

Video

Queens Park: Achieving a Vision for Charlotte's North End

Date: October 06, 2021

| 00:00:05> 00:00:06: | Right, |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:00:06> 00:00:07: | I think we'll get started. |
| 00:00:07> 00:00:10: | Welcome everyone. Thank you so much for joining us this |
| 00:00:10> 00:00:13: | morning for this advisory services panel for the Friends of |
| 00:00:13> 00:00:14: | Queens Park. |
| 00:00:14> 00:00:17: | I'm Georgia gambler. I'm a senior associate with you, |
| 00:00:17> 00:00:20: | allies advisory services, and I'm going to hand this over |
| 00:00:20> 00:00:21: | to Alan Razack. |
| 00:00:23> 00:00:27: | Good morning, it's good to almost see all of you |
| 00:00:27> 00:00:29: | this morning as Georgia said, |
| 00:00:29> 00:00:32: | I'm Alan Resak. I am a real shape developer and |
| 00:00:32> 00:00:37: | consultant and a former architect who works in the Philadelphia |
| 00:00:37> 00:00:41: | market and the principal and a firm called AR Spruce, |
| 00:00:41> 00:00:44: | which is a real estate development and services company. |
| 00:00:44> 00:00:46: | I am Co chair along with Carlton Brown, |
| 00:00:46> 00:00:50: | whom you'll meet in just a bit of this panel. |
| 00:00:50> 00:00:53: | We've been working for the last two days. |
| 00:00:53> 00:00:56: | 2 1/2 days. On the problem that you presented to |
| 00:00:57> 00:01:00: | us and this morning represents our read back to you |
| 00:01:00> 00:01:03: | of our observations and recommendations. |
| 00:01:03> 00:01:06: | Before I do that, I'd just like to say a |
| 00:01:06> 00:01:09: | little bit about the organization that's presenting this. |
| 00:01:09> 00:01:10: | Can we go to the next slide, |
| 00:01:10> 00:01:16: | Georgia? Urban land issue is a real estate and land |
| 00:01:16> 00:01:19: | use industry Trade Organization. |
| 00:01:19> 00:01:23: | It's an organization, is dedicated to research and to |
| | education, |
| 00:01:23> 00:01:27: | and our sole goal is to help create thriving and |
| 00:01:27> 00:01:29: | sustainable communities. |
| | |

| 00:01:29> 00:01:32: | We have about 4546 thousand members worldwide. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:01:32> 00:01:34: | Vast majority of those are in North America. |
| 00:01:34> 00:01:40: | About 35,000 of them, and we have a national organization |
| 00:01:40> 00:01:43: | of which this endeavor is apart. |
| 00:01:43> 00:01:47: | And also several dozen local chapters that we called district |
| 00:01:47> 00:01:47: | Councils, |
| 00:01:47> 00:01:51: | including one in Charlotte. You like just a bunch of |
| 00:01:52> 00:01:52: | stuff, |
| 00:01:52> 00:01:55: | a bunch of stuff in the fields of research and |
| 00:01:55> 00:01:56: | education in real estate, |
| 00:01:56> 00:01:58: | land use, and among those is the thing that we're |
| 00:01:59> 00:01:59: | doing right now. |
| 00:01:59> 00:02:02: | The Advisory Services panel program. |
| 00:02:02> 00:02:07: | Come next and utilized in hundreds of these over its |
| 00:02:08> 00:02:08: | history. |
| 00:02:08> 00:02:10: | It was founded before 1947, |
| 00:02:10> 00:02:14: | but since 1947 they've done some 700 of these and |
| 00:02:14> 00:02:17: | they all work virtually the same way. |
| 00:02:17> 00:02:21: | A sponsor organization, whether it's a for profit or a |
| 00:02:21> 00:02:22: | non profit, |
| 00:02:22> 00:02:29: | as in this case the governmental agency educational institution, |
| 00:02:29> 00:02:32: | asks you allowed to assist with. |
| 00:02:32> 00:02:35: | Taking a look at and making recommendations about a particular |
| 00:02:35> 00:02:37: | real estate problem by their very nature, |
| 00:02:37> 00:02:40: | they're usually pretty complicated problems, |
| 00:02:40> 00:02:43: | or that we wouldn't be invited in the program typically |
| 00:02:43> 00:02:43: | works this way. |
| 00:02:43> 00:02:45: | Usually we're in person. Unfortunately, |
| 00:02:45> 00:02:47: | we're not here, which we greatly regret. |
| 00:02:47> 00:02:50: | We would much rather be in Charlotte than in the |
| 00:02:50> 00:02:51: | places we're sitting right now. |
| 00:02:51> 00:02:56: | I guarantee you. But usually this process takes 5 days |
| 00:02:56> 00:03:00: | and it involves talking to as many people as we |
| 00:03:00> 00:03:00: | can. |
| 00:03:00> 00:03:04: | Who are stakeholders and then taking that information in the |
| 00:03:04> 00:03:07: | information provided to us by the sponsor and other research |
| 00:03:07> 00:03:10: | system by ULI staffing ourselves and assimilating that into a |
| 00:03:10> 00:03:13: | series of observations and recommendations, |
| 00:03:13> 00:03:15: | we've done virtually all of that work. |
| 00:03:15> 00:03:19: | In this case virtually, and we've done it in the |

| 00:03:19> 00:03:21: | space of two days so. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:03:21> 00:03:24: | It's not enough time to be quite honest with you. |
| 00:03:24> 00:03:26: | We've done the best we can and we stand behind |
| 00:03:26> 00:03:28: | our recommendations. |
| 00:03:28> 00:03:30: | We hope that they are helpful to you. |
| 00:03:30> 00:03:31: | Let's go to the next slide, |
| 00:03:31> 00:03:34: | Georgia. I want to thank the sponsors who've been very |
| 00:03:35> 00:03:39: | generous with their time with information forensic Queens Park in |
| 00:03:39> 00:03:40: | particular. |
| 00:03:40> 00:03:44: | Who are the sponsors, along with the JPD Foundation JPB |
| 00:03:45> 00:03:45: | Foundation, |
| 00:03:45> 00:03:48: | which through you all I has provided significant funding for |
| 00:03:49> 00:03:49: | this process. |
| 00:03:49> 00:03:53: | This particular process next and then finally we want to |
| 00:03:53> 00:03:56: | thank all of the stakeholders who agreed to talk with |
| 00:03:56> 00:03:58: | us and spend some time with us and offer us |
| 00:03:58> 00:04:01: | their insights. We can't do this without talking to the |
| 00:04:01> 00:04:03: | people who actually know what's going on. |
| 00:04:03> 00:04:06: | On the ground are willing to share the their observations |
| 00:04:06> 00:04:10: | and their frank opinions with us and everyone that we |
| 00:04:10> 00:04:13: | spoke to was very generous with their time with their |
| 00:04:13> 00:04:14: | observations. So we thank them. |
| 00:04:17> 00:04:21: | And I want to just show you who the panelists |
| 00:04:21> 00:04:21: | are. |
| 00:04:21> 00:04:24: | There are things I'm not going to go through. |
| 00:04:24> 00:04:26: | The list of them, each one of them will introduce |
| 00:04:26> 00:04:27: | themselves in turn. |
| 00:04:27> 00:04:30: | And with that I remind the panelists to please introduce |
| 00:04:30> 00:04:31: | yourselves in turn. |
| 00:04:31> 00:04:34: | The panelists, as you will observe here, |
| 00:04:34> 00:04:39: | are come from various places in the United States and |
| 00:04:39> 00:04:41: | that is by design, |
| 00:04:41> 00:04:42: | that they come from different places, |
| 00:04:42> 00:04:45: | and also that they are not from Charlotte, |
| 00:04:45> 00:04:47: | because they are not from Charlotte. |
| 00:04:47> 00:04:49: | They have no skin in this game whatsoever. |
| 00:04:49> 00:04:52: | Our only goal here is to provide you with our |
| 00:04:52> 00:04:52: | best, |
| 00:04:52> 00:04:57: | our best impartial advice about the questions that you've asked |
| 00:04:58> 00:04:58: | us. |

| 00:04:58> 00:05:00: | Another thing that I I want you to know about |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:05:00> 00:05:03: | the panelists said they have been chosen because they have |
| 00:05:03> 00:05:07: | specific expertise in the areas that pertain to this problem |
| 00:05:07> 00:05:09: | and they are experts in their field, |
| 00:05:09> 00:05:11: | which is why they were chosen. |
| 00:05:11> 00:05:15: | Uhm? Finally, all of us are volunteers. |
| 00:05:15> 00:05:16: | We don't work for you alive. |
| 00:05:16> 00:05:19: | We all run our own companies or work for a |
| 00:05:19> 00:05:21: | private or nonprofit companies, |
| 00:05:21> 00:05:24: | and we're not being paid to do this, |
| 00:05:24> 00:05:26: | so this is our way of giving back to the |
| 00:05:26> 00:05:28: | land use industry into the real estate community and the |
| 00:05:28> 00:05:30: | community at large and we're happy to do it. |
| 00:05:30> 00:05:33: | We're very happy to be able to provide some service |
| 00:05:33> 00:05:33: | here, |
| 00:05:33> 00:05:36: | and we thank you for inviting us to participate in |
| 00:05:36> 00:05:37: | this next slide, |
| 00:05:37> 00:05:41: | please. So I'm pretty quick going to hand this over |
| 00:05:41> 00:05:43: | to the panelists here. |
| 00:05:43> 00:05:45: | We're going to do the actual meet of the talking |
| 00:05:45> 00:05:45: | here, |
| 00:05:45> 00:05:48: | but I wanted to just give you a couple of |
| 00:05:48> 00:05:51: | pieces of set up here in the more than 30 |
| 00:05:51> 00:05:54: | interviews we did with stakeholders, |
| 00:05:54> 00:05:56: | we heard lots of things, |
| 00:05:56> 00:05:59: | and while we have promised you that we're not going |
| 00:05:59> 00:06:00: | to repeat those verbatim, |
| 00:06:00> 00:06:03: | somethings rose to the surface for us. |
| 00:06:03> 00:06:05: | The first one that we heard from many, |
| 00:06:05> 00:06:07: | many people about the idea of Queens Park as it |
| 00:06:07> 00:06:09: | has been presented by the forensic. |
| 00:06:09> 00:06:12: | Beach Park is it's a good idea, |
| 00:06:12> 00:06:17: | but we've heard lots of butts and the primary, |
| 00:06:17> 00:06:21: | but we heard I would encapsulate in this. |
| 00:06:21> 00:06:23: | It's not just about the park and you're going to |
| 00:06:23> 00:06:26: | hear more about that from the panelist as we go |
| 00:06:26> 00:06:26: | along. |
| 00:06:26> 00:06:29: | In particular, we've heard that it's about mobility. |
| 00:06:29> 00:06:34: | Both social mobility and physical mobility in terms of |
| | transportation, |
| 00:06:34> 00:06:38: | it's about affordability, resilience and sustainability, |
| 00:06:38> 00:06:40: | and I think we all know that. |

| 00:06:40> 00:06:42: | Parks can't solve all of those problems, |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:06:42> 00:06:44: | but they certainly are an important component. |
| 00:06:44> 00:06:47: | When you were doing city building. |
| 00:06:47> 00:06:50: | We've heard from a lot of people that the approach |
| 00:06:50> 00:06:53: | that's been taken so far in promulgating this idea is |
| 00:06:53> 00:06:54: | not hitting home. |
| 00:06:54> 00:06:58: | Where it matters. We've heard some hard what we perceive |
| 00:06:58> 00:07:00: | to be some fairly hard truth, |
| 00:07:00> 00:07:03: | given the questions that we've been asked. |
| 00:07:03> 00:07:07: | Katz is not moving. Come and you're going to hear |
| 00:07:07> 00:07:10: | our panelists talk about ways to respond to that. |
| 00:07:10> 00:07:12: | Norfolk Southern camping negotiated with, |
| 00:07:12> 00:07:15: | but I think we all realize that it's going to |
| 00:07:15> 00:07:15: | be a long haul. |
| 00:07:15> 00:07:18: | It can be done, but you're planning for how you |
| 00:07:18> 00:07:19: | approach this, |
| 00:07:19> 00:07:22: | but short term, medium term and long term is 3 |
| 00:07:22> 00:07:25: | but need to account for the fact that it's going |
| 00:07:25> 00:07:29: | to take a long time to make that ultimate vision |
| 00:07:29> 00:07:31: | happen. We've heard that the North End can learn from |
| 00:07:31> 00:07:32: | the South end experience. |
| 00:07:32> 00:07:35: | South End took everybody in Charlotte by surprise, |
| 00:07:35> 00:07:39: | apparently, and there was some planning that didn't get done |
| 00:07:39> 00:07:42: | before the development exploded along the rail line there, |
| 00:07:42> 00:07:45: | and there's an opportunity in the North End that everyone |
| 00:07:45> 00:07:47: | acknowledged to learn from the lessons of the South, |
| 00:07:47> 00:07:49: | and we'll talk more about that. |
| 00:07:49> 00:07:54: | We've heard from a number of people that the process |
| 00:07:54> 00:07:57: | of pitching Queens Park has become, |
| 00:07:57> 00:08:00: | perhaps unintentionally but unnecessarily adversarial, |
| 00:08:00> 00:08:03: | and therefore there's some fence mending to be done, |
| 00:08:03> 00:08:08: | and some image corrections that can be made that can |
| 00:08:08> 00:08:12: | serve to the benefit of the idea of the park |
| 00:08:12> 00:08:16: | that bled into the other thing we heard that. |
| 00:08:16> 00:08:18: | This is our coinage, not other people. |
| 00:08:18> 00:08:21: | But we perceived that in Charlotte, |
| 00:08:21> 00:08:25: | there's a way of accomplishing the things that have been |
| 00:08:25> 00:08:30: | done over the last several decades in Charlotte that involves |
| 00:08:30> 00:08:35: | everyone pitching together in public private partnerships to |
| | make things |
| 00:08:35> 00:08:37: | that have a common good happen. |
| 00:08:37> 00:08:41: | And we've heard lots of suggestions about the ways that |
| | |

| 00:08:41> 00:08:43: | that can work here for this. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:08:43> 00:08:45: | For this endeavour, let's go to the next slide, |
| 00:08:45> 00:08:49: | Georgia. So I'm not going to read these, |
| 00:08:49> 00:08:51: | but I just want to acknowledge that we were asked |
| 00:08:51> 00:08:52: | specific questions. |
| 00:08:52> 00:08:56: | We have repeatedly warned our sponsors that we will encapsulate |
| 00:08:56> 00:09:00: | it in this presentation and the materials that will follow |
| 00:09:00> 00:09:03: | this presentation later on in narrative form. |
| 00:09:03> 00:09:05: | We will answer. These questions were addressed. |
| 00:09:05> 00:09:08: | Each one of these questions and you will hear those |
| 00:09:08> 00:09:12: | embedded in the various places in the presentation. |
| 00:09:12> 00:09:16: | There are three basic issues here that we were asked |
| 00:09:16> 00:09:16: | to address. |
| 00:09:16> 00:09:21: | Figuring out how to gain formal adoption of this idea, |
| 00:09:21> 00:09:23: | and as part of that part and parcel of that |
| 00:09:23> 00:09:25: | trying to figure out a way to deal with the |
| 00:09:25> 00:09:29: | cast facility expansion presence of the cast facilities expansion. |
| 00:09:29> 00:09:31: | Figuring out a way to deal with the rail yards |
| 00:09:31> 00:09:35: | in to collaborate with Norfolk Southern to the greatest extent |
| 00:09:35> 00:09:38: | possible to try to achieve the goals and then how |
| 00:09:38> 00:09:40: | to build support for incremental growth of space in the |
| 00:09:40> 00:09:40: | North End. |
| 00:09:40> 00:09:44: | Principally thinking. About trying to do some starter parts in |
| 00:09:44> 00:09:45: | various locations, |
| 00:09:45> 00:09:48: | let's go to the next slide. |
| 00:09:48> 00:09:51: | Here's what you're going to hear in terms of the |
| 00:09:51> 00:09:53: | structure or presentation today. |
| 00:09:53> 00:09:58: | The overriding theme that we're going to talk about today |
| 00:09:58> 00:10:02: | is encouraging the proponents of the park to adopt A |
| 00:10:02> 00:10:06: | new and more comprehensive perspective about the issue. |
| 00:10:06> 00:10:09: | We're going to talk to you about that in terms |
| 00:10:09> 00:10:13: | of partnerships and leadership about the place itself and what |
| 00:10:13> 00:10:14: | it wants to be about. |
| 00:10:14> 00:10:16: | The timing for how you do these things, |
| 00:10:16> 00:10:20: | and about funding and finance opportunities. |
| 00:10:20> 00:10:22: | Carlton is going to wrap up with a series of |
| 00:10:23> 00:10:25: | takeaways and then we'll have a Q&A session. |
| 00:10:25> 00:10:27: | At the end. So with that I am going to |
| 00:10:27> 00:10:29: | turn it over to Jenny, |
| 00:10:29> 00:10:32: | who is going to talk with you about partnerships and |

| 00:10:32> 00:10:33: | leadership. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:10:33> 00:10:33: | Thank |
| 00:10:33> 00:10:36: | you Alan. Good morning everyone. |
| 00:10:36> 00:10:37: | It's really my pleasure to be here. |
| 00:10:37> 00:10:40: | I run a public private partnership in Fort Lauderdale, |
| 00:10:40> 00:10:43: | FL. We focus on investment, |
| 00:10:43> 00:10:47: | growth and consistent improvement in our downtown urban core and |
| 00:10:47> 00:10:50: | my background largely spans in the public sector. |
| 00:10:50> 00:10:53: | I worked for the municipal government here for over 16 |
| 00:10:53> 00:10:54: | years, |
| 00:10:54> 00:10:57: | so I bring to the table and understanding of the |
| 00:10:58> 00:10:58: | politics, |
| 00:10:58> 00:11:03: | the Community engagement and really developing relationships too. |
| 00:11:03> 00:11:07: | Advance very important initiatives such as this. |
| 00:11:07> 00:11:11: | Next slide. So first and foremost, |
| 00:11:11> 00:11:14: | we wouldn't be here speaking with you all without the |
| 00:11:14> 00:11:18: | hard work and effort from friends of Queens Park and |
| 00:11:18> 00:11:21: | we really wanted to take time to credit the group |
| 00:11:21> 00:11:22: | that has spent. You know, |
| 00:11:23> 00:11:25: | if not years almost a decade, |
| 00:11:25> 00:11:29: | envisioning this Queens Park effort and really big ideas are |
| 00:11:29> 00:11:31: | often born in this manner. |
| 00:11:31> 00:11:35: | You have inspired others. We believe you've set the pathway |
| 00:11:35> 00:11:39: | for momentum and you've served as an instigator to really. |
| 00:11:39> 00:11:42: | Set an opportunity to focus on the larger area of |
| 00:11:42> 00:11:43: | North End, |
| 00:11:43> 00:11:47: | so kudos in that regard and you know the the |
| 00:11:47> 00:11:51: | work that you've done certainly sets the stage. |
| 00:11:51> 00:11:54: | With that being said, we recognize that you know a |
| 00:11:55> 00:12:00: | larger planning effort that really addresses Charlotte's growth strategy for |
| 00:12:00> 00:12:01: | the North End. |
| 00:12:01> 00:12:05: | That would involve all parties working together on a holistic |
| 00:12:05> 00:12:09: | plan is what's needed to really kick off this process, |
| 00:12:09> 00:12:12: | and part of that, as Alan just mentioned, |
| 00:12:12> 00:12:15: | is understanding some of these critical obstacles. |
| 00:12:15> 00:12:19: | The opportunities and also the needs and desires of each |
| 00:12:19> 00:12:21: | party before we kick off that. |
| 00:12:21> 00:12:25: | Planning process, so as we talk about partnerships and leaderships |
| 00:12:25> 00:12:29: | for this effort to build a new collective vision for |

| 00:12:29> 00:12:29: | the North End, |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:12:29> 00:12:34: | part of this includes redefining and expanding the group of |
| 00:12:34> 00:12:37: | partners to focus on this larger vision. |
| 00:12:37> 00:12:42: | Next slide, please. In Charlotte, |
| 00:12:42> 00:12:46: | you have a series of many wonderful prior planning efforts |
| 00:12:47> 00:12:50: | that have already set the stage for for the vision |
| 00:12:50> 00:12:51: | of larger, |
| 00:12:51> 00:12:54: | greater Charlotte, as well as parts of the North End |
| 00:12:54> 00:12:58: | and and these planning efforts have already engaged the public |
| 00:12:58> 00:12:59: | in meaningful ways. |
| 00:12:59> 00:13:02: | So while looking specifically at North End, |
| 00:13:02> 00:13:07: | there's several overlapping guiding principles from the comprehensive plan, |
| 00:13:07> 00:13:11: | the county's Parks and Recreation master plan and center cities. |
| 00:13:11> 00:13:15: | 2040 vision that really allow us to address broader, |
| 00:13:15> 00:13:20: | relevant issues specific to the North End housing access for |
| 00:13:20> 00:13:23: | all safe and resilient public spaces. |
| 00:13:23> 00:13:27: | Equitable mobility and diversity. Equity and inclusion. |
| 00:13:27> 00:13:35: | Next prior to kicking off a zoomed out focus study |
| 00:13:35> 00:13:37: | for the North End. |
| 00:13:37> 00:13:40: | We feel that it's important to really recognize, |
| 00:13:40> 00:13:44: | acknowledge, and understand each partner's unique role. |
| 00:13:44> 00:13:46: | What they bring to the table, |
| 00:13:46> 00:13:49: | also, what their needs and goals are. |
| 00:13:49> 00:13:52: | When this is factored into the planning process, |
| 00:13:52> 00:13:56: | there's there sets up an opportunity for decision making by |
| 00:13:56> 00:14:00: | all partners that creates an environment for broad participation, |
| 00:14:00> 00:14:04: | and this is particularly true for the Community members, |
| 00:14:04> 00:14:08: | particularly who live in and under in underserved areas. |
| 00:14:08> 00:14:11: | That may have not shared in decision making along the |
| 00:14:11> 00:14:13: | course of these processes. |
| 00:14:13> 00:14:18: | Next so as we talk about kicking off the effort |
| 00:14:18> 00:14:22: | with a larger vision plan for the North End. |
| 00:14:22> 00:14:27: | We're essentially looking at a new structure for a taskforce |
| 00:14:27> 00:14:29: | oriented framework. |
| 00:14:29> 00:14:34: | We believe fundamentally, the public sector should be responsible and |
| 00:14:34> 00:14:39: | in charge of leading the planning effort as they've done |
| 00:14:39> 00:14:40: | with other projects, |
| 00:14:40> 00:14:43: | and this could be a joint collaboration between the city |

| 00:14:43> 00:14:44: | and the county. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:14:44> 00:14:48: | In our research, we've seen that appear to be successful |
| 00:14:48> 00:14:51: | with other area plans with that really. |
| 00:14:51> 00:14:56: | Understanding who the Champions are from the elected official levels, |
| 00:14:56> 00:15:00: | we had the pleasure to interview several elected officials and |
| 00:15:01> 00:15:05: | having champions at that level really helps drive progress in |
| 00:15:05> 00:15:07: | momentum on these larger projects. |
| 00:15:07> 00:15:12: | Also, the executive administration at the public sector level. |
| 00:15:12> 00:15:16: | Being a county or city organization that has several different |
| 00:15:16> 00:15:16: | departments, |
| 00:15:16> 00:15:22: | sometimes competing needs resources or even goals, |
| 00:15:22> 00:15:25: | it's important to have leaders that run these type of |
| 00:15:25> 00:15:30: | planning efforts that can manage a variety of stakeholders within |
| 00:15:30> 00:15:31: | their organizations. |
| 00:15:31> 00:15:32: | The second part to this, |
| 00:15:32> 00:15:35: | and again, Alan briefly touched on it. |
| 00:15:35> 00:15:41: | Charlotte has benefited over decades from very visible private sector |
| 00:15:41> 00:15:42: | leaders, |
| 00:15:42> 00:15:46: | often times at the CEO levels who don't necessarily have |
| 00:15:46> 00:15:47: | direct skin in the game. |
| 00:15:47> 00:15:50: | When it comes to these type of planning efforts or |
| 00:15:50> 00:15:52: | advancing big initiatives, |
| 00:15:52> 00:15:56: | but carry the water to help pull the Community forward |
| 00:15:56> 00:15:58: | to implement these type of projects. |
| 00:15:58> 00:16:02: | Also, as part of the private sector taskforce, |
| 00:16:02> 00:16:07: | recognizing that cats and North Fork Southern have. |
| 00:16:07> 00:16:09: | By and that may be needed, |
| 00:16:09> 00:16:11: | but also decision making along the way, |
| 00:16:11> 00:16:16: | and finally the Community and Community engagement is really the |
| 00:16:16> 00:16:19: | foundation of establishing this planning vision, |
| 00:16:19> 00:16:21: | not just inviting folks to participate, |
| 00:16:21> 00:16:25: | but giving them a seat at the table during the |
| 00:16:25> 00:16:26: | decision making process. |
| 00:16:26> 00:16:31: | And next slide. And we feel strongly that by kicking |
| 00:16:32> 00:16:34: | off this very holistic, |
| 00:16:34> 00:16:39: | inclusive and comprehensive planning process with a series of successful |
| 00:16:39> 00:16:41: | partnerships in a taskforce format, |
| 00:16:41> 00:16:46: | it actually sets the stage for beneficial public private |

| | partnerships |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:16:46> 00:16:50: | that can come together to implement elements of this North |
| 00:16:50> 00:16:51: | End plan. |
| 00:16:51> 00:16:55: | Projects like housing Park implementation, |
| 00:16:55> 00:16:59: | capital improvements and utility and infrastructure advancements. |
| 00:16:59> 00:17:02: | And ULI. Has outlined a series of principles that help |
| 00:17:02> 00:17:06: | set a road map for successful public private partnerships that |
| 00:17:06> 00:17:09: | will be very beneficial for the North End in years |
| 00:17:09> 00:17:13: | to come. So now I'm going to turn it over |
| 00:17:13> 00:17:15: | to Claudia and Nate, |
| 00:17:15> 00:17:17: | who will talk about place. |
| 00:17:19> 00:17:19: | Good |
| 00:17:19> 00:17:21: | morning, my name is Gabriela. |
| 00:17:21> 00:17:23: | Can you Mark Clark? I am my partner, |
| 00:17:23> 00:17:27: | woodland design and I actually sit here in our office |
| 00:17:27> 00:17:28: | and Alexander, |
| 00:17:28> 00:17:31: | Virginia even though we have offices all over the country, |
| 00:17:31> 00:17:33: | I've been in practice as a landscape architect, |
| 00:17:33> 00:17:36: | urban designer for 23 years, |
| 00:17:36> 00:17:39: | mostly in urban environments like this and I also serve |
| 00:17:39> 00:17:44: | in the Executive Board for the Alexander Economic Development Partnership. |
| 00:17:44> 00:17:48: | So it does give me as a practitioner of design |
| 00:17:48> 00:17:49: | gives me a sort of. |
| 00:17:49> 00:17:53: | Different point of view when talking about issues that pertain |
| 00:17:53> 00:17:55: | to city growth and economic development. |
| 00:17:55> 00:17:58: | Next please, I'm very happy to be here by the |
| 00:17:58> 00:17:58: | way, |
| 00:17:58> 00:18:02: | and this has been an incredibly enriching conversation with the |
| 00:18:02> 00:18:04: | stakeholders and with my Co panelists. |
| 00:18:04> 00:18:09: | As Alan said, the tone earlier in some of the. |
| 00:18:09> 00:18:13: | Information and feedback that we heard is this notion that |
| 00:18:13> 00:18:15: | the park is fabulous. |
| 00:18:15> 00:18:18: | You know who doesn't want to see a park versus |
| 00:18:18> 00:18:19: | just semi, |
| 00:18:19> 00:18:21: | you know, attractive. You know. |
| 00:18:21> 00:18:24: | Trying to access spam, you know a fair amount of |
| 00:18:24> 00:18:24: | space. |
| 00:18:24> 00:18:27: | We know other amenity. It's a. |
| 00:18:27> 00:18:30: | It's a very quick reaction like right perks are fabulous. |
| 00:18:30> 00:18:33: | But it's like the issue or or this concern that |

| 00:18:33> 00:18:34: | we heard about. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:18:34> 00:18:36: | Perhaps we need to zoom out, |
| 00:18:36> 00:18:38: | need to zoom out and look at that park in |
| 00:18:38> 00:18:39: | the context of the North End. |
| 00:18:39> 00:18:43: | And make sure that whatever is proposed as the park |
| 00:18:43> 00:18:44: | or parks, |
| 00:18:44> 00:18:47: | it actually serves the needs of the community in the |
| 00:18:47> 00:18:49: | future as it grows next please. |
| 00:18:49> 00:18:51: | So that's the point that we've taken in this journey. |
| 00:18:51> 00:18:52: | In the last couple of days, |
| 00:18:52> 00:18:55: | one is examining the location in the scale of the |
| 00:18:55> 00:18:57: | space and start to ask ourselves, |
| 00:18:57> 00:19:01: | is 1 Park consolidated what it with the spaces? |
| 00:19:01> 00:19:04: | So we felt like a a more of a Bible |
| 00:19:04> 00:19:08: | study that examines that projected growth in this area for |
| 00:19:08> 00:19:09: | population for growth, |
| 00:19:09> 00:19:13: | for jobs, and those are going to be the drivers |
| 00:19:13> 00:19:16: | of what people will need in this in this area |
| 00:19:16> 00:19:17: | of town. |
| 00:19:17> 00:19:19: | As part of that, we feel like the the county |
| 00:19:19> 00:19:23: | Parks and Recreation Department will have their fingers on the |
| 00:19:23> 00:19:26: | pulse as far as those needs for amenities, |
| 00:19:26> 00:19:29: | open space, park space programming, |
| 00:19:29> 00:19:32: | etc. So I think they need. |
| 00:19:32> 00:19:33: | We believe that there should be a. |
| 00:19:33> 00:19:37: | It's an invaluable partner as far as representative in the |
| 00:19:37> 00:19:38: | task force. |
| 00:19:38> 00:19:41: | In another piece that we came up with, |
| 00:19:41> 00:19:46: | is the. Bring a progressive urban design thinking into your |
| 00:19:47> 00:19:50: | explorations and what do we mean by that? |
| 00:19:50> 00:19:53: | There are new examples out there in the marketplace, |
| 00:19:53> 00:19:58: | different cities, they have done tremendous work to incorporate rail |
| 00:19:59> 00:19:59: | facilities. |
| 00:19:59> 00:20:03: | Into urban design solutions to to have a better integration |
| 00:20:03> 00:20:06: | and not necessarily eyesores or or just places that we |
| 00:20:07> 00:20:09: | want to just fence off it could become part of |
| 00:20:09> 00:20:13: | place. Making people like train watching and and I'm I'm |
| 00:20:13> 00:20:17: | I'm trying to make quick examples because there are they |
| 00:20:17> 00:20:17: | cannot be. |
| 00:20:17> 00:20:19: | Ignore so it's all incremental. |

| 00:20:19> 00:20:24: | You know interest, amenity activation, |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:20:24> 00:20:27: | integration. Those are the kind of the things we seek |
| 00:20:27> 00:20:32: | when incorporating facility facility facilities like this part me on |
| 00:20:32> 00:20:34: | to master planning next please. |
| 00:20:37> 00:20:40: | For that matter, then, when you get into the the |
| 00:20:40> 00:20:43: | the city building aspect that Alan also mentioned previously, |
| 00:20:43> 00:20:46: | how do we fit a park? |
| 00:20:46> 00:20:49: | A series of parks within the city in in the |
| 00:20:49> 00:20:51: | city or county goals for this? |
| 00:20:51> 00:20:56: | This area of town? And Jenny just touched on it. |
| 00:20:56> 00:20:57: | There's gotta be consensus, you know, |
| 00:20:57> 00:20:59: | between the public and the private sector. |
| 00:20:59> 00:21:01: | For this. And bringing the public officials and the P |
| 00:21:02> 00:21:04: | and the people that can champion this costs. |
| 00:21:04> 00:21:08: | In this project a part of the dialogue. |
| 00:21:08> 00:21:11: | And that can have a multi prong sort of serving |
| 00:21:11> 00:21:11: | purpose, |
| 00:21:11> 00:21:15: | one establishing the needs for for for this park. |
| 00:21:15> 00:21:16: | And is it a neighborhood park? |
| 00:21:16> 00:21:18: | Is at a City Park or is it both? |
| 00:21:18> 00:21:21: | We'd like to think as designers that the parks can |
| 00:21:21> 00:21:23: | serve both and can you know, |
| 00:21:23> 00:21:27: | have flexibility in the integrated into the design to serve |
| 00:21:27> 00:21:29: | the needs of the day today versus special events and |
| 00:21:30> 00:21:32: | then the other piece is establishing realistic goals. |
| 00:21:32> 00:21:33: | You know what it is, |
| 00:21:33> 00:21:37: | you know from our previous slide projection of population |
| 00:21:37> 00:21:38: | growth, |
| 00:21:37> 00:21:38. | job growth in this area. |
| 00:21:42> 00:21:45: | Then can help us establish the goals of what the part can be to serve those individuals in the current |
| 00:21:42> 00:21:45: | and in the future. |
| 00:21:46> 00:21:48: | And then really think about this. |
| 00:21:48> 00:21:54: | This this space being a piece of a larger puzzle, |
| 00:21:54> 00:21:57: | where again what was mentioned before as a part of |
| 00:21:57> 00:21:59: | an urban design master plan, |
| 00:21:59> 00:22:00: | you know, bring connectivity, mobility, |
| 00:22:00> 00:22:03: | |
| 00:22:00> 00:22:03: | housing. We heard from our stakeholders interviews that it would |
| 00:22:03> 00:22:04: | be great to have a part, |
| 00:22:04> 00:22:06: | but we still need to be able to cross, |
| 00:22:06> 00:22:08: | you know, from north, from east to West and it |
| 00:22:08> 00:22:09: | wouldn't be wonderful. |

| 00:22:09> 00:22:12: | And some of these initiatives can still help us with |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:22:12> 00:22:15: | that sort of physical planning of the space and your |
| 00:22:15> 00:22:17: | master plan already talked. |
| 00:22:17> 00:22:19: | Touches on some of those already. |
| 00:22:19> 00:22:22: | The next one please. I'm going to hand it over |
| 00:22:22> 00:22:25: | to Nate who's going to talk about how the lessons |
| 00:22:25> 00:22:28: | from the South end can can be put into place |
| 00:22:28> 00:22:30: | when planning for the North End mate. |
| 00:22:31> 00:22:34: | Thanks Gabriela. Hi everybody, I'm Nate Hummel. |
| 00:22:34> 00:22:37: | I am the director of Planning and design for a |
| 00:22:37> 00:22:38: | progressive urbanism, |
| 00:22:38> 00:22:43: | Special services district in West Philadelphia called University City District. |
| 00:22:43> 00:22:46: | We replace making in workforce development organization. |
| 00:22:46> 00:22:49: | So a lot of these questions and issues that we've |
| 00:22:49> 00:22:52: | heard from various stakeholders are things I deal with daily. |
| 00:22:52> 00:22:55: | I work in transit with transit agencies to add public |
| 00:22:55> 00:22:57: | spaces to train stations, |
| 00:22:57> 00:22:59: | and I want to share some of these lessons learned |
| 00:22:59> 00:23:01: | for how we've been able to achieve these things in |
| 00:23:01> 00:23:02: | West Philadelphia. |
| 00:23:02> 00:23:05: | By taking small approaches and and sort of different strategies |
| 00:23:05> 00:23:06: | towards maintenance, |
| 00:23:06> 00:23:08: | so some of the things we heard from a lot |
| 00:23:08> 00:23:12: | of different groups were things are going to change in |
| 00:23:12> 00:23:13: | the North End. |
| 00:23:13> 00:23:16: | Well that's because that lynx blue line is that catalyst |
| 00:23:16> 00:23:20: | it it's there it is going to make things change. |
| 00:23:20> 00:23:23: | Density is going to greatly change in this area. |
| 00:23:23> 00:23:26: | Neighborhood character is going to greatly change in this area |
| 00:23:26> 00:23:29: | and when we were talking to elected especially, |
| 00:23:29> 00:23:32: | they kept saying we cannot repeat what happened. |
| 00:23:32> 00:23:35: | On the South end, we can't have this. |
| 00:23:35> 00:23:38: | Go fast and break things approached this sort of tech |
| 00:23:38> 00:23:38: | idea. |
| 00:23:38> 00:23:41: | It's we have to be more intentional. |
| 00:23:41> 00:23:43: | We have to think about how we want these things |
| 00:23:43> 00:23:43: | to flow, |
| 00:23:43> 00:23:44: | how we want this to be, |
| 00:23:44> 00:23:47: | a city neighborhood, and I think that's important though at |

| 00:23:47> 00:23:49: | the county parks and it's city. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:23:49> 00:23:51: | This is a city. This is city building. |
| 00:23:51> 00:23:53: | This is how we do it and so adding more |
| 00:23:53> 00:23:53: | open space, |
| 00:23:53> 00:23:56: | there's opportunities to do all kinds of open space in |
| 00:23:56> 00:23:56: | this, |
| 00:23:56> 00:23:59: | which is a direct counter to what happened in the |
| 00:23:59> 00:23:59: | South. |
| 00:23:59> 00:24:02: | Affordability is an issue. That's something that needs to be |
| 00:24:02> 00:24:04: | very thoroughly considered for this area. |
| 00:24:04> 00:24:07: | With a history of. Redlining as Miss area, |
| 00:24:07> 00:24:10: | there's a lot of disinvestment that really needs to be |
| 00:24:10> 00:24:15: | corrected and addressed and so through affordability and through equity. |
| 00:24:15> 00:24:17: | You'd start to see things bigger it, |
| 00:24:17> 00:24:19: | yes, this might seem like a gigantic area for a |
| 00:24:20> 00:24:20: | park, |
| 00:24:20> 00:24:21: | but we're talking about zooming out. |
| 00:24:21> 00:24:24: | You see even more. You see these systems in place. |
| 00:24:24> 00:24:26: | You see these connections to other things, |
| 00:24:26> 00:24:28: | how it can change, and so the whole idea of |
| 00:24:28> 00:24:32: | equitable planning really sets the tone for multiple buyins for |
| 00:24:32> 00:24:35: | lots of different people to come in from other angles. |
| 00:24:35> 00:24:37: | Because I like this aspect of it, |
| 00:24:37> 00:24:40: | I like that aspect of it when you're thinking about |
| 00:24:40> 00:24:42: | the general public good for everyone, |
| 00:24:42> 00:24:45: | it really enhances the overall project and makes it more. |
| 00:24:45> 00:24:48: | Of that Citicorp that I think everybody wants it to |
| 00:24:48> 00:24:48: | be, |
| 00:24:48> 00:24:53: | next slide. And so waste of doing this. |
| 00:24:53> 00:24:55: | Of course you have that massive big idea, |
| 00:24:55> 00:24:57: | but how do you chip away at that? |
| 00:24:57> 00:24:59: | How do you start to do things? |
| 00:24:59> 00:25:00: | How do you build trust? |
| 00:25:00> 00:25:04: | How do you do things like get transit agencies to |
| 00:25:04> 00:25:05: | trust you funders, |
| 00:25:05> 00:25:08: | the public city officials elected? |
| 00:25:08> 00:25:11: | You have to pave the way really for this bigger |
| 00:25:11> 00:25:12: | vision with your ideas. |
| 00:25:12> 00:25:13: | How can you do things? |
| 00:25:13> 00:25:15: | How can you build this trust? |
| 00:25:15> 00:25:18: | How can you build buzz and get excitement? |

| 00:25:18> 00:25:20: | Well, there's an organization out in New York called the |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:25:20> 00:25:21: | Project for Public space. |
| 00:25:21> 00:25:23: | They have this method that is just wonderful as a |
| 00:25:23> 00:25:25: | way to start things up. |
| 00:25:25> 00:25:27: | And this is exactly what we've done with Amtrak and |
| 00:25:27> 00:25:30: | with our regional transit agency in Philadelphia called SEPTA. |
| 00:25:30> 00:25:33: | lt's lighter, quicker, cheaper projects. |
| 00:25:33> 00:25:35: | Another way of looking at this as perfect as the |
| 00:25:35> 00:25:35: | enemy have done. |
| 00:25:35> 00:25:37: | Don't wait for that perfect project, |
| 00:25:37> 00:25:40: | the perfect site. The perfectness that perfect that do something. |
| 00:25:40> 00:25:43: | Build some energy. Get people excited about it so you |
| 00:25:43> 00:25:45: | can take these things and this isn't a do a |
| 00:25:45> 00:25:47: | do it yourself kind of project where you might hear |
| 00:25:47> 00:25:49: | it like yarn. Bombing or something. |
| 00:25:49> 00:25:50: | That's not what I mean by lighter, |
| 00:25:50> 00:25:53: | quicker, cheaper. We meet our strategic locations where you're adding |
| 00:25:53> 00:25:54: | a public space. |
| 00:25:54> 00:25:56: | Maybe it's tables, chairs, and umbrellas. |
| 00:25:56> 00:25:59: | It's not a \$5 million option, |
| 00:25:59> 00:26:02: | it's something that you're trying and so you can fail |
| 00:26:02> 00:26:04: | small if you fail at \$50,000, |
| 00:26:04> 00:26:05: | you're not run out of town. |
| 00:26:05> 00:26:06: | You fail at \$5 million. |
| 00:26:06> 00:26:08: | People want you to find a new place to live. |
| 00:26:08> 00:26:11: | This is a big difference and you have to experiment. |
| 00:26:11> 00:26:12: | You have to try these things. |
| 00:26:12> 00:26:14: | You have to be willing to fail, |
| 00:26:14> 00:26:18: | you get public feedback. There's this methodology of tactical. |
| 00:26:18> 00:26:20: | Urbanism, sorry for all the jargon, |
| 00:26:20> 00:26:23: | but what one thing you can do is this thing |
| 00:26:23> 00:26:25: | called a rendering in real time. |
| 00:26:25> 00:26:29: | So everybody knows that church basement renderings hanging on a |
| 00:26:29> 00:26:29: | wall, |
| 00:26:29> 00:26:31: | and those people six people show up and they give |
| 00:26:31> 00:26:32: | you their comments. |
| 00:26:32> 00:26:35: | But when you do something like put a bunch of |
| 00:26:35> 00:26:36: | hay bails out in the street. |
| 00:26:36> 00:26:38: | And you say this is what the new turning rate |

| 00:26:38> 00:26:38: | is going to be. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:26:38> 00:26:40: | This is where this new public space in the street |
| 00:26:40> 00:26:42: | going to be that surrendering in real time that might |
| 00:26:43> 00:26:45: | have cost \$50 to put those hay bales out there. |
| 00:26:45> 00:26:46: | But you talked with the city traffic engineers. |
| 00:26:46> 00:26:48: | You know that turning radius you know it kind of |
| 00:26:48> 00:26:48: | works. |
| 00:26:48> 00:26:51: | That's a way of prototyping these ideas. |
| 00:26:51> 00:26:55: | And when you have things that are already working. |
| 00:26:55> 00:26:56: | Focus on those, so again, |
| 00:26:56> 00:27:00: | that Blue line is so successful you have a really |
| 00:27:00> 00:27:04: | trendy and of the moment developer that did optimist Hall. |
| 00:27:04> 00:27:07: | That's outstanding. Double down on that stuff when you're talking |
| 00:27:07> 00:27:08: | about your starter park, |
| 00:27:08> 00:27:09: | don't have it all the way on the other end |
| 00:27:10> 00:27:10: | away from that. |
| 00:27:10> 00:27:12: | Have it right next to Optimist Hall. |
| 00:27:12> 00:27:14: | Had it in a place. |
| 00:27:14> 00:27:16: | That makes people kind of curious. |
| 00:27:16> 00:27:18: | What is this? Maybe you have signs out there to |
| 00:27:18> 00:27:22: | say hey coming the future park or increased mobility enhanced |
| 00:27:22> 00:27:22: | mobility. |
| 00:27:22> 00:27:24: | This is the kind of thing focus on what's already |
| 00:27:24> 00:27:25: | working. |
| 00:27:25> 00:27:27: | Make people excited. That's how you build that, |
| 00:27:27> 00:27:29: | because that's how you get people to talk about it. |
| 00:27:29> 00:27:31: | That's how you get real opinions from people. |
| 00:27:31> 00:27:35: | So early action items might seem frivolous and wasteful. |
| 00:27:35> 00:27:37: | They are not. They help you get to know, |
| 00:27:37> 00:27:39: | especially in your training people how to use a public |
| 00:27:39> 00:27:39: | space. |
| 00:27:39> 00:27:41: | 'cause, let's face it, you're going to have to train |
| 00:27:41> 00:27:43: | a lot of people how to come into this space |
| 00:27:43> 00:27:45: | that they haven't been coming into forever. |
| 00:27:45> 00:27:48: | And so you have to do these little projects that |
| 00:27:48> 00:27:52: | make things exciting and make people want to be their |
| 00:27:52> 00:27:53: | next slide. |
| 00:27:53> 00:27:57: | And a big part of what happens in these massive |
| 00:27:57> 00:27:59: | facilities is OK. |
| 00:27:59> 00:28:01: | You have one group of people that has this big |
| 00:28:01> 00:28:01: | idea. |

| 00:28:01> 00:28:03: | They raise the money they build the thing. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:28:03> 00:28:06: | It's amazing. Then you have to be site stewards for |
| 00:28:06> 00:28:07: | the rest of time. |
| 00:28:07> 00:28:10: | That is amazing and you have. |
| 00:28:10> 00:28:12: | That can be something that sinks a lot of projects. |
| 00:28:12> 00:28:14: | Well, what I encourage you to do is what my |
| 00:28:14> 00:28:15: | organization did. |
| 00:28:15> 00:28:17: | Flip the script on maintenance. |
| 00:28:17> 00:28:20: | Don't just think of maintenance as this bottomless pit where |
| 00:28:20> 00:28:23: | money disappears and it runs out to the suburbs and |
| 00:28:23> 00:28:26: | the money leaves Charlotte and you don't see it. |
| 00:28:26> 00:28:27: | It's don't think of it that way. |
| 00:28:27> 00:28:31: | How can you make that something you celebrate? |
| 00:28:31> 00:28:33: | So we created a social services enterprise as part of |
| 00:28:34> 00:28:35: | our workforce development. |
| 00:28:35> 00:28:37: | Branch of University City district. |
| 00:28:37> 00:28:38: | We call it Green City works. |
| 00:28:38> 00:28:42: | It's a full subsidiary of my organization, |
| 00:28:42> 00:28:44: | but we train people in the soft skills how to |
| 00:28:44> 00:28:45: | basically have a bank account, |
| 00:28:45> 00:28:47: | how to be a responsible employee. |
| 00:28:47> 00:28:49: | So you choose some most services, |
| 00:28:49> 00:28:52: | but also you teacher on this on the job training |
| 00:28:52> 00:28:53: | for landscape services. |
| 00:28:53> 00:28:56: | These are people that were unemployed for sometimes 53 weeks |
| 00:28:56> 00:28:56: | or more. |
| 00:28:56> 00:28:58: | They're all West Philadelphians now. |
| 00:28:58> 00:29:00: | They're hired at living wage jobs. |
| 00:29:00> 00:29:01: | They start at \$15 an hour. |
| 00:29:01> 00:29:03: | They have the same benefits I have, |
| 00:29:03> 00:29:05: | and they're maintaining parks in their neighborhood. |
| 00:29:05> 00:29:08: | The money they spend stays in the neighborhood. |
| 00:29:08> 00:29:10: | It's in a way, a cyclical economy where things are |
| 00:29:11> 00:29:11: | staying together. |
| 00:29:11> 00:29:14: | You can do that with your maintenance of a facility |
| 00:29:14> 00:29:15: | of this size. |
| 00:29:15> 00:29:18: | Now there's all kinds of issues with that who are |
| 00:29:18> 00:29:19: | going to be the responsible partners? |
| 00:29:19> 00:29:21: | Is that the counties of the city? |
| 00:29:21> 00:29:22: | Is that a private entity? |
| 00:29:22> 00:29:24: | Are their friends groups that conform? |

| 00:29:24> 00:29:27: | Philadelphia has a huge park system called Fairmount Park. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:29:27> 00:29:29: | They couldn't raise money specifically, |
| 00:29:29> 00:29:32: | so there's a separate organization that was formed called the |
| 00:29:32> 00:29:33: | Fairmount Park Conservancy. |
| 00:29:33> 00:29:35: | They were a group formed basically to raise money. |
| 00:29:35> 00:29:37: | For the part so they can spend it on projects, |
| 00:29:37> 00:29:40: | there's all kinds of creative examples of how this happens |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: | in other places, |
| 00:29:41> 00:29:43: | and when you're thinking about Charlotte, |
| 00:29:43> 00:29:46: | l like using Philadelphia in your 2040 plan, |
| 00:29:46> 00:29:49: | going from 900,000 population of 1.2 million. |
| 00:29:49> 00:29:52: | Philadelphia is 1.2 million people. |
| 00:29:52> 00:29:54: | Are you ready for Charlotte to be the size of |
| 00:29:54> 00:29:54: | Philadelphia? |
| 00:29:54> 00:29:57: | Think about these kinds of things, |
| 00:29:57> 00:29:58: | and when we're talking about all these, |
| 00:29:58> 00:30:00: | zooming out all of this stuff, |
| 00:30:00> 00:30:05: | this impacts of systems of Rayland and Norfolk Southern and |
| 00:30:05> 00:30:06: | local transit. |
| 00:30:06> 00:30:10: | Timing really comes into play and it makes you wonder |
| 00:30:10> 00:30:13: | what does all this mean for our project? |
| 00:30:13> 00:30:16: | How can we do quick strike projects and also have |
| 00:30:16> 00:30:18: | this long term discussions with the railroad? |
| 00:30:18> 00:30:20: | I can't think of a better person to talk to |
| 00:30:20> 00:30:22: | you through these things than Carlton. |
| 00:30:22> 00:30:24: | And I am excited to turn it over to him. |
| 00:30:26> 00:30:29: | OK, good morning. My name is Carlton Brown and thank |
| 00:30:29> 00:30:31: | you Nate for turning this over to me. |
| 00:30:31> 00:30:37: | I'm a principal at a direct invest development. |
| 00:30:37> 00:30:41: | We are a development company that is worked on for |
| 00:30:41> 00:30:42: | the last 25 years, |
| 00:30:43> 00:30:47: | sustainable development and disinvested urban |
| 00.00.47 | communities. |
| 00:30:47> 00:30:51: | Our focus has been how do you read knit fabric |
| 00:30:51> 00:30:53: | of broken communities? |
| 00:30:53> 00:30:57: | And that's one of the sort of larger things that |
| 00:30:57> 00:30:59: | that we're talking about here. |
| 00:30:59> 00:31:02: | Connecting the Carter around trying. |
| 00:31:02> 00:31:06: | And gram to the rest of the community across the |
| 00:31:06> 00:31:06: | tracks. |
| 00:31:06> 00:31:09: | And the question is when does that happen and how |
| 00:31:09> 00:31:10: | does that happen? |

| 00:31:10> 00:31:14: | And it's all about timing next slide. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:31:14> 00:31:18: | So when we when we think of this is everything |
| 00:31:18> 00:31:21: | is going to happen in phases as much as as |
| 00:31:21> 00:31:23: | we like to see this happen at once. |
| 00:31:23> 00:31:27: | It's a few things just to remember number one. |
| 00:31:27> 00:31:29: | The north didn't have the north, |
| 00:31:29> 00:31:31: | the north didn't happen all at once. |
| 00:31:31> 00:31:34: | The university was put on the North End and it |
| 00:31:34> 00:31:35: | started a growth spurt. |
| 00:31:35> 00:31:40: | There the railroad has come there and it started another |
| 00:31:40> 00:31:41: | growth spurt. |
| 00:31:41> 00:31:45: | On the other hand, on that Ryan Graham Carter. |
| 00:31:45> 00:31:47: | It hasn't benefited from there, |
| 00:31:47> 00:31:50: | so during this sort of phasing thing we want to |
| 00:31:50> 00:31:53: | start to think about how do we start to bring |
| 00:31:53> 00:31:57: | this all together and the first thing we have to |
| 00:31:57> 00:31:59: | do is is in year one, |
| 00:31:59> 00:32:01: | figure out what the priorities are, |
| 00:32:01> 00:32:06: | get the leadership together. And realize to move this thing |
| 00:32:06> 00:32:08: | for it because we got a great idea. |
| 00:32:08> 00:32:11: | This idea didn't exist. I mean, |
| 00:32:11> 00:32:14: | it wasn't even the ethos and to the Friends of |
| 00:32:14> 00:32:16: | Queens Park said. |
| 00:32:16> 00:32:18: | I've got an idea and they work to get it |
| 00:32:18> 00:32:19: | out there, |
| 00:32:19> 00:32:22: | so it's out there and now it's about how do |
| 00:32:22> 00:32:26: | we get it adopted during this intermediate term years 2010. |
| 00:32:26> 00:32:29: | That's when we start to plan. |
| 00:32:29> 00:32:32: | We've got the new partners in we're getting new voices |
| 00:32:32> 00:32:35: | in and we're going to develop a shared vision that |
| 00:32:36> 00:32:39: | includes not just the park because of my colleagues have |
| 00:32:39> 00:32:42: | said the whole North End because what does that do |
| 00:32:42> 00:32:45: | that brings in more stakeholders? |
| 00:32:45> 00:32:46: | It makes the city manager. |
| 00:32:46> 00:32:49: | It makes the mayor. It makes the City Council. |
| 00:32:49> 00:32:53: | It makes everyone want to focus on this because it's |
| 00:32:53> 00:32:55: | more stakeholders. |
| 00:32:55> 00:32:58: | It's can start to do the things on the North |
| 00:32:58> 00:33:02: | End that they were unsuccessful in doing on the South |
| 00:33:02> 00:33:06: | end and so working on these interventions is a it's |
| 00:33:06> 00:33:09: | a public private partnership and you can start looking at |
| 00:33:09> 00:33:11: | large scale things. |

| 00:33:11> 00:33:14: | So small scale things. But this is a time when |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:33:14> 00:33:14: | they happen. |
| 00:33:14> 00:33:17: | Some of them are going to be planned at this |
| 00:33:17> 00:33:17: | time. |
| 00:33:17> 00:33:20: | But some of them are going to be implemented during |
| 00:33:20> 00:33:20: | this time frame. |
| 00:33:20> 00:33:22: | There's some things you can sing along time to get |
| 00:33:23> 00:33:23: | implemented, |
| 00:33:23> 00:33:26: | but there are these other things not only at the |
| 00:33:26> 00:33:30: | scale that Nate just talked about those sort of temporary |
| 00:33:30> 00:33:31: | interventions, |
| 00:33:31> 00:33:33: | but there can be some larger interventions that you can |
| 00:33:33> 00:33:34: | make that a more permanent. |
| 00:33:34> 00:33:38: | Once you know the temporary things are working and the |
| 00:33:38> 00:33:41: | longer term things is the 5 to 25 years, |
| 00:33:41> 00:33:45: | these things sort of overlap because there are some things |
| 00:33:45> 00:33:48: | that move on railroad time. |
| 00:33:48> 00:33:51: | I was living in New York and I was one |
| 00:33:51> 00:33:54: | time in Penn Station and I saw the notice on |
| 00:33:54> 00:33:57: | the board for the train schedule. |
| 00:33:57> 00:33:59: | It was 62 hours late. |
| 00:33:59> 00:34:04: | Well, how can a train coming from Chicago be 62 |
| 00:34:04> 00:34:05: | hours late? |
| 00:34:05> 00:34:09: | Well, in developer time 62 hours late is insane because |
| 00:34:09> 00:34:14: | for developers we've got a different timeframe for railroads, |
| 00:34:14> 00:34:17: | right? I want to buy a piece of land. |
| 00:34:17> 00:34:19: | I want to turn the key and I'm finished with |
| 00:34:19> 00:34:19: | that. |
| 00:34:19> 00:34:21: | Three years later I financed it. |
| 00:34:21> 00:34:24: | I built it. Three years is the sort of imaginary |
| 00:34:24> 00:34:26: | time frame for a railroad, |
| 00:34:26> 00:34:28: | and so that's one of your partners here. |
| 00:34:28> 00:34:30: | And so you've got to recognize that. |
| 00:34:30> 00:34:32: | And how do you bring him in next line? |
| 00:34:34> 00:34:36: | So over this short term thing, |
| 00:34:36> 00:34:40: | we're going to be starting to redefine the opportunity everyone |
| 00:34:40> 00:34:44: | before me has talked about how that opportunity needs to |
| 00:34:44> 00:34:45: | be redefined, |
| 00:34:45> 00:34:47: | but we haven't told you what the answers are. |
| 00:34:47> 00:34:51: | Reason is, we don't know what the answers are in |
| 00:34:51> 00:34:53: | redefining that opportunity. |
| | |

| 00:34:53> 00:34:55: | We've talked to you about process. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:34:55> 00:34:56: | How do you get there, |
| 00:34:56> 00:35:00: | and the process is that with these new stakeholders in |
| 00:35:00> 00:35:02: | their their collective knowledge, |
| 00:35:02> 00:35:05: | the things they bring to the table. |
| 00:35:05> 00:35:08: | That's gonna really tell you what the opportunity is and |
| 00:35:08> 00:35:09: | how it's defined. |
| 00:35:09> 00:35:12: | This is going to happen early on because this is |
| 00:35:12> 00:35:12: | fun, |
| 00:35:12> 00:35:16: | established the direction of the entire project you're going to |
| 00:35:16> 00:35:17: | engage with. |
| 00:35:17> 00:35:20: | City officials, county officials with cats. |
| 00:35:20> 00:35:23: | You're going to recognize what their limitations are. |
| 00:35:23> 00:35:28: | You aren't going to move the cats maintenance facility, |
| 00:35:28> 00:35:31: | it's been delayed. It was supposed to be the first |
| 00:35:31> 00:35:31: | phase. |
| 00:35:31> 00:35:34: | They reallocated money. That's not going to happen, |
| 00:35:34> 00:35:36: | but what else? So you want them to do and |
| 00:35:36> 00:35:39: | I think those are the things you can start to |
| 00:35:39> 00:35:40: | talk about in this first phase. |
| 00:35:40> 00:35:42: | One of the design implications. |
| 00:35:42> 00:35:45: | Do you want them to do now with this new |
| 00:35:46> 00:35:50: | facility that has implications for what we hope will be |
| 00:35:50> 00:35:52: | a park around that facility, |
| 00:35:52> 00:35:54: | right? Those decisions can be made now. |
| 00:35:54> 00:35:57: | These are the things that happen during this first phase, |
| 00:35:57> 00:36:01: | so you're deconstructing a lot of the ideas that cats |
| 00:36:01> 00:36:02: | might have had. |
| 00:36:02> 00:36:05: | The Norfolk Southern Matter had the Mecklenburg County might have |
| 00:36:05> 00:36:06: | had. |
| 00:36:06> 00:36:09: | And you're coming up together with a new plan and |
| 00:36:09> 00:36:11: | a new vision that is more inclusive. |
| 00:36:11> 00:36:17: | Next slide. Intermediate term and again you see these terms |
| 00:36:17> 00:36:19: | overlap 2 to 10 years. |
| 00:36:19> 00:36:23: | What we're saying is you're gonna define you're going to |
| 00:36:23> 00:36:23: | study. |
| 00:36:23> 00:36:26: | You're going to design and there should be another word |
| 00:36:26> 00:36:26: | there. |
| 00:36:26> 00:36:30: | Implement. So in each one of these phases these are |
| 00:36:30> 00:36:32: | various things that happen. |
| 00:36:32> 00:36:35: | It's not all one thing you're still building on the |

| 00:36:35> 00:36:36: | lessons from South End, |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:36:36> 00:36:39: | you're going to be more inclusive here. |
| 00:36:39> 00:36:43: | You're gonna look at how can you integrate affordable housing, |
| 00:36:43> 00:36:46: | how cute innovate, mobility, and how can you do. |
| 00:36:46> 00:36:50: | Equity is sustainability because that brings in a lot of |
| 00:36:50> 00:36:54: | the political support that because those are the priorities of |
| 00:36:54> 00:36:55: | the city. |
| 00:36:55> 00:36:58: | And so when you see that the part the North |
| 00:36:58> 00:37:01: | End or an integrated set of priorities, |
| 00:37:01> 00:37:04: | then you can start to get people to buy in |
| 00:37:04> 00:37:07: | the one that you have to really understand during this |
| 00:37:07> 00:37:10: | time frame is what other things? |
| 00:37:10> 00:37:13: | Northfolk southern, and it's really Amtrak, |
| 00:37:13> 00:37:15: | Norfolk and Southern Short line railroads. |
| 00:37:15> 00:37:19: | What we found out is a lot of railroads involved |
| 00:37:19> 00:37:19: | in that, |
| 00:37:19> 00:37:22: | and what are they? What are they not gonna do? |
| 00:37:22> 00:37:24: | They are not going to do anything that's going to |
| 00:37:24> 00:37:26: | increase their costs of operation, |
| 00:37:26> 00:37:28: | so the moment you say this, |
| 00:37:28> 00:37:31: | something that's going to create your custom operations. |
| 00:37:31> 00:37:34: | It's not going to happen the moment you say that |
| 00:37:34> 00:37:36: | I'm going to increase your capital costs, |
| 00:37:36> 00:37:38: | you're gonna have to pay to do something to make |
| 00:37:39> 00:37:39: | this fire. |
| 00:37:39> 00:37:41: | That's not in the business. |
| 00:37:41> 00:37:44: | That does not make their businesses stronger business, |
| 00:37:44> 00:37:46: | but when you tell them things, |
| 00:37:46> 00:37:49: | they can do things that don't have negative cost implications |
| 00:37:50> 00:37:52: | that are going to make city Charlotte better. |
| 00:37:52> 00:37:57: | Those corporate leaders is leaders that that CEO, |
| 00:37:57> 00:38:00: | those leaders of the community can tell them. |
| 00:38:00> 00:38:03: | This is good for your community and our community, |
| 00:38:03> 00:38:04: | and it doesn't hurt you, |
| 00:38:04> 00:38:07: | cost wise. That's something that, |
| 00:38:07> 00:38:11: | as a community organization. For the people that envisioned this, |
| 00:38:11> 00:38:14: | you can't do that, but that larger team you built |
| 00:38:14> 00:38:16: | can do it quite effective. |
| 00:38:16> 00:38:19: | That is how things have happened in Charlotte. |
| 00:38:19> 00:38:21: | Those are the things that are going to be doing |
| 00:38:21> 00:38:23: | going on in this two to five year period. |

| 00:38:23> 00:38:26: | The real design is going to happen. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:38:26> 00:38:29: | They're going to be in for mental things that will |
| 00:38:29> 00:38:32: | happen around this area both in the corridor on both |
| 00:38:32> 00:38:35: | sides of the railroad and then starting to find the |
| 00:38:35> 00:38:39: | places to implement parts as you go or a part. |
| 00:38:39> 00:38:44: | And next slide. The planning studies. |
| 00:38:44> 00:38:48: | Railroads. I I used to work for AT&T, |
| 00:38:48> 00:38:50: | you know which was like this. |
| 00:38:50> 00:38:52: | This is when it was one phone company, |
| 00:38:52> 00:38:55: | right? And we could plan something and we could study |
| 00:38:55> 00:38:59: | something forever because there wasn't any incentive unless there was |
| 00:38:59> 00:39:02: | some reason to put a new facility at a specific |
| 00:39:02> 00:39:04: | place because she couldn't provide service. |
| 00:39:04> 00:39:07: | But other than that you studied for a long time. |
| 00:39:07> 00:39:10: | Railroads, you know, a great studying thing, |
| 00:39:10> 00:39:11: | so you got us. Do this. |
| 00:39:11> 00:39:15: | Now you've got to study the impacts on their freight. |
| 00:39:15> 00:39:16: | What is it going to do? |
| 00:39:16> 00:39:18: | They're gonna do it themselves, |
| 00:39:18> 00:39:20: | but we're probably going to have to figure out a |
| 00:39:20> 00:39:22: | way for us when I say us you, |
| 00:39:22> 00:39:25: | the city of Charlotte, your partners to pay for it. |
| 00:39:25> 00:39:27: | So that's so it's cost neutral for them. |
| 00:39:27> 00:39:30: | And when you get to that point where you can |
| 00:39:30> 00:39:33: | say these are ideas that we can implement that have |
| 00:39:33> 00:39:34: | no impact on you, |
| 00:39:34> 00:39:37: | you suddenly got something they can start their railroad to |
| 00:39:37> 00:39:37: | move. |
| 00:39:37> 00:39:40: | That could take a year that could take two years. |
| 00:39:40> 00:39:41: | They could take five years. |
| 00:39:41> 00:39:44: | l have no idea what it would be, |
| 00:39:44> 00:39:47: | but when you've got that leadership coalition doing it you |
| 00:39:47> 00:39:49: | can make it happen much quicker, |
| 00:39:49> 00:39:51: | much more quickly, and the same thing with the North |
| 00:39:52> 00:39:54: | End when you're looking at the North End. |
| 00:39:54> 00:39:56: | This is one of the things everyone says we've got |
| 00:39:56> 00:39:58: | to do a better job at developing this. |
| 00:39:58> 00:40:02: | When you look at the the the opportunity zone area, |
| 00:40:02> 00:40:05: | how do we bring capital there to make it grow |
| 00:40:05> 00:40:08: | along with the rest of the the east side of |
| 00:40:08> 00:40:10: | this neighborhood? |

| 00:40:10> 00:40:14: | So next slide. In the long term, |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:40:14> 00:40:17: | this is where we're really on railroad time, |
| 00:40:17> 00:40:22: | right up a lot of the land you have acquired, |
| 00:40:22> 00:40:25: | both on the east side and the West side of |
| 00:40:25> 00:40:26: | the tracks. |
| 00:40:26> 00:40:28: | There would be new buildings there. |
| 00:40:28> 00:40:31: | There will be new people there and everyone will be |
| 00:40:31> 00:40:34: | waiting for the completion of these parts of parts. |
| 00:40:34> 00:40:38: | But over that 25, five to 25 year period, |
| 00:40:38> 00:40:43: | we believe that this park or several parts that. |
| 00:40:43> 00:40:47: | Realize this vision of having place where people can come |
| 00:40:47> 00:40:51: | together where you can have outdoor events where you can |
| 00:40:51> 00:40:54: | gather where you can do it passively where you can |
| 00:40:54> 00:40:58: | do it actively. We believe that will happen over this |
| 00:40:58> 00:40:58: | period, |
| 00:40:58> 00:41:00: | but it will be phased, |
| 00:41:00> 00:41:03: | right? It will be faced as fast as we can |
| 00:41:03> 00:41:07: | convince the railyard that it can be moved with beans |
| 00:41:07> 00:41:11: | as fast as we can demonstrate the economic viability of |
| 00:41:11> 00:41:14: | it and the means to pay for it so that |
| 00:41:14> 00:41:17: | in some places would be a huge hurdle. |
| 00:41:17> 00:41:19: | I think in the city of Charlotte. |
| 00:41:19> 00:41:23: | Which I always get the feeling from everything we know |
| 00:41:23> 00:41:25: | that it's a can do city and it's not a |
| 00:41:25> 00:41:29: | big hurdle because you've got the right teams that have |
| 00:41:29> 00:41:31: | gotten over big hurdles before next line. |
| 00:41:33> 00:41:38: | And pass it on to who got passed this on |
| 00:41:38> 00:41:40: | to David. |
| 00:41:40> 00:41:43: | Oh, OK, Lauren. Hi, |
| 00:41:43> 00:41:47: | I'm Warren Douglas. I'm a transportation and land use |
| | planner |
| 00:41:47> 00:41:50: | and specialize in transit project development. |
| 00:41:50> 00:41:52: | I work at some transit in Seattle, |
| 00:41:52> 00:41:55: | WA as a senior project manager, |
| 00:41:55> 00:42:00: | working a healthy agency advance their ambitious transit expansion program |
| 00:42:00> 00:42:04: | that includes building 62 miles of light rail to complete |
| 00:42:04> 00:42:07: | 116 miles system over the next 25 years. |
| 00:42:07> 00:42:09: | Prior to joining some transit, |
| 00:42:09> 00:42:12: | I worked as a transportation planning consultant in the DC |
| 00:42:12> 00:42:15: | area and today I'm going to talk about funding |
| 00:42:15> 00:42:17: | and financing with my colleague |
| | - · · · |

| 00:42:17> 00:42:19: | David. So to talk about |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:42:19> 00:42:21: | funding and financing opportunities, you'll see |
| 00:42:21> 00:42:22: | that we need categorize them |
| 00:42:22> 00:42:24: | into two key buckets |
| 00:42:24> 00:42:26: | that support the implementation strategy |
| 00:42:26> 00:42:28: | that we described in previous |
| 00:42:28> 00:42:30: | slides. So |
| 00:42:30> 00:42:33: | bucket one are opportunities to fund the near term planning |
| 00:42:33> 00:42:34: | efforts. |
| 00:42:34> 00:42:37: | Conducting these studies and preparing and adopting a plan is |
| 00:42:37> 00:42:40: | key to laying the groundwork for future funding. |
| 00:42:40> 00:42:44: | Like it too, are opportunities for the long term implementation. |
| 00:42:44> 00:42:47: | So this is how you fund the vision and David |
| 00:42:47> 00:42:49: | is going to discuss these tools. |
| 00:42:49> 00:42:52: | The potential funding sources that we describe today are just |
| 00:42:52> 00:42:53: | examples. |
| 00:42:53> 00:42:55: | Our list is not exhaustive, |
| 00:42:55> 00:43:00: | but conservatively starting place. So one potential funding source is |
| 00:43:00> 00:43:05: | the Federal Transit Administration's transit oriented Development planning grant. |
| 00:43:05> 00:43:09: | The goals of the grant program include encouraging comprehensive 2D |
| 00:43:09> 00:43:12: | planning at transit stations supporting Tierney, |
| 00:43:12> 00:43:15: | planning work that goes beyond the typical process, |
| 00:43:15> 00:43:19: | and encouraging creative partnerships to maximize. |
| 00:43:19> 00:43:23: | To maximize chances for successful 2D implementation. |
| 00:43:23> 00:43:27: | We identified three potential activities at the grant could fund. |
| 00:43:27> 00:43:30: | One is to develop the comprehensive plan and vision to |
| 00:43:30> 00:43:31: | be purpose. |
| 00:43:31> 00:43:36: | The existing rail yard. Two to identify strategies for Equitable |
| 00:43:36> 00:43:42: | TRD around system stations and three identifying multimodal connections to |
| 00:43:42> 00:43:44: | increase access to transit, |
| 00:43:44> 00:43:45: | particularly for |
| 00:43:45> 00:43:49: | the underserved communities on the West side of the proposed |
| 00:43:49> 00:43:50: | park. |
| 00:43:50> 00:43:52: | I would note that in 2018 the city of Charlotte |
| 00:43:52> 00:43:56: | received a TRD planning grant to plan for development along |
| 00:43:56> 00:43:58: | the proposed links silver line. |
| 00:43:58> 00:44:01: | So the city is aware of this grant opportunity and |

| 00:44:01> 00:44:04: | can demonstrate to FT that they are great partner and |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:44:04> 00:44:05: | grant recipient. |
| 00:44:05> 00:44:09: | Another potential federal funding sources to the Economic Development Authority |
| 00:44:09> 00:44:12: | as part of their local technical assistance program. |
| 00:44:12> 00:44:15: | This could look at relocating portions of the NS yard |
| 00:44:15> 00:44:18: | and looking at impacts to the regional freight network while |
| 00:44:18> 00:44:21: | connected to promoting economic development, |
| 00:44:21> 00:44:23: | and they excuse me in the area. |
| 00:44:23> 00:44:24: | Looks |
| 00:44:24> 00:44:27: | like. So to supplement |
| 00:44:27> 00:44:29: | a federal grant, or in lieu of the Charlotte, |
| 00:44:29> 00:44:33: | could use local city of Charlotte could use local funds. |
| 00:44:33> 00:44:35: | These funds could be a result of the Transportation mobility |
| 00:44:36> 00:44:37: | network bond measure |
| 00:44:37> 00:44:39: | that will go to the voters next year. |
| 00:44:39> 00:44:39: | And |
| 00:44:39> 00:44:43: | of course there could be a public private partnership where |
| 00:44:43> 00:44:44: | private funding |
| 00:44:44> 00:44:45: | could contribute dollars. |
| 00:44:47> 00:44:48: | Slide. |
| 00:44:49> 00:44:52: | This is just a brief overview of possible sources to |
| 00:44:52> 00:44:54: | help fund those early planning efforts, |
| 00:44:54> 00:44:56: | and with that I'll pass it to David to talk |
| 00:44:57> 00:44:59: | about other sources to fund the implementation |
| 00:44:59> 00:44:59: | portion. |
| 00:45:00> 00:45:02: | Sure, thanks Lauren. Hey everybody, |
| 00:45:02> 00:45:05: | I'm Davids Aiden with Gensler, |
| 00:45:05> 00:45:07: | which is a global architecture and planning firm. |
| 00:45:07> 00:45:11: | I'm based in Washington DC and I am a practice |
| 00:45:11> 00:45:15: | area leader in the planning and Transportation Group for Gensler. |
| 00:45:15> 00:45:20: | We're doing several complex transportation projects along the East Coast. |
| 00:45:20> 00:45:25: | Including Baltimore Penn Station, New Carrollton Multimodal and in others |
| 00:45:25> 00:45:28: | prior to coming to Gensler, |
| 00:45:28> 00:45:31: | I worked at Amtrak, so I lived on railroad time |
| 00:45:31> 00:45:34: | to use a reference from from my colleague Carlton. |
| 00:45:34> 00:45:37: | There I was in our major stations planning group and |
| 00:45:38> 00:45:42: | doing major infrastructure infrastructure projects along the Northeast Corridor and |
| 00:45:43> 00:45:45: | my specific focus was Washington Union Station. |

| 00:45:45> 00:45:48: | In addition to other responsibilities, |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:45:48> 00:45:50: | prior to that, I worked for the National Capital Planning |
| 00:45:51> 00:45:51: | Commission. |
| 00:45:51> 00:45:55: | Where which is DC's Federal planning agency? |
| 00:45:55> 00:45:58: | For those of you who might be familiar with Washington |
| 00:45:58> 00:46:00: | DC's planning structure there, |
| 00:46:00> 00:46:03: | I did several transportation infrastructure studies, |
| 00:46:03> 00:46:07: | one of which was a study to relocate the freight |
| 00:46:07> 00:46:10: | railroad lines outside of the core of the city. |
| 00:46:10> 00:46:13: | So I got to really understand the complexities of the |
| 00:46:14> 00:46:15: | freight railroad during that. |
| 00:46:15> 00:46:18: | During that time at NCPC. |
| 00:46:18> 00:46:22: | So to kind of reference some things you've already heard. |
| 00:46:22> 00:46:25: | You know there are kind of two key things that |
| 00:46:25> 00:46:29: | we see as really important to setting this project up |
| 00:46:29> 00:46:33: | to get the most opportunity for funding. |
| 00:46:33> 00:46:36: | I think first of all is this notion of not |
| 00:46:36> 00:46:40: | looking at Norfolk Southern and this yard as a simple |
| 00:46:40> 00:46:42: | transaction in a lot of ways. |
| 00:46:42> 00:46:45: | You almost kind of forget about Norfolk Southern for a |
| 00:46:45> 00:46:47: | minute and think about this as a system. |
| 00:46:47> 00:46:49: | What we learned over the last couple of days is, |
| 00:46:49> 00:46:51: | you know this. This yard does not operate in a |
| 00:46:52> 00:46:52: | vacuum. |
| 00:46:52> 00:46:55: | CSX has trackage rights through here. |
| 00:46:55> 00:46:59: | There is the ACM W Shortline which has trackage rights |
| 00:46:59> 00:47:01: | and connects into the system. |
| 00:47:01> 00:47:04: | Here Amtrak runs through this area and there may be |
| 00:47:04> 00:47:06: | future users there. |
| 00:47:06> 00:47:08: | There's talk about high speed rail and others, |
| 00:47:08> 00:47:11: | so in a way to really think about this from |
| 00:47:11> 00:47:13: | a funding standpoint, |
| 00:47:13> 00:47:16: | there needs to be a paradigm shift to thinking about |
| 00:47:16> 00:47:19: | this yard in the context of the broader freight system. |
| 00:47:19> 00:47:21: | So I think that's number one. |
| 00:47:21> 00:47:23: | Secondly, and you've heard a lot about this already, |
| 00:47:23> 00:47:27: | is creating a vision for this site and for this |
| 00:47:27> 00:47:31: | area that has the most benefit attributed to it and |
| 00:47:31> 00:47:35: | and the chunk of that benefit really has to be |
| 00:47:35> 00:47:37: | to the system that I just described. |
| 00:47:37> 00:47:39: | Going through a planning process, |
| 00:47:39> 00:47:43: | getting consensus for how the yard could be moved or |

| 00:47:43> 00:47:47: | reconfigured and done in a way that provides capacity, |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:47:47> 00:47:50: | benefits, or other benefits, efficiency benefits. |
| 00:47:50> 00:47:53: | To the the regional system framing it that way and |
| 00:47:54> 00:47:57: | and developing that vision is really going to put this |
| 00:47:57> 00:48:01: | project in a position to get the most funding opportunities. |
| 00:48:01> 00:48:04: | So, given that what are those funding opportunities? |
| 00:48:04> 00:48:05: | So on the federal level, |
| 00:48:05> 00:48:12: | the Federal Railroad Administration has several low interest financing programs |
| 00:48:12> 00:48:19: | that help implement complex infrastructure infrastructure programs that increase capacity. |
| 00:48:19> 00:48:22: | One is riff. And the other one is that if |
| 00:48:22> 00:48:25: | your programs under under the FRA. |
| 00:48:25> 00:48:27: | Those could be sources for moving, |
| 00:48:27> 00:48:29: | moving some lines out, decommissioning some lines, |
| 00:48:29> 00:48:31: | and increasing capacity in other places. |
| 00:48:31> 00:48:34: | I think you can really make that case once that's |
| 00:48:34> 00:48:35: | all identified. |
| 00:48:35> 00:48:38: | Of course. Federal earmarks with a local match are a |
| 00:48:38> 00:48:43: | common source of funding for major projects that would require |
| 00:48:43> 00:48:45: | state representations sponsorship. |
| 00:48:45> 00:48:50: | Uhm, one other aspect that we thought was really interesting |
| 00:48:50> 00:48:53: | was the the need to connect over the yard |
| 00:48:53> 00:48:57: | or once the yard is decommissioned and relocated, |
| 00:48:57> 00:49:01: | connecting that street grid and in creating that that mobility |
| 00:49:01> 00:49:06: | and those connections between those neighborhoods there are HWA grants |
| 00:49:06> 00:49:09: | that could be a potential source for that type of |
| 00:49:09> 00:49:12: | improvement. At the federal level. |
| 00:49:12> 00:49:16: | Additionally, in our interviews we heard a lot of concern |
| 00:49:16> 00:49:20: | for how the site is remediated once the infrastructure is |
| 00:49:20> 00:49:22: | relocated or reconfigured, |
| 00:49:22> 00:49:24: | and there's a lot of grants out there for that |
| 00:49:25> 00:49:26: | type of cleanup, |
| 00:49:26> 00:49:27: | one of which at the federal level, |
| 00:49:27> 00:49:31: | is the EPA brownfield cleanup grant once the program is |
| 00:49:31> 00:49:34: | identified and the need is is is described, |
| 00:49:34> 00:49:35: | and then finally, you know, |
| 00:49:35> 00:49:38: | we can't have these conversations without talking about the current |
| 00:49:38> 00:49:41: | infrastructure conversation that's happening in Congress, |
| 00:49:41> 00:49:45: | you know. I think everybody is anxious to see what |

| 00:49:45> 00:49:46: | that yields here soon. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:49:46> 00:49:48: | It might be a little too late to get something |
| 00:49:48> 00:49:49: | in there now, |
| 00:49:49> 00:49:52: | but there will be other federal infrastructure bills in the |
| 00:49:52> 00:49:52: | future, |
| 00:49:52> 00:49:55: | and I think keeping that conversation going. |
| 00:49:55> 00:49:59: | Getting this project on the national and the federal radar |
| 00:49:59> 00:50:04: | through your representation will be really critical for that. |
| 00:50:04> 00:50:07: | Moving beyond federal funding, looking at state and local and |
| 00:50:07> 00:50:09: | some of you on the call frankly may know a |
| 00:50:09> 00:50:10: | little bit more about this from us. |
| 00:50:10> 00:50:13: | Since you're practitioners of development in the area, |
| 00:50:13> 00:50:15: | but we did see some opportunities. |
| 00:50:15> 00:50:18: | One is the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality Brownfields |
| 00:50:18> 00:50:21: | program which could help with the remediation of the site. |
| 00:50:21> 00:50:24: | Once the program is defined. |
| 00:50:24> 00:50:27: | We you know we were looking pretty hard at TIF |
| 00:50:27> 00:50:29: | programs in this city of Charlotte and Mecklenburg County. |
| 00:50:29> 00:50:33: | Both have TIF programs and you know, |
| 00:50:33> 00:50:36: | once a year we really need a plan to understand |
| 00:50:36> 00:50:39: | like where where the private development can be can be |
| 00:50:39> 00:50:43: | helped by these public improvements to really define that tip. |
| 00:50:43> 00:50:46: | For those of you who are familiar with those. |
| 00:50:46> 00:50:48: | Would you think this is a potential? |
| 00:50:48> 00:50:51: | There would be a tremendous amount of public investment here. |
| 00:50:51> 00:50:53: | You know, for the infrastructure for the park, |
| 00:50:53> 00:50:55: | for the, for the adjacent public space, |
| 00:50:55> 00:50:59: | for the roads that could generate property value increases that |
| 00:50:59> 00:51:02: | can be captured to help to help invest in that |
| 00:51:02> 00:51:04: | in that in those improvements. |
| 00:51:04> 00:51:06: | And we think that's something that should be explored. |
| 00:51:06> 00:51:10: | Additionally, the city of Charlotte has placed making grants, |
| 00:51:10> 00:51:12: | and you've heard a little bit about this from my |
| 00:51:12> 00:51:13: | colleagues. |
| 00:51:13> 00:51:15: | You know this is, you know, |
| 00:51:15> 00:51:17: | at its core is probably. |
| 00:51:17> 00:51:20: | You know one of the bigger place making exercises in |
| 00:51:20> 00:51:23: | the in this area of the country and getting placemaking |
| 00:51:23> 00:51:25: | funding and grants to help support. |
| 00:51:25> 00:51:28: | That could be a really great opportunity. |

| 00:51:28> 00:51:33: | Also, the city of Charlotte has their own expertunity zero |
|---------------------|---|
| | Also, the city of Charlotte has their own opportunity zone |
| 00:51:33> 00:51:38: | program for low investment or disadvantaged disadvantaged areas. |
| 00:51:38> 00:51:40: | Kind of not knowing the political context for that, |
| 00:51:40> 00:51:44: | but this could be a great opportunity to utilize that |
| 00:51:44> 00:51:49: | opportunity zone to help implement the plan once it's created. |
| 00:51:49> 00:51:50: | Go to the next slide. |
| 00:51:52> 00:51:55: | Just some other things that consider and we heard this |
| 00:51:55> 00:51:58: | from some of our from our interviews as the potential |
| 00:51:58> 00:52:01: | to create a foundation to administer funds and apply for |
| 00:52:01> 00:52:04: | grants. If you look at some of the great public |
| 00:52:04> 00:52:07: | spaces and parks in the country thinking about Piedmont Park |
| 00:52:07> 00:52:10: | in Atlanta or railroad Park and Birmingham, |
| 00:52:10> 00:52:14: | they have their own conservancies or foundations set up to |
| 00:52:14> 00:52:14: | help. |
| 00:52:14> 00:52:16: | Not only program and maintain, |
| 00:52:16> 00:52:20: | but also to get funding and administer funds through them. |
| 00:52:20> 00:52:23: | That would be a great opportunity here and really broadened |
| 00:52:23> 00:52:25: | the pool of opportunities for funding. |
| 00:52:25> 00:52:29: | Should a nonprofit foundation be set up to drive this |
| 00:52:29> 00:52:30: | project? |
| 00:52:30> 00:52:32: | Also, you know, I think you know we need to |
| 00:52:32> 00:52:35: | think about as we go through the planning process and |
| 00:52:35> 00:52:37: | define the project a little more. |
| 00:52:37> 00:52:41: | What are the opportunities to finance future revenue streams from |
| 00:52:41> 00:52:44: | programming from retail even if there if there's additional development |
| 00:52:44> 00:52:45: | that? |
| 00:52:45> 00:52:49: | Can be included. There might be some opportunities to finance |
| 00:52:49> 00:52:52: | those those revenue streams as the projects built out, |
| 00:52:52> 00:52:55: | so just some things to think about there before we |
| 00:52:55> 00:52:57: | go to the next section. |
| 00:52:57> 00:53:00: | I did want to talk about the partnership example a |
| 00:53:00> 00:53:03: | little bit more and this goes back to what I |
| 00:53:03> 00:53:06: | was saying about really thinking about this. |
| 00:53:06> 00:53:09: | The element of this project that's about the regional freight |
| 00:53:09> 00:53:12: | system and the regional transportation system. |
| 00:53:12> 00:53:13: | Thinking about it that way, |
| 00:53:13> 00:53:17: | it's really gonna help. Pull in more stakeholders and really |
| | |

| 00:53:17> 00:53:21: | creative coalition to help support this and one of the |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:53:21> 00:53:24: | examples of that is to create program in Chicago. |
| 00:53:24> 00:53:26: | It's a much bigger scale there, |
| 00:53:26> 00:53:29: | but I think it's a great model for saying for |
| 00:53:29> 00:53:31: | seeing how public agencies, |
| 00:53:31> 00:53:34: | private operators, public operators can all come together. |
| 00:53:34> 00:53:38: | Create a program and support its long term implementation |
| | and |
| 00:53:38> 00:53:41: | getting funding at various levels. |
| 00:53:41> 00:53:42: | The state of Illinois contributes to this. |
| 00:53:42> 00:53:44: | The City of Chicago does. |
| 00:53:44> 00:53:45: | And of course the federal government does as well. |
| 00:53:45> 00:53:49: | So just something we want you to think about as |
| 00:53:49> 00:53:52: | we frame this project and put it in a position |
| 00:53:52> 00:53:55: | to get maximum financial support. |
| 00:53:55> 00:53:58: | So next slide. So I think the takeaway is I'm |
| 00:53:58> 00:53:59: | going to Carlton next, |
| 00:53:59> 00:54:00: | I believe. |
| 00:54:01> 00:54:06: | Uhm takeaway next slide. Uhm, |
| 00:54:06> 00:54:10: | you know? What are we taking away from this right? |
| 00:54:10> 00:54:15: | Uhm, hopefully you're going to take away from this something |
| 00:54:15> 00:54:18: | that is equal to or at seats. |
| 00:54:18> 00:54:20: | What you came to the table with. |
| 00:54:20> 00:54:23: | In other words, we hope we provided some insights that |
| 00:54:23> 00:54:25: | can help you move forward, |
| 00:54:25> 00:54:29: | but our big takeaways are things that we essentially told |
| 00:54:29> 00:54:32: | you at the beginning that we told you throughout the |
| 00:54:32> 00:54:35: | program throughout the presentation, |
| 00:54:35> 00:54:37: | and we're telling you again. |
| 00:54:37> 00:54:41: | That you've really got to focus on partnerships, |
| 00:54:41> 00:54:45: | right? If this thing is going to go anyplace and |
| 00:54:45> 00:54:46: | it should. |
| 00:54:46> 00:54:50: | It won't go simply because it's a good idea. |
| 00:54:50> 00:54:54: | It will go because you formed the effective partnerships, |
| 00:54:54> 00:54:58: | the move it from just a good idea to the |
| 00:54:58> 00:55:04: | same thing that can be actualized over various incremental |
| | pieces, |
| 00:55:04> 00:55:09: | frameworks and time. You just can't do this alone. |
| 00:55:09> 00:55:12: | I think what you've done so far is nothing less |
| 00:55:12> 00:55:13: | than heroic, |
| 00:55:13> 00:55:17: | right? It's visionary, it's heroic, |
| 00:55:17> 00:55:20: | and it's really those sort of things that with that |

| 00:55:20> 00:55:22: | seed with that little seed. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:55:22> 00:55:24: | That grows into the tree. |
| 00:55:24> 00:55:29: | That's what changes this neighborhood and so building those partnerships |
| 00:55:30> 00:55:34: | gets you there when you think about this more intently, |
| 00:55:34> 00:55:36: | the place is not the part, |
| 00:55:36> 00:55:39: | right. The place is the community, |
| 00:55:39> 00:55:42: | and the park is part of that integrated fabric. |
| 00:55:42> 00:55:45: | And so in this community, |
| 00:55:45> 00:55:49: | that fabric is probably more complex than in other communities |
| 00:55:49> 00:55:51: | that are not transit oriented, |
| 00:55:51> 00:55:55: | IE. For freight I mean for passengers, |
| 00:55:55> 00:56:00: | but also for freight and so working that integrated fabric |
| 00:56:00> 00:56:02: | to make it a stronger place, |
| 00:56:02> 00:56:06: | a more equitable place. Those are things we think you |
| 00:56:06> 00:56:09: | have the potential to do here. |
| 00:56:09> 00:56:12: | The North End that was overlooked in the South end. |
| 00:56:12> 00:56:16: | So the fact of the matter is you can overtime |
| 00:56:16> 00:56:20: | make the North End a more desirable or at least |
| 00:56:20> 00:56:25: | as desirable community as the South end through developing these |
| 00:56:25> 00:56:29: | partnerships, thinking about place in the in the broader sense |
| 00:56:29> 00:56:31: | instead of the narrow sense. |
| 00:56:31> 00:56:34: | But recognizing this is not a short haul, |
| 00:56:34> 00:56:36: | this is not a Sprint. |
| 00:56:36> 00:56:39: | This is a really long distance race, |
| 00:56:39> 00:56:41: | you know. This is like a marathon. |
| 00:56:41> 00:56:47: | Maybe a super marathon since we have the railroad involved, |
| 00:56:47> 00:56:50: | right? But what's going to get you through that is |
| 00:56:50> 00:56:53: | the strength of those partnerships, |
| 00:56:53> 00:56:55: | because they're always going to be building on them. |
| 00:56:55> 00:56:57: | You're going to bring in more people. |
| 00:56:57> 00:56:59: | They're going to bring in resources to it, |
| 00:56:59> 00:57:02: | and over the time of this you will make those |
| 00:57:03> 00:57:06: | incremental improvements that came through your clan. |
| 00:57:06> 00:57:11: | The partnerships developed about what their vision a place can |
| 00:57:11> 00:57:12: | be. |
| 00:57:12> 00:57:16: | The funding will come with that because they look there's |
| 00:57:16> 00:57:19: | a lot of capital out in the market, |
| 00:57:19> 00:57:22: | right? And is looking for a big place to be. |

| 00:57:22> 00:57:24: | It's looking for places where it can earn is looking |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:57:25> 00:57:27: | for places where it can make a difference, |
| 00:57:27> 00:57:31: | right? The strength of your partnerships that ideas about your |
| 00:57:31> 00:57:31: | place, |
| 00:57:31> 00:57:36: | your timing and planning will bring in the funding to |
| 00:57:36> 00:57:37: | actualize this. |
| 00:57:37> 00:57:40: | Those those are big takeaways there. |
| 00:57:40> 00:57:40: | Next slide. |
| 00:57:42> 00:57:45: | Thanks, I I get to find out we touched a |
| 00:57:45> 00:57:47: | coin I get the final word. |
| 00:57:50> 00:57:54: | Before I continue, I want to give a shout out |
| 00:57:54> 00:57:58: | a huge shout out to ULI staff who really make |
| 00:57:58> 00:58:00: | this thing happen. |
| 00:58:00> 00:58:03: | Georgia gambler, Lauren Callahan and Kelsey Steven. |
| 00:58:03> 00:58:05: | Although she can't be with us 'cause she had an |
| 00:58:06> 00:58:06: | emergency, |
| 00:58:06> 00:58:12: | Lauren gracefully and quickly stepped in to help Georgia, |
| 00:58:12> 00:58:15: | who has done absolutely Yeoman duty to put this together. |
| 00:58:15> 00:58:19: | So if we were all in a room together, |
| 00:58:19> 00:58:20: | I would be insisting that we give them all a |
| 00:58:20> 00:58:21: | hand. |
| 00:58:21> 00:58:22: | We're going to do that virtually now. |
| 00:58:22> 00:58:25: | So thank you very much to them for doing such |
| 00:58:25> 00:58:30: | a magnificent job in putting this presentation together and keeping |
| 00:58:30> 00:58:31: | us all directed. |
| 00:58:31> 00:58:33: | So here's the last word. |
| 00:58:33> 00:58:36: | A forensic Queens Park has done a magnificent job. |
| 00:58:36> 00:58:40: | As Carlton said. You've gotten your community's attention, |
| 00:58:40> 00:58:42: | and you've made this opportunity happen. |
| 00:58:42> 00:58:45: | It's an opportunity to have a larger conversation about what |
| 00:58:45> 00:58:47: | the North End is going to be. |
| 00:58:47> 00:58:50: | And as we said, you can't do this alone. |
| 00:58:50> 00:58:52: | We know that you recognize that you can't do this |
| 00:58:52> 00:58:55: | this alone because we've talked with you about it, |
| 00:58:55> 00:59:00: | so we're recommending that you diversify your efforts by helping |
| 00:59:00> 00:59:03: | to establish and participating in a powerhouse. |
| 00:59:03> 00:59:07: | Task Force, which is how Charlotte does things joining in |
| 00:59:07> 00:59:11: | a broader collaboration to define what the entire North End |
| 00:59:11> 00:59:12: | is going to be. |
| 00:59:12> 00:59:15: | And you need to go into that group as all |
| 00:59:15> 00:59:17: | participants do with an open mind, |

| 00:59:17> 00:59:21: | and are resolved that you're going to address all of |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:59:21> 00:59:25: | the North End's issues through all appropriate responses and |
| | recognize |
| 00:59:25> 00:59:28: | that some of those responses are not going to be |
| 00:59:28> 00:59:32: | addressed by Parkland. So in other words, |
| 00:59:32> 00:59:35: | be comprehensive. Look at all the aspects. |
| 00:59:37> 00:59:39: | That's the main thing we want you to take away |
| 00:59:39> 00:59:40: | from this. |
| 00:59:40> 00:59:43: | In addition to all of the great detail that the |
| 00:59:43> 00:59:45: | panel accreted on to that idea. |
| 00:59:45> 00:59:48: | We're showing you this slide because you started out by |
| 00:59:48> 00:59:49: | asking us about a park, |
| 00:59:49> 00:59:51: | and so we're going to zoom back in and just |
| 00:59:51> 00:59:53: | think about the park for a second. |
| 00:59:53> 00:59:57: | We're showing you here your idea against four three other |
| 00:59:57> 00:59:58: | existing parts. |
| 00:59:58> 01:00:03: | Probably the three greatest public open spaces in the United |
| 01:00:03> 01:00:05: | States and I'm from Philadelphia, |
| 01:00:05> 01:00:07: | so you can guess which one I think is the |
| 01:00:07> 01:00:09: | greatest Central Park. |
| 01:00:09> 01:00:13: | The Boston Common combined Boston Common and public Gardens and |
| 01:00:13> 01:00:17: | Rittenhouse Square look at the relative sizes of those not |
| 01:00:17> 01:00:20: | only in relationship to your proposed total. |
| 01:00:20> 01:00:24: | 260 acres recognizing not all of that would be part |
| 01:00:24> 01:00:27: | and comparing the diversity of sizes there, |
| 01:00:27> 01:00:31: | I'm going to make the argument that the gravity that |
| 01:00:31> 01:00:35: | each one of those public spaces possesses in their communities |
| 01:00:35> 01:00:38: | is equal their size notwithstanding. |
| 01:00:38> 01:00:40: | And so this really isn't about size. |
| 01:00:40> 01:00:42: | This is about how you use open space to knit |
| 01:00:42> 01:00:45: | together a community as it solves all of the other |
| 01:00:45> 01:00:48: | problems that we've talked with you about today. |
| 01:00:48> 01:00:52: | So we encourage you to be flexible about your idea |
| 01:00:52> 01:00:53: | about what the park is, |
| 01:00:53> 01:00:56: | and rather than going in with the park assist solution, |
| 01:00:56> 01:00:59: | be open to a number of solutions which might not |
| 01:00:59> 01:01:01: | be your original vision. |
| 01:01:01> 01:01:03: | The great thing is that you've got this conversation going. |
| 01:01:03> 01:01:06: | You've got the tools to make this happen, |
| 01:01:06> 01:01:08: | and you've got the dedication. |

| 01:01:08> 01:01:12: | And the smarts and the willing to stick to it, |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:01:12> 01:01:16: | which you've demonstrated to achieve this vision for the |
| | North |
| 01:01:16> 01:01:16: | End, |
| 01:01:16> 01:01:20: | the North End can be the greatest neighborhood in Charlotte. |
| 01:01:20> 01:01:21: | You guys have set that up. |
| 01:01:21> 01:01:24: | So just continue and you're going to be making some |
| 01:01:25> 01:01:26: | of this up as you go along. |
| 01:01:26> 01:01:30: | We hope we've helped with our recommendations for you. |
| 01:01:30> 01:01:33: | We're happy to have an answer. |
| 01:01:33> 01:01:36: | Any questions that you have to the extent that we |
| 01:01:36> 01:01:37: | can and again, |
| 01:01:37> 01:01:38: | thank you for inviting us. |
| 01:01:38> 01:01:44: | To participate in this really fascinating and important conversation. |
| 01:01:44> 01:01:47: | George, are we? How are we doing questions and answers? |
| 01:01:47> 01:01:52: | Are we asking people to chat or a mute or? |
| 01:01:52> 01:01:52: | I |
| 01:01:52> 01:01:56: | think we'll begin by fielding questions from the Friends of |
| 01:01:56> 01:01:58: | Queens Park leadership team. |
| 01:01:58> 01:01:58: | lf you |
| 01:01:58> 01:02:00: | have any additional questions, please feel free |
| 01:02:00> 01:02:02: | to put them in the chat and we will call |
| 01:02:02> 01:02:04: | on you as we get to them. |
| 01:02:05> 01:02:06: | Well, I guess I'll I'll |
| 01:02:06> 01:02:07: | kick things off then |
| 01:02:07> 01:02:09: | I'm. Eric Spangler. I'm |
| 01:02:09> 01:02:10: | the director |
| 01:02:10> 01:02:12: | of Friends of Queens Park, |
| 01:02:12> 01:02:13: | 501C3. I want to thank |
| 01:02:13> 01:02:14: | all the panelists |
| 01:02:15> 01:02:15: | for |
| 01:02:15> 01:02:16: | your time. |
| 01:02:17> 01:02:19: | Over the last couple |
| 01:02:19> 01:02:21: | few days, but then even before |
| 01:02:21> 01:02:24: | that, going back months now and to the UI |
| 01:02:24> 01:02:25: | staff who helped put |
| 01:02:25> 01:02:26: | this together, |
| 01:02:26> 01:02:28: | I think it's been very informative |
| 01:02:28> 01:02:28: | and helpful for |
| 01:02:28> 01:02:30: | us. Uhm, |
| 01:02:31> 01:02:32: | I guess my question |
| 01:02:32> 01:02:34: | is to maybe explore |

| 01:02:34> 01:02:36: | and hear a little bit more from you about the |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:02:36> 01:02:37: | cats issue, |
| 01:02:37> 01:02:38: | which sounds to |
| 01:02:38> 01:02:38: | me like. |
| 01:02:40> 01:02:40: | You know the |
| 01:02:40> 01:02:42: | operational needs of cats |
| 01:02:42> 01:02:45: | taken into consideration Council |
| 01:02:45> 01:02:46: | in favor of this. |
| 01:02:48> 01:02:48: | Expansion |
| 01:02:49> 01:02:50: | going forward and |
| 01:02:50> 01:02:51: | and I'd like to hear some |
| 01:02:51> 01:02:53: | more from you all about what you learned there. |
| 01:02:58> 01:03:01: | Uh, David, would you like to? |
| 01:03:01> 01:03:03: | I think David might be a good one to kick |
| 01:03:03> 01:03:05: | that conversation off. |
| 01:03:05> 01:03:08: | And, uh, I should. I should start by saying that, |
| 01:03:08> 01:03:11: | Carlton, I spoke with a representative from cats so we |
| 01:03:11> 01:03:13: | can weigh in here too. |
| 01:03:13> 01:03:14: | But other to start with David. |
| 01:03:15> 01:03:17: | Yeah, I think there are a lot of different issues |
| 01:03:17> 01:03:18: | that we heard on that. |
| 01:03:18> 01:03:21: | I think one of the which one of which that |
| 01:03:21> 01:03:23: | really struck me the way I understood it, |
| 01:03:23> 01:03:27: | was that they had received federal funding for what they |
| 01:03:27> 01:03:29: | want to do there, |
| 01:03:29> 01:03:33: | and changing that program would jeopardize that funding and that |
| 01:03:33> 01:03:34: | arrangement, |
| 01:03:34> 01:03:35: | which I can understand. I mean, |
| 01:03:35> 01:03:38: | you go through and you go through a process to |
| 01:03:38> 01:03:41: | get that funding and and unwinding that can be can |
| 01:03:41> 01:03:42: | be very, |
| 01:03:42> 01:03:44: | very problematic. So I mean, |
| 01:03:44> 01:03:49: | under understanding that challenge, I think that was one of |
| 01:03:49> 01:03:50: | the key drivers also. |
| 01:03:50> 01:03:53: | And I'm speaking very broadly here because I can't say |
| 01:03:54> 01:03:56: | I'm a perfect expert on the cats system, |
| 01:03:56> 01:04:00: | but the alternatives that were put forward would, |
| 01:04:00> 01:04:05: | from an operational standpoint, result in deadheading trains, |
| 01:04:05> 01:04:08: | which in from an operational standpoint is a nono, |
| 01:04:08> 01:04:11: | and what that means is there are trains moving without |
| 01:04:11> 01:04:12: | people on them, |
| 04.04.40 > 04.04.40. | |
|----------------------|---|
| 01:04:12> 01:04:13: | they're not making revenue moves, |
| 01:04:13> 01:04:16: | they're they're going to a facility or whatever without without |
| 01:04:16> 01:04:19: | moves and and and without people and revenue and train, |
| 01:04:19> 01:04:21: | deadheading and trains and bus land is. |
| 01:04:21> 01:04:25: | Kind of. Kind of a killer because it's it's a. |
| 01:04:25> 01:04:27: | It's a. It's an extravagant operation. |
| 01:04:27> 01:04:29: | Operational costs that typically can't be bare, |
| 01:04:29> 01:04:32: | and so from what I heard through our conversations, |
| 01:04:32> 01:04:36: | those were two of the two of the big kind |
| 01:04:36> 01:04:37: | of drivers. |
| 01:04:37> 01:04:39: | 22Y cats is kind of. |
| 01:04:39> 01:04:43: | Where, where they are, but I think there were, |
| 01:04:43> 01:04:46: | you know, and I'm some other my colleagues can talk |
| 01:04:46> 01:04:46: | to this. |
| 01:04:46> 01:04:48: | I still think there's some opportunities though, |
| 01:04:48> 01:04:51: | to think about how to work around that and get |
| 01:04:51> 01:04:55: | the type of teody tech development that that I think |
| 01:04:55> 01:04:57: | is desired for for that for that part. |
| 01:04:57> 01:04:58: | Or for the area, |
| 01:04:58> 01:05:02: | sorry. Let me add a couple of things to that. |
| 01:05:02> 01:05:06: | You know some of this came from our conversation with |
| 01:05:06> 01:05:08: | cats and some of it came from. |
| 01:05:08> 01:05:11: | I think Nate on our team right? |
| 01:05:11> 01:05:14: | And one of the things Nate says look if we |
| 01:05:14> 01:05:18: | accept that that that maintenance facility is going to be |
| 01:05:18> 01:05:22: | there then and you aren't going to move it because |
| 01:05:22> 01:05:25: | of the barriers with the federal funding and the state |
| 01:05:25> 01:05:26: | funding. |
| 01:05:26> 01:05:30: | This facility was the original was part of the original |
| 01:05:30> 01:05:31: | plan. |
| 01:05:31> 01:05:34: | But the original rail light rail that went in, |
| 01:05:34> 01:05:38: | but it came in over budget and so they've had |
| 01:05:38> 01:05:41: | to overnight cars on active lines. |
| 01:05:41> 01:05:44: | That's kind of a no no for what you do |
| 01:05:44> 01:05:46: | from a safety standpoint. |
| 01:05:46> 01:05:49: | If they move this to the end of the line, |
| 01:05:49> 01:05:52: | as David said, it would be dead headed. |
| 01:05:52> 01:05:54: | They operate on narrow margins, |
| 01:05:54> 01:05:58: | so they can't afford to increase their operating costs, |
| 01:05:58> 01:06:00: | right? They just can't do it, |
| 01:06:00> 01:06:03: | and so they have money that is being redirected to |
| 01:06:04> 01:06:04: | doing this. |
| | J |

| 01:06:04> 01:06:06: | But it could be planned into, |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:06:06> 01:06:09: | designed in a way that will complement whatever is going |
| 01:06:09> 01:06:11: | to come in the future. |
| 01:06:11> 01:06:14: | Whether it's a big park or a small park or |
| 01:06:14> 01:06:15: | housing etc. |
| 01:06:15> 01:06:19: | It doesn't have to. Look like an industrial warehouse in |
| 01:06:19> 01:06:22: | the Netherlands and no one ever sees it can be |
| 01:06:22> 01:06:25: | designed as an intricate part of the fabric. |
| 01:06:25> 01:06:29: | This new fabric that's part of this neighborhood and so |
| 01:06:29> 01:06:33: | I've seen all sorts of things that have taken that |
| 01:06:33> 01:06:38: | approach that were fundamentally a very utilitarian purpose. |
| 01:06:38> 01:06:42: | But because they understood the value of good design, |
| 01:06:42> 01:06:45: | it made an important part of the fabric. |
| 01:06:45> 01:06:47: | So rethinking what that is. |
| 01:06:47> 01:06:51: | From just a transit barn into an intricate portion of |
| 01:06:51> 01:06:53: | the community, |
| 01:06:53> 01:06:58: | and now you're starting to have something that stimulates growth |
| 01:06:58> 01:07:00: | and use in that community. |
| 01:07:00> 01:07:03: | Without going to war, but you just aren't gonna win |
| 01:07:03> 01:07:06: | and what you do is when you do that you |
| 01:07:06> 01:07:10: | bring them miss your partner and what better person to |
| 01:07:10> 01:07:13: | talk to or railroad about the need to do something |
| 01:07:13> 01:07:17: | in community than a railroad that is already made a |
| 01:07:17> 01:07:21: | commitment to doing that and so now you're making that |
| 01:07:21> 01:07:23: | you're making that partnership much stronger. |
| 01:07:25> 01:07:26: | This |
| 01:07:26> 01:07:28: | is Tony. I had a couple observations I wanted to |
| 01:07:28> 01:07:31: | discuss with everyone and get your feedback on a few |
| 01:07:31> 01:07:32: | things. |
| 01:07:32> 01:07:36: | So certainly understand the coalition building we we want to |
| 01:07:36> 01:07:36: | do that. |
| 01:07:36> 01:07:38: | We're trying to kind of struggle on how to do |
| 01:07:38> 01:07:39: | that, |
| 01:07:39> 01:07:43: | and so you know my work has been solely in |
| 01:07:43> 01:07:46: | the North End for last 18 years. |
| 01:07:46> 01:07:48: | And so I I definitely have a a love and |
| 01:07:48> 01:07:52: | a passion for making this community everything that it can |
| 01:07:52> 01:07:52: | be, |
| 01:07:52> 01:07:54: | whether we're involved with it or not. |
| 01:07:54> 01:07:56: | We started out with camp North and along time ago |
| 01:07:56> 01:07:58: | worked on it for seven years. |

| 01:07:58> 01:08:02: | Sold that vision just making sure it wouldn't become just |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:08:02> 01:08:03: | an industrial campus, |
| 01:08:03> 01:08:05: | you know, sold the vision to a group at a |
| 01:08:05> 01:08:05: | New York. |
| 01:08:05> 01:08:07: | They came and brought their own ideas and experience. |
| 01:08:07> 01:08:09: | I had no equity or ownership in that, |
| 01:08:09> 01:08:10: | but worked on it for seven years. |
| 01:08:10> 01:08:13: | They took it and ran with it and made it |
| 01:08:13> 01:08:14: | incredible. |
| 01:08:14> 01:08:15: | And that's how I'm feeling about this. |
| 01:08:15> 01:08:16: | I've been working on this. |
| 01:08:16> 01:08:19: | Park idea and idea for last 18 years as well. |
| 01:08:19> 01:08:22: | Almost 20 years, I'm happy to hand it off. |
| 01:08:22> 01:08:23: | We just want to know who those people are going |
| 01:08:23> 01:08:24: | to be. |
| 01:08:24> 01:08:26: | They're going to help carry the water with us. |
| 01:08:26> 01:08:28: | We'd love to step aside to be part of the |
| 01:08:28> 01:08:28: | team, |
| 01:08:28> 01:08:31: | but so far we have not found people to get |
| 01:08:31> 01:08:32: | as excited about her. |
| 01:08:32> 01:08:34: | Spend the amount of time that we spend on that, |
| 01:08:34> 01:08:36: | so we're trying to figure out how to engage those |
| 01:08:36> 01:08:38: | people appropriately enough so that we can all be on |
| 01:08:39> 01:08:40: | the bus and not be driving a bus over them |
| 01:08:40> 01:08:43: | or with them and feel that kind of adversarial piece. |
| 01:08:43> 01:08:46: | So that's one piece we need some help and support |
| 01:08:46> 01:08:46: | on us. |
| 01:08:46> 01:08:51: | How to get. The team engaged and connected and working |
| 01:08:51> 01:08:54: | on the project to move it forward. |
| 01:08:54> 01:08:55: | And how we can help facilitate that? |
| 01:08:55> 01:08:57: | So that's one thing I wanted to kind of throw |
| 01:08:57> 01:08:58: | out there with you guys. |
| 01:08:58> 01:09:00: | We've done a whole lot of planning exercise. |
| 01:09:00> 01:09:03: | We even did. You allow tap for North End, |
| 01:09:03> 01:09:06: | so I don't think the park is going to solve |
| 01:09:06> 01:09:09: | equity and affordable housing and social mobility. |
| 01:09:09> 01:09:10: | And all of those things. |
| 01:09:10> 01:09:13: | It can solve the direct connection to transit line and |
| 01:09:13> 01:09:14: | it will have a lot more things. |
| 01:09:14> 01:09:15: | They're going to have to go, |
| 01:09:15> 01:09:18: | but it's not going to be the end all be |
| 01:09:18> 01:09:19: | all for everyone so. |
| | |

| 01:09:19> 01:09:23: | That laying out a couple of different things and then |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:09:23> 01:09:25: | there will be a question at the end, |
| 01:09:25> 01:09:29: | and so I also want to have an observation around |
| 01:09:29> 01:09:33: | all these past few light taps and 2020 visions plans. |
| 01:09:33> 01:09:34: | We've talked about North End. |
| 01:09:34> 01:09:37: | We've done that for 20 years and has been impactful |
| 01:09:37> 01:09:40: | and effective with things I can't northan happening, |
| 01:09:40> 01:09:43: | but we still haven't had a singular focus on what |
| 01:09:43> 01:09:45: | to do for the park, |
| 01:09:45> 01:09:47: | so I want to be careful about rolling it back |
| 01:09:47> 01:09:48: | into the same exercise. |
| 01:09:48> 01:09:51: | We've done two or three Times Now with just north |
| 01:09:51> 01:09:51: | on. |
| 01:09:51> 01:09:55: | So that's the question is like tying this part scenario, |
| 01:09:55> 01:09:58: | Queens Park vision into the North End vision I think |
| 01:09:58> 01:10:01: | could also be seen as adverse aerial with the rest |
| 01:10:01> 01:10:03: | of Charlotte or US against them, |
| 01:10:03> 01:10:06: | which I've seen a lot North End over the last |
| 01:10:06> 01:10:06: | 20 years, |
| 01:10:06> 01:10:09: | which is why we have all the social services in |
| 01:10:09> 01:10:11: | North End 'cause there's not enough political will to get |
| 01:10:11> 01:10:12: | things to happen. |
| 01:10:12> 01:10:16: | So as we reimagine rebrand our our plan for friends |
| 01:10:16> 01:10:17: | of Queens Park, |
| 01:10:17> 01:10:19: | I believe it should be the city of Charlotte Spark. |
| 01:10:19> 01:10:21: | Yes it is in North End. |
| 01:10:21> 01:10:24: | But I think we need to have stakeholders from the |
| 01:10:24> 01:10:24: | entire city, |
| 01:10:24> 01:10:28: | South, North East, West and not just say this in |
| 01:10:28> 01:10:29: | North End thing. |
| 01:10:29> 01:10:30: | It's a city of Charlotte. |
| 01:10:30> 01:10:33: | Things that's one observation I put out there to the |
| 01:10:33> 01:10:34: | group to think about as we rebranded. |
| 01:10:34> 01:10:37: | Let's think about as the People's Park of the city |
| 01:10:37> 01:10:39: | of Charlotte happens to be in North End, |
| 01:10:39> 01:10:40: | but it's not just a North End. |
| 01:10:40> 01:10:42: | Thing is the way I can say. |
| 01:10:42> 01:10:44: | I mean, I work solely in the north and only, |
| 01:10:44> 01:10:46: | but I think it needs to be seen as an |
| 01:10:46> 01:10:48: | image in an icon for the city. |
| 01:10:48> 01:10:51: | So those are my a lot of different comments. |
| 01:10:51> 01:10:53: | So I'll let you guys respond to that, |

| 04.40.50 > 04.40.50. | |
|--|--|
| 01:10:53> 01:10:56: 01:10:56> 01:10:59: | but I also wanted to get your feedback on the interview with Mr McCall since he has built this city, |
| | • |
| 01:10:59> 01:11:02: | you know and knows the Charlotte Way and how he |
| 01:11:02> 01:11:06: | built this coalition is what were his thoughts on how |
| 01:11:06> 01:11:07: | it could be done. |
| 01:11:07> 01:11:08: | And it's not working. |
| 01:11:09> 01:11:14: | Uhm well, I I was the one who spoke with |
| 01:11:14> 01:11:15: | Mr McCall. |
| 01:11:15> 01:11:22: | And uhm. He might be a poster child for. |
| 01:11:22> 01:11:30: | The level of energy. Come and the. |
| 01:11:30> 01:11:34: | Not completely unguarded, but basic support for the idea of |
| 01:11:35> 01:11:40: | what difference between spark the core of what you're about. |
| 01:11:40> 01:11:45: | Uhm? And he believes she can get this done. |
| 01:11:45> 01:11:49: | Uhm? He believes he can get it done in a |
| 01:11:49> 01:11:50: | time frame. |
| 01:11:50> 01:11:54: | Look, he put the largest bank in the United States |
| 01:11:54> 01:11:55: | so and I didn't. |
| 01:11:56> 01:11:59: | So one of the you know he was pretty cool. |
| 01:11:59> 01:11:59: | You can get this done. |
| 01:11:59> 01:12:02: | You can get this done in a time frame that's |
| 01:12:03> 01:12:06: | shorter than probably we we might be suggesting here. |
| 01:12:06> 01:12:08: | But the predicate to that is getting the right people |
| 01:12:08> 01:12:09: | in the room, |
| 01:12:09> 01:12:12: | and Tony clearly understand the issues that are involved with |
| 01:12:12> 01:12:14: | getting the right people in the room. |
| 01:12:14> 01:12:18: | Uhm? Part of the hard part of this, |
| 01:12:18> 01:12:23: | from my perspective and part of my my takeaway of |
| 01:12:24> 01:12:29: | the conversation that I had with you and others. |
| 01:12:29> 01:12:32: | Is that the team building aspect of this? |
| 01:12:32> 01:12:38: | You know developing this task force is that's not necessarily, |
| 01:12:38> 01:12:41: | and we don't mean to indicate that's an easy thing |
| 01:12:41> 01:12:42: | to do. |
| 01:12:42> 01:12:47: | Uhm? Because the energy that's required to participate in |
| | that |
| 01:12:47> 01:12:50: | and to be a meaningful member of it, |
| 01:12:50> 01:12:54: | and given the time frames we're talking about to stick |
| 01:12:54> 01:12:54: | to it. |
| 01:12:54> 01:12:58: | Are key criteria for participation in this process. |
| 01:12:58> 01:13:03: | Come and. What we're suggesting to you, |
| 01:13:03> 01:13:07: | I believe, is that the energy that you have so |
| 01:13:08> 01:13:13: | far put into creating a vision that you've been pitching |
| 01:13:13> 01:13:14: | be put. |
| | |

| 01:13:14> 01:13:19: | Dether into the development of this coelition or this took |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:13:19> 01:13:23: | Rather, into the development of this coalition or this task force with the with the key predicate that we're all |
| 01:13:23> 01:13:26: | |
| | going to come to the table and we are going |
| 01:13:26> 01:13:33: | to. Uh, I hesitate to use the word restart, |
| 01:13:33> 01:13:34: | 'cause it's not exactly what we're saying here, |
| 01:13:34> 01:13:37: | but it is. We're going to start with a blank |
| 01:13:37> 01:13:39: | piece of paper and we're going to take all the |
| 01:13:39> 01:13:42: | considerations of the stakeholders into account, |
| 01:13:42> 01:13:45: | and we're going to jointly work to try to get |
| 01:13:45> 01:13:47: | to yes with all of those. |
| 01:13:49> 01:13:52: | The the problem with leading with the vision that you |
| 01:13:52> 01:13:55: | have is that it presupposes an outcome, |
| 01:13:55> 01:13:58: | and that's not the nature of the conversation that we're |
| 01:13:58> 01:13:59: | suggesting. |
| 01:13:59> 01:14:02: | Uhm, you were going to need. |
| 01:14:02> 01:14:10: | Uh, respected. Proponents of this who are going to drive |
| 01:14:10> 01:14:13: | action in their sectors. |
| 01:14:13> 01:14:15: | So, uh, you know if you can find one of |
| 01:14:15> 01:14:18: | the many CEOs that you have in Charlotte who is |
| 01:14:18> 01:14:20: | willing to take this on, |
| 01:14:20> 01:14:22: | they're not going to make this happen by themselves, |
| 01:14:22> 01:14:25: | but they can coalesce support around the business community, |
| 01:14:25> 01:14:27: | and they can also, by the ways, |
| 01:14:27> 01:14:30: | because they built businesses, most of them can also help |
| 01:14:30> 01:14:33: | you with managerial support in terms of helping you with |
| 01:14:33> 01:14:36: | strategic direction and tactical direction. |
| 01:14:36> 01:14:39: | Uhm, your undertakings to get to those people is just |
| 01:14:39> 01:14:41: | like networking in your business. |
| 01:14:41> 01:14:45: | Work in business development, one person leads to another |
| | person |
| 01:14:45> 01:14:46: | leads to another person. |
| 01:14:46> 01:14:48: | Shirley is smaller than Philadelphia, |
| 01:14:48> 01:14:49: | but you know what? Philadelphia is, |
| 01:14:49> 01:14:52: | a small town. May have 1.2 million people in it, |
| 01:14:52> 01:14:55: | but the people who are participating in these kinds of |
| 01:14:55> 01:14:57: | decisions it's a fairly small group of people, |
| 01:14:57> 01:15:01: | and so networking your way to that that broader coalition |
| 01:15:01> 01:15:02: | that you're talking about. |
| 01:15:02> 01:15:06: | Whether that's just for the North End or includes |
| | stakeholders |
| 01:15:06> 01:15:07: | from outside of the North End, |
| 01:15:07> 01:15:12: | is something that's going to take some time and effort |

| 01:15:12> 01:15:13: | and planning. |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:15:13> 01:15:17: | And I would strongly suggest that you speak with people |
| 01:15:17> 01:15:21: | on the subject of putting the coalition together. |
| 01:15:21> 01:15:23: | As opposed to the idea of the park. |
| 01:15:23> 01:15:25: | So if you went to Mr McCall for example, |
| 01:15:25> 01:15:30: | he said I would really appreciate your advice on. |
| 01:15:30> 01:15:33: | Who should be sitting at the table for your perspective |
| 01:15:33> 01:15:37: | and what the best ways to get those people involved |
| 01:15:37> 01:15:37: | is? |
| 01:15:37> 01:15:39: | I think you'll get input from him and others in |
| 01:15:40> 01:15:40: | that respect, |
| 01:15:40> 01:15:43: | and then that can give you a target for going |
| 01:15:43> 01:15:43: | forward. |
| 01:15:43> 01:15:45: | And he said as much. |
| 01:15:45> 01:15:47: | Not so many words I. |
| 01:15:47> 01:15:49: | I'm not quoting him but you know he and others |
| 01:15:49> 01:15:51: | and it's not just him. |
| 01:15:51> 01:15:55: | He and other stakeholders and interviewees said very similar things |
| 01:15:55> 01:15:57: | about this in terms of the collaboration environment. |
| 01:16:00> 01:16:01: | Alpine chime in on something. |
| 01:16:01> 01:16:02: | Yes please. |
| 01:16:02> 01:16:05: | So I I think just kind of on this topic. |
| 01:16:05> 01:16:08: | You know what the the pitch here and the story |
| 01:16:08> 01:16:12: | that's being pushed is very much about the park, |
| 01:16:12> 01:16:13: | which is great. I mean it. |
| 01:16:13> 01:16:16: | But in our interviews we heard a lot of the |
| 01:16:16> 01:16:19: | it's a great idea but you know and we also |
| 01:16:19> 01:16:22: | heard things about people you know talking about. |
| 01:16:22> 01:16:23: | Is it the right scale? |
| 01:16:23> 01:16:25: | Is it the right location for a park of that |
| 01:16:25> 01:16:26: | size or whatever you know? |
| 01:16:26> 01:16:28: | And all those things are conversations that could be worked |
| 01:16:28> 01:16:28: | out, |
| 01:16:28> 01:16:31: | but I almost think there's a bigger story here. |
| 01:16:31> 01:16:35: | And that's about solving this historic piece of infrastructure that |
| 01:16:35> 01:16:38: | has inhibiting connectivity and growth of the city and every |
| 01:16:38> 01:16:41: | major American city is dealing with that issue. |
| 01:16:41> 01:16:43: | I mean, that was the inspiration for the Big Dig. |
| 01:16:43> 01:16:45: | There was inspiration for Millennium Park here in DC. |
| 01:16:45> 01:16:47: | There trying to figure out what to do with the |
| 01:16:47> 01:16:50: | Southeast Southwest Freeway that cuts off the city. |

| 01:16:50> 01:16:53: | There's such a bigger story related to solving that issue |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:16:53> 01:16:56: | for connectivity to knitting the city together that I feel |
| 01:16:56> 01:16:59: | like just focusing on the park almost kind of limits |
| 01:17:00> 01:17:02: | your ability to pull in that coalition. |
| 01:17:02> 01:17:03: | And that was just, you know, |
| 01:17:03> 01:17:05: | I don't know if my colleagues or everybody agrees with |
| 01:17:05> 01:17:05: | me, |
| 01:17:05> 01:17:08: | but I think that was definitely observation that I heard |
| 01:17:08> 01:17:12: | absolutely and gleaned from our conversations is that the |
| | park |
| 01:17:12> 01:17:12: | is a great idea, |
| 01:17:12> 01:17:14: | but I think there's a bigger story there that can |
| 01:17:15> 01:17:16: | help you grow your coalition, |
| 01:17:16> 01:17:17: | particularly related to connect, connecting, |
| 01:17:17> 01:17:20: | and and and knitting. That part of the city back |
| 01:17:20> 01:17:20: | together. |
| 01:17:21> 01:17:26: | The other thing I would add on that one of |
| 01:17:26> 01:17:28: | the takeaways from the. |
| 01:17:28> 01:17:32: | McCall discussion is that if you get those CEOs in |
| 01:17:32> 01:17:36: | that are sort of thought as corporate leaders, |
| 01:17:36> 01:17:39: | you will bring in the city manager. |
| 01:17:39> 01:17:41: | You'll bring in the county manager. |
| 01:17:41> 01:17:43: | You'll bring in the mayor with them, |
| 01:17:43> 01:17:48: | right? Because it's that sort of collective joint vision that |
| 01:17:48> 01:17:50: | is going to move this thing forward. |
| 01:17:50> 01:17:56: | And and so I think as Alan was saying. |
| 01:17:56> 01:17:59: | Finding that one so it becomes a magnet for the |
| 01:17:59> 01:18:00: | other right, |
| 01:18:00> 01:18:02: | and then that's how you started to move this thing |
| 01:18:02> 01:18:03: | forward. |
| 01:18:03> 01:18:05: | But until you get that one. |
| 01:18:05> 01:18:08: | Yeah, it's the challenge is going to be exactly what |
| 01:18:08> 01:18:09: | it's been up to. |
| 01:18:09> 01:18:13: | This point, you know it could be another 18 years |
| 01:18:14> 01:18:15: | of messing around. |
| 01:18:15> 01:18:20: | Railroad time, right. But if you get that, |
| 01:18:20> 01:18:23: | if you figure out whatever the network is, |
| 01:18:23> 01:18:26: | your social networks, your business networks, |
| 01:18:26> 01:18:30: | and do that. Get it started and and I think |
| 01:18:30> 01:18:32: | then it starts to flower. |
| 01:18:34> 01:18:38: | And and all that on that that really understanding in |
| 01:18:38> 01:18:41: | those one on one kind of networking conversations. |

| 01:18:41> 01:18:45: | What are the priorities of these elected officials of these |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:18:45> 01:18:49: | corporate citizens of the county and city administrators? |
| 01:18:49> 01:18:53: | And how can you leverage the success of North End |
| 01:18:53> 01:18:56: | to compliment progress on those priorities? |
| 01:18:56> 01:18:57: | Be it the red line, |
| 01:18:57> 01:19:02: | the silver line, you know other infrastructure needs throughout the |
| 01:19:02> 01:19:03: | city, |
| 01:19:03> 01:19:06: | and I think when you start to have Co benefits. |
| 01:19:06> 01:19:10: | Through North End planning, then you really developed the alliances, |
| 01:19:10> 01:19:12: | the Coalition and the collective support. |
| 01:19:12> 01:19:13: | That's going to be needed for the long run. |
| 01:19:18> 01:19:19: | That Craig |
| 01:19:19> 01:19:22: | has his hand raised. Thank you everyone. |
| 01:19:23> 01:19:27: | I appreciate the thoughtfulness and your time and energy this |
| 01:19:27> 01:19:27: | week. |
| 01:19:27> 01:19:30: | It was very helpful. I think for our group to |
| 01:19:31> 01:19:33: | have that outside perspective. |
| 01:19:33> 01:19:40: | We also appreciate the participation by all of our local |
| 01:19:40> 01:19:41: | folks. |
| 01:19:41> 01:19:44: | We know that you know an hour or |
| 01:19:44> 01:19:44: | also |
| 01:19:44> 01:19:44: | two |
| 01:19:44> 01:19:45: | valuable |
| 01:19:44> 01:19:45: | of your time |
| 01:19:45> 01:19:46: | and |
| 01:19:46> 01:19:49: | is we appreciate your willingness to participate in those interviews. |
| 01:19:49> 01:19:52: | And I think that was really important before I begin |
| 01:19:52> 01:19:52: | Allen, |
| 01:19:52> 01:19:55: | Philadelphia has 1.6 million people, |
| 01:19:55> 01:19:58: | so those extra 400,000 haven't all moved to Charlotte yet, |
| 01:19:58> 01:20:01: | but I'm sure they're probably on their way. |
| 01:20:01> 01:20:06: | It's rain. Uhm, but my question is, |
| 01:20:06> 01:20:09: | you know it, we've we've we've sort of |
| 01:20:09> 01:20:09: | on it just |
| 01:20:09> 01:20:10: | touched |
| 01:20:09> 01:20:10: | a little bit. Jenny |
| 01:20:10> 01:20:14: | almost was sort of touching scratching at this one was. |
| 01:20:14> 01:20:17: | There's there's a time in place for things in this |
| 01:20:18> 01:20:21: | conversation and and they were you sort of mentioned the. |
| 01:20:21> 01:20:24: | You know, it's a great idea but and we've had |
| | |

| 01:20:24> 01:20:26: | those conversations we've talked, |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:20:26> 01:20:28: | we talked to a lot of folks over the last |
| 01:20:28> 01:20:29: | year and a half. |
| 01:20:29> 01:20:32: | Many of the folks that you talked to multiple times |
| 01:20:32> 01:20:33: | in many cases, |
| 01:20:33> 01:20:39: | and many of those folks have competing priorities. |
| 01:20:39> 01:20:40: | Uhm, and this has been, |
| 01:20:40> 01:20:43: | you know, last like two years in Charlotte, |
| 01:20:43> 01:20:44: | just like it's been a lot of other places. |
| 01:20:44> 01:20:45: | It's been a real |
| 01:20:45> 01:20:46: | big fat struggle and. |
| 01:20:47> 01:20:47: | You |
| 01:20:47> 01:20:50: | know trying to get something like this, |
| 01:20:50> 01:20:53: | which is a really sort of big idea to be |
| 01:20:53> 01:20:56: | able to float to the top to even get people |
| 01:20:56> 01:20:59: | to commit to being part of a task force. |
| 01:20:59> 01:21:02: | So I guess my fundamental question is do you do |
| 01:21:02> 01:21:05: | you feel like there is support enough to at least |
| 01:21:05> 01:21:09: | start to incubate this idea in a more formal way? |
| 01:21:13> 01:21:16: | I'll jump on and then I'm sure others on the |
| 01:21:17> 01:21:18: | panel will as well. |
| 01:21:18> 01:21:20: | Time in a place is so important and I'm glad |
| 01:21:20> 01:21:21: | you use. |
| 01:21:21> 01:21:23: | I use that that phrase all the time. |
| 01:21:23> 01:21:29: | Being that the comprehensive plan was just adopted being that |
| 01:21:29> 01:21:33: | the county has the parks master plan that was just |
| 01:21:33> 01:21:37: | adopted as well and the vision 2044 greater Uptown which |
| 01:21:38> 01:21:40: | includes a variety of focus areas, |
| 01:21:40> 01:21:45: | is pending review and approval by city and county officials. |
| 01:21:45> 01:21:48: | I think the momentum is there to connect the dots. |
| 01:21:48> 01:21:51: | And to really layer in these, |
| 01:21:51> 01:21:57: | you know sometimes competing but more often aligned and complementing |
| 01:21:57> 01:21:58: | issues that are, |
| 01:21:58> 01:22:02: | you know, facing Charlotte as a whole and we talked |
| 01:22:02> 01:22:03: | about those housing, |
| 01:22:03> 01:22:07: | you know, economic upward mobility, |
| 01:22:07> 01:22:10: | so you know use the momentum of these kind of |
| 01:22:10> 01:22:14: | legacy planning efforts that have taken place over decades in |
| 01:22:14> 01:22:17: | Charlotte and and use those to set the table in |
| 01:22:17> 01:22:22: | this coalition. Building as you know your your elected officials |

| 01:22:22> 01:22:27: | and public administration have already invested so much time in |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:22:27> 01:22:30: | advancing those plans to use this project in the North |
| 01:22:31> 01:22:34: | End planning and the potential for park systems and and |
| 01:22:35> 01:22:40: | greater development opportunities to see those through as an implementation |
| 01:22:40> 01:22:41: | vehicle. |
| 01:22:46> 01:22:50: | I I would observe that the the question at hand |
| 01:22:50> 01:22:51: | has. |
| 01:22:53> 01:22:57: | Seems to be in the process of shifting from a |
| 01:22:57> 01:23:00: | design question to a political question. |
| 01:23:00> 01:23:02: | And. You know what they say. |
| 01:23:02> 01:23:05: | Politics is the art of the possible. |
| 01:23:05> 01:23:08: | Of course, the people that you were talking to have |
| 01:23:09> 01:23:11: | competing interests that that's a given. |
| 01:23:11> 01:23:14: | The purpose of getting them all together at the table |
| 01:23:14> 01:23:17: | is to get those interests on the table in a |
| 01:23:17> 01:23:19: | way that you can deal with them. |
| 01:23:19> 01:23:21: | You're not going to know what all of those are. |
| 01:23:21> 01:23:23: | You know politics is also. |
| 01:23:25> 01:23:28: | A combination of tactics and strategy. |
| 01:23:28> 01:23:32: | The idea here is to recognize that you're dealing in |
| 01:23:32> 01:23:34: | a political environment. |
| 01:23:34> 01:23:39: | And our suggestion that you be inclusive about the players |
| 01:23:39> 01:23:40: | here. |
| 01:23:40> 01:23:45: | And spent significant energy in fostering that political action that |
| 01:23:45> 01:23:47: | is going to be necessary. |
| 01:23:47> 01:23:49: | I'm not talking about electoral action, |
| 01:23:49> 01:23:53: | I'm talking about the the politics in the greater sense |
| 01:23:53> 01:23:58: | of bringing groups together and coalesce into get something done |
| 01:23:58> 01:23:58: | and. |
| 01:23:58> 01:24:02: | We've seen plenty of examples in Charlotte were told about |
| 01:24:02> 01:24:05: | plenty of examples of Charlotte Word that happened through a |
| 01:24:06> 01:24:09: | combination of forces that decided this thing is going to |
| 01:24:09> 01:24:11: | happen. Sometimes that was a handful of people, |
| 01:24:11> 01:24:14: | and sometimes that was more than a handful of people. |
| 01:24:14> 01:24:17: | I'm going to suggest that increasingly these days, |
| 01:24:17> 01:24:19: | the idea of you know, |
| 01:24:19> 01:24:24: | a few wealthy business people getting in and determining the |
| 01:24:24> 01:24:26: | outcome of public endeavor like this. |

| 01:24:26> 01:24:30: 01:24:30> 01:24:34: 01:24:34> 01:24:37: 01:24:37> 01:24:41: 01:24:41> 01:24:46: 01:24:46> 01:24:50: 01:24:50> 01:24:55: 01:24:55> 01:24:58: 01:24:58> 01:25:01: 01:25:01> 01:25:03: 01:25:03> 01:25:07: 01:25:07> 01:25:09: 01:25:09> 01:25:12: | Those, uh, those seem to be waning, so your constituency is very large and that actually I think we're down to your benefit. Consider yourself a constituent. Once you get to the table, you're a constituent for the park or parks and their role in creating good urban design here. That's fine. There will be proponents for other aspects of what the plan ultimately ends up being. As you go along. Uhm, but I think you have to recognize that you were going through a political endeavour here and that you were going to have to use that political energy and in some cases you can use the fact that people have |
|---|---|
| 01:25:12> 01:25:15: | competing interests to actually further the 'cause. |
| 01:25:15> 01:25:19: | Once you recognize them, acknowledge them and not tried to |
| 01:25:19> 01:25:21: | deny that they exist. |
| 01:25:21> 01:25:22: | And to be quite honest with you, |
| 01:25:22> 01:25:26: | we we had had heard from some of the people |
| 01:25:26> 01:25:29: | that we've talked to that, |
| 01:25:29> 01:25:32: | particularly with regard to. The rail services, |
| 01:25:32> 01:25:35: | both cats and Norfolk Southern that. |
| 01:25:38> 01:25:41: | Uh, the proponents of the park. |
| 01:25:41> 01:25:48: | Weren't seriously considering the real-world constraints that exist for them. |
| 01:25:48> 01:25:51: | Uhm, and you're going to get further by acknowledging those |
| 01:25:51> 01:25:54: | constraints and then working with them for them to help |
| 01:25:54> 01:25:56: | you achieve your vision and you to help them achieve |
| 01:25:56> 01:25:59: | their vision. 'cause they do have a vision. |
| 01:25:59> 01:26:04: | Of their own. Anybody else have on the panel? |
| 01:26:04> 01:26:05: | Have additional thoughts there. |
| 01:26:08> 01:26:13: | I would say something you know and this is just |
| 01:26:13> 01:26:14: | speculation. |
| 01:26:14> 01:26:20: | But I believe that once you get. |
| 01:26:20> 01:26:24: | The railroad and cats. They recognize that you are not |
| 01:26:24> 01:26:25: | the enemy. |
| 01:26:25> 01:26:27: | Because right now they it's adversario, |
| 01:26:27> 01:26:31: | right? Once you get them to realize that you are |
| 01:26:31> 01:26:32: | not the enemy. |
| 01:26:32> 01:26:35: | Then I think you bring them to the table and |
| 01:26:35> 01:26:39: | the discussions with some of the other part partners. |
| 01:26:39> 01:26:44: | Saying the nature of those discussion changes right and so |
| | |

| 04.00.44 > 04.00.40. | and as that as differ building that even up tolled about and |
|--|---|
| 01:26:44> 01:26:49: 01:26:49> 01:26:52: | and so that coalition building that everyone talked about and |
| | we talked about in our presentation, |
| 01:26:52> 01:26:56: | we said it's a year of reconstructing those that coalition, |
| 01:26:56> 01:26:58: | right? And so it might be a year and a |
| 01:26:59> 01:26:59: | half. |
| 01:26:59> 01:27:00: | It might be 30 minutes, |
| 01:27:00> 01:27:02: | but probably not 30 minutes, |
| 01:27:02> 01:27:04: | right? But it's not. It takes some time. |
| 01:27:04> 01:27:09: | And really, you know your team sitting down and working. |
| 01:27:09> 01:27:14: | Out a strategic approach for doing that and doing one |
| 01:27:14> 01:27:14: | thing, |
| 01:27:14> 01:27:18: | putting your ego and your back pocket and just sitting |
| 01:27:18> 01:27:19: | on it right? |
| 01:27:19> 01:27:22: | Because sometimes to do that, |
| 01:27:22> 01:27:27: | but these large organizations and institutions that have huge institutional |
| 01:27:27> 01:27:27: | egos, |
| 01:27:27> 01:27:30: | right? You gotta put your own ego in your back |
| 01:27:30> 01:27:30: | pocket. |
| 01:27:30> 01:27:34: | Sit down and figure out strategically. |
| 01:27:34> 01:27:35: | This is who we want to go after. |
| 01:27:35> 01:27:38: | This is who we want to develop this relationship. |
| 01:27:38> 01:27:41: | How do we get them in into our corner? |
| 01:27:41> 01:27:43: | And and move it forward from that. |
| 01:27:43> 01:27:45: | And you who those people are, |
| 01:27:45> 01:27:48: | which are the order of doing that? |
| 01:27:48> 01:27:50: | You guys got to decide that yourselves, |
| 01:27:50> 01:27:52: | but I think that's going to be part of the |
| 01:27:52> 01:27:52: | process. |
| 01:27:55> 01:27:55: | And |
| 01:27:55> 01:27:57: | a lot of this is not surprising from the way |
| 01:27:57> 01:27:58: | that we went about this. |
| 01:27:58> 01:28:01: | We really kind of intentionally just went grassroots and said, |
| 01:28:01> 01:28:02: | let's get the public excited. |
| 01:28:02> 01:28:04: | Let's go out to social media and say, |
| 01:28:04> 01:28:06: | hey, we want a Central Park for Charlotte. |
| 01:28:06> 01:28:08: | Of course the city in the county Norfolk son said, |
| 01:28:08> 01:28:10: | hey where the Parks Department with the city and north? |
| 01:28:10> 01:28:12: | Why didn't you come to us so we get it? |
| 01:28:12> 01:28:15: | I mean that was not strategic on our end, |
| 01:28:15> 01:28:16: | but just the way we want to do things. |
| 01:28:16> 01:28:18: | Let's just. It's a good idea. |
| | |

| 01:28:18> 01:28:20: | Let's see if everyone likes it and put it out |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:28:20> 01:28:22: | there and make it real equal playing field out kind |
| 01:28:22> 01:28:25: | of registering all these different levels of political. |
| 01:28:25> 01:28:27: | Things that we're going to we have to do now |
| 01:28:27> 01:28:28: | to get something done of this scale. |
| 01:28:28> 01:28:31: | So we were definitely agitators in the mix. |
| 01:28:31> 01:28:34: | And you know that that's fine for what we need |
| 01:28:34> 01:28:36: | to do to get it to a certain level. |
| 01:28:36> 01:28:38: | So the question I posed the group was from your |
| 01:28:38> 01:28:39: | conversations, |
| 01:28:39> 01:28:42: | so they'll probably tell you something different and they told |
| 01:28:42> 01:28:43: | us you don't have to tell us now, |
| 01:28:43> 01:28:45: | but it would be nice if you had takeaways from |
| 01:28:45> 01:28:48: | conversation with panels or interview people. |
| 01:28:48> 01:28:49: | Hey, you might want to reach out to this person. |
| 01:28:49> 01:28:52: | They'd be a good advocate or Braxton is really interested |
| 01:28:53> 01:28:53: | in this, |
| 01:28:53> 01:28:55: | or you know just some takeaways that we can take |
| 01:28:55> 01:28:55: | this. |
| 01:28:55> 01:28:57: | Don't say. If this is something you're interested in, |
| 01:28:57> 01:28:59: | we'd love to have you as part of the team |
| 01:28:59> 01:29:01: | and will sit on the side with you or collectively |
| 01:29:01> 01:29:01: | or whatever, |
| 01:29:01> 01:29:04: | but they'll probably tell you things they don't tell us, |
| 01:29:04> 01:29:06: | and this forum may not be the right place to |
| 01:29:06> 01:29:08: | kind of air all of that, |
| 01:29:08> 01:29:10: | but we'd love to hear some comments from you guys |
| 01:29:10> 01:29:13: | eventually on people you'd recommend for us to reach out |
| 01:29:13> 01:29:15: | to the things they're interested in. |
| 01:29:15> 01:29:15: | That would be important, |
| 01:29:16> 01:29:18: | they, yeah hi, this is Gabriel, |
| 01:29:18> 01:29:20: | and they were definitely recommendations on the one to one |
| 01:29:20> 01:29:23: | interviews for specific contacts that needed to be a part |
| 01:29:23> 01:29:24: | of the discussion, |
| 01:29:24> 01:29:26: | so for sure we communicate that. |
| 01:29:27> 01:29:28: | That will be invaluable. |
| 01:29:29> 01:29:31: | Follow up a little bit on that, |
| 01:29:31> 01:29:33: | I'm gonna I'm gonna jump in as chair here I |
| 01:29:33> 01:29:36: | just want to remind both our panelists. |
| 01:29:36> 01:29:40: | But in particular are sponsored that the predicate of our |
| 01:29:40> 01:29:44: | interviews with the stakeholders was that they were |
| | confidential and |

| 01:29:44> 01:29:47: | that we weren't going to repeat anything, |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:29:47> 01:29:52: | either verbatim or otherwise, about them. |
| 01:29:52> 01:29:53: | And so it would be. |
| 01:29:53> 01:29:57: | While we can't make some generic suggestions about that, |
| 01:29:57> 01:30:00: | that the privacy issues, there are pretty important. |
| 01:30:00> 01:30:02: | Yeah, that that that might. |
| 01:30:02> 01:30:04: | I would be worried about a string over the line |
| 01:30:04> 01:30:05: | there a bit. |
| 01:30:05> 01:30:07: | OK, I thought it was more about the source of |
| 01:30:07> 01:30:09: | the information rather information itself, |
| 01:30:09> 01:30:10: | so pardon for that. |
| 01:30:11> 01:30:13: | Thank you. Thank you for clarifying. |
| 01:30:13> 01:30:16: | Then we certainly appreciate those those companies, |
| 01:30:16> 01:30:19: | realities and conversations. We look for any kind of insight |
| 01:30:20> 01:30:22: | that you obviously would be able to share, |
| 01:30:22> 01:30:26: | particularly with the folks that we haven't had the opportunity |
| 01:30:26> 01:30:29: | yet to have more in depth conversations. |
| 01:30:29> 01:30:30: | Economic development is one group, |
| 01:30:30> 01:30:34: | for example, that we we look to seek more conversations |
| 01:30:34> 01:30:35: | with them, |
| 01:30:35> 01:30:40: | understand. There are some discussions that we may not be |
| 01:30:40> 01:30:45: | made aware of or that we just cannot participate it, |
| 01:30:45> 01:30:48: | but certainly we want to understand as much as possible |
| 01:30:48> 01:30:50: | their challenges to be able to. |
| 01:30:50> 01:30:54: | When I say challenges, I mean better understand their challenges |
| 01:30:54> 01:30:56: | that they can share so that we can better integrate |
| 01:30:56> 01:30:58: | them into the conversation. |
| 01:30:58> 01:31:00: | So yeah, we can. We can help each other out |
| 01:31:00> 01:31:03: | in terms of moving a larger vision together. |
| 01:31:03> 01:31:06: | Well look, let me say this and I, |
| 01:31:06> 01:31:09: | I think what Alan said is just was one of |
| 01:31:09> 01:31:14: | the underlying principles of these this whole intervention. |
| 01:31:14> 01:31:17: | The GLI dust certain amount of confidence challenges, |
| 01:31:17> 01:31:19: | but let me say this right. |
| 01:31:19> 01:31:24: | That these people have participated in this process of interviewing |
| 01:31:24> 01:31:25: | says one thing, |
| 01:31:25> 01:31:29: | right? They care if they didn't care, |
| 01:31:29> 01:31:30: | they wouldn't have been at the table. |
| 01:31:30> 01:31:33: | We wouldn't have gotten in contact with him and in |
| 01:31:33> 01:31:37: | our presentation you're going to see all the list names |
| 01:31:37> 01:31:39: | of people who we talked to. |

| 01:31:39> 01:31:41: | You can look at that and say, |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:31:41> 01:31:44: | oh, these are the people that were interested enough to |
| 01:31:44> 01:31:45: | do an interview, |
| 01:31:45> 01:31:49: | right? And I think with that without knowing without us |
| 01:31:49> 01:31:50: | telling you. |
| 01:31:50> 01:31:54: | But they said etc. You can start to do your |
| 01:31:54> 01:31:58: | own networking and figure out how to go back to |
| 01:31:58> 01:31:58: | him. |
| 01:31:58> 01:32:00: | Do a little research on it. |
| 01:32:00> 01:32:03: | I mean, you know when we're trying to enter a |
| 01:32:03> 01:32:07: | market you know and and I've met Craig in Birmingham |
| 01:32:07> 01:32:10: | and I knew nothing about Birmingham, |
| 01:32:10> 01:32:12: | but I did a whole lot of work to know |
| 01:32:12> 01:32:16: | everybody and everything I needed to know in Birmingham. |
| 01:32:16> 01:32:19: | And I have more work in Birmingham than I have |
| 01:32:19> 01:32:22: | in New York right now and I've been living in |
| 01:32:22> 01:32:23: | New York for 30 years. |
| 01:32:23> 01:32:26: | So my point is that as business people, |
| 01:32:26> 01:32:28: | right? We know how to build. |
| 01:32:28> 01:32:33: | Networks get information. Meet the people you need to meet |
| 01:32:33> 01:32:34: | right to do it. |
| 01:32:34> 01:32:36: | So with that list of people we interviewed, |
| 01:32:36> 01:32:38: | you can figure it out. |
| 01:32:38> 01:32:40: | You guys can figure it out who it is. |
| 01:32:40> 01:32:42: | You need to talk to that they were here at |
| 01:32:42> 01:32:42: | the table. |
| 01:32:42> 01:32:45: | You know they're interested. And again, |
| 01:32:45> 01:32:47: | as I said. But do you go in your pocket |
| 01:32:48> 01:32:50: | and let him know you need help? |
| 01:32:50> 01:32:53: | Right, let him know you need help and that's such |
| 01:32:53> 01:32:55: | a positive message, |
| 01:32:55> 01:32:58: | right? You know that you need help because you sort |
| 01:32:58> 01:33:00: | of changed the dynamics there. |
| 01:33:02> 01:33:06: | I'll add on Mary Mack and Tony's comment of the |
| 01:33:06> 01:33:07: | grassroots effort. |
| 01:33:07> 01:33:12: | Don't don't let that stop actually keep pumping that up |
| 01:33:12> 01:33:13: | even stronger, |
| 01:33:13> 01:33:16: | much more diverse. You know there's going to be a |
| 01:33:16> 01:33:17: | group of people, |
| 01:33:17> 01:33:20: | whether it's 1000 or just a couple dozen that are |
| 01:33:20> 01:33:23: | that that are diehards on this project and they need |
| 01:33:24> 01:33:26: | to stay just as passionate just as involved. |
| | ,, , , |

| 01:33:26> 01:33:29: | And I think the more that those folks that are |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:33:29> 01:33:32: | already involved at a grassroots level can go out and |
| 01:33:32> 01:33:35: | connect with others in the community even outside of the |
| 01:33:35> 01:33:37: | North End. That can also start to form. |
| 01:33:37> 01:33:41: | Those relationships with those interested 30 or more that we've |
| 01:33:42> 01:33:46: | interviewed and really start to tell their personal story about |
| 01:33:46> 01:33:47: | why this excites them. |
| 01:33:47> 01:33:50: | You know, at the right time that's meaningful, |
| 01:33:50> 01:33:52: | and these are people that will show up to public |
| 01:33:52> 01:33:53: | meetings. |
| 01:33:53> 01:33:56: | These are people that flood social media streams that really |
| 01:33:57> 01:34:00: | let their elected leaders know that this is important to |
| 01:34:00> 01:34:00: | them. |
| 01:34:00> 01:34:04: | And as that grows and becomes more diverse and it |
| 01:34:04> 01:34:07: | becomes a wave and a current that's going to help |
| 01:34:07> 01:34:07: | lead this. |
| 01:34:07> 01:34:12: | Particularly when these really critical you know complex decision points |
| 01:34:12> 01:34:14: | are coming down the line. |
| 01:34:16> 01:34:19: | Let me let me add one thing to that to |
| 01:34:19> 01:34:22: | extend on both of those things. |
| 01:34:22> 01:34:25: | The thing you need to do here is listen. |
| 01:34:25> 01:34:28: | So as you're talking to so far, |
| 01:34:28> 01:34:32: | your conversations, we believe with the with the other |
| | stakeholders |
| 01:34:33> 01:34:33: | have been. |
| 01:34:33> 01:34:36: | They've been a pitch and there's nothing wrong with the |
| 01:34:36> 01:34:37: | pitch. |
| 01:34:37> 01:34:39: | It's what got you to this place where you are |
| 01:34:39> 01:34:39: | now. |
| 01:34:39> 01:34:43: | But now it's time to take a step back and |
| 01:34:43> 01:34:43: | listen. |
| 01:34:43> 01:34:45: | And that does two things. |
| 01:34:45> 01:34:48: | First of all, it's incredibly disarming, |
| 01:34:48> 01:34:51: | right? So if you're if you're there to absorb what |
| 01:34:51> 01:34:54: | they have to tell you and do as little talking |
| 01:34:54> 01:34:55: | as possible. |
| 01:34:55> 01:34:57: | Other than just asking follow up questions, |
| 01:34:57> 01:35:00: | you will be amazed at how invested you get people |
| 01:35:00> 01:35:03: | in the things that you're interested in and how much |
| 01:35:03> 01:35:06: | information you gain from them about how to put this |
| 01:35:06> 01:35:13: | coalition together. So that goes along with Carlton's |

| | admonition to. |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:35:13> 01:35:15: | After meeting with people and starting this networking, |
| 01:35:15> 01:35:17: | the thing you're doing is you're asking for their help |
| 01:35:17> 01:35:19: | and it's not the help to create the park, |
| 01:35:19> 01:35:21: | it's to help to put together the group that is |
| 01:35:21> 01:35:24: | going to establish the vision for the North End. |
| 01:35:24> 01:35:27: | Much different undertaking that put you at a different place |
| 01:35:27> 01:35:27: | at the table, |
| 01:35:27> 01:35:31: | by the way. And I referred earlier to, |
| 01:35:31> 01:35:33: | you know it forensic Queens Park. |
| 01:35:33> 01:35:36: | It could just be the proponent for the park or |
| 01:35:36> 01:35:37: | for open area, |
| 01:35:37> 01:35:42: | but. I believe you're interested in more than that, |
| 01:35:42> 01:35:44: | and so your ability to. |
| 01:35:44> 01:35:47: | Talk about always with the idea of that you know |
| 01:35:47> 01:35:50: | you want to create this neighborhood with an appropriate amount |
| 01:35:51> 01:35:53: | of open space that serves not just this neighborhood, |
| 01:35:53> 01:35:56: | but serves Charlotte as a whole and keeping that in |
| 01:35:56> 01:35:57: | mind. |
| 01:35:57> 01:36:00: | Using that as a partial vehicle to address all of |
| 01:36:00> 01:36:03: | these other concerns I think gives you purview to be |
| 01:36:03> 01:36:07: | useful to Charlotte in a variety of ways that extend |
| 01:36:07> 01:36:09: | beyond the park, but you do that by listening. |
| 01:36:09> 01:36:12: | Understand what understand what the issues are not from us, |
| 01:36:12> 01:36:14: | but from the people who are actually experiencing. |
| 01:36:14> 01:36:21: | These issues. Other questions. Well, |
| 01:36:21> 01:36:21: | l'll jump |
| 01:36:21> 01:36:22: | in for a second question, |
| 01:36:22> 01:36:24: | that's all right, excuse me, |
| 01:36:24> 01:36:25: | I've got a little bit of a cold |
| 01:36:25> 01:36:25: | today. |
| 01:36:27> 01:36:28: | So I appreciate the |
| 01:36:28> 01:36:29: | reframe and orientation |
| 01:36:29> 01:36:30: | towards. |
| 01:36:31> 01:36:33: | You know what are the needs of of North End |
| 01:36:33> 01:36:36: | and broadening the conversation from a sales pitch |
| 01:36:36> 01:36:38: | for a park to |
| 01:36:38> 01:36:39: | something larger? |
| 01:36:39> 01:36:43: | Focusing on mobility and resilience and all the other |
| 01:36:43> 01:36:45: | key values that you |
| 01:36:45> 01:36:47: | have identified. I am curious |

| 01:36:47> 01:36:50: | though, if anybody kind of |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:36:50> 01:36:52: | adopted more the viewpoint that kind of Pony Express |
| 01:36:52> 01:36:53: | where we'll really is about |
| 01:36:53> 01:36:55: | the park and at some level |
| 01:36:55> 01:36:57: | charlottes losing an opportunity |
| 01:36:57> 01:36:58: | if we don't act now. |
| 01:36:59> 01:37:02: | To have a large urban park anywhere |
| 01:37:02> 01:37:03: | near the Uptown, |
| 01:37:04> 01:37:05: | or if |
| 01:37:05> 01:37:06: | that's not a sentiment that you really |
| 01:37:06> 01:37:07: | heard in terms of the urgency |
| 01:37:07> 01:37:08: | and the |
| 01:37:08> 01:37:11: | you know, the scarce resource of land near our city |
| 01:37:11> 01:37:11: | center |
| 01:37:12> 01:37:13: | and the lack of any park in the |
| 01:37:13> 01:37:15: | Uptown. It's bigger than about five acres, |
| 01:37:15> 01:37:16: | and it's not all about size. |
| 01:37:16> 01:37:19: | And like you said, those there's these other signature public |
| 01:37:19> 01:37:20: | spaces in cities that aren't huge. |
| 01:37:21> 01:37:22: | But did you hear that |
| 01:37:22> 01:37:25: | when you interviewed the stakeholders about the kind of the |
| 01:37:25> 01:37:25: | fierce urgency of now |
| 01:37:25> 01:37:27: | for? A |
| 01:37:27> 01:37:28: | park near Uptown |
| 01:37:28> 01:37:30: | for Charlotte? Or is that something that you didn't really |
| 01:37:30> 01:37:31: | hear so much of? |
| 01:37:36> 01:37:39: | Gabriela, you you had a number of conversations around that. |
| 01:37:39> 01:37:41: | Do you want to start us off on that? |
| 01:37:41> 01:37:46: | Yes I can. I actually heard from folks that immediately |
| 01:37:46> 01:37:50: | leaving the immediately adjacent areas on the West and east |
| 01:37:50> 01:37:52: | side of the park. |
| 01:37:52> 01:37:54: | And you know their reactions to the present, |
| 01:37:54> 01:37:56: | 'cause they're familiar with the presentation, |
| 01:37:56> 01:37:57: | with all the renderings and the vision. |
| 01:37:57> 01:38:00: | And they felt like their their motion fixed. |
| 01:38:00> 01:38:05: | You know first reaction was very positive in that you |
| 01:38:05> 01:38:06: | know the the. |
| 01:38:06> 01:38:07: | I'm going to say this again. |
| 01:38:07> 01:38:09: | The visceral reaction was so cool, |
| 01:38:09> 01:38:12: | you know we can. We know if something like this |
| 01:38:12> 01:38:13: | can put Charlotte on the map. |

| 04-00-40 > 04-00-45- | |
|--|---|
| 01:38:13> 01:38:15: 01:38:15> 01:38:18: | Somebody actually said something like that, |
| | and it was. It was a appreciation for like what |
| 01:38:18> 01:38:21: | I said in my presentation the changing the what is |
| 01:38:21> 01:38:23: | now to what the potential I mean. |
| 01:38:23> 01:38:26: | Obviously it's night and day. |
| 01:38:26> 01:38:29: | However, the conversation depends and they were more. |
| 01:38:29> 01:38:31: | There was a positive in that park. |
| 01:38:31> 01:38:37: | There were less. In Phatic about the size and scale, |
| 01:38:37> 01:38:39: | I don't think they really understand it though. |
| 01:38:39> 01:38:41: | That's just my personal opinion, |
| 01:38:41> 01:38:44: | and it's not until you put it into scale like |
| 01:38:44> 01:38:47: | Allen overlaid of Central Park where you know you start |
| 01:38:47> 01:38:50: | understanding how big displays can be. |
| 01:38:50> 01:38:53: | That's just my personal interpretation, |
| 01:38:53> 01:38:57: | but they were concerns or questions about. |
| 01:38:57> 01:38:58: | In a place like that, |
| 01:38:58> 01:39:02: | there could be so large you know what about safety? |
| 01:39:02> 01:39:06: | What about, uh, you know, |
| 01:39:06> 01:39:10: | just other. Aspects of design and the cities and spaces |
| 01:39:10> 01:39:14: | that actually come with open space and so safety was |
| 01:39:14> 01:39:16: | a topic of discussion and you know, |
| 01:39:16> 01:39:19: | we don't have answers, which is kind of discussed there. |
| 01:39:19> 01:39:21: | You know, just designer parks in general and how some |
| 01:39:21> 01:39:23: | of the successes that can |
| 01:39:23> 01:39:25: | be, you know can. It can be |
| 01:39:25> 01:39:28: | replicated from other stories and from other parks in the |
| 01:39:28> 01:39:29: | in the country or whatever. |
| 01:39:29> 01:39:32: | What have you? So to answer your question directly, |
| 01:39:32> 01:39:35: | there was really not direct reaction to size, |
| 01:39:35> 01:39:36: | whether it's big and small. |
| 01:39:36> 01:39:39: | He was more about other aspects of park building and |
| 01:39:40> 01:39:41: | park design that came. |
| 01:39:41> 01:39:44: | They usually come with that like safety for example, |
| 01:39:44> 01:39:46: | or acts or access like. |
| 01:39:48> 01:39:51: | That was from the folks on the West side that |
| 01:39:51> 01:39:52: | we had a chance to talk to. |
| 01:39:52> 01:39:56: | There was some part of the conversation was about just |
| 01:39:56> 01:40:00: | |
| | connectivity and they just felt like right now there is |
| 01:40:00> 01:40:03: | such a a dead end and our property and said, |
| 01:40:03> 01:40:05: | you know, hopefully whatever it is, |
| 01:40:05> 01:40:06: | it becomes of this open space. |
| 01:40:06> 01:40:08: | You know that there is communication 'cause we feel like |

| 01:40:08> 01:40:08: | there is. |
|---------------------|--|
| 01:40:08> 01:40:12: | It's such an isolation from that part of the city. |
| 01:40:12> 01:40:15: | So conversation less about scale, |
| 01:40:15> 01:40:17: | more about everything else. That kind of comes with park |
| 01:40:17> 01:40:18: | in the urban in the. |
| 01:40:19> 01:40:23: | Urban fabric. And it was fairly consistent. |
| 01:40:23> 01:40:25: | Some of us in the panels have talked to other. |
| 01:40:27> 01:40:28: | People actually live in |
| 01:40:28> 01:40:29: | nearby. I think it was a Jenny. |
| 01:40:29> 01:40:31: | Was it you that talked to others? |
| 01:40:31> 01:40:33: | Yes yes. Thanks Gabriela. I was going to jump in |
| 01:40:33> 01:40:36: | and say it was a little bit of a different |
| 01:40:36> 01:40:39: | vein of discussion of future use of land in and |
| 01:40:39> 01:40:42: | around the area or Charlotte as a whole and not |
| 01:40:42> 01:40:45: | so much that we're losing land and we won't have |
| 01:40:45> 01:40:47: | any available or park space. |
| 01:40:47> 01:40:51: | It's more as this underutilized and oftentimes vacant land in |
| 01:40:51> 01:40:54: | and around the Queens Park area is built. |
| 01:40:54> 01:40:57: | How did those new vertical private developments? |
| 01:40:57> 01:41:01: | Respond to the needs of the communities their ground floor |
| 01:41:01> 01:41:02: | neighborhood serving retail. |
| 01:41:02> 01:41:06: | Are there small plazas or areas to congregate at some |
| 01:41:06> 01:41:07: | of these? |
| 01:41:07> 01:41:11: | You know, new developments. So how are these projects starting |
| 01:41:11> 01:41:11: | to fit in? |
| 01:41:11> 01:41:14: | To compliment either the fabric that exists in some of |
| 01:41:14> 01:41:17: | these neighborhoods that already have a development pattern? |
| 01:41:17> 01:41:19: | Or if they don't because there is a lot of |
| 01:41:19> 01:41:20: | vacancy, |
| 01:41:20> 01:41:23: | particularly on the West End from what we could tell, |
| 01:41:23> 01:41:25: | you know, how does it create a new fabric that |
| 01:41:25> 01:41:26: | connects people, |
| 01:41:26> 01:41:31: | and I think another. Important part here is. |
| 01:41:31> 01:41:34: | Where the switching station is the area that Norfolk Southern |
| 01:41:34> 01:41:35: | owns. |
| 01:41:35> 01:41:38: | It's not going to be developed tomorrow based on everything |
| 01:41:38> 01:41:40: | that we've talked about for the last two hours. |
| 01:41:40> 01:41:43: | There are so many constraints with that land, |
| 01:41:43> 01:41:45: | so it's not as though it's a prime piece of |
| 01:41:45> 01:41:48: | redevelopment land that is going to see you know, |
| 01:41:48> 01:41:51: | new private development around the corner, |

| 01:41:51> 01:41:54: | so I don't think that there's as much of a |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:41:54> 01:41:57: | concern that this opportunity for a grand vision for a |
| 01:41:57> 01:42:00: | park in and around the rail yards is going to |
| 01:42:00> 01:42:04: | be missed. More how the development in and around that |
| 01:42:04> 01:42:06: | area is really going to serve the benefit of the |
| 01:42:06> 01:42:07: | community. |
| 01:42:07> 01:42:10: | And then when the opportunity arises, |
| 01:42:10> 01:42:14: | the coalitions are built. The hard studies and analysis is |
| 01:42:14> 01:42:14: | done. |
| 01:42:14> 01:42:17: | The Co benefits are analyzed and a park or a |
| 01:42:17> 01:42:19: | series of parks becomes the solution. |
| 01:42:19> 01:42:22: | That's when I think people will really, |
| 01:42:22> 01:42:25: | you know, say this is the way that we solve, |
| 01:42:25> 01:42:27: | not having enough park space or a grand, |
| 01:42:27> 01:42:30: | you know, park space in this part of our our |
| 01:42:30> 01:42:30: | community. |
| 01:42:31> 01:42:33: | Let me add to that, |
| 01:42:33> 01:42:35: | but Jenny and I spoke to some of the same |
| 01:42:35> 01:42:35: | people, |
| 01:42:35> 01:42:39: | but I spoke to some others nerves and other various |
| 01:42:39> 01:42:40: | things about that. |
| 01:42:40> 01:42:43: | Certainly heard things that Jenny said, |
| 01:42:43> 01:42:48: | but when we talk about that space where Queens Park |
| 01:42:48> 01:42:49: | is proposed, |
| 01:42:49> 01:42:52: | one of the things I've heard from people. |
| 01:42:54> 01:42:57: | Is that not so much about the part, |
| 01:42:57> 01:43:00: | but that the railroad kept them from getting to what |
| 01:43:00> 01:43:03: | they felt was becoming a stronger, |
| 01:43:03> 01:43:06: | committed community with stronger assets on the other side, |
| 01:43:06> 01:43:10: | right? And so, that's sort of absence, |
| 01:43:10> 01:43:14: | or that almost in some ways traditional southern way of |
| 01:43:14> 01:43:18: | building that the lower income community is on one side |
| 01:43:18> 01:43:21: | of the tracks and the higher income is on the |
| 01:43:21> 01:43:23: | other side of the tracks. |
| 01:43:23> 01:43:24: | And they were trying to decide. |
| 01:43:24> 01:43:28: | Well, what is the park make that any difference, |
| 01:43:28> 01:43:31: | right? It'll still be the lower income community on one |
| 01:43:31> 01:43:34: | side of the tracks and the higher income community. |
| 01:43:34> 01:43:37: | Other side of tracks, and so that's one of the |
| 01:43:37> 01:43:41: | things that for getting broader community support support, |
| 01:43:41> 01:43:42: | you got it. You got to address. |
| 01:43:42> 01:43:45: | That is the question you know. |

| 01:43:45> 01:43:49: | Historically that's a question and nothing that has been said |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:43:49> 01:43:50: | has addressed that. |
| 01:43:50> 01:43:55: | And so when we say making this more than about |
| 01:43:55> 01:43:55: | the park. |
| 01:43:55> 01:43:57: | That's one of the reasons why, |
| 01:43:57> 01:44:00: | because if you don't have that sort of support. |
| 01:44:00> 01:44:04: | Trust me, you aren't going to get the political support |
| 01:44:04> 01:44:04: | here, |
| 01:44:04> 01:44:06: | and you haven't gotten that support. |
| 01:44:06> 01:44:09: | Let me just be straight with you about that right, |
| 01:44:09> 01:44:13: | but the next thing that we know is the people |
| 01:44:13> 01:44:19: | the county Mecklenburg County is responsible for building and operating |
| 01:44:19> 01:44:21: | the parts right? |
| 01:44:21> 01:44:24: | And Mecklenburg County Department of Parks is this, |
| 01:44:24> 01:44:29: | like every Department of parks I've ever said I run |
| 01:44:29> 01:44:29: | into. |
| 01:44:29> 01:44:32: | We don't have enough money to maintain all our parts. |
| 01:44:32> 01:44:35: | You know we've got parks, |
| 01:44:35> 01:44:37: | and if you looked at their report right, |
| 01:44:37> 01:44:38: | it's like look at this part. |
| 01:44:38> 01:44:41: | Yeah, it looks like a field with a little fence, |
| 01:44:41> 01:44:43: | right? Oh, look at this park. |
| 01:44:43> 01:44:45: | It looks great. We've got to do something about the |
| 01:44:45> 01:44:47: | park we already got. |
| 01:44:47> 01:44:50: | That's what the Mecklenburg County Parks report said, |
| 01:44:50> 01:44:54: | right? So that's when you talk only about parts, |
| 01:44:54> 01:44:57: | right? That's who you're talking to, |
| 01:44:57> 01:44:59: | right? You've got to change that. |
| 01:44:59> 01:45:03: | Hello. It's not difficult to change that dialogue, |
| 01:45:03> 01:45:05: | you just gotta back up again. |
| 01:45:05> 01:45:08: | I think Alan said something about back up and listen |
| 01:45:08> 01:45:12: | to what they had to say and ask about building |
| 01:45:12> 01:45:13: | this coalition right? |
| 01:45:13> 01:45:16: | And then I think that's when you really get the |
| 01:45:16> 01:45:19: | real focus on doing what you want to do right. |
| 01:45:19> 01:45:23: | But until you get that sort of thing when some |
| 01:45:23> 01:45:25: | group of people is saying. |
| 01:45:25> 01:45:27: | It's just going to be another thing for the wealthy |
| 01:45:27> 01:45:30: | people in other sidetracks there's nothing for me, |
| 01:45:30> 01:45:34: | they are. You know, come on, |
| 01:45:34> 01:45:36: | you gotta deconstruct that you got, |

| 01:45:36> 01:45:37: | you gotta find a better way. |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:45:39> 01:45:41: | This is a shared one. |
| 01:45:41> 01:45:44: | Last thing on this topic from Arabs question and the |
| 01:45:44> 01:45:48: | notion of gathering space in one interview panel. |
| 01:45:48> 01:45:51: | Lauren and I had a very robust conversation with some |
| 01:45:51> 01:45:54: | interviews about where people are going to go when the |
| 01:45:54> 01:45:56: | Panthers win the Super Bowl. |
| 01:45:56> 01:45:59: | Yeah, and you know, I think there was a real |
| 01:45:59> 01:46:01: | prevalence of that. |
| 01:46:01> 01:46:05: | There's no, there's no really signature central gathering spot |
| | for |
| 01:46:05> 01:46:07: | that type of activity, |
| 01:46:07> 01:46:09: | and I think the people that we heard from we're |
| 01:46:09> 01:46:12: | really excited about the prospect that this could solve that |
| 01:46:12> 01:46:15: | and really create that that that gathering space for the |
| 01:46:15> 01:46:18: | community. And then you know also making it very authentic |
| 01:46:18> 01:46:20: | to Charlotte was another comment that we heard that I |
| 01:46:20> 01:46:22: | thought was really was really interesting. |
| 01:46:22> 01:46:25: | So I mean to your point to your question earlier. |
| 01:46:25> 01:46:27: | I you know, I think there was some general positivity |
| 01:46:27> 01:46:28: | from we heard about it. |
| 01:46:28> 01:46:31: | It's just these little. These nuances and these bigger picture |
| 01:46:31> 01:46:32: | issues that we need to workout. |
| 01:46:34> 01:46:38: | I I think. I think the broad answer to the |
| 01:46:38> 01:46:39: | question, |
| 01:46:39> 01:46:41: | in addition to the specifics that we've heard from the |
| 01:46:41> 01:46:42: | panelists, |
| 01:46:42> 01:46:45: | is that we heard universally. |
| 01:46:45> 01:46:47: | From everyone we talked to, |
| 01:46:47> 01:46:52: | whether it's a sponsor group or interviewees that Charlotte needs |
| 01:46:52> 01:46:53: | more parks. |
| 01:46:53> 01:46:57: | Everybody acknowledges that and many people were aware of the |
| 01:46:57> 01:46:57: | statistic. |
| 01:46:57> 01:47:00: | Maybe that's because you've done a good job of promulgating |
| 01:47:00> 01:47:00: | this statistic, |
| 01:47:00> 01:47:06: | but. Statistics Charlotte ranks near the bottom of park space |
| 01:47:06> 01:47:10: | open space per capita in the United States, |
| 01:47:10> 01:47:16: | among large cities. So you have that advantage and the |
| 01:47:16> 01:47:18: | second one is you have. |
| 01:47:18> 01:47:25: | And a lesson in not incorporating that into your planning. |

| 01:47:25> 01:47:29: | For urban design in the form of the South end |
|---------------------|---|
| 01:47:29> 01:47:31: | and we heard from many people. |
| 01:47:31> 01:47:34: | You know, I haven't been to the South end because |
| 01:47:34> 01:47:36: | we're not in Charlotte, |
| 01:47:36> 01:47:38: | so I can't comment. None of us can comment on |
| 01:47:38> 01:47:38: | that. |
| 01:47:38> 01:47:41: | Personally, I believe although some of us have been to |
| 01:47:41> 01:47:43: | Charlotte more recently than others, |
| 01:47:43> 01:47:46: | but we heard from many of the people we talked |
| 01:47:46> 01:47:49: | to and you heard in our presentation at the South |
| 01:47:50> 01:47:53: | end is offers some lessons in things that you must |
| 01:47:53> 01:47:54: | think about ahead of time. |
| 01:47:54> 01:47:59: | And open space was absolutely at the top of that |
| 01:47:59> 01:47:59: | list. |
| 01:47:59> 01:48:00: | Affordability. |
| 01:48:01> 01:48:03: | I don't know. Maybe affordability |
| 01:48:03> 01:48:07: | was taught, but those two were were, |
| 01:48:07> 01:48:10: | you know, the most prevalent comments we heard about |
| | what |
| 01:48:10> 01:48:13: | happened in the South and what needs to be planned |
| 01:48:13> 01:48:14: | for in the North End. |
| 01:48:14> 01:48:17: | So you had that going for you as well. |
| 01:48:17> 01:48:22: | Carlton I apologize to Carlton because I promised him I |
| 01:48:22> 01:48:25: | was going to bring this statistic up to you. |
| 01:48:25> 01:48:32: | Of the 843 acres that comprise Central Park the gathering |
| 01:48:32> 01:48:33: | space, |
| 01:48:33> 01:48:36: | the Great Lawn of Central Park, |
| 01:48:36> 01:48:39: | which can accommodate 1.2 million people, |
| 01:48:39> 01:48:43: | according to the city, is 55 acres. |
| 01:48:43> 01:48:47: | OK, so you don't need a 260 acre park. |
| 01:48:47> 01:48:49: | By the way, it's the Panthers win the Super even |
| 01:48:49> 01:48:50: | if the Panthers win Super Bowl. |
| 01:48:50> 01:48:53: | I don't believe the entire population of Charlotte is going |
| 01:48:53> 01:48:54: | to deposit itself there, |
| 01:48:54> 01:48:57: | so I think you've got some leeway in terms of |
| 01:48:57> 01:48:59: | the size of that space when when the Eagles won |
| 01:48:59> 01:49:00: | the Super Bowl, |
| 01:49:00> 01:49:02: | by the way, which was in 1896. |
| 01:49:02> 01:49:09: | Uhm? The entire city put itself not in Rittenhouse Square. |
| 01:49:09> 01:49:11: | Not on the Parkway, which is where we have our |
| 01:49:11> 01:49:12: | big gatherings. |
| 01:49:12> 01:49:15: | They deposited themselves on Broad Street, |

| 01:49:15> 01:49:17: 01:49:17> 01:49:18: | which is the widest one of the widest streets in Philadelphia. |
|--|---|
| 01:49:18> 01:49:23: | It's 100 feet wide. There were a million people celebrating |
| 01:49:23> 01:49:24: | that, |
| 01:49:24> 01:49:28: | some of them burning cars and tearing down light poles. |
| 01:49:28> 01:49:31: | And but we managed to find places for those people |
| 01:49:31> 01:49:31: | to go, |
| 01:49:31> 01:49:34: | because by instinct people went to that place. |
| 01:49:34> 01:49:37: | You can certainly create that place on the North End |
| 01:49:37> 01:49:39: | and it would be fabulous on the North End. |
| 01:49:39> 01:49:41: | But the scale of that. |
| 01:49:41> 01:49:43: | There are a lot of varieties of scale on how |
| 01:49:43> 01:49:44: | you do that, |
| 01:49:44> 01:49:47: | and one place doesn't necessarily need to serve all of |
| 01:49:47> 01:49:47: | those functions. |
| 01:49:47> 01:49:48. | |
| 01:49:50> 01:49:51: | So we have our big functions on the Parkway in |
| | Philadelphia, |
| 01:49:51> 01:49:54: | but Rittenhouse Square is a different kind of place where |
| 01:49:54> 01:49:57: | people gather and do different kinds of things. |
| 01:49:57> 01:49:58: | And the North End needs both of those kinds of |
| 01:49:59> 01:49:59: | places. |
| 01:49:59> 01:50:03: | And you can accommodate those by thinking differently about their |
| 01:50:03> 01:50:03: | components, |
| 01:50:03> 01:50:05: | and then maybe thinking about them separately. |
| 01:50:11> 01:50:16: | OK, good thank you very much. |
| 01:50:16> 01:50:19: | Please believe me were sincere when we say we really |
| 01:50:19> 01:50:22: | appreciate being invited to talk to you about this issue |
| 01:50:22> 01:50:25: | and about the North End of Charlotte and Charlotte in |
| 01:50:25> 01:50:27: | general. It's been a true pleasure. |
| 01:50:27> 01:50:29: | I hope we can meet each other in person if |
| 01:50:29> 01:50:31: | we do follow up with an in person thing. |
| 01:50:31> 01:50:35: | I'm pretty sure that everybody on this panel is in. |
| 01:50:35> 01:50:39: | And so we look forward to that eventuality, |
| 01:50:39> 01:50:42: | and we wish you great luck in this endeavour. |
| 01:50:42> 01:50:45: | We think you can make this happen and hope we |
| 01:50:45> 01:50:47: | have been some help to you. |

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you

have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .