

## Webinar

## ULI Toronto: Affordable Housing in the GTA ??? Day 4: Hits And Misses Of Government-Supported Affordable Rental Housing

Date: November 24, 2022

00:00:11> 00:00:16:	Good afternoon, everyone. As people are loading in, we're going
00:00:16> 00:00:20:	to start with the UI's upcoming spring meeting, video advertising
00:00:20> 00:00:22:	and upcoming conference in Toronto.
00:02:45> 00:02:50:	Thank you uli. Toronto prepares to welcome 4000 international experts
00:02:50> 00:02:53:	from across the real estate and land use industries in
00:02:53> 00:02:56:	Toronto to Toronto next May the 16th to the 18th
00:02:56> 00:03:00:	to learn more about the 2023 UI Spring meeting, the
00:03:00> 00:03:03:	first time it's ever been in our city. The links
00:03:03> 00:03:04:	are in the chat.
00:03:05> 00:03:08:	We'd also like to just commend you to become a
00:03:08> 00:03:12:	UI member. We have now more Members than ever before
00:03:12> 00:03:16:	and people are really discovering just how much value there
00:03:16> 00:03:19:	is in a while I membership. Not only are you
00:03:19> 00:03:23:	getting discounts on our events, but you're getting access to
00:03:23> 00:03:27:	a lot of information and resources behind our UI paywall,
00:03:27> 00:03:31:	so we encourage you to consider a membership.
00:03:32> 00:03:32:	Umm.
00:03:34> 00:03:37:	Once again, good afternoon everyone. My name is Richard Joy,
00:03:37> 00:03:40:	executive director of Yuli Toronto and welcome to Day four
00:03:40> 00:03:44:	of the National Housing Week five part lunchtime series, Reality
00:03:44> 00:03:48:	check hits and misses of government supported affordable rental housing.
00:03:48> 00:03:50:	Before we get into this, as always we'll begin with
00:03:51> 00:03:54:	a land acknowledgement. As a Toronto region based organization, we

00:03:54> 00:03:57:	acknowledge the land we are meeting on virtually is the
00:03:57> 00:04:01:	traditional territory of many nations including the Mississaugas of the
00:04:01> 00:04:04:	credit, the Anishinabek, the Chippewa, the hood Nasoni.
00:04:04> 00:04:07:	You win that people, and is now home to many
00:04:07> 00:04:10:	diverse First Nations, Inuit and native peoples.
00:04:10> 00:04:13:	We also acknowledge that Toronto is covered by Treaty 13
00:04:13> 00:04:16:	with the Mississaugas of the credit we are all treated.
00:04:16> 00:04:19:	Many of us have come here as settlers, immigrants, and
00:04:19> 00:04:22:	newcomers in this generation or generations past. UI stands in
00:04:22> 00:04:27:	solidarity with indigenous communities, demanding action and accountability for the
00:04:27> 00:04:30:	ongoing legacy of the residential school system. We also acknowledge
00:04:30> 00:04:34:	that honor those who've come here involuntarily, particularly descendants from
00:04:34> 00:04:38:	those brought here through enslavement. To better understand the meaning
00:04:38> 00:04:41:	behind this land acknowledgement, we recommend four programs that we've
00:04:41> 00:04:44:	uploaded to YouTube. These links are available in the chat.
00:04:46> 00:04:49:	Today's event in all of the UI program would just
00:04:49> 00:04:53:	not be possible without utilizing annual sponsors and we'd like
00:04:53> 00:04:56:	to thank all of them for that support. Now more
00:04:56> 00:04:58:	than ever, you Ali relies on the support of our
00:04:58> 00:05:02:	sponsors to put on high quality programming to drive our
00:05:02> 00:05:05:	mission to shape the future of the built environment for
00:05:05> 00:05:09:	transformative impact in communities worldwide. To all of our sponsors,
00:05:10> 00:05:11:	we say thank you.
00:05:12> 00:05:17:	Is now I pleasure to introduce today's moderator, well known
00:05:17> 00:05:22:	to many people in this particular space, Mark Richardson, CTO,
00:05:22> 00:05:27:	he's with rich analytics, technical lead of housing now to.com.
00:05:27> 00:05:30:	Before I head over to Mark, I just wanted to
00:05:30> 00:05:32:	make one important note to our audience that you and
00:05:33> 00:05:35:	l is a nonpartisan organization. We do not advocate on
00:05:35> 00:05:38:	public policy, pro or con, but we do take frequently
00:05:38> 00:05:41:	take a critical look at the public policy landscape.
00:05:42> 00:05:45:	In the context of advancing our global mission. And that's
00:05:45> 00:05:47:	what this week's all about. And so with that, mark
00:05:47> 00:05:50:	again, my pleasure to turn it over to you.

00:05:50> 00:05:53:	Thank you, Richard and thank you to ULI for giving
00:05:54> 00:05:57:	me the opportunity to host this panel about a very
00:05:57> 00:06:01:	important topic here in the City of Toronto. We're going
00:06:01> 00:06:06:	to be talking today about government supported affordable housing and
00:06:06> 00:06:09:	before we start the panel I want to make sure
00:06:09> 00:06:11:	next slide please that we really.
00:06:12> 00:06:15:	All have a common understanding of exactly what part of
00:06:15> 00:06:19:	the housing continuum, or the housing spectrum in the City
00:06:19> 00:06:22:	of Toronto we are talking about when we use the
00:06:22> 00:06:26:	phrase government supported affordable rental housing. This is a chart
00:06:26> 00:06:30:	that we modified slightly from the City of Vancouver or
00:06:30> 00:06:33:	Victoria who created this in BC. We've adjusted it for
00:06:33> 00:06:37:	some city of Toronto numbers, but it's the full spectrum
00:06:37> 00:06:41:	of housing all the way from emergency shelters and transitional
00:06:41> 00:06:41:	housing.
00:06:42> 00:06:46:	Through social housing, co-ops, purpose built, rental, affordable and below
00:06:46> 00:06:49:	market ownership, all the way up to privately owned single
00:06:49> 00:06:53:	family homes and the kind of incomes that correspond with
00:06:53> 00:06:56:	them. But also the really important part of this slide
00:06:56> 00:07:00:	is the Gray triangle, the level of government assistance that
00:07:00> 00:07:03:	is required for each part of the housing continuum.
00:07:04> 00:07:07:	You know, we are now in a situation where through
00:07:07> 00:07:11:	first time home buyers and things, we are actually giving
00:07:11> 00:07:15:	government assistance much farther into the market and of the
00:07:15> 00:07:19:	housing spectrum than we were in the past. Next please?
00:07:20> 00:07:24:	But for the purposes of today's conversation, we're not going
00:07:24> 00:07:28:	to talk about affordable ownership like Habitat for Humanity or
00:07:28> 00:07:32:	options for Homes or Trillium. We're really going to talk
00:07:32> 00:07:35:	about this, this chunk in the middle here from social
00:07:35> 00:07:39:	housing through the purpose built market rental. And with the
00:07:39> 00:07:42:	context that in the City of Toronto right now based
00:07:42> 00:07:46:	on the last census, our median total household income within
00:07:46> 00:07:49:	the border of the City of Toronto is 84,000 in
00:07:49> 00:07:50:	annual income.
00:07:51> 00:07:53:	However we have, we know that we're having to do
00:07:53> 00:07:55:	housing for people from.
00:07:56> 00:07:59:	You know ten \$15,000 a year in annual income and

00:07:59> 00:08:03:	some of our programs now are topping \$100,000 almost in
00:08:03> 00:08:07:	order for you to qualify for a unit in some
00:08:07> 00:08:10:	of the workforce housing spectrum. Next slide please.
00:08:12> 00:08:15:	So there's some examples in these slides. I'm sure you
00:08:15> 00:08:18:	and I will circulate them. These all come from City
00:08:18> 00:08:21:	of Toronto materials. So we just want to make sure
00:08:21> 00:08:25:	that we're all talking the same terminology, rent geared to
00:08:25> 00:08:28:	income. The rent is calculated based on 30% of your
00:08:28> 00:08:31:	household income, could be sparked by TCHC, could be provided
00:08:31> 00:08:35:	by a Co-op, could be provided by other, not-for-profit housing
00:08:35> 00:08:38:	partners. But you could see rents there as low as
00:08:38> 00:08:40:	a few \$100 a month, you know, well under 6
00:08:40> 00:08:42:	or \$700.00 a month.
00:08:42> 00:08:45:	In in a in RGGI unit. But in order to
00:08:45> 00:08:48:	get those you're going to be on the wait list
00:08:48> 00:08:51:	and potentially you could be on that wait list for
00:08:51> 00:08:54:	many, many years based on the kinds of unit you
00:08:54> 00:08:57:	want, the size of units you want in the location
00:08:57> 00:09:00:	you want to obtain it in. Whereas how the City
00:09:00> 00:09:05:	of Toronto currently defines affordable rental housing is really targeted
00:09:05> 00:09:08:	at a a more middle income or workforce. Housing is
00:09:08> 00:09:12:	sometimes the tool that's used and it's aiming it for
00:09:12> 00:09:12:	people.
00:09:12> 00:09:16:	Between 35,000 and when the city had this plan, up
00:09:16> 00:09:19:	to about 50 or 60,000 in reality. Now because rents,
00:09:19> 00:09:23:	average market rents are increasing, we're now seeing that people
00:09:23> 00:09:27:	are qualifying well into the 90 thousands. But to obtain
00:09:27> 00:09:30:	those units when they become available, you're entered into a
00:09:30> 00:09:34:	random draw. That draw is not really managed by the
00:09:34> 00:09:37:	city, it's being managed by the landlord or the operator
00:09:37> 00:09:41:	of that building. So it's kind of a disjointed system.
00:09:41> 00:09:42:	Next slide please.
00:09:44> 00:09:47:	And we're just an example of that. So the early
00:09:47> 00:09:52:	childhood educator, the construction laborer, retired pensioner in the Toronto
00:09:52> 00:09:56:	Housing Implementation plan from 2020 to 2030. That was the
00:09:56> 00:10:00:	goal about who this affordable rental or workforce housing was
00:10:00> 00:10:04:	for. However, because average rents are increasing across

	the city
00:10:04> 00:10:08:	of Toronto right now and those housing lottery units are
00:10:08> 00:10:11:	based on average market rent as 80% of average market
00:10:11> 00:10:14:	rent, we're now finding that in current lotteries.
00:10:15> 00:10:19:	The licensed practical nurse at 5152 thousand a year doesn't
00:10:19> 00:10:24:	meet the income crash threshold to qualify for that unit
00:10:24> 00:10:28:	and we now have units like a 3 bedroom where
00:10:28> 00:10:31:	the the rent is is up. You can qualify up
00:10:31> 00:10:35:	to an income maximum of 94 ninety \$5000. That's only
00:10:35> 00:10:40:	going to increase those bans as the market rates increase
00:10:40> 00:10:44:	and the market rents increase across the city. So next
00:10:44> 00:10:45:	slide.
00:10:49> 00:10:52:	It's a great pleasure to be able to introduce the
00:10:52> 00:10:55:	panelists who are going to be joining us today. All
00:10:55> 00:10:58:	of their BIOS are going to be put into the
00:10:58> 00:11:02:	chat. Andrew Joyner is the managing director of Tricon Residential.
00:11:02> 00:11:05:	She Tam is the executive director of the Kensington Market
00:11:05> 00:11:08:	Land Trust. The job spec is the vice president of
00:11:08> 00:11:12:	development and planning for Choice properties. Ray Sullivan is the
00:11:12> 00:11:16:	interim executive director of the Canadian Housing and Renewal Association.
00:11:16> 00:11:19:	I think we have everybody, so I'm going to start
00:11:19> 00:11:20:	this.
00:11:20> 00:11:22:	With Andrew. And I'm going to ask each of you
00:11:22> 00:11:25:	to briefly tell us a little bit about your organization,
00:11:25> 00:11:28:	one or two minutes, your work with creating or maintaining
00:11:28> 00:11:32:	government supported affordable rental housing and some recent examples of
00:11:32> 00:11:35:	success and the kinds of renters that your organization serves.
00:11:35> 00:11:37:	So Andrew, I'll start with you.
00:11:38> 00:11:41:	Great. Thank you, mark. Good afternoon everyone. My name is
00:11:41> 00:11:46:	Andrew Joyner, I oversee Tricon Reddit residentials, Canadian purpose built
00:11:46> 00:11:50:	rental apartment platform here in Toronto. We're focused on the
00:11:50> 00:11:53:	GTA. We're developed a core operator, so we build units
00:11:54> 00:11:57:	that we own and operate long term. We started this
00:11:57> 00:12:00:	business in in 2016 and we're one of the first
00:12:00> 00:12:03:	groups to enter the purpose built rental market with scale
00:12:03> 00:12:07:	back then. And today we have 6000 units under construction

00:12:07> 00:12:09:	and operations in the city.
00:12:09> 00:12:13:	We have partnered with the province, we have partnered with
00:12:13> 00:12:16:	the city to build large scale mixed income rental communities.
00:12:16> 00:12:19:	Very proud of those and and being part of the
00:12:19> 00:12:23:	solution and and can share some anecdotes from those
	today
00:12:23> 00:12:26:	in terms of what's working well and what some of
00:12:26> 00:12:29:	the headwinds are and you know the majority of of
00:12:29> 00:12:32:	what we build is market rate rental housing. But of
00:12:32> 00:12:35:	that 6000 units in our pipeline 1000 of those units
00:12:35> 00:12:38:	are indeed affordable at a sliding scale on the AMR
00:12:38> 00:12:39:	you know spectrum.
00:12:39> 00:12:41:	From 40 to 100% AMR.
00:12:42> 00:12:45:	OK. Thank you. And next we're going to ask Chi
00:12:45> 00:12:48:	from the Kensington Market Land Trust to tell us a
00:12:48> 00:12:52:	little bit about your organization and the kinds of units
00:12:52> 00:12:55:	you manage and the kinds of rents that you service.
00:12:56> 00:12:59:	Happy too. So yeah, I'm the executive director of the
00:12:59> 00:13:03:	Kensington Market Community Land Trust, which is a neighborhood based,
00:13:03> 00:13:07:	democratically owned and operated organization. So my boss is the
00:13:07> 00:13:11:	neighborhood and we operate with the explicit purpose of acquiring
00:13:11> 00:13:14:	but also developing properties with the goal of permanently removing
00:13:14> 00:13:18:	them from the speculative market and thereby dedicating that land
00:13:18> 00:13:22:	in trust. Thus the terminology community Land Trust for whatever
00:13:22> 00:13:25:	the community benefit and need is and so because the
00:13:25> 00:13:26:	nature of our housing.
00:13:26> 00:13:29:	Isis is. So our focus now is largely on rental
00:13:29> 00:13:32:	stock, both acquiring, preserving it, renewing it and then also
00:13:32> 00:13:35:	developing more of it. But the permanence there is is
00:13:35> 00:13:38:	kind of a distinguishing factor to our approach. And so
00:13:38> 00:13:42:	depending on the identify need, the Land Trust model actually
00:13:42> 00:13:44:	does have a mandate to lease for the wide range
00:13:44> 00:13:48:	of that entire scale that mark just demonstrated. But again,
00:13:48> 00:13:51:	because of the nature of our services, we aren't able
00:13:51> 00:13:54:	to focus on affordable home ownership, which is a very
00:13:54> 00:13:56:	typical way that Community land trusts would.

00:14:00> 00:14:04:	Market Community Land Trust particularly has very rapidly got themselves
00:14:04> 00:14:07:	together and quickly after incorporating being able to acquire their
00:14:07> 00:14:12:	first property. Our sister organizations through Parkdale, through Circle and
00:14:12> 00:14:15:	the Toronto Group of Community Land Trusts now have hundreds
00:14:15> 00:14:19:	and hundreds of properties scattered throughout the city of Toronto.
00:14:19> 00:14:22:	And I'll say more later about the government support that
00:14:22> 00:14:26:	are the type of government support our affordable rental housing
00:14:26> 00:14:26:	actually.
00:14:26> 00:14:29:	Is in nature. But yeah, we I think we're growing
00:14:29> 00:14:33:	incredibly rapidly and excited to see where it goes next.
00:14:33> 00:14:36:	Thank you. And I'm gonna move next to Joe from
00:14:36> 00:14:38:	Choice properties. Joe.
00:14:39> 00:14:42:	Thanks, mark. Hey, I'm Joe from choice properties. A little
00:14:42> 00:14:45:	bit on choice. Choice has a portfolio of about 750
00:14:45> 00:14:49:	properties across Canada. We're a diversified reach between commercial, office,
00:14:49> 00:14:52:	industrial and res. In terms of our res portfolio, mainly
00:14:52> 00:14:55:	focused on rental housing in the GTA, we have about
00:14:55> 00:14:57:	1.3 million square feet of rest.
00:14:57> 00:15:01:	FDA about 1600 units currently under construction and eight active
00:15:01> 00:15:05:	mixed-use applications. What just wanted to touch on really quickly,
00:15:05> 00:15:08:	we have affordable housing projects which touch on all three
00:15:08> 00:15:12:	levels of government. One specifically in the City of Toronto
00:15:12> 00:15:16:	with open door funding, Infrastructure Ontario support, CMHC, RCI funding
00:15:16> 00:15:19:	and very similar to Andrew it's actually the same program.
00:15:19> 00:15:22:	All of our rentals in that category have AMR from
00:15:22> 00:15:25:	40% Mr. 200% AMR. So I just wanted to touch
00:15:25> 00:15:27:	on what what Mark said in a couple.
00:15:27> 00:15:31:	Things that we're seeing in the market, no news to
00:15:31> 00:15:35:	anyone. Hopefully we are in a housing crisis from USLI
00:15:35> 00:15:39:	on the Q3 report 2022. There's a 1.2% vacancy rate
00:15:39> 00:15:43:	in GTA and this is crazy, 72% decline in rental
00:15:43> 00:15:47:	housing starts from this time last year. That's thanks to
00:15:47> 00:15:49:	Urban nation for the stats.
00:15:51> 00:15:54:	So throughout the the panel here, I just want to

00:15:54> 00:15:57:	talk about the disconnect here between what's going on in
00:15:57> 00:16:01:	terms of supply and actual immigration. So on the left
00:16:01> 00:16:05:	we have, you know what I just said, uneconomical rental
00:16:05> 00:16:09:	projects being scrapped generally the state of rental right now
00:16:09> 00:16:09:	is.
00:16:10> 00:16:12:	The opposite of thriving. But in the same week an
00:16:13> 00:16:16:	article got released were, you know what Canada to welcome
00:16:16> 00:16:19:	500,000 immigrants per year, which is fantastic and something we
00:16:19> 00:16:21:	should be doing and I feel strongly about.
00:16:23> 00:16:27:	As a nation, but there's a complete disconnect between inviting
00:16:27> 00:16:30:	people and making sure that they have homes to live
00:16:30> 00:16:33:	in. So my parents came in the 70s when vacancy
00:16:33> 00:16:36:	was about 8.6%. That was a great landing for them
00:16:36> 00:16:40:	from a different country. And now we're welcoming people into
00:16:40> 00:16:43:	the GTA with the vacancy, rent of vacancy rate of
00:16:43> 00:16:46:	less than 2%, which is just very disingenuous to to
00:16:46> 00:16:49:	welcome people into the country that way. There's just no
00:16:49> 00:16:53:	disconnect. So imagine having a party and having one person.
00:16:53> 00:16:55:	With the invite list and one person in the venue
00:16:55> 00:16:57:	and they don't talk to each other. So somebody invites
00:16:58> 00:17:00:	700 people and the other person decides to have this
00:17:00> 00:17:02:	party in their one bedroom apartment. People are going to
00:17:02> 00:17:04:	have to wait outside and that is what's happening now.
00:17:04> 00:17:07:	People are waiting outside for for housing because there is
00:17:07> 00:17:09:	no flow through between setting a target.
00:17:09> 00:17:13:	And making sure the at the feds, the municipal and
00:17:13> 00:17:17:	the provincial level that target immigration population have homes next.
00:17:19> 00:17:22:	Just to give a quick overview on the problem here,
00:17:22> 00:17:25:	it takes about six years to develop an asset from
00:17:25> 00:17:29:	putting in an application to handing the keys over that.
00:17:29> 00:17:32:	That's on really a a good day or a good
00:17:32> 00:17:35:	half decade, let's say. So what I did here is,
00:17:35> 00:17:38:	you know, started a chart at 2016, I zeroed everything
00:17:38> 00:17:41:	out and then we figured out how do things grow
00:17:41> 00:17:44:	in A6 year cycle. So on red, you'll see the
00:17:44> 00:17:48:	condo appreciation has grown about 86% since 2016. So they've
00:17:48> 00:17:49:	been able to absorb.

00:17:49> 00:17:52:	A lot of the increases you see in blue, so
00:17:52> 00:17:55:	blue is construction and you see construction costs simply up
00:17:55> 00:17:59:	64% since 2016. Now rental is super interesting within this
00:17:59> 00:18:02:	graph. So you see that it's it's starting to grow
00:18:02> 00:18:04:	from 2016 a little bit in 17 and then a
00:18:04> 00:18:06:	big jump in 18. So there's a couple I'd say
00:18:06> 00:18:10:	handful of rental projects that have been delivered into Toronto
00:18:10> 00:18:13:	around this bubble time when things were good just as
00:18:13> 00:18:17:	things were starting to peak above construction you had viable
00:18:17> 00:18:20:	economic rental projects but then it kind of.
00:18:20> 00:18:23:	Outlined during COVID and then went down and this is
00:18:23> 00:18:25:	one of the things I just want to address really
00:18:25> 00:18:29:	quickly. When you seen the papers that like rental rates
00:18:29> 00:18:32:	are rising, what I hear from government and others are
00:18:32> 00:18:35:	that well the rental rates that they're rising. So we're
00:18:35> 00:18:38:	going to grow our way out of this problem. Well
00:18:38> 00:18:41:	first they're not rising they're they they took a bit
00:18:41> 00:18:43:	of a more than a bit of a dip in
00:18:43> 00:18:45:	2021 and now we're on our way back which is
00:18:45> 00:18:47:	not a net new rising and then if you go
00:18:47> 00:18:48:	to the next slide.
00:18:50> 00:18:53:	The other compounding factor, interest rates are up 600% to
00:18:53> 00:18:56:	nobody's surprise. I actually had to map the yellow on
00:18:56> 00:18:59:	the access to the right because it clearly didn't fit
00:18:59> 00:19:02:	with the chart. So it's a double access chart. Take
00:19:03> 00:19:06:	home message, yellow is wild 600% increase and how to
00:19:06> 00:19:07:	cope with that next?
00:19:10> 00:19:13:	So one thing real quick, here's what happens when people
00:19:13> 00:19:16:	say, OK, we can just grow our way, the rents
00:19:16> 00:19:19:	can grow our way out of this problem. So let's
00:19:19> 00:19:23:	look at where we are today. Average square foot, two- bedroom,
00:19:23> 00:19:26:	700 square foot, city of Toronto, \$2700, I'm just under
00:19:26> 00:19:29:	4 bucks a foot. So what we would need to
00:19:29> 00:19:32:	do to make this economical, to grow the rents to
00:19:32> 00:19:36:	a point where you've reached the absolute minimum of project
00:19:36> 00:19:39:	viability, that same unit would need to go for over
00:19:39> 00:19:40:	\$4000.
00:19:40> 00:19:42:	At that point, you kind of have a project that
00:19:42> 00:19:45:	is starting to work so that that's just under \$6

00:19:45> 00:19:47:	a fund and when you go to the next slide.
00:19:50> 00:19:53:	You just see how insane that idea is. So we're
00:19:53> 00:19:56:	starting off with something that today is taking just under
00:19:57> 00:19:58:	40% of median income.
00:19:59> 00:20:01:	To grow our way out of the problem that that
00:20:01> 00:20:04:	would take 60% of someone's income. So. So it's just
00:20:04> 00:20:07:	not an option when we hear yeah you'll just grow
00:20:07> 00:20:10:	your way to the problem we're not. So that's that's
00:20:10> 00:20:13:	how I'm going to set up my questions. Thank you
00:20:13> 00:20:14:	Mark for the the indulgence.
00:20:15> 00:20:18:	No problem. Thank you for those slides. I think it
00:20:18> 00:20:22:	clearly shows where government supported affordable housing is needed and
00:20:22> 00:20:25:	how far up the income spec from now some degree
00:20:25> 00:20:28:	of government supports are going to be required.
00:20:29> 00:20:31:	Joining us from Ottawa today with sort of a more
00:20:31> 00:20:35:	national perspective or an Ontario wide perspective on government supported
00:20:35> 00:20:38:	affordable rental housing is Ray Sullivan. Ray is the interim
00:20:38> 00:20:42:	executive director of the Canadian Housing and Renewal Association. Ray,
00:20:42> 00:20:44:	can you tell us a little bit about your organization,
00:20:44> 00:20:48:	the kinds of government supported affordable rental housing you work
00:20:48> 00:20:50:	with and maybe some examples in the GTA?
00:20:50> 00:20:53:	Yeah happy happy to and thank you very much Mark
00:20:53> 00:20:56:	and thank you Joe for painting that that that picture
00:20:56> 00:20:58:	that disconnect and this is a lot of what we
00:20:58> 00:21:01:	do at the Canadian housing and renewal associations try to
00:21:01> 00:21:04:	work with the federal government to connect those those dots
00:21:04> 00:21:06:	and CHA and I should say I'm, I am in
00:21:06> 00:21:08:	in Ottawa as Mark said on the on the unseated
00:21:08> 00:21:12:	territory the Gunkan initiative people and we are the national
00:21:12> 00:21:14:	voice of affordable housing in Chr. We're a we're a
00:21:14> 00:21:18:	membership based association. We represent nonprofits and others who are
00:21:18> 00:21:20:	working to make sure that everyone has.
00:21:20> 00:21:23:	A decent home that they can afford very much that
00:21:23> 00:21:26:	that pink and orange side of the spectrum from from
00:21:27> 00:21:28:	Mark's chart earlier in our.
00:21:29> 00:21:32:	Our Member success is our success and they are literally
00:21:32> 00:21:35:	saving people's lives every day. So I went, I went

00:21:35> 00:21:37:	into our database for folks who might not be familiar
00:21:37> 00:21:40:	with CHA to look up some of our Toronto based
00:21:40> 00:21:43:	members that you might be familiar with. It includes a
00:21:43> 00:21:46:	whole lot of folks, but folks like like Covenant House
00:21:46> 00:21:49:	Habitat, GTA House, Lincoln mainstay, the city of Toronto itself
00:21:49> 00:21:53:	of course Toronto Community Housing and this one surprised me.
00:21:53> 00:21:56:	A pleasant surprise. The the Entertainment District BIA is a
00:21:56> 00:21:58:	is a member of CHRA as well and after for
00:21:58> 00:21:59:	myself, you know.
00:21:59> 00:22:01:	More than more than 20 years in developing and managing
00:22:02> 00:22:05:	nonprofit housing, I've always enjoyed the opportunity to learn, especially
00:22:05> 00:22:07:	from folks who are very active in the private sector,
00:22:07> 00:22:09:	so looking forward to being part of this panel.
00:22:11> 00:22:15:	Digress too much. But there was recently an article in
00:22:15> 00:22:19:	the Toronto Star on it's hard for the entertainment district
00:22:19> 00:22:23:	to attract like actors and musicians to come and be
00:22:23> 00:22:27:	in shows in Toronto, because the gap between equity union
00:22:27> 00:22:30:	rates for being on stage and cost of housing is
00:22:30> 00:22:34:	just so disconnected now in the in the entertainment district.
00:22:35> 00:22:37:	And every business needs to think about where their workforce
00:22:37> 00:22:38:	is going to live.
00:22:38> 00:22:41:	And that's, that's sort of where the workforce housing phrasing.
00:22:41> 00:22:44:	Same problem. Uh and Toronto Board of Trade has been
00:22:44> 00:22:47:	doing a lot of work around that workforce housing definition
00:22:47> 00:22:49:	and advocacy. So I'm going to go on to our
00:22:49> 00:22:53:	next question and starting with with she. Which specific government
00:22:53> 00:22:57:	support programs have you access to create or maintain affordable
00:22:57> 00:23:00:	rental housing and how well have they worked for you?
00:23:00> 00:23:03:	And what's the value of those being to your Kensington
00:23:03> 00:23:04:	market community Land Trust?
00:23:05> 00:23:09:	I suspect my answer is the greatest outlier. So I'll
00:23:09> 00:23:12:	start by saying that we receive a transformative amount of
00:23:12> 00:23:16:	operational funding from a group most known to the nonprofit
00:23:16> 00:23:19:	and non market housing sector. Same HC has an arm
00:23:19> 00:23:23:	called Community Housing transformation sector and this has been a
00:23:23> 00:23:26:	critical and deeply needed funder for our work in the

00:23:26> 00:23:29:	sense that they seem to be one of the funding
00:23:29> 00:23:33:	arms that is the most focused on permanently affordable
00.00.00	approaches.
00:23:33> 00:23:35:	And then in 2021 to say, say specifically.
00:23:36> 00:23:38:	In relation to a specific site and project as an
00:23:38> 00:23:42:	example, we acquired that first property with 50% of the
00:23:42> 00:23:45:	equity coming straight from the City of Toronto and that
00:23:45> 00:23:48:	money the city of Toronto was able to attain through
00:23:48> 00:23:51:	community benefits charges to that is dedicated ward by ward.
00:23:51> 00:23:55:	And so Kensington market happens to share award with University
00:23:55> 00:23:58:	Rosedale and that is how disparate you know the the
00:23:58> 00:24:01:	ward system has landed us. So this allowed us to
00:24:01> 00:24:05:	preserve 12 deeply affordable residential units that were explicitly slated
00:24:05> 00:24:06:	by the seller.
00:24:06> 00:24:10:	For run evictions and conversions into over 250 percent, 300%
00:24:10> 00:24:13:	AMR rental rates when the existing tenants were paying \$400.00
00:24:13> 00:24:16:	a month in rent in the downtown core in Kensington
00:24:16> 00:24:20:	Market. So based on this acquisition partnership and a previous
00:24:20> 00:24:24:	acquisition with our sister organization that they piloted to acquire
00:24:24> 00:24:27:	rooming house in Parkdale, the City of Toronto then formalized
00:24:27> 00:24:30:	this type of partnership and now the program is called
00:24:31> 00:24:35:	the Multi Unit Residential acquisition program. So it's combination, it's
00:24:35> 00:24:36:	a combination of the mural.
00:24:36> 00:24:39:	Program as we would shorten it and open door funding
00:24:39> 00:24:42:	and some of the mechanisms through open door that give
00:24:42> 00:24:45:	US property tax relief etcetera in order to operate the
00:24:45> 00:24:48:	building long-term that make this project viable. And that program
00:24:48> 00:24:51:	sort of has a broader, has a broader advocacy intention
00:24:51> 00:24:54:	to it. It is also the city of Toronto's housing
00:24:54> 00:24:58:	secretary at trying to demonstrate to other Ontario municipalities and
00:24:58> 00:25:01:	other levels of government of how they can simultaneously address
00:25:01> 00:25:04:	both the loss and hemorrhage of existing affordable units while

00:25:04> 00:25:07:	advocating for the creation of new housing.
00:25:07> 00:25:10:	Talk as well, which is an interest that Ray and
00:25:10> 00:25:12:	l both share, yeah.
00:25:12> 00:25:15:	Excellent. I Andrew, I'm going to go to you next
00:25:15> 00:25:19:	with the same question. Which government programs have you accessed
00:25:19> 00:25:23:	recently to create affordable rental? What which levels of government
00:25:23> 00:25:26:	provided those support? Should I think there are some different
00:25:26> 00:25:29:	levels you were talking about and what's the value of
00:25:29> 00:25:33:	those supports been to your project, be they financial, logistical?
00:25:34> 00:25:38:	You know, in many ways I think the most relevant
00:25:38> 00:25:41:	word in your question is, is recent. You know, in
00:25:41> 00:25:45:	2018 Tricon along with Kilmer and Andrea were selected by
00:25:45> 00:25:48:	the province to build over 2500 units in the West
00:25:48> 00:25:53:	on lands immediately beside the Distillery district. And we're very
00:25:53> 00:25:57:	proud that all of those projects are all under construction
00:25:57> 00:26:01:	right now. You know, 2018 important year construction costs are
00:26:01> 00:26:05:	at a certain level interest rate sort of certain.
00:26:05> 00:26:08:	Level rents are at a certain level sort of the
00:26:08> 00:26:11:	stars all aligned. And so that project, you know the
00:26:12> 00:26:16:	the province contributed the land, the city of Toronto through
00:26:16> 00:26:20:	its open door program help provide financial support to the
00:26:20> 00:26:24:	affordable units and then CMHC RCI program played a significant
00:26:24> 00:26:28:	role. And so you know we're very excited to be
00:26:28> 00:26:31:	welcoming our first residents to Block 8, which is 660
00:26:31> 00:26:35:	units that will be coming online in Q1 of next
00:26:35> 00:26:35:	year.
00:26:35> 00:26:38:	Of which 30% of the units are affordable and you
00:26:38> 00:26:42:	know subsequent additional blocks are in advanced stages of construction.
00:26:42> 00:26:45:	We'll, you know, deliver in subsequent years. So this is
00:26:46> 00:26:48:	a really good news story and I think it it,
00:26:48> 00:26:51:	it shows the power of all three levels of government
00:26:51> 00:26:54:	working with the private sector. But you know, there is
00:26:54> 00:26:58:	a specific set of facts and economic outcomes that need
00:26:58> 00:26:59:	to align to make that happen.
00:27:01> 00:27:04:	You know, our sites as well in some instances benefited
00:27:04> 00:27:07:	from existing zoning and and you know Joe was part

00:27:07> 00:27:10:	of the similar program and I'll let him tell the
00:27:10> 00:27:12:	story, but he didn't benefit from a zone site that
00:27:13> 00:27:15:	allowed him to go so quickly and so he was
00:27:15> 00:27:17:	not able to get in started in 2018 and I'm
00:27:17> 00:27:20:	sure he'll talk about the implication of that.
00:27:21> 00:27:25:	They're Tricon and and Kilmer were selected last year by
00:27:25> 00:27:28:	the city to be a partner in another large scale
00:27:28> 00:27:32:	mixed income market and affordable project and you know I
00:27:32> 00:27:36:	I would say the set of government sort of preconditions
00:27:36> 00:27:39:	were similar to the the 2018 ones and I think
00:27:39> 00:27:44:	unfortunately with the confluence of costs rates you know rents
00:27:44> 00:27:47:	taking a huge dip during COVID and and really only
00:27:47> 00:27:50:	starting to see you know response now you know.
00:27:51> 00:27:54:	I think there's a lot of projects facing you know
00:27:54> 00:27:57:	pretty severe headwinds and so there is a significant number
00:27:57> 00:28:00:	of conversations going on with each one of those you
00:28:00> 00:28:04:	know, government constituencies to do their part. And you know
00:28:04> 00:28:07:	I think one thing that's important to note is not
00:28:07> 00:28:10:	one level of government can solve this. The, the, the,
00:28:10> 00:28:13:	the, the budget gap on these projects are big. Even
00:28:13> 00:28:16:	if one government said you know we'll reduce all our
00:28:16> 00:28:19:	levies that that probably would make the budget the project
00:28:19> 00:28:22:	viable. So it it, it tends to need to be.
00:28:22> 00:28:25:	You know, municipality does X, province does Y, feds do
00:28:25> 00:28:27:	you know Z and if if you don't get those
00:28:27> 00:28:30:	three it's not going to work. And so at this
00:28:30> 00:28:33:	time what's important is all three levels of government talk,
00:28:33> 00:28:36:	communicate and are willing to lead from the front instead
00:28:36> 00:28:39:	of saying, you know, what are you doing, what are
00:28:39> 00:28:42:	you doing, what are you doing because you know one,
00:28:42> 00:28:44:	one group won't be able to solve this.
00:28:44> 00:28:47:	I'm going to pass this one over to the ray
00:28:47> 00:28:50:	as well. Some examples of government support programs that have
00:28:50> 00:28:52:	access you've accessed.
00:28:52> 00:28:56:	What are the value of supports and how how is
00:28:56> 00:28:59:	it in Ontario or Toronto context?
00:29:00> 00:29:02:	Yeah and you know when our our our Members are
00:29:02> 00:29:06:	are definitely accessing a lot of the programs specifically designed
00:29:06> 00:29:09:	for for non market housing rapid Housing initiative Co

	Investment
00:29:09> 00:29:11:	Fund II. Myself in a pastoral I think I was
00:29:11> 00:29:15:	the first nonprofit to access the rental Construction Financing initiative
00:29:15> 00:29:17:	and and and what these do is these these programs
00:29:17> 00:29:20:	feel like after we have the problem we have in
00:29:20> 00:29:22:	nonprofit sectors we don't have very much equity at all
00:29:22> 00:29:25:	right. So you can either replace that equity with with
00:29:25> 00:29:28:	government grants or some of these programs you can reduce
00:29:28> 00:29:30:	the need for equity with ultra low borrowing rates.
00:29:31> 00:29:34:	That alone doesn't deliver particularly deep levels of, of of
00:29:34> 00:29:37:	affordability. So our Members are also going after, you know
00:29:37> 00:29:42:	as cheese talked about, programs from municipal governments, programs from.
00:29:42> 00:29:45:	Provincial governments not a lot on the table in Ontario
00:29:45> 00:29:47:	right now for us in in that respect. But but
00:29:48> 00:29:50:	the really big challenge with this is is not only
00:29:50> 00:29:53:	as you know as Andrew outlined the way that things
00:29:53> 00:29:56:	have shifted radically in the past three years in terms
00:29:56> 00:29:59:	of the economic landscape. You're absolutely right that not only
00:29:59> 00:30:02:	can not it's not one level of government alone that's
00:30:02> 00:30:04:	going to be able to solve this but but the
00:30:04> 00:30:07:	private and nonprofit sector are both going to be needed
00:30:07> 00:30:09:	to to seriously address this.
00:30:10> 00:30:12:	And part of that involves the the difficult risk position
00:30:12> 00:30:15:	that nonprofit operators are in, especially not having very, very
00:30:15> 00:30:18:	deep pockets. And I remember, you know, years ago when
00:30:18> 00:30:21:	CMHC was launching some of these programs, they would proudly
00:30:21> 00:30:23:	pro, they said we'll underwrite your project to a debt
00:30:23> 00:30:24:	coverage ratio of 1.0.
00:30:25> 00:30:28:	At like historical low interest rates were like well that's
00:30:28> 00:30:31:	not a good thing. That's actually transferring risk to a
00:30:31> 00:30:34:	nonprofit operator and that's a bad thing. You know and
00:30:34> 00:30:36:	and six or seven years now there's going to be
00:30:36> 00:30:38:	a whole lot of projects that are going to be
00:30:38> 00:30:41:	that are going to be noticing that. What I would
00:30:41> 00:30:43:	what I would love to see is for CMHC and
00:30:43> 00:30:46:	other governments to sit down with both private and nonprofit
00:30:46> 00:30:49:	expertise. The folks who have the boots on the ground

00:30:49> 00:30:51:	to Co design and and even Co deliver some of
00:30:51> 00:30:53:	these programs so they can adapt to what it is
00:30:53> 00:30:55:	we need rather than having government.
00:30:55> 00:30:57:	Yes, what it is, that's going to make the difference.
00:30:57> 00:30:59:	Sit down with us and we'll tell you what will
00:30:59> 00:31:00:	make the difference.
00:31:01> 00:31:04:	Thanks, ray. So John, I'm going to come to you,
00:31:04> 00:31:06:	but I'm actually going to kind of combine the this
00:31:06> 00:31:09:	question and the next one. So what are the supports
00:31:09> 00:31:12:	you've received, what are your successes? But also to to
00:31:12> 00:31:15:	raise point what are the the challenges you have in
00:31:15> 00:31:18:	dealing with the different levels of government. You know do
00:31:18> 00:31:23:	you have challenges with program restrictions, program access, application processes,
00:31:23> 00:31:26:	the speed of government processes versus the speed you guys
00:31:26> 00:31:29:	would like to be moving at anything within that spectrum?
00:31:30> 00:31:34:	Yeah, Andrew has me very nostalgic for 2018. There's a
00:31:34> 00:31:37:	there's an office quote that I quote often. It's I
00:31:37> 00:31:39:	wish there was a way to know you're in the
00:31:39> 00:31:43:	good old days before you've actually left them. 2018 was
00:31:43> 00:31:45:	great, but we are not in 2018 anymore. So a
00:31:45> 00:31:49:	little bit of a different different story started in the
00:31:49> 00:31:52:	same place in Andrew. But our our application for our
00:31:52> 00:31:56:	project that has three levels of government cooperation, 30% affordable
00:31:56> 00:31:59:	housing, we needed a rezoning so instead of being a
00:31:59> 00:32:01:	shovel ready in 2018.
00:32:01> 00:32:03:	When the economics lined up and we would have been
00:32:03> 00:32:06:	in the same position as Andrew and delivering these units,
00:32:07> 00:32:08:	we had to go through rezoning.
00:32:09> 00:32:12:	Bit of a challenge in in rezoning as we know
00:32:12> 00:32:15:	it took a little bit of time and unfortunately in
00:32:15> 00:32:18:	the middle of zoning and toward the end we ended
00:32:18> 00:32:22:	up in an economic cycle that this project just doesn't
00:32:22> 00:32:25:	make sense. And so that's kind of our process of
00:32:25> 00:32:28:	the city's open door was great. I think the the
00:32:28> 00:32:31:	future of these is there's there's a bit of a
00:32:31> 00:32:36:	disconnect between counting affordable housing and actually counting affordable housing
00:32:36> 00:32:39:	that's been delivered. So like when you look at CMHC
00:32:40> 00:32:40:	checklist.
00:32:40> 00:32:44:	Like RFI grade, our project is in RCI. Our project

00:32:44> 00:32:48:	is in open doors. Our project is in the provincial
00:32:48> 00:32:50:	palp, which is provincial.
00:32:50> 00:32:51:	Affordable housing.
00:32:51> 00:32:54:	Housing land, thank you. Thank you. OK. Thank you.
00:32:54> 00:32:55:	OK.
00:32:56> 00:32:59:	Thank you. So, so like we're in all of those
00:32:59> 00:33:02:	programs and when you look at the reports there, you
00:33:02> 00:33:05:	see a lot of houses on paper you know supposed
00:33:05> 00:33:08:	to be coming online. But what what the challenge is
00:33:08> 00:33:10:	now in 2022 where we are with the economy is
00:33:10> 00:33:14:	how do you actually recalibrate those programs to make sense
00:33:14> 00:33:17:	in 2022. And to me that's the entire thing is
00:33:17> 00:33:19:	we had success in 2018, those of us that were
00:33:19> 00:33:22:	able to build were able to build and now now
00:33:22> 00:33:25:	those units are being handed over. How do we make
00:33:25> 00:33:27:	that same successful outcome in 2022?
00:33:27> 00:33:30:	You have to recalibrate the programs. So to go on
00:33:30> 00:33:33:	my experience a little bit, the city has been great
00:33:33> 00:33:36:	in sitting down saying I know things have changed. So
00:33:36> 00:33:40:	that's that's the first recognition. The province has been really
00:33:40> 00:33:44:	good saying I know things has changed, things have changed.
00:33:45> 00:33:48:	Out of the three, those are really the only two
00:33:48> 00:33:51:	that that have an honest recognition of I know things
00:33:51> 00:33:55:	have changed and I can help. So the challenge with
00:33:55> 00:33:57:	us and I think the industry is CMHC has not
00:33:58> 00:34:01:	kept up their underwriting standards. So what made sense in
00:34:01> 00:34:05:	2018-2019, the program has simply not been updated to include
00:34:05> 00:34:07:	today's interest rate.
00:34:08> 00:34:10:	It, it is administered as if you were in 2019
00:34:10> 00:34:13:	and and when you take the result of that administration,
00:34:14> 00:34:17:	it doesn't work. So until that there's a recognition that
00:34:17> 00:34:20:	we're not in 2018 anymore at the federal level.
00:34:21> 00:34:23:	It's not going to work. So my whole thing is
00:34:23> 00:34:27:	recalibration of existing programs. We don't need new ideas, we
00:34:27> 00:34:30:	don't need existing. We don't need new programs. We just
00:34:30> 00:34:33:	need to input today's economics into the programs that already
00:34:33> 00:34:36:	exist. And again, City, Province have been great at that.
00:34:38> 00:34:40:	It's been nimble, Joe. Is that what you're asking?

00:34:40> 00:34:42:	For perhaps not nimble, but like between 2019 and 2020,
00:34:42> 00:34:45:	you have three years to, you know, keep up with
00:34:45> 00:34:45:	the change.
00:34:45> 00:34:48:	So OK. So, so I wanted to come back to
00:34:48> 00:34:53:	Chi on this one particularly my understanding is that Kensington
00:34:53> 00:34:56:	Market Community Land Trust is is so new.
00:34:57> 00:35:01:	What have been your challenges with engaging with the different
00:35:01> 00:35:06:	levels of government and government programs as a new grassroots
00:35:06> 00:35:11:	ground up organization entering into the Affordable housing rental space?
00:35:14> 00:35:17:	Yeah, I'm. I'm struggling with that and also juggling like
00:35:18> 00:35:20:	really wanting to respond to Joe directly.
00:35:20> 00:35:23:	Go ahead, go ahead. Respond to Joe directly. That's what
00:35:23> 00:35:23:	we're here for.
00:35:24> 00:35:26:	I mean, like, OK, so this, like this nostalgia for
00:35:26> 00:35:29:	2018 would be deeply insulting to my community members, right?
00:35:29> 00:35:32:	Because like, to them, it's like we're operating in this,
00:35:32> 00:35:34:	in this entirely alternate world, where why would there be
00:35:34> 00:35:37:	a baseline profit assumption in the numbers to make a
00:35:37> 00:35:39:	project work in the 1st place? I understand that we're
00:35:39> 00:35:42:	like operating entirely different sectors and of course that's not
00:35:42> 00:35:44:	a fair. Like, totally fair.
00:35:44> 00:35:46:	That that is the nature of your job but like
00:35:46> 00:35:48:	it just feels like if we are going to be
00:35:48> 00:35:51:	advocating towards government in general then we have to like
00:35:51> 00:35:55:	not narrow this conversation to the base assumption that only
00:35:55> 00:35:58:	the private sector develops develops and constructs housing. Right. Like
00:35:59> 00:36:02:	they're like the the private sector should not be standing
00:36:02> 00:36:05:	on its own. There should be a significant and interesting
00:36:05> 00:36:07:	like interesting for like all of us in our careers
00:36:07> 00:36:10:	diverse market that is non market to to not just
00:36:10> 00:36:13:	nonprofits but also like co-ops and unions and like women's
00:36:13> 00:36:14:	associations.
00:36:14> 00:36:18:	Historically have housed people broadly that are like federally funded
00:36:18> 00:36:21:	and provincially funded to like a interesting and significant degree.

00:36:22> 00:36:24:	And and if like if if it was true that
00:36:24> 00:36:27:	demand and supply in the market actually were the things
00:36:27> 00:36:30:	that dictated whether or not projects were going ahead then
00:36:30> 00:36:33:	then things would still be built. But the truth is
00:36:33> 00:36:36:	is that interest rates are what like is what actually
00:36:36> 00:36:39:	dictates it. And like a basic policy analysis would make
00:36:39> 00:36:42:	it really clear that like it's this false assumption that
00:36:42> 00:36:44:	if we were to focus on just how to.
00:36:44> 00:36:48:	Actually deliver this amount of units without questioning where those
00:36:48> 00:36:51:	units come from, who is operating them and are they
00:36:51> 00:36:54:	permanently affordable units? Then like we would in other like
00:36:54> 00:36:57:	I feel like Joel Roberts would say, like if prices
00:36:57> 00:36:59:	were being driven by truly a lack of supply and
00:36:59> 00:37:02:	nothing else and not the capacity to take on debt
00:37:02> 00:37:05:	and speculation, then interest rates wouldn't be having this effect
00:37:06> 00:37:08:	at all on the level of construction, right, if we
00:37:08> 00:37:12:	were honest with ourselves anyway to actually answer the question
00:37:12> 00:37:14:	though from from the grassroots perspective.
00:37:15> 00:37:18:	I think this will be interesting for some people. So
00:37:18> 00:37:20:	like the scale of like of of rents rising that
00:37:20> 00:37:22:	Joel also showed, like that's a graph that only shows
00:37:22> 00:37:25:	the last five years, right. If you look at the
00:37:25> 00:37:27:	scale of it in the broad sense, like Torontonians have
00:37:27> 00:37:30:	been evicted, like 2018 was one of the highest years
00:37:30> 00:37:32:	for just like evictions and people dying on the street.
00:37:32> 00:37:34:	So due to the nature of our very unique solution,
00:37:35> 00:37:37:	actually surprisingly I feel like the funding we have accessed
00:37:37> 00:37:40:	has been incredibly nimble, really fast and easy, like flexible
00:37:40> 00:37:42:	to access, which I think is surprising.
00:37:43> 00:37:44:	But in a good word for us.
00:37:44> 00:37:47:	Yeah, like it's it's been really surprising but I think
00:37:47> 00:37:50:	it's because it's the nature of my project you know
00:37:50> 00:37:52:	as opposed to as opposed to the numbers that you
00:37:52> 00:37:55:	guys are bringing forward and was that anything I would
00:37:55> 00:37:58:	I would like step back and say that the main
00:37:58> 00:38:01:	limitation in accessing government support is that we just like
00:38:01> 00:38:04:	aren't thinking on the level of transformative investments that were
00:38:05> 00:38:08:	present decades ago like before my lifetime, right like the

00:38:08> 00:38:11:	kinds of the the sheer amount of investments and cooperative
00:38:11> 00:38:14:	units and nonprofit units and non market sector that we
00:38:14> 00:38:14:	were building.
00:38:14> 00:38:18:	Like public housing I'm talking about structural care there's never
00:38:18> 00:38:20:	been such a dry spell and there's like this generational
00:38:20> 00:38:23:	amnesia that I want to describe also because I've like
00:38:23> 00:38:26:	been able to operate not just in the Canadian sector
00:38:26> 00:38:28:	that's incredibly narrow and limited. Like you could look to
00:38:29> 00:38:31:	any other country and realize that there has never like
00:38:31> 00:38:34:	I've never seen a housing market that has such has
00:38:34> 00:38:37:	such a disproportionate reliance on just the for profit private
00:38:37> 00:38:39:	sector to develop these units. It's like this is deeply
00:38:39> 00:38:42:	abnormal less than 5% of Canada's like housing units I've
00:38:42> 00:38:44:	heard are are are not non market are sorry.
00:38:44> 00:38:47:	Are non market units in Austria, it's like 60% and
00:38:47> 00:38:50:	my rents would be \$500.00 a month, right EUR and
00:38:50> 00:38:53:	that's a, that's a proportion that truly finally has like
00:38:53> 00:38:56:	a substantive effect on the overall housing sub market in
00:38:56> 00:38:59:	Canada. But I just I feel like the conversations get
00:38:59> 00:39:02:	really into the weeds and we never actually step back
00:39:02> 00:39:05:	and like look at like Joel, like people like Joe
00:39:05> 00:39:08:	and Andrew which should not be like operating in this
00:39:08> 00:39:11:	like weird silo where the entire burden of delivering all
00:39:11> 00:39:13:	of this is just on their one sector like at
00:39:13> 00:39:15:	that, that would be so narrow.
00:39:15> 00:39:16:	For us to to frame it like that.
00:39:17> 00:39:19:	OK, I'm, I'm getting some nods out of Ray. So
00:39:19> 00:39:22:	Ray, I'm gonna give you like 30 seconds to jump
00:39:22> 00:39:24:	in on on team, not-for-profit housing here.
00:39:25> 00:39:28:	Yeah, thank. Thank you so much G for bringing that
00:39:28> 00:39:30:	up. This is exactly you know what I've what I've
00:39:30> 00:39:34:	been thinking Joe it goes beyond recalibrating these kinds of
00:39:34> 00:39:37:	programs. I mean the ground has shifted enough and and
00:39:37> 00:39:40:	she you're absolutely right if we look back 2530 years
00:39:40> 00:39:43:	the the level of investments that the kind of
00:39:43> 00:39:47:	restraints that are artificially put on what, what what what
00:39:47> 00:39:50:	government and non market players can do now didn't seem
00:39:50> 00:39:52:	to be an obstacle back then and and I think
00:39:52> 00:39:55:	we need to draw on the expertise and the capacity
00:39:55> 00:39:56:	of the private sector.
00:39:57> 00:39:59:	We need to reimagine what housing can look like.

00:40:00> 00:40:03:	OK. I'm going to go to Andrew to speak to
00:40:03> 00:40:06:	you can. You can either choose to speak to the
00:40:06> 00:40:10:	topics that have just been raised or you can speak
00:40:10> 00:40:13:	to the the challenges that you have had in interacting
00:40:13> 00:40:17:	with some of these programs similar to Joe's, different from
00:40:18> 00:40:18:	Joe's.
00:40:20> 00:40:22:	Yeah, I mean, again, I want to make the point,
00:40:22> 00:40:22:	you know?
00:40:23> 00:40:26:	One of these can't fix it alone so even if
00:40:26> 00:40:30:	you know we went with Joe's you know perspective on
00:40:30> 00:40:32:	on some of the CMHC challenges in in in my
00:40:33> 00:40:36:	personal opinion all they can do is change the capital
00:40:36> 00:40:40:	stack it doesn't in the amount of debt and equity
00:40:40> 00:40:43:	it doesn't change that the yield on cost from a
00:40:43> 00:40:47:	you know operating income divided by cost perspective is is
00:40:47> 00:40:51:	under pressure in these. So I in many ways actually
00:40:51> 00:40:53:	I've had a maybe more of a in between.
00:40:53> 00:40:58:	Experience between G and and and Joe I found CMHC
00:40:58> 00:40:58:	to be.
00:40:59> 00:41:04:	Responsive and proactive, where I have personally found
	challenges and
00:41:04> 00:41:07:	I I share this often, is when I make presentations
00:41:08> 00:41:12:	to government, I'm typically and sorachi to keep going back
00:41:12> 00:41:15:	to periods of time. But I often give the same
00:41:15> 00:41:18:	presentation today that I gave in 2016 and 17 about,
00:41:19> 00:41:22:	you know, if we are truly trying to catalyze more
00:41:22> 00:41:26:	rental housing, which is a stated goal of all three
00:41:26> 00:41:29:	levels of government for the City of Toronto's housing.
00:41:29> 00:41:34:	Plan. The province is more homes, more choice. Plan the
00:41:34> 00:41:38:	National Housing strategy. Why are we taxing this at about
00:41:38> 00:41:42:	25% of project budgets, that is a huge needle mover
00:41:42> 00:41:46:	on project viability. And the folks who can solve that
00:41:46> 00:41:50:	are more at the municipal and provincial level. And they
00:41:50> 00:41:54:	know this. They've heard this for 7-8 years now. Where
00:41:54> 00:41:58:	I I find the challenge arises is there's no shortage
00:41:58> 00:42:00:	of enthusiasm by the executives.
00:42:00> 00:42:03:	That in City Hall and the Premier's office and the
00:42:03> 00:42:06:	like, but in terms of actually taking ideas and and
00:42:06> 00:42:10:	shifting those to action that moves the needle on project
00:42:10> 00:42:13:	viability, it's it's been elusive and so I think it's
00:42:13> 00:42:16:	followed through. They know that people know what the
	needles

00:42:17> 00:42:20:	are, it's development charges, it's HST at the municipal and
00:42:20> 00:42:24:	provincial level and I think seeing change there will unlock
00:42:24> 00:42:26:	a significant amount of new supply.
00:42:26> 00:42:29:	So and then the both of the projects that Andrew
00:42:29> 00:42:31:	and and Joe that you spoke about.
00:42:31> 00:42:36:	You did say there were some 40% AMR units in
00:42:36> 00:42:40:	these, but my assumption is that that is.
00:42:41> 00:42:44:	3% of the overall units in the building, maybe even
00:42:44> 00:42:47:	less like what? What sort of a if I'm building
00:42:47> 00:42:48:	1000 units in one of?
00:42:48> 00:42:50:	These big and also for how many years?
00:42:52> 00:42:53:	20 years how like what sort of range are?
00:42:53> 00:42:57:	You creating our projects. It's 100 years of affordability.
00:42:58> 00:43:02:	And with respect to your question, I think what's unique
00:43:02> 00:43:05:	about the projects that Joe and I are involved in
00:43:05> 00:43:09:	is the model is creating a thriving complete community where
00:43:09> 00:43:12:	all units are finished to the same standard, units are
00:43:12> 00:43:17:	randomly distributed throughout the communities. Everyone
	gets access to the
00:43:17> 00:43:21:	same amenities service, whether it's, you know, mobile apps
	or
00:43:21> 00:43:25:	resident programming, community events and the like, you
	don't know
00:43:25> 00:43:28:	who lives behind the door and that's by design and
00:43:28> 00:43:28:	SO.
00:43:29> 00:43:33:	Four of these communities and and candidly like this is
00:43:33> 00:43:36:	a model that was borrowed from the US it was
00:43:36> 00:43:40:	set by our our governments. They dictate the AMR levels.
00:43:40> 00:43:44:	And again in the spirit of creating a thriving complete
00:43:44> 00:43:49:	community, it's not about people, it's not by design about
00:43:49> 00:43:52:	people on spectrums and so you know by and large
00:43:52> 00:43:56:	mark you're right it's, it's has a higher AMR focus
00:43:56> 00:43:59:	but again the spirit of it is providing.
00:43:59> 00:44:04:	Workforce housing for you know, hospitality workers, first responders, nurses,
00:44:04> 00:44:08:	teachers, the the backbone of our city and and to
00:44:08> 00:44:11:	you know to to borrow what she said earlier, this
00:44:12> 00:44:15:	is not an ore concept. It's an Ann concept and
00:44:15> 00:44:20:	there's absolutely a need for more affordable housing that's
	forward
	focused
00:44:20> 00:44:24:	on the lower end of the spectrum. Many constituents and
00:44:20> 00:44:24: 00:44:24> 00:44:29:	

00:44:29> 00:44:29:	don't.
00:44:29> 00:44:32:	Wanna live in, in the buildings that I was articulating,
00:44:32> 00:44:35:	they want more that you know, people tend to be
00:44:35> 00:44:37:	want to be clustered in one space. They want more
00:44:37> 00:44:41:	supportive resources in that building. We have lots of conversations
00:44:41> 00:44:44:	with the not for profits in our integrated communities and
00:44:44> 00:44:47:	that's their expressed view. So it's it's absolutely an and
00:44:47> 00:44:50:	concept. I think what you know, she is referencing is
00:44:50> 00:44:53:	critically important and I think for what Joe and I
00:44:53> 00:44:57:	are doing creating these thriving complete communities, there's also a
00:44:57> 00:44:59:	need there and the AMR levels are different.
00:45:00> 00:45:04:	Excellent. Alright, we're down to the last 15 minutes here
00:45:04> 00:45:07:	and I want to get through all these questions. So
00:45:07> 00:45:10:	I'm going to ask all of you in these next
00:45:10> 00:45:13:	sort of rounds of questions to try and keep it
00:45:13> 00:45:16:	to a minute or so in your responses so we
00:45:16> 00:45:19:	can try and get through all the content and we
00:45:20> 00:45:23:	can get here everybody's voice again. So I want to
00:45:23> 00:45:25:	go to Ray and and Ray.
00:45:26> 00:45:29:	Your overall impression of the the rapid housing strategy and
00:45:29> 00:45:33:	the sort of the National Housing strategy, the federal National
00:45:33> 00:45:38:	Housing strategy, the rapid housing portion of it, rental, construction,
00:45:38> 00:45:41:	finance, other components of it. If you can give us
00:45:41> 00:45:41:	90 seconds.
00:45:43> 00:45:44:	In 90 seconds.
00:45:44> 00:45:46:	So, so look I don't want to understate the the
00:45:47> 00:45:50:	National Housing strategy was a big deal and seeing the
00:45:50> 00:45:53:	federal government come into it's particularly non market housing was
00:45:53> 00:45:55:	a huge a huge step and it's a pretty big
00:45:56> 00:45:59:	price tag attached to it. Not that everything was perfect
00:45:59> 00:46:01:	and and the CMHC staff that I've worked have always
00:46:01> 00:46:04:	been open to trying to get things right and trying
00:46:04> 00:46:07:	to trying to improve them. But we've had a sea
00:46:07> 00:46:09:	change in the past three years and it's not a
00:46:09> 00:46:13:	question of tinkering with those programs anymore. There needs to
00:46:13> 00:46:13:	be a.
00:46:13> 00:46:16:	A reset on the National Housing strategy. You know in
00:46:16> 00:46:19:	2017 the National Housing strategy focused very much on

	the
00:46:19> 00:46:22:	non market Community Housing side of things and then 2021
00:46:22> 00:46:26:	government is scrambling to come up with measures and
	regulations
00:46:26> 00:46:29:	that will also address the housing crisis as it inches
00:46:29> 00:46:32:	up the income spectrum toward toward market based
00:46:32> 00:46:35:	housing as well. Those those things should all have been to the
00:46:35> 00:46:38:	same well thought out. It's part of an economic strategy
00:46:38> 00:46:41:	isn't just a social service strategy, this is an economic
00:46:41> 00:46:43:	strategy, it is a fundamental driver.
00:46:43> 00:46:47:	As as as Joe mentioned really eloquently at the opening,
00:46:47> 00:46:50:	this is part of our immigration and population growth strategy,
00:46:50> 00:46:53:	this is part of how cities grow, this is part
00:46:53> 00:46:56:	of who we are as Canadians and it needs to
00:46:56> 00:46:59:	be revisited now because yesterday it's too late.
00:46:59> 00:47:02:	OK. Joe to you another quick response on rapid on
00:47:02> 00:47:06:	the National Housing strategy and what you what you like,
00:47:06> 00:47:08:	what your challenges are?
00:47:09> 00:47:10:	Same thing is right was it was a big deal
00:47:10> 00:47:12:	and I just want to make the point that it
00:47:12> 00:47:13:	did work like there was a time where it was.
00:47:14> 00:47:16:	Very helpful tool to deliver. You could set your sites
00:47:17> 00:47:19:	and use it to deliver housing. I use the word
00:47:19> 00:47:22:	recalibrate. I thought I was being polite. I've heard much
00:47:22> 00:47:25:	much worse things here, but I'll go back to my
00:47:25> 00:47:28:	recalibrate. It could be an effective tool if it was
00:47:28> 00:47:28:	updated.
00:47:29> 00:47:30:	To be put politely, thank you, Ray.
00:47:31> 00:47:34:	OK. She do you want to speak briefly to National
00:47:34> 00:47:38:	Housing strategy, the rapid housing, which I think funded
00:47:38> 00:47:39:	some of yours?
00:47:40> 00:47:42:	And and what your your current take on it? Are
00:47:43> 00:47:45:	we on the right track or the wrong track? I
00:47:45> 00:47:45:	think wrong.
00:47:45> 00:47:48:	Track I think we're entirely on the wrong track. And
00:47:48> 00:47:50:	I will say that the the simple succinct way of
00:47:50> 00:47:53:	saying why is that yes, the National Housing strategy was
00:47:53> 00:47:56:	an active strategy, but there was, there was a there
00:47:56> 00:47:58:	was this conflation of all ex strategies being the same.
00:47:58> 00:48:01:	And it is entirely different for an active strategy to
00:48:01> 00:48:03:	be housing as a human right. And so it meets

00:48:03> 00:48:06:	like a basic human need and people have shelter and
00:48:06> 00:48:09:	can focus their attention and economic activity towards doing
	other
00:48:09> 00:48:10:	things, getting jobs.
00:48:10> 00:48:14:	Versus an active strategy as homeownership and housing as
00:48:14> 00:48:17:	a financial asset that people are investing in as their retirement
00:48:17> 00:48:21:	funds as an over relied on investment vehicle. Housing is
00:48:21> 00:48:24:	fundamentally an investment in Canada which is not going to
00:48:24> 00:48:27:	create a functional society. This is like a deep deep
00:48:27> 00:48:30:	ill that no one in this particular room could look
00:48:30> 00:48:33:	like is is kind of like contributing to our solving
00:48:33> 00:48:35:	on their own. So from that view I would say
00:48:35> 00:48:38:	no it was it's like an incredibly flawed and we
00:48:38> 00:48:41:	really need to hard rethink how we think about housing.
00:48:42> 00:48:46:	OK. Uh Andrews, talk to us very briefly about housing
00:48:46> 00:48:49:	now land. I heard you mentioned that some housing now
00:48:49> 00:48:54:	land, the provincial affordable housing land program. If
	there's a
00:48:54> 00:48:58:	federal equivalent to the Federal Lands program, how much does
00:48:58> 00:49:03:	that land provision from government assist the kinds of
	projects
	projects
00:49:03> 00:49:05:	that you're trying to build?
00:49:03> 00:49:05: 00:49:06> 00:49:09:	
	that you're trying to build?
00:49:06> 00:49:09:	that you're trying to build? It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13:	that you're trying to build? It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16:	<ul><li>that you're trying to build?</li><li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li></ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:32> 00:49:35:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:32> 00:49:35:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:35> 00:49:35: 00:49:36> 00:49:39:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:32> 00:49:35: 00:49:35> 00:49:36: 00:49:36> 00:49:39:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> <li>program said 40,000 units by 2030 affordable units and it's</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:35> 00:49:35: 00:49:36> 00:49:39:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:32> 00:49:35: 00:49:35> 00:49:36: 00:49:36> 00:49:39:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> <li>program said 40,000 units by 2030 affordable units and it's</li> <li>really actually 44,000 because 4000 incremental are</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:32> 00:49:35: 00:49:35> 00:49:36: 00:49:36> 00:49:39: 00:49:39> 00:49:43: 00:49:43> 00:49:47:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> <li>program said 40,000 units by 2030 affordable units and it's</li> <li>really actually 44,000 because 4000 incremental are</li> <li>supposed to be</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:35> 00:49:35: 00:49:36> 00:49:39: 00:49:39> 00:49:43: 00:49:43> 00:49:47:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> <li>program said 40,000 units by 2030 affordable units and it's</li> <li>really actually 44,000 because 4000 incremental are</li> <li>supposed to be</li> <li>ownership. If we are not starting and starting means shovels</li> <li>in the ground. I don't know what this word approval</li> <li>means. They talk about 40,000 approved units. That's this</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:32> 00:49:35: 00:49:36> 00:49:39: 00:49:39> 00:49:43: 00:49:43> 00:49:51: 00:49:51> 00:49:54:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> <li>program said 40,000 units by 2030 affordable units and it's</li> <li>really actually 44,000 because 4000 incremental are</li> <li>supposed to be</li> <li>ownership. If we are not starting and starting means shovels</li> <li>in the ground. I don't know what this word approval</li> <li>means. They talk about 40,000 approved units. That's this</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:28> 00:49:32: 00:49:32> 00:49:35: 00:49:35> 00:49:36: 00:49:36> 00:49:39: 00:49:39> 00:49:43: 00:49:43> 00:49:51: 00:49:51> 00:49:54: 00:49:54> 00:49:58:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> <li>program said 40,000 units by 2030 affordable units and it's</li> <li>really actually 44,000 because 4000 incremental are</li> <li>supposed to be</li> <li>ownership. If we are not starting and starting means shovels</li> <li>in the ground. I don't know what this word approval</li> <li>means. They talk about 40,000 approved units. That's this</li> </ul>
00:49:06> 00:49:09: 00:49:09> 00:49:13: 00:49:13> 00:49:16: 00:49:16> 00:49:20: 00:49:20> 00:49:24: 00:49:24> 00:49:28: 00:49:32> 00:49:32: 00:49:35> 00:49:35: 00:49:36> 00:49:39: 00:49:39> 00:49:43: 00:49:43> 00:49:51: 00:49:51> 00:49:51: 00:49:51> 00:49:58:	<ul> <li>that you're trying to build?</li> <li>It, it's a, it's a it's a part of the</li> <li>equation. You know, that's one element of a contribution. The</li> <li>other is the open door relief, the other is CMHC.</li> <li>So again it's, it's it's all important, it's not it.</li> <li>And ultimately like we're all solving for the totality of</li> <li>outcome and and all of those inputs matter. You know,</li> <li>you asked this question earlier like how's it going? What's</li> <li>what's the outcome here. I mean I think you know,</li> <li>Mayor Torian 2020.</li> <li>Is part of the City of Toronto's like housing TO</li> <li>program said 40,000 units by 2030 affordable units and it's</li> <li>really actually 44,000 because 4000 incremental are</li> <li>supposed to be</li> <li>ownership. If we are not starting and starting means shovels</li> <li>in the ground. I don't know what this word approval</li> <li>means. They talk about 40,000 approved units. That's this much</li> <li>of a development process. Still got to build it and</li> </ul>

00.00.00 > 00.00.44.	Veu know 4400 units norwast to deliver on that
00:50:08> 00:50:11:	You know, 4400 units per year to deliver on that
00:50:11> 00:50:15:	promise and we are a fraction of that like we
00:50:15> 00:50:18:	might be 3 to 4000 units in total over the
00:50:18> 00:50:21:	last, you know, three years where it should be 12
00:50:21> 00:50:25:	plus and you know nothing's happening right now. So no,
00:50:26> 00:50:29:	we are way off track. So I I think recalibrating
00:50:29> 00:50:31:	is a nice word we need.
00:50:31> 00:50:34:	Restart on a lot of these program designs.
00:50:34> 00:50:36:	And not to talk to the city in particular, but
00:50:36> 00:50:39:	all levels of government, often those targets that are set
00:50:39> 00:50:42:	are set far in the future. They're kind of New
00:50:42> 00:50:45:	Year's resolutions. I'm I'm going to lose weight and I'm
00:50:45> 00:50:47:	going to go to the gym more. But do you
00:50:47> 00:50:50:	actually lose weight and go to the gym more? Do
00:50:50> 00:50:52:	you put the effort in and put your steps in
00:50:52> 00:50:54:	every day to get to that target that you set
00:50:54> 00:50:57:	for yourself? So we're down to the last 10 minutes
00:50:57> 00:51:00:	here, and I wanted to jump into our speed round
00:51:00> 00:51:02:	and then head it back over to Richard.
00:51:02> 00:51:05:	See if there's any questions in the Q&A. So we
00:51:05> 00:51:08:	are one month from Christmas Eve today each of you
00:51:08> 00:51:12:	get to ask Mayor Tory, Premier Ford and Prime Minister
00:51:12> 00:51:16:	Trudeau for one change or improvement to a government supported
00:51:16> 00:51:20:	rental housing program at their level of government. What is
00:51:20> 00:51:23:	your dear Santa? Ask for each of them. So I'm
00:51:23> 00:51:26:	going to start with Ray on this, ray for a
00:51:26> 00:51:29:	mayor. What is your dear Santa? Ask for a mayor
00:51:29> 00:51:30:	briefly.
00:51:30> 00:51:32:	I love this because just this week I've gone on
00:51:32> 00:51:32:	the record.
00:51:33> 00:51:35:	As accusing Bill 23 at the provincial level of of
00:51:35> 00:51:39:	being a private developers Christmas wish list for for Mayor
00:51:39> 00:51:41:	Tory my ask if I get to sit on his
00:51:41> 00:51:44:	knee would be figure out a way how to maintain
00:51:44> 00:51:47:	that acquisition program Mira that that that she I mentioned
00:51:47> 00:51:50:	even though Bill 23 has gutted a lot of the
00:51:50> 00:51:53:	funding that that actually finances it for Premier Ford. Yes
00:51:53> 00:51:57:	there needs to be more housing supply when we're setting
00:51:57> 00:52:00:	targets. Think about also targets for Community Housing
	supply as
00:52:01> 00:52:03:	as as as she mentioned no less than 5%.

00:52:03> 00:52:06:	Of the housing stock across the country is is Community
00:52:06> 00:52:08:	Housing. I think we all agree that there needs to
00:52:09> 00:52:12:	be more of that kind of supportive, nonprofit, mixed income
00:52:12> 00:52:12:	housing.
00:52:14> 00:52:16:	If we're setting a target of 1.5 million new homes
00:52:16> 00:52:19:	in the province, just to maintain the status quo, 75,000
00:52:20> 00:52:22:	of those have to be Community Housing, and I think
00:52:22> 00:52:25:	we all agree there needs to be even more than
00:52:25> 00:52:25:	that.
00:52:26> 00:52:30:	A request from from Santa Elf, Justin Trudeau.
00:52:30> 00:52:34:	Uh, yes. Indigenous urban housing strategy. And there needs to
00:52:34> 00:52:37:	be a dollar figure attached to that in 2023 budget.
00:52:37> 00:52:39:	And it needs to have 10 digits.
00:52:39> 00:52:42:	OK Andrew, I'm going to you with your dear Santa
00:52:42> 00:52:44:	asks for the Mayor of Toronto.
00:52:45> 00:52:48:	Very good one so far. One for Tori eliminates development
00:52:48> 00:52:52:	charges for market rate units. It makes no sense that
00:52:52> 00:52:56:	we're taxing condo and rental the same when there's a
00:52:56> 00:52:59:	clear goal of more rental. Two, HST that's paid to
00:52:59> 00:53:03:	the province and the city is the biggest government levy.
00:53:03> 00:53:07:	Again, if we're trying to incent more rental, either index
00:53:07> 00:53:11:	the the rebate payment from 1991, eliminate it, push it
00:53:11> 00:53:14:	back to the point that the projects are sold but
00:53:14> 00:53:15:	having to pay.
00:53:15> 00:53:19:	You know roughly 13% of assessed value at stabilization is
00:53:19> 00:53:22:	a massive drag on these projects and tips viability. And
00:53:22> 00:53:26:	then finally longer term CMHC financing, I think these projects
00:53:26> 00:53:29:	are incredibly tight out of the gates. And so longer
00:53:29> 00:53:33:	term financing that gives you certainty for a longer period
00:53:33> 00:53:36:	of time to amortize your debt and you know grow
00:53:36> 00:53:40:	into your debt service would give you know people more
00:53:40> 00:53:43:	more confidence. So no DC for market units push HST
00:53:43> 00:53:46:	out until projects are sold and longer term.
00:53:46> 00:53:47:	CMHC financing.
00:53:47> 00:53:50:	OK, she, she, she.
00:53:50> 00:53:53:	OK, I'm going to jump in with the opposite for
00:53:53> 00:53:56:	Tory. I want him to stop giving away all tax
00:53:56> 00:54:00:	concessions for non permanent agreements. I want Ford at the
00:54:00> 00:54:03:	provincial level first of all to get out of office,
00:54:03> 00:54:07:	but then to distribute massive investments in new affordable

	housing.
00:54:07> 00:54:10:	Build an actual housing program bigger, better than an arm
00:54:11> 00:54:14:	like BC housing. For Trudeau I would want a massive
00:54:14> 00:54:17:	investment, a massive investment to the degree that we saw
00:54:17> 00:54:20:	in the Sixties, 70s and 80s in public housing that.
00:54:20> 00:54:24:	Well, includes beyond an indigenous housing strategy that
	has real
00:54:24> 00:54:27:	dollar amounts to it. I gotta echo Ray there until
00:54:27> 00:54:31:	it constitutes over 50% of the Canadian housing stock to
00:54:31> 00:54:33:	actually stabilize this crisis.
00:54:33> 00:54:36:	OK. I'm gonna add to you just a stat that
00:54:36> 00:54:39:	in the city of Toronto a billion federal dollars gets
00:54:39> 00:54:43:	about 2100 housing units. So when you say a massive
00:54:43> 00:54:47:	investment, it's really massive. Like we're talking 10s of billions
00:54:47> 00:54:51:	of dollars to create the kinds of units you're talking
00:54:51> 00:54:55:	about. And I think politicians are not comfortable talking with
00:54:55> 00:54:59:	that scale of number being dedicated to housing. Joe, you're.
00:54:59> 00:55:00:	This was a Christmas wish.
00:55:02> 00:55:05:	We're also giving away that many trillions of dollars in
00:55:05> 00:55:06:	taxes lost, so.
00:55:06> 00:55:09:	l your your your Christmas wish list. It's great. I
00:55:09> 00:55:12:	totally agree with you. I just I think we wanted
00:55:12> 00:55:15:	to quantify the number on it because that is a
00:55:15> 00:55:18:	number that when we're all of those are talking to
00:55:18> 00:55:21:	politicians we need to be talking about the billions of
00:55:21> 00:55:24:	dollars of investment. Joe you want your Christmas wish list
00:55:24> 00:55:27:	for Mayor, Tory, Premier, Ford and Prime Minister.
00:55:27> 00:55:30:	Trudeau, you know what? I'll have the same interest of
00:55:30> 00:55:32:	time. It's the same wish. Let's get together.
00:55:33> 00:55:37:	Talk about and ensure that the amount of immigration that
00:55:37> 00:55:41:	we're bringing in matches a very defined business plan to
00:55:41> 00:55:45:	deliver that many units within that. You'll see that that
00:55:45> 00:55:49:	that spectrum should include Ray Shiji, Andrew, myself. Like within
00:55:49> 00:55:53:	that 500,000 there's a bunch of different strategies. Do not
00:55:53> 00:55:57:	disagree, but to completely you know, allow people in and
00:55:57> 00:56:01:	not have houses for them is just a complete atrocity
00:56:01> 00:56:03:	for our country and then like.
00:56:03> 00:56:06:	My parents are immigrants. This is not the country they
00:56:06> 00:56:08:	came to. So I'd hate for my children and the
00:56:08> 00:56:11:	next generation of immigrants to have a much different Canadian

00:56:12> 00:56:14: 00:56:14> 00:56:18:	lifestyle that I've enjoyed. So housing has to be up front. You cannot announce 500,000 people without having
00.00.14> 00.00.10.	500,000 homes.
00:56:18> 00:56:21:	So that's it for me. Match the immigration to the
00:56:21> 00:56:24:	supply and using all of us. There's many different ways
00:56:24> 00:56:27:	to get affordable housing and different levels, and we're all
00:56:27> 00:56:27:	here.
00:56:28> 00:56:31:	So we or match the supply to the immigration and
00:56:31> 00:56:32:	have high targets for both.
00:56:32> 00:56:34:	Absolutely, 100%.
00:56:35> 00:56:37:	I got one question from the audience and I just
00:56:37> 00:56:40:	want to point out that somebody said this is not
00:56:40> 00:56:42:	a question, but they'd like to say how much they
00:56:43> 00:56:45:	enjoyed cheese being on the panel, chi and raping. A
00:56:45> 00:56:49:	very refreshing discourse for the conversation. We should do this
00:56:49> 00:56:51:	in person at the spring meeting next year. If we
00:56:51> 00:56:53:	don't do it in a panel, we should do it
00:56:53> 00:56:56:	in the bar at the hotel, if nothing else. All
00:56:56> 00:56:58:	right, so one question here for Joe and Andrew. Have
00:56:58> 00:57:02:	you explored partnerships with nonprofit operators to deliver affordable units
00:57:02> 00:57:05:	in the past? Would that would be operated managed by
00:57:05> 00:57:06:	nonprofits?
00:57:06> 00:57:09:	Example heila from Daniel spoke to projects with wood, green,
00:57:09> 00:57:12:	large and other nonprofits. You've got each got one minute.
00:57:14> 00:57:17:	You know, for the projects we do, as I said
00:57:17> 00:57:21:	earlier, it's an integrated housing model where everyone is treated
00:57:21> 00:57:25:	equally. And so it's one management model for the entire
00:57:25> 00:57:26:	community.
00:57:27> 00:57:30:	You know we we work with many not for profits
00:57:30> 00:57:34:	from, you know, single mothers, wood, green, interval house, you
00:57:34> 00:57:38:	know all arts and culture, Artscape, performing Arts, Lodge, Costy
00:57:38> 00:57:41:	with newcomers to Canada, a long list. We take a
00:57:41> 00:57:45:	single operate single operational approach so all units are managed,
00:57:45> 00:57:49:	you know, by Tricon as the single operator. Again for
00:57:49> 00:57:52:	Daniels who tends to build more segmented housing where it's
00:57:52> 00:57:56:	a single block allocated to a single, not-for-profit. I think

00:57:56> 00:57:57:	that model.
00:57:57> 00:58:00:	Can work, but we're doing something.
00:58:00> 00:58:03:	Different. OK. Let me flip that question really quickly. We're
00:58:03> 00:58:07:	down to the last two minutes. Yeah. Ray Antichi, would
00:58:07> 00:58:10:	you be interested in partnering with people like choice and
00:58:10> 00:58:12:	Tricon on some of their opportunities?
00:58:13> 00:58:14:	Yes.
00:58:15> 00:58:19:	Unfortunately, I'm beholden to a democratic ownership model, so there's
00:58:19> 00:58:20:	no room for it.
00:58:20> 00:58:22:	I don't need to apologize for that. It's all part
00:58:22> 00:58:24:	of the spectrum. And now I'm going to turn it
00:58:24> 00:58:26:	back over to Richard and really thank this panel. It's
00:58:26> 00:58:28:	been a great hour. We could have done another hour
00:58:28> 00:58:29:	I think.
00:58:30> 00:58:33:	Indeed we could and I also want to thank the
00:58:33> 00:58:38:	the panel mark, starting with you for wrangling a lot
00:58:38> 00:58:43:	of conversation and a lot of disparate perspectives. Up until
00:58:43> 00:58:47:	today and including today, I I I agree with the
00:58:47> 00:58:50:	comment about G and ray being on our panel and
00:58:50> 00:58:54:	frankly I'd like to say it publicly right now. I
00:58:54> 00:58:58:	hope that you will both allow us to do a
00:58:58> 00:58:59:	deeper dive in your.
00:59:00> 00:59:03:	A part of the equation because I think we need
00:59:03> 00:59:06:	to do much more discussion in that in that space
00:59:06> 00:59:09:	than we've allowed for today. Andrew and Joe have been
00:59:09> 00:59:13:	fantastic contributors both on this panel and in broader work
00:59:13> 00:59:16:	that we do around affordability with UTI. I I can't
00:59:17> 00:59:20:	thank them enough. So so thank you and it's it's
00:59:20> 00:59:23:	it's 1:00 o'clock. We always end on time. You probably
00:59:23> 00:59:27:	hopefully see some of the upcoming events that we have
00:59:27> 00:59:30:	on the screen. We'll look forward to seeing you.
00:59:30> 00:59:33:	If not tomorrow, very, very soon. All the best. Bye
00:59:33> 00:59:33:	bye.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact [email protected].