

Event Session

The Atlanta Model

Date: February 25???26, 2025

00:00:00> 00:00:02:	Hey, good morning, good afternoon.
00:00:04> 00:00:06:	Everybody could have kind of take a seat.
00:00:06> 00:00:10:	We'll get started with our closing general session here.
00:00:16> 00:00:19:	I thank you all for joining this Housing Opportunity conference.
00:00:19> 00:00:22:	I think this has been a record attendance.
00:00:22> 00:00:25:	I always project second day will be about 80% of
00:00:25> 00:00:26:	first day.
00:00:27> 00:00:29:	And look, a lot of you came back, so I
00:00:29> 00:00:32:	hope you're enjoying it and learning a lot.
00:00:34> 00:00:36:	I want to thank you all I staff and members
00:00:36> 00:00:38:	who made this conference possible.
00:00:39> 00:00:43:	We, we move the housing opportunity conference around each year.
00:00:43> 00:00:45:	Last year we were in Austin, the year before in
00:00:45> 00:00:48:	Phoenix, places that have high growth and every place in
00:00:49> 00:00:51:	the country has a challenging housing affordability.
00:00:51> 00:00:55:	So that's not an important criteria anymore.
00:00:55> 00:00:58:	I, I might have said yesterday, I've always called this
00:00:58> 00:01:00:	a silent housing crisis.
00:01:01> 00:01:04:	And it's not so silent anymore because people are really
00:01:04> 00:01:08:	aware of how difficult it is for Americans to afford.
00:01:08> 00:01:11:	And by the way, I work for Habitat Humanity also
00:01:11> 00:01:11:	worldwide.
00:01:11> 00:01:14:	So it's certainly a worldwide problem.
00:01:14> 00:01:17:	But in this country, the greatest country in the world,
00:01:17> 00:01:20:	the richest country in the world, it's a shame we
00:01:20> 00:01:21:	have such a housing problem.
00:01:22> 00:01:27:	And at Habitat, I learned that housing is a human
00:01:27> 00:01:27:	right.

00.04.27 > 00.04.24.	And I haliave that myself food slathing and shalter
00:01:27> 00:01:31: 00:01:31> 00:01:34:	And I believe that myself, food, clothing and shelter. So it's really hard for families to prosper and grow
00:01:34> 00:01:38:	without a decent home in a neighborhood of opportunity.
00:01:40> 00:01:44:	I want to thank particularly Daphne Bond Godfrey to deliver
00:01:44> 00:01:46:	this fantastic event.
00:01:46> 00:01:47:	The ULI Atlanta.
00:01:48> 00:01:51:	We're grateful to members of ULI Atlanta and the Terwilliger
00:01:51> 00:01:52:	staff.
00:01:52> 00:01:56:	You know, the District Council is something I basically celebrated
00:01:56> 00:01:58:	when I was chair of ULI a lot of years
00:01:58> 00:02:02:	ago and it's become so foundational and fundamental to us
00:02:02> 00:02:04:	relating to local communities.
00:02:04> 00:02:07:	And when we do these housing opportunity councils, we rely
00:02:07> 00:02:10:	on the district councils to help put this together.
00:02:10> 00:02:14:	The program and you know, the content of the programs
00:02:14> 00:02:17:	in my experience in recent years has been really good.
00:02:17> 00:02:21:	I hope you think that today both the breakout programs
00:02:21> 00:02:23:	as well as the general sessions.
00:02:24> 00:02:27:	So I founded the center 18 years ago when I
00:02:27> 00:02:31:	stepped down as Chair of ULI because I had worked
00:02:31> 00:02:35:	nationally with Trammell Crow Residential built apartments in 35 cities
00:02:36> 00:02:39:	in this country and it was really hard to not
00:02:39> 00:02:42:	notice how challenging it was for many families to afford
00:02:43> 00:02:44:	a simple, decent home.
00:02:45> 00:02:48:	Today we have 3 core pillars and educate the real
00:02:49> 00:02:53:	estate community through case studies, awards, and research publications.
00:02:54> 00:02:58:	One example is this newly updated released Home Attainability Index
00:02:58> 00:03:02:	that Adam Ducker talked about, trying to have people understand
00:03:02> 00:03:04:	where housing is more attainable than not.
00:03:06> 00:03:10:	We convene experts on local housing challenges like the Advisory
00:03:10> 00:03:11:	Services Panels.
00:03:14> 00:03:17:	We do funding strategies and development tools for meeting the
00:03:17> 00:03:22:	City's ambitious housing production and preservation goals, and we raise
00:03:22> 00:03:25:	awareness through events like this as to what the challenges
00:03:25> 00:03:28:	and some of the solutions are in housing.
00:03:28> 00:03:31:	I I think I've learned over my 45 years in

00:03:31> 00:03:35:	the apartment business that the fact that zoning is local
00:03:35> 00:03:37:	can be a real challenge.
00:03:37> 00:03:40:	I I tried to develop in Long Island, New York.
00:03:41> 00:03:43:	We've had a company 7 years and done 4 projects.
00:03:43> 00:03:45:	You can hardly get any zoning up there.
00:03:47> 00:03:50:	So I think live Local in Florida is an example
00:03:50> 00:03:53:	of things that can be done and some jurisdictions now
00:03:53> 00:03:57:	are really taking up the challenge and trying to figure
00:03:57> 00:03:59:	out how for local zoning not to be a big
00:04:00> 00:04:00:	obstacle.
00:04:01> 00:04:03:	As we close out this conference, we we felt it
00:04:04> 00:04:06:	was important to address the big picture issues facing the
00:04:06> 00:04:07:	housing industry.
00:04:09> 00:04:12:	I'm fortunate to have two friends on the stage here
00:04:12> 00:04:17:	to discuss their thoughts on inflation, insurance, job growth, monetary
00:04:17> 00:04:20:	policy, and how all these topics impact what we care
00:04:20> 00:04:23:	about today, housing affordability.
00:04:24> 00:04:24:	Dr.
00:04:24> 00:04:28:	Rafael Bostic, who took office in June 2017, is the
00:04:28> 00:04:32:	15th president and chief executive officer of the Federal Reserve
00:04:32> 00:04:37:	Bank of Atlanta, responsible for all the banks activities including
00:04:37> 00:04:41:	monetary policy, bank supervision and regulation and payment services.
00:04:42> 00:04:45:	In addition, he serves on the Federal Reserve's chief monetary
00:04:45> 00:04:48:	policy body, the Federal Open Markets Committee.
00:04:48> 00:04:52:	The Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta serves this six Federal
00:04:52> 00:04:56:	Reserve district, which covers Alabama, Florida and Georgia, parcel Louisiana,
00:04:56> 00:04:58:	Mississippi and Tennessee.
00:04:58> 00:05:02:	The bank has branches in Birmingham, Jacksonville, Miami, Nashville and
00:05:02> 00:05:03:	New Orleans.
00:05:04> 00:05:08:	Rafael is joined by my old friend Dennis Shea, who
00:05:08> 00:05:12:	is the Executive Director of the housing center I established
00:05:12> 00:05:15:	3 plus years ago at the Bipartisan Policy Center.
00:05:16> 00:05:19:	I think housing is a nonpartisan issue that needs a
00:05:19> 00:05:23:	bipartisan policy response, so Dennis and I work with the
00:05:23> 00:05:27:	federal government to try and educate them on policy options
00:05:28> 00:05:30:	that could be passed at the federal level.

00:05:31> 00:05:34:	He worked with me on a housing, a foundation that
00:05:35> 00:05:38:	I established some time ago and we spent three years
00:05:38> 00:05:43:	walking the halls of Congress talking to congressmen and
	senators
00:05:43> 00:05:45:	about the housing crisis.
00:05:45> 00:05:48:	And I was amazed to learn that not very many
00:05:48> 00:05:49:	knew we had a housing crisis.
00:05:50> 00:05:52:	Then I had to convince them that it really mattered.
00:05:53> 00:05:55:	And then we talked about what we could do about
00:05:55> 00:05:55:	it.
00:05:55> 00:05:57:	I, I used to be an advocate.
00:05:57> 00:06:00:	It's a little less important now of getting rid of
00:06:00> 00:06:03:	the mortgage interest deduction, which was the biggest
	subsidy that
00:06:03> 00:06:06:	we had, and not enough money for a low income
00:06:06> 00:06:08:	housing tax credits and other things.
00:06:08> 00:06:11:	So Dennis and I have been together for quite a
00:06:11> 00:06:12:	long time.
00:06:13> 00:06:17:	He contributed to two landmark reports, Housing America's
	future, New
00:06:17> 00:06:21:	direction for national policy and healthy ageing begins at
	home.
00:06:21> 00:06:25:	During the administration of President George W Bush,
	Dennis served
00:06:25> 00:06:29:	as assistant secretary for policy development and research,
00.00.00	the US
00:06:29> 00:06:31:	Department of Housing and Urban Development.
00:06:32> 00:06:35:	He has worked with me in housing for quite a
00:06:35> 00:06:38:	while and we're hoping, we're hoping to make people in
00:06:38> 00:06:41:	the federal government kind of a crazy time in the
00:06:42> 00:06:45:	federal government now really believe that housing should be
	a
00:06:45> 00:06:48:	priority, that it is a human right.
00:06:48> 00:06:51:	So I'll turn it over now to Dennis and Raphael.
00:06:51> 00:06:52:	Thank you for being here.
00:06:53> 00:06:54:	Our pleasure, Ron.
00:07:01> 00:07:05:	Well, thank you, Ron for that very generous introduction.
00:07:05> 00:07:09:	And I also want to thank Uli for inviting me
00:07:09> 00:07:12:	here to participate in this event.
00:07:12> 00:07:16:	This is my first time here at an ULI event
00:07:16> 00:07:17:	on this topic.
00:07:18> 00:07:21:	And I have to say this is an impressive, impressive
00:07:21> 00:07:22:	turn out.

00:07:22> 00:07:25:	And the programming over the past two days has been
00:07:25> 00:07:27:	equally impressive.
00:07:27> 00:07:30:	So I want to congratulate Rosie and the entire ULI
00:07:30> 00:07:33:	team for putting together a great two days of programming.
00:07:39> 00:07:43:	As Ron mentioned, I I lead the Tuiliger Center for
00:07:43> 00:07:49:	Housing Policy at the Bipartisan Policy Center in Washington, DC,
00:07:49> 00:07:52:	and I have to say it is a real privilege
00:07:52> 00:07:56:	to lead that organization under Ron's tutelage.
00:07:57> 00:08:01:	I don't think there's anyone in the United States who's
00:08:01> 00:08:06:	contributed more to supporting housing and housing affordability, not only
00:08:06> 00:08:11:	through philanthropy, but also through the intellectual firepower that he's
00:08:11> 00:08:12:	brought to the table.
00:08:12> 00:08:14:	Then Ron to Williger.
00:08:14> 00:08:16:	So I would hope everyone could join me in giving
00:08:16> 00:08:17:	him a big round of applause.
00:08:24> 00:08:28:	Now I have the easy job in this duet or
00:08:28> 00:08:28:	duo.
00:08:28> 00:08:30:	I get to ask the questions.
00:08:30> 00:08:32:	And Doctor Bostick, who's an old friend.
00:08:32> 00:08:33:	Hi, Raphael.
00:08:33> 00:08:34:	Good to see you there.
00:08:34> 00:08:34:	Good to see you.
00:08:35> 00:08:36:	Gets to answer them.
00:08:36> 00:08:39:	So Are you ready to make some news?
00:08:39> 00:08:39:	Let's do it.
00:08:42> 00:08:42:	OK, OK, great.
00:08:43> 00:08:46:	Well, let's talk about the I word, I guess in
00:08:46> 00:08:47:	Fed speak.
00:08:47> 00:08:49:	That's called price stability.
00:08:52> 00:08:57:	The the the inflation rate remains stubbornly high in January,
00:08:57> 00:09:02:	with the consumer price index putting the annual rate at
00:09:02> 00:09:03:	3%.
00:09:03> 00:09:05:	You know why that that surprised me.
00:09:06> 00:09:06:	What?
00:09:06> 00:09:11:	Why is inflation not coming down as quickly as it
00:09:11> 00:09:12:	once was?
00:09:12> 00:09:14:	So thank you, Dennis.
00:09:14> 00:09:16:	It's really good to share a stage with you.
00:09:16> 00:09:19:	And Dennis was my predecessor at HUD and left the
00:09:19> 00:09:22:	department in good shapes for me to go and do

00:09:22> 00:09:23:	good things.
00:09:23> 00:09:26:	And it's also very good to be back at Urban
00:09:26> 00:09:30:	Land, at an Urban Land Institute event when I was
00:09:30> 00:09:33:	a professor at USC in Los Angeles, I basically was
00:09:33> 00:09:36:	at ULI things at least once a quarter.
00:09:37> 00:09:40:	So it was nice to be here and see the
00:09:40> 00:09:44:	
	Uli family in full effect here in Atlanta.
00:09:44> 00:09:47:	And, and Ron, thank you for all that you've done.
00:09:47> 00:09:49:	I, I echo Dennis, it's just been a great investment
00:09:49> 00:09:50:	you've done.
00:09:50> 00:09:52:	All right, So you asked about inflation.
00:09:52> 00:09:59:	So inflation is high and it's higher than I want
00:09:59> 00:10:00:	it to be.
00:10:01> 00:10:03:	Our target is 2%.
00:10:03> 00:10:05:	If you look at the at the CPI, the target
00:10:05> 00:10:08:	that we should be aiming for is something like 2.3
00:10:08> 00:10:09:	to 2 1/2 percent.
00:10:10> 00:10:13:	I do think it's important to think about this in
00:10:13> 00:10:14:	a broader context, right?
00:10:14> 00:10:18:	So not that long ago inflation was at 7%, eight
00:10:18> 00:10:19:	percent, 9%.
00:10:20> 00:10:23:	So we've seen a lot of progress in terms of
00:10:23> 00:10:27:	inflation getting back down to the targets that we hope
00:10:27> 00:10:30:	for, but it has slowed in the last six months
00:10:30> 00:10:31:	or so.
00:10:31> 00:10:34:	But one of the main culprits has been the topic
00:10:34> 00:10:37:	that we're talking about today, which is housing.
00:10:37> 00:10:40:	If you if you look at in the indices that
00:10:40> 00:10:45:	we use to calculate inflation, many products have come back
00:10:45> 00:10:47:	down to pre pandemic levels.
00:10:47> 00:10:50:	You think about goods and you think about some of
00:10:50> 00:10:54:	the services that we would use, those prices have come
00:10:54> 00:10:55:	down.
00:10:55> 00:10:59:	Housing has not come down nearly as much and it's
00:10:59> 00:11:04:	stickiness or stubbornness at the higher level has put
	something
00:11:04> 00:11:07:	of a floor on how low inflation can go.
00:11:08> 00:11:11:	Now one of the things that's actually somewhat somewhat paradoxical
00:11:12> 00:11:14:	is that by many measures of housing, if you go
00:11:14> 00:11:17:	to some of the market based measures like the Zillow
00:11:17> 00:11:20:	or Redfin or those sorts of things, they report that

00:11:20> 00:11:22:	housing has come down much faster.
00:11:22> 00:11:26:	The pricing, prices of housing come down much more than
00:11:26> 00:11:29:	you get in some of the official statistics and it's
00:11:29> 00:11:32:	a puzzle that we're trying to fully unpack and understand,
00:11:32> 00:11:35:	but it is something we'll have to watch.
00:11:35> 00:11:39:	Another area that I I'm paying attention to is on
00:11:39> 00:11:40:	the services side.
00:11:41> 00:11:46:	And service prices evolve typically much slower than than goods
00:11:46> 00:11:51:	prices because the bulk of what drives that price are
00:11:51> 00:11:56:	wages and wages or salaries don't adjust nearly as fast.
00:11:56> 00:11:59:	And so we would expect services to evolve at a
00:11:59> 00:11:59:	slower pace.
00:12:01> 00:12:02:	But that has moved.
00:12:02> 00:12:03:	It has been moving.
00:12:04> 00:12:07:	And you know, one of the things we'll have to
00:12:07> 00:12:10:	keep an eye out for in the next several months
00:12:10> 00:12:12:	is what is going to happen.
00:12:12> 00:12:13:	And there are really 3 possibilities.
00:12:14> 00:12:17:	It can go back down continuous pace to 2%, It
00:12:18> 00:12:21:	can plateau, or it could actually turn the other way.
00:12:21> 00:12:25:	Now I will say today, my outlook is that inflation
00:12:25> 00:12:28:	will continue back on its path to get to 2%.
00:12:29> 00:12:30:	That's been my outlook for a long time.
00:12:31> 00:12:34:	And the data that we've seen is, has been generally
00:12:34> 00:12:36:	consistent with that.
00:12:36> 00:12:39:	The one caveat I would say on this is that
00:12:39> 00:12:42:	for me, I've always expected it to be a bumpy
00:12:42> 00:12:43:	ride down, right.
00:12:43> 00:12:47:	So January we saw numbers that were not so positive
00:12:47> 00:12:49:	in that regard.
00:12:50> 00:12:53:	But one point, data point does not make a trend.
00:12:54> 00:12:57:	And what we'll have to do in our building is
00:12:57> 00:13:00:	really look over the next several months to see if
00:13:00> 00:13:03:	that was an aberration or a bump in the road
00:13:03> 00:13:06:	or did it actually represent something more fundamental.
00:13:07> 00:13:10:	Help me understand this magic number 2%.
00:13:10> 00:13:12:	Why does 2% matter?
00:13:12> 00:13:15:	All right, so I don't call it a magic number
00:13:15> 00:13:18:	per SE, but but here, here's here's how I think
00:13:18> 00:13:19:	about it.
00:13:19> 00:13:23:	You know what you want ideally in an economy is

00:13:23> 00:13:26:	for there to be some inflation.
00:13:27> 00:13:29:	That's a sign the standards of living are are increasing.
00:13:30> 00:13:31:	That's a sign that innovation is happening.
00:13:32> 00:13:35:	That's a sign that productivity is occurring, right.
00:13:35> 00:13:38:	If you don't have that that pressure, that energy in
00:13:38> 00:13:42:	the in the marketplace, then you won't get inflation, but
00:13:42> 00:13:44:	you don't want it to be too high because if
00:13:44> 00:13:48:	it gets too high, then it starts to distort decisions
00:13:48> 00:13:50:	that families and businesses make.
00:13:50> 00:13:53:	And I think about what the Fed's job is, it's
00:13:53> 00:13:57:	really to create a foundation about the general trend of
00:13:57> 00:14:01:	the economy so that individuals and families can make judgments
00:14:01> 00:14:05:	about what's the best investment for me to maximize my
00:14:05> 00:14:09:	productivity or to innovate and and develop that next new
00:14:09> 00:14:09:	product.
00:14:10> 00:14:12:	In order to be willing to make a long term
00:14:12> 00:14:15:	investment, you have to have some confidence that you know
00:14:15> 00:14:17:	where the economy is going to be when you get
00:14:17> 00:14:18:	onto the marketplace.
00:14:19> 00:14:21:	And so if inflation is too high, then what it
00:14:21> 00:14:24:	means is that the set of investments that is going
00:14:24> 00:14:27:	to make sense three or five years down the road
00:14:27> 00:14:30:	are going to be relatively small because they're going to
00:14:30> 00:14:33:	have to generate huge returns in ways that are difficult.
00:14:34> 00:14:36:	And so we want inflation to be there, but not
00:14:36> 00:14:40:	too high because we want everyone to see opportunities to
00:14:40> 00:14:43:	invest in themselves to make themselves better.
00:14:43> 00:14:47:	Because if that happens, then our economy grows more resiliently,
00:14:47> 00:14:51:	it's more innovative, people are more self-sufficient and they fully
00:14:51> 00:14:53:	engage in the marketplace.
00:14:53> 00:14:53:	So that's where we want to.
00:14:53> 00:14:55:	Go and deflation is very bad.
00:14:55> 00:14:56:	Yeah, we don't want that.
00:14:56> 00:14:57:	We don't want that.
00:14:57> 00:15:00:	So, so inflation is 0 or negative.
00:15:00> 00:15:03:	That's a sign that we've got some problems in the
00:15:03> 00:15:06:	economy that are going to be actually quite difficult to
00:15:06> 00:15:09:	fix, and so we really want to avoid those situations
00:15:09> 00:15:10:	if we can.

00:15:10> 00:15:11:	So, thanks, Rafael.
00:15:11> 00:15:15:	So let's turn to employment, which is the the other
00:15:15> 00:15:17:	side of the Fed's dual mandate.
00:15:18> 00:15:22:	As I understand it, monthly job growth slowed in the
00:15:22> 00:15:26:	second-half of last year, but it has held up reasonably
00:15:26> 00:15:27:	well.
00:15:28> 00:15:31:	So what's your overall assessment of the labor market and
00:15:31> 00:15:33:	what's your outlook for the coming months?
00:15:33> 00:15:37:	So the labor market for the last they basically the
00:15:37> 00:15:40:	whole time I've been in this job for 7 1/2
00:15:40> 00:15:42:	years now has been tight, right?
00:15:42> 00:15:45:	So in the, in the run up to the pandemic,
00:15:45> 00:15:50:	unemployment rates were somewhere in the high 3, high threes,
00:15:50> 00:15:55:	low fours, which by historic standards is an incredibly robust
00:15:55> 00:15:57:	and tight labor market.
00:15:57> 00:16:00:	And when we were talking to business leaders in the
00:16:00> 00:16:02:	months leading up, they were all telling us we're having
00:16:03> 00:16:04:	a hard time finding workers.
00:16:05> 00:16:08:	We we want to to grow, but labor is a
00:16:08> 00:16:10:	constraint that is that is real.
00:16:11> 00:16:15:	You Fast forward through the pandemic, that didn't change.
00:16:15> 00:16:16:	It actually got worse.
00:16:16> 00:16:20:	And so they were at one point the unemployment rate
00:16:20> 00:16:21:	was at 3.4%.
00:16:21> 00:16:24:	And most businesses we talked to said we will do
00:16:24> 00:16:27:	anything to prevent people from leaving.
00:16:28> 00:16:30:	We will put retention, we will hold, we will wage
00:16:30> 00:16:34:	increases grew substantially and those things wound up being a
00:16:34> 00:16:37:	challenge because business is like, I don't know if we
00:16:38> 00:16:39:	can sustain this.
00:16:39> 00:16:40:	I can't don't know if we can do those things.
00:16:41> 00:16:44:	Where we are today is we've come off that hyper
00:16:44> 00:16:48:	tightness, but we're still in a in a robust situation.
00:16:48> 00:16:52:	So what I say is labor markets are looser, but
00:16:52> 00:16:54:	they're not loose, right?
00:16:54> 00:16:57:	So and so they're still is still an environment where
00:16:57> 00:17:01:	wages are growing healthily, where people can find jobs if
00:17:01> 00:17:04:	they want them and where employers are are able to
00:17:04> 00:17:07:	look out into the marketplace and find the people that
00:17:07> 00:17:10:	they need to do the things that they're looking to

00:17:10> 00:17:11:	do.
00:17:11> 00:17:15:	Now, now, you and your staff have noted that labor
00:17:15> 00:17:19:	growth has predominantly occurred in a small share, small
	number
00:17:19> 00:17:24:	of economic sectors, mainly healthcare and social
	assistance, leisure and
00:17:24> 00:17:26:	hospitality and government.
00:17:26> 00:17:27:	That might be changing.
00:17:28> 00:17:30:	So is is that a concern?
00:17:30> 00:17:33:	This, this that the labor growth is, is appearing in
00:17:33> 00:17:35:	a small share of the economic sector?
00:17:35> 00:17:38:	You know, it's, it's interesting, I think about this in
00:17:38> 00:17:39:	really two ways.
00:17:39> 00:17:43:	The first way is when the pandemic happened, the economy
00:17:43> 00:17:46:	shut down, we lost millions of jobs.
00:17:47> 00:17:50:	And one of the things that we've been tracking is
00:17:50> 00:17:53:	sector by sector, to what extent has the job, have
00:17:53> 00:17:57:	the jobs recovered such that they are back on that
00:17:57> 00:17:58:	pre pandemic trajectory.
00:17:59> 00:18:01:	And if you look at that, the some of the
00:18:01> 00:18:05:	sectors you called out, healthcare for example, was actually
	slow
00:18:05> 00:18:06:	to get back.
00:18:06> 00:18:09:	And so until relatively recently, it was still playing catch
00:18:09> 00:18:09:	up.
00:18:10> 00:18:12:	And so a lot of the outsized growth that we
00:18:12> 00:18:15:	were seeing in the months in the latter part of
00:18:15> 00:18:18:	2024, I think still reflected some of that catch up.
00:18:19> 00:18:22:	Now we do need to also be thinking about this
00:18:22> 00:18:25:	set at sort of a holistic level to ask the
00:18:25> 00:18:28:	question like once the catch up is over, what's left?
00:18:28> 00:18:30:	Like do you have other things?
00:18:30> 00:18:33:	And that's where the, the lack of breadth of growth
00:18:33> 00:18:36:	has been something that we've been wanting to watch and
00:18:36> 00:18:37:	and monitor today.
00:18:38> 00:18:41:	The last several readings we've gotten, unemployment suggested the the
00:18:42> 00:18:44:	the economy is moving slower in that regard.
00:18:44> 00:18:46:	But even here it's not slow.
00:18:44> 00:18:46: 00:18:46> 00:18:49:	And there's a debate that's going on now about what's
00:18:46> 00:18:49:	And there's a debate that's going on now about what's

00:18:54> 00:18:57: 00:18:57> 00:18:58: 00:18:59> 00:19:02: 00:19:02> 00:19:03: 00:19:03> 00:19:04: 00:19:04> 00:19:08: 00:19:08> 00:19:13: 00:19:13> 00:19:17: 00:19:17> 00:19:18: 00:19:19> 00:19:21: 00:19:22> 00:19:24: 00:19:24> 00:19:25: 00:19:25> 00:19:27: 00:19:27> 00:19:29: 00:19:29> 00:19:31: 00:19:31> 00:19:34: 00:19:34> 00:19:36: 00:19:36> 00:19:41: 00:19:41> 00:19:45:	So just to break even you're going to need a minimum number of jobs. When I was a professor, the number was about 100 and 25150 thousand. Today. Some estimates were suggested as low as 75 to 100,000, in part because of trends in immigration and and that has an implication for what needs there are in the economy. Just as a quick follow up, what, what role, what level of importance do you attribute to growth in the manufacturing sector? I mean, that's been a big, that was a big priority the Biden administration. It's a priority of the Trump administration. What about manufacturing jobs in the United States? How important is that that we grow, that that sector? So the US economy has been in a secular trend in this for a long time in the sense of what we were at one point predominantly a manufacturing economy. And since the 60s or 70's the trend has shifted pretty considerably just that we are now predominantly a
00:19:52> 00:19:52: 00:19:53> 00:19:55: 00:19:55> 00:19:58: 00:19:58> 00:20:02: 00:20:02> 00:20:03: 00:20:03> 00:20:07: 00:20:07> 00:20:08: 00:20:08> 00:20:11: 00:20:11> 00:20:14: 00:20:14> 00:20:19: 00:20:19> 00:20:23: 00:20:23> 00:20:23: 00:20:33> 00:20:36: 00:20:36> 00:20:39: 00:20:39> 00:20:43: 00:20:43> 00:20:43: 00:20:44> 00:20:47:	service economy. We this is not the country where things are made. This is where ideas are built and then those ideas are executed in other places and that's a different way to provide value add. And on some level that approach has meant that American driven products. Are the products with the highest value add and that's one way that we've retained our high standard of living. It's an interesting question as to how important we should think about manufacturing as a component to the US economy. On one hand, the manufacturing job that you need today actually let me back up. Back in the day, manufacturing didn't require a lot of super specialized skills, so you didn't need to have a lot of special education or excessive excessive a lot of training. You could just go and do that and get a

00:20:47> 00:20:49:	really good wage right today if you could.
00:20:49> 00:20:52:	How many people here have been in the manufacturing
	place
00:20:52> 00:20:53:	recently in a factory?
00:20:54> 00:20:55:	Not recently.
00:20:56> 00:20:57:	So not too many.
00:20:57> 00:20:59:	So let me just tell you, it's not your last
00:20:59> 00:21:04:	generation manufacturing and the jobs that happen in manufacturing plants
00:21:04> 00:21:07:	today are mainly done by machines and the there are
00:21:07> 00:21:10:	still a lot of jobs there, but those jobs require
00:21:10> 00:21:14:	you to be calibrating machines, reading measures and metrics and
00:21:14> 00:21:15:	understanding things.
00:21:15> 00:21:18:	It's a different type of skill set that's required.
00:21:19> 00:21:22:	And so when we think about where that where that
00:21:22> 00:21:25:	goes in the future, we have to really ask, do
00:21:25> 00:21:28:	we have staff, we have people in our population who
00:21:28> 00:21:31:	have those skills and if you don't, then how do
00:21:31> 00:21:34:	you transition to make those things happen.
00:21:34> 00:21:36:	And one, that's one of the things that our bank
00:21:36> 00:21:37:	has spent a lot of time on.
00:21:37> 00:21:41:	We have a center on workforce and economic opportunity and
00:21:41> 00:21:44:	we are trying very hard to understand how you build
00:21:44> 00:21:47:	an ecosystem so that people who have one set of
00:21:47> 00:21:51:	skills can position themselves and transition to get the next
00:21:51> 00:21:54:	set of skills for the jobs of tomorrow.
00:21:55> 00:21:57:	The degree to which we're successful in that, I think
00:21:57> 00:21:59:	we'll go a long way to answering your initial question.
00:21:59> 00:22:03:	Because if we have a ready made army of workers
00:22:04> 00:22:07:	that are able to do the job, then the hurdle
00:22:07> 00:22:12:	to be able to to produce in those things profitably
00:22:12> 00:22:14:	in this country goes down.
00:22:15> 00:22:16:	And so we'll have to see how this all plays
00:22:16> 00:22:17:	out.
00:22:17> 00:22:19:	It'll be an interesting question in the in the next
00:22:19> 00:22:20:	several years.
00:22:21> 00:22:21:	Well, great.
00:22:21> 00:22:24:	So, so big picture, I'm listening to you Raphael.
00:22:24> 00:22:27:	You've said that inflation is on the on the right
00:22:27> 00:22:27:	track.
00:22:28> 00:22:30:	No, progress is a bit a bit bumpy.

00:22:32> 00:22:37:	The labor market is not showing signs of serious deterioration.
00:22:38> 00:22:41:	The macro economy is generally solid.
00:22:42> 00:22:43:	That's what I'm hearing from you.
00:22:44> 00:22:49:	So what does that mean for monetary policy?
00:22:49> 00:22:51:	This current state of affairs in the in the overall.
00:22:51> 00:22:52:	Economy, sure.
00:22:52> 00:22:56:	So what it means for monetary policy today I think
00:22:56> 00:22:59:	is that we need to stay where we are and
00:22:59> 00:23:01:	let let's see sort of where the world is.
00:23:02> 00:23:07:	So first statement, monetary policy today where our interest rates
00:23:07> 00:23:11:	are, I think they are in a restrictive posture.
00:23:11> 00:23:14:	They are not pushing the economy to be faster.
00:23:14> 00:23:18:	They are actually mildly causing it to slow, which is
00:23:18> 00:23:21:	going to be required for us to get inflation down
00:23:21> 00:23:22:	to 2%, right.
00:23:22> 00:23:25:	We have the dual mandate, we have the employment
00:23:25> 00:23:27:	mandate, we have the price stability mandate.
00:23:28> 00:23:32:	You can say that we're hitting our employment mandate and
00:23:32> 00:23:35:	now we have to get the price stability mandate under
00:23:35> 00:23:35:	control.
00:23:35> 00:23:37:	We have to get to that number and we are
00:23:37> 00:23:37:	not there.
00:23:38> 00:23:40:	So in order to do that, we need to make
00:23:40> 00:23:43:	sure that our policies are not putting extra pressure in
00:23:43> 00:23:46:	the economy that would cause prices either to want to
00:23:47> 00:23:49:	be stable or go up because that won't get us
00:23:49> 00:23:50:	there.
00:23:50> 00:23:52:	So we need to be in a a restrictive posture.
00:23:53> 00:23:56:	The thing that I am also watching for though, because
00:23:56> 00:23:57:	we've actually been fairly fortunate.
00:23:57> 00:24:01:	We've got inflation go from seven, 8% to about 3
00:24:01> 00:24:06:	and maybe a little less without seeing large deterioration in
00:24:06> 00:24:07:	the labor market.
00:24:08> 00:24:10:	And if I don't know if you remember the the
00:24:10> 00:24:14:	stories in the news several years ago, everyone said that
00:24:14> 00:24:14:	wasn't possible.
00:24:15> 00:24:17:	They said if you were going to get inflation to
00:24:17> 00:24:20:	your 2% target, you were going to have to trigger
00:24:20> 00:24:20:	a recession.
00:24:21> 00:24:23:	That was never my outlook, but that was what was

00:24:23> 00:24:24:	out there.
00:24:24> 00:24:27:	I'm glad to say my outlook played out pretty well
00:24:28> 00:24:31:	this time and I'm hopeful that we can continue with
00:24:31> 00:24:34:	that and not see significant dislocation.
00:24:34> 00:24:37:	So we are watching this very closely to see if
00:24:37> 00:24:41:	we can continue to see inflation come down without seeing
00:24:41> 00:24:44:	that that deep weakness in the economy.
00:24:44> 00:24:47:	So, so you, you voted for the three cuts in
00:24:47> 00:24:50:	the federal funds rate last year.
00:24:50> 00:24:53:	How did you get to that position of voting to
00:24:53> 00:24:57:	reduce and were there particular data points or or broad
00:24:57> 00:24:59:	conditions that sealed it?
00:25:00> 00:25:00:	Sure.
00:25:00> 00:25:03:	
00:25:03> 00:25:05:	So let me talk a little bit about the process
	that we use, because in Atlanta we use a, a
00:25:05> 00:25:09:	process that is fairly unique that grew out of the
00:25:09> 00:25:10:	great financial crisis.
00:25:10> 00:25:14:	So during the great financial crisis, more banks failed in
00:25:14> 00:25:17:	the 6th District in my district than anywhere else in
00:25:17> 00:25:18:	the country.
00:25:19> 00:25:22:	And so we obviously missed something that we needed to
00:25:22> 00:25:24:	make sure we didn't miss again.
00:25:25> 00:25:28:	So my predecessors put in place a new team that
00:25:28> 00:25:32:	we call the Regional Economic Information Network, whose job it
00:25:32> 00:25:34:	is to go out and talk to people and find
00:25:34> 00:25:38:	out what are you worrying about, what's working, what's not
00:25:38> 00:25:40:	working, all this sort of stuff.
00:25:40> 00:25:44:	Because what was clear is that the official data wasn't
00:25:44> 00:25:46:	giving us all the things we needed.
00:25:47> 00:25:49:	So we needed to go out into the field, be
00:25:49> 00:25:53:	much more aggressive, engaging with business leaders and others to,
00:25:53> 00:25:57:	to find out what's happening and find the trend before
00:25:57> 00:25:58:	it became a trend in the data.
00:25:59> 00:26:01:	And so we have a whole team that does that.
00:26:01> 00:26:04:	And every, every FOMC cycle, they go out, they talk
00:26:04> 00:26:07:	to 60 to 120 folks, see if there are new
00:26:07> 00:26:10:	things or narratives that are coming out and they come
00:26:10> 00:26:11:	and brief me on it.
00:26:12> 00:26:14:	We also have a survey center that we built up.
00:26:14> 00:26:17:	So we run maybe 5 or 6 different surveys, some
	-

00:26:17> 00:26:20:	of them national only representative, some of them representative of
00:26:20> 00:26:23:	the 6th District, where we ask business leaders again, in
00:26:23> 00:26:27:	a forward-looking context, like what do you think you're going
00:26:27> 00:26:29:	to do with your wages in the next 12 months?
00:26:29> 00:26:32:	Do you think that your customers are going to continue
00:26:33> 00:26:35:	to buy the goods that you have at the same
00:26:35> 00:26:37:	level over the next 6 to 12 months?
00:26:37> 00:26:40:	Do you think you have, are you planning to raise
00:26:40> 00:26:43:	prices like all these sorts of questions, another source of
00:26:43> 00:26:44:	forward-looking information.
00:26:44> 00:26:46:	So we can try to find out things before the
00:26:46> 00:26:49:	before they show up in the aggregate data and then
00:26:49> 00:26:50:	we also get the aggregate data.
00:26:50> 00:26:52:	So we I get these briefings.
00:26:52> 00:26:54:	You're a data guy, I know that.
00:26:54> 00:26:55:	You're yeah, I love, I love my data.
00:26:55> 00:26:57:	And one of the things I I miss about this
00:26:57> 00:26:59:	job is I don't actually get to play in the
00:26:59> 00:27:01:	data as much like I see other people doing it.
00:27:02> 00:27:06:	But what we saw pretty quickly toward the end in
00:27:06> 00:27:11:	the middle of last year was the idea that inflation
00:27:11> 00:27:16:	was moving and that businesses did not have pricing power
00:27:16> 00:27:19:	to offset any any increased costs.
00:27:19> 00:27:21:	And if that was the case, then we were going
00:27:22> 00:27:24:	to be making good progress toward that 2% level.
00:27:25> 00:27:28:	Now we had moved our interest rate up pretty significantly
00:27:28> 00:27:30:	to slow the economy down.
00:27:30> 00:27:33:	And what I and our, I think my colleagues also,
00:27:33> 00:27:37:	we called it the recalibration, as we started getting closer
00:27:37> 00:27:40:	to target, we needed to start to pull off some
00:27:40> 00:27:43:	of the restrictiveness because if we waited till we were
00:27:43> 00:27:46:	right at 2%, then we would have waited too long
00:27:46> 00:27:49:	and then the restrictiveness would have pulled us way past
00:27:49> 00:27:50:	that target.
00:27:50> 00:27:52:	And so I for me, I felt like it was
00:27:52> 00:27:55:	a good time to start to pull off of that
00:27:55> 00:27:58:	the highs of our interest rate so that we could
00:27:58> 00:27:59:	so, so ease it in it's kind.
00:27:59> 00:28:01:	Of an art, not a science.
00:28:01> 00:28:02:	It's always an art.
00:28:03> 00:28:05:	I mean, we're talking about people now, right?

00:28:05> 00:28:07:	So people, you know, you're never going to get like,
00:28:07> 00:28:09:	no, none of us here are machines and they're doing
00:28:09> 00:28:11:	things exactly the same all the time.
00:28:12> 00:28:15:	And, and now I think we're at a place where
00:28:15> 00:28:19:	because our policies often work with a lag, we, I
00:28:19> 00:28:22:	think it's prudent to just at some point pause and
00:28:22> 00:28:26:	see what's happened and to make sure that you're getting
00:28:26> 00:28:28:	what you need and then learn.
00:28:29> 00:28:32:	And once you learn, then we can decide what the
00:28:32> 00:28:34:	next action or steps should be today.
00:28:34> 00:28:37:	I think we've learned a bunch, but I think some
00:28:37> 00:28:40:	things are on the horizon that are going to influence
00:28:40> 00:28:42:	sort of what the future looks like.
00:28:42> 00:28:44:	And so in that sense, I think they're also things
00:28:44> 00:28:45:	to to wait for.
00:28:45> 00:28:46:	Great.
00:28:46> 00:28:47:	Well, thank you, Rafael.
00:28:47> 00:28:50:	Now let's turn to a subject that I know is
00:28:50> 00:28:53:	near and dear to your heart, as Ron mentioned in
00:28:53> 00:28:56:	his introduction of you, and that's that's housing.
00:28:58> 00:29:01:	You're the former assistant secretary for policy development
	and research
00:29:02> 00:29:04:	at housing and LED the the Lusk Center for Real
00:29:04> 00:29:05:	Estate at USC.
00:29:05> 00:29:08:	So you, you have a deep, deep background in, in
00:29:08> 00:29:09:	housing and housing policy.
00:29:09> 00:29:13:	And as you well know that so many Americans today
00:29:13> 00:29:17:	are, are struggling with high housing costs here in Atlanta.
00:29:17> 00:29:18:	Look this up.
00:29:18> 00:29:22:	Average rents have increased by 30% over the past five
00:29:22> 00:29:26:	years and home prices have risen by 50% during the
00:29:27> 00:29:27:	same.
00:29:27> 00:29:29:	I hope that right maybe your, your staff at the
00:29:29> 00:29:31:	Fed will double check, but I think that's right.
00:29:32> 00:29:32:	But you know what?
00:29:32> 00:29:36:	What do you consider to be the main causes behind
00:29:36> 00:29:39:	today's housing affordability challenges?
00:29:39> 00:29:43:	Well, well, first of all, on the statistics, you should
00:29:43> 00:29:44:	have checked our tools.
00:29:44> 00:29:47:	So online we have a home.
00:29:47> 00:29:48:	I knew I shouldn't have said this.
00:29:48> 00:29:51:	A home ownership affordability monitor and we have a rental
	is a manage and a same of the first of the f

00:29:51 --> 00:29:55: affordability monitor that provides metro area by metro area, the 00:29:55 --> 00:29:57: statistics on this and I didn't actually look up Atlanta. 00:29:57 --> 00:29:59: So we're both in the same place on this. 00:29:59 --> 00:30:00: OK. 00:30:00 --> 00:30:02: But I would say if you all are not subscribed 00:30:03 --> 00:30:05: to the Atlanta Fed, you should do this. 00:30:05 --> 00:30:08: We send a weekly blast out with all the tools 00:30:08 --> 00:30:10: and the new things, any new papers that have been 00:30:10 --> 00:30:11: written. 00:30:11 --> 00:30:14: And we are trying to make as much as possible 00:30:14 --> 00:30:17: the things that we know, things that you know, so 00:30:17 --> 00:30:20: you can go to atlantafed.org and you can find these 00:30:20 --> 00:30:20: things. 00:30:20 --> 00:30:22: You can, I think there's a subscribe button on there 00:30:22 --> 00:30:23: somewhere. 00:30:23 --> 00:30:26: Please do that because I want that information to be 00:30:26 --> 00:30:28: out as widely as possible. 00:30:28 --> 00:30:32: And when you think about the sources and the causes 00:30:32 --> 00:30:36: of the affordability challenge, it really depends on where you 00:30:36 --> 00:30:36: are. 00:30:36 --> 00:30:39: And you're one of the things that surprised me in 00:30:39 --> 00:30:40: taking this job. 00:30:40 --> 00:30:42: I was in Los Angeles. 00:30:42 --> 00:30:44: Clearly you got a problem there. 00:30:44 --> 00:30:48: You know, that's your short thousands of units. 00:30:49 --> 00:30:50: The population, the way outstrips that. 00:30:51 --> 00:30:53: When I came to the Southeast, I thought, I want 00:30:53 --> 00:30:54: to hear about this anymore. 00:30:54 --> 00:30:56: Like, we don't have like every. 00:30:56 --> 00:30:57: That's not LA. 00:30:57 --> 00:30:58: And I was totally wrong. 00:30:59 --> 00:31:03: But every place I go to, I hear people complaining 00:31:03 --> 00:31:08: about how it's difficult to find affordable housing, whether it 00:31:08 --> 00:31:10: be Knoxville, TN, Meridian, Ms. 00:31:11 --> 00:31:12: Albany, GA. It's clearly Atlanta, but it's not just the big places. 00:31:13 --> 00:31:16: 00:31:17 --> 00:31:18: And that's been quite interesting. 00:31:18 --> 00:31:22: I think that the challenges vary depending on where you 00:31:22 --> 00:31:22: are. 00:31:22 --> 00:31:25: So a place like Atlanta, this metro area is growing 00:31:25 --> 00:31:26: incredibly fast.

00:31:27> 00:31:31:	The population is changing faster than the number of units
00:31:31> 00:31:32:	is changing.
00:31:32> 00:31:35:	And that supply demand imbalance is putting upward pressure and
00:31:35> 00:31:38:	it's most acute in some of the hottest neighborhoods.
00:31:38> 00:31:40:	So I'm in our buildings in Midtown.
00:31:41> 00:31:43:	You see cranes all over the place.
00:31:43> 00:31:46:	There are not pricing at a Fort for the middle
00:31:46> 00:31:48:	or moderate income family.
00:31:49> 00:31:52:	They're pricing for a different population because it's going to
00:31:52> 00:31:52:	be an auction.
00:31:53> 00:31:56:	You go to some other places, you might have challenges
00:31:56> 00:32:00:	around housing quality itself, so people living in housing that
00:32:00> 00:32:01:	is of poor quality.
00:32:01> 00:32:05:	In other instances it's about a mismatch between the cost
00:32:05> 00:32:08:	of how much it is to build housing and the
00:32:08> 00:32:10:	incomes people have.
00:32:10> 00:32:13:	So there may be, there are some markets where even
00:32:13> 00:32:16:	if you built at the lowest possible costs that we
00:32:16> 00:32:20:	know today, people's incomes are not sufficient to make that
00:32:20> 00:32:21:	an affordable reality.
00:32:22> 00:32:25:	And so, you know, I often say affordability is a
00:32:25> 00:32:27:	ratio, it's cost and income.
00:32:27> 00:32:30:	And you might need to think about moving both of
00:32:30> 00:32:32:	those or how you might move both of those.
00:32:32> 00:32:34:	And this is where workforce training and those sorts of
00:32:34> 00:32:36:	things could be quite interesting.
00:32:36> 00:32:39:	But we can all agree that a key cause of
00:32:39> 00:32:43:	the housing affordability problem today is the mismatch between the
00:32:43> 00:32:46:	demand for housing and available supply.
00:32:46> 00:32:50:	And there have been numerous studies saying that we've underbuilt
00:32:50> 00:32:53:	HUD housing by 3.9 million homes, 4 million homes up
00:32:53> 00:32:54:	for growth as a study.
00:32:54> 00:32:55:	Freddie Mac has a study.
00:32:55> 00:32:57:	It's all it's millions of homes.
00:32:57> 00:33:01:	So if you were housing czar for a day, what
00:33:01> 00:33:03:	steps so be put the czar?
00:33:03> 00:33:06:	You know, if your housing czar for a day, what
00:33:06> 00:33:10:	steps would you take to encourage the construction of more
00:33:10> 00:33:14:	affordable homes, both on the rental side and starter homes
00:33:14> 00:33:15:	for sale?

00:33:15> 00:33:19:	So I think the first thing I want to do
00:33:19> 00:33:25:	is try to leverage contours of the urban space, right?
00:33:25> 00:33:26:	So what do I mean by that?
00:33:27> 00:33:30:	There are parts of the urban space where it's natural
00:33:30> 00:33:31:	to have density.
00:33:32> 00:33:36:	If you think about metro train stations, you think about
00:33:36> 00:33:40:	along bus lines, you know, those sorts of things where
00:33:40> 00:33:45:	the infrastructure is really consistent with having large numbers of
00:33:45> 00:33:46:	people.
00:33:46> 00:33:49:	And then try to make sure that we build our
00:33:49> 00:33:51:	housing to that level, right?
00:33:51> 00:33:55:	And in many places we don't see that where you'll
00:33:55> 00:34:00:	have like here in Atlanta, there are Marta stations where
00:34:00> 00:34:05:	it's fairly low density with for a good radius around
00:34:05> 00:34:06:	that station.
00:34:06> 00:34:11:	Those are, I think, missed opportunities in terms of trying
00:34:11> 00:34:15:	to have a a landscape of housing where it makes
00:34:15> 00:34:15:	sense.
00:34:16> 00:34:22:	A second thing I think is to OK, I'm bizarre.
00:34:22> 00:34:22:	I can just.
00:34:22> 00:34:24:	You can just Yeah, you, you, you're wearing the crown.
00:34:24> 00:34:25:	So just.
00:34:25> 00:34:29:	Is is to introduce density in ways that people today
00:34:29> 00:34:31:	don't appreciate, right.
00:34:31> 00:34:34:	So I think when people hear the word density, they
00:34:34> 00:34:37:	emit their minds immediately go to certain types of developments,
00:34:38> 00:34:40:	the high rises, the public housing, you know, all that
00:34:40> 00:34:41:	kind of stuff.
00:34:42> 00:34:44:	And there are some layouts of how you can build
00:34:44> 00:34:48:	it fairly high densities that don't don't actually feel where
00:34:48> 00:34:50:	you don't feel the weight of the density.
00:34:51> 00:34:54:	And I think trying to increase the awareness of that
00:34:54> 00:34:57:	reality is, is also something that I would try to
00:34:58> 00:34:58:	do.
00:34:58> 00:35:02:	And then the third thing, and I was talking to
00:35:02> 00:35:06:	someone earlier today is, and this has been true when
00:35:06> 00:35:09:	I was back at USC like 25 years ago, that
00:35:09> 00:35:14:	innovation and how you build housing has come relatively
	slowly.
00:35:14> 00:35:16:	Yeah, we're always almost getting there, right?
00:35:16> 00:35:17:	Almost.

00:35:17> 00:35:21:	This, it hasn't changed and finding ways to really accelerate
00:35:22> 00:35:25:	innovation in the space, which I think with both lower
00:35:26> 00:35:31:	costs and actually lead to more interesting configurations that better
00:35:31> 00:35:34:	fit how people need to Live Today could be another
00:35:34> 00:35:39:	way to to accomplish this, this improvement in affordable housing.
00:35:40> 00:35:40:	Great.
00:35:41> 00:35:46:	You know, our our mutual friend Ron Tuilliger often reminds
00:35:46> 00:35:50:	us that it's important to not only build more homes,
00:35:50> 00:35:55:	but to preserve the existing stock of affordable homes.
00:35:55> 00:35:58:	And but each year we lose thousands of homes to
00:35:58> 00:36:03:	affordable homes to obsolescence, and thousands more convert to market
00:36:03> 00:36:04:	rate.
00:36:05> 00:36:10:	The affordability restrictions of an estimated 500,000 lie tech units
00:36:10> 00:36:14:	are expected to expire by the end of this decade.
00:36:14> 00:36:19:	So how important is, in your view, affordable housing preservation
00:36:19> 00:36:23:	and what role can public policy play in supporting it?
00:36:24> 00:36:26:	So preservation is, is critical.
00:36:26> 00:36:28:	You know, if we didn't, if we didn't lose a
00:36:28> 00:36:31:	single unit, we'd still be way behind, right?
00:36:31> 00:36:34:	So losing additionally, this means you're just deeper in the
00:36:34> 00:36:34:	hole.
00:36:35> 00:36:38:	So if we can prevent that from happening, that gives
00:36:38> 00:36:40:	us a, a fighting chance to, to build our way
00:36:40> 00:36:43:	out of this in a way that we have not
00:36:43> 00:36:44:	really been able to do that.
00:36:45> 00:36:45:	For me.
00:36:45> 00:36:48:	I think, you know, I, I guess it was a
00:36:48> 00:36:49:	long time ago now.
00:36:49> 00:36:53:	I, I worked on a, a research project where we
00:36:53> 00:36:58:	tried to look at models of preservation and to identify
00:36:58> 00:37:04:	unique approaches to finding funding to allow housing that is
00:37:04> 00:37:08:	at risk of, you know, retiring out or that needed
00:37:09> 00:37:11:	upgrades to not be lost.
00:37:12> 00:37:15:	So like there's a housing preservation network and a, and
00:37:15> 00:37:18:	a bunch of other institutions out there that are trying
00:37:18> 00:37:21:	to do these things and we need more creativity in
00:37:21> 00:37:21:	this space.
00:37:22> 00:37:27:	Another thing that I've been thinking about, and this is

00:37:27> 00:37:31:	really just pie in the sky, is the reality that
00:37:31> 00:37:36:	we we don't have enough tradespeople in building to begin
00:37:36> 00:37:37:	with.
00:37:38> 00:37:41:	And maybe there's a way to partner or marry a
00:37:41> 00:37:47:	building up a trades workforce with rehabbing homes that are
00:37:47> 00:37:51:	of lower quality or that are at risk of going
00:37:51> 00:37:51:	into.
00:37:51> 00:37:52:	Specializing in rehab.
00:37:53> 00:37:54:	Specializing in rehab or obsolescence.
00:37:55> 00:37:58:	I, I did a, a trip to central Alabama in
00:37:59> 00:38:03:	the Black Belt and there were so many and these,
00:38:03> 00:38:08:	this is a, a relatively high unemployment area and very
00:38:08> 00:38:10:	poor housing.
00:38:11> 00:38:12:	And it's, it's about the quality.
00:38:13> 00:38:17:	There may be ways to identify these nexuses where you
00:38:17> 00:38:21:	can accomplish more than one thing at the same time.
00:38:21> 00:38:24:	And it may be that some foundations can proof and
00:38:24> 00:38:27:	be and develop a proof of concept that this can
00:38:27> 00:38:28:	work.
00:38:28> 00:38:31:	And then you might find ways to then take things
00:38:31> 00:38:32:	to scale.
00:38:32> 00:38:35:	But I think it's going to be, there are opportunities
00:38:35> 00:38:36:	out there.
00:38:36> 00:38:39:	It will take someone who's hard headed and not going
00:38:39> 00:38:40:	to take no for an answer.
00:38:41> 00:38:44:	And it's going to persevere and, and push through some
00:38:44> 00:38:46:	of the challenges to, to be able to do those
00:38:46> 00:38:46:	things.
00:38:47> 00:38:49:	But I'm optimistic that we can do it because I
00:38:49> 00:38:52:	because there are some nice models out there and there's
00:38:52> 00:38:55:	some smart people who are who are noticing things in
00:38:55> 00:38:57:	the field that we might be able to leverage.
00:38:58> 00:38:58:	Well, that's great.
00:38:58> 00:39:00:	We should follow up on that.
00:39:01> 00:39:06:	You know, today you really can't talk about housing affordability
00:39:06> 00:39:10:	without mentioning rising property insurance costs.
00:39:11> 00:39:16:	Catastrophes like Hurricanes Helene and Milton and the tragic wildfires
00:39:16> 00:39:20:	in in Southern California and Los Angeles have certainly brought
00:39:20> 00:39:23:	greater attention to this issue.
00:39:24> 00:39:28:	What role have insurance costs played in inflation and what

00:39:28> 00:39:30:	have you heard from your contacts?
00:39:30> 00:39:33:	I know you you talked to a lot of people
00:39:33> 00:39:37:	about how insurance costs affect property development and
	the general
00:39:38> 00:39:39:	cost of doing business.
00:39:39> 00:39:40:	Yeah.
00:39:40> 00:39:43:	So insurance is as much as people talk about the
00:39:43> 00:39:47:	affordable housing problem often than number item number 2
00-00-47 > 00-00-40-	is
00:39:47> 00:39:48:	insurance cost.
00:39:49> 00:39:54:	And in, in part it's because the risks, the spatial
00:39:54> 00:39:59:	risk has changed in a pretty fundamental way.
00:40:00> 00:40:03:	And when I started in this job, there had never
00:40:03> 00:40:06:	been a hurricane or a storm that got to Atlanta
00:40:06> 00:40:09:	and was still hurricane force never happened.
00:40:09> 00:40:13:	I got here in June, in September, a hurricane was
00:40:13> 00:40:15:	here at hurricane force.
00:40:16> 00:40:19:	And since then, it just seems like every year there
00:40:19> 00:40:21:	are a ton of these things.
00:40:21> 00:40:24:	And you know, the five year storm is happening every
00:40:24> 00:40:24:	year.
00:40:24> 00:40:26:	The 10 year storm is happening every two years.
00:40:27> 00:40:32:	And what it, what it means is that insurance companies
00:40:32> 00:40:37:	are facing a totally different math around coverage and, you
00:40:37> 00:40:40:	know, they're actually paying the money.
00:40:40> 00:40:43:	So they, they respond pretty quickly and you start
00:40:43> 00:40:45:	to see these shifts and, and in my district, I
00:40:45> 00:40:48:	have the Gulf Coast, so I'm super sensitive to that.
00:40:48> 00:40:52:	But California with the, with the wildfires and the droughts
00:40:52> 00:40:55:	and, and all these things and every place where or,
00:40:55> 00:40:59:	or even Houston with the flooding, flooding these, these
	superstorms
00:40:59> 00:41:01:	that can drop lots of water.
00:41:01> 00:41:03:	The risks are showing up in different ways and they're
00:41:03> 00:41:04:	showing up regularly.
00:41:04> 00:41:05:	And that's a challenge.
00:41:06> 00:41:09:	So there are two things for me that I think
00:41:09> 00:41:09:	about.
00:41:10> 00:41:13:	One is, and I talked about this for my banks
00:41:13> 00:41:13:	as well.
00:41:13> 00:41:16:	So we're a bank regulator need to make sure that
00:41:16> 00:41:20:	banking institutions are sensitive to the risk associated with
	the

00:41:21> 00:41:24:	port, their lending portfolios so that they can survive.
00:41:24> 00:41:27:	And that's one of the conversations I want to have,
00:41:27> 00:41:30:	but also want to work with communities and and help
00:41:30> 00:41:33:	them think about what resilience looks like.
00:41:33> 00:41:36:	You know, when I was at HUD, actually, we did
00:41:36> 00:41:41:	a partnership with NOAA, the oceanography and I always
	mess
00:41:41> 00:41:44:	up the whole thing, but to try to build tools
00:41:44> 00:41:49:	to help communities understand where their assets were at risk
00:41:49> 00:41:52:	of these these nature events so that you can start
00:41:52> 00:41:54:	to have resilience plans.
00:41:54> 00:41:56:	You can start to do contingency, you can start to
00:41:56> 00:41:58:	invest in those sorts of things.
00:41:58> 00:41:59:	And that's important.
00:41:59> 00:42:02:	What I'll say is like in Florida, for example, we
00:42:02> 00:42:06:	did a an insurance roundtable, super interesting talking about
00142102 > 001421001	all
00:42:06> 00:42:07:	the challenges.
00:42:07> 00:42:12:	One of the challenges that they had was lawsuits around
00:42:12> 00:42:17:	repairing the homes, and so they've done a little tort
00:42:17> 00:42:18:	reform.
00:42:18> 00:42:21:	Assignment of benefits they assigned benefits allowed other people to
00:42:18> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:21:	, ,
	people to
00:42:21> 00:42:21:	people to sue on.
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23:	people to sue on. All those sort of things.
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped.
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right?
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work.
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41: 00:42:41> 00:42:42:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to unpack in each situation.
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41: 00:42:41> 00:42:42: 00:42:43> 00:42:45:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to unpack in each situation. And this and the rules in the vary state by
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41: 00:42:41> 00:42:42: 00:42:43> 00:42:45: 00:42:45> 00:42:46:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to unpack in each situation. And this and the rules in the vary state by state about how this plays out.
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41: 00:42:41> 00:42:41: 00:42:43> 00:42:45: 00:42:45> 00:42:46: 00:42:47> 00:42:50:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to unpack in each situation. And this and the rules in the vary state by state about how this plays out. And so the the solutions are going to require us
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41: 00:42:41> 00:42:41: 00:42:43> 00:42:45: 00:42:45> 00:42:46: 00:42:47> 00:42:50: 00:42:50> 00:42:54:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to unpack in each situation. And this and the rules in the vary state by state about how this plays out. And so the the solutions are going to require us to get into the details, understand what's going on, and
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41: 00:42:41> 00:42:42: 00:42:43> 00:42:45: 00:42:45> 00:42:46: 00:42:47> 00:42:50: 00:42:50> 00:42:54:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to unpack in each situation. And this and the rules in the vary state by state about how this plays out. And so the the solutions are going to require us to get into the details, understand what's going on, and then see if there are ways to to again scale
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41: 00:42:41> 00:42:42: 00:42:43> 00:42:45: 00:42:45> 00:42:46: 00:42:47> 00:42:50: 00:42:50> 00:42:54: 00:42:54> 00:42:57: 00:42:57> 00:43:00:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to unpack in each situation. And this and the rules in the vary state by state about how this plays out. And so the the solutions are going to require us to get into the details, understand what's going on, and then see if there are ways to to again scale that up so that it becomes less of a burden.
00:42:21> 00:42:21: 00:42:21> 00:42:23: 00:42:23> 00:42:25: 00:42:25> 00:42:28: 00:42:28> 00:42:33: 00:42:33> 00:42:35: 00:42:35> 00:42:37: 00:42:38> 00:42:41: 00:42:41> 00:42:41: 00:42:43> 00:42:45: 00:42:45> 00:42:46: 00:42:47> 00:42:50: 00:42:50> 00:42:57: 00:42:54> 00:43:00: 00:43:00> 00:43:01:	people to sue on. All those sort of things. And that has actually helped. So I think the statistic they told me was that for for insurance companies in Florida, half of their spend was in legal fees, right? Which that math also doesn't work. And so you need to understand to be able to unpack in each situation. And this and the rules in the vary state by state about how this plays out. And so the the solutions are going to require us to get into the details, understand what's going on, and then see if there are ways to to again scale that up so that it becomes less of a burden. That's great.

00:43:09> 00:43:13:	staff white paper that outlines a series of options, a
00:43:13> 00:43:17:	menu of possible options for federal action to help mitigate
00:43:17> 00:43:22:	the impact of rising insurance property costs on housing affordability.
00:43:22> 00:43:26:	And we're having an event in conjunction with the release
00:43:26> 00:43:27:	of that white paper.
00:43:27> 00:43:31:	So you can TuneIn go on our website, bipartisanpolicy.org if
00:43:31> 00:43:34:	you're interested to set 10 O clock Eastern Time tomorrow.
00:43:34> 00:43:36:	So I had to make a shameless plug for this
00:43:36> 00:43:38:	work, but it's it's really important.
00:43:38> 00:43:42:	We're going to go to turn to audience questions, but
00:43:42> 00:43:45:	I just want to ask a couple more questions, Raphael.
00:43:46> 00:43:49:	You know, the Federal Reserve Board plans to review its
00:43:49> 00:43:54:	monetary policy strategy tools and communications this year.
00:43:54> 00:43:58:	And I believe that's a strategic review that the Fed
00:43:58> 00:44:00:	conducts every five years, right?
00:44:00> 00:44:02:	And is that right?
00:44:02> 00:44:05:	So can you give us a quick recap of what
00:44:05> 00:44:09:	that review entails and why the Fed does it?
00:44:09> 00:44:10:	Sure.
00:44:10> 00:44:12:	So this is a bit of history.
00:44:12> 00:44:14:	So I my first job at a Graduate School was
00:44:14> 00:44:18:	at the Board of Governors in DC and Alan Greenspan
00:44:18> 00:44:19:	was the chair at the time.
00:44:20> 00:44:24:	And when the Fed did something, historically, there was no
00:44:24> 00:44:29:	statement, there was no press conference, there was no anything.
00:44:30> 00:44:34:	Market participants basically had to just start watching prices in
00:44:34> 00:44:38:	bond sales and things to understand whether the Fed was
00:44:38> 00:44:39:	was doing something.
00:44:41> 00:44:44:	And we decided, an economist for many years, I wasn't
00:44:44> 00:44:48:	even there at the time, decided that was probably not
00:44:48> 00:44:50:	the best way to deliver policy.
00:44:50> 00:44:53:	And if there'd be value and having people know what
00:44:53> 00:44:54:	you did and why you did it.
00:44:55> 00:44:58:	And so over time, the way that we've rolled out
00:44:58> 00:45:00:	our policies has changed.
00:45:00> 00:45:03:	And now today we have a statement, we have a
00:45:03> 00:45:07:	press conference and every other meeting we have a
	summary
00:45:07> 00:45:11:	of economic rejections with people called the dot plot, which
00:45:11> 00:45:15:	shows sort of how all of the participants are thinking

00:45:15> 00:45:17:	about where policies should go.
00:45:17> 00:45:20:	There's been a radical change in the 25 years or
00:45:20> 00:45:22:	so that's happened.
00:45:22> 00:45:24:	And So what we've decided.
00:45:24> 00:45:27:	And so right around the great financial crisis right after
00:45:27> 00:45:30:	that, the committee decided that they would have a statement
00:45:30> 00:45:34:	that described the philosophies and the principles that are governing
00:45:34> 00:45:37:	how they think about whether policy should move or not.
00:45:37> 00:45:39:	But talks about inflation, it talks about labor markets, it
00:45:39> 00:45:42:	talks about supply and demand and all that sort of
00:45:42> 00:45:42:	stuff.
00:45:43> 00:45:46:	And what we've decided to do, and I think this
00:45:46> 00:45:51:	is prudent, is every five years, like assess how is
00:45:51> 00:45:52:	it working?
00:45:52> 00:45:54:	Do people understand what we're doing?
00:45:54> 00:45:57:	Do people, are people able to look out into the
00:45:57> 00:46:01:	world, take the statistics that we say are important in
00:46:01> 00:46:04:	the dimensions and have a good idea about where our
00:46:04> 00:46:05:	policy is going to go?
00:46:06> 00:46:08:	And if the answer is no or if we've changed
00:46:08> 00:46:11:	in terms of how we're doing it, this is an
00:46:11> 00:46:14:	opportunity to revise it and try to lower the barriers,
00:46:14> 00:46:17:	remove the mystery of how monetary policy plays out.
00:46:18> 00:46:21:	But we did a change in 2020 right before the
00:46:21> 00:46:25:	pandemic, which introduced a whole host of other challenges because
00:46:25> 00:46:27:	it was not anticipated.
00:46:28> 00:46:31:	And now we're going to ask that question again and
00:46:31> 00:46:33:	see a sort of how we do, how we've been
00:46:33> 00:46:37:	doing in terms of the implementation of policy and how
00:46:37> 00:46:39:	we communicate it so that people understand.
00:46:39> 00:46:41:	It should be quite interesting.
00:46:41> 00:46:44:	The last time we asked people sort of how do
00:46:44> 00:46:48:	you think about inflation, how do you think about unemployment
00:46:48> 00:46:49:	and attachment to labor markets?
00:46:50> 00:46:52:	Which ones, if you had to do a trade off,
00:46:52> 00:46:54:	which ones are you most concerned about?
00:46:55> 00:46:58:	We heard pretty loudly that inflation is much more significant
00:46:58> 00:47:01:	of a challenge than the employment side, which seems to
00:47:01> 00:47:02:	recover more quickly.

00:47:03> 00:47:06: 00:47:06> 00:47:06:	And so I'm expecting we're going to have a bunch of round tables.
00:47:06> 00:47:09:	We'll have a research conference to try to get sight
00:47:09> 00:47:11:	lines on that once again.
00:47:11> 00:47:15:	And again, if you're subscribing, we'll we'll do a program.
00:47:15> 00:47:17:	Each of the Reserve Banks will do a program.
00:47:17> 00:47:21:	So ours, they're all, they'll all be called Fed lessons.
00:47:22> 00:47:25:	And so you should, if you're not in our district,
00:47:25> 00:47:28:	you should go find the Reserve Bank that's close to
00:47:28> 00:47:31:	you and find out when they're doing that Fed lessons
00:47:31> 00:47:34:	because it is an opportunity for you to be there
00:47:34> 00:47:37:	and also to participate and offer some feedback.
00:47:38> 00:47:38:	Thanks, Rafael.
00:47:38> 00:47:40:	We can open it up to questions.
00:47:40> 00:47:41:	We have microphones.
00:47:41> 00:47:46:	It's strategically situated at three separate places in the
00.47.41 > 00.47.40.	ballroom
00:47:46> 00:47:46:	here.
00:47:46> 00:47:50:	So please, please ask some Rafael some questions and please
00:47:50> 00:47:53:	identify here yourself before you ask the question.
00:47:53> 00:47:53:	Over here.
00:47:54> 00:47:57:	Hi, Carmen Romero from Arlington, VA Hi, Carmen.
00:47:57> 00:47:58:	Nice to see you.
00:47:58> 00:47:59:	Dennis.
00:47:59> 00:48:04:	My question is around immigration and tariffs and your thoughts
00:48:04> 00:48:07:	on how that will impact the housing market potentially?
00:48:09> 00:48:14:	So it's a good question on the tariffs.
00:48:15> 00:48:17:	You know, one of the things that I would say
00:48:17> 00:48:20:	on tariffs that that full and real impact will depend
00:48:20> 00:48:23:	on what tariffs actually wind up being put in place.
00:48:24> 00:48:27:	And you know, over the last, you know, couple of
00:48:27> 00:48:30:	months we've had lots of proposals, some of them have
00:48:30> 00:48:32:	gone in, some of them have not.
00:48:33> 00:48:34:	And it'll depend.
00:48:34> 00:48:37:	So if there's a, a heavy tariff on lumber or
00:48:37> 00:48:40:	products that are thing that go into building housing, that's
00:48:40> 00:48:43:	going to be a challenge for housing costs.
00:48:43> 00:48:44:	And that is true.
00:48:45> 00:48:47:	But we will just have to see what what winds
00:48:47> 00:48:49:	up happening and, and how that plays out.
00:48:50> 00:48:53:	And you know, one thing I've learned is that 95%
	<u> </u>

of the things you see in the press are don't 00:48:56 --> 00:48:57: actually happen. 00:48:58 --> 00:49:00: And so I want to make sure that we wait 00:49:00 --> 00:49:03: and see what has actually happened before we run too 00:49:03 --> 00:49:06: many detailed models, because a couple years ago we were 00:49:06 --> 00:49:09: running models on everything and it was running this down 00:49:09 --> 00:49:11: into the ground on immigration. 00:49:11 --> 00:49:16: This is another one where we know that immigrants have 00:49:16 --> 00:49:20: been playing a role in a lot of the trades. 00:49:21 --> 00:49:24: And part of the question will be sort of what 00:49:24 --> 00:49:25: is the policy? 00:49:26 --> 00:49:29: You know, some proposals are that you're going to find 00:49:29 --> 00:49:32: just the violent criminals and that that's where it stands. 00:49:32 --> 00:49:35: Others may or may not believe that and that you 00:49:36 --> 00:49:40: might see differential impacts on the availability of that kind 00:49:40 --> 00:49:42: of Labor if they can. 00:49:42 --> 00:49:44: If it turns out that there is a shortfall there, 00:49:44 --> 00:49:47: that is also going to be a challenge because the 00:49:47 --> 00:49:49: cost of building will go up. 00:49:49 --> 00:49:52: You're going to have to as, as builders pay more 00:49:52 --> 00:49:56: to attract the workers to work on your project and 00:49:56 --> 00:49:57: not another one. 00:49:58 --> 00:50:00: And so, but again, all of this at this point 00:50:00 --> 00:50:01: is notional. 00:50:02 --> 00:50:06: And as as we learn more, the the true contours 00:50:06 --> 00:50:10: of this will come into clearer focus. 00:50:11 --> 00:50:11: Thank you. 00:50:11 --> 00:50:12: Yes, Sir. 00:50:12 --> 00:50:15: Yes, hi Kenneth Jones, Urban Core Oakland, CA. 00:50:15 --> 00:50:19: I just wanted to, and this is my own edification, 00:50:19 --> 00:50:25: ask about how consumer confidence is, you know, because that's 00:50:25 --> 00:50:30: often cited metric of the economy, how that information is 00:50:30 --> 00:50:35: gathered to sort of actually, you know, how that statistic 00:50:35 --> 00:50:36: is is developed. 00:50:36 --> 00:50:38: Yes, so consumer confidence is critical. 00:50:38 --> 00:50:41: So, you know, I'm an econ major, but I'm also 00:50:41 --> 00:50:42: a psychology major. 00:50:42 --> 00:50:46: And one thing that I know is that people don't 00:50:46 --> 00:50:51: make decisions based on exclusively on doing math. 00:50:52 --> 00:50:54: A lot of it is how is is how you 00:50:54 --> 00:50:57: feel is what's on your mind at the moment.

00:48:53 --> 00:48:56:

00:50:58> 00:51:00:	Like who yelled at you two hours ago?
00:51:01> 00:51:03:	Like, it's a lot of those things.
00:51:03> 00:51:08:	And so psychology and mindset are actually critically
00.01.00> 00.01.00.	important in,
00:51:08> 00:51:12:	in, in terms of people's willingness to make big bets,
00:51:12> 00:51:16:	willingness to engage at all, make and making decisions that
00:51:16> 00:51:20:	I got to retrench and hold because I'm afraid.
00:51:20> 00:51:23:	So we have to monitor those things all the time.
00:51:23> 00:51:25:	We try to measure this and tease us out a
00:51:25> 00:51:26:	lot of different sources.
00:51:27> 00:51:29:	In some ways it shows up.
00:51:29> 00:51:34:	You'll hear often hear economists talk about expectations, so
	expectations
00:51:34> 00:51:38:	about inflation, expectations about the ability to get a job
00:51:38> 00:51:39:	next year.
00:51:40> 00:51:42:	And that's just a reflection or a way to talk
00:51:42> 00:51:44:	about people's confidence.
00:51:45> 00:51:47:	And so we need to monitor that.
00:51:47> 00:51:50:	I will say one of the things we've heard over
00:51:50> 00:51:53:	the last 6 to 12 months is the heightened level
00:51:53> 00:51:56:	of uncertainty that we have in a host of areas
00:51:57> 00:52:00:	is causing people to wait because they want to make
00:52:00> 00:52:04:	sure they know what the environment is going to be
00:52:04> 00:52:06:	before they make big bets on things.
00:52:07> 00:52:09:	And so we'll see how long that goes and whether
00:52:09> 00:52:12:	people feel like they're getting more clarity.
00:52:13> 00:52:15:	And to the extent they are, then, then that will
00:52:15> 00:52:17:	be a signal for us about where the economy is
00:52:17> 00:52:18:	likely to go.
00:52:18> 00:52:21:	So it's that's another reason why we want to be
00:52:21> 00:52:24:	out there asking people talking to them about sort of
00:52:24> 00:52:27:	where what their where their head is on various issues.
00:52:28> 00:52:28:	Thanks.
00:52:28> 00:52:29:	Yes.
00:52:29> 00:52:33:	Hi Amber Stewart with Greenville Housing Fund out of South
00:52:33> 00:52:34:	Carolina.
00:52:34> 00:52:37:	So you touched upon it earlier of the fact that
00:52:38> 00:52:41:	even if we build the housing, the wages don't even
00:52:41> 00:52:43:	match to attain that housing.
00:52:44> 00:52:47:	So what can a lot of times the salary wages
00:52:47> 00:52:51:	of people are not part of these conversations.
00:52:51> 00:52:54:	So what can the Federal Reserve in the public sector

00:52:54> 00:52:58:	as a whole do to help incentivize the increase of
00:52:58> 00:53:04:	salaries and wages where we're not triggering
	unemployment, higher inflation,
00:53:04> 00:53:04:	all of that?
00:53:05> 00:53:09:	So that's a very good question.
00:53:09> 00:53:11:	I'm glad you asked it the way you did, because
00:53:11> 00:53:14:	on some level the Federal Reserve can't do much on
00:53:14> 00:53:15:	this, right?
00:53:15> 00:53:16:	We, we have limited tools.
00:53:16> 00:53:19:	They're very specific, they're very broad based.
00:53:19> 00:53:22:	They don't hit target and we can move interest rates
00:53:22> 00:53:24:	and we can talk about problems.
00:53:24> 00:53:26:	So one of the things that I think is a
00:53:26> 00:53:30:	superpower of the Fed is that when people, when we
00:53:30> 00:53:33:	invite people to a session, they usually show up.
00:53:34> 00:53:37:	And so it allows us to be able to bring
00:53:37> 00:53:40:	people together when we see a problem and understand it,
00:53:40> 00:53:43:	to try to curate a conversation that may not happen
00:53:43> 00:53:44:	in other venues.
00:53:44> 00:53:46:	And that can be very helpful.
00:53:46> 00:53:48:	So as I go around, as my team goes around
00:53:49> 00:53:52:	and we see challenges and we see common complaints or
00:53:52> 00:53:57:	issues or when we see very interesting and innovative solutions,
00:53:57> 00:54:02:	we have opportunities to facilitate knowledge transfer and knowledge sharing
00:54:02> 00:54:04:	and all those sorts of things.
00:54:05> 00:54:07:	So, so I think for us, my job is to
00:54:07> 00:54:12:	understand how the economy works and then understand what is
00:54:12> 00:54:16:	keeping people from reaching their full potential.
00:54:17> 00:54:18:	One of our tag lines is an economy that works
00:54:18> 00:54:19:	for everyone.
00:54:19> 00:54:22:	And that's the goal that we're trying to achieve.
00:54:22> 00:54:25:	And if we see if I see things that are
00:54:25> 00:54:28:	not working, I want to make sure the policy makers
00:54:28> 00:54:31:	who can make a difference in that are aware of
00:54:31> 00:54:34:	it so that if they so choose, they can take
00:54:34> 00:54:36:	steps to to improve things.
00:54:37> 00:54:37:	Thanks, Raphael.
00:54:37> 00:54:38:	Yes, Sir.
00:54:39> 00:54:40:	Thank you, Doctor Brochrick.
00:54:40> 00:54:43:	One of the things we're seeing in housing costs is
00.04.40 00.04.40.	one of the timings we to seeing in housing costs is

00:54:43> 00:54:47:	that in fact, when you take apart inflation, housing costs
00:54:47> 00:54:49:	are the kind of long pole in the tent and
00:54:50> 00:54:51:	inside of the indices.
00:54:51> 00:54:55:	Seems that renewals on rents are actually what's driving it.
00:54:55> 00:54:59:	And there's a very big spread between renewal rents and
00:54:59> 00:55:00:	and rents to new leases.
00:55:01> 00:55:03:	And there are other Fed banks like Cleveland are starting
00:55:03> 00:55:05:	to look at other metrics to sort of separate that
00:55:06> 00:55:08:	even by cities, they have a better handle on their
00:55:08> 00:55:08:	inflation.
00:55:09> 00:55:13:	What that also means is that if you if higher
00:55:13> 00:55:14:	interest rates Dr.
00:55:14> 00:55:18:	renewables because people aren't moving out as much and
00 == 40	for
00:55:19> 00:55:22:	their rents, then do you have to get to like
00:55:22> 00:55:25:	1 1/2 to 1.3% and everything else in order to
00:55:25> 00:55:27:	get closer to the 2% overall?
00:55:28> 00:55:31:	Because again, housing is coming down slowly because of the
00:55:31> 00:55:34:	impact of of people not as moving out as much
00:55:34> 00:55:35:	in the lock in effect.
00:55:35> 00:55:37:	So we're going to have some thoughts on that.
00:55:38> 00:55:44:	So decomposing inflation indices is complicated.
00:55:45> 00:55:48:	And you know, one of the realities is that and
00:55:48> 00:55:51:	I, I, I'm not an index expert, I'm going to
00:55:51> 00:55:52:	start with that.
00:55:53> 00:55:55:	And we have some on staff and I should have
00:55:55> 00:55:56:	them here to answer this question.
00:55:57> 00:55:58:	But but here's what I would say.
00:55:59> 00:56:04:	What we try to understand is the suite of things
00:56:04> 00:56:08:	that that are changing all at once to try to
00:56:09> 00:56:13:	understand how to aggregate it all together.
00:56:14> 00:56:17:	So one measure that we have been using a lot
00:56:17> 00:56:21:	in the through the pandemic is the notion of the
00:56:21> 00:56:23:	breath of inflation, right?
00:56:23> 00:56:26:	So the way you calculate an inflation index is you
00:56:26> 00:56:29:	take a basket of 35 or 40 products and you
00:56:29> 00:56:31:	buy it this month, you add it up and then
00:56:31> 00:56:34:	you buy it next month, you add it up.
00:56:34> 00:56:35:	How much more to just spend?
00:56:35> 00:56:36:	That's your inflation rate.
00:56:37> 00:56:39:	So you can do it in the aggregate or you
00:56:39> 00:56:40:	can do it product to product.

00:56:42 --> 00:56:43: How much the soap changed? 00:56:44 --> 00:56:45: How much did the oranges change? 00:56:46 --> 00:56:47: How much did the gas change? 00:56:49 --> 00:56:51: When you do it the second way there, you're going 00:56:51 --> 00:56:53: to get a distribution of changes. 00:56:53 --> 00:56:56: Some are going to be negative, some are going to 00:56:56 --> 00:56:58: be 0, some are going to be at 2%, some 00:56:58 --> 00:56:59: are going to be at 5%. 00:57:00 --> 00:57:04: And what we've started tracking is in an average month, 00:57:04 --> 00:57:08: what's the share of inflation goods that are above 3%, 00:57:08 --> 00:57:09: they're above 5%. 00:57:10 --> 00:57:13: At the height of the pandemic, it was 7580% of 00:57:14 --> 00:57:15: goods were at 3 or 5%. 00:57:16 --> 00:57:19: If 75 or 80 or 5%, it's hard to get 00:57:19 --> 00:57:22: to two, which I think is the thrust of your, 00:57:23 --> 00:57:27: your, your point today that, that fraction, I think that 00:57:27 --> 00:57:30: the, the typical for 5% is like 18%. 00:57:30 --> 00:57:36: The typical for 3% is like 33% Today. 00:57:36 --> 00:57:39: The 5% is at 25, somewhere in that range. 00:57:39 --> 00:57:41: The 3% is at 40, right? 00:57:41 --> 00:57:43: So there's still breath out there. 00:57:43 --> 00:57:46: And so part of this is rather than than then 00:57:46 --> 00:57:49: put it all on one, it's really the, the, the, 00:57:49 --> 00:57:53: the, the, the completeness of it that we're trying to 00:57:53 --> 00:57:55: measure and understand. 00:57:55 --> 00:57:58: And sometimes there's some outliers that are sitting up there 00:57:58 --> 00:58:00: for a long time and housing has been in that 00:58:00 --> 00:58:02: space, but there are other things that can offset it. 00:58:03 --> 00:58:04: And those are the things that we also want to 00:58:04 --> 00:58:05: be mindful of. 00:58:05 --> 00:58:05: Thank. 00:58:07 --> 00:58:07: You. 00:58:07 --> 00:58:09: Thank you, Raphael, can I ask you a final question 00:58:09 --> 00:58:10: on fiscal policy? 00:58:10 --> 00:58:11: Is that is that OK? 00:58:11 --> 00:58:12: No, no, OK. 00:58:12 --> 00:58:12: No. 00:58:12 --> 00:58:16: All right, OK. 00:58:16 --> 00:58:19: I'm just going to make a point that we have 00:58:19 --> 00:58:22: a, you know, a national debt that exceeds, you know, 00:58:22 --> 00:58:23: \$36 trillion.

So I bought soap, soap.

00:56:41 --> 00:56:42:

00.50.00 > 00.50.00	And I know we at the BPC think that has
00:58:23> 00:58:26:	
00:58:26> 00:58:31:	implications for for the housing market because bondholders are going
00:58:31> 00:58:36:	to demand higher interest payments on debt, which could
00.30.31> 00.30.30.	affect
00:58:36> 00:58:37:	mortgage rates.
00:58:37> 00:58:40:	And we pay as much on interest payments every year
00:58:40> 00:58:43:	as you pay on Medicare and and defense.
00:58:43> 00:58:46:	So it's we got to do something about it.
00:58:46> 00:58:49:	But anyway, this was a wonderful conversation.
00:58:49> 00:58:51:	Let me thank everybody.
00:58:51> 00:58:52:	Please give a round of applause.
00:58:52> 00:58:54:	This is a Tour de force thank.
00:58:54> 00:58:55:	You.
00:58:55> 00:58:55:	Thank you.
00:58:55> 00:59:01:	And I think Rosie's going to come up.
00:59:04> 00:59:05:	I guess we'll stay here.
00:59:06> 00:59:07:	Thank you both.
00:59:07> 00:59:10:	That was fantastic and thank you everyone for coming.
00:59:11> 00:59:14:	
	This is a great showing for closing general sessions, so
00:59:14> 00:59:16:	I appreciate everyone sticking around.
00:59:17> 00:59:19:	I also want to thank, we have not mentioned yet,
00:59:19> 00:59:22:	we had a program committee that pulled from both ULI
00:59:22> 00:59:25:	Atlanta member leaders and the Twillinger Centers Advisory Board.
00:59:25> 00:59:27:	And so a few of them are here, a few
00:59:27> 00:59:29:	weren't able to come, but they were really instrumental in
00:59:29> 00:59:31:	pulling this program and speakers together.
00:59:31> 00:59:33:	So I, I thank them.
00:59:34> 00:59:36:	You will like Atlanta Daphne again, I'm sure she's around
00:59:36> 00:59:38:	here somewhere, the Twiliger Center staff.
00:59:39> 00:59:41:	But really it, this is a labor of love.
00:59:41> 00:59:43:	A lot of people pulled this off.
00:59:43> 00:59:46:	And so I appreciate everybody's help and we really
	appreciate
00:59:47> 00:59:47:	you all coming.
00:59:47> 00:59:49:	There will be a survey.
00:59:49> 00:59:50:	Please fill it out.
00:59:50> 00:59:52:	It helps us figure out what what you enjoyed, what
00:59:52> 00:59:55:	you didn't enjoy so we can make this conference better
00:59:55> 00:59:56:	every year.
00:59:57> 00:59:59:	If you are going to a tour, they are leaving
00:59:59> 01:00:02:	from the lobby in 30 minutes, so please head down

01:00:02 --> 01:00:02: there.

01:00:03 --> 01:00:06: Otherwise, we hope to see you at the closing reception

01:00:06 --> 01:00:08: at Five O 5 Cortland and hopefully we'll see you

01:00:09 --> **01:00:10:** next year as well.

01:00:10 --> 01:00:10: Bye.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .