:05:50:	it comes to infrastructure decision, we want to find a
00:05:50> 00:05:53:	way to make it work harder. Like in other words,
00:05:53> 00:05:56:	how can we make infrastructure multifunctional?
00:05:56> 00:06:00:	So therefore we adopted a new vocabulary. It's called one
00:06:00> 00:06:04:	so one environmental infrastructure, meaning how we can double up
00:06:04> 00:06:08:	class creation with protection. So that one we look at
00:06:08> 00:06:11:	2 examples, one from Mvva, another one from Hanning Larsen,
00:06:11> 00:06:14:	look at how to bring nature to the city.
00:06:15> 00:06:19:	For one, community infrastructure, we want to put the expectation
00:06:19> 00:06:23:	back at the table, which was the expectation back in
00:06:23> 00:06:26:	the 19, nineteen 10s or 1970s in in the Toronto
00:06:26> 00:06:29:	area, which is mixed-use school at the heart of the
00:06:29> 00:06:34:	community, starting with the school first, but in addition implementing
00:06:34> 00:06:38:	the surrounding walking and cycling active mobility with transit.
00:06:38> 00:06:41:	So that a whole entire city can serve as a
00:06:41> 00:06:44:	learning ground. So we encourage everybody to go back to
00:06:44> 00:06:48:	knowledge Finder and that presentation we feature two example including
00:06:48> 00:06:50:	example from Helsinki as well.
00:06:52> 00:06:56:	For one energy infrastructure, we focus on leveraging nature based
00:06:56> 00:07:00:	solution, how we can use for example from lake water
00:07:00> 00:07:04:	cooling to using nature based for retrofitting, a decision for
00:07:04> 00:07:08:	retrofitting in downtown from energy standpoint, how we can integrate
00:07:08> 00:07:12:	biomass and solar and all the other different components in
00:07:12> 00:07:16:	the most effective way. And there is a sequential logic
00:07:16> 00:07:19:	why we need to start with late nature because it
00:07:19> 00:07:22:	helped to reduce the energy load.
00:07:22> 00:07:26:	So we encourage everybody to have a look. And so
00:07:26> 00:07:30:	there are two presentations, one from Arcadas and another one
00:07:30> 00:07:34:	from Enwave in the Toronto region. So today is about
00:07:35> 00:07:39:	the mobility infrastructure and let's do a quick dive in.
00:07:40> 00:07:43:	So this is from the set of presentation we presented
00:07:43> 00:07:47:	the spring meeting. It really is time to set the
00:07:47> 00:07:50:	stage. So since last fall in Dallas, we have fled

00:07:50> 00:07:54:	the need of being very tangible and intentional as part
00:07:54> 00:07:57:	of the key driver of the real estate industry. So
00:07:57> 00:08:00:	that's your mandate. We want to find a way to
00:08:00> 00:08:05:	create sustainable communities and particularly drawing a focus. So on
00:08:05> 00:08:08:	the map in here are all the dots covering our
00:08:08> 00:08:09:	District Council.
00:08:09> 00:08:13:	Across the America and we have a mission which is
00:08:13> 00:08:18:	exercising how we can make real estate infrastructure decision to
00:08:18> 00:08:21:	reduce VMT. So what is exciting is that over the
00:08:21> 00:08:25:	next seven years we know we'll be building at a
00:08:25> 00:08:29:	minimum 10 billion square feet of development. But if we
00:08:29> 00:08:34:	are not intentional those development can happening in auto dependent
00:08:34> 00:08:35:	neighborhood.
00:08:35> 00:08:38:	So what is on the map is show that even
00:08:38> 00:08:42:	for some of the area, for example New York, San
00:08:42> 00:08:46:	Francisco and it has the rapid transit in place, but
00:08:46> 00:08:49:	the VMT is still very high like in other words
00:08:49> 00:08:52:	the black is all over the map. So we also
00:08:52> 00:08:57:	see there is a close relation between VMT and decarbonization.
00:08:58> 00:09:00:	So at the time of COP 27 Urban Institute, we
00:09:00> 00:09:04:	represents the real estate voice globally to talk about the
00:09:04> 00:09:09:	importance of showing real estate leadership on decarbonization by buildings.
00:09:09> 00:09:12:	So now we're taking this to the next level. So
00:09:12> 00:09:16:	with the release of the Curtis infrastructure report on 15
00:09:16> 00:09:20:	Minute Community, this is a strategy to decarbonize community by
00:09:20> 00:09:22:	transit oriented community, a model.
00:09:24> 00:09:27:	So at this session we always like to highlight some
00:09:27> 00:09:31:	of the key tangible policy that people can take advantage
00:09:31> 00:09:34:	of. So this is a snapshot from the provincial policy
00:09:34> 00:09:38:	statement and also from the planning Act in Ontario which
00:09:38> 00:09:42:	governed the the top decision making with respect to real
00:09:42> 00:09:46:	estate decision, land use decision and also infrastructure decision. So
00:09:46> 00:09:50:	this is a new policy adopted in 2020 particularly focusing
00:09:50> 00:09:53:	on complete community and what it talks about is a
00:09:53> 00:09:54:	complete set.
00:09:54> 00:09:58:	So that means education, health, social, cultural and recreational. It

00:09:58> 00:10:03:	really intentional about integrating planning and infrastructure decision making and
00:10:03> 00:10:06:	making sure it has an orderly set of development as
00:10:06> 00:10:09:	well and the outcome we want to achieve is high
00:10:09> 00:10:10:	quality built environment.
00:10:11> 00:10:15:	And opportunity for Co locating public services is so important
00:10:15> 00:10:18:	because we are shifting on a walkable decision sets of
00:10:19> 00:10:22:	models. So when we are thinking about what you need
00:10:22> 00:10:26:	is think about the walkable catchment as opposed to kilometers
00:10:26> 00:10:29:	and kilometers apart. And lastly is in order to make
00:10:29> 00:10:32:	this work, it need to function in a sustainable way
00:10:32> 00:10:35:	but also need to have the attractive.
00:10:36> 00:10:40:	With the right micro climatic condition, with the right set
00:10:40> 00:10:43:	of amenities and activities so we can make it truly
00:10:43> 00:10:48:	pedestrian oriented. So our session always like to share some
00:10:48> 00:10:51:	of the ongoing examples, some of those are grants dollars
00:10:52> 00:10:55:	that is is active is time bound and we encourage
00:10:55> 00:10:57:	everybody to take advantage of it.
00:10:58> 00:11:01:	So today we want to highlight four different opportunities to
00:11:01> 00:11:04:	in the US and two in Canada and from the
00:11:04> 00:11:07:	US perspective Build America which was a Bureau set up
00:11:07> 00:11:10:	by the Department of Transportation. The mandate is to provide
00:11:10> 00:11:13:	the right resourcing and also the right set of support
00:11:13> 00:11:17:	so that we can create transit oriented community. So that
00:11:17> 00:11:20:	included some of the existing transit line as well, not
00:11:20> 00:11:23:	necessarily have to wait for the new transit line, but
00:11:23> 00:11:26:	looking at the existing transit line, any of the parking
00:11:26> 00:11:28:	lot any of the underutilized.
00:11:28> 00:11:31:	Area so we want to shine the light on a
00:11:31> 00:11:34:	new grant that would release in the summer this year
00:11:35> 00:11:38:	so coming pretty soon. So that \$21 million are located
00:11:38> 00:11:43:	is called a thriving community program particularly helping to provide
00:11:44> 00:11:48:	gap funding so that organization working with some of the
00:11:48> 00:11:49:	consultant can together.
00:11:50> 00:11:54:	Come up with planning and development support for transportation and
00:11:54> 00:11:59:	community revitalization activity. So that could be the early planning
00:11:59> 00:12:04:	work, pre development work, visibility studies or early design

	work
00:12:04> 00:12:08:	or running workshop. Another opportunity that is active and
	the
00:12:08> 00:12:12:	deadline is August. The 14 is on rural, rural infrastructure.
00:12:13> 00:12:15:	So we know that in the rural area, it has
00:12:15> 00:12:20:	a little challenge because of the density, but there's
	opportunity
00:12:20> 00:12:23:	of creating the on demand solution. So there's a strong
00:12:23> 00:12:26:	focus how we can use it to bridge some of
00:12:26> 00:12:29:	the gap in terms of equity as well looking at
00:12:29> 00:12:33:	the tribal area, so there are \$3,000,000 allocated to assist
00:12:33> 00:12:34:	for pre development.
00:12:35> 00:12:38:	Face kind of activity. Some of those can be project
00:12:38> 00:12:41:	planning. So the website will encourage everybody to go to
00:12:42> 00:12:45:	good American website. It can tells you the whole sets
00:12:45> 00:12:48:	of activities that these grants can support. On the Canadian
00:12:48> 00:12:52:	side, there's an innovative model. So other than the infrastructure
00:12:52> 00:12:56:	dollars that is coming from federal, this is the investment
00:12:56> 00:12:58:	dollar coming from Canada Infrastructure Bank.
00:12:59> 00:13:02:	And what unique about that is a one to three
00:13:02> 00:13:05:	model, in other words is intentionally provide 1/3 of the
00:13:05> 00:13:09:	seating funding to encourage 2 third of the investment that
00:13:09> 00:13:13:	could be coming from private sector or public sector. So
00:13:13> 00:13:16:	there's two node in here we want to highlight as
00:13:16> 00:13:20:	a priority. One is \$5 billion allocated for transit and
00:13:20> 00:13:23:	it's not just for transit but also for transit oriented
00:13:23> 00:13:27:	development and it's ongoing. And another one is on
00.10.20 / 00.10.21.	acceleration.
00:13:28> 00:13:33:	So particularly within Canada because growth is happening
	very quickly,
00:13:33> 00:13:37:	so construction cost escalation is huge and what we see
00:13:37> 00:13:39:	in the past is that it takes a long time
00:13:39> 00:13:42:	to get the resources in order to have the proper
00:13:42> 00:13:47:	planning. So there are \$500 million particularly allocated for acceleration.
00:13:47> 00:13:51:	So we encourage everybody to take advantage of this and
00:13:51> 00:13:55:	particularly using that to shorten your your pre development
	work
00:13:55> 00:13:58:	so that you can get to construction faster.
00:13:59> 00:14:02:	Now I'm going to turn the floor to Steven to
00:14:02> 00:14:04:	introduce our two speakers. Steven.
00:14:05> 00:14:09:	Morning. Hi, everyone. Steven Englim, I'm a urban design architect

00:14:09> 00:14:12:	based in San Francisco and I'm a very proud member
00:14:12> 00:14:17:	of the Curtis founding board member of the Curtis
00.44.47 > 00.44.04.	infrastructure
00:14:17> 00:14:21:	and they're really excited this, this topic is very important
00:14:21> 00:14:22:	to all of our cities.
00:14:23> 00:14:27:	And I think in the spirit of what Jim Curtis
00:14:27> 00:14:31:	wanted our group to do is really bring together the
00:14:31> 00:14:35:	sort of real estate find common ground between the real
00:14:36> 00:14:41:	estate discussion and these transportation budgets. And you know it's
00:14:41> 00:14:45:	my pleasure to introduce Michael with Hatch in based in
00:14:46> 00:14:49:	Toronto and Hatch is a leading voice in the push
00:14:49> 00:14:52:	to integrate engineering and planning.
00:14:53> 00:14:56:	As we rethink and right, you know, I always like
00:14:56> 00:14:59:	to say that that integrated approach is really key because
00:14:59> 00:15:02:	it's it's really key to ask the right questions rather
00:15:02> 00:15:06:	than only answer the right questions. And then also it's
00:15:06> 00:15:09:	my pleasure to to have worked with David from Hong
00:15:09> 00:15:12:	Kong. MTRCI had the great pleasure to live in Hong
00:15:12> 00:15:15:	Kong for nearly six years. And it was transportation bliss
00:15:15> 00:15:18:	for me because I didn't have a car is one
00:15:18> 00:15:20:	time in my adult life that I didn't have a
00:15:20> 00:15:21:	car for six years.
00:15:22> 00:15:24:	And the one thing I always think about in Hong
00:15:24> 00:15:27:	Kong is how convenient it is to move around a
00:15:27> 00:15:30:	city that's as dense it is. And it's a real
00:15:30> 00:15:33:	testament to integrated thinking about, you know how not only
00:15:33> 00:15:36:	how we get from point A to point B, but
00:15:36> 00:15:38:	also what happens in point A and point B. And
00:15:38> 00:15:41:	I I'm really excited to hear both of the speakers
00:15:41> 00:15:44:	today. So without further ado, I'll, I'll pass it on
00:15:44> 00:15:45:	to Michael.
00:15:49> 00:15:52:	Thanks, Steven and thank you you a lot and you
00:15:52> 00:15:55:	like Curtis program. This is really great. I'm really enjoying
00:15:55> 00:15:59:	already my conversations with David and Steven and Yvonne and
00:15:59> 00:16:02:	I know there's looking forward to more. I'll try and
00:16:02> 00:16:04:	be efficient with my slide, so we can leave more
00:16:04> 00:16:07:	room for discussion. But it's a bit of a story
00:16:07> 00:16:09:	of Toronto here and I'm going to try and cover
00:16:09> 00:16:12:	a lot of content in a very short amount of
00:16:12> 00:16:14:	ground. So there's a lot of details not to being

00:16:14> 00:16:17:	that won't be included and so my apologies, but I'll
00:16:17> 00:16:19:	do my best here. Next slide please.
00:16:20> 00:16:23:	So Toronto we kind of have to go back to
00:16:23> 00:16:26:	the immediate post war period in the history of Toronto
00:16:27> 00:16:30:	planning and we were there was a lot of hands
00:16:30> 00:16:34:	on planning work done by the Metropolitan planning agency for
00:16:34> 00:16:38:	for Toronto called Metropolitan Toronto and they looked at both
00:16:38> 00:16:42:	highway development and and rapid transit growth and and I
00:16:42> 00:16:46:	think it's important to note that you know Metro Toronto
00:16:46> 00:16:48:	almost made some really big mistakes.
00:16:49> 00:16:52:	Inspired by some of the Rust Belt cities in the
00:16:52> 00:16:56:	United States, you know, tightly ringing the downtown with highways
00:16:56> 00:16:59:	to provide rapid access by automobile. I mean, these were
00:16:59> 00:17:02:	1950s planning ideas and so probably as a in a
00:17:02> 00:17:05:	reaction to both politics, but I think also just the
00:17:05> 00:17:09:	realities of funding these projects in the disruption to neighborhoods.
00:17:09> 00:17:12:	Thankfully, many of those projects did not go ahead and
00:17:12> 00:17:14:	so Toronto doesn't have the same.
00:17:15> 00:17:20:	Highway network in its older neighborhoods that some other American
00:17:20> 00:17:23:	cities have at the same time there was a rapid
00:17:23> 00:17:28:	growing tax base and this finance, debt finance construction of
00:17:28> 00:17:33:	the the subway network that actually was the original backbone
00:17:33> 00:17:36:	of and service the downtown core and we'll call them
00:17:37> 00:17:38:	the first ring suburbs.
00:17:39> 00:17:42:	And so Toronto was blessed with, you know, some really
00:17:43> 00:17:46:	progressive, really great metro level planning in the 50s and
00:17:46> 00:17:50:	60s. Now the bottom right hand corner. Instead of expanding
00:17:50> 00:17:53:	the metro model to be bigger and cover the space
00:17:53> 00:17:56:	that Toronto was rapidly expanding into, the province made a
00:17:56> 00:17:59:	decision in the 70s to replicate the metro model and
00:17:59> 00:18:03:	create additional regions. And at the same time they had
00:18:03> 00:18:06:	built the province of Ontario did build a regional Expressway
00:18:06> 00:18:07:	network.
00:18:07> 00:18:11:	And that propagated as the province got out of the
00:18:11> 00:18:16:	planning game and Metro Toronto became relatively less effective within
00:18:16> 00:18:19:	the broader region. We we effectively lost the, we lost

00:18:19> 00:18:23:	the the plot and and did succumb to significant sprawl
00:18:23> 00:18:27:	particularly through the 70s and 80s and 90s which led
00:18:27> 00:18:30:	to some policy reaction in the late 90s and the
00:18:30> 00:18:32:	early 2000s. Next slide please.
00:18:34> 00:18:37:	So here again, here's some maps. I mean there's you
00:18:37> 00:18:39:	can go into into sort of the archives and find
00:18:39> 00:18:42:	lots of different maps. But this is the original city
00:18:42> 00:18:45:	of Toronto with its boroughs worked really, really well and
00:18:45> 00:18:47:	then this was so successful that it kind of outgrew
00:18:47> 00:18:50:	itself and you have some of this regional transit thinking.
00:18:50> 00:18:53:	And then there's similarly there's there's highway maps as well
00:18:53> 00:18:55:	and some of the many lines were not built.
00:18:56> 00:18:59:	Some of them were though and actually became the radio
00:18:59> 00:19:01:	ones into and out of the downtown form the the
00:19:01> 00:19:04:	beginning of of GO Transit, which is our original rail
00:19:05> 00:19:07:	network and was actually because it was not pre, it
00:19:07> 00:19:10:	was GO Transit came out of the the ashes of
00:19:10> 00:19:14:	Canadian National and Canyon Pacific's failing passenger rail services. And
00:19:14> 00:19:17:	so Toronto was blessed with one of the one of
00:19:17> 00:19:20:	the earliest if not the earliest version of post war
00:19:20> 00:19:23:	commuter rail, which has since been replicated in many cities
00:19:23> 00:19:24:	across North America.
00:19:25> 00:19:28:	And is quite successful. And so there's a huge investment
00:19:28> 00:19:30:	program in it right now to take it to the
00:19:30> 00:19:33:	next level of what some people call regional Express rail,
00:19:33> 00:19:36:	which is electrified frequent rail transit and forms the backbone
00:19:36> 00:19:39:	of a lot of transit oriented community work, which we'll
00:19:39> 00:19:41:	talk about next slide, please.
00:19:43> 00:19:45:	So here just to I I was, I was diverging
00:19:45> 00:19:48:	and talking about regional Rail. But I think it's important
00:19:48> 00:19:50:	to say to identify the success of the young subway
00:19:50> 00:19:53:	line, Canada's first subway line. And it runs up and
00:19:53> 00:19:56:	down the young corridor connecting the CBD in the distance.
00:19:56> 00:19:58:	And if we were to zoom in a little bit
00:19:58> 00:20:01:	more, what you would see is there's actually pockets of
00:20:01> 00:20:04:	high density development all all up and down. The major
00:20:04> 00:20:07:	station notes this is North York Center in the foreground.
00:20:07> 00:20:11:	Which was developed in the large 80s, nineties and is
00:20:11> 00:20:14:	is a good example of a dense Main Ave. center.
00:20:15> 00:20:18:	But there are three subway stations in that within that

00:20:18> 00:20:22:	cluster. And so this really is Tod kind of circa
00:20:22> 00:20:25:	1980s, nineteen 90s. And so this is kind of the
00:20:25> 00:20:29:	model that Toronto knows we we've done it before. We
00:20:29> 00:20:34:	also have suburban sprawl and we have highway oriented development
00:20:34> 00:20:34:	as well.
00:20:35> 00:20:38:	But you know, we have known how to do this
00:20:38> 00:20:41:	and then we took a bit of a break because
00:20:41> 00:20:46:	of some some governance and and growth policy decisions and
00:20:46> 00:20:50:	and just end market reality as well. Next slide please.
00:20:50> 00:20:54:	So enter the the first decade of the 2000s. And
00:20:54> 00:20:58:	so there was a consensus among policymakers and experts that
00:20:58> 00:21:01:	sprawl had gotten out of hand.
00:21:01> 00:21:04:	And the province in the absence of a strong regional
00:21:04> 00:21:07:	government, instead of you had you had kind of many
00:21:07> 00:21:11:	regions all with interest in creating their own centers, The
00:21:11> 00:21:15:	province stepped in and created a Ontario Growth Secretariat which
00:21:15> 00:21:19:	would create a growth plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe
00:21:19> 00:21:22:	because that was the scale at which the Toronto.
00:21:23> 00:21:26:	Region was operating at and so they expanded basically the
00:21:26> 00:21:28:	whole western end of of Lake Ontario all the way
00:21:29> 00:21:32:	to Kitchener, Waterloo, Niagara and off the map to the
00:21:32> 00:21:34:	right and to the north to places like Berry and
00:21:34> 00:21:37:	Oshawa and beyond. And so you have sort of a
00:21:37> 00:21:40:	three pillar approach. You've got the creation of a Greenbelt
00:21:40> 00:21:44:	which protected the source, major source of drinking water which
00:21:44> 00:21:46:	is then the left side of the screen. You have
00:21:46> 00:21:50:	identification and a policy framework of urban growth centers and
00:21:50> 00:21:51:	the idea that we would.
00:21:52> 00:21:55:	Build up and build dense nodes, similar to the success
00:21:55> 00:21:58:	of the nodes that the Toronto Center Region Growth Plan
00:21:58> 00:22:00:	set up by Metro Toronto in the 60s had had
00:22:00> 00:22:02:	created, but we just had to do it more at
00:22:02> 00:22:05:	a regional at a regional scale. And then the third
00:22:05> 00:22:08:	was the creation of Metrolinx, which is the regional Transportation
00:22:08> 00:22:11:	Agency which would be the the vehicle by which.
00:22:11> 00:22:15:	The province and hopefully other partners would fund a

	whole
00:22:15> 00:22:18:	new rapid transit network that would cover the region and
00:22:18> 00:22:22:	hook up to the initial Toronto Transit Commission subway
	and
00:22:22> 00:22:25:	streetcar network that serves the, quote, UN quote, old city
00:22:25> 00:22:28:	of Toronto. Next slide please. So now we have, OK,
00:22:28> 00:22:32:	so you basically got the foundation of the regional
00.00.00	transportation
00:22:32> 00:22:36:	network that would be constructed over the coming decades and
00:22:36> 00:22:40:	there was a policy framework around urban growth centers.
00:22:40> 00:22:44:	And the municipalities have to in provincial planning will have
00:22:44> 00:22:48:	to conform to this broad provincial planning policy direction
	set
00:22:48> 00:22:52:	up by the province. And as you can imagine there
00:22:52> 00:22:55:	are all kinds of challenges associated with that. But high
00:22:55> 00:22:59:	highest level, I mean that's the architecture and it it
00:22:59> 00:23:02:	generally works or is or is working. And so you
00:23:02> 00:23:05:	then have the OK, so how do we actually realize
00:23:05> 00:23:09:	development around stations and so on the screen here.
00:23:09> 00:23:12:	Three of my favorites, but they're not the only ones.
00:23:13> 00:23:15:	And there are there is a story behind each one
00:23:15> 00:23:18:	because each one has its own recipe and story of
00:23:18> 00:23:22:	personalities and and and organizations coming together to realize
00:23:22> 00:23:26:	transoriented development. Because most of the land in Toronto was
00:23:26> 00:23:30:	owned by private sector entities the public sector does not
00:23:30> 00:23:33:	own, it cannot participate in the Uplift. And so there's
00:23:33> 00:23:36:	a whole. We can have a whole webinar about that,
00:23:36> 00:23:38:	and I won't go into it, but CIBC Square was.
00:23:39> 00:23:42:	Was maybe the first, this is spanning the Union Station
00:23:42> 00:23:45:	rail corridor and I think the the key things I
00:23:45> 00:23:47:	want to leave here is as we had a visionary
00:23:47> 00:23:51:	partner in Ivanhoe Cambridge and then their partner Heinz, we
00:23:51> 00:23:54:	had to incorporate a new bus station. And there was
00:23:54> 00:23:56:	a lot of this, this was this, this was an
00:23:56> 00:23:59:	important there was a mixed funding model and I think
00:23:59> 00:24:02:	this was a really important first project to say that
00:24:03> 00:24:06:	for Metrolinx and the agencies that support Metrolinx to point
00:24:06> 00:24:07:	out and say look.
00:24:08> 00:24:10:	We can do a deal with the private sector and
00:24:10> 00:24:14:	and create a project. And so after that came East

00:24:14> 00:24:17:	Harbor, which had the benefit of a very large piece
00:24:17> 00:24:20:	of land that was under in the Portlands which was
00:24:20> 00:24:24:	going to convert from employment to a much higher order
00:24:24> 00:24:28:	of commercial huge land value creation. And therefore the incentive
00:24:28> 00:24:31:	there was to to make a big vision happen, but
00:24:31> 00:24:34:	again looking in hindsight, tremendous vision.
00:24:35> 00:24:38:	For change and transformation of an entire area that was
00:24:38> 00:24:42:	underpinned by previous work led by Waterfront Toronto and three
00:24:42> 00:24:45:	levels of government but then picked up by a developer
00:24:45> 00:24:48:	local to Toronto called First Golf. And so you know
00:24:48> 00:24:50:	credit to them and that project was was sold to
00:24:51> 00:24:54:	Cadillac Fairview which is a pension controlled and everybody in
00:24:54> 00:24:57:	the market saw how much money First Golf had made.
00:24:57> 00:25:00:	And so I think there was a lot of enthusiasm
00:25:00> 00:25:01:	around the policy outcome.
00:25:02> 00:25:05:	And the vision for city building. But the other story
00:25:05> 00:25:07:	is there was a people knew that a lot of
00:25:07> 00:25:10:	money was made to do that project. And that then
00:25:10> 00:25:13:	opened the door, I would say, to subsequent projects where
00:25:13> 00:25:17:	the commercial people who never would have previously bought into
00:25:17> 00:25:20:	the pain and the Gray hair that's created and trying
00:25:20> 00:25:23:	to do a deal with the government agencies saying, wow,
00:25:23> 00:25:26:	this can be really great, we can, we can create
00:25:26> 00:25:28:	a visionary new series of projects.
00:25:28> 00:25:31:	But we can also make money doing it And so
00:25:31> 00:25:33:	maybe it is worth the effort. And so you have
00:25:33> 00:25:36:	the kind of I would call it the acceleration of
00:25:36> 00:25:38:	a growth of of a market that that was interested
00:25:38> 00:25:41:	in doing this and and then that led to and
00:25:41> 00:25:43:	Park Lawn go is another one. But by then there's,
00:25:43> 00:25:46:	there's a number of projects which probably number of people
00:25:47> 00:25:49:	in this caller are involved in. Next slide please. So
00:25:49> 00:25:52:	now you've got this, this basis, you've got this, this,
00:25:52> 00:25:55:	l'll call it a grand bargain, you've got big.
00:25:56> 00:26:00:	Subway and regional rail projects which allow for the creation
00:26:00> 00:26:03:	of new stations which are primarily funded by governments. But
00:26:03> 00:26:06:	you have real estate developers coming to the table and

00:26:07> 00:26:10:	saying, wow, if I can get my planning permissions, this
00:26:10> 00:26:12:	could unlock a lot of value. Now we did have
00:26:12> 00:26:15:	a period in time and we still deal with this
00:26:15> 00:26:17:	tension a little bit where governments get.
00:26:18> 00:26:22:	Overexcited about just how much the private sector can shoulder.
00:26:22> 00:26:25:	And the reality is, is in some cases where you
00:26:25> 00:26:27:	have very big land parcels and one owner and a
00:26:27> 00:26:31:	lot of change, that can happen really quickly. You can
00:26:31> 00:26:34:	create a significant amount of uplift and you can pay
00:26:34> 00:26:37:	for a lot of infrastructure. And that is true. But
00:26:37> 00:26:40:	by and large most stations exist in in locations where
00:26:40> 00:26:43:	there is multiple owners, which has its own challenges, there
00:26:43> 00:26:45:	can be fragmented ownership.
00:26:46> 00:26:48:	And so the complexity of the deal at each station
00:26:48> 00:26:51:	increases and it becomes harder and harder to do these
00:26:51> 00:26:54:	deals. And so the friction and therefore the enter the
00:26:54> 00:26:56:	effort and the vision and the buy in required to
00:26:56> 00:26:59:	do those deals is even greater. And So what I
00:26:59> 00:27:01:	would say is, is one of the lessons that we're
00:27:01> 00:27:04:	learning right now is the the biggest deals.
00:27:04> 00:27:07:	Have been, have been done or close to have been
00:27:07> 00:27:09:	done in Toronto and now we're on to the hard
00:27:09> 00:27:12:	work of making some of these more fragmented projects go.
00:27:12> 00:27:15:	And so the financial model is going to have to
00:27:15> 00:27:18:	evolve. And so there's a lot of active conversations on
00:27:18> 00:27:21:	a project by project basis about how that happens. But
00:27:21> 00:27:23:	I think the key thing is, is you've got to
00:27:23> 00:27:26:	have this public sector leadership to come in and and
00:27:26> 00:27:29:	set the set up a degree of policy framework, then
00:27:29> 00:27:32:	implement the rapid transit or commit to rapid rapid transit
00:27:32> 00:27:33:	and fund it and then.
00:27:34> 00:27:36:	When you have developers who pick up the phone and
00:27:36> 00:27:38:	say, hi, I'd like to do a deal around a
00:27:38> 00:27:41:	station. If you cannot have the public sector say, sorry,
00:27:41> 00:27:43:	I'm too busy right now or I don't know how
00:27:43> 00:27:45:	to do this or I can't do it. So what
00:27:45> 00:27:47:	I'm going to get into and maybe this is in
00:27:47> 00:27:50:	the next slide, is that the key is partnership. I
00:27:50> 00:27:52:	mean you have to have capability and capacity on both
00:27:53> 00:27:55:	sides of the spectrum. You have to have private sector.
00:27:56> 00:27:59:	Developers and their consultants, if I can say that who

00:27:59> 00:28:01:	understand and have the patience to do this kind of
00:28:01> 00:28:03:	and see it through and on the other hand you
00:28:03> 00:28:06:	have to have public sector who understands and knows enough
00:28:06> 00:28:08:	about the private sector and what they need. And so
00:28:08> 00:28:10:	you know this slide shows a couple of other communities
00:28:10> 00:28:12:	that are that are actively being planned and I think
00:28:12> 00:28:15:	can be really spectacular if we can make make them
00:28:15> 00:28:17:	through all the way to the other side and implementation
00:28:17> 00:28:19:	like slide. And of course the other thing these other
00:28:19> 00:28:21:	suburban sites do is they beg the question of.
00:28:22> 00:28:25:	All the other things which Yvonne and others, Steven and
00:28:25> 00:28:27:	and and and David will speak very well about is
00:28:27> 00:28:31:	how you build complete, complete communities, because it's not just
00:28:31> 00:28:34:	about rapid transit. It's about, it's about the whole package.
00:28:34> 00:28:37:	It's just the rapid transit. And a train station can
00:28:37> 00:28:40:	be a very powerful organizing force to bring density and
00:28:40> 00:28:43:	activity and walkability. But there's so many aspects of
	urbanism,
00:28:43> 00:28:46:	which is why these projects are so cool. Next slide,
00:28:46> 00:28:47:	please.
00:28:49> 00:28:52:	Integrated mobility 15 minute all the technology now I mean
00:28:52> 00:28:55:	now we're into scooters E bikes. I mean I think,
00:28:55> 00:28:58:	I think I do think technology is enabling you know
00:28:58> 00:29:00:	retrofit of cities in new ways that we couldn't have
00:29:01> 00:29:03:	been imagined even 10 or 15 years ago which is
00:29:03> 00:29:06:	really great and that this will this will help us
00:29:06> 00:29:08:	achieve what we need to which is far more of
00:29:08> 00:29:11:	these these TO D's next slide please. I wanted to
00:29:11> 00:29:14:	make a point that business casing and the economic the
00:29:14> 00:29:17:	hard work of economics and financial and quantifying.
00:29:17> 00:29:20:	Has been so critical to the creation of of rapid
00:29:20> 00:29:23:	transit projects and then the transoriented development deals and the
00:29:23> 00:29:26:	stations that go along with them. Because I think for
00:29:26> 00:29:29:	a period, I think it's safe to say my experience
00:29:29> 00:29:31:	at Metrolinx, I mean there was a conversation for a
00:29:31> 00:29:34:	long time about planning and policy and building consensus, which
00:29:34> 00:29:37:	was really good. But you have to take it one
00:29:37> 00:29:40:	step further and quantify these things because the quantification, you

00:29:40> 00:29:43:	never get the numbers right, but you get them close
00:29:43> 00:29:43:	enough.
00:29:44> 00:29:47:	To inform better conversations about what design looks like, what's
00:29:47> 00:29:50:	possible, what do things cost, who can pay for what.
00:29:50> 00:29:53:	And you can't have those conversations in the absence of
00:29:53> 00:29:56:	having some people who understand, you know, business casing and
00:29:56> 00:30:00:	in different restrictions they have different guidance. But it's all
00:30:00> 00:30:03:	basically the same thing and there is a whole group
00:30:03> 00:30:04:	of people around the world.
00:30:05> 00:30:07:	Who effectively take the UK Green book and and and
00:30:07> 00:30:10:	and make it appropriate for their for their jurisdiction. It's
00:30:10> 00:30:13:	all the same. It's largely the same stuff. And so
00:30:13> 00:30:16:	we've got the Metrolinx business case which I was fortunate
00:30:16> 00:30:19:	enough to oversee with my team at Metrolinx when we
00:30:19> 00:30:22:	were we were the economics and investment team. Next time,
00:30:22> 00:30:26:	next slide please. Transorient development approach, I talked about being
00:30:26> 00:30:28:	really complete economic development socioequity.
00:30:30> 00:30:33:	Community engagement and all these kinds of things and we
00:30:33> 00:30:36:	can have separate webinars and all of them. But I
00:30:36> 00:30:38:	think you know the other thing is on the right
00:30:38> 00:30:41:	hand side, having a vision and combining the the planning
00:30:41> 00:30:45:	vision, understand the economics, understand who can pay, understand construction
00:30:46> 00:30:48:	and delivery and having a view to both. And if
00:30:48> 00:30:50:	we were to go back to the last slide, I
00:30:50> 00:30:52:	don't want to go back to the last slide, but
00:30:52> 00:30:55:	a really well done business case will actually consider all
00:30:56> 00:30:58:	of these things in an early way at the very
00:30:58> 00:30:59:	beginning. Next slide please.
00:31:00> 00:31:03:	And then the next slide, thanks about Okay. So then
00:31:03> 00:31:06:	the last part is I think the business casing methods
00:31:06> 00:31:10:	that are often used are largely about transportation. And So
00:31:10> 00:31:13:	what we're seeing now and this is happening right now
00:31:13> 00:31:16:	and I think Crossrail 2 in London, which is very
00:31:16> 00:31:18:	far off in the future due to Brexit and a
00:31:18> 00:31:22:	bunch of factors there. But Crossrail 2 was actually the
00:31:22> 00:31:25:	project that really took the business case guidance and said
00:31:25> 00:31:26:	let's.

00:31:26> 00:31:28:	Look at it from housing lens. Look let's look at
00:31:28> 00:31:31:	it from a housing accommodation lens and I think that's
00:31:31> 00:31:35:	incredibly powerful and that's that's sort of the next frontier
00:31:35> 00:31:38:	and it's certainly in Southern Ontario is because we have
00:31:38> 00:31:40:	such a huge housing crisis. But this is a a
00:31:40> 00:31:43:	narrative that's playing out across metro regions all over the
00:31:43> 00:31:46:	world. Next slide and the last point is I just
00:31:46> 00:31:48:	wanted to bring it back to this you know as
00:31:48> 00:31:50:	we transition and and I hand it over to to
00:31:50> 00:31:53:	
	David in Hong Kong I think the key thing in.
00:31:53> 00:31:56:	In in in Toronto what we've learned is that because
00:31:56> 00:32:00:	of the private land ownership model and we're retrofitting existing
00:32:00> 00:32:03:	an existing city region, we really we live and die
00:32:03> 00:32:06:	by the success of these of these partnerships and they
00:32:06> 00:32:09:	have to be private and public and we're we're on
00:32:09> 00:32:12:	a constant journey to build capacity and and do deals
00:32:13> 00:32:16:	that work for each individual site because every one of
00:32:16> 00:32:19:	these is different. So I'll just leave that as a
00:32:19> 00:32:19:	as a thought.
00:32:23> 00:32:23:	Thank you.
00:32:25> 00:32:28:	Thank you, Michael. Now we're gonna invite David.
00:32:30> 00:32:34:	Well, thank you, Ivan and thank you Michael for sharing
00:32:34> 00:32:38:	so much insight about the Toronto case. Hi, good afternoon
00:32:38> 00:32:41:	everybody. I'm David Gam from Hong Kong and you know
00:32:42> 00:32:46:	the previous speaker talk about the Toronto case and North
00:32:46> 00:32:49:	America. Now let's try to look at the case in
00:32:49> 00:32:49:	Asia.
00:32:50> 00:32:54:	So that I don't know. I may perhaps introduce a
00:32:54> 00:32:57:	little bit about Hong Kong is Hong Kong is a
00:32:57> 00:33:01:	city in Asia, very, very small city around 1000 square
00:33:01> 00:33:05:	kilometers in footprint. If there is a low, if you
00:33:05> 00:33:09:	draw the straight line from north to South and east
00:33:09> 00:33:13:	to West, you could travel within within an hour without
00:33:13> 00:33:17:	without any problem. Having said that because it's a very
00:33:17> 00:33:20:	populated, very highly congested.
00:33:20> 00:33:26:	City with the 7.5 million population. So the you know
00:33:26> 00:33:33:	space, communities and transportations are are crucial to the
	sustainability
00:33:34> 00:33:39:	of the cities and the and the economic development. Next
00:33:39> 00:33:44:	ines please. So I want to talk about the project
00:33:44> 00:33:46:	that we embark on the.
00:33:48> 00:33:52:	For quite sometimes called the Chang Kuan O as a
	e quite contentito canoa dio chang taan o do a

00:33:52> 00:33:55:	new town. So the MTR, MTR basically is a railway
00:33:56> 00:34:00:	company Okay we design and build and operate railway and
00:34:00> 00:34:06:	we support the the government by providing public transportation to
00:34:06> 00:34:10:	new towns and also to some of the very congested
00:34:10> 00:34:14:	area of that. Basically we are the the railway solution
00:34:14> 00:34:16:	provider in Hong Kong.
00:34:17> 00:34:20:	So the sometime ago in the in the early night
00:34:20> 00:34:24:	in the 1986, the government decided to build a new
00:34:25> 00:34:28:	town in junk. One know if you look at the
00:34:28> 00:34:32:	the top right corner you see the photo of junk
00:34:32> 00:34:36:	one know in the nineteen 1990s. During that time the
00:34:36> 00:34:41:	whole area probably don't have only a few thousands inhabitants.
00:34:41> 00:34:45:	So the government has the plan to develop a new
00:34:45> 00:34:45:	town.
00:34:46> 00:34:50:	Out there that is good for half a million people
00:34:50> 00:34:53:	and then you know the to to attract people moving
00:34:53> 00:34:57:	to the new town transportation is a priority. If you
00:34:57> 00:35:01:	if you look at those the the Township here this
00:35:01> 00:35:05:	is the the purple line is the That you know will
00:35:05> 00:35:09:	extend from the city to to facilitate the transportation in
00:35:09> 00:35:13:	this new town 6 new stations will be built and
00:35:13> 00:35:15:	have been built apparently.
00:35:15> 00:35:20:	And to accommodate half a million passengers, half a million
00:35:20> 00:35:24:	populations are living out there Please. So to build a new
00:35:24> 00:35:29:	town with the railway various, you're probably aware there is
00:35:29> 00:35:33:	always a very expensive piece of infrastructure. So they need
00:35:33> 00:35:36:	a lot of, you know, planning. So the start of
00:35:37> 00:35:41:	the planning as I said though that for Chanquanno being
00:35:41> 00:35:45:	a new town actually started in 1986 when the government.
00:35:45> 00:35:48:	When the government you know first come with the idea
00:35:48> 00:35:51:	of course I mean the idea have a lot of
00:35:51> 00:35:55:	consultation then until 1994 the government you know confirmed that
00:35:55> 00:35:58:	the railway will be built to connect junk one no
00:35:58> 00:36:00:	where the with our CBD and in Hong Kong the
00:36:00> 00:36:04:	process is mostly like that you know when the government
00:36:04> 00:36:07:	you know decide to build the railway that is the
00:36:07> 00:36:08:	line on the drawing board.
00:36:09> 00:36:12:	Then the MTR will take from there to the to
00:36:12> 00:36:16:	undergoing visibility study of the of that line on the

00:36:16> 00:36:21:	drawing board and also the location of stations together without
00:36:21> 00:36:25:	some other government or government departments. So the you see
00:36:25> 00:36:29:	that there between 1995 and 1996 we have to complete
00:36:29> 00:36:33:	the, we complete the feasibility study and we also confirmed
00:36:33> 00:36:37:	the the location of the stations and the depot.
00:36:38> 00:36:41:	One more part is even more crucial is that we
00:36:41> 00:36:46:	would have to confirm and agree the the construction cost
00:36:46> 00:36:50:	of the railway with the government so that the government
00:36:50> 00:36:55:	would consider whether there will be a direct a direct
00:36:55> 00:37:00:	equity injection or the government would consider that you know
00:37:00> 00:37:04:	MTR will be responsible for some of the TLD development
00:37:04> 00:37:05:	so that due to.
00:37:07> 00:37:11:	How do I say offset some of the construction costs?
00:37:11> 00:37:14:	As you can see, you know as you can imagine
00:37:14> 00:37:18:	that the land price with railway and without railway could
00:37:18> 00:37:22:	be completely different. So what we normally do is that
00:37:22> 00:37:25:	in Hong Kong when we when we look at the
00:37:25> 00:37:29:	land price, when we calculate the land price that Mtel
00:37:29> 00:37:32:	would use it as a Greenfield price first that is.
00:37:33> 00:37:36:	We assume that there's no railway built to that part
00:37:36> 00:37:39:	of the community. So we use that as a calculation
00:37:39> 00:37:42:	and in the formula to negotiate with the Hong Kong
00:37:42> 00:37:46:	government. OK. Of course when we build the railway, the
00:37:46> 00:37:49:	land price will go up. When we work with the
00:37:49> 00:37:52:	developer then we just cover, we we pay back the
00:37:52> 00:37:55:	government the land premium at the Greenfield price and then
00:37:55> 00:37:59:	the the upside we will share with developer or vice
00:37:59> 00:38:02:	versa. So that's the model that we've been using.
00:38:03> 00:38:06:	And as you can see that in 1996 we we
00:38:06> 00:38:12:	agreed a proposal and then between 1996 to 1999 there
00:38:12> 00:38:18:	will be there, there were detailed designs of the railway
00:38:18> 00:38:22:	and the and the detail all the planning for all
00:38:23> 00:38:29:	those community around stations and 2002 the railway open but.
00:38:29> 00:38:33:	For the for the low house park that is the
00:38:33> 00:38:37:	the tiny town. The area 86 was still ongoing for
00:38:37> 00:38:41:	development for for the bit of time and as you
00:38:41> 00:38:45:	can see that in the in the picture you see
00:38:45> 00:38:49:	that 123456 new communities so they they will be they

00:38:49> 00:38:54:	will be developed at a different stage and time next
00:38:54> 00:38:57:	place. So the to plan the new town we just
00:38:57> 00:38:59:	like a building block.
00:39:00> 00:39:03:	Okay. We first plan the station that is you see
00:39:03> 00:39:06:	that the red circle of the M tower stations. And
00:39:06> 00:39:10:	then we work with the government department, especially the town
00:39:10> 00:39:14:	planning Bureau to put forward their requirement of what are
00:39:14> 00:39:18:	the exact requirement for the Township of 1/2 a million,
00:39:18> 00:39:22:	half a million population for example. Where you said is
00:39:22> 00:39:26:	there any for the police stations, fire fire, fire brigade
00:39:26> 00:39:27:	stations, you know?
00:39:28> 00:39:32:	And also you know the healthcare for the elderly, hospital,
00:39:32> 00:39:36:	secondary school etcetera etcetera. So they these sort of these
00:39:36> 00:39:40:	sort of involve a lot of discussion with the different
00:39:40> 00:39:45:	government official trying to harmonize the scheme. Once the scheme
00:39:45> 00:39:48:	you know the footprint laid then we start into the
00:39:48> 00:39:52:	detail planning stage for this part of the of the
00:39:52> 00:39:54:	town next, next slide please.
00:39:56> 00:40:01:	And as you can see that the the left bottom
00:40:01> 00:40:06:	area 60, Area 86, we first of all build a
00:40:06> 00:40:13:	depot. A lot of people ask Intel always developed something
00:40:13> 00:40:17:	developed Tod on top of a depot. Why?
00:40:18> 00:40:21:	The reason is being very simple, because along the line
00:40:21> 00:40:25:	is mostly you pass through the lots of congested, very
00:40:25> 00:40:29:	populated or very already populated and congested area. You don't
00:40:29> 00:40:33:	you don't have any space out there. But at the
00:40:33> 00:40:36:	same time when you build a new You need a depot
00:40:36> 00:40:39:	for the train to park and for the train to
00:40:39> 00:40:43:	to get services and maintenance. So the depot will normally
00:40:43> 00:40:46:	become a vast piece of land out of the way.
00:40:46> 00:40:49:	But at the same time it provide a very good
00:40:49> 00:40:53:	connectivity to the main line that you could build something
00:40:53> 00:40:56:	on top of the depot land and most of the
00:40:56> 00:40:59:	time the depot land is the government control the land.
00:40:59> 00:41:03:	You don't, you don't need to worry about land acquisition.
00:41:03> 00:41:06:	So we first of all build area, build the depot
00:41:06> 00:41:09:	on the area 86. So make sure that the railway
00:41:09> 00:41:12:	can can start up and running and then the middle
00:41:12> 00:41:15:	one construction start between 2004 and 2020.

00:41:16> 00:41:20:	13 packages released. So this is a very interesting one
00:41:20> 00:41:24:	that when we developed the whole area, we don't develop
00:41:24> 00:41:28:	everything. One goal because number one, we don't want to
00:41:28> 00:41:31:	flood the market with the with the supply. At the
00:41:31> 00:41:35:	same time we don't want to create you know we
00:41:35> 00:41:40:	don't want to create construction sites everywhere that affect adjacent
00:41:40> 00:41:44:	pricing area as well as the adjacent residential area, so
00:41:44> 00:41:44:	the.
00:41:45> 00:41:48:	When we when we look at that, we develop the
00:41:48> 00:41:52:	site into 13 packages, every single one of the packages
00:41:52> 00:41:55:	we tended out to the market to make sure the
00:41:56> 00:42:00:	Mac to to ensure maximum market participation. So all the
00:42:00> 00:42:04:	developers are welcome to submit the tender Okay and then
00:42:04> 00:42:07:	you know of course we pick the tender that give
00:42:07> 00:42:11:	us the most beneficial outcome and as you can see
00:42:11> 00:42:13:	that out of the 13 package.
00:42:13> 00:42:19:	8 developers involved Next slides please. So the in Hong
00:42:19> 00:42:23:	Kong is as I said is a very congested 1.
00:42:23> 00:42:31:	So we always trying to segregate pedestrian and transportation regardless
00:42:31> 00:42:36:	whether there's a car or train or minibuses. So as
00:42:36> 00:42:40:	you see in the picture, we try to create.
00:42:41> 00:42:45:	A walkable distance that you know connect every part of
00:42:45> 00:42:47:	the of the low house park OK of the of
00:42:47> 00:42:51:	the development area. And we made always the the train
00:42:51> 00:42:55:	being at the lowest, lowest bottom. Of course we connect
00:42:55> 00:42:58:	to the depot, but at the same time connect to
00:42:58> 00:43:02:	the to the interchange with buses, taxi and then the
00:43:02> 00:43:06:	up, the up the layer upper than the railway. Normally
00:43:06> 00:43:09:	we use for the car park to create a bit
00:43:09> 00:43:10:	of the pocket ride.
00:43:10> 00:43:14:	And then above the car park there will be, there
00:43:14> 00:43:18:	will be other like shopping malls and then and and
00:43:18> 00:43:22:	so on Please this is another photo that that is that
00:43:22> 00:43:27:	another picture that's show you you know the the vertical
00:43:27> 00:43:30:	development of the of the area as I said you
00:43:30> 00:43:34:	know the lowest part that the lowest point is being
00:43:34> 00:43:39:	the railway that connect with the nearby bus terminus.
00:43:39> 00:43:43:	And the interchange including taxi and then one layer above
00:43:43> 00:43:46:	that is going to be the car park and then
00:43:46> 00:43:50:	there will be escalated shopping malls and then through shopping

00:43:50> 00:43:55:	malls connect to all fifty stories of residential and commercial
00:43:55> 00:43:56:	buildings next lines.
00:43:59> 00:44:02:	And in in Hong Kong, we use to increase the
00:44:02> 00:44:07:	the convenient increase, increase the convenient and also the experience
00:44:07> 00:44:11:	of the of the residents. We adopt the Otopus card
00:44:11> 00:44:15:	which is similar to the Crystal Card in Toronto. The
00:44:15> 00:44:19:	difference is that you know the Otopus card can be
00:44:19> 00:44:23:	used for different mode of public transportation, but at the
00:44:23> 00:44:27:	same time the Otopus card can be used for retail.
00:44:27> 00:44:31:	Like you can, you can pay, you can pay your
00:44:31> 00:44:35:	grocery in the supermarket and you could even use the
00:44:35> 00:44:39:	card to assess a Public Library, a swimming pool and
00:44:39> 00:44:43:	even as an access control card to your own apartment
00:44:43> 00:44:48:	through the through the clubhouse, etcetera etcetera. That create a
00:44:48> 00:44:53:	very convenient and also very, very seamless and good experience
00:44:53> 00:44:56:	to our to our customers. Next one please.
00:44:58> 00:45:02:	So what we what we're saying that is that OK
00:45:02> 00:45:05:	in Hong Kong we adopt A very different approach. I
00:45:05> 00:45:10:	think I think the the, the the biggest difference with
00:45:10> 00:45:13:	the Toronto case is that in Hong Kong most of
00:45:13> 00:45:17:	the lands belong to the government. So the government is
00:45:17> 00:45:21:	easy to to manipulate how the land could be used
00:45:21> 00:45:24:	and within trial being the railway operator.
00:45:25> 00:45:28:	To plan for the Township that create another level of
00:45:29> 00:45:33:	expertise and convenient by doing so that create good experience
00:45:33> 00:45:36:	to the to the passenger, to the passenger and customers.
00:45:36> 00:45:39:	Bear in mind that NPR itself is not the developer,
00:45:40> 00:45:42:	so we don't have a conflict of interest in a
00:45:42> 00:45:46:	way that we only master planning what the future looks
00:45:46> 00:45:49:	like and every single package we still go down to
00:45:49> 00:45:50:	the to the market.
00:45:51> 00:45:54:	OK to to give all the developers a good try
00:45:54> 00:45:58:	just like the government you know doing doing ocean of
00:45:58> 00:46:02:	the land in the in the normal scenario the the
00:46:02> 00:46:06:	appealing part to the to the developer is that because
00:46:06> 00:46:11:	when MTL planned the railway together with the government MTL
00:46:11> 00:46:15:	already accommodate the the future need that the developer would

00:46:15> 00:46:18:	would be considering for example.
00:46:18> 00:46:22:	If you're building something on top of the stations, we
00:46:22> 00:46:25:	already calculate all those parameter and then we build the
00:46:25> 00:46:28:	we build the slab just like what you see in
00:46:28> 00:46:31:	the depot, right? We have the whole depot enclosed and
00:46:31> 00:46:34:	then for the future building sitting on top. So the
00:46:34> 00:46:37:	developer do not need to worry about the interface with
00:46:37> 00:46:38:	the railway too much.
00:46:39> 00:46:42:	Normally the developer in other part of the world when
00:46:42> 00:46:45:	when we have a conversation where they always see that
00:46:45> 00:46:49:	the the collaboration with the railway company as a as
00:46:49> 00:46:52:	a second priority if not the third because because they
00:46:52> 00:46:56:	buried about the interface with the railway contamination program time
00:46:56> 00:46:59:	all cost them money and uncertainty and that's why they
00:46:59> 00:47:02:	are not they are not the interest of that. I
00:47:02> 00:47:05:	mean they they are normally they just not their first
00:47:05> 00:47:06:	priority.
00:47:06> 00:47:10:	With MTR, MTR to able to wipe away all those
00:47:10> 00:47:14:	uncertainty that give the developer a more certainty on the
00:47:14> 00:47:17:	program and that's mean cash flow and that's why I
00:47:18> 00:47:21:	would why the developer and more prefer to to to
00:47:21> 00:47:25:	to participate in such a scheme. And as you could
00:47:25> 00:47:28:	imagine that and I pointed out pointed out in the
00:47:28> 00:47:32:	early stage that the rail, the price of land price
00:47:32> 00:47:34:	without railway and railway railway.
00:47:35> 00:47:38:	Are completely different. So the developer is very keen to
00:47:38> 00:47:41:	because MTL build is convenient and then for the for
00:47:41> 00:47:44:	the building for the development to sit on top that
00:47:44> 00:47:47:	would increase the property value. That's me. They could, they
00:47:47> 00:47:50:	could able to have a Better Business case. And of
00:47:50> 00:47:53:	course I mean from the government point of view, government
00:47:53> 00:47:56:	is also happy with this with the scheme because ones
00:47:56> 00:47:59:	that that's developed and government do not need to put
00:47:59> 00:48:02:	money up front for the construction cost of the railway.
00:48:03> 00:48:06:	And at the same time when the land sale Okay
00:48:07> 00:48:11:	MTL will will settle the refill refill land premium
00:48:11> 00:48:17:	with the government those the government get get additional money
00:48:17> 00:48:21:	by by creating value on the land Next please. So
00:48:21> 00:48:25:	this is a snapshot of the whole Hong Kong in

00:48:25> 00:48:29:	terms of railway as you can see we we have
00:48:29> 00:48:31:	99 stations and depots.
00:48:32> 00:48:36:	So over over almost over half of them, we have
00:48:36> 00:48:41:	the Tod development. So we start the Tod, Tod development
00:48:41> 00:48:45:	scenes since we started in the early 70s and 80s
00:48:45> 00:48:49:	till now and it's a very robust model. We will
00:48:49> 00:48:53:	continue to be using that to support the government to
00:48:53> 00:48:57:	to create new towns, but at the same time we
00:48:57> 00:49:00:	also create value and also new.
00:49:00> 00:49:05:	Experience to allow your dearest residents and passengers and customers,
00:49:05> 00:49:08:	that's the best I think is the end of my
00:49:08> 00:49:09:	presentation. Thank you.
00:49:20> 00:49:25:	Great. Really super interesting presentation. Thank you, Michael. Thank you,
00:49:25> 00:49:26:	David.
00:49:26> 00:49:29:	I'd invite any of the attendees to please submit any
00:49:30> 00:49:33:	questions, but I'll I'll start off the conversation with one
00:49:33> 00:49:37:	one word that I heard. I'm looking for commonalities because
00:49:37> 00:49:40:	in some respects I'm sitting here in San Francisco, which
00:49:40> 00:49:43:	you know, famous for high quality of life, but also
00:49:43> 00:49:48:	unfortunately famous for really, really challenging transportation and land use
00:49:48> 00:49:51:	patterns right now that, you know, our, our, our region
00:49:51> 00:49:53:	is trying to struggle through it.
00:49:54> 00:49:57:	11 concept that I heard both of you mentioned in,
00:49:57> 00:50:00:	in cities that are as different from each other as
00:50:00> 00:50:04:	Hong Kong is from Toronto, was this idea about certainty
00:50:04> 00:50:08:	and longterm, longterm planning that led to some really interesting
00:50:08> 00:50:11:	and you know, good results in some respects. So I
00:50:11> 00:50:14:	wonder if you could talk about, you know, back to
00:50:14> 00:50:17:	this idea of the Jim Curtis Institute is that we're
00:50:17> 00:50:21:	trying to bring together the real estate dialogues and the
00:50:21> 00:50:22:	transportation dialogues.
00:50:23> 00:50:28:	How in both your cities have that combined dialogue help
00:50:28> 00:50:33:	to attribute to the sense of certainty around these ideas?
00:50:33> 00:50:36:	So I know that's a lot in that question, but
00:50:36> 00:50:40:	I wonder if you could begin by talking about that.
00:50:40> 00:50:44:	You know, things like a lot of cities right now
00:50:44> 00:50:47:	are are suffering or challenged by.
00:50:49> 00:50:53:	Changing commute patterns. And I wonder if if you're observing

00:50:53> 00:50:57:	anything like that that's affecting this question about bringing the
00:50:57> 00:51:02:	real estate industry and transportation industry into dialogue with each
00:51:02> 00:51:05:	other so that we can create some certainty around where
00:51:05> 00:51:07:	we're going with our investments.
00:51:10> 00:51:14:	Yeah, I I maybe maybe from from me. I think
00:51:14> 00:51:16:	that you know the to.
00:51:17> 00:51:22:	To attract private developer to participate you have to you
00:51:22> 00:51:28:	have to take one certain responsibility as a government agency
00:51:28> 00:51:32:	that that what exactly that you want from the property
00:51:32> 00:51:37:	developers and and and from the problem developers angles what
00:51:37> 00:51:41:	you normally don't want to do Okay for example.
00:51:42> 00:51:45:	Even if a developer, you know, their job is just
00:51:46> 00:51:49:	to build several blocks of towers and then when they
00:51:49> 00:51:53:	built the the roads around those area will get congested,
00:51:53> 00:51:58:	right? So who should be responsible for resolving those congestion,
00:51:58> 00:52:02:	The predicted congestion so to speak. OK, and also if
00:52:02> 00:52:05:	you build 3 or 4 towers out there, so who
00:52:05> 00:52:08:	will take the, who should take the responsibility?
00:52:09> 00:52:13:	To build for example, the fire, fire stations out there
00:52:13> 00:52:17:	and who's gonna pay, who's gonna pay for that. So,
00:52:17> 00:52:20:	so in in our case, it's quite easy, very crystal
00:52:20> 00:52:24:	clear that we don't want the developers to do more,
00:52:24> 00:52:27:	but we want them to have them to have the
00:52:27> 00:52:32:	certainty, the certainty in the sense that all those peripheral
00:52:32> 00:52:35:	components are being considered and look after.
00:52:36> 00:52:38:	And no one is, no one is trying to shift
00:52:38> 00:52:42:	the shift the responsibility to others. Okay for example, as
00:52:42> 00:52:44:	I said, you know the one of the one of
00:52:44> 00:52:47:	the concern of the developers to building something on the
00:52:47> 00:52:51:	debt police, said Okay. If you building something on top
00:52:51> 00:52:54:	of that police that how does it impact railway operation.
00:52:54> 00:52:57:	Okay with the railway operation one day saying that OK
00:52:57> 00:53:00:	stop construction because you're causing some.
00:53:01> 00:53:04:	Some problem to the railway operations, I have to stop
00:53:05> 00:53:09:	your construction for for the couple of weeks for example.
00:53:09> 00:53:12:	So that is something that we we have to delineate
00:53:12> 00:53:16:	it and make sure that we find the right control.
00:53:16> 00:53:19:	These are the and also be Also bear in mind

00:53:19> 00:53:23:	that these are the control. These are the parameters that
00:53:23> 00:53:27:	normally the railway developers do not have the expertise to
00:53:27> 00:53:29:	to implement control right.
00:53:30> 00:53:34:	So that sort of responsibility, it's got to be crystal
00:53:34> 00:53:38:	clear and well, well planned ahead and be taken care
00:53:38> 00:53:38:	of.
00:53:41> 00:53:44:	I'll make it, yeah. I think, I mean, given that
00:53:44> 00:53:48:	we've almost sort of restarted our journey to being a
00:53:48> 00:53:52:	transit oriented region back in the middle of the early
00:53:52> 00:53:54:	2000s, the first decade we're still.
00:53:55> 00:53:59:	Learning and I think the initial goal from government, it's
00:53:59> 00:54:02:	interesting. It parallels this whole, you know, commitment to P
00:54:03> 00:54:06:	like I'll call it traditional P3, right, full risk transfer
00:54:06> 00:54:10:	and it's interesting in parallel to the linear light rail
00:54:10> 00:54:13:	program collapse of traditional P threes, you can't transfer all
00:54:14> 00:54:16:	the risk. The industry took on too much risk in
00:54:16> 00:54:17:	the same way.
00:54:18> 00:54:20:	There was an enthusiasm I think on the part of
00:54:20> 00:54:23:	government to transfer all the risk of delivery like we
00:54:23> 00:54:28:	were having live conversations, pretty advanced conversations about developers who
00:54:28> 00:54:31:	have never built railways taking on responsibility for delivering railway
00:54:32> 00:54:35:	infrastructure, which in hindsight is totally insane. So we the
00:54:35> 00:54:38:	pendulum is swinging around still, but it's landing David, pretty
00:54:38> 00:54:41:	much where you described it, which is you give, you
00:54:41> 00:54:44:	know, let developers develop what they can build.
00:54:44> 00:54:47:	And then let make sure the government is up for
00:54:47> 00:54:50:	developing the things that they should be good at which
00:54:51> 00:54:54:	is core railway infrastructure and the key thing I think
00:54:54> 00:54:57:	on the land use and the visioning and the good
00:54:57> 00:55:00:	planning side. So aside from just railway infrastructure is you
00:55:00> 00:55:03:	know David to your point where who takes on the
00:55:03> 00:55:07:	responsibility for you know major intersection redesign or you know
00:55:07> 00:55:10:	interfacing. And I think I think that's been a climb
00:55:10> 00:55:13:	up for the real estate industry if I can be
00:55:13> 00:55:14:	really blunt.
00:55:14> 00:55:18:	That you know it's not enough, particularly if you have
00:55:18> 00:55:21:	a large project, to think about just within your boundaries.
00:55:21> 00:55:25:	And you can actually, by being proactive, create additional

	value
00:55:25> 00:55:29:	for yourself in being visionary and thinking beyond your
	borders
00:55:29> 00:55:32:	and how you get back to the community and how
00:55:32> 00:55:35:	does your site tie into the existing community and I
00:55:35> 00:55:36:	think.
00:55:36> 00:55:39:	I mean we have developed, we have some very visionary
00:55:39> 00:55:42:	developers, we've got some great developers and we have developers
00:55:42> 00:55:44:	who just say look I I just want to build
00:55:44> 00:55:47:	my building and I want to stay within my plot
00:55:47> 00:55:49:	and that's fine too. But on the bigger Tod sites
00:55:49> 00:55:52:	there, there I think in the Toronto model there is
00:55:52> 00:55:55:	basically a demand for asking developers to think a little
00:55:55> 00:55:58:	bit bigger and more visionary about you know what are
00:55:58> 00:56:01:	they doing for their, for their neighborhood and those that,
00:56:01> 00:56:03:	that that I mean one can say I want to
00:56:03> 00:56:04:	do it but old school.
00:56:05> 00:56:08:	I think they're going to run into some more barriers
00:56:08> 00:56:10:	around permitting and approvals.
00:56:12> 00:56:15:	We have a question from the audience that is a
00:56:16> 00:56:20:	very specific question. I'll state the question but then I
00:56:20> 00:56:23:	might layer on top of it maybe a an overarching
00:56:23> 00:56:26:	question that I have. So Miguel Gomez from LA is
00:56:26> 00:56:30:	asking about transit systems that have are suffering from.
00:56:31> 00:56:34:	A lot of issues that normally we we associate with
00:56:34> 00:56:38:	sort of neighborhoods that are are sort of under functioning
00:56:38> 00:56:43:	like homelessness and criminal behavior. And unfortunately now that that
00:56:43> 00:56:46:	has become an issue both for land use, people involved
00:56:46> 00:56:50:	in land use. So developers who are overseeing areas or
00:56:50> 00:56:54:	governments that are overseeing land use, but now the transit
00:56:54> 00:56:57:	agencies are suffering from that as well I guess.
00:56:57> 00:57:01:	It would be interesting to hear both of you address
00:57:01> 00:57:04:	that specific question if that's an issue in your cities
00:57:04> 00:57:08:	and then also maybe expand that to assuming we get
00:57:08> 00:57:12:	the real estate industry and the transportation industry together on
00:57:12> 00:57:16:	the same page in support of this idea about creating
00:57:16> 00:57:19:	complete communities in in in that align with our transit
00:57:19> 00:57:20:	investments.
00:57:21> 00:57:24:	How do you see those dialogues? Are there platforms for

00:57:24> 00:57:28:	them to be addressing commonalities or sort of agreeing on
00:57:28> 00:57:32:	what the priorities are, like creating safe neighborhoods and safe
00:57:32> 00:57:33:	transit systems?
00:57:36> 00:57:39:	I'll take a shot maybe. And then David, you go,
00:57:39> 00:57:41:	wow, what a huge question, I think.
00:57:42> 00:57:45:	I think first of all platforms like ULI are just
00:57:45> 00:57:47:	the best thing ever because we're supposed to bring in
00:57:47> 00:57:52:	multidisciplinary conversations and get you know different practitioners talking to
00:57:52> 00:57:55:	different practitioners and you know Tod and complete communities. I
00:57:55> 00:57:58:	mean that's something that everybody should be able to agree
00:57:58> 00:58:00:	on the how do you deliver. It's you know an
00:58:00> 00:58:04:	implementation question. It is challenging jurisdiction to jurisdiction. But I
00:58:04> 00:58:06:	think the the potential the the, the the push and
00:58:07> 00:58:09:	pull is of that question is you know if you're
00:58:09> 00:58:11:	a transit agency and you've got crime.
00:58:11> 00:58:14:	On your system and it's growing because of a whole
00:58:14> 00:58:17:	bunch of factors you want to focus on dealing with
00:58:17> 00:58:19:	the crime and that in itself can be an all
00:58:19> 00:58:22:	consumptive issue, especially when you have you know media and
00:58:22> 00:58:25:	we've had we've we've certainly had that in Toronto. On
00:58:25> 00:58:28:	the other hand the real way to tackle that problem
00:58:28> 00:58:31:	is to deal with it much more holistically and talk
00:58:31> 00:58:34:	about community services and help and all that kind of
00:58:34> 00:58:37:	stuff. But I think the real the realistic bandwidth.
00:58:37> 00:58:40:	Particularly in trade agencies as they recover from COVID or
00:58:41> 00:58:43:	try to recover from COVID is how can they productively
00:58:43> 00:58:46:	and I mean if they could productively engage in that
00:58:46> 00:58:50:	bigger macro discussion about you know complete communities and healthy
00:58:50> 00:58:52:	and all that kind of stuff, then you know good
00:58:52> 00:58:55:	on them. But I think the trick is day-to-day how
00:58:55> 00:58:57:	do you, how do you create this, how can they
00:58:57> 00:59:00:	create the space to have that conversation and help their
00:59:00> 00:59:04:	stakeholders and their shareholders or their owners or government, government
00:59:04> 00:59:06:	overseers or whoever their masters are?
00:59:07> 00:59:10:	D to the other side, which is not, hey, I
00:59:10> 00:59:13:	just want to avoid, you know, another, you know, bad

00:59:13> 00:59:17:	avala of nowa apvarage of arims in the payt week
	cycle of news coverage of crime in the next week.
00:59:20> 00:59:21:	Yeah, David, any it's hard.
00:59:21> 00:59:25:	Additional thoughts. Yes is a is a very tricky is
00:59:25> 00:59:29:	a good question and a tricky tricky one. And of
00:59:29> 00:59:32:	course I mean from the railway railway.
00:59:33> 00:59:38:	Operation perspective you know the the safe and crime free
00:59:38> 00:59:43:	environment is one of the most critical objective of railway
00:59:43> 00:59:48:	operation. So the that links to you know crime fighting,
00:59:48> 00:59:53:	how the Transit Authority work with the the police and
00:59:53> 00:59:57:	the laws enforcement, what was how they line up together
00:59:57> 01:00:00:	to undergoing certain campaign.
01:00:01> 01:00:03:	And also what sort of how they set up the
01:00:03> 01:00:07:	securities issues is a is a concern. I mean that
01:00:07> 01:00:11:	that's a different city would have a different consideration and
01:00:11> 01:00:13:	in Hong Kong you know it is we are, we
01:00:13> 01:00:16:	are highly dense city but it is but at the
01:00:16> 01:00:19:	same time we are one of the safest city in
01:00:19> 01:00:22:	the world. We don't have this sort of you know
01:00:22> 01:00:25:	crime issues at the railway but at the same time
01:00:25> 01:00:28:	you know the Hong Kong SAR government, they have a
01:00:28> 01:00:30:	special police force.
01:00:30> 01:00:35:	Especially on railway, OK, look after railway so to speak.
01:00:35> 01:00:39:	So they understand all the railway features. They run drills
01:00:39> 01:00:43:	with the railway operators from time to time so that
01:00:43> 01:00:48:	when crimes happen both the station operator or both the
01:00:48> 01:00:52:	transit operator and the and the laws and the and
01:00:52> 01:00:56:	the police, they could react fairly quickly. So. So that's
01:00:56> 01:01:00:	probably one of the many ways that that consider.
01:01:01> 01:01:04:	And shifting gears a bit, we have a question from
01:01:04> 01:01:08:	Chicago from David. And Chicago it's sort of getting at
01:01:08> 01:01:12:	Michael you had mentioned the sort of shift in politics
01:01:12> 01:01:16:	having affected you know sort of the trajectory of Toronto's
01:01:16> 01:01:20:	journey in in commitment to good transportation planning and Tod
01:01:20> 01:01:23:	planning. I think I guess the the question here is
01:01:23> 01:01:26:	like how can elected officials you know so even if
01:01:26> 01:01:28:	the even if the real estate.
01:01:29> 01:01:32:	Industry and transportation authorities agree on a Tod plan that's
01:01:32> 01:01:35:	very sound and addresses it. How what can we do
01:01:35> 01:01:39:	about the disconnect between political timelines and these
	infrastructure delivery

01:01:39> 01:01:41:	timelines? You know, I think it has a lot to
01:01:41> 01:01:44:	do with maybe winning the winning the support of the
01:01:44> 01:01:47:	public so that, you know, the voters are sending a
01:01:47> 01:01:50:	message to the politicians. But it's a chicken and egg.
01:01:50> 01:01:50:	l wonder if.
01:01:51> 01:01:54:	Either of you could talk about any lessons learned or
01:01:54> 01:01:58:	best practices that you've seen in regions that are able
01:01:58> 01:02:00:	to overcome this challenge.
01:02:02> 01:02:06:	Yeah, good question. You're right. In this longterm infrastructure challenge
01:02:06> 01:02:09:	and shortterm political cycles and demanded voters, I think I'm
01:02:09> 01:02:12:	quick wins you got to, you got to while you're
01:02:12> 01:02:16:	building the bigger infrastructure plan and delivering things to take
01:02:16> 01:02:17:	you know 8/10/12 years.
01:02:18> 01:02:21:	Or longer. You have to be delivering quick wins and
01:02:21> 01:02:26:	that means things like service improvement and I think that
01:02:26> 01:02:30:	can be simple things like frequency or tweaking fares or
01:02:30> 01:02:33:	adding first mile, last mile, kind of like you can
01:02:34> 01:02:37:	introduce a bike plan or a bike share system. I
01:02:37> 01:02:41:	mean these are things that can happen in a shorter
01:02:41> 01:02:43:	period of time and hopefully.
01:02:43> 01:02:46:	You know, that fills out the demand while you can
01:02:46> 01:02:49:	also talk about all the big visionary longterm infrastructure backbone
01:02:49> 01:02:52:	kind of stuff that's being built as well. I think
01:02:52> 01:02:55:	it's interesting in Toronto, I think we started back in
01:02:55> 01:02:57:	2000 and sort of 678, you know, it was all
01:02:57> 01:03:00:	about congestion and you know, the roads were full and
01:03:00> 01:03:03:	now, I mean our congestion hasn't gotten better, it's gotten
01:03:03> 01:03:06:	worse. I mean we have tons of construction because we're
01:03:06> 01:03:09:	building lots of transit and we're building lots of housing
01:03:09> 01:03:09:	and dense.
01:03:10> 01:03:13:	Area. So the road network is, is even more congested
01:03:13> 01:03:16:	but I think that's only pushed people into we need
01:03:16> 01:03:20:	alternate solutions and it's interesting now I mean we've had
01:03:20> 01:03:23:	changes in governments now and I think the transit and
01:03:23> 01:03:26:	the Tod kind of mantra if I can call it
01:03:26> 01:03:29:	that or policy consensus is I think it's for the
01:03:29> 01:03:32:	most. I mean there's there's cracks here and there but
01:03:32> 01:03:35:	it is stronger perhaps than it's ever been before because
01:03:35> 01:03:36:	now that.

01:03:36> 01:03:39:	Now that it's part of the housing solution, so we
01:03:39> 01:03:42:	need housing will the only way that you can deliver
01:03:42> 01:03:45:	housing that is realistic and also you know meets the
01:03:45> 01:03:49:	needs of most you know families or households given that
01:03:49> 01:03:52:	the transportation system is is not working if you're if
01:03:52> 01:03:54:	you're a single occupant vehicle.
01:03:55> 01:03:58:	Has led to this this drive for this complete communities.
01:03:58> 01:04:00:	Now we're in the process of learning how do you
01:04:00> 01:04:04:	create complete communities and I love David's presentation because he
01:04:04> 01:04:06:	described an example of a complete community you know master
01:04:07> 01:04:09:	plan phase round a train station. Great. But I yeah
01:04:09> 01:04:12:	hopefully that's an that's an answer I think. I think
01:04:12> 01:04:14:	you got to you got to deliver quick wins and
01:04:14> 01:04:16:	long wins and then and then everyone's got to there's
01:04:16> 01:04:18:	got to be sort of a degree of consensus and
01:04:19> 01:04:22:	different politicians will have different spins on you know what
01:04:22> 01:04:23:	matters for their.
01:04:24> 01:04:27:	You know, their constituents, but there's got to be a
01:04:27> 01:04:30:	dominant kind of theme and I think in Toronto has
01:04:30> 01:04:34:	shifted from being congestion, you know, mitigation to housing and
01:04:34> 01:04:37:	community building. But that's that's not to say that's the
01:04:37> 01:04:40:	way that that that's what should be in Chicago or
01:04:40> 01:04:41:	somewhere else.
01:04:43> 01:04:48:	Right, David, obviously a very different situation in Hong Kong,
01:04:48> 01:04:52:	but you've certainly been going through a lot of, you
01:04:52> 01:04:53:	know sort of.
01:04:54> 01:05:00:	Political evolution, have you seen any sort of alignment challenges
01:05:00> 01:05:04:	between sort of your long range plan and you know
01:05:04> 01:05:08:	maybe a slower shift in in political evolution than in
01:05:09> 01:05:13:	North American cities which tends to be a much quicker
01:05:13> 01:05:17:	sign wave, but any any thoughts from you Well.
01:05:17> 01:05:21:	The mean we we relatively, politically we relatively and politically
01:05:21> 01:05:23:	stable. Be honest.
01:05:23> 01:05:27:	Having said that, you know in a different time you
01:05:27> 01:05:30:	may have a shift of of a demand For example
01:05:30> 01:05:34:	when you face a similar situation like Toronto that housing
01:05:34> 01:05:38:	become getting getting more and more a serious issue. So

01:05:38> 01:05:42:	if you look at the trunk one extension, the trunk
01:05:42> 01:05:45:	one case that I showed you that that would take
01:05:46> 01:05:48:	quite some time to develop right.
01:05:49> 01:05:51:	And we develop as I said you know 13 packages
01:05:51> 01:05:54:	over the timeline of 15 years. But for today is
01:05:54> 01:05:57:	a project or some of the projects that are still
01:05:57> 01:06:02:	undergoing the government may facing a tremendous
	demand on housing
01:06:02> 01:06:05:	and public housing or in Toronto they said it's affordable
01:06:05> 01:06:08:	housing. So we have a similar issue. So we may
01:06:08> 01:06:11:	would we may have to work with the government to
01:06:11> 01:06:12:	tumble.
01:06:12> 01:06:16:	Some of the scheme that we already have, for example
01:06:16> 01:06:19:	some of the GFA that we cause for commercial use
01:06:19> 01:06:24:	or residential use, we may have to readjust for example,
01:06:24> 01:06:28:	OK, shall we do more residential 1st and then commercial
01:06:28> 01:06:31:	later or vice versa. So this is something that that
01:06:32> 01:06:35:	we we would we would have that sort of discussion
01:06:35> 01:06:38:	with the government on the case by case to to
01:06:38> 01:06:40:	support the government.
01:06:41> 01:06:44:	To meet some of those demands and you know during
01:06:44> 01:06:47:	the cover time for example you know the the property
01:06:48> 01:06:51:	market has not we're not being performing so are we
01:06:51> 01:06:54:	still continue to build at the pace that we we
01:06:54> 01:06:57:	programmed or we ship So so these are the these
01:06:57> 01:07:00:	are the things that that we we need to consider
01:07:00> 01:07:03:	from time to time but looking as a as a
01:07:03> 01:07:07:	picture as a as a building ATOTOC whole concept
	perspective
01:07:07> 01:07:09:	we shouldn't ship from there.
01:07:10> 01:07:14:	Yeah, great. Well, both of you. You know, I think
01:07:14> 01:07:18:	just looping back to I, I think what's really interesting
01:07:18> 01:07:22:	is both of you mentioned in passing this idea about
01:07:22> 01:07:26:	is not a binary discussion about you know complete communities
01:07:26> 01:07:30:	are not created just by either cars or or rail.
01:07:30> 01:07:33:	We spent a lot of time talking about those two
01:07:33> 01:07:37:	aspects. I mean there is an environmental, you know.
01:07:38> 01:07:41:	Economic health issues about trying to get people out of
01:07:41> 01:07:45:	private cars and into other transport options. But I think
01:07:45> 01:07:48:	it would be worth spending a little bit of time
01:07:48> 01:07:51:	on how are you seeing both. You mentioned it in
01:07:51> 01:07:55:	passing, but maybe you could hit on it more specifically

01:07:55> 01:07:59:	about other modes of transportation that will help help get
01:07:59> 01:08:02:	our, you know, get us out of the challenge of
01:08:02> 01:08:03:	of just cars or trains.
01:08:04> 01:08:07:	For a lot of reasons, I think there's amazing solutions
01:08:07> 01:08:11:	coming up with new, new technologies. I was even interested,
01:08:11> 01:08:15:	David, I hadn't thought about this before, but you mentioned
01:08:15> 01:08:18:	fintech like the Octopus card as being sort of a
01:08:18> 01:08:22:	very tactical, practical way of blurring the lines between people's
01:08:22> 01:08:25:	transportation decisions and their lifestyle.
01:08:26> 01:08:28:	And I know you know the Bay Area has been
01:08:28> 01:08:31:	learning a lot from Metrolinx. You know Bay Area has
01:08:31> 01:08:35:	26 different transit agencies and that causes a lot of
01:08:35> 01:08:38:	confusion to the user. I wonder if you could talk
01:08:38> 01:08:41:	about how that sort of seamless approach to the the
01:08:41> 01:08:45:	the user experience is driving maybe new transportation modes that
01:08:45> 01:08:48:	you're seeing more of like maybe you could talk about
01:08:48> 01:08:51:	our buses playing a bigger role in your dialogues or?
01:08:52> 01:08:56:	Last mile, first mile solutions like walking or cycling and
01:08:56> 01:08:58:	either of you talk about those aspects.
01:08:59> 01:09:04:	Yes, thank you. Of course. I mean, as I always
01:09:04> 01:09:08:	say that Hong Kong is a very small city that
01:09:08> 01:09:13:	the 90% of our trips are facilitated by public transportation
01:09:13> 01:09:19:	like rail, railway, buses, taxi, many minibuses, ferry, etcetera.
01:09:20> 01:09:24:	So that car ownership in Hong Kong is less than
01:09:24> 01:09:28:	10% Okay in order to maintain the momentum to give
01:09:28> 01:09:31:	people the pool factor to use less car but use
01:09:31> 01:09:36:	more public transportation in Hong Kong, we have the Octopus
01:09:36> 01:09:41:	car system, just basically the payment system or a ticket
01:09:41> 01:09:45:	system. But it's not just restrictive to to rail to
01:09:45> 01:09:47:	to use in the railway.
01:09:47> 01:09:50:	Auto was actually developed by MTR you know way back
01:09:51> 01:09:53:	you know in the nine in the 90s. But we
01:09:53> 01:09:57:	invite all the other public transport late transport operator to
01:09:57> 01:10:00:	to the to support. And actually we we spin off
01:10:00> 01:10:03:	the set of a new company that's that is called
01:10:03> 01:10:08:	the Otopus and with the different share shareholders and ownerships
01:10:08> 01:10:12:	from different operators, railway operators. So by doing so number

01:10:12> 01:10:15:	one we we create we create a 1 ticket for
01:10:15> 01:10:16:	all public transport.
01:10:16> 01:10:21:	This is #1 and #2. Through this platform we can
01:10:21> 01:10:26:	create multi model discount that is a great pool factor
01:10:26> 01:10:32:	to attract people to use more public transport than cars.
01:10:33> 01:10:35:	l mean if you if you can see that if
01:10:35> 01:10:38:	the more you use the car you change a multi
01:10:38> 01:10:40:	model from from A to B&B to C and
01:10:41> 01:10:44:	then you you enjoy the savings and then you could
01:10:44> 01:10:47:	use the savings and points for example to to go
01:10:47> 01:10:50:	to the McDonald, to go to the McDonald to have
01:10:50> 01:10:53:	a cup of coffee or even go to 7:11 to
01:10:53> 01:10:56:	buy some grocery. So people people think that this is
01:10:56> 01:10:59:	this is a, this is a great way and gradually
01:10:59> 01:11:02:	you shift the people people that desire.
01:11:02> 01:11:07:	To use more and more public public transportation and multimodal
01:11:07> 01:11:11:	change by creating another level of convenience to them and
01:11:11> 01:11:14:	as I as I mentioned that I will be using
01:11:14> 01:11:18:	using the card you can even access to Public Library,
01:11:18> 01:11:21:	public swimming, swimming pool and you can do with the
01:11:22> 01:11:24:	card. You can do a lot of things as as
01:11:24> 01:11:28:	your own buildings access card or even settle car park,
01:11:28> 01:11:29:	car park payment.
01:11:30> 01:11:33:	And then then the more you you you have that
01:11:33> 01:11:37:	sort of you know critical mass build up and then
01:11:37> 01:11:40:	people say that OK, wow, OK. If of course I
01:11:40> 01:11:44:	mean A to B, it's always public transportation may not
01:11:44> 01:11:47:	be able to fulfill the entire A to B every
01:11:47> 01:11:51:	time and people will still need to drive, but they
01:11:51> 01:11:54:	would they would prefer to drive to the nearest car
01:11:54> 01:11:58:	park and then switch mode. OK and enjoy much more
01:11:58> 01:12:00:	reliable and convenient.
01:12:00> 01:12:03:	But also you know earn points and for for their
01:12:03> 01:12:05:	other use I think, I think that this is a,
01:12:06> 01:12:08:	this is a very interesting and and that has been
01:12:08> 01:12:11:	very successful in Hong Kong and not just even in
01:12:11> 01:12:13:	Hong Kong, even Japan.
01:12:16> 01:12:19:	I'm going to add just a little bit of David
01:12:19> 01:12:21:	said, I think David's hit the nail on the head.
01:12:21> 01:12:23:	I mean some of us sitting in North America might
01:12:23> 01:12:26:	go my God, 10%, you know, non 10% auto mode

01:12:26> 01:12:28:	share like that seems like you know Mars, how do
01:12:28> 01:12:29:	we ever get there?
01:12:30> 01:12:33:	But the point is everything that David just said, if
01:12:33> 01:12:36:	you apply it, I think you know pricing, getting the
01:12:37> 01:12:40:	right pricing, getting making it easy for people to pay,
01:12:40> 01:12:44:	lowering the friction to using other services, that stuff all
01:12:44> 01:12:47:	applies. And So what we want to do across metro
01:12:47> 01:12:51:	areas in North America and everywhere is get the level
01:12:51> 01:12:54:	of car use down. And so in some cases that
01:12:54> 01:12:56:	means getting a household from.
01:12:56> 01:12:59:	Having four cars to two cars or three, four to
01:12:59> 01:13:02:	three or two to one or 1, 1/2 to half,
01:13:02> 01:13:05:	you know what I mean. And that means using the
01:13:05> 01:13:08:	full range of of you know tricks in the, in
01:13:08> 01:13:11:	the, in the, in the book. And that can include
01:13:11> 01:13:14:	you know better auto share, I mean I think or
01:13:14> 01:13:17:	you know ride sharing and you know Uber's been great
01:13:17> 01:13:21:	Lyft etcetera, but also car sharing, I mean and and
01:13:21> 01:13:24:	if you can reduce parking and the parking demands in
01:13:24> 01:13:25:	these.
01:13:25> 01:13:28:	Complete communities, I mean providing parking is so it's
	space
01:13:28> 01:13:32:	intensive, it's carbon intensive because you're probably putting in a
01:13:32> 01:13:34:	basement which is like the most carbon intensive part of
01:13:35> 01:13:37:	a building, you know, per square foot or per, you
01:13:37> 01:13:40:	know, dollar spent or whatever. It's just, it's just terrible.
01:13:40> 01:13:42:	We have to get rid of private cars to whatever
01:13:42> 01:13:45:	degree we can and that means moving people maybe into
01:13:45> 01:13:47:	shared cars or and then get them out of out
01:13:47> 01:13:49:	of cars and all these other things. So I think,
01:13:50> 01:13:52:	yeah, pricing, urban design, reducing parking, I mean.
01:13:53> 01:13:56:	We need to pull the throw the full tool book
01:13:56> 01:13:59:	at this. And the other thing I'll say is that
01:13:59> 01:14:02:	in some of these spread out, you know metro areas
01:14:02> 01:14:05:	that we have in North America, I think you know
01:14:05> 01:14:09:	focusing on retrofitted nodes that can become more urban
	which
01:14:09> 01:14:13:	have all the qualities that David just described and I'm
01:14:13> 01:14:16:	trying to describe, but then located them on regional rail
01:14:16> 01:14:18:	or maybe even original bus.
01:14:19> 01:14:22:	Can be very powerful because if you think about the
01:14:22> 01:14:25:	disk, it's not just it's trips in cars that we

01:14:25> 01:14:28:	want to get rid of, but it's also vehicle miles
01:14:28> 01:14:31:	traveled. So if you multiply the number of trips by
01:14:31> 01:14:34:	your vehicle miles traveler, you really want to get. I
01:14:35> 01:14:37:	mean if you could get a trip out of a
01:14:37> 01:14:37:	car.
01:14:38> 01:14:41:	You'd rather get the 30 kilometer or 30 mile commuter
01:14:41> 01:14:43:	out of their car before you get the five mile
01:14:43> 01:14:46:	and that may seem backwards because you might say, well
01:14:46> 01:14:49:	the five mile one, surely we can provide more options
01:14:49> 01:14:52:	because maybe they can take a bike or a bus
01:14:52> 01:14:55:	or whatever. But the people that are taking the, you
01:14:55> 01:14:58:	know, the Super commuters, I mean they are consuming so
01:14:58> 01:15:01:	much resource and so much carbon and lane capacity when
01:15:01> 01:15:04:	they do that. So I think it's every region is
01:15:04> 01:15:05:	different and sort of.
01:15:06> 01:15:08:	Listed all the different tools, but yeah, I mean I
01:15:08> 01:15:10:	think if you get the pricing right, I think rubber
01:15:10> 01:15:13:	tire vehicles are pretty flexible. So they'll be optimized. Bus
01:15:13> 01:15:15:	routes can be optimized if you just make the price
01:15:15> 01:15:17:	right and make it easier to get on and off
01:15:17> 01:15:19:	them. I mean people will adopt them and try them
01:15:19> 01:15:19:	out.
01:15:21> 01:15:25:	Great. Just shifting topics, so you have a question from
01:15:25> 01:15:28:	the audience regarding housing and Ivano might pull you back
01:15:28> 01:15:31:	into this here too, because there's a question about.
01:15:32> 01:15:35:	A shift from affordable the The language in ULI now
01:15:35> 01:15:39:	is from affordable housing to attainable housing. The question had
01:15:39> 01:15:41:	to do with if if we could hear some comments
01:15:42> 01:15:44:	about. In the examples that you show, there were a
01:15:44> 01:15:48:	lot of densities are Is there any commentary about how
01:15:48> 01:15:51:	your cities are learning lessons about a mixture of attainable
01:15:51> 01:15:53:	housing versus marketrate housing?
01:15:55> 01:15:58:	Yeah, absolutely. So I think from a UI perspective, the
01:15:58> 01:16:01:	report that is going to do a full launch in
01:16:01> 01:16:06:	September is going to illustrate that our strategy is focusing
01:16:06> 01:16:09:	on providing an affordable way of life. So that means
01:16:09> 01:16:13:	we would consider the overall strategy to reduce land costs,
01:16:13> 01:16:18:	construction costs and operating costs and lifestyle costs. We're just
01:16:18> 01:16:22:	putting those into perspective from a number of perspective.
01:16:23> 01:16:27:	Land cost, we're looking at some area it can escalate

01:16:27> 01:16:31:	as close to 33% and beyond and construction cost is
01:16:32> 01:16:36:	exceeding beyond 27% operating costs. So versus so if you
01:16:36> 01:16:40:	compare a passive house versus a normal way of doing
01:16:40> 01:16:45:	construction, you can reduce operating costs by 80% and that
01:16:45> 01:16:50:	is almost the equivalent of how much you're paying for
01:16:50> 01:16:52:	rental or for mortgage.
01:16:52> 01:16:55:	When you are living in you know certain kind of
01:16:55> 01:16:59:	products and lastly from a cloud lifestyle cost perspective, we
01:16:59> 01:17:03:	observed that in North America many of our members they
01:17:03> 01:17:07:	are contributing more than 30% of the after tax dollars
01:17:07> 01:17:10:	on mobility like in other words is on driving.
01:17:10> 01:17:14:	So I think from that standpoint definitely we want to
01:17:14> 01:17:17:	shift the focus not just about affordable housing by the
01:17:17> 01:17:21:	affordable way of life attainable housing meanings having both the
01:17:21> 01:17:24:	product but also the range of options available. So we
01:17:24> 01:17:27:	are focusing on using the right mechanism so it can
01:17:27> 01:17:32:	attract both public investment and private investment and the report
01:17:32> 01:17:35:	is talked about that some of the public investment also
01:17:35> 01:17:37:	provide for housing, but there is a big.
01:17:38> 01:17:41:	Bracket in here that can provide for market rate solution
01:17:42> 01:17:46:	combined with rent control. So there's different layers that depends
01:17:46> 01:17:49:	on the location. Part of the toolkit can look at
01:17:49> 01:17:50:	the holistically.
01:17:53> 01:17:59:	Thanks. Yvonne, Any thoughts from Michael or David about things
01:17:59> 01:18:03:	you've seen about the mixture of market rate and how
01:18:03> 01:18:06:	how these complete communities are?
01:18:07> 01:18:12:	Any policy drivers or market the the private sector response
01:18:12> 01:18:15:	to ideas about what's the proper or what what's the
01:18:15> 01:18:20:	most successful mixture of market rate and attainable housing? Any
01:18:20> 01:18:21:	thoughts?
01:18:23> 01:18:26:	Quickly, I was part of an interesting conversation in Washington,
01:18:26> 01:18:30:	DC recently where we were talking about affordable housing provision
01:18:30> 01:18:33:	and you know, doing some work in Seattle, I mean,
01:18:33> 01:18:34:	there's some very challenging.
01:18:35> 01:18:39:	Call it almost over the top affordable housing requirements that

01:18:39> 01:18:42:	are preventing the delivery of market supply in places that
01:18:42> 01:18:46:	would otherwise occur. So it's every jurisdiction is having this
01:18:46> 01:18:51:	conversation. I think the consensus generally is that affordable housing
01:18:51> 01:18:53:	you want mix, you want a mix of types and
01:18:53> 01:18:56:	you want a mix of you know different product types
01:18:56> 01:19:00:	in communities to so people can Asian place and people
01:19:00> 01:19:03:	you know you have different all the good reasons all
01:19:03> 01:19:04:	the whole.
01:19:04> 01:19:09:	Housing, product conversation, contributing to complete communities, but you have
01:19:09> 01:19:12:	to be respectful about what the market can and can't
01:19:12> 01:19:15:	provide. And I think, I think if you push it
01:19:15> 01:19:19:	too far, you then reduce the market's appetite to actually
01:19:19> 01:19:22:	deliver housing, which was the full point in the 1st
01:19:22> 01:19:25:	place. So you have to be reasonable and you can't
01:19:25> 01:19:29:	change the rules overnight too. I mean markets need stability.
01:19:29> 01:19:31:	That's how they that's how they work the best.
01:19:32> 01:19:35:	Is when the business community knows what they're going to
01:19:35> 01:19:37:	get to run the numbers and can set, you know,
01:19:37> 01:19:40:	and and and now it's very difficult and we have
01:19:40> 01:19:43:	rising interest rates. We already have a lot of things
01:19:43> 01:19:46:	that are out of everyone's control anyways. So let's not
01:19:46> 01:19:49:	make it more complicated. So I think, I think change
01:19:49> 01:19:52:	is good. I think change needs to happen, you know,
01:19:52> 01:19:54:	relatively slowly in the context of the fact that we
01:19:54> 01:19:57:	have a climate emergency and we need to change everything.
01:19:58> 01:19:58:	But I think.
01:19:59> 01:20:01:	No, I think, I think, I think I think it's
01:20:01> 01:20:05:	reasonable in big metro centers where we have vast socioequity
01:20:05> 01:20:09:	you know issues and you know key workforce housing issues,
01:20:09> 01:20:12:	I mean it is, it is reasonable that large competitive,
01:20:12> 01:20:16:	expensive metros will have affordable housing requirements. But doing that
01:20:16> 01:20:19:	carefully and doing that in a way that can be
01:20:19> 01:20:23:	stomached by both the private sector and the public sector
01:20:23> 01:20:25:	and what they want is, is important.
01:20:28> 01:20:29:	Totally agree with you.
01:20:30> 01:20:33:	And maybe just wrapping on this and this might even
01:20:33> 01:20:36:	be an opportunity for our audience to chime in into

01:20:36> 01:20:40:	the chat with suggestions. Michael, you had mentioned the business
01:20:40> 01:20:43:	cases that you know, being developed from Toronto or when
01:20:43> 01:20:46:	you were at Metrolinx that you developed some of these
01:20:46> 01:20:50:	business cases. Those seem absolutely key to, you know, having
01:20:50> 01:20:53:	a sound economic foundation for any of these strategies.
01:20:53> 01:20:56:	If you or David quickly have any comments about other
01:20:57> 01:21:00:	citation, you know site, other examples that you think are
01:21:00> 01:21:02:	really best in class that you look at. Or if
01:21:03> 01:21:05:	like I said, if anybody in the audience has an
01:21:05> 01:21:08:	answer to this question also it would be really helpful
01:21:08> 01:21:11:	because then we can put that into the report about
01:21:11> 01:21:15:	you know best practice examples of business, business cases that
01:21:15> 01:21:19:	are being developed for these complete communities and integration of
01:21:19> 01:21:21:	transit and planning, land planning.
01:21:23> 01:21:26:	I love this topic. It's great. I'm so glad to
01:21:26> 01:21:29:	hear the enthusiasm. Yes, these things are the bedrock of
01:21:29> 01:21:33:	better conversations which are wanted to more productive outcomes. So
01:21:33> 01:21:35:	I mean the Metrolinx guidance we created, we try to
01:21:35> 01:21:38:	create it, make it as simple. And so I think
01:21:38> 01:21:41:	it's a more userfriendly version than the UK Green Book,
01:21:41> 01:21:43:	even though the UK Green Book is probably the, you
01:21:43> 01:21:46:	know, it's the, it's the A+ strongest platinum sort of,
01:21:46> 01:21:49:	you know, version that everyone refers to I think.
01:21:49> 01:21:52:	I was just looking at a couple reports. I was
01:21:52> 01:21:56:	really impressed with what Caltrain's done. I think Caltrain has
01:21:56> 01:21:58:	done a really good job of getting some of their
01:21:58> 01:22:02:	recent reports out, which are very succinct, very user friendly,
01:22:02> 01:22:05:	summarized kind of the key points of, you know, the
01:22:05> 01:22:09:	financials, the economics. Some of the Metrolink stuff is really
01:22:09> 01:22:11:	good. Some of it is a little bit on the
01:22:11> 01:22:14:	showy side. And so it doesn't, it's not as honest
01:22:14> 01:22:17:	about optioneering, it's important to be very, very.
01:22:17> 01:22:20:	Open and honest about what options are you in analyzing?
01:22:20> 01:22:21:	So I I don't know. I just saw the Caltrain
01:22:21> 01:22:23:	stuff and I like what they've done. I think it's.
01:22:23> 01:22:25:	I think it's a good interpretation, yeah.

01:22:28> 01:22:30:	David, any quick thoughts in the more closed?
01:22:32> 01:22:36:	No, we don't. We don't have something similar to those,
01:22:36> 01:22:38:	but I mean, but yeah.
01:22:38> 01:22:41:	No, I just, I mean London continues. There's a great
01:22:41> 01:22:44:	examples in in the UK. I mean check out the
01:22:44> 01:22:45:	the UK and if anybody wants to.
01:22:47> 01:22:48:	Asked me what my favorite, you know, sort of Katy
01:22:48> 01:22:50:	and ones are. I'm happy to provide them as well.
01:22:51> 01:22:54:	OK. Yeah, that would be great if you could follow
01:22:54> 01:22:56:	up with Yvonne and I. So I have the the
01:22:56> 01:22:59:	pleasure of trying to wrap up here. And I just,
01:22:59> 01:23:03:	it's been a fascinating conversation and really appreciate you know
01:23:03> 01:23:06:	David and Michael the incredible transparency you offered with your
01:23:07> 01:23:09:	experience, I would say, you know it's just sort of
01:23:09> 01:23:11:	a way to wrap it up. I, I, I heard
01:23:11> 01:23:13:	a lot about, you know these.
01:23:14> 01:23:18:	In order to be successful community complete communities and transitoriented
01:23:19> 01:23:22:	development needs to be anchored by the public sector policy
01:23:22> 01:23:26:	and commitment to funding for these projects. But they they
01:23:26> 01:23:30:	they the results of these that us getting to where
01:23:30> 01:23:33:	we want to get is of realizing these is accelerated
01:23:33> 01:23:37:	by private sector success stories. So I think you know
01:23:37> 01:23:39:	we we we have to see the you know economic
01:23:39> 01:23:42:	benefits of it. So that's sort of like a.
01:23:43> 01:23:46:	1-2 step. You know, I do think that, you know,
01:23:46> 01:23:49:	finding ways. You know, I was struck by Yvonne when
01:23:49> 01:23:53:	you were doing your opening slides. While \$21 million seems
01:23:53> 01:23:57:	like a big commitment to communities of this grant program
01:23:57> 01:24:00:	that you talked about, I thought to myself as like,
01:24:00> 01:24:04:	yeah, but that's compared to \$69 billion of fast funding
01:24:04> 01:24:07:	for transit or road and Hwy. funding. So you know,
01:24:07> 01:24:09:	there is this sort of.
01:24:09> 01:24:12:	I think it's sort of a you know accelerated need
01:24:12> 01:24:15:	for us to be articulating the need for the real
01:24:15> 01:24:18:	estate industry to putting, putting you know having an
	increased
01:24:18> 01:24:21:	dialogue and you will like to be a perfect partner
01:24:21> 01:24:24:	in this or platform for it about how do we
01:24:24> 01:24:26:	make sure that that you know that fast funding is

01:24:26> 01:24:30:	actually resulting in the kind of transportation systems that's going
01:24:30> 01:24:34:	to be supportive of of really successful and and healthy
01:24:34> 01:24:37:	communities and real estate development opportunities.
01:24:37> 01:24:40:	And then I just thought, you know, I I think
01:24:40> 01:24:43:	just like so many other industries, we have to get
01:24:43> 01:24:47:	our messaging right and articulating that this isn't just some
01:24:47> 01:24:51:	abstract, you know, planner dialogue going on, but this is
01:24:51> 01:24:56:	really affecting people's pocketbooks, you know, the question about safety
01:24:56> 01:24:57:	and safe and clean.
01:24:58> 01:25:02:	Neighborhoods and transportation, you know, that's that's really an incredible
01:25:02> 01:25:05:	point. Like we have to demonstrate that that doing this
01:25:06> 01:25:09:	is going to help us create more affordable reduce people's,
01:25:09> 01:25:12:	you know affect people's pocketbooks in a in a different
01:25:12> 01:25:15:	way and then create a safe and clean neighborhoods and
01:25:15> 01:25:18:	transit systems is without, you know, making sure that we're
01:25:18> 01:25:21:	in line with what the public is perceiving as the
01:25:21> 01:25:24:	most important issues. This can't be a side issue. We
01:25:24> 01:25:27:	have to be really addressing them head on and.
01:25:27> 01:25:30:	I think that Michael, your point about shifting to, you
01:25:30> 01:25:32:	know, housing, whereas 10 years ago it might have been
01:25:33> 01:25:35:	congestion. We have to be in line with what's, you
01:25:35> 01:25:38:	know, the most important public issues so that we're not,
01:25:38> 01:25:40:	we're not having to, you know, fight the public on
01:25:40> 01:25:43:	this, but actually we have public support and public is
01:25:43> 01:25:45:	the one driving the demand for this.
01:25:47> 01:25:52:	Great. Thank you, everyone. So a little bit of quick
01:25:53> 01:25:54:	announcement.
01:25:54> 01:25:57:	So if you go to the UI website, you will
01:25:57> 01:26:01:	be able to download. Our recently released report is on
01:26:01> 01:26:05:	the Knowledge Finder and primarily is catering to talk about
01:26:05> 01:26:09:	different way of providing infrastructure. So to get the land
01:26:09> 01:26:13:	ready for development, really encourage everybody to have a quick
01:26:14> 01:26:18:	look and using that to articulate some conversation. Our goal
01:26:18> 01:26:19:	is to use it to help.
01:26:20> 01:26:24:	Different sector to start creating an expectation of creating workable
01:26:24> 01:26:28:	community now. In other words, decision is a hyper focus
01:26:28> 01:26:32:	at a community scale on combined infrastructure. To make those

01:26:32> 01:26:36:	decision aligns with what we are just talking about today.
01:26:36> 01:26:40:	The business cases decision, the affordable way of life decision.
01:26:41> 01:26:44:	And then lastly is that we like to welcome everybody
01:26:44> 01:26:47:	back and in September, we're going to do a full
01:26:47> 01:26:50:	launch of the report and also have a global webinar.
01:26:50> 01:26:54:	So we will be bringing in some thought leadership, example
01:26:54> 01:26:57:	from leadership and also from how we can sustain the
01:26:57> 01:27:01:	commitment. So some of the very practical case study, what
01:27:01> 01:27:04:	is happening on the ground and how we can use
01:27:04> 01:27:08:	it to mobilize our different District Council across North America.
01:27:09> 01:27:13:	So today webinar will be recorded and we will release
01:27:13> 01:27:17:	a newsletter and feel free to download the other session
01:27:17> 01:27:21:	from the UI website as well. So thanks everybody and
01:27:21> 01:27:23:	enjoy the rest of your afternoon.
01:27:24> 01:27:25:	Thank you. You're well. Thanks.
01:27:26> 01:27:26:	Yvonne.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .