

Webinar

The Future of Sustainable Building: Mass Timber???s Role, Rising Costs, and Meeting Provincial Code

Date: April 05, 2022

00:00:00> 00:00:02:	Right on the corner in the bottom corner.
00:00:02> 00:00:06:	1st just ask folks can mute themselves as we get
00:00:06> 00:00:08:	started here.
00:00:08> 00:00:09:	I know we're we're not on.
00:00:09> 00:00:12:	The on the whatever the format that allows us to
00:00:12> 00:00:15:	focus just on the speaker. So we just ask that
00:00:15> 00:00:18:	everyone please stay muted. Looks like we've got most of
00:00:18> 00:00:21:	the people in from the waiting room, so I think
00:00:21> 00:00:24:	we'll get started. So first of all, thank you all
00:00:24> 00:00:27:	for joining us on this almost kind of sunny lunch
00:00:27> 00:00:29:	hour here in Vancouver. My name is Duncan.
00:00:30> 00:00:32:	I'm the chair of the Urban Land Institute of British
00:00:32> 00:00:35:	Columbia and I'm just here to provide some welcoming remarks.
00:00:35> 00:00:38:	So welcome to everyone who's here before we get started.
00:00:38> 00:00:40:	As always, I just want to recognize that UIBC that
00:00:40> 00:00:43:	does its work on the traditional and both the traditional
00:00:43> 00:00:47:	unseeded territories and treaty territories here in British Columbia of
00:00:47> 00:00:50:	the indigenous people in myself. I'm located in the traditional
00:00:50> 00:00:53:	unceded territories at the Coast Salish people, the Musqueam, Squamish
00:00:53> 00:00:56:	and slavery tough. And so I hope you'll just if
00:00:56> 00:00:58:	you're on that territory, I'll take a moment to recognize
00:00:58> 00:01:00:	that, and if not, please.
00:01:00> 00:01:02:	Take a moment to recognize where you might be calling
00:01:02> 00:01:03:	in from today.
00:01:04> 00:01:07:	As always, I want to start by thanking our sponsors,
00:01:07> 00:01:10:	our annual sponsors. They're back here behind me on the

00:01:10> 00:01:13:	screen for their continued support of you IVC. It's because
00:01:13> 00:01:16:	of their commitment to UIC that we're able to put
00:01:16> 00:01:18:	on events such as this and and so I really
00:01:18> 00:01:22:	appreciate their ongoing support of our organization and our efforts
00:01:22> 00:01:25:	to have educational sessions like this. You know, today I'm
00:01:25> 00:01:28:	I'm interested in the topic that we've actually had a
00:01:28> 00:01:30:	couple of some of. You may have joined. We've had
00:01:30> 00:01:33:	this conversation a few times over the last couple of
00:01:33> 00:01:35:	years, and obviously, if you're doing
00:01:35> 00:01:38:	this sort of business in the industry these days, mass,
00:01:38> 00:01:41:	timber or CLT or whatever you wanna call it is
00:01:41> 00:01:43:	a hot topic, and so we're looking forward to what
00:01:43> 00:01:46:	I think will be a great comment discussion. I know
00:01:46> 00:01:49:	myself, you know, this is a conversation I've had quite
00:01:49> 00:01:51:	a bit both at our company here at UCI and
00:01:52> 00:01:55:	and with government I think it's particularly good timing given
00:01:55> 00:01:58:	you know there's a lot of conversation or we've been
00:01:58> 00:02:01:	having a lot of conversation here at UCI about what
00:02:01> 00:02:03:	we could be doing to have an issue more education
00:02:03> 00:02:06:	on what the industry could be doing to.
00:02:06> 00:02:08:	Have our own impact on climate action. You know. Recently
00:02:08> 00:02:10:	you made some of you may have seen there was
00:02:11> 00:02:13:	an IPCC recent IPCC report that said, you know, we're,
00:02:13> 00:02:16:	you know we've been saying it for years. We're running
00:02:16> 00:02:19:	out of time, but it does seem particularly important, and
00:02:19> 00:02:21:	the decisions we're making today are going to have a
00:02:21> 00:02:24:	massive impact, particularly in the industry like ours. Because, you
00:02:24> 00:02:27:	know, embodied carbon and the buildings we build are going
00:02:27> 00:02:30:	to have a significant impact both in today's emissions but
00:02:30> 00:02:32:	over the life cycle of the buildings. And so I
00:02:32> 00:02:35:	think having conversations with this great panel that we have
00:02:35> 00:02:37:	about what that looks like.
00:02:37> 00:02:39:	And and one of the pros and cons and how
00:02:39> 00:02:41:	we can kind of build this sector in terms of
00:02:41> 00:02:44:	both mass timber. But around this the how it is
00:02:44> 00:02:47:	as a sustainable resource for building along with other drivers
00:02:47> 00:02:51:	of carbon and technology and the regulatory changes necessary for
00:02:51> 00:02:54:	industry to make those shifts and make that jump. So
00:02:54> 00:02:56:	I'm really excited for what will be a great panel
00:02:56> 00:03:00:	and I'm excited to introduce our host Rachel affection, who's

00:03:00> 00:03:03:	a principal effects NPR? I'm also happy to report that
00:03:03> 00:03:06:	she has joined our UIBC leadership team and so we're
00:03:06> 00:03:07:	very excited to have her here.
00:03:08> 00:03:10:	To host today, but also as a new leader here
00:03:10> 00:03:13:	at UIC. She has over 2 decades of experience and
00:03:13> 00:03:17:	strategic communication specializing in PR for real estate and developer
00:03:17> 00:03:20:	and corporate clients. And she supports those clients and other
00:03:20> 00:03:23:	in the other companies in the real estate industry through
00:03:23> 00:03:27:	corporate communications. PR for Project launches, managing issues and as
00:03:28> 00:03:31:	well as crisis and reputational management. You know Rachel, I've
00:03:31> 00:03:34:	gotten to know her over the last year and she
00:03:34> 00:03:37:	does a great job of helping develop engagement plans for
00:03:37> 00:03:38:	projects that.
00:03:38> 00:03:41:	Based community opposition or wanting to host have clients, uh,
00:03:41> 00:03:43:	talk about you know what are they innovative things they're
00:03:43> 00:03:46:	doing as a company like you know, like conversations like
00:03:46> 00:03:48:	today. So I'm very excited to have her join us
00:03:48> 00:03:50:	and I'm going to pass it over to her to
00:03:50> 00:03:52:	be the Hostess for the rest of the day. Thanks
00:03:52> 00:03:53:	very much, Rachel.
00:03:54> 00:03:57:	Thank you Duncan. Thank you for the introduction. I appreciate
00:03:57> 00:04:00:	it and I'm happy to be here. I apologize for
00:04:00> 00:04:03:	my backdrop. I was hoping for a nice virtual one,
00:04:03> 00:04:05:	but with Mac we had some some technology issues so
00:04:06> 00:04:08:	my apologies. Yes, I think that this is going to
00:04:08> 00:04:11:	be a great chat. We have a diverse group here
00:04:11> 00:04:13:	to discuss the topic which I like. We have a
00:04:13> 00:04:16:	group of of panelists who I think will each offer
00:04:16> 00:04:19:	something unique and that's I think really important in this
00:04:19> 00:04:23:	discussion. So let's just jump right into it. I'm going
00:04:23> 00:04:25:	to pass a pass off to Peter Moonan.
00:04:25> 00:04:30:	National sustainability manager with the BC Wood Council. Peter, I'll
00:04:30> 00:04:31:	let you start.
00:04:32> 00:04:35:	Thanks, Rachel, I hope everyone can hear me Duncan. I'm
00:04:35> 00:04:39:	really glad that you sort of mentioned CLT or whatever
00:04:39> 00:04:42:	you whatever you have, because there's more than just one

00:04:42> 00:04:45:	mass timber product, this is CLT. It's for those of
00:04:45> 00:04:49:	you who are not familiar. It's essentially lumber that is
00:04:49> 00:04:52:	glued at right angles to layers above and below it,
00:04:52> 00:04:56:	typically at the minimum of thickness is 3 layers, but
00:04:56> 00:04:58:	it can go up to about 14 inches, which is
00:04:58> 00:05:02:	about 9 layers. It's and that's a solid wood product.
00:05:02> 00:05:05:	That is, you know is is serving as a panel.
00:05:05> 00:05:07:	It can be structural as a as a as a
00:05:07> 00:05:10:	wall or as a floor panel, but there's a couple
00:05:10> 00:05:14:	of other mass timber products that I think I should
00:05:14> 00:05:17:	mention too. I'm sure all of you are familiar with
00:05:17> 00:05:21:	laminated veneer lumber. This is a product that is continuously
00:05:21> 00:05:23:	fabricated in long and long.
00:05:23> 00:05:25:	Length, maybe it is just as you say.
00:05:26> 00:05:29:	And can be used as a wall, but it's typically
00:05:29> 00:05:31:	used as a header.
00:05:32> 00:05:34:	It's it's a a mass timber product and can be
00:05:35> 00:05:37:	used in as a floor sheet as well. Another is
00:05:37> 00:05:41:	timber strand lumber. This is made with very thin veneers.
00:05:41> 00:05:43:	You may look look at it and think that it
00:05:43> 00:05:44:	is OSB but it's not.
00:05:46> 00:05:48:	The layers are very thin. It's very stable, very strong,
00:05:48> 00:05:51:	and it's also typically used as a header. But like
00:05:51> 00:05:53:	LVL can be used in a vertical application if it's
00:05:53> 00:05:54:	glued up and made thicker.
00:05:55> 00:05:59:	And finally, there's parallam, which is a parallel strand lumber.
00:05:59> 00:06:02:	It is made with the same veneers as plywood and
00:06:02> 00:06:06:	as LDL, and is an extruded and pressed product so
00:06:06> 00:06:09:	it's put through a press that it compresses it on
00:06:09> 00:06:12:	all four sides treated and then it is cured and
00:06:12> 00:06:14:	it is used as a as a column or as
00:06:14> 00:06:17:	as a beam. So those are all mass timber products
00:06:17> 00:06:21:	that are available. So when I refer to mass timber,
00:06:21> 00:06:24:	I'm not just referring to CLT which is sort of
00:06:24> 00:06:26:	the the poster child for mass.
00:06:26> 00:06:28:	Number, so why is it? Why is it important?
00:06:29> 00:06:32:	The slide you're seeing there to me are some of
00:06:32> 00:06:36:	the things that are affecting how we build. Certainly we're
00:06:36> 00:06:42:	all aware of regulations, whether they're international
	reporting regulations, concerns
00:06:42> 00:06:45:	over the the role of of land based operational

00:06:45> 00:06:50:	considerations like energy use, and high performance. And, as Duncan
00:06:50> 00:06:54:	mentioned, embodied carbon. Those are are things which which are
00:06:54> 00:06:57:	external to our sector, but which impact our sector and
00:06:57> 00:06:59:	mass chamber has a role or.
00:06:59> 00:07:03:	Contribution to solving some of those problems. When we look
00:07:03> 00:07:06:	at technology and and the role that plays in codes,
00:07:06> 00:07:10:	there's technology around design and whether it's BIM software, whether
00:07:10> 00:07:14:	it's a static loading software, whether it's fire modeling, whether
00:07:14> 00:07:19:	it's seismic modeling, technology is advancing our understanding of products,
00:07:19> 00:07:23:	and that influences codes because codes must reflect what what
00:07:23> 00:07:26:	a product or material is capable of doing, not what
00:07:26> 00:07:28:	we would like it to do, and that that those
00:07:28> 00:07:29:	are changing.
00:07:29> 00:07:31:	Within the construction sector.
00:07:32> 00:07:35:	Uh, I guess there's a whole bunch of factors which
00:07:35> 00:07:38:	are affected are affecting us. There's the industrialization.
00:07:40> 00:07:45:	Whoever could just mute please. Thanks, there's with construction capacity.
00:07:45> 00:07:50:	We've got industrialized production which is occurring around the world,
00:07:50> 00:07:54:	not just in wood but with with steel with concrete
00:07:54> 00:07:58:	with with maystone and masonry and other materials, and that
00:07:58> 00:08:01:	is critical for for, I guess, continuity of the in
00:08:01> 00:08:05:	the in the construction sector. Given that we have trade
00:08:05> 00:08:09:	shortages and to be honest, the productivity in the construction
00:08:09> 00:08:10:	sector.
00:08:10> 00:08:14:	Is not very great. It's something the industry is well
00:08:14> 00:08:18:	aware of and just as an interesting little fact that
00:08:18> 00:08:22:	I I garnered from a large global construction organization, the
00:08:23> 00:08:28:	annual productive growth in productivity for the construction sector post
00:08:28> 00:08:30:	World War Two is .1% per year.
00:08:31> 00:08:35:	And you may think, well, that's that's crazy. It can't
00:08:35> 00:08:39:	be that way. Remember that productivity is not production. Productivity
00:08:39> 00:08:42:	is is basically how much each worker is capable of

00:08:42> 00:08:45:	producing, and it's that which hasn't grown. So mass timber
00:08:45> 00:08:49:	will play a role in enabling the construction sector to
00:08:49> 00:08:53:	have industrialized production because of the ability to machine this
00:08:53> 00:08:56:	material to very tight tolerances, which makes both assembly and
00:08:56> 00:09:00:	performance improve. And it also can help address the trade
00:09:00> 00:09:01:	shortages.
00:09:01> 00:09:05:	In talking to many of you probably know urban one
00:09:05> 00:09:08:	and and the the Brock Commons project. In that project
00:09:09> 00:09:12:	there were nine members on the crew that that built
00:09:12> 00:09:15:	17 of the 18 stories on the bottom floor. The
00:09:15> 00:09:19:	concrete sector there would have been up to 54 people
00:09:19> 00:09:22:	working at any one time, so the ability to have
00:09:22> 00:09:25:	a product go up quickly. A building can go up
00:09:25> 00:09:30:	quickly and accurately, safely and efficiently, is enhanced when you've
00:09:30> 00:09:31:	got a material.
00:09:31> 00:09:35:	Like mass timber or like prefabricated components that enable you
00:09:35> 00:09:36:	to do to do things better.
00:09:37> 00:09:41:	There's also been change within the wood product development. The
00:09:41> 00:09:44:	fact that we have CLT, the fact that we have
00:09:44> 00:09:47:	a systems that to manufacture and basically go directly from
00:09:47> 00:09:50:	design to fabrication is also an enhancement.
00:09:50> 00:09:53:	But these are being driven by a number of things
00:09:53> 00:09:56:	which affect the entire design and construction sector housing. We
00:09:57> 00:10:00:	all know that cities are getting denser. Most cities don't
00:10:00> 00:10:02:	have the opportunity to go out, so they have to
00:10:02> 00:10:05:	go up. So we have densification, and we also have
00:10:05> 00:10:08:	concerns over affordability. And codes are not lightening up to
00:10:09> 00:10:11:	saying, Oh yeah you can. You can have a lower
00:10:11> 00:10:15:	quality lower performing building so we're dealing with densification performance
00:10:15> 00:10:19:	and affordability, and mass timber and prefabricated wood elements have
00:10:19> 00:10:20:	a role to play.
00:10:20> 00:10:20:	There.
00:10:22> 00:10:25:	Finally, the last two are just starting to really gain
00:10:25> 00:10:30:	ground the the healthful buildings and biophilic design. Increasingly, we're

00:10:30> 00:10:34:	seeing that there are. There's there's science that proves that
00:10:34> 00:10:38:	the building itself, not its operations, but the building itself,
00:10:38> 00:10:41:	what it's made of the light, the sound, all of
00:10:41> 00:10:44:	those play a role in how we perform as as
00:10:44> 00:10:47:	an animal as a human being, because those the building
00:10:47> 00:10:52:	itself, the materials, natural light, acoustics, air quality that
	affects
00:10:52> 00:10:53:	our stress levels.
00:10:53> 00:10:56:	And if we have less stress level in in our
00:10:56> 00:11:00:	surroundings, we're better able to heal or learn or work
00:11:00> 00:11:03:	or or even relax. And finally, I'm sure most of
00:11:03> 00:11:06:	you are are aware of circular economy. We need to
00:11:06> 00:11:11:	start designing for deconstruction just before they started. Chris mentioned
00:11:11> 00:11:14:	that he's got recovered wood from in his back in
00:11:14> 00:11:17:	his background, which you'll see.
00:11:18> 00:11:20:	We need to be able to recover not just materials,
00:11:20> 00:11:23:	but the function of those of those materials. And if
00:11:23> 00:11:27:	you're designing a building to be deconstructed, you want to
00:11:27> 00:11:30:	capture what that element does, not just what it's made
00:11:30> 00:11:33:	of. No one's going to turn CLT back into lumber,
00:11:33> 00:11:35:	but if you've got a CLT, wall or floor, you
00:11:35> 00:11:38:	can reuse it as a floor, so so that's really
00:11:38> 00:11:41:	where I think there are opportunities for mass timber. I
00:11:41> 00:11:43:	will leave it at that and turn it back over
00:11:44> 00:11:45:	to Rachel to the next person.
00:11:47> 00:11:50:	Thank you so much, Peter. I appreciate that.
00:11:51> 00:11:54:	OK, we're going to go next to to Chris Hill,
00:11:54> 00:11:58:	President and partner of Bee collective Homes. Chris is going
00:11:58> 00:12:02:	to speak to sustainable and innovative building solutions. He's going
00:12:02> 00:12:06:	to talk about building to upcoming standards while navigating the
00:12:06> 00:12:09:	current state of the supply chain that impacts timelines and
00:12:10> 00:12:13:	budgets. This will also be explored. So Chris, I will
00:12:13> 00:12:14:	pass over to you.
00:12:14> 00:12:18:	Thanks, Rachel. I just this first slide I've used on
00:12:18> 00:12:21:	a lot of my presentations. Now I sort of climate
00:12:21> 00:12:24:	change is real. I think we've all felt this effect
00:12:24> 00:12:27:	in the last last few months and last year with
00:12:27> 00:12:31:	flooding, fires and and various disasters with NBC and.
00:12:32> 00:12:35:	Uh, the the kind of unfortunate news right now is

00:12:35> 00:12:38:	that the on the provincial level, the last data that
00:12:38> 00:12:40:	I could find recently was 2019, but our sort of
00:12:40> 00:12:44:	targets aren't. We're not trending in the right direction at
00:12:44> 00:12:47:	this point, and we're far from meeting our 2025 emission
00:12:47> 00:12:47:	targets.
00:12:48> 00:12:52:	At this point I hope we all know that buildings
00:12:52> 00:12:57:	are a contributing factor. 20.6 of the total emissions of
00:12:57> 00:13:01:	that 68 million tons is from buildings, and there's a
00:13:01> 00:13:04:	goal set by BC to reduce that by 50% by
00:13:05> 00:13:05:	2030.
00:13:07> 00:13:10:	So we're focusing on the often ignored low rise building
00:13:10> 00:13:13:	sector. We feel at least 50% of what gets built
00:13:13> 00:13:15:	in North America, and I think it's quite a bit
00:13:15> 00:13:18:	higher. Actually, in the Metro, Vancouver is low rise by
00:13:18> 00:13:21:	square footage. I'm not quite a bit higher. I think
00:13:21> 00:13:25:	it's about 60%. Small buildings are less reliant on structural,
00:13:25> 00:13:28:	concrete, and steel. More material options exist in this sector.
00:13:28> 00:13:32:	More existing carbon storing options for the sector, less
00.40.00 > 00.40.00	barriers
00:13:32> 00:13:36:	to innovation, and typically a large group looking forward- looking practitioners.
00:13:40> 00:13:43:	I'll say it, regardless of the faults, energy step code
00:13:43> 00:13:46:	is laid out the path forward, we sort of know
00:13:46> 00:13:49:	in this sector we know what's coming for our business.
00:13:49> 00:13:53:	We're typically targeting the highest level city of Vancouver
	for
00:13:53> 00:13:56:	contact is also at step code level 4, albeit slightly
00:13:56> 00:13:58:	different with their mandate.
00:13:59> 00:14:03:	All new construction will be net zero ready, so this
00:14:03> 00:14:06:	top line by 2030 in my opinion. That's 8 short
00:14:06> 00:14:07:	years.
00:14:08> 00:14:10:	If we take a closer look at energy and BC
00:14:10> 00:14:14:	compared to the country, and I think the supply is
00:14:14> 00:14:17:	good context, I apologize for this terrible graph. It's when
00:14:17> 00:14:20:	I pulled out. I highlighted BC and red so the
00:14:20> 00:14:24:	carbon impact of our primary energy renewable in BC. The
00:14:24> 00:14:27:	electrical grid is pretty low. This is CO2 generated per
00:14:27> 00:14:30:	kWh, broken down by the different provinces.
00:14:31> 00:14:35:	And applying that with embodied carbon and operational
	carbon, this
00:14:35> 00:14:38:	is a sample townhouse building. It's a full life cycle,
00:14:38> 00:14:42:	operating and embodied carbon emissions. This would be a
	passive

00:14:42> 00:14:46:	House level efficiency. We're showing three options of insulating materials,
00:14:47> 00:14:50:	including the boss system, which is a dense back silos
00:14:50> 00:14:53:	that I'll get into later. The jumps in this graph
00:14:53> 00:14:58:	include heat pump replacement, refrigerant, charging window replacement, and end
00:14:58> 00:15:01:	of life deconstruction and disposal right at the end.
00:15:01> 00:15:05:	Of note, it doesn't include the lumber panel salvage. As
00:15:05> 00:15:08:	Peter noted, my background is from unbuild years heritage numbers,
00:15:08> 00:15:12:	and they have the ability to salvage and potentially reuse
00:15:12> 00:15:14:	wood fiber and the boss system, but it's much more
00:15:15> 00:15:17:	difficult to reuse other products like spray foam.
00:15:19> 00:15:22:	For context, I found this graph is really kind of
00:15:22> 00:15:25:	interesting. This is the exact same building all we have
00:15:26> 00:15:29:	done is change the geographic location. This puts it in
00:15:29> 00:15:32:	Alberta, which dramatically changes the GHG and the carbon impact
00:15:32> 00:15:33:	SO.
00:15:33> 00:15:36:	For me, what the point of this is, this is
00:15:36> 00:15:39:	still a passive house building. It's just the GHG electricity
00:15:39> 00:15:40:	higher in that.
00:15:43> 00:15:46:	The point is, material choice matters. For low rise
	construction,
00:15:46> 00:15:49:	•
	construction,
00:15:46> 00:15:49:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59: 00:16:00> 00:16:03: 00:16:03> 00:16:06:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do this? In my opinion, the answers there for us now we use passive house or high efficiency. Building higher R values,
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59: 00:16:00> 00:16:03:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do this? In my opinion, the answers there for us now we use passive house or high efficiency. Building higher R
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59: 00:16:00> 00:16:03: 00:16:03> 00:16:06:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do this? In my opinion, the answers there for us now we use passive house or high efficiency. Building higher R values, low embodied carbon electrify everything utilizing clean
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59: 00:16:00> 00:16:03: 00:16:03> 00:16:06:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do this? In my opinion, the answers there for us now we use passive house or high efficiency. Building higher R values, low embodied carbon electrify everything utilizing clean energy. We can
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59: 00:16:00> 00:16:03: 00:16:06> 00:16:10: 00:16:10> 00:16:10:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do this? In my opinion, the answers there for us now we use passive house or high efficiency. Building higher R values, low embodied carbon electrify everything utilizing clean energy. We can get to 0 carbon meetings and change that trajectory.
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59: 00:16:00> 00:16:03: 00:16:03> 00:16:06: 00:16:10> 00:16:10:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do this? In my opinion, the answers there for us now we use passive house or high efficiency. Building higher R values, low embodied carbon electrify everything utilizing clean energy. We can get to 0 carbon meetings and change that trajectory. There still needs to be innovation, but in general we
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59: 00:16:00> 00:16:03: 00:16:06> 00:16:06: 00:16:10> 00:16:10: 00:16:11> 00:16:13: 00:16:14> 00:16:17: 00:16:17> 00:16:19:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do this? In my opinion, the answers there for us now we use passive house or high efficiency. Building higher R values, low embodied carbon electrify everything utilizing clean energy. We can get to 0 carbon meetings and change that trajectory. There still needs to be innovation, but in general we have the path forward. I believe there be a paradigm shift in the residential construction industry and this really
00:15:46> 00:15:49: 00:15:49> 00:15:52: 00:15:52> 00:15:54: 00:15:54> 00:15:56: 00:15:56> 00:15:58: 00:15:58> 00:15:59: 00:16:00> 00:16:03: 00:16:06> 00:16:10: 00:16:10> 00:16:10: 00:16:11> 00:16:17: 00:16:14> 00:16:19: 00:16:19> 00:16:23:	construction, this is all about using the existing advanced light frame technology we have and combining with carbon storing insulating as the walls get thicker, we're going to have to increase our value in our R values of our walls and they're going to get thicker. So how do we do this? In my opinion, the answers there for us now we use passive house or high efficiency. Building higher R values, low embodied carbon electrify everything utilizing clean energy. We can get to 0 carbon meetings and change that trajectory. There still needs to be innovation, but in general we have the path forward. I believe there be a paradigm shift in the residential construction industry and this really becomes

00:16:33> 00:16:35:	this is obviously from 100 years ago, but a lot
00:16:36> 00:16:39:	of construction sites are still following the exact same
	process,
00:16:39> 00:16:43:	something that we've noticed as well as our projects.
00:16:44> 00:16:47:	Across the board and a lot of people are complaining
00:16:47> 00:16:50:	about this. They're getting very complicated. Construction is is
00:16:50> 00:16:53:	more attuned to rocket ships and those sort of pieces.
00:16:53> 00:16:56:	So how do we deal with this ever growing complexity?
00:16:58> 00:17:01:	A solution that I won't talk about is if we
00:17:01> 00:17:04:	take those values that I've already listed is is off
00:17:04> 00:17:07:	size construction and the the various benefits that off site
00:17:07> 00:17:10:	construction can do. I believe it can reduce the overall
00:17:10> 00:17:13:	cost when you look at full picture, even though comparing
00:17:13> 00:17:16:	stick framing or other systems to that on the immediate
00:17:16> 00:17:20:	cost is higher, but overall cost can be reduced. Timeline
00:17:20> 00:17:22:	is definitely able to be reduced. I think a big
00:17:22> 00:17:25:	one is going to be a major factor of supply
00:17:25> 00:17:27:	chain. Factories can smooth out a lot of the supply
00:17:28> 00:17:28:	chain industries.
00:17:29> 00:17:31:	Use less waste and you can start to look at
00:17:31> 00:17:34:	more stronger buying power. And as Peter alluded to, I
00:17:34> 00:17:36:	think we're all aware that there is going to be
00:17:36> 00:17:41:	some significant labor shortages in skilled trades and offsite construction,
00:17:41> 00:17:43:	and factory is is a potential answer for that.
00:17:45> 00:17:48:	What we've discovered is a massive balancing act between flexibility
00:17:48> 00:17:52:	and standardization. Offsite constructions with the balance between these two
00:17:52> 00:17:54:	buildings need to be unique, but we need to be
00:17:54> 00:17:58:	able to produce them in more efficient ways through standardization.
00:17:58> 00:18:01:	So where is that balancing point? And that's something I
00:18:01> 00:18:03:	think the industry still needs to discover.
00:18:04> 00:18:08:	It's also key. Off-site construction is not simply about the
00:18:08> 00:18:11:	use of simple wall panels. It's a holistic, systematic approach
00:18:11> 00:18:15:	to building faster, better, smarter, safer, and more sustainably. It's
00:18:15> 00:18:19:	not about panels, it's about process improvement. In the panels
00:18:19> 00:18:22:	are simply only one component. In that process, they're merely
00:18:22> 00:18:26:	output of a very detailed process improvement exercise and

so

00:18:26> 00:18:28:	on. That idea of this idea of off-site process in
00:18:29> 00:18:31:	5 minutes I can't go too deep on this, so
00:18:31> 00:18:33:	there's a bit of a repeat, but.
00:18:33> 00:18:34:	Umm?
00:18:35> 00:18:39:	
00.10.35> 00.10.35.	This process is really what happens before construction starts, so
00:18:39> 00:18:42:	getting the team together for an integrated design process at
00:18:42> 00:18:46:	the very start and understanding the project delivery method and
00:18:46> 00:18:48:	creating a plan, what often this creates is is.
00:18:49> 00:18:51:	About it's about choosing a system. What is the wall
00:18:52> 00:18:54:	panel? What are what are we choosing in a system
00:18:54> 00:18:54:	to go for us?
00:18:55> 00:18:58:	In the industry right now, this could potentially mean choosing
00:18:58> 00:19:01:	a single source supply chain or specifying a specific firm
00:19:01> 00:19:03:	that supplies the proprietary system.
00:19:04> 00:19:07:	Right, in my opinion this can increase the risk exposure
00:19:07> 00:19:10:	on a project and in an emerging and changing industry.
00:19:11> 00:19:12:	Umm?
00:19:13> 00:19:17:	Inherently, prefab creates shop drawings and forces the creation of
00:19:17> 00:19:20:	a digital twin. This is a critical component on this
00:19:20> 00:19:22:	place, but how do we? How do we eliminate the
00:19:22> 00:19:25:	system piece? So with this sort of plot pieces in
00:19:25> 00:19:26:	place?
00:19:27> 00:19:30:	I was successful in writing a grant, and we've developed
00:19:30> 00:19:34:	a system we called Boss Building off-site sustainable systems. The
00:19:34> 00:19:37:	idea is to reduce the learning curve for a fragmented
00:19:37> 00:19:40:	industry, so it's a complete system for building homes that
00:19:40> 00:19:43:	are affordable and carbon neutral. Following the values that I
00:19:43> 00:19:47:	showed you and our approach is collaborative, open source and
00:19:47> 00:19:50:	benefits the entire industry. So this is we want to
00:19:50> 00:19:53:	distribute this widely. It's and there's no IP, it's not
00:19:53> 00:19:56:	a proprietary system that is just to find some standardization
00:19:56> 00:19:57:	across the board.
00:19:58> 00:20:01:	Give everyone a detailed system that can be constantly improved
00:20:01> 00:20:04:	and advanced. The close panel market, so we've chosen to
00:20:04> 00:20:07:	to not franchise or do anything with this app, so
00:20:07> 00:20:09:	it's literally free for everybody.

00:20:11> 00:20:14:	Obviously open source term is stolen from the the software
00:20:14> 00:20:17:	industry, but the term open source refers to any program
00:20:17> 00:20:20:	system, the source code content is made available for use
00:20:20> 00:20:23:	or modification as users or other developers see fit. Unlike
00:20:23> 00:20:27:	proprietary content, open source programmer system is developed as a
00:20:27> 00:20:31:	public open collaboration and made freely available. This means it
00:20:31> 00:20:34:	can be produced by multiple factories and suppliers in the
00:20:34> 00:20:36:	industry. This is how we I think we can see
00:20:36> 00:20:40:	the industry advance and move past the proprietary single source
00:20:40> 00:20:41:	systems and have a a spec.
00:20:41> 00:20:45:	Where you can spec off-site prefabrication systems and have it
00:20:45> 00:20:49:	supplied by multiple suppliers. I also believe collaboration in the
00:20:49> 00:20:54:	industry will move innovation forward, increase the potential capacity available.
00:20:54> 00:20:57:	This is really just the start of something that we're
00:20:58> 00:21:01:	hoping to see, grow and definitely need assistance and help
00:21:01> 00:21:05:	and and adopt adoption of a collaboration with everybody.
00:21:05> 00:21:07:	So that's what I got. Thank you.
00:21:09> 00:21:12:	Thanks very much Chris. Appreciate all that detail. I look
00:21:12> 00:21:15:	forward to the discussion around your program.
00:21:16> 00:21:20:	OK, we're going to move on to Zach Ross, President
00:21:20> 00:21:23:	of the Cape Group. Zach is on the front lines
00:21:23> 00:21:27:	of residential and commercial building of all kinds in BC
00:21:27> 00:21:31:	and beyond across Canada. He's going to speak to financing
00:21:31> 00:21:36:	mass timber projects, the challenges of rising costs, how the
00:21:36> 00:21:41:	company is structuring their team with sustainability talents, front and
00:21:41> 00:21:44:	center. So I will pass off now to the President
00:21:44> 00:21:46:	of Cape Group, Zach Ross.
00:21:48> 00:21:52:	Thanks Rachel, firstly thanks everybody for being here today with
00:21:52> 00:21:55:	us. It's a pleasure to be here with everyone's Cape.
00:21:55> 00:21:58:	Groups of three generational family business I'm. I'm a third
00:21:58> 00:22:02:	generation. My my grandfather started the company and the prairies
00:22:02> 00:22:04:	in the 1950s and this has been in the forefront
00:22:04> 00:22:09:	of construction development development methodologies since since our inception.

00:22:10> 00:22:13:	So really, the question that we get asked all time
00:22:13> 00:22:16:	is here from Pierre Chris about all these factors. But
00:22:16> 00:22:19:	how do we actually do this now? Like how do
00:22:19> 00:22:22:	we take all that information and actually bring a tangible
00:22:22> 00:22:25:	product to the market so we get tossed every day
00:22:25> 00:22:27:	with trying to figure out how do we design these
00:22:28> 00:22:30:	buildings? How do we finance them? How do we end
00:22:30> 00:22:34:	up getting people into these buildings and actually living and
00:22:34> 00:22:37:	using them? So some of the challenges that we face
00:22:37> 00:22:40:	are actually discussions with financing institutions.
00:22:41> 00:22:44:	Just getting some education out there about, you know this
00:22:44> 00:22:47:	is a wood building, but it's actually, you know, classified
00:22:47> 00:22:50:	more as as a a mass timber building so the
00:22:50> 00:22:53:	the fire ratings are different. We have to have conversation
00:22:53> 00:22:56:	about the lifespan of these buildings. You know they're not
00:22:56> 00:22:59:	going to fall over. Some people don't really understand that
00:22:59> 00:23:03:	this is a different methodology than wood frame construction,
	so
00:23:03> 00:23:06:	there's a big education piece we've been working with the
00:23:06> 00:23:10:	municipal governments, the federal governments, the
00:23:10> 00:23:12:	provincial governments to try and understand and educate.
00:23:10> 00:23:12:	
00:23:15> 00:23:19:	Uh, and and to create programs to actually facilitate the
00:23:15> 00:23:19:	construction of these mass timber buildings. One thing that
	we
00:23:19> 00:23:22:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these
00:23:19> 00:23:22: 00:23:22> 00:23:25:	We
	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these
00:23:22> 00:23:25:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36: 00:23:36> 00:23:39:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within the zoning and policy guidelines outlined by the city.
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36: 00:23:36> 00:23:39: 00:23:40> 00:23:43:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within the zoning and policy guidelines outlined by the city. As an example, Toronto has a zoning and design guideline
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36: 00:23:36> 00:23:39: 00:23:40> 00:23:43: 00:23:43> 00:23:46:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within the zoning and policy guidelines outlined by the city. As an example, Toronto has a zoning and design guideline that requires an angular plane to be applied to the
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36: 00:23:36> 00:23:39: 00:23:40> 00:23:43: 00:23:43> 00:23:46: 00:23:46> 00:23:50:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within the zoning and policy guidelines outlined by the city. As an example, Toronto has a zoning and design guideline that requires an angular plane to be applied to the buildings that can be a challenge with step back terracing
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36: 00:23:36> 00:23:39: 00:23:40> 00:23:43: 00:23:43> 00:23:46: 00:23:46> 00:23:50: 00:23:50> 00:23:54:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within the zoning and policy guidelines outlined by the city. As an example, Toronto has a zoning and design guideline that requires an angular plane to be applied to the buildings that can be a challenge with step back terracing in these projects as it creates various different loading on
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36: 00:23:36> 00:23:39: 00:23:40> 00:23:43: 00:23:43> 00:23:46: 00:23:46> 00:23:50: 00:23:50> 00:23:54: 00:23:54> 00:23:56:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within the zoning and policy guidelines outlined by the city. As an example, Toronto has a zoning and design guideline that requires an angular plane to be applied to the buildings that can be a challenge with step back terracing in these projects as it creates various different loading on the building that isn't susceptible for.
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36: 00:23:36> 00:23:39: 00:23:40> 00:23:43: 00:23:43> 00:23:46: 00:23:46> 00:23:50: 00:23:50> 00:23:54: 00:23:54> 00:23:56: 00:23:58> 00:24:01:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within the zoning and policy guidelines outlined by the city. As an example, Toronto has a zoning and design guideline that requires an angular plane to be applied to the buildings that can be a challenge with step back terracing in these projects as it creates various different loading on the building that isn't susceptible for. Mass timber construction so you know trying to educate and
00:23:22> 00:23:25: 00:23:25> 00:23:28: 00:23:28> 00:23:32: 00:23:32> 00:23:36: 00:23:36> 00:23:39: 00:23:40> 00:23:43: 00:23:43> 00:23:46: 00:23:46> 00:23:50: 00:23:50> 00:23:54: 00:23:54> 00:23:56: 00:23:58> 00:24:01: 00:24:01> 00:24:05:	face as a challenge is the actual constructability of these projects, because mass timber is actually it wants to be a square box and so the question is, is how do you actually provide articulation and architectural features to the building spectrum aesthetically pleasing at the same time fitting within the zoning and policy guidelines outlined by the city. As an example, Toronto has a zoning and design guideline that requires an angular plane to be applied to the buildings that can be a challenge with step back terracing in these projects as it creates various different loading on the building that isn't susceptible for. Mass timber construction so you know trying to educate and that's working groups like Peter to try and actually have

00:24:16> 00:24:19:	onto the market. We have to get them on to
00:24:19> 00:24:22:	the like into the system as fast as possible. So
00:24:22> 00:24:25:	how do we take a property from green dirt?
00:24:26> 00:24:29:	To actually becoming a livable space. And so the you
00:24:29> 00:24:32:	know the question is is a city process getting
00:24:32> 00:24:36:	our permits in place. It's about working with our pre
00:24:36> 00:24:40:	construction teams and and Chris mentioned a lot about the
00:24:40> 00:24:45:	pre manufacturing prefabrication off-site construction. That's absolutely critical in this
00:24:45> 00:24:49:	and it's creating a process exactly what Chris mentioned. This
00:24:49> 00:24:53:	is 1 giant process from from inception to completion.
00:24:54> 00:24:57:	And so if we're going to bring housing starts on
00:24:57> 00:25:01:	and focusing a lot on the environmental components of being
00:25:01> 00:25:04:	sustainable builders, we need to find better ways to have
00:25:04> 00:25:08:	everybody sitting at the table at the beginning rather than
00:25:08> 00:25:11:	trying to, you know, get down the path and hitting
00:25:11> 00:25:14:	a stumbling block and saying, Oh well, you know we
00:25:14> 00:25:17:	need a better process for getting our approvals done, or
00:25:17> 00:25:21:	a better process for actually shipping these materials to site.
00:25:22> 00:25:25:	And then it's it's an education for the buyers in
00:25:25> 00:25:28:	the market. Our background is actually as rental builders, so
00:25:28> 00:25:31:	it's a little bit less of an education there. But
00:25:31> 00:25:33:	if you're going to go spend, you know your life
00:25:33> 00:25:36:	savings on a down payment, you want to know that
00:25:36> 00:25:38:	this is a very sturdy and quality product, and I
00:25:38> 00:25:42:	think the market needs a little bit more education. Understanding
00:25:42> 00:25:45:	a bit more about the fact that mass timber actually
00:25:45> 00:25:47:	provides a happier and healthier lifestyle.
00:25:48> 00:25:51:	It's something that us as a community and people who
00:25:51> 00:25:55:	are looking to bring these buildings into fruition are going
00:25:55> 00:25:58:	to have to spend some time actually educating the buyer
00:25:58> 00:26:02:	market and the marketing teams to actually sell this as
00:26:02> 00:26:06:	a premium to concrete construction or wood frame. So those
00:26:06> 00:26:08:	are the changes that we face.
00:26:09> 00:26:12:	As a development and construction management company and looking forward
00:26:12> 00:26:15:	to answer anybody's questions that they might have when it
00:26:15> 00:26:17:	comes down to the questions. So I'll pass back to
00:26:17> 00:26:17:	Rachel.
00:26:20> 00:26:22:	Thanks very much Zach, appreciate that.
00:26:23> 00:26:26:	OK, we're going to move on to our final speaker.

00:26:26> 00:26:30:	Stefan Labbe is a journalist with Glacier Media Solutions journalist
00:26:30> 00:26:34:	covering climate and environment, and he's on the panel today.
00:26:34> 00:26:37:	It was actually something that I thought would be would
00:26:37> 00:26:41:	be interesting to have a journalist join us who cover
00:26:41> 00:26:44:	sustainability. He will highlight some of the issues and trends
00:26:44> 00:26:48:	he has witnessed in speaking with sources and covering issues
00:26:48> 00:26:53:	related to sustainability, in particular sustainable building, so I'll pass
00:26:53> 00:26:54:	it on to Stefan now.
00:26:54> 00:26:55:	Thanks very much.
00:26:58> 00:27:01:	Hi, thanks for having me. I appreciate the invitation.
00:27:02> 00:27:05:	And I'm coming at this from a different angle. I'm
00:27:05> 00:27:07:	obviously not an expert like a lot of people here
00:27:07> 00:27:11:	today, although I'm sure I'm alright. And explainer about mass
00:27:11> 00:27:12:	timber in the near future.
00:27:15> 00:27:18:	What I'd like to bring to this conversation is some
00:27:18> 00:27:20:	of the reporting I did last year on how.
00:27:21> 00:27:23:	Climate change is affecting people now.
00:27:24> 00:27:28:	It's reporting that raises questions not only about how or
00:27:28> 00:27:31:	what we build, but what's lost along the way, so
00:27:32> 00:27:36:	this reporting really got started last summer during the heat
00:27:36> 00:27:39:	Dome, when something like 600 people died in BC alone.
00:27:39> 00:27:42:	You know, we we all learned a couple of weeks
00:27:42> 00:27:45:	later that it was made 150 times more likely due
00:27:46> 00:27:49:	to climate change, and we'd be seeing such heat waves
00:27:49> 00:27:51:	again as early as the twenty 40s.
00:27:52> 00:27:55:	So as a reporter I started looking for patterns. You
00:27:55> 00:27:58:	know, where did people die who suffered most? What did
00:27:58> 00:28:01:	they have in common, and how could we do better
00:28:01> 00:28:02:	the next time?
00:28:03> 00:28:06:	Just let me add one more point to walk through
00:28:06> 00:28:09:	the downtown east side, a place called Crab Park with
00:28:09> 00:28:12:	a woman named Vanessa. She lives on the street.
00:28:13> 00:28:16:	When the heat don't came, she was measuring. She told
00:28:16> 00:28:19:	me she measured survival in those spaces between shade. You
00:28:19> 00:28:22:	know, getting into the life of a building or water.
00:28:22> 00:28:24:	You know she drenched herself when she could find a
00:28:24> 00:28:25:	tap.

00:28:26> 00:28:29:	Really, the reporting says you know in a city that's
00:28:29> 00:28:32:	known for its green spaces, you know where Vanessa lives
00:28:32> 00:28:35:	as she put it, you don't have these big lush
00:28:35> 00:28:35:	trees.
00:28:37> 00:28:39:	So by the end of the summer, I've finally got
00:28:39> 00:28:42:	some data to back up the anecdotes I was hearing
00:28:42> 00:28:46:	compared to lush areas of Vancouver like Shaughnessy or
00.20.46 > 00.20.50.	point Crow heat related CD visits, tripled the resimble rheads where
00:28:46> 00:28:50:	Gray heat related. ER visits, tripled the neighborhoods where trees
00:28:50> 00:28:53:	were scarce and where temperatures were highest.
00:28:54> 00:28:57:	So what does that mean? Some modeling that's been done
00:28:58> 00:29:01:	here in Vancouver suggested a pedestrian standing under a tree
00:29:01> 00:29:04:	can feel up to it. 17 degree drop in temperature,
00:29:04> 00:29:07:	and if you multiply that across an urban forest, you
00:29:07> 00:29:11:	can drive down temperatures across an entire neighborhood. This has
00:29:11> 00:29:14:	been shown in city after city. So another way to
00:29:14> 00:29:17:	put it is a well placed tree can save lives.
00:29:19> 00:29:22:	The problem here in Vancouver and Metro Vancouver is that
00:29:22> 00:29:26:	tree canopies are facing an overwhelming decline, and experts tell
00:29:26> 00:29:28:	me the biggest culprit is is development.
00:29:29> 00:29:32:	You know it tears up the land where these mature
00:29:32> 00:29:32:	trees grow.
00:29:33> 00:29:37:	Something like 32 percent is left according to calculations done
00:29:37> 00:29:38:	several years ago.
00:29:39> 00:29:42:	What I'm hearing from all of my fellow panelists is
00:29:42> 00:29:46:	fascinating, and I hope these solutions work. These stakes cannot
00:29:46> 00:29:49:	be higher, but you know, we we all hear leading
00:29:49> 00:29:52:	climate. Scientists say that we're facing things like a a
00:29:52> 00:29:56:	global wildfire crisis, and we need industries to step up
00:29:56> 00:29:59:	
	and find ways to remove billions of tons of wood
00:29:59> 00:30:00:	fuel from our forests.
	•
00:29:59> 00:30:00: 00:30:01> 00:30:03: 00:30:03> 00:30:07:	fuel from our forests.
00:29:59> 00:30:00: 00:30:01> 00:30:03:	fuel from our forests. It's kind of the way I think of it as a hangover from 150 years of of shortsighted forestry
00:29:59> 00:30:00: 00:30:01> 00:30:03: 00:30:03> 00:30:07:	fuel from our forests. It's kind of the way I think of it as a hangover from 150 years of of shortsighted forestry practices.
00:29:59> 00:30:00: 00:30:01> 00:30:03: 00:30:03> 00:30:07: 00:30:08> 00:30:11:	fuel from our forests. It's kind of the way I think of it as a hangover from 150 years of of shortsighted forestry practices. We also need ways to lower our emissions from cement

00:30:18> 00:30:21:	But experts also tell me that we can't simply build
00:30:21> 00:30:24:	our way out of a climate out of climate change,
00:30:24> 00:30:26:	and as many of you know, mass timber will never
00:30:27> 00:30:29:	be sustainable without sustainable forestry practices.
00:30:31> 00:30:32:	The same time.
00:30:33> 00:30:36:	In cities where most of humanity lives now, urban forests
00:30:36> 00:30:40:	will matter too. So to protect our cities from dangerous
00:30:40> 00:30:43:	heat islands, flooding even cold snaps, I'm told we need
00:30:43> 00:30:46:	to roll out development that can find a way to
00:30:46> 00:30:49:	keep these mature climate resilient trees alive.
00:30:50> 00:30:51:	Here's where it gets even trickier.
00:30:53> 00:30:56:	The way the trees are planted in the city.
00:30:58> 00:31:01:	It means that we can't plant them at mature. They
00:31:01> 00:31:05:	they're small, spindly trees that we plant as of the
00:31:05> 00:31:09:	head of Vancouver's Aubrey Department. Put it to me. Our
00:31:09> 00:31:12:	built spaces are really limiting us, so I guess my
00:31:12> 00:31:16:	question to the people here looking to build more mass
00:31:16> 00:31:17:	timber buildings is.
00:31:18> 00:31:21:	What are you doing outside of your buildings? What are
00:31:21> 00:31:24:	you doing to make sure our cities have enough matriarchies
00:31:24> 00:31:24:	left to?
00:31:26> 00:31:26:	To keep us safe.
00:31:29> 00:31:30:	Thanks.
00:31:33> 00:31:37:	Thank you very much, Stephen. I appreciate that that
	information.
00:31:37> 00:31:40:	And yes, we can clearly see over the past couple
00:31:40> 00:31:44:	of years in BC that the climate is changing drastically
00:31:44> 00:31:46:	and quickly, and it's.
00:31:46> 00:31:49:	It's been frightening, so I appreciate you bringing that to
00:31:49> 00:31:49:	the table.
00:31:51> 00:31:55:	OK, we're going to move on to some moderated discussion
00:31:55> 00:31:58:	and take some questions from our.
00:31:58> 00:32:01:	Audience as well. I'm going to start off with some
00:32:01> 00:32:03:	questions that that I have kind of put together based
00:32:03> 00:32:05:	on kind of what the speakers have brought up, and
00:32:05> 00:32:07:	then we'll open it up to the audience. If that
00:32:07> 00:32:08:	sounds OK with everyone.
00:32:10> 00:32:11:	Umm?
00:32:12> 00:32:16:	Just based on on on Stefan having you just spoken,
00:32:16> 00:32:20:	I think we should maybe start there by focusing a
00:32:20> 00:32:22:	little bit on solutions so.
00:32:23> 00:32:26:	Anyone on the panel can answer this question. I'm going

00:32:26> 00:32:27:	to open it to anyone.
00:32:29> 00:32:30:	When it comes to.
00:32:31> 00:32:32:	Solutions.
00:32:33> 00:32:36:	Outside of the buildings themselves, so as Stefan brings up,
00:32:36> 00:32:40:	so when you're looking at your landscape outside of your
00:32:40> 00:32:43:	lower high rise when you're looking at your single family
00:32:43> 00:32:47:	home, your yard, your space, Umm, are there any solutions
00:32:47> 00:32:50:	that have come to the table outside of the building
00:32:50> 00:32:53:	itself that would help to maintain and protect trees and
00:32:53> 00:32:56:	environment that can help in in in keeping keeping our
00:32:57> 00:32:59:	earth cool and and providing the shade that we so
00:32:59> 00:33:03:	desperately need? If you would like to speak to that.
00:33:11> 00:33:14:	I can attempt to put my hand on that one.
00:33:16> 00:33:19:	I think what we're I mean, we're trying to apply
00:33:19> 00:33:21:	a holistic design in in the buildings that we're doing,
00:33:21> 00:33:23:	and I know that there's this.
00:33:24> 00:33:28:	We're fighting against two like housing in general, to house
00:33:28> 00:33:31:	more people so that we're we're, we have the environments
00:33:32> 00:33:35:	that are made like shelter. One of those basic human
00:33:35> 00:33:35:	needs.
00:33:36> 00:33:39:	So I think there's this. There's this juxtaposition between
	we're
00:33:39> 00:33:40:	seeing more and more.
00:33:40> 00:33:43:	Development and I think soft density is critical. I think
00:33:44> 00:33:46:	that I I believe missing middle is a good place
00:33:46> 00:33:49:	and I'm hoping that the Multiplex piece that the City
00:33:49> 00:33:52:	of Vancouver is putting through in zoning can go through
00:33:52> 00:33:53:	and that that.
00:33:54> 00:33:57:	Has the potential to increase the number of people that
00:33:57> 00:34:00:	have a house and can provide the appropriate shelter within
00:34:00> 00:34:03:	a healthy space and then specific to trees.
00:34:04> 00:34:06:	I don't know anything. I also state that I don't
00:34:06> 00:34:10:	there's anything sustainable, single family homes. I think that's sort
00:34:10> 00:34:11:	of a thing of the past.
00:34:12> 00:34:15:	They're they're just not there, and I'm saying that as
00:34:15> 00:34:17:	a single family home builder that is quite quickly changing
00:34:17> 00:34:19:	and working our way up the missing middle.
00:34:20> 00:34:20:	Umm?
00:34:22> 00:34:24:	The the trees on on the size scale toward doing
00:34:24> 00:34:26:	it. We're we're trying to save as many trees as
00:34:26> 00:34:28:	we can in in our neighborhoods, but I thought is

00:34:28> 00:34:31:	that balance of where the density comes for the appropriate
00:34:31> 00:34:32:	housing in that space so?
00:34:33> 00:34:35:	That's what I see, and I think it's a. It's
00:34:35> 00:34:37:	a common. It's a. It's a big issue though. We
00:34:37> 00:34:39:	need to. We need our green space and to avoid
00:34:39> 00:34:41:	this extreme heating that's going to happen in our cities.
00:34:45> 00:34:45:	Thank you Chris.
00:34:47> 00:34:50:	I'm going to shift a little bit here to to
00:34:50> 00:34:54:	cost. Lately that's been a topic that has been high
00:34:54> 00:34:55:	on everyone's.
00:34:56> 00:35:00:	Discussion point and that is around the fluctuating cost of
00:35:00> 00:35:03:	mass timber and how that's affecting projects, whether or not
00:35:04> 00:35:06:	they're moving ahead, how they're moving ahead.
00:35:08> 00:35:10:	Maybe I can ask you Peter, what are your thoughts
00:35:10> 00:35:14:	about the fluctuating mass timber costs? I mean, right now
00:35:14> 00:35:17:	they've they've spiked quite a bit and it can affect
00:35:17> 00:35:18:	a projects.
00:35:18> 00:35:22:	You know viability, so I'll pass that question to you.
00:35:22> 00:35:26:	Fair enough yeah. Thanks Rachel. Yeah yeah the the
	fluctuation
00:35:26> 00:35:30:	wood has been bigger than anything I've ever seen in
00:35:30> 00:35:32:	the last, you know 30 years. Or actually my my
00:35:33> 00:35:35:	father was in the industry as well. We just we
00:35:36> 00:35:39:	just don't see prices of \$2000 a thousand. I don't
00:35:39> 00:35:42:	think it'll get up that way. I'm not. I'm not
00:35:42> 00:35:45:	a fortune teller certainly, but I think that every material
00:35:45> 00:35:49:	has its cycles. Right now we're seeing fuel costs.
00:35:49> 00:35:51:	Go up and then we we see steel costs have
00:35:51> 00:35:54:	gone up. I think it's it poses a real challenge
00:35:54> 00:35:58:	for anybody who's planning a project to three years down
00:35:58> 00:36:01:	the road. And Zach, you're a builder and developer and
00:36:01> 00:36:05:	I think you'll you'll. You know that that's probably one
00:36:05> 00:36:08:	of the biggest challenges and I think from the point
00:36:08> 00:36:11:	of view of wood there are going to be fluctuations.
00:36:11> 00:36:14:	But I think we also have to recognize that the
00:36:14> 00:36:18:	materials that build the structure are about 20%, you know,
00:36:18> 00:36:19:	18 to 2825%.
00:36:19> 00:36:22:	Of the total cost of the building, what the building
00:36:22> 00:36:25:	has inside it is also an important component.
00:36:25> 00:36:28:	So we're always going to see prices go up and
00:36:28> 00:36:33:	prices go down. Increasingly we are having mass timber
	producers
00:36:33> 00:36:37:	and glue land producers try to establish longer term supply

00:36:37> 00:36:41:	agreements with with sources of wood, and that's in the
00:36:41> 00:36:44:	in the best interest of both, because if prices go
00:36:44> 00:36:48:	way up, the mass timber producer, if he doesn't have
00:36:48> 00:36:51:	their own supply, can can have a a guaranteed maximum
00:36:51> 00:36:55:	price and a primary producer can say look if the
00:36:55> 00:36:55:	price.
00:36:55> 00:36:58:	Was down to 400. You're still going to pay 500,
00:36:58> 00:37:00:	but if it goes up to 900 you're not gonna
00:37:00> 00:37:04:	pay more than 750. So I think those relationships are
00:37:04> 00:37:07:	developing, but the construction sector is always having to
	deal
00:37:07> 00:37:11:	with price fluctuations and I I don't think that that's
00:37:11> 00:37:13:	ever going to change, but I think Zach might have
00:37:14> 00:37:17:	a bit more experience with the difficulties of pricing for
00:37:17> 00:37:18:	projects down the road.
00:37:19> 00:37:21:	Yeah, happy to jump in on that one too. Beer,
00:37:21> 00:37:23:	then you nailed on the head and then we're we're
00:37:23> 00:37:25:	in conversations with our suppliers and.
00:37:25> 00:37:28:	All the way down the supply chain, it's it's really
00:37:28> 00:37:31:	important to have some kind of cost certainty. If you
00:37:31> 00:37:34:	look at the last 10 year average for lumber, I
00:37:34> 00:37:37:	mean it hovered around 500 ish per thousand and you
00:37:37> 00:37:40:	know in the last year you've seen price fluctuations of
00:37:40> 00:37:43:	1000 plus or minus \$1000, so you know when you're
00:37:43> 00:37:47:	planning and and you know the structure of the building
00:37:47> 00:37:50:	is one of the most important decisions you can make
00:37:50> 00:37:52:	at a very early stage of the design, so you
00:37:52> 00:37:56:	know you're making decisions that aren't actually to come into
00:37:56> 00:37:56:	fruition.
00:37:56> 00:38:00:	For potentially one to three years, depending on permitting and
00:38:00> 00:38:03:	design that timeline so you know you have to take
00:38:03> 00:38:06:	a best guess and you know sometimes you get lucky
00:38:07> 00:38:09:	and sometimes you get stuck and your point is is
00:38:10> 00:38:13:	very valid. If you're like you know, you look inside
00:38:13> 00:38:17:	these buildings and these materials people think you know
	steel
00:38:17> 00:38:20:	and and aluminum and all these things are just relative
00:38:20> 00:38:23:	to big picture items, but you actually look at the
00:38:23> 00:38:27:	components of all the electrical items and mechanical.
00:38:27> 00:38:30:	These things are all manufactured from these materials, and so

00:38:30> 00:38:33:	they're it's not just a matter of or is it
00:38:33> 00:38:36:	a steel building? Or is it a wood building? Concrete
00:38:36> 00:38:39:	rebar? There's a lot of materials in.
00:38:40> 00:38:43:	Pieces of these buildings that you don't even see, and
00:38:43> 00:38:45:	so that does have a very, you know difficult. It
00:38:45> 00:38:48:	gives us a difficult time in terms of trying to
00:38:48> 00:38:52:	price these buildings, especially when they're so far down the
00:38:52> 00:38:54:	line. So I guess that's that's what makes our job
00:38:54> 00:38:57:	fun. Is that we get to try and forecast and
00:38:57> 00:39:00:	foresee what these changes are going to be, but that's
00:39:00> 00:39:02:	why it can be a challenge, and I don't think
00:39:02> 00:39:05:	the people in in the market give people in our
00:39:05> 00:39:08:	industry enough credit for what we actually do because it
00:39:08> 00:39:09:	is quite challenging.
00:39:12> 00:39:13:	Thank you both.
00:39:14> 00:39:17:	Chris, I just wanted to ask you quickly your your
00:39:17> 00:39:21:	boss program. Let's say a call it a recipe for
00:39:21> 00:39:25:	for meeting code and and building with sustainability. Top
00:39:25> 00:39:28:	of mind, you're doing this open source and you want
00:39:29> 00:39:32:	to provide it to the industry, correct for free. So
00:39:32> 00:39:36:	how? How does that work and what is that something
00:39:36> 00:39:40:	that you're doing because you want the industry to benefit
00:39:40> 00:39:42:	from it to? For explain it to us.
00:39:44> 00:39:47:	It it is open source and then in theory it
00:39:47> 00:39:48:	is. It is going to be free.
00:39:50> 00:39:53:	It's a system that we put together. We wrote a
00:39:53> 00:39:56:	grant part of the clean BC Innovation Fund to develop
00:39:56> 00:39:59:	the system, and it hasn't been just developed by me.
00:40:00> 00:40:04:	We didn't fully integrated design process with structural
	engineers, envelope
00:40:04> 00:40:09:	engineers, architects, other builders, and I've had quite a feedback
00:40:09> 00:40:12:	loop over the last year. The intent with an open
00:40:12> 00:40:15:	source or piece is to reduce the learning curve. This
00:40:15> 00:40:17:	is an exercise in in in change.
00:40:19> 00:40:22:	There's there's a comment that I that I quite like
00:40:22> 00:40:25:	from Manuel on the chat about changing our civilization goes
00:40:25> 00:40:28:	and and whether it be mass timber or we we
00:40:28> 00:40:31:	have to change. We can't continue on the current current
00:40:31> 00:40:34:	path we're on as Stefan has real world examples of
00:40:34> 00:40:36:	that in his in this conversation. So how do we
00:40:36> 00:40:40:	change that? And the feeling with something in this place

00:40:40> 00:40:41:	was the proposal we put.
00:40:43> 00:40:46:	Allowed us to to develop something that we can give
00:40:46> 00:40:50:	away. Much more prescriptive in nature. So here is a
00:40:50> 00:40:55:	defined system that conceptually anyone or everyone can pick up
00:40:55> 00:40:58:	and and build and use to to push this along
00:40:58> 00:40:58:	to.
00:40:59> 00:41:04:	Better quality buildings within the operation, operational efficiency and then
00:41:04> 00:41:08:	dramatic reduction reduction in the the materials, and the carbon
00:41:08> 00:41:09:	usage in there.
00:41:10> 00:41:13:	And do you think it could? It could at some
00:41:13> 00:41:16:	point be a program that could be implemented into not
00:41:16> 00:41:19:	only low rise but middle, middle to high rise projects.
00:41:19> 00:41:21:	Is that maybe sometime in the future?
00:41:22> 00:41:26:	I mean my focus and my bandwidth has been in
00:41:26> 00:41:29:	the missing middle. I would be happy that.
00:41:29> 00:41:32:	If it was to take it on that level, and
00:41:32> 00:41:35:	I think more speaking to the process could be taken
00:41:35> 00:41:39:	on, not necessarily the specific details, but how it was
00:41:39> 00:41:42:	executed, how would have the potential to to do that
00:41:42> 00:41:46:	if if there was a common system you're starting to
00:41:46> 00:41:48:	see it. I mean, building code is a is a
00:41:48> 00:41:53:	an aspect of standardization. There are lots of building standards
00:41:53> 00:41:56:	out there, but I think specific to our region and
00:41:56> 00:41:59:	the way we're building and looking for in this exercise.
00:41:59> 00:42:04:	To change, which we're we're going to need to do
00:42:04> 00:42:05:	more.
00:42:05> 00:42:10:	More collaboration, more sharing, more open source is probably a
00:42:10> 00:42:14:	positive thing. IP, all though it rewards the person and
00:42:14> 00:42:17:	the inventor and the creator I it can often stagnate
00:42:17> 00:42:22:	that ability for especially in construction. We've got some big
00:42:22> 00:42:25:	examples in the news in the last few years of
00:42:25> 00:42:29:	large organizations trying to tackle this big problem and with
00:42:29> 00:42:33:	lots of funding still going bankrupt. So I think how
00:42:33> 00:42:36:	do we actually progress at as an industry?
00:42:36> 00:42:39:	Which has been very, very slow to progress. And how
00:42:39> 00:42:41:	do we do that quickly? So I think this is
00:42:41> 00:42:44:	is one of the tools, not necessarily the answer.
00:42:46> 00:42:51:	Thanks very much Chris. Stephan, in your investigations that

you've 00:42:51 --> 00:42:54: done that you described around really looking at the city, 00:42:54 --> 00:42:58: the climate, etcetera. Is there a solution that came to 00:42:58 --> 00:43:02: the table, either from the development side or the builder 00:43:02 --> 00:43:05: side or from from anyone who's developing a program that 00:43:05 --> 00:43:08: really stood out to you that you wanted to to 00:43:08 --> 00:43:11: bring up any solutions that have hope, at least to 00:43:11 --> 00:43:14: kind of, you know, consider moving forward. 00:43:18 --> 00:43:21: Sure, I. I guess it kind of ends up being 00:43:21 --> 00:43:24: more of a question for me though, because I haven't 00:43:24 --> 00:43:28: had a satisfactory answer, but but I'm curious about sourcing 00:43:28 --> 00:43:32: the materials for these mass timber buildings and how far 00:43:32 --> 00:43:34: along our supply chain locally is to to link up 00:43:35 --> 00:43:37: with the problems that we hear ecologists. 00:43:39 --> 00:43:43: Fire ecologist and regular ecologists talking about NBC Sports like 00:43:43 --> 00:43:43: we're. 00:43:45 --> 00:43:47: Expecting what they call like a ticking time bomb like 00:43:47 --> 00:43:49: there's so much dry fuel in our forests that we're 00:43:49 --> 00:43:52: expecting, you know, huge wildfires that we've never seen before 00:43:52 --> 00:43:54: over the coming decades, so. 00:43:54 --> 00:43:57: How do we at one time at one moment like 00:43:57 --> 00:44:00: solve that problem and then monetize it, turn it into 00:44:01 --> 00:44:04: a product that we can use? Perhaps in these these 00:44:04 --> 00:44:07: masks and we're building? So what who are? Is there 00:44:07 --> 00:44:08: anything underway? 00:44:10 --> 00:44:13: Is the question that I'm left with two people have 00:44:13 --> 00:44:16: been working on this forever? It doesn't seem like there's 00:44:16 --> 00:44:19: two sides are talking enough, you know, like we hear 00:44:19 --> 00:44:22: the the problem and and it sounds like mass timber 00:44:22 --> 00:44:24: could be a solution or at least part of the 00:44:24 --> 00:44:27: solution. But where are these people coming together to to 00:44:27 --> 00:44:30: feed that industry to to build it from the ground 00:44:30 --> 00:44:32: up? You know, find ways to create jobs, find ways 00:44:33 --> 00:44:33: 00:44:34 --> 00:44:35: Produce a viable product. 00:44:36 --> 00:44:39: That can actually end up building homes. 00:44:41 --> 00:44:43: Pretty nice looking homes from what I've seen so far. 00:44:44 --> 00:44:46: I don't have a good answer, but maybe maybe someone 00:44:46 --> 00:44:47: else here could help me.

Answer that in some way.

00:44:48 --> 00:44:49:

00 44 54 > 00 44 50	D. () () () () () () ()
00:44:51> 00:44:53:	Peter, do you want to speak a little bit just
00:44:53> 00:44:55:	to, Umm, to try to challenge? It's a challenging one,
00:44:55> 00:44:57:	I know and I'm sorry to pass that to you.
00:44:57> 00:44:59:	But do you want to tackle that one?
00:44:59> 00:45:02:	You know, if I had the solution, I'd probably be
00:45:02> 00:45:05:	a lot wealthier than I am right now, but I
00:45:05> 00:45:09:	think there there's a number of things here, certainly depleting
00:45:09> 00:45:12:	our forests so we can build stuff is is is
00:45:12> 00:45:15:	not smart in any part of the world. Not in
00:45:15> 00:45:18:	BC not anywhere else. And the concerns that we hear
00:45:18> 00:45:21:	about forests are one is the quality of wood.
00:45:21> 00:45:25:	There, there's there's the fire hazard and and so then
00:45:25> 00:45:28:	you you you raise a really good point because we
00:45:28> 00:45:31:	we have to manage our forests and and that includes
00:45:31> 00:45:36:	removing future hazard and that's something the provincial government which
00:45:36> 00:45:39:	owns most of the forest. I know that they struggle
00:45:39> 00:45:42:	with that because there's so much for so many years
00:45:42> 00:45:46:	we've been concerned about stopping forest fires when they were
00:45:46> 00:45:50:	natural events from the point of view of forest management.
00:45:51> 00:45:55:	British Columbia has has among the best legislation and enforcement,
00:45:55> 00:45:57:	but it's not perfect. We still have human beings who
00:45:57> 00:46:00:	mess up, and that's that's not going to change either.
00:46:00> 00:46:03:	As far as supply concern, some people say, well, we
00:46:03> 00:46:06:	can't build all our building with massive. We don't have
00:46:06> 00:46:06:	enough wood.
00:46:07> 00:46:11:	Just to give you a sense of perspective, if the
00:46:11> 00:46:16:	entire global would a global CLT sector were to supply
00:46:16> 00:46:19:	old get their wood supply only from BC.
00:46:20> 00:46:23:	It would amount to about 15% of 1 of BC's
00:46:23> 00:46:28:	annual harvest, the global capacity for mass for CLT is
00:46:28> 00:46:32:	anticipated by 2025 to be about 2.6 to three 3,000,000
00:46:32> 00:46:36:	cubic meters, and that could be met by about 15%
00:46:36> 00:46:41:	of 1 year's harvest from British Columbia, the global supply.
00:46:42> 00:46:46:	In contrast, the global capacity for concrete by 2025 is
00:46:47> 00:46:51:	and is anticipated to be 25 billion cubic meters.
00:46:52> 00:46:56:	Which is 4 orders of magnitude by volume and five
00:46:56> 00:46:58:	orders of magnitude by mass.
00:46:59> 00:47:02:	So to to the to the comment made earlier that
00:47:02> 00:47:06:	we can't build a civilization on wood. Well, you're right,

00:47:06> 00:47:09:	we can't. Nor should we. But the the and then
00:47:09> 00:47:12:	the issue of wood supply. If you've got the wood
00:47:12> 00:47:15:	supply there, do we have the capacity to make these
00:47:15> 00:47:18:	products? And that is usually going to be a business
00:47:18> 00:47:19:	decision.
00:47:21> 00:47:26:	Companies like Kolesnikov they invested their, their and their a
00:47:26> 00:47:32:	fourth generation family Zach. They invested their personal stake, their
00:47:32> 00:47:36:	houses and their business to build a CLT plant. And
00:47:36> 00:47:37:	I'm grateful for.
00:47:37> 00:47:37:	That
00:47:38> 00:47:41:	but we're going to be needing more companies that are
00:47:41> 00:47:44:	willing to say I'm going to try that I I've
00:47:44> 00:47:47:	seen it done elsewhere. I may not, you know.
00:47:48> 00:47:51:	Capture the whole market, but I think what we're what
00:47:51> 00:47:54:	we have to do is support those. Create a demand
00:47:54> 00:47:58:	for a product that can be sustainably produced, NBC.
00:47:59> 00:48:01:	And and and focus on that and all of those
00:48:01> 00:48:04:	buildings. All the mastery buildings. I don't know a single
00:48:04> 00:48:07:	master building. It doesn't have a concrete and steel
	component.
00:48:08> 00:48:08:	So.
00:48:10> 00:48:12:	Just add that here. I think I remember we were
00:48:12> 00:48:15:	chatting before and I think you gave me a stat
00:48:15> 00:48:18:	that if you take the entire lumber basket that a
00:48:18> 00:48:22:	standard six story CLT building is grown every seven seconds.
00:48:22> 00:48:26:	Something in that range and so that was pretty astounding
00:48:26> 00:48:29:	to me. You know, if if that's such a sustainable
00:48:29> 00:48:32:	resource that we can be producing the amount of fiber
00:48:32> 00:48:33:	on the planet to.
00:48:33> 00:48:34:	Produce.
00:48:34> 00:48:36:	A building every seven seconds.
00:48:38> 00:48:41:	I don't think supply is the issue. It's actually getting
00:48:41> 00:48:43:	it to market and your your point about you know
00:48:43> 00:48:47:	additional CLT factories and mass timber production facilities is crucial
00:48:47> 00:48:51:	like I've toured the closing the call factory. They're amazing.
00:48:51> 00:48:54:	Amazing group this structural and there's an element five out
00:48:54> 00:48:57:	in the Ontario who just opened up a new factory
00:48:57> 00:49:00:	afterward. That factor as well. These guys are doing really
00:49:00> 00:49:03:	innovative stuff and their challenge is to put it on

00:49:03> 00:49:06:	to groups like ours to say hey now we've got
00:49:06> 00:49:08:	the capability to produce these panels.
00:49:08> 00:49:11:	Let's see if we can get them into buildings and
00:49:11> 00:49:14:	you know one of the biggest challenges when it comes
00:49:14> 00:49:17:	to mass. Timber construction is logistics and if you don't
00:49:17> 00:49:21:	have the logistics network set-up to actually get the product
00:49:21> 00:49:23:	from the facility to the actual building site.
00:49:25> 00:49:28:	There's just no reason to do it. It doesn't become
00:49:28> 00:49:32:	economical. It doesn't become feasible or practical so that
00:49:32> 00:49:36:	the
	logistics network is also something that needs to be invested
00:49:36> 00:49:40:	in to actually bring these products to the building sites.
00:49:40> 00:49:45:	In the most quickest and efficient way possible without actually
00:49:45> 00:49:49:	impacting the communities that these trucks and trains are
00.43.43> 00.43.43.	are
00:49:49> 00:49:50:	going through.
00:49:50> 00:49:53:	And I think one of the other sort of misperceptions
00:49:53> 00:49:55:	is that if we build with mass timber.
00:49:55> 00:49:58:	We're going to be cutting down more trees and that
00:49:58> 00:50:00:	that is not true. If anything. What we will do
00:50:00> 00:50:03:	is make better use of the the lumber and and
00:50:03> 00:50:07:	extract more from those trees, and that's where engineered
00.00.00	wood
00:50:07> 00:50:10:	products are. So they're so good because you don't have
00:50:10> 00:50:12:	to cut down a big tree to make a panel
00:50:12> 00:50:15:	like this. You can cut down that you know the
00:50:15> 00:50:19:	trees that are much smaller, get a greater efficiency, make
00:50:19> 00:50:22:	use of those because under our system, at least in
00:50:22> 00:50:25:	Canada, we're over 92 or 93% of the forest. Land
00:50:25> 00:50:25:	is owned by.
00:50:25> 00:50:29:	Yes, it's not owned by companies. The government can say
00:50:29> 00:50:33:	that's great that you've got a market, but you don't
00:50:33> 00:50:36:	get to cut down any more trees, so it'll it'll
00:50:36> 00:50:39:	cause a trans. A transfer of perhaps low quality wood
00:50:39> 00:50:43:	that that can't be used structurally but can be used
00:50:43> 00:50:45:	in this middle layer of, you know in a non
00:50:45> 00:50:47:	structural fashion.
00:50:47> 00:50:50:	It extends our our use but we don't get to
00:50:50> 00:50:52:	cut down anymore wood because we've we've got an A
00:50:52> 00:50:52:	
	market opportunity to sell it. I think that's a key
00:50:55> 00:50:56:	component.
00:50:56> 00:50:59:	Our annual allowable cut. Is it what you choose to

00:50:59> 00:51:02:	do with that, and whether you want to make money
00:51:02> 00:51:06:	or whatever is important, and that's where even salvaging
00.54.00 > 00.54.00	would
00:51:06> 00:51:09:	like. Like on builders is is doing is it's extending
00:51:09> 00:51:12:	the life and the utility of of wood that we
00:51:12> 00:51:14:	are going to cut down. We don't get to cut
00:51:14> 00:51:16:	down anymore would because of it.
00:51:18> 00:51:19:	Thank you, Peter.
00:51:19> 00:51:23:	I'll just echo a a warning from some people that
00:51:23> 00:51:24:	I've spoken to.
00:51:26> 00:51:29:	Even small trees don't capture sometimes the amount of carbon
00:51:29> 00:51:32:	that gets released when when a forest is cut down.
00:51:32> 00:51:35:	So if you take just Canada's boreal forest, some people
00:51:35> 00:51:36:	have calculated that.
00:51:37> 00:51:41:	The logging was something in the last 20 years. The
00:51:41> 00:51:44:	that we've logged roughly an area the size of Ohio.
00:51:45> 00:51:45:	In Canada.
00:51:47> 00:51:50:	These forests are do have relatively small spindly trees, but
00:51:50> 00:51:53:	a lot of that carbon that they hold is actually
00:51:53> 00:51:56:	locked in the soil and these people kind of bogs
00:51:56> 00:51:57:	that around them so.
00:51:58> 00:52:00:	I mean, this just goes to we need standards when
00:52:01> 00:52:03:	we're doing this, so we're not just going after small
00:52:03> 00:52:06:	trees that look like they're they're not going to. You
00:52:06> 00:52:09:	know, really impact our carbon budget when really we just
00:52:09> 00:52:12:	don't. Unless we understand the full ecosystem.
00:52:12> 00:52:16:	We're gonna we're gonna shoot ourselves in the foot carbon
00:52:16> 00:52:19:	wise so yeah it's something we don't have a lot
00:52:19> 00:52:22:	of boreal forests in in BC relative to other parts
00:52:22> 00:52:23:	of the country but.
00:52:24> 00:52:27:	You know, targeting the right forest, figuring out what works,
00:52:27> 00:52:29:	and having that kind of.
00:52:30> 00:52:32:	Those two sides talking to each other, I think. I
00:52:32> 00:52:33:	mean it's the only way it's going to work.
00:52:33> 00:52:37:	From where there's a couple of really good resources on
00:52:37> 00:52:40:	that one is a fellow named Verner Kurtz. She's on
00:52:40> 00:52:43:	the IPCC he he covers all of the land based
00:52:43> 00:52:46:	emissions from Canada. That's his. That's part of his job.
00:52:46> 00:52:49:	He's based in Victoria. If you wish you know you
00:52:49> 00:52:53:	can contact him at the through Canadian Forest Service in
00:52:53> 00:52:56:	
00.32.33> 00.32.30.	in Victoria and from a from a harvesting point of

00:53:00> 00:53:03:	Hectares of forests, but only about a third of those
00:53:03> 00:53:07:	are within the commercial land base and the other forests
00:53:07> 00:53:10:	are either set aside or they're they're not not viable,
00:53:10> 00:53:13:	or they're they're too small or too slow growing. But
00:53:13> 00:53:17:	there's still forest fires in those areas, so I know
00:53:17> 00:53:20:	that that that government would like to sort of
00:53:20> 00:53:23:	consider. Let's manage all of it, even if we do
00:53:23> 00:53:26:	nothing. But you're right, we have to make sure that
00:53:26> 00:53:29:	our our resource is is providing a net benefit.
00:53:29> 00:53:32:	To us, either because we leave it standing or because
00:53:33> 00:53:36:	it offsets other materials by by cutting it down and
00:53:36> 00:53:39:	we have a responsibility to make sure that lands that
00:53:39> 00:53:43:	are growing forests, either big forests or small spindly forests
00:53:43> 00:53:44:	remain as forests.
00:53:44> 00:53:46:	And I think that's that's one of the key factors
00:53:46> 00:53:48:	that we have to have it. It doesn't do any
00:53:48> 00:53:50:	good to say we're going to build everything out of
00:53:50> 00:53:52:	wood and oops, we ran out of trees. Doesn't make
00:53:52> 00:53:53:	any sense.
00:53:53> 00:53:56:	Yeah, I think I think you're you're right Stephen about
00:53:56> 00:54:00:	the communications piece. I know I'm biased in my profession,
00:54:00> 00:54:03:	but I think that you know there needs to be
00:54:03> 00:54:06:	more accountability on having you know us all. Come
	together.
00:54:06> 00:54:11:	Organizations from developers to you know environmental groups to the
00:54:11> 00:54:14:	city, municipal groups. Having more dialogue around around.
00:54:14> 00:54:17:	The material and the process and the code and kind
00:54:17> 00:54:21:	of really getting together to discuss on a regular basis.
00:54:21> 00:54:24:	I don't think that's done enough. I want to shift
00:54:24> 00:54:27:	a little bit here because I have some questions from
00:54:27> 00:54:30:	the audience. One of them is related to insurance, saying
00:54:30> 00:54:34:	that insurance has been a concern for mass timber building,
00:54:34> 00:54:38:	either availability or cost. Anyone want to comment on that?
00:54:38> 00:54:41:	Zach, I don't know if you have experience with that
00:54:41> 00:54:42:	challenge or anyway.
00:54:42> 00:54:44:	We we got insurance so.
00:54:45> 00:54:48:	We have used a broker for our insurance for many
00:54:48> 00:54:50:	years. Our brokers. Wilson beck.
00:54:51> 00:54:53:	They've been fantastic. I saw Peter wrote BFL no. So
00:54:54> 00:54:56:	I, you know you got to find someone who understands.

00:54:56> 00:54:58:	We spent a lot of time educating.
00:54:59> 00:55:01:	So either those two if you give them a call.
00:55:03> 00:55:05:	They will be happy to help as long as you
00:55:05> 00:55:08:	just let them know you're looking for mass timber ahead
00:55:08> 00:55:08:	of time.
00:55:10> 00:55:12:	Should that should help put you in contact with the
00:55:12> 00:55:12:	right person.
00:55:14> 00:55:16:	But yes, it is more expensive than it. It is
00:55:16> 00:55:18:	a challenge and it does take more time, but it
00:55:18> 00:55:20:	is. It is there and it is available.
00:55:22> 00:55:26:	And how about myths? There's a lot of myths around
00:55:26> 00:55:27:	mass timber building.
00:55:28> 00:55:32:	Any suggestions on debunking those or how we can educate
00:55:32> 00:55:36:	the public on? You know there's concerns around fire hazard
00:55:36> 00:55:39:	or whatever it may be. There's a lot of people
00:55:39> 00:55:43:	who are still cautious around issues related to the product.
00:55:43> 00:55:46:	Any thoughts on educating the public or those in the
00:55:46> 00:55:48:	industry? Buyers, builders?
00:55:50> 00:55:53:	Well, the wood the Wood Council has done an awful
00:55:53> 00:55:56:	lot of work on fire because that's a concern for
00:55:56> 00:55:58:	light frame and it's a concern for mass timber.
00:55:59> 00:56:02:	But anyone who's ever tried to light a log in
00:56:02> 00:56:06:	a campfire can understand that you just can't do it,
00:56:06> 00:56:09:	and that's one of the things about mass timber that
00:56:10> 00:56:14:	increasingly fire officials recognize that there's a char effect
00.50.44 > 00.50.40.	for
00:56:14> 00:56:18:	mass timber and the way that the buildings are designed,
00:56:18> 00:56:22:	and they they are. They're designed so that you have
00:56:22> 00:56:25:	to have enough mass, timber, or wood, or steel or
00:56:25> 00:56:29:	concrete that is there to allow people to get out
00:56:29> 00:56:30:	of the building.
00:56:30> 00:56:33:	Before collapse, but Wood has a unique feature and that
00:56:33> 00:56:35:	it burns at a very predictable rate. So architects and
00:56:35> 00:56:38:	engineers can say, hey, if I need to have a
00:56:38> 00:56:40:	2 hour fire rating, I've got to have this much
00:56:40> 00:56:42:	wood to support the building and I'm going to add
00:56:42> 00:56:44:	X amount of wood to give me a 2 hour
00:56:44> 00:56:47:	fire rating so the Charter effect is something which is
00:56:47> 00:56:48:	better understood now.
00:56:49> 00:56:52:	And but you know you, you're not gonna get people
00:56:52> 00:56:55:	to say you can't tell people. Don't be afraid. I
00:56:55> 00:56:58:	think there will always be a concern on about natural

00:56:58> 00:57:02:	disasters. Whether it's a hurricane, whether it's a flood, whether
00:57:02> 00:57:05:	it's an earthquake, whether it's fire, and we have to
00:57:05> 00:57:08:	address that. And that's addressed in our building codes, and
00:57:08> 00:57:12:	they have to be made manifest by contractors and designers.
00:57:14> 00:57:18:	Thank you, thank you Peter. This is all it can
00:57:18> 00:57:22:	be complex and the code for the provincial codes are
00:57:22> 00:57:26:	coming up quickly. As Chris mentioned 8 short years. Anyone
00:57:26> 00:57:29:	like to share Zach, I don't know if you have
00:57:29> 00:57:33:	any tips on resources, how do you stay educated on
00:57:33> 00:57:37:	how to advance the company, how to how to keep
00:57:37> 00:57:41:	up with this? Any resources and tips for those listening?
00:57:42> 00:57:44:	Obviously the the best thing I could say is you
00:57:45> 00:57:47:	got to talk to everybody, everybody and anybody you can
00:57:47> 00:57:49:	who's in the industry? Who's?
00:57:49> 00:57:52:	During disruptive things like that, you know, Chris and his
00:57:53> 00:57:56:	group and all doing amazing stuff there. Peter and his
00:57:56> 00:57:59:	team working on education and policy. You know you got
00:57:59> 00:58:02:	to be always talking to different people in the industry
00:58:02> 00:58:05:	about what's available, what's happening, you might not have heard
00:58:06> 00:58:08:	of some very amazing technology coming out of.
00:58:10> 00:58:13:	Quebec or Alberta or BC that's helping to push this
00:58:13> 00:58:16:	conversation along. So the best thing I could say is
00:58:16> 00:58:18:	I just try and stay in touch with as many
00:58:18> 00:58:21:	people as I can and try to always keep an
00:58:21> 00:58:24:	open year and just try and learn what's out there.
00:58:24> 00:58:26:	That's that's the best way to stay on top of
00:58:27> 00:58:29:	it and you know we need people out there pushing
00:58:29> 00:58:33:	the envelope and doing things that you know. Chris mentioned
00:58:33> 00:58:36:	the old to the new like that couldn't be more
00:58:36> 00:58:39:	accurate. You know we have to start thinking about.
00:58:40> 00:58:43:	New ways of construction in order to meet our sustainability
00:58:43> 00:58:47:	targets. And so we just got to keep the conversation
00:58:47> 00:58:47:	going.
00:58:48> 00:58:49:	Great great.
00:58:50> 00:58:53:	I could probably add to that on. I think for
00:58:53> 00:58:57:	our organization it's kind of been pushing the envelope a
00:58:57> 00:59:00:	little bit. I'm an accountant so the BC job grant.
00:59:01> 00:59:04:	Is pretty significant. You can have to stay on top
00:59:04> 00:59:08:	of education for not just the leaders of an organization,

00.50.00 > 00.50.44.	but all the sure bout it. There is a let of lease deep
00:59:08> 00:59:11: 00:59:11> 00:59:14:	but all throughout it. There is a lot of knowledge out there and I'll shout out to Peter and his
00:59:14> 00:59:17:	
00:59:18> 00:59:21:	group that have been doing wood tours to Europe.
	In my office today this morning I had a job
00:59:21> 00:59:25:	like Proclama technical advisor from Germany. We were just comparing
00:59:25> 00:59:28:	where the German industry is at versus here and and
00:59:28> 00:59:32:	the different what we're working with and dealing with within
00:59:32> 00:59:35:	off-site construction and just the the industry as a whole.
00:59:35> 00:59:36:	So being able to.
00:59:38> 00:59:41:	To travel around and see where where there is more
00:59:41> 00:59:44:	advances and we have an ability to, what I would
00:59:44> 00:59:46:	call leapfrog that as well as we have a we
00:59:46> 00:59:50:	have an industry that is fairly progressive in certain areas
00:59:50> 00:59:52:	and that we can that we can take and see
00:59:52> 00:59:55:	where that goes. So big one is busy job Grant
00:59:55> 00:59:56:	it's like.
00:59:56> 00:59:58:	Actually, Chris, we've we've actually used that, so thanks for
00:59:58> 01:00:01:	bringing that up. Yeah, that's been. That's been great. We've
01:00:01> 01:00:04:	been using it for various strains of passive house and.
01:00:05> 01:00:07:	There's a few of the different courses that the team
01:00:07> 01:00:10:	here has been taking, so it's been definitely a resource
01:00:10> 01:00:11:	for us. It's a good point.
01:00:13> 01:00:15:	I don't quote me on this, I should look it
01:00:15> 01:00:15:	up.
01:00:17> 01:00:20:	This is free advice from accountant. I think it's like
01:00:20> 01:00:22:	\$10,000 per employee per year. It's not. It's not an
01:00:22> 01:00:26:	insignificant amount up to like 300K per company or something
01:00:26> 01:00:27:	like that. Like it's yeah, it's.
01:00:28> 01:00:28:	Not.
01:00:30> 01:00:32:	OK, so there's opportunity for education. Shannon, I will. I
01:00:32> 01:00:35:	know we're running a little bit overtime, so I'll pass
01:00:35> 01:00:37:	it to you to conclude. Thank you all. I really
01:00:37> 01:00:40:	appreciate you participating in this dialogue with me. Thank
	you.
01:00:41> 01:00:44:	Yes, great yeah I would like to just thank everyone
01:00:44> 01:00:47:	for joining us today. And of course a big thank
01:00:47> 01:00:49:	you to all of you, our speakers and Rachel as
01:00:49> 01:00:53:	our moderator. That was a very insightful discussion including forward
01:00:53> 01:00:56:	thinking and planning on sustainable buildings and the climate impact.

01:00:56> 01:00:59:	I would also like to once again thank our annual
01:00:59> 01:01:02:	sponsors you can see here on my virtual background for
01:01:02> 01:01:05:	their continued support of our programs and initiatives here in
01:01:05> 01:01:07:	BC. And I just wanted to share too. We have
01:01:08> 01:01:11:	some upcoming events, our annual real estate outlook event
	and
01:01:11> 01:01:11:	cocktail.
01:01:11> 01:01:14:	Perception is taking place later this month on the 27th
01:01:14> 01:01:17:	and our Spring Happy Hour on the 28th. So I
01:01:17> 01:01:19:	just posted the link in our chat. You can see
01:01:19> 01:01:22:	those two events and what else is coming up and
01:01:22> 01:01:24:	again, thank you to our speakers. That was great. I
01:01:24> 01:01:27:	know I took a lot of food for thought out
01:01:27> 01:01:29:	of this discussion today so have a great afternoon and
01:01:29> 01:01:32:	we'll hopefully see you at an in person event coming
01:01:32> 01:01:33:	up soon.
01:01:33> 01:01:35:	Thank you very much.
01:01:37> 01:01:37:	Thanks all.
01:01:38> 01:01:39:	Thanks a lot.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .