

## Webinar

**ULI Toronto: The Future of Density** 

Date: April 08, 2020

00:01:30 --> 00:01:32:

00:00:00 --> 00:00:05: The whole time during the COVID-19 crisis that is affecting 00:00:05 --> 00:00:07: us all globally, 00:00:07 --> 00:00:10: of course, and while many of the of the programs 00:00:10 --> 00:00:14: that we're putting on at you electron to are dealing 00:00:14 --> 00:00:18: with things like called action as we have tomorrow, 00:00:18 --> 00:00:22: working with how we can help the arts community and 00:00:22 --> 00:00:26: and responding to the urgent nature of of the moment, 00:00:26 --> 00:00:30: we thought it would be really important, 00:00:30 --> 00:00:33: though too. Begin to cast our minds to some of 00:00:33 --> 00:00:37: the broader public policy implications of this historic moment that 00:00:37 --> 00:00:41: that we're experiencing that clearly is going to have a 00:00:41 --> 00:00:44: lasting impression on how we think about city building. 00:00:44 --> 00:00:47: So we're delighted to have. 00:00:47 --> 00:00:51: The Great Institute of Ryerson City building. 00:00:51 --> 00:00:55: To drive this exploration with us and it'll be as 00:00:55 --> 00:00:55: I said, 00:00:55 --> 00:01:00: today's it's the future of Cities series today will be 00:01:00 --> 00:01:02: focused on the future of density. 00:01:02 --> 00:01:06: As you've seen and we're very excited to have this 00:01:06 --> 00:01:10: be our launch next slide just a little bit of 00:01:10 --> 00:01:11: housekeeping. 00:01:11 --> 00:01:15: All of you will not surprisingly know that you're muted 00:01:15 --> 00:01:18: and will be muted for the. 00:01:18 --> 00:01:20: With the duration of this webcast. 00:01:20 --> 00:01:24: it's only practical way that we could do that for 00:01:24 --> 00:01:26: this many people. 00:01:26 --> 00:01:30: That's now almost 300 logged in questions that will be

entertained at about 12:30.

00:01:32 --> 00:01:36: Mark and I will take that when she's finished doing 00:01:36 --> 00:01:38: the moderation transfer to. 00:01:38 --> 00:01:43: I will then take questions as selectively as they come 00:01:43 --> 00:01:43: in. 00:01:43 --> 00:01:47: No doubt there going to be more questions then we 00:01:47 --> 00:01:48: can address. 00:01:48 --> 00:01:51: And what we promise is that those become really, 00:01:51 --> 00:01:56: really key inputs into future explorations of web casts that 00:01:56 --> 00:02:00: we and town halls and various things that that will 00:02:00 --> 00:02:01: be doing at you, 00:02:01 --> 00:02:04: like Toronto, I'd like to just quickly, 00:02:04 --> 00:02:07: very briefly, next slide. Thank our sponsors. 00:02:07 --> 00:02:10: This is a time like no other for us in 00:02:10 --> 00:02:13: terms of our reliance on our annual sponsors, 00:02:13 --> 00:02:16: you Ally Toronto, as many you know, 00:02:16 --> 00:02:18: does a lot of live events. 00:02:18 --> 00:02:21: And a major part of our viability is based on 00:02:21 --> 00:02:24: our ability to bring people together in person. Obviously that's not going to be possible for a long 00:02:24 --> 00:02:27: 00:02:27 --> 00:02:28: time, 00:02:28 --> 00:02:30: and so our annual sponsors are really, 00:02:30 --> 00:02:34: really critical to allowing us to do the things that 00:02:34 --> 00:02:36: we do online as we're doing today. 00:02:36 --> 00:02:38: So with that, I'm going to turn, 00:02:38 --> 00:02:42: I think, series bird is probably somebody doesn't require a 00:02:42 --> 00:02:43: lot of introduction. 00:02:43 --> 00:02:45: She is, as I mentioned, 00:02:45 --> 00:02:49: the executive director of the Ryerson City Building Institute. 00:02:49 --> 00:02:53: She's working with her colleagues. 00:02:53 --> 00:02:57: And board has turned that Institute into a true city 00:02:57 --> 00:02:59: building force in Toronto, 00:02:59 --> 00:03:03: and frankly, nationally. And so it's really terrific to have 00:03:03 --> 00:03:05: Cherise take on this. 00:03:05 --> 00:03:08: This first conversation that will be doing weekly. 00:03:08 --> 00:03:12: And with that, I'll turn it over to you series. 00:03:12 --> 00:03:15: Thank you so much, Richard, 00:03:15 --> 00:03:18: and thanks to Alex and Denise and everyone at ULI 00:03:18 --> 00:03:22: for managing all the technical aspects of this web and 00:03:22 --> 00:03:22: R, 00:03:22 --> 00:03:26: and we're thrilled to partner with you Ally on this 00:03:26 --> 00:03:28: weekly series because together, 00:03:28 --> 00:03:32: I think we really bring a diverse an engaged audience,

00:03:32> 00:03:36:	and I've heard we have almost 600 people signed up
00:03:36> 00:03:38:	for today's web and R.
00:03:38> 00:03:41:	So thanks to everyone out there in Zoom land for
00:03:41> 00:03:42:	joining us.
00:03:42> 00:03:47:	So this future. City series each week will feature one
00:03:47> 00:03:52:	Ryerson expert and one external professional expert.
00:03:52> 00:03:55:	So today we are joined by next slide.
00:03:55> 00:04:00:	Please Denise where we are joined by Doctor Murtaza Haider,
00:04:00> 00:04:05:	professor of real Estate management at Ryerson's Ted Rogers School
00:04:05> 00:04:10:	of Management and director of Ryerson's Urban Analytics Institute,
00:04:10> 00:04:14:	which produces excellent city building research,
00:04:14> 00:04:18:	reports the really data rich and the very readable.
00:04:18> 00:04:21:	So if you haven't been to their site,
00:04:21> 00:04:23:	I would highly recommend it.
00:04:23> 00:04:30:	And he also produces a regular column in the Financial
00:04:30> 00:04:30:	post.
00:04:30> 00:04:33:	And we're joined by Ken Greenberg,
00:04:33> 00:04:36:	who's urban designer teacher writer.
00:04:36> 00:04:40:	Former director of Design and architecture for the city of
00:04:40> 00:04:41:	Toronto.
00:04:40> 00:04:41: 00:04:41> 00:04:46:	Toronto.  An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a
00:04:41> 00:04:46:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new
00:04:41> 00:04:46: 00:04:46> 00:04:49:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.
00:04:41> 00:04:46: 00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he
00:04:41> 00:04:46: 00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.
00:04:41> 00:04:46: 00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.
00:04:41> 00:04:46: 00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:08> 00:05:13:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:08> 00:05:13: 00:05:13> 00:05:14:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:13> 00:05:14: 00:05:14> 00:05:17:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.  So by now many of us have read or have
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:13> 00:05:13: 00:05:14> 00:05:17: 00:05:17> 00:05:22:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.  So by now many of us have read or have heard about the New York Times article a couple weeks
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:13> 00:05:13: 00:05:14> 00:05:17: 00:05:17> 00:05:22: 00:05:22> 00:05:27:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.  So by now many of us have read or have heard about the New York Times article a couple weeks ago that sparked a fiery debate on social media asking
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:13> 00:05:13: 00:05:14> 00:05:17: 00:05:17> 00:05:22: 00:05:22> 00:05:27:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.  So by now many of us have read or have heard about the New York Times article a couple weeks ago that sparked a fiery debate on social media asking if density is the enemy in New York.
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:08> 00:05:13: 00:05:13> 00:05:14: 00:05:14> 00:05:17: 00:05:22> 00:05:22: 00:05:22> 00:05:30: 00:05:30> 00:05:34:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.  So by now many of us have read or have heard about the New York Times article a couple weeks ago that sparked a fiery debate on social media asking if density is the enemy in New York.  In New York's fight against coronavirus so.
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:13> 00:05:13: 00:05:14> 00:05:17: 00:05:17> 00:05:22: 00:05:22> 00:05:27: 00:05:23> 00:05:34: 00:05:34> 00:05:38:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.  So by now many of us have read or have heard about the New York Times article a couple weeks ago that sparked a fiery debate on social media asking if density is the enemy in New York.  In New York's fight against coronavirus so.  So we're going to start by hearing from both of
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:13> 00:05:14: 00:05:14> 00:05:17: 00:05:22> 00:05:22: 00:05:22> 00:05:30: 00:05:34> 00:05:38: 00:05:34> 00:05:38:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.  So by now many of us have read or have heard about the New York Times article a couple weeks ago that sparked a fiery debate on social media asking if density is the enemy in New York.  In New York's fight against coronavirus so.  So we're going to start by hearing from both of you,
00:04:41> 00:04:46:  00:04:46> 00:04:49: 00:04:49> 00:04:52: 00:04:52> 00:04:56: 00:04:56> 00:05:00: 00:05:00> 00:05:02: 00:05:02> 00:05:07: 00:05:07> 00:05:08: 00:05:13> 00:05:13: 00:05:14> 00:05:17: 00:05:17> 00:05:22: 00:05:22> 00:05:27: 00:05:23> 00:05:34: 00:05:34> 00:05:38:	An principle of Greenberg Consultants and he's recently a new member of the order of Canada.  And he is a co-founder of Ryerson CBI and he is a member of ULI Tronos Advisory Port.  So welcome to both of you for joining us.  Thank you. Make sure he's like N.  Alright so we only have 30 minutes for a really exciting discussion.  So we're going to jump right into the heart of things.  So by now many of us have read or have heard about the New York Times article a couple weeks ago that sparked a fiery debate on social media asking if density is the enemy in New York.  In New York's fight against coronavirus so.  So we're going to start by hearing from both of

00:05:44> 00:05:45:	3 minutes each,
00:05:45> 00:05:48:	but then we can get into some of the other
00:05:48> 00:05:51:	details an expand on some some point so.
00:05:51> 00:05:55:	Murtaz it can we hear from you first on this?
00:05:55> 00:05:58:	Sure, thank you for the opportunity.
00:05:58> 00:06:01:	It's really a pleasure, an honor to be on a
00:06:01> 00:06:02:	panel with Ken.
00:06:02> 00:06:05:	I'm just going to take a few minutes to set
00:06:05> 00:06:08:	the things up and this is a debate that started
00:06:09> 00:06:11:	recently in the social media,
00:06:11> 00:06:13:	yes, but it's not a new debate.
00:06:13> 00:06:16:	The earliest papers on this very topic that is the
00:06:16> 00:06:21:	relationship between pandemics and density date back to 1927,
00:06:21> 00:06:25:	and essentially what I wanted to say is that there
00:06:25> 00:06:25:	is.
00:06:25> 00:06:29:	An A series of body of research available that shows
00:06:29> 00:06:32:	that there may or there could be a link between
00:06:32> 00:06:34:	the spread of Pandemic San,
00:06:34> 00:06:37:	the density at which living environments exist.
00:06:37> 00:06:41:	However, going moving beyond that I mean acknowledging the fact
00:06:42> 00:06:45:	that there is no definitive one universal truth about it.
00:06:42> 00:06:45: 00:06:45> 00:06:48:	that there is no definitive one universal truth about it.  There is still a debate about it,
00:06:45> 00:06:48:	There is still a debate about it,
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us?
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from?
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it.
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities.
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note,
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02: 00:07:04> 00:07:06:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note, let's look at the very best of what we have
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:06> 00:07:09:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note, let's look at the very best of what we have created over the years and see how it would inform
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:04: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:10> 00:07:12:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note, let's look at the very best of what we have created over the years and see how it would inform this particular episode of social distancing.
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02: 00:07:04> 00:07:04: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:10> 00:07:12: 00:07:12> 00:07:14:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note, let's look at the very best of what we have created over the years and see how it would inform this particular episode of social distancing. Inform our future city building.
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:04: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:06> 00:07:09: 00:07:10> 00:07:12: 00:07:12> 00:07:14: 00:07:14> 00:07:17:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note, let's look at the very best of what we have created over the years and see how it would inform this particular episode of social distancing. Inform our future city building. I'm going to say that this is one of the
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:10> 00:07:12: 00:07:12> 00:07:14: 00:07:14> 00:07:17: 00:07:17> 00:07:20:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note, let's look at the very best of what we have created over the years and see how it would inform this particular episode of social distancing. Inform our future city building. I'm going to say that this is one of the largest social experiments in the history of mankind.
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:10> 00:07:12: 00:07:12> 00:07:14: 00:07:14> 00:07:17: 00:07:17> 00:07:20: 00:07:20> 00:07:22:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note, let's look at the very best of what we have created over the years and see how it would inform this particular episode of social distancing. Inform our future city building. I'm going to say that this is one of the largest social experiments in the history of mankind. We have never done any such thing in the past
00:06:45> 00:06:48: 00:06:48> 00:06:52: 00:06:52> 00:06:53: 00:06:53> 00:06:56: 00:06:56> 00:06:58: 00:06:58> 00:06:59: 00:06:59> 00:07:02: 00:07:02> 00:07:02: 00:07:04> 00:07:06: 00:07:06> 00:07:09: 00:07:10> 00:07:12: 00:07:14> 00:07:17: 00:07:17> 00:07:20: 00:07:20> 00:07:22:	There is still a debate about it, but there's increasing evidence. The bottom line is what does it mean for us? For city building, does it mean that we look at the tweet from? Andrew Como and say that's it. We should put a break on the way we build cities. Or we decide that. Note, let's look at the very best of what we have created over the years and see how it would inform this particular episode of social distancing. Inform our future city building. I'm going to say that this is one of the largest social experiments in the history of mankind. We have never done any such thing in the past where a few billion people have been told to stay

00:07:30> 00:07:33:	And now we're being told to be a unsocial or
00:07:33> 00:07:35:	be at least not being interacting.
00:07:35> 00:07:38:	The few things that I want to talk about when
00:07:38> 00:07:40:	it when it comes to density density is 1 proxy
00:07:40> 00:07:42:	of the built environment.
00:07:42> 00:07:44:	It's not the only definitive thing,
00:07:44> 00:07:48:	but it is something that excites urban planners the most.
00:07:48> 00:07:51:	They see most planning through the lens of density and
00:07:51> 00:07:52:	density's,
00:07:52> 00:07:55:	not necessarily a variable. It's a ratio between two variables
00:07:55> 00:07:58:	that is population divided by area.
00:07:58> 00:08:00:	So so you have to be mindful of it in
00:08:00> 00:08:02:	the fact that it's a ratio.
00:08:02> 00:08:04:	The question is what kind of density is it?
00:08:04> 00:08:07:	the New York density that we're looking at,
00:08:07> 00:08:09:	which is 28,000 persons per square mile?
00:08:09> 00:08:12:	Or is it the next dense place in the United
00:08:12> 00:08:15:	States which is 17,000 persons per square mile in San
00:08:15> 00:08:15:	Francisco?
00:08:15> 00:08:18:	Or is it for us in Toronto are we are
00:08:18> 00:08:20:	250 square miles in the City of Toronto?
00:08:20> 00:08:22:	Not the Greater Toronto Area,
00:08:22> 00:08:24:	and we have roughly 2.7 million people,
00:08:24> 00:08:27:	so 11,000 persons per square mile?
00:08:27> 00:08:29:	The bottom line is that when you look at city
00:08:29> 00:08:30:	building,
00:08:30> 00:08:32:	when you look at the last 100 years and then
00:08:32> 00:08:35:	when you compare it with the last 50 years,
00:08:35> 00:08:38:	we realized that research is showing that while it may
00:08:38> 00:08:41:	appear that we are densifying the reality is that we
00:08:41> 00:08:43:	are not our growth in the recent past.
00:08:43> 00:08:46:	In the last few decades has been that of sprawl
00:08:46> 00:08:47:	rather than densification.
00:08:47> 00:08:49:	Cities have grown across the world.
00:08:49> 00:08:51:	This is research from New York City,
00:08:51> 00:08:55:	NY University, showing the cities have grown more through
	sprawl
00:08:55> 00:08:56:	than through densification.
00:08:56> 00:09:00:	At the same time. Should we just look at the
00:09:00> 00:09:03:	extremes of density such as NYC at one end and
00:09:03> 00:09:05:	maybe Houston or the other?
00:09:05> 00:09:08:	Or we look at the diversity of density and the

00:09:08> 00:09:12:	workable density that I see so so widely available in
00:09:12> 00:09:14:	neighborhoods across Toronto,
00:09:14> 00:09:17:	in neighborhoods across Montreal? For me,
00:09:17> 00:09:20:	I think the future of city building will not be
00:09:20> 00:09:23:	tide to one particular threshold of density,
00:09:23> 00:09:26:	but it will be cognizant of the fact that the
00:09:26> 00:09:29:	two challenges that come with density.
00:09:29> 00:09:33:	May require some creative solutions and that is that the
00:09:33> 00:09:36:	two biggest challenges that I see with density RA traffic
00:09:36> 00:09:41:	congestion or mobility related congestion and the other one is
00:09:41> 00:09:45:	the affordability. May that be rental ownership or commercial rents?
00:09:45> 00:09:48:	And I believe that whereas we will be able to
00:09:48> 00:09:52:	find a vaccine for COVID-19 in the near future,
00:09:52> 00:09:54:	there's no vaccine for congestion.
00:09:54> 00:09:58:	There is no vaccine for affordability unless we learn some
00:09:58> 00:10:01:	lessons from this social distancing and see.
00:10:01> 00:10:05:	If we can relieve some pressure of this central place
00:10:05> 00:10:08:	on the central places across the cities,
00:10:08> 00:10:12:	and then rethink our approach towards the agglomeration of been
00:10:12> 00:10:14:	spaces that we have done.
00:10:14> 00:10:18:	Thank you. Great thanks mataza.
00:10:18> 00:10:20:	Just about 3 minutes and 15 seconds,
00:10:20> 00:10:23:	so pretty.
00:10:23> 00:10:26:	Can over to you. No thanks series.
00:10:26> 00:10:29:	I would start by saying when you look at this
00:10:29> 00:10:30:	headline,
00:10:30> 00:10:34:	my first reaction is that this is an unwarranted.
00:10:34> 00:10:39:	Knee jerk reactions. Accres classic case of fight or flight
00:10:39> 00:10:41:	and this is flight.
00:10:41> 00:10:45:	It's kind of suggesting their flight is the answer and
00:10:45> 00:10:48:	if we were to follow that prescription.
00:10:48> 00:10:52:	We would be creating a far worse problem by undermining
00:10:52> 00:10:56:	our response to the other major challenge of our time,
00:10:56> 00:10:59:	which is how we deal with climate change.
00:10:59> 00:11:03:	More to unpack about that later.
00:11:03> 00:11:08:	The. Other thing is that this correlation is based on
00:11:08> 00:11:09:	one variable ratio.
00:11:09> 00:11:12:	As Matesa has said without much context.
00:11:12> 00:11:16:	So you have to ask yourself the question why have
00:11:16> 00:11:19:	much denser cities in New York like Hong Kong,

00:11:19> 00:11:23:	Singapore, Seoul and Taipei all had fewer cases per capita
00:11:23> 00:11:27:	and clearly there are a bunch of other issues.
00:11:27> 00:11:31:	Infrastructure, organization, healthcare, system, preparedness,
00:11:31> 00:11:35:	governance, early intervention testing and tracing.
00:11:35> 00:11:38:	Social discipline and so on.
00:11:38> 00:11:42:	By the same token, why have lower density rural areas
00:11:42> 00:11:45:	been hit so hard like Lombardia and the Veneto in
00:11:45> 00:11:46:	Italy in EU,
00:11:46> 00:11:48:	S2, Hershal or Kirkland, WA?
00:11:48> 00:11:51:	So clearly something else is going on,
00:11:51> 00:11:54:	which is not only about density.
00:11:54> 00:11:58:	There is a history of this kind of reaction of
00:11:58> 00:12:02:	blaming cities when it comes to outbreaks of disease and
00:12:02> 00:12:03:	epidemics.
00:12:03> 00:12:06:	Pandemics in the early 20th century,
00:12:06> 00:12:09:	it led to a bunch of solutions,
00:12:09> 00:12:12:	were about which were about diluting cities,
00:12:12> 00:12:15:	and they did not turn out so well.
00:12:15> 00:12:19:	The towers in the park in Europe Post for auto
00:12:19> 00:12:22:	oriented sprawl in North America and elsewhere.
00:12:22> 00:12:25:	The truth is that big cities.
00:12:25> 00:12:28:	Densities are where we solve big problems.
00:12:28> 00:12:32:	That's where we adapt. That's where the hygiene revolution
	has
00:12:32> 00:12:33:	occurred.
00:12:33> 00:12:36:	You can take this all the way back to Roman
00:12:36> 00:12:37:	times.
00:12:37> 00:12:42:	The introduction of drainage and clean water systems vaccination where
00:12:42> 00:12:46:	doctors and hospitals are where research is done.
00:12:46> 00:12:49:	So there's much to be said for densities in terms
00:12:49> 00:12:52:	of dealing with this kind of challenge,
00:12:52> 00:12:54:	but clearly not all density is good,
00:12:54> 00:12:57:	and there are two quotes that I want to use,
00:12:57> 00:13:02:	one from Jonathan Barnett and urban Designer very simply.
00:13:02> 00:13:04:	It's not how dense you make it,
00:13:04> 00:13:07:	it's how you make it dense and the second from
00:13:07> 00:13:08:	Jane Jacobs,
00:13:08> 00:13:13:	who in 1961 made this very important distinction between density
00:13:13> 00:13:14:	and overcrowding.
00:13:14> 00:13:18:	Overcrowding being the real problem and often being a

function 00:13:18 --> 00:13:20: of poverty and discrimination. 00:13:20 --> 00:13:23: And again I want to come back to that in 00:13:23 --> 00:13:24: the discussion. 00:13:24 --> 00:13:26: So what does density well done? 00:13:26 --> 00:13:30: Good density look like? Clearly it is not just clusters 00:13:30 --> 00:13:31: of tall towers, 00:13:31 --> 00:13:36: it's about making what we often call complete neighborhoods. 00:13:36 --> 00:13:41: It's about social infrastructure. It's about resiliency being built into 00:13:41 --> 00:13:42: neighborhoods, 00:13:42 --> 00:13:48: and very importantly, redundancy. Having multiple ways of doing things 00:13:48 --> 00:13:50: in times of stress. So my conclusion is cities will survive and prosper. 00:13:50 --> 00:13:54: 00:13:54 --> 00:13:58: They're here to stay. I don't predict a reversal. 00:13:58 --> 00:14:02: I think this reaction clearly has stirred up a lot 00:14:02 --> 00:14:03: of discussion. 00:14:03 --> 00:14:07: Isolation, such as we're experiencing now, 00:14:07 --> 00:14:11: I think, is a temporary measure in extremists. 00:14:11 --> 00:14:15: I don't think we're going to see a social recession 00:14:15 --> 00:14:18: along with an economic recession, 00:14:18 --> 00:14:21: but I think we have to think about how we 00:14:21 --> 00:14:22: make cities. 00:14:22 --> 00:14:26: Differently, in many ways, how much more time do I 00:14:26 --> 00:14:27: got trees? 00:14:27 --> 00:14:32: Yeah, you could just finish up there can OK. 00:14:32 --> 00:14:37: Great, so I'm hearing a lot of convergence from both 00:14:37 --> 00:14:39: of you and I'm hearing that. 00:14:39 --> 00:14:42: It's not so much density per say, 00:14:42 --> 00:14:46: but it's how we do density and it's the built 00:14:47 --> 00:14:51: form and how we design our cities and Murtaza. 00:14:51 --> 00:14:55: I'm hearing from you is that maybe this is also 00:14:55 --> 00:15:00: an opportunity to tackle some of the very pervasive problems 00:15:00 --> 00:15:02: with our cities. 00:15:02 --> 00:15:07: And you mentioned affordability, and you mentioned congestion, 00:15:07 --> 00:15:11: so so I'd like to unpack that issue. 00:15:11 --> 00:15:13: A little bit.

00:15:13 --> 00:15:17: When we talk about. Doing density better.

00:15:17 --> 00:15:20: Most of you know I always I always talk about

**00:15:20 --> 00:15:25:** our regional development patterns as as tall and sprawl that

00:15:25> 00:15:31:	we've been building low density outward development anncol Antal condo
00:15:31> 00:15:36:	towers concentrated in centers and nodes of very high growth.
00:15:36> 00:15:38:	So is there a better balance?
00:15:38> 00:15:42:	Is there an opportunity here to for a better way
00:15:42> 00:15:46:	of doing density that can build with a bull?
00:15:46> 00:15:51:	Walkable neighborhoods access to essential services.
00:15:51> 00:15:54:	An instance what is good or bad density?
00:15:54> 00:15:56:	What should we be striving for?
00:15:56> 00:16:00:	Do you think that this is an opportunity to build
00:16:00> 00:16:02:	better density going forward?
00:16:02> 00:16:05:	Or do you think that all an sprawl pattern will
00:16:06> 00:16:06:	continue?
00:16:06> 00:16:09:	So I'll start with Ken this time.
00:16:09> 00:16:11:	And then we'll go to Murtaza.
00:16:11> 00:16:15:	Yeah, I mean clearly there is not one template that
00:16:15> 00:16:18:	fits all when we're talking about a city region in
00:16:19> 00:16:21:	the case of Toronto City region,
00:16:21> 00:16:24:	that's going to 10,000,000 people.
00:16:24> 00:16:28:	There are variety of scales of variety of densities.
00:16:28> 00:16:30:	There's a, there's a hierarchy,
00:16:30> 00:16:33:	but I think I would not exclude the fact that
00:16:34> 00:16:36:	there is a place for tall buildings.
00:16:36> 00:16:39:	I think as many people have pointed out,
00:16:39> 00:16:43:	we've had these two extremes an we have ignored.
00:16:43> 00:16:47:	Very unfortunately, a lot of solutions that fall within the
00:16:48> 00:16:48:	middle,
00:16:48> 00:16:52:	but I want to switch from just the discussion of.
00:16:52> 00:16:56:	Density in terms of how big buildings are to what
00:16:57> 00:16:59:	goes into those buildings.
00:16:59> 00:17:02:	An one of the issues is an and this goes
00:17:02> 00:17:07:	to Jane Jacobs distinction between density and overcrowding.
00:17:07> 00:17:11:	Overcrowding can occur in very low density,
00:17:11> 00:17:14:	which makes us very vulnerable.
00:17:14> 00:17:18:	We're seeing that in long term health care places around
00:17:19> 00:17:20:	our country,
00:17:20> 00:17:23:	which are typically in low density areas.
00:17:23> 00:17:27:	And yet people are extremely overcrowded within them,
00:17:27> 00:17:30:	So what kind of spaces occur within the buildings,
00:17:30> 00:17:32:	not only for living arrangements,
00:17:32> 00:17:35:	but for all the other things that we need to

00:17:35> 00:17:35:	do.
00:17:35> 00:17:39:	And increasingly the discussion now is about to use an
00:17:39> 00:17:41:	expression that's grand.
00:17:41> 00:17:44:	A lot of currency, 15 minute neighborhoods or 20 minute
00:17:44> 00:17:45:	neighborhoods,
00:17:45> 00:17:49:	meaning how many things in the course of your daily
00:17:49> 00:17:52:	life can you do within walking distance?
00:17:52> 00:17:57:	Which simultaneously addresses the issue of resiliency.
00:17:57> 00:18:01:	Redundancy having many ways to do things,
00:18:01> 00:18:04:	many ways to meet those daily needs,
00:18:04> 00:18:09:	but also deals with the big issue of environmental sustainability.
00:18:09> 00:18:14:	It actually brings these two things together rather than seeing
00:18:14> 00:18:19:	them in opposition and so focusing on social infrastructure on
00:18:19> 00:18:20:	amenities,
00:18:20> 00:18:24:	on services on dealing with the full range of the
00:18:24> 00:18:27:	population on social inequities.
00:18:27> 00:18:31:	Becomes extremely important also in the same way that we
00:18:31> 00:18:37:	think about environmental sustainability and we've now understood when we're
00:18:37> 00:18:38:	dealing with hydrology.
00:18:38> 00:18:41:	That when we have periods of high water,
00:18:41> 00:18:44:	the water has to go somewhere.
00:18:44> 00:18:46:	How about thinking in social terms?
00:18:46> 00:18:49:	What happens in periods of crisis?
00:18:49> 00:18:53:	Where do people go? What other ways do they have
00:18:53> 00:18:56:	to deal with their needs and how can we make
00:18:57> 00:18:57:	dense,
00:18:57> 00:19:03:	compact, walkable neighborhoods have those qualities?
00:19:03> 00:19:06:	Mataza do you want to comment on?
00:19:06> 00:19:11:	I'm good bad density. What we should be striving for.
00:19:11> 00:19:16:	An if you think this moment provides an opportunity to
00:19:16> 00:19:22:	solve some of these big challenges that we're facing right
00:19:22> 00:19:23:	now in cities.
00:19:23> 00:19:26:	Yes, absolutely. I think the you know,
00:19:26> 00:19:29:	going back to when I was a graduate student of
00:19:29> 00:19:31:	engineering at University of Toronto,
00:19:31> 00:19:33:	I wanted to pick 2 problems.
00:19:33> 00:19:36:	One for my Masters and one for my doctorate problems
00:19:37> 00:19:39:	that I was thinking would not be solved in my
00:19:39> 00:19:42:	lifetime so that I can have akarere and I don't
00:19:42> 00:19:46:	have to switch. And I picked housing for my Masters

00:19:49 --> 00:19:50: years later we're stuck in. 00:19:50 --> 00:19:54: We were stuck in traffic as Anthony Downs wrote a 00:19:54 --> 00:19:55: book and then he wrote. 00:19:55 --> 00:19:58: Follow up, you're still stuck in traffic, 00:19:58 --> 00:20:00: but now we're stuck in traffic. 00:20:00 --> 00:20:03: But we're also stuck in an affordable housing choices, 00:20:03 --> 00:20:06: so I think what we have to do along with 00:20:06 --> 00:20:08: thinking about climate change, 00:20:08 --> 00:20:11: which is a reality, which is one of the greatest, 00:20:11 --> 00:20:14: if not the greatest challenge to mankind faces. 00:20:14 --> 00:20:17: Today. We have to also think about how we will 00:20:17 --> 00:20:18: address, 00:20:18 --> 00:20:21: and we must address issues with mobility and issues with 00:20:21 --> 00:20:22: affordability. 00:20:22 --> 00:20:25: I think the kind of density that we have. 00:20:25 --> 00:20:29: Promoted as a policy instrument is not very sensitive to 00:20:29 --> 00:20:30: affordability concerns. 00:20:30 --> 00:20:34: If you look at the density in Manhattan density in 00:20:34 --> 00:20:36: San Francisco density in London, 00:20:36 --> 00:20:39: there's no escaping the truth of these places are also 00:20:39 --> 00:20:40: the most congested. 00:20:40 --> 00:20:44: They're also the most unaffordable places on the planet, 00:20:44 --> 00:20:47: so you cannot. Cities are for people to live if 00:20:47 --> 00:20:49: it gets difficult to get to them. 00:20:49 --> 00:20:52: If it gets difficult to live in them, 00:20:52 --> 00:20:56: if it becomes unaffordable, then certainly we're not doing the 00:20:56 --> 00:20:57: right things. 00:20:57 --> 00:21:00: Public transit could help, and it has helped. 00:21:00 --> 00:21:05: It makes downtowns functionable, otherwise they would not function without 00:21:05 --> 00:21:06: public transit. 00:21:06 --> 00:21:08: But I think now is it time for us to 00:21:08 --> 00:21:11: question the the status code that we have half 00:21:11 --> 00:21:14: a million jobs in downtown Toronto, 00:21:14 --> 00:21:17: half a million jobs? Do we really need that concentration 00:21:17 --> 00:21:18: of jobs? 00:21:18 --> 00:21:21: Do we really have to bring everyone here? 00:21:21 --> 00:21:23: Do we really have to do this or take this 00:21:23 --> 00:21:24: opportunity? 00:21:24 --> 00:21:27: This social experiment to see if we can. 00:21:27 --> 00:21:31: Decentralize our work locations to reduce the burden on

in traffic for my PhD and then and and 25

00:19:46 --> 00:19:48:

	mobility
00:21:31> 00:21:34:	and allowing more land to be developed in a more
00:21:34> 00:21:35:	walkable,
00:21:35> 00:21:38:	conducive fashion. And when I say more land to be
00:21:38> 00:21:38:	developed,
00:21:38> 00:21:40:	I'm not advocating for sprawl.
00:21:40> 00:21:44:	I'm not advocating for communities with just cars,
00:21:44> 00:21:46:	I'm saying livable, walkable communities,
00:21:46> 00:21:49:	but they don't have to be within 10 minutes of
00:21:49> 00:21:53:	downtown's that we have to bring more land developable in
00:21:53> 00:21:56:	livable communities to take pressure off the central cities,
00:21:56> 00:21:59:	which are becoming increasingly congested.
00:21:59> 00:22:04:	And increasingly, unaffordable. OK, I'm just going to pull on
00:22:04> 00:22:05:	a thread on that.
00:22:05> 00:22:09:	Murtaza, really curious what you think the future holds for
00:22:09> 00:22:15:	transit oriented development and or transit oriented communities as the
00:22:15> 00:22:20:	provincial government is calling it based on this experience,
00:22:20> 00:22:24:	what do you think the future importance will be for
00:22:24> 00:22:25:	commuters,
00:22:25> 00:22:29:	suburbs, and the workforce relying on go trains that are
00:22:29> 00:22:31:	oriented towards the downtown?
00:22:31> 00:22:38:	And new subways. That is a huge provincial investment
	focus.
00:22:38> 00:22:41:	I think the last mile challenge first and last mile
00:22:41> 00:22:46:	challenge with regional trains is very difficult to to address
00:22:46> 00:22:47:	and you can.
00:22:47> 00:22:49:	You can. How do you get to go trains?
00:22:49> 00:22:51:	I mean I I'm I'm a transit rider.
00:22:51> 00:22:54:	I take go train everyday or used to take go
00:22:54> 00:22:55:	train every day.
00:22:55> 00:22:59:	But the moment those parking lots are full then that's
00:22:59> 00:23:00:	about 9:00 o'clock.
00:23:00> 00:23:04:	From 9 onwards the trains are running pretty much empty
00:23:04> 00:23:06:	on the busiest corridors.
00:23:06> 00:23:09:	So you ask yourself, is there a workable Model 2
00:23:09> 00:23:10:	two?
00:23:10> 00:23:13:	Be able to run efficient and transit in a way
00:23:13> 00:23:16:	that allows people to get to work.
00:23:16> 00:23:20:	I think there are other constraints that limit the viability

animal,

of commuter rail public transit through subways is a different

00:23:20 --> 00:23:24:

00:23:25 --> 00:23:25:

00:23:25> 00:23:29:	but commuter rails has its big challenge of how you
00:23:29> 00:23:31:	overcome the first and last mile,
00:23:31> 00:23:36:	but allowing people what can just said redundancy and diversity
00:23:36> 00:23:40:	of modes so that people are not captive to automobiles.
00:23:40> 00:23:42:	Or not captive to another different mode.
00:23:42> 00:23:45:	For example, if you take subway out and then people
00:23:45> 00:23:49:	realize that the whole locational decisions were predicated on the
00:23:49> 00:23:51:	fact that they would travel by subway,
00:23:51> 00:23:54:	and now certainly subways out and there's no redundancy in
00:23:54> 00:23:55:	subway,
00:23:55> 00:23:57:	what to do now in the system breaks down.
00:23:57> 00:24:00:	I think we should think about redundancies and resilience in
00:24:00> 00:24:02:	a variety of fashions,
00:24:02> 00:24:05:	but also from multi modes and allowing people to make
00:24:05> 00:24:09:	better decisions while being strictly cognizant of our limitations and
00:24:09> 00:24:11:	responsibilities as it relates to.
00:24:11> 00:24:15:	Climate change. And can you can respond to this question
00:24:15> 00:24:16:	if you like.
00:24:16> 00:24:19:	I'm also curious what you think.
00:24:19> 00:24:26:	The response from government policy and planning might be going
00:24:26> 00:24:30:	forward and what what should it be?
00:24:30> 00:24:34:	Well, I I think if we don't treat this horrific
00:24:35> 00:24:40:	experience as an opportunity to learn and think about our
00:24:41> 00:24:43:	practices differently.
00:24:43> 00:24:46:	We will be making an enormous mistake I I've been
00:24:46> 00:24:50:	quoting Rahm Emanuel lately who talked about making use of
00:24:50> 00:24:53:	a crisis and I think that is exactly the situation
00:24:53> 00:24:57:	we're in. So there are whole bunch of things that
00:24:57> 00:24:58:	occur to me.
00:24:58> 00:25:02:	One is we have been so intense in order to
00:25:02> 00:25:05:	thinking we were being economical.
00:25:05> 00:25:11:	We were saving money sometimes referred to as the austerity
00:25:11> 00:25:15:	agenda in value engineering out redundancy.
00:25:15> 00:25:18:	I think we have to turn that on its head
00:25:18> 00:25:22:	and value engineer back in redundancy.
00:25:22> 00:25:25:	Multiple ways of doing many things.
00:25:25> 00:25:29:	A belt and suspenders in many cases.

00:25:29> 00:25:31:	Had we not just to take a simple,
00:25:31> 00:25:36:	obvious example, had recourse to the Internet in these days
00:25:36> 00:25:39:	as an alternative to us being in a Hall right
00:25:39> 00:25:40:	now altogether think,
00:25:40> 00:25:44:	think of how difficult the world would be,
00:25:44> 00:25:48:	so we've had that opportunity to pivot and use something
00:25:48> 00:25:48:	else.
00:25:48> 00:25:51:	Now if we apply that thinking.
00:25:51> 00:25:54:	Tell me about what governments can learn to everything we
00:25:55> 00:25:58:	should be designing our streets so that they operate in
00:25:58> 00:25:59:	multiple modes.
00:25:59> 00:26:02:	When the traffic is way down and we want to
00:26:02> 00:26:03:	social distance,
00:26:03> 00:26:07:	we should be removing cars from the streets and allowing
00:26:07> 00:26:08:	people to spread out.
00:26:08> 00:26:11:	Transit is great, but if transit is the only way
00:26:11> 00:26:14:	you can get around or the only way you can
00:26:14> 00:26:16:	get access to the things you need,
00:26:16> 00:26:19:	you're in big trouble. You have to be able to
00:26:19> 00:26:22:	walk through those things or bike to those things.
00:26:22> 00:26:26:	Or have a number of ways of doing that or
00:26:26> 00:26:31:	thin out people in transit have a different mode of
00:26:31> 00:26:32:	operating.
00:26:32> 00:26:34:	I think when it comes to the point that you
00:26:34> 00:26:36:	started with a little while ago,
00:26:36> 00:26:40:	sure he's about. Whether we need to concentrate everything in
00:26:40> 00:26:43:	one radial hub in downtown Toronto,
00:26:43> 00:26:46:	clearly not. We have the emergence of a regional city
00:26:47> 00:26:52:	with many centers scattered throughout the Greater Toronto
	Hamilton region.
00:26:52> 00:26:55:	We should be taking every advantage of the opportunity to
00:26:55> 00:26:59:	make those places throughout the region possess as many
	of
00:26:59> 00:27:03:	the qualities of desirable or vanity as we possibly can,
00:27:03> 00:27:08:	including combining opportunities to for people to live and work,
00:27:08> 00:27:12:	so they're not obliged. To make those long commutes again
00:27:12> 00:27:16:	dealing with with these twin challenges of being able to
00:27:16> 00:27:20:	deal with a crisis like this pandemic but also addressing
00:27:20> 00:27:24:	environmental sustainability. One of the things I'll just throw out
00:27:24> 00:27:28:	there which is bringing public health officials into the planning

00:27:28> 00:27:29:	discussion.
00:27:29> 00:27:32:	In the work I'm doing with Brampton right now,
00:27:32> 00:27:37:	the medical Officer of Health for Peel Region and her
00:27:37> 00:27:41:	staff are very much involved in all of these discussions
00:27:41> 00:27:46:	about how to make these successful 1520 minute neighborhoods and
00:27:46> 00:27:50:	how do we fit them out so that in times
00:27:50> 00:27:54:	like these people have a way of responding.
00:27:54> 00:27:57:	Cherries if I may follow up on just said look
00:27:57> 00:27:58:	there.
00:27:58> 00:28:02:	I'm looking at the participants there 500 people right now
00:28:02> 00:28:05:	participating in this online dialogue.
00:28:05> 00:28:09:	I don't think we have ever done a mass collaborative
00:28:09> 00:28:13:	discussion with such a large audience ever before.
00:28:13> 00:28:15:	And why is it possible?
00:28:15> 00:28:18:	Is it possible because it is making the best use
00:28:18> 00:28:22:	of online technologies and making the best use of a
00:28:22> 00:28:26:	crisis at our hand that we cannot meet in person?
00:28:26> 00:28:28:	And if it were to happen that way,
00:28:28> 00:28:31:	we would have probably 50 to 100 people,
00:28:31> 00:28:35:	maybe 150. But right now we are in a group
00:28:35> 00:28:36:	of 500 people,
00:28:36> 00:28:38:	made possible from because of this,
00:28:38> 00:28:41:	this embedded embrace in technology.
00:28:41> 00:28:44:	I give you an example of how city building can
00:28:44> 00:28:48:	change for the betterment of some agencies or entities.
00:28:48> 00:28:51:	Take Horizon University as an example.
00:28:51> 00:28:55:	We have been building structures over the past 15 years
00:28:56> 00:28:56:	of 20 years.
00:28:56> 00:29:01:	And essentially, we're building classrooms and then those classrooms are
00:29:01> 00:29:01:	used.
00:29:01> 00:29:04:	And then when they're not used there,
00:29:04> 00:29:06:	sitting empty, specially during summer,
00:29:06> 00:29:09:	and our ability to teach is constrained by space.
00:29:09> 00:29:12:	How many students can we fit in one classroom or
00:29:12> 00:29:15:	lecture Hall now with online learning and teaching,
00:29:15> 00:29:16:	we can teach live too,
00:29:16> 00:29:19:	not just a 5000 four 108 hundred students so we
00:29:19> 00:29:23:	can focus our energies and our budgets an R dollars,
00:29:23> 00:29:24:	not on break and more,
00:29:24> 00:29:27:	but on learning but on from entering students,

00:29:27> 00:29:29:	but I'm providing them with.
00:29:29> 00:29:31:	Feedback, sort of making geography not irrelevant,
00:29:31> 00:29:35:	but slightly less relevant. This crisis allows us to rethink.
00:29:35> 00:29:38:	We can spend money on brick and mortar billions and
00:29:38> 00:29:41:	billions of dollars of attracting new buildings or as a
00:29:41> 00:29:42:	University.
	•
00:29:42> 00:29:45:	We invest in students taking the same money and giving
00:29:45> 00:29:47:	it out to produce new scholarship.
00:29:47> 00:29:51:	NYU intellectual Scholarship, which is knowledge making which is the
00:29:51> 00:29:53:	primary responsibility of a University.
00:29:53> 00:29:56:	Rather than building structures. So there is a trade off.
00:29:56> 00:29:59:	It is up to us to either recognize it.
00:29:59> 00:30:02:	Or ignore it. After this COVID-19 is done,
00:30:02> 00:30:06:	go back to building more classrooms and then figuring out.
00:30:06> 00:30:09:	Or we ran out of space five months later,
00:30:09> 00:30:11:	or five years later. So can I jump in on
00:30:12> 00:30:13:	the question of OK,
00:30:13> 00:30:16:	make it quick, Ken. 'cause we gotta get to the
00:30:16> 00:30:16:	Q&A.
00:30:16> 00:30:20:	But yeah, we OK very quickly the virtual world and
00:30:20> 00:30:23:	the physical world an I'll just say.
00:30:23> 00:30:26:	One of my colleagues with whom I'm working on Sidewalk
00:30:26> 00:30:27:	Labs,
00:30:27> 00:30:31:	which might surprise people. Pointed out to me that for
00:30:31> 00:30:34:	all those people who think that the virtual world can
00:30:34> 00:30:38:	substitute almost entirely for the physical world,
00:30:38> 00:30:41:	this experiment is showing us why we don't want to
00:30:41> 00:30:42:	do that,
00:30:42> 00:30:46:	why we actually need that physical connection with each
	other,
00:30:46> 00:30:50:	in addition to all the wonderful things which digital technology
00:30:50> 00:30:51:	can offer us.
00:30:51> 00:30:54:	An so one of the things we have to learn
00:30:54> 00:30:58:	coming out of this is how we combine them.
00:30:58> 00:31:01:	Great on that note. I do like how both of
00:31:01> 00:31:05:	you had ended with some great important lessons from this
00:31:05> 00:31:09:	experience and how it can shape our approach to city
00:31:09> 00:31:11:	building as we go forward.
00:31:11> 00:31:14:	So thank you now. I'm going to throw it over
00:31:14> 00:31:19:	to Richard who has been busy running around the audience
00:31:19> 00:31:24:	collecting questions virtually so many great questions in the chat

00:31:24> 00:31:28:	room. So Richard, we're dying to know what questions you
00:31:28> 00:31:30:	want to start off with.
00:31:30> 00:31:34:	First, OK, as expected, more questions than we'll ever get
00:31:34> 00:31:34:	to.
00:31:34> 00:31:37:	But we are going to use these questions as as
00:31:37> 00:31:40:	feedings for future conversations as well.
00:31:40> 00:31:42:	So if we don't get to them,
00:31:42> 00:31:45:	I apologize. I'm going to start with a question from
00:31:45> 00:31:49:	Mark Richardson who asked all of the city and provincial
00:31:49> 00:31:54:	programs for new affordable housing like TCHC Revitilization open doors
00:31:54> 00:31:58:	housing now an inclusionary zoning are based on increasing an
00:31:58> 00:32:00:	increased density and smaller units.
00:32:00> 00:32:05:	Sizes in order to make the construction math work.
00:32:05> 00:32:09:	Will the post COVID-19 housing market jeopardize the delivery of
00:32:09> 00:32:12:	some of these affordable housing projects?
00:32:16> 00:32:19:	Let me jump in on that two aspects.
00:32:19> 00:32:21:	I want to comment on 1st.
00:32:21> 00:32:24:	The smaller units. I think one of the things this
00:32:24> 00:32:28:	may be teaching us and that goes back to the
00:32:28> 00:32:32:	redundancy of resiliency is that we need actually to have
00:32:32> 00:32:35:	more scale our units than we've been thinking.
00:32:35> 00:32:37:	We need to be able to pivot,
00:32:37> 00:32:40:	and if we're educating our kids at home if we're
00:32:41> 00:32:42:	working from home,
00:32:42> 00:32:46:	if we're doing things from home that we weren't previously
00:32:46> 00:32:48:	doing that extra space.
00:32:48> 00:32:52:	Makes an enormous amount of difference that would be one
00:32:52> 00:32:52:	thing.
00:32:52> 00:32:55:	The other thing I think it is pointing out to
00:32:56> 00:32:57:	us very dramatically,
00:32:57> 00:33:01:	and this is being revealed right now in statistics coming
00:33:01> 00:33:05:	from the US An from Canada is who is disproportionately
00:33:05> 00:33:09:	falling victim to this horrible pandemic and it is no
00:33:09> 00:33:14:	surprise people who live in precarious situations both in terms
00:33:14> 00:33:18:	of employment and housing and they can be localized by
00:33:18> 00:33:19:	geography.
00:33:19> 00:33:22:	So it's pointing a great finger at the inequities.
00:33:22> 00:33:26:	In a way we've been building cities and the need
00:33:26> 00:33:30:	to build into our neighborhoods into our communities.

00:33:30> 00:33:33:	A much greater range of housing options,
00:33:33> 00:33:38:	not just in isolated places but throughout.
00:33:38> 00:33:41:	Murtaza, do you want to comment on that or do
00:33:41> 00:33:42:	you want to kiss?
00:33:42> 00:33:46:	I think the two things that that concern me about.
00:33:46> 00:33:50:	This is a thinking only affordability in terms of rental
00:33:50> 00:33:53:	and thinking that if we can just find ways to
00:33:53> 00:33:57:	build highrises small units and put them in income oriented
00:33:57> 00:34:01:	rents and whatnot, I think there are ways to think
00:34:01> 00:34:05:	in holistically about bringing people into home home ownership.
00:34:05> 00:34:08:	I think right now one of the things people are
00:34:08> 00:34:10:	looking at is the.
00:34:10> 00:34:14:	The issues with rental being in times of crisis exposes
00:34:14> 00:34:18:	people to much bigger vulnerabilities than those who are owner
00:34:18> 00:34:19:	occupied.
00:34:19> 00:34:25:	Second thing is a clustering low income households together.
00:34:25> 00:34:28:	Creates these project like the US projects,
00:34:28> 00:34:32:	American experiments with projects. I think the experiment Canada did
00:34:32> 00:34:36:	before where people were dispersed across where they were
	able
00:34:36> 00:34:40:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being
00:34:36> 00:34:40: 00:34:40> 00:34:44:	
	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment
00:34:40> 00:34:44:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that experiment was
00:34:40> 00:34:44: 00:34:44> 00:34:48:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:34:59> 00:35:02:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:34:59> 00:35:02:  00:35:02> 00:35:04:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of Toronto and I don't want to mention the name,
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:34:59> 00:35:02:  00:35:02> 00:35:04:  00:35:04> 00:35:07:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of Toronto and I don't want to mention the name, but you know what I wrote referring to clusters of
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:35:02> 00:35:02:  00:35:04> 00:35:07:  00:35:07> 00:35:09:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of  Toronto and I don't want to mention the name, but you know what I wrote referring to clusters of poverty is not the solution.
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:35:02> 00:35:02:  00:35:04> 00:35:07:  00:35:07> 00:35:09:  00:35:09> 00:35:12:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach. Building clusters of poverty is a false solution. It has not worked in the US. It has not worked here for us in parts of Toronto and I don't want to mention the name, but you know what I wrote referring to clusters of poverty is not the solution. Fault. Richard, give another question yet,
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:35:02> 00:35:02:  00:35:04> 00:35:07:  00:35:07> 00:35:09:  00:35:09> 00:35:12:  00:35:12> 00:35:15:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of Toronto and I don't want to mention the name, but you know what I wrote referring to clusters of poverty is not the solution.  Fault. Richard, give another question yet, and it's probably it's a big question is probably might
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:34:59> 00:35:02:  00:35:02> 00:35:04:  00:35:04> 00:35:07:  00:35:07> 00:35:09:  00:35:12> 00:35:12:  00:35:12> 00:35:13:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of Toronto and I don't want to mention the name, but you know what I wrote referring to clusters of poverty is not the solution.  Fault. Richard, give another question yet, and it's probably it's a big question is probably might take us to the very last question as well,
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:34:59> 00:35:02:  00:35:02> 00:35:04:  00:35:04> 00:35:07:  00:35:07> 00:35:09:  00:35:10> 00:35:12:  00:35:12> 00:35:15:  00:35:15> 00:35:19:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of Toronto and I don't want to mention the name, but you know what I wrote referring to clusters of poverty is not the solution.  Fault. Richard, give another question yet, and it's probably it's a big question is probably might take us to the very last question as well, and I realized we only asked to,
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:34:59> 00:35:02:  00:35:02> 00:35:04:  00:35:04> 00:35:07:  00:35:07> 00:35:09:  00:35:10> 00:35:12:  00:35:12> 00:35:15:  00:35:15> 00:35:19:  00:35:19> 00:35:22:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of Toronto and I don't want to mention the name, but you know what I wrote referring to clusters of poverty is not the solution.  Fault. Richard, give another question yet, and it's probably it's a big question is probably might take us to the very last question as well, and I realized we only asked to, but Sean Hurdle was the 1st to get in on
00:34:40> 00:34:44:  00:34:44> 00:34:48:  00:34:48> 00:34:52:  00:34:52> 00:34:55:  00:34:55> 00:34:58:  00:34:58> 00:34:59:  00:34:59> 00:35:02:  00:35:02> 00:35:04:  00:35:04> 00:35:07:  00:35:07> 00:35:09:  00:35:10> 00:35:12:  00:35:12> 00:35:15:  00:35:15> 00:35:19:	to live in regular communities at rather than just being subject to low income communities that that that experiment was better than having people the opportunity to live in decent places and then rent geared to income subsidizes their events.  I think that would be a better approach.  Building clusters of poverty is a false solution.  It has not worked in the US.  It has not worked here for us in parts of Toronto and I don't want to mention the name, but you know what I wrote referring to clusters of poverty is not the solution.  Fault. Richard, give another question yet, and it's probably it's a big question is probably might take us to the very last question as well, and I realized we only asked to,

00:35:27> 00:35:30:	We can't design our way out of problems.
00:35:30> 00:35:33:	We need structural changes that include wealth
	redistribution,
00:35:33> 00:35:36:	better democracy, social equity, better housing jobs,
00:35:36> 00:35:42:	services, access, etc. Comment on the broader issues is question.
00:35:42> 00:35:44:	I would stay sorry. Can I jump in?
00:35:44> 00:35:47:	I would stay away from that approach because then it
00:35:47> 00:35:50:	makes you feel that the challenge is too large and
00:35:50> 00:35:52:	you will never be able to solve it.
00:35:52> 00:35:54:	I think the way forward is to take challenges,
00:35:54> 00:35:57:	break them into pieces we do not build a full
00:35:57> 00:35:58:	airplane in one place.
00:35:58> 00:36:00:	We assemble it in one place,
00:36:00> 00:36:02:	but we find solutions in different parts.
00:36:02> 00:36:06:	Same thing with streetcars. They're not built in one room.
00:36:06> 00:36:08:	There assembled in a bigger place,
00:36:08> 00:36:09:	so there are bigger challenges.
00:36:09> 00:36:12:	Can mentioned about income inequality's.
00:36:12> 00:36:16:	They are more pronounced in getting even more pronounced and
00:36:16> 00:36:18:	visible in urban centers.
00:36:18> 00:36:20:	There are larger issues at stake,
00:36:20> 00:36:23:	yes, but I would say that let's focus on the
00:36:23> 00:36:27:	very issue of how social distances and pandemics may become
00:36:27> 00:36:29:	more frequent in the future.
00:36:29> 00:36:31:	An and disrupt our economy,
00:36:31> 00:36:35:	disrupt our societies. We should be prepared to alter our
00:36:35> 00:36:38:	behaviors as well as the future build form to be
00:36:38> 00:36:42:	more resilient to such disruptions which will be.
00:36:42> 00:36:45:	Out of our control, same is the case with challenges
00:36:45> 00:36:47:	resulting from climate change.
00:36:47> 00:36:49:	We would have to be resilient and we have to
00:36:49> 00:36:53:	modify our behaviors because those challenges will be way beyond
00:36:53> 00:36:56:	the amount of resources available at our disposal to deal
00:36:56> 00:37:00:	with them. So this is a case where there might
00:37:00> 00:37:05:	be a slight difference of emphasis between Mortaza and myself,
00:37:05> 00:37:08:	•
	I'm not sure. But I think one of the lessons
00:37:08> 00:37:12:	from this is that we cannot solve problems in silos
00:37:08> 00:37:12: 00:37:12> 00:37:16:	
	from this is that we cannot solve problems in silos

departments, 00:37:21 --> 00:37:25: public sector, private sector, philanthropic sector to put our heads 00:37:25 --> 00:37:30: together and look for lateral solutions that are actually dealing 00:37:30 --> 00:37:33: simultaneously with a bunch of different problems. 00:37:33 --> 00:37:37: 'cause in the end. To quote David Crombie everything here 00:37:37 --> 00:37:41: is connected to everything else and it is really difficult 00:37:41 --> 00:37:43: to solve one of these issues. That that's why you know I mentioned the the knee 00:37:43 --> 00:37:47: 00:37:47 --> 00:37:50: jerk reaction to say density is the problem, 00:37:50 --> 00:37:53: which was in Rosenthal's article in the New York Times, 00:37:53 --> 00:37:58: implying that the solution is to spread ourselves out over 00:37:58 --> 00:37:59: the countryside. 00:37:59 --> 00:38:03: Raises all kinds of issues of collateral damage that come 00:38:03 --> 00:38:07: with that which can only be understood when you look 00:38:07 --> 00:38:09: at all the variables. 00:38:09 --> 00:38:12: Richard, it sounds like we've got room for another question. 00:38:12 --> 00:38:14: Why don't I ask? I mean, 00:38:14 --> 00:38:17: this is the second question and I thought it was 00:38:17 --> 00:38:19: quite good and it relates something. 00:38:19 --> 00:38:22: Richard Florida has been talking about in a 10 point 00:38:23 --> 00:38:26: plan that he's advanced through various mediums, 00:38:26 --> 00:38:28: but it relates to recreational facilities. How can recreational facilities and I think that's to be 00:38:28 --> 00:38:32: 00:38:32 --> 00:38:34: taken very broadly without theaters, 00:38:34 --> 00:38:37: sports arenas, parks, equipment so forth. 00:38:37 --> 00:38:41: How can recreational facilities? Drive to to drive social and 00:38:41 --> 00:38:42: economic recovery. 00:38:42 --> 00:38:45: How can we we pivot these very things that that 00:38:45 --> 00:38:48: we normally bring us together to help us as we 00:38:48 --> 00:38:49: get through this, 00:38:49 --> 00:38:53: this chapter that we're in right now? 00:38:53 --> 00:38:56: Richard, I would add to that list arts and Culture 00:38:56 --> 00:38:59: which Richard Course also had in his list of 10 00:38:59 --> 00:39:00: points. 00:39:00 --> 00:39:03: I think we need all of those things that speak 00:39:03 --> 00:39:06: to mind and body that speak to the human spirit 00:39:06 --> 00:39:09: that connect us and so absolutely they're turning out to 00:39:09 --> 00:39:13: be in many cases the most vulnerable because all the 00:39:13 --> 00:39:14: venues are counseled. 00:39:14 --> 00:39:18: People who made their living as artists or musicians or 00:39:18 --> 00:39:22: people in any of those fields are suddenly finding that

00:39:22> 00:39:24:	their ability to survive is really.
00:39:24> 00:39:28:	Threatens so as much as we think about.
00:39:28> 00:39:32:	Business in the typical sense and rescuing business and making
00:39:32> 00:39:34:	sure it remains full.
00:39:34> 00:39:37:	I couldn't agree more. I think we have to think
00:39:37> 00:39:40:	about sustaining those things through this.
00:39:40> 00:39:42:	Because if we fail to do that and those are
00:39:42> 00:39:46:	the very things that cities are all about and what
00:39:46> 00:39:47:	brings us together,
00:39:47> 00:39:51:	I think we'll be making an enormous mistake.
00:39:51> 00:39:55:	I would add to say that another place of congregation
00:39:55> 00:39:58:	in urban settings is restaurants and bars,
00:39:58> 00:40:02:	and I think we are facing a grave challenge there.
00:40:02> 00:40:07:	I think about 800,000 people have lost already jobs and
00:40:07> 00:40:11:	I've heard estimates from the industry that maybe one in
00:40:11> 00:40:15:	three restaurants will not reopen and I think the amount
00:40:15> 00:40:20:	of number of people who will lose their life livelihood
00:40:20> 00:40:23:	requires us to find ways to sustain these.
00:40:23> 00:40:26:	Yes, absolutely. We need concert halls,
00:40:26> 00:40:28:	an art galleries and whatnot,
00:40:28> 00:40:32:	but the first most often place that we congregate at
00:40:32> 00:40:35:	is restaurants and food codes.
00:40:35> 00:40:38:	And these are the people who are losing their jobs
00:40:38> 00:40:40:	at a very fast pace.
00:40:40> 00:40:42:	And when the recovery happens,
00:40:42> 00:40:46:	and whenever it happens, it may be too late to
00:40:46> 00:40:47:	resuscitate someone.
00:40:47> 00:40:51:	If there's a way for us together to find ways
00:40:51> 00:40:54:	of ordering in or take outs to sustain.
00:40:54> 00:40:56:	These these outlets now,
00:40:56> 00:40:59:	which would be for our benefit so that we can
00:40:59> 00:41:01:	when things go normal,
00:41:01> 00:41:04:	be able to go out and have meals with our
00:41:04> 00:41:04:	friends.
00:41:04> 00:41:08:	Thanks, murtaza. And just to let folks know we are
00:41:08> 00:41:12:	hoping to tackle that issue in a future session called
00:41:12> 00:41:16:	Future of retail neighborhoods and I'm just looking at some
00:41:16> 00:41:20:	of these these questions. There was a question on from
00:41:20> 00:41:25:	Michael Morrissey how does Transit survive social distancing?
00:41:25> 00:41:28:	We're hoping to talk about that one on the future

Gil Penaloza has said 45 minutes is too short. 00:41:32 --> 00:41:35: We need 75 to 90 minutes. 00:41:35 --> 00:41:37: 00:41:37 --> 00:41:43: but unfortunately we're wrapping it up now after 45 minutes. 00:41:43 --> 00:41:48: Um, so I want to thank our speakers angest yeah 00:41:48 --> 00:41:49: next slide. 00:41:49 --> 00:41:54: Also to point you into the direction of some of 00:41:55 --> 00:41:57: their very important work. 00:41:57 --> 00:42:01: In addition to what's on this slide, 00:42:01 --> 00:42:06: you can find Ken Greenberg's writing and work on this 00:42:06 --> 00:42:08: topic at kengreenberg.ca Ken. 00:42:08 --> 00:42:13: Is that correct? Yes, it is an ritazza. 00:42:13 --> 00:42:16: So in addition to what's on, 00:42:16 --> 00:42:22: this slide is doing has been doing a regular. 00:42:22 --> 00:42:26: A regular column in the Financial Post in the business 00:42:26 --> 00:42:26: section. 00:42:26 --> 00:42:29: How long have you been doing that? 00:42:29 --> 00:42:33: Since 2017 we have over 150 columns that have appeared 00:42:34 --> 00:42:37: about 900 times Coast to coast in Canada. 00:42:37 --> 00:42:41: OK, great an mortaza. Just his team at the Urban 00:42:41 --> 00:42:46: Analytics Institute has just finished a report on density and 00:42:46 --> 00:42:49: pandemics which should be up on the site now or 00:42:49 --> 00:42:53: soon. Yes, it's up and we have sent a link 00:42:53 --> 00:42:56: to attendees through through the chat box. 00:42:56 --> 00:42:58: OK, great last slide please. 00:42:58 --> 00:43:02: So I just want to wrap things up and thank 00:43:02 --> 00:43:06: everybody for joining us and hope that you can join 00:43:06 --> 00:43:07: us next week. 00:43:07 --> 00:43:10: At the same time for next session, 00:43:10 --> 00:43:13: which is the future of nine to five which we 00:43:13 --> 00:43:17: started to get into a little bit today about where 00:43:17 --> 00:43:21: we locate employment future of downtowns. 00:43:21 --> 00:43:24: How we commute and get around to our workplaces. So join us with Pedro Barata, 00:43:24 --> 00:43:27: 00:43:27 --> 00:43:30: who's with Ryerson's future Skills Center, 00:43:30 --> 00:43:36: Mercy Burchfield, who's with the Toronto Region Board of 00:43:36 --> 00:43:39: OK, until then, please stay healthy and safe and stay 00:43:40 --> 00:43:40: engaged, 00:43:40 --> 00:43:43: thanks. Thank you, thank you.

of mobility coming up and just finally,

00:41:28 --> 00:41:32:

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact [email protected].