

Event Session

Pivotal Points: Capitalizing on Building Milestones for Environmental Gains

Date: October 19, 2023

| 00:00:00> 00:00:01: | Thank you, Kevin. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:00:03> 00:00:06: | So as Kevin mentioned, I'm by the way, I'm an |
| 00:00:06> 00:00:09: | Al member and I chair the Resilience Committee for ULI. |
| 00:00:09> 00:00:12: | So I, I love this program together. |
| 00:00:12> 00:00:15: | I was talking with Kevin and and Rebecca both about |
| 00:00:15> 00:00:15: | it. |
| 00:00:15> 00:00:16: | So I think we should be doing more of this |
| 00:00:17> 00:00:17: | hopefully. |
| 00:00:19> 00:00:23: | So the resilience committee with ULI, we we we |
| 00:00:23> 00:00:27: | started about two years ago and we we really focus |
| 00:00:27> 00:00:31: | on trying to find ways to to bring about conversation |
| 00:00:31> 00:00:35: | to create healthy and resilient community. |
| 00:00:36> 00:00:40: | In those two years we hosted multiple conversations about developing |
| 00:00:40> 00:00:42: | waterfront resilient waterfronts. |
| 00:00:42> 00:00:45: | We hosted a bunch of place management organizations from across |
| 00:00:46> 00:00:49: | the country to a workshop on how climate activity is |
| 00:00:49> 00:00:51: | changing our approach to programming public space. |
| 00:00:52> 00:00:56: | And we worked with community leaders to evaluate the feasibility |
| 00:00:56> 00:00:59: | of equitably relocating neighbors in a flood clone area, a |
| 00:00:59> 00:01:03: | flood prone area of Philadelphia neighbors to higher ground. |
| 00:01:03> 00:01:07: | Today's conversation will build on that and specifically explore opportunity |
| 00:01:07> 00:01:11: | for commercial and multi family owners to make strategic resilient |
| 00:01:11> 00:01:15: | investments into their projects while improving the financial performance of |
| 00:01:15> 00:01:16: | those assets. |
| 00:01:17> 00:01:21: | I love the way that these three sessions today really, |
| | |

| 00:01:21> 00:01:23: | really stack the conversation. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:01:23> 00:01:24: | So there's three scales, right? |
| 00:01:24> 00:01:27: | There's site, you know the individual projects, there's |
| | community and |
| 00:01:27> 00:01:29: | then there's a regional perspective. |
| 00:01:29> 00:01:32: | And we heard some of this in the first session |
| 00:01:32> 00:01:37: | already and the three systems maybe of like stormwater |
| 00:01:37> 00:01:40: | management, renewable energy and transportation infrastructure. |
| 00:01:40> 00:01:42: | So I want, I want you to keep your ears |
| 00:01:42> 00:01:44: | open for these connections throughout the conversations. |
| 00:01:45> 00:01:49: | And equally important is these, these notions of impetus, |
| 00.01.40 | financing |
| 00:01:49> 00:01:51: | and partnerships, right? |
| 00:01:51> 00:01:53: | How do these things get started, right? |
| 00:01:53> 00:01:56: | Brazilian seems like such a big issue, you know, but |
| 00:01:56> 00:01:58: | the fact that you can get it started with a |
| 00:01:58> 00:02:01: | Big Mac, right, a conversation that leads to that is |
| 00:02:01> 00:02:01: | pretty huge. |
| 00:02:02> 00:02:03: | So save those coupons. |
| 00:02:05> 00:02:08: | So in that context, I'm happy to introduce our second |
| 00:02:08> 00:02:12: | panel for today, capitalizing on building milestones for environmental gains. |
| 00:02:13> 00:02:16: | Our moderator, Lindsay Brugger, she's Vice President of Urban Resilience |
| 00:02:16> 00:02:17: | for Urban Land Institute. |
| 00:02:18> 00:02:21: | I work closely with Lindsay in my role both as |
| 00:02:21> 00:02:25: | Resilience Chair and also resilient land use cohort. |
| 00:02:26> 00:02:28: | So I'm pleased to to introduce her today. |
| 00:02:28> 00:02:31: | Please help me welcome Lindsay Brugger who introduced our panelists |
| 00:02:31> 00:02:32: | in the discussion. |
| 00:02:32> 00:02:32: | Thank. |
| 00:02:41> 00:02:42: | You very much. |
| 00:02:58> 00:02:58: | Thank you David. |
| 00:02:58> 00:03:00: | Appreciate that introduction. |
| 00:03:01> 00:03:04: | So as David mentioned, we are shifting gears a little |
| 00:03:04> 00:03:04: | bit. |
| 00:03:04> 00:03:07: | We had a fantastic conversation to kick off the day |
| 00:03:07> 00:03:10: | that was really at the sites kind of neighbourhood scale, |
| 00:03:10> 00:03:12: | it was more public sector. |
| 00:03:12> 00:03:15: | We're going to shift gears really looking at the asset |
| 00:03:15> 00:03:18: | scale and thinking more about the role of the private |

00:03:18 --> 00:03:19: sector. 00:03:19 --> 00:03:22: So you all heard a little bit about me from David. 00:03:22 --> 00:03:22: 00:03:22 --> 00:03:25: I'll add that Prior to joining ULIA couple years ago, 00:03:25 --> 00:03:29: I managed resilience and adaptation and disaster assistance at AIA 00:03:29 --> 00:03:30: National. 00:03:30 --> 00:03:33: So I am particularly excited about this collaboration and love seeing everybody here in this room and want to start 00:03:33 --> 00:03:36: 00:03:36 --> 00:03:38: off by getting to know you a little bit. 00:03:39 --> 00:03:42: So show of hands, where are all the architects in 00:03:42 --> 00:03:43: the room? 00:03:44 --> 00:03:45: I'm sure we have a bunch. 00:03:46 --> 00:03:46: Excellent. OK. 00:03:46 --> 00:03:46: 00:03:46 --> 00:03:48: And I know we had some planners. 00:03:48 --> 00:03:50: I was sitting with some planners earlier. 00:03:50 --> 00:03:51: Great. 00:03:51 --> 00:03:52: Few more hands. 00:03:52 --> 00:03:54: Any engineers in the room? 00:03:54 --> 00:03:55: Oh, yeah, Proud engineers. 00:03:55 --> 00:03:57: Those hands went right up. 00:03:57 --> 00:03:59: Great developers. 00:04:01 --> 00:04:02: Good. 00:04:02 --> 00:04:03: We got some Uli members here. 00:04:03 --> 00:04:03: OK. 00:04:04 --> 00:04:05: Any owners? 00:04:06 --> 00:04:07: Couple. 00:04:08 --> 00:04:08: OK. 00:04:09 --> 00:04:10: Government folk. 00:04:11 --> 00:04:12: Yeah, that's right. 00:04:12 --> 00:04:12: Panel one. 00:04:12 --> 00:04:13: There you go. 00:04:14 --> 00:04:16: And then what about finance people? 00:04:16 --> 00:04:17: I know we have at least one. 00:04:18 --> 00:04:19: Yeah, there we are. 00:04:19 --> 00:04:19: OK. 00:04:19 --> 00:04:22: So my panelists, great. 00:04:22 --> 00:04:24: So we are going to try to talk to a 00:04:24 --> 00:04:27: lot of those perspectives today and to set the scene 00:04:27 --> 00:04:29: for our resilient retrofit conversation.

I'm going to provide a little bit of background on

00:04:30 --> 00:04:33:

| 00:04:33> 00:04:35: | a report that ULI published about a year ago called |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:04:36> 00:04:37: | Resilient Retrofits. |
| 00:04:37> 00:04:41: | So we're going to talk about how we prepare our |
| 00:04:41> 00:04:45: | existing buildings to be ready for the changes in climate, |
| 00:04:45> 00:04:48: | specifically physical climate risks. |
| 00:04:48> 00:04:50: | So floods, heat, wildfire. |
| 00:04:51> 00:04:54: | There's of course intersections with our climate mitigation goals, but |
| 00:04:54> 00:04:58: | this conversation is predominantly going to be about climate adaptation. |
| 00:04:59> 00:05:03: | And this report looks at the business case for these |
| 00:05:03> 00:05:07: | resilient retrofits as well as a summary of design strategies, |
| 00:05:07> 00:05:12: | policies and financing mechanisms to make these resilient retrofits a |
| 00:05:12> 00:05:12: | reality. |
| 00:05:13> 00:05:16: | So for my brief overview, I'm really going to focus |
| 00:05:16> 00:05:18: | on the business case, sprinkling in a little bit of |
| 00:05:18> 00:05:21: | the design elements and then teeing up our conversation today, |
| 00:05:21> 00:05:25: | which will be predominantly about the financing mechanisms and the |
| 00:05:25> 00:05:27: | challenges and benefits that are out there. |
| 00:05:29> 00:05:32: | So why are we talking about existing buildings? |
| 00:05:33> 00:05:36: | Well, believe it or not, most of our built environment |
| 00:05:36> 00:05:37: | already exists. |
| 00:05:38> 00:05:40: | This stat is from architecture 2030. |
| 00:05:41> 00:05:45: | And according to that organization, 2/3 of the global building |
| 00:05:45> 00:05:48: | stock already exists and will be with us again in |
| 00:05:49> 00:05:49: | 2040. |
| 00:05:52> 00:05:54: | So that's a lot of buildings and that could be |
| 00:05:54> 00:05:56: | a little bit daunting. |
| 00:05:56> 00:05:59: | It's a big challenge but but the good news is |
| 00:05:59> 00:06:04: | that all of these retrofits have significant economic opportunities and |
| 00:06:04> 00:06:09: | one of those opportunities is enhanced marketability and access to |
| 00:06:09> 00:06:10: | capital. |
| 00:06:10> 00:06:14: | So that might look like reputational benefits or the ability |
| 00:06:14> 00:06:17: | to attract and retain high value tenants. |
| 00:06:17> 00:06:21: | It might be fasting, leasing and sale or even higher |
| 00:06:21> 00:06:22: | rental and sale premiums. |
| 00:06:23> 00:06:26: | And as we'll hear later, it might also be better |
| 00:06:26> 00:06:27: | access to financing. |
| | - |

| 00:06:31> 00:06:34: | Resilient buildings might be cheaper to ensure. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:06:34> 00:06:38: | Insurance is a big topic these days and we know |
| 00:06:39> 00:06:43: | that insurers price risk, so less risk could mean a |
| 00:06:43> 00:06:44: | lower price. |
| 00:06:46> 00:06:48: | There's a hotel in South Florida that's all this. |
| 00:06:48> 00:06:53: | They saved over \$500,000 on their policy just by protecting |
| 00:06:53> 00:06:58: | their MEP equipment, using impact resistant windows, and adding backup |
| 00:06:58> 00:07:01: | power and water supply to their facility. |
| 00:07:05> 00:07:08: | Resilient buildings could avoid future costs. |
| 00:07:09> 00:07:13: | We all know that disasters come with a very high |
| 00:07:13> 00:07:16: | price tag, so you could say that it might be |
| 00:07:16> 00:07:21: | actually cheaper to fortify your building now than to repair |
| 00:07:21> 00:07:22: | or rebuild later. |
| 00:07:24> 00:07:26: | FM Global big major insurer. |
| 00:07:26> 00:07:28: | They're offering all sorts of discounts. |
| 00:07:28> 00:07:30: | They have research to back this up. |
| 00:07:30> 00:07:34: | They found that for every \$1.00 that a company spends |
| 00:07:35> 00:07:39: | to protect their structure, they reduce their loss exposure by |
| 00:07:39> 00:07:40: | about \$105.00. |
| 00:07:42> 00:07:43: | That's a pretty great return. |
| 00:07:46> 00:07:50: | And then we could also say that resilient buildings could |
| 00:07:50> 00:07:51: | be cheaper to operate, right? |
| 00:07:51> 00:07:54: | Materials are more durable, they last longer, they don't need |
| 00:07:54> 00:07:55: | to be replaced quite as often. |
| 00:07:56> 00:08:00: | And then particularly when we're thinking about extreme heat mitigation |
| 00:08:00> 00:08:04: | measures, they have wonderful Co benefits, they help us lower |
| 00:08:04> 00:08:07: | energy bills, and they support our net 0 ambitions. |
| 00:08:07> 00:08:10: | That might be things like cool coatings for roofs or |
| 00:08:10> 00:08:11: | facades. |
| 00:08:12> 00:08:15: | Those are relatively affordable and they help to reduce indoor |
| 00:08:16> 00:08:17: | heat gain and energy bills. |
| 00:08:18> 00:08:22: | Even something as simple as shading really basic but can |
| 00:08:22> 00:08:25: | help reduce our heat gain, lower energy bills. |
| 00:08:28> 00:08:31: | So all that to say, the increased demand from investors |
| 00:08:31> 00:08:34: | and end users is a big piece of this, and |
| 00:08:34> 00:08:37: | that is coming from a lot of different places. |
| 00:08:37> 00:08:40: | We're seeing increased consumer awareness, right? |
| 00:08:40> 00:08:42: | MLS listings are listing physical climate risks. |
| 00:08:42> 00:08:45: | We have all sorts of disclosure regulations coming out at |
| | |

| 00:08:45> 00:08:47: | the city, state and federal levels. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:08:48> 00:08:51: | And that's actually a good thing because when we build |
| 00:08:51> 00:08:55: | more resilient buildings, everyone benefits, all right, We have |
| | a |
| 00:08:55> 00:08:57: | more stable property environment. |
| 00:08:57> 00:08:59: | We have safer buildings for our occupants. |
| 00:09:00> 00:09:04: | You could argue there's more competitive markets for growth and |
| 00:09:04> 00:09:07: | our local economies are more supportive and our cost to |
| 00:09:07> 00:09:09: | our public, our taxpayers are also lower. |
| 00:09:11> 00:09:15: | So here's some more good news from a design perspective. |
| 00:09:16> 00:09:17: | We know how to do this. |
| 00:09:19> 00:09:22: | Retrofit strategies exist for every major hazard type and when |
| 00:09:22> 00:09:26: | we're thinking about how we retrofit our buildings and which |
| 00:09:26> 00:09:30: | design strategies to select and implement, we should think about |
| 00:09:30> 00:09:30: | three things. |
| 00:09:31> 00:09:34: | First is we want to be comprehensive. |
| 00:09:35> 00:09:38: | I can't think of a single building that only faces |
| 00:09:38> 00:09:40: | one single hazard, right. |
| 00:09:40> 00:09:42: | We are in a multi hazard kind of world and |
| 00:09:42> 00:09:43: | we got to address it. |
| 00:09:44> 00:09:47: | And fortunately there are synergies between many of these strategies. |
| 00:09:49> 00:09:52: | And Speaking of synergies, we want to address adaptation and |
| 00:09:52> 00:09:53: | mitigation. |
| 00:09:53> 00:09:57: | Because while we know that disasters are increasing in frequency |
| 00:09:57> 00:10:00: | and intensity, and we need to address that, we also |
| 00:10:00> 00:10:03: | need to address the root cause and reduce our greenhouse |
| 00:10:03> 00:10:04: | gas emissions. |
| 00:10:04> 00:10:08: | And sometimes, as designers, that requires us to balance these |
| 00:10:08> 00:10:10: | two objectives with our project goals. |
| 00:10:11> 00:10:15: | And then finally, we want to have the big audacious |
| 00:10:15> 00:10:17: | goal of being comprehensive. |
| 00:10:18> 00:10:20: | We know that things like money can be a challenge |
| 00:10:20> 00:10:23: | and so we may not be able to implement everything |
| 00:10:23> 00:10:25: | all at once, but knowing where we need to get |
| 00:10:25> 00:10:27: | to in the long run can help us be a |
| 00:10:27> 00:10:29: | more effective in the short term. |
| 00:10:32> 00:10:34: | So for my designers in the room, this all probably |

| 00:10:34> 00:10:35: | looks really familiar. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:10:35> 00:10:39: | Here's a few examples of what might need to happen |
| 00:10:39> 00:10:40: | here in Philly. |
| 00:10:41> 00:10:42: | These are pretty low hanging fruit. |
| 00:10:42> 00:10:45: | These are some of the lower cost items that are |
| 00:10:45> 00:10:48: | detailed in the report, recognizing that heat and flooding are |
| 00:10:48> 00:10:50: | some of the major hazards here in Philadelphia. |
| 00:10:54> 00:10:58: | And then today's conversation comes back to that sticky question |
| 00:10:58> 00:11:00: | of where do we find the money. |
| 00:11:00> 00:11:02: | I'll admit this part's harder. |
| 00:11:02> 00:11:05: | It's not figured out quite as much as those design |
| 00:11:05> 00:11:09: | strategies, but there are financing mechanisms out there and that's |
| 00:11:09> 00:11:11: | going to be a big part of our conversation today. |
| 00:11:13> 00:11:15: | So with that, I'd like to bring up our incredible |
| 00:11:16> 00:11:16: | panelists. |
| 00:11:16> 00:11:19: | I'll do a quick introduction once they take their seats. |
| 00:11:27> 00:11:33: | All right. |
| 00:11:34> 00:11:37: | So my very first applauder here, we have Greg Reeves, |
| 00:11:37> 00:11:40: | CEO and Co Founder of Mosaic Development Partners. |
| 00:11:41> 00:11:44: | Then we have Sheila Wallace, Senior Director and of |
| | originations |
| 00:11:44> 00:11:46: | at Nubian Green Capital. |
| 00:11:46> 00:11:47: | So she's going to tell us where all the money |
| 00:11:47> 00:11:47: | comes from. |
| 00:11:48> 00:11:52: | And then we have Alicia Schulach, Director of Commercial Programs |
| 00:11:52> 00:11:55: | at Philadelphia Energy Authority, who's going to be kicking us |
| 00:11:55> 00:11:57: | off with a little bit of an overview. |
| 00:11:59> 00:12:01: | And I'm going to warn you all now, all of |
| 00:12:01> 00:12:04: | my panelists are really excited about Q&A. |
| 00:12:04> 00:12:06: | So we're going to be tossing it to you really |
| 00:12:06> 00:12:06: | early. |
| 00:12:06> 00:12:08: | So get your questions ready. |
| 00:12:10> 00:12:10: | Thank you, Lindsay. |
| 00:12:10> 00:12:11: | Really appreciate it. |
| 00:12:12> 00:12:13: | I'm going to stand up for a second. |
| 00:12:16> 00:12:18: | If you've been in a room with me when I've |
| 00:12:18> 00:12:20: | been at the front of the room, you'll know that |
| 00:12:20> 00:12:22: | the very first thing I do is take a picture |
| 00:12:22> 00:12:23: | of the audience. |
| | |

00:12:23 --> 00:12:24: But you have to wave at me. 00:12:24 --> 00:12:27: Hey, let's go. 00:12:27 --> 00:12:27: Wave. 00:12:27 --> 00:12:28: Don't stop. 00:12:29 --> 00:12:29: Don't stop. 00:12:34 --> 00:12:34: Thank you. 00:12:34 --> 00:12:38: I'll see if any of them turn out OK. 00:12:39 --> 00:12:40: You know what? 00:12:40 --> 00:12:42: I'm just going to stand while I answer this first 00:12:42 --> 00:12:45: question and then I'm going to sit Lisa Shulak, Philadelphia 00:12:45 --> 00:12:46: Energy Authority. 00:12:47 --> 00:12:52: I also am Chair of the Board of Greenbelt United, 00:12:52 --> 00:12:57: but I'm here in my PEA capacity, so financing. 00:12:57 --> 00:13:00: So just I just want to just restate a couple 00:13:00 --> 00:13:04: of things that Lindsay just said, which is the first 00:13:04 --> 00:13:08: panel was the amazing and wonderful and was really focused 00:13:08 --> 00:13:09: on public projects. 00:13:10 --> 00:13:14: We're here today to talk about privately owned property, which 00:13:14 --> 00:13:18: could be both, you know, for profit as well as 00:13:18 --> 00:13:21: nonprofit owners, but just not government owned. 00:13:21 --> 00:13:25: So that's that's kind of the realm that we're talking 00:13:25 --> 00:13:25: about. 00:13:27 --> 00:13:30: One of the things I love about resiliency and by 00:13:30 --> 00:13:33: the way that the report that Lindsay was had the 00:13:33 --> 00:13:35: slides from is an amazing report. 00:13:35 --> 00:13:37: I'm actually going to be looking at it a little 00:13:37 --> 00:13:39: bit and looking at some of these pages today. 00:13:39 --> 00:13:41: So if you didn't get that link I would recommend 00:13:41 --> 00:13:43: that you you you take a look at it. 00:13:43 --> 00:13:47: One of the things about resiliency is that it's so 00:13:47 --> 00:13:50: I my background is more in the energy space and 00:13:50 --> 00:13:54: storm water management and flooding and all that is much 00:13:54 --> 00:13:56: newer topic for me. 00:13:56 --> 00:14:00: But I love that they're so connected and when you 00:14:00 --> 00:14:05: make a building more resilient to both heat you're you're 00:14:05 --> 00:14:09: also able to do a lot of flood mitigation and 00:14:09 --> 00:14:11: stormwater management. 00:14:11 --> 00:14:13: So that's I think that's pretty cool. 00:14:13 --> 00:14:17: So but what I'm going to start talking about today 00:14:17 --> 00:14:17: is C pace. 00:14:18 --> 00:14:20: So how many people have ever heard of C pace?

| 00:14:22> 00:14:23: | Fantastic. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:14:25> 00:14:28: | How many people feel like they know a lot about |
| 00:14:29> 00:14:29: | C Pace? |
| 00:14:23> 00:14:23: | |
| 00:14:36> 00:14:39: | OK, Maria, you can go take a walk. |
| 00:14:39> 00:14:41: | Other than that, I guess everybody else has to say |
| 00:14:41> 00:14:46: | so it's a, it's a funding mechanism. |
| 00.14.41> 00.14.46. | It stands for Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy and it's |
| 00:14:46> 00:14:50: | been around in various states for well over 10 years |
| 00:14:50> 00:14:53: | but it has really been growing in popularity. |
| 00:14:53> 00:15:00: | It became it was enacted enabled in Pennsylvania in 2018 |
| 00:15:00> 00:15:06: | in the first Finance C Pace project was in Philadelphia |
| 00:15:06> 00:15:08: | in July 2020. |
| 00:15:08> 00:15:11: | And the reason Maria raised her hand is because she |
| 00:15:11> 00:15:15: | was she was a the key property owner representative at |
| 00:15:15> 00:15:18: | the time and so she you might still have some |
| 00:15:18> 00:15:21: | scars getting through that but we have completely made it. |
| 00:15:21> 00:15:25: | It's a such an easy seamless process now that thanks |
| 00:15:25> 00:15:28: | to Maria so it so it's a financing mechanism that |
| 00:15:28> 00:15:32: | has public benefit and it was originally and the thing |
| 00:15:32> 00:15:35: | that I love about this this session about retro, about |
| 00:15:35> 00:15:39: | retrofitting existing buildings is it was created as a tool |
| 00:15:39> 00:15:43: | that retrofit buildings to make them more energy efficient and |
| 00:15:43> 00:15:46: | to be able to add renewable energy to them. |
| 00:15:46> 00:15:48: | It has since become a tool that also can be |
| 00:15:48> 00:15:50: | used for new construction. |
| 00:15:50> 00:15:54: | But the idea is that it you're able, you're what |
| 00:15:54> 00:15:57: | you do is you finance and then you can use |
| 00:15:57> 00:16:00: | a you could pay for 100% of all the hard |
| 00:16:00> 00:16:04: | and soft costs of anything related to a retrofit of |
| 00:16:04> 00:16:09: | a building that in Pennsylvania that's real, that is either |
| 00:16:09> 00:16:14: | improving its energy efficiency, its reduction in water use, its |
| 00:16:14> 00:16:19: | resiliency in terms of stormwater management, flood risk |
| | mitigation and |
| 00:16:19> 00:16:24: | and any other resilient measure that makes sense where |
| | your |
| 00:16:24> 00:16:28: | building is located and also renewable energy. |
| 00:16:28> 00:16:30: | So what it does is you what happens is the |
| 00:16:31> 00:16:34: | sea pace an assessment gets it's an assessment is placed |
| 00:16:34> 00:16:36: | on your property. |
| 00:16:36> 00:16:39: | And the idea is that when you're investing in making |
| 00:16:39> 00:16:43: | your building more resilient or more energy efficient, it has |
| | |

| 00:16:43> 00:16:46: | long term benefit and and it's you know could be |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:16:46> 00:16:49: | 20 to 25 to 30 years of benefit that you're |
| 00:16:49> 00:16:51: | going to get out of an investment. |
| 00:16:51> 00:16:54: | But many building owners don't know how long they're going |
| 00:16:54> 00:16:56: | to own a building or even have a plan to |
| 00:16:56> 00:16:58: | you know to to to sell the building at some |
| 00:16:59> 00:16:59: | point. |
| 00:16:59> 00:17:04: | So whoever is paying for that assessment is also benefiting |
| 00:17:04> 00:17:06: | from the investment. |
| 00:17:06> 00:17:09: | So that the assessment stays with the property for the |
| 00:17:09> 00:17:12: | duration of as long as the assessment is on the |
| 00:17:12> 00:17:12: | property. |
| 00:17:12> 00:17:15: | So that's like the key that's that that's that's what |
| 00:17:15> 00:17:19: | distinguishes C Pace from from most other funding really pretty |
| 00:17:19> 00:17:21: | much all other funding mechanisms. |
| 00:17:23> 00:17:27: | I'm just going to say one more thing and then |
| 00:17:27> 00:17:31: | pass it back to to Lindsay, which is it's C |
| 00:17:31> 00:17:36: | Pace is incredibly versatile, but it isn't for every building, |
| 00:17:36> 00:17:39: | it isn't for every project. |
| 00:17:39> 00:17:43: | In Pennsylvania, we've had 25 projects funded by C Pace |
| 00:17:43> 00:17:46: | thus far in in three years, 15 of them in |
| 00:17:46> 00:17:50: | been in Philadelphia, nine of them have been with Sheila's |
| 00:17:50> 00:17:54: | company, Naveen Green Capital and more and more and |
| | nine |
| 00:17:54> 00:17:54: | in Philly. |
| 00:17:54> 00:17:56: | And I think you have more in the other parts |
| 00:17:56> 00:17:57: | of the state too. |
| 00:17:58> 00:18:03: | And there's and my role is in Philadelphia. |
| 00:18:03> 00:18:05: | I'm the program administrator. |
| 00:18:05> 00:18:07: | So I am here to do market education and to |
| 00:18:07> 00:18:12: | help property owners and interested stakeholders learn more about C |
| 00:18:12> 00:18:15: | Pace and to walk you through the process if you're |
| 00:18:15> 00:18:17: | interested in in using C PACE financing. |
| 00:18:17> 00:18:20: | And then I send you off to Sheila or 29 |
| 00:18:21> 00:18:25: | of her competitors in in Pennsylvania to actually get the |
| 00:18:25> 00:18:27: | C PACE financing. |
| 00:18:29> 00:18:31: | Thanks for that CPACE overview, Lisa. |
| 00:18:32> 00:18:34: | So let's move it over to Sheila since you teed |
| 00:18:34> 00:18:34: | it up so well. |
| 00:18:34> 00:18:37: | Sheila, can you talk a little bit about as a |
| 00:18:37> 00:18:41: | capital provider, why Nuveen Green Capital is interested in |
| | |

CPACE? 00:18:42 --> 00:18:43: Yes, no problem. 00:18:43 --> 00:18:45: So Sheila Wallace UV and Green Capital. 00:18:46 --> 00:18:50: First off, the company that I work for was purchased 00:18:50 --> 00:18:52: by Nuveen 2 years ago. 00:18:52 --> 00:18:55: Started out as Greenworks Lending and then two Co founders 00:18:55 --> 00:18:58: actually worked at the Connecticut Green Bank. 00:18:58 --> 00:19:01: Realized that the C pace mechanism was really a great 00:19:01 --> 00:19:05: tool to help encourage energy efficiency and so they started 00:19:05 --> 00:19:07: this company to start financing it. 00:19:07 --> 00:19:13: They financed a whole bunch of properties and put together 00:19:13 --> 00:19:19: pools of of these bonds and ended up selling them 00:19:19 --> 00:19:19: to nuvi. 00:19:20 --> 00:19:25: Nuvi loved it because all these bonds had an E1 00:19:25 --> 00:19:28: rating, which is the S&P. 00:19:28 --> 00:19:32: Standard and Poor's comes up with the ratings and they 00:19:32 --> 00:19:36: have an environmental one that goes from E1 to E4 00:19:36 --> 00:19:38: and they were all rated E1. 00:19:39 --> 00:19:44: TIAA, which owns Nuveen, has a goal for to hit 00:19:44 --> 00:19:47: net 0 carbon emissions by 2050. 00:19:47 --> 00:19:51: So they're pretty committed to trying to get more energy 00:19:52 --> 00:19:56: efficient investments within their general account. 00:19:57 --> 00:19:59: It's not that easy though I will say and I'm 00:20:00 --> 00:20:02: sure Greg is going to definitely expand on it. 00:20:04 --> 00:20:09: The Co founders started Greenworks lending acquired by Nuveen with 00:20:09 --> 00:20:13: the hopes of developing more products outside of CPS. 00:20:14 --> 00:20:16: It's was extremely challenging. 00:20:16 --> 00:20:20: We even had like a we had a solar team 00:20:20 --> 00:20:23: dedicated to solar, solar projects. 00:20:23 --> 00:20:26: And it just was not penciling out, right. 00:20:27 --> 00:20:30: What's great about CPS is it is a public private 00:20:30 --> 00:20:33: partnership where it gets paid back as a tax. 00:20:33 --> 00:20:35: And so it is a little more credit secured. 00:20:35 --> 00:20:38: So it does help with a little bit of the 00:20:38 --> 00:20:38: pricing. 00:20:38 --> 00:20:41: And there's a little bit of arbitrage when you add 00:20:42 --> 00:20:44: CPS to a senior lender in a capital stack. 00:20:45 --> 00:20:46: That's always the case though.

11

So that's why I mean they would use it all

the time if that was the case.

00:20:46 --> 00:20:49:

00:20:49 --> 00:20:50:

| 00:20:51> 00:20:54: | There's different ways that can be structured that makes it |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:20:54> 00:20:57: | more beneficial like the longer useful life that will help |
| 00:20:58> 00:21:00: | make it more beneficial depends on the asset type. |
| 00:21:01> 00:21:04: | You know if you have a 30 year term and |
| 00:21:04> 00:21:07: | you're working on a multi family project, it could be |
| 00:21:07> 00:21:08: | beneficial. |
| 00:21:08> 00:21:11: | It might not because all lenders provide 30 year terms, |
| 00:21:11> 00:21:14: | but if you're working on a hotel or an office |
| 00:21:14> 00:21:17: | or retail project and they're all doing a 25 year |
| 00:21:17> 00:21:21: | term by helping lengthen that term, it lowers the per |
| 00:21:21> 00:21:25: | year payment which is really important today and it's really |
| 00:21:25> 00:21:27: | high interest rate environment. |
| 00:21:27> 00:21:31: | I'm, I'm digressing so, but what I wanted to say |
| 00:21:31> 00:21:35: | is there's a lot of investment out there looking to |
| 00:21:35> 00:21:38: | have impact in the environmental and resilient space. |
| 00:21:40> 00:21:44: | Whether or not they're willing to pay more or or |
| 00:21:44> 00:21:49: | receive a lower net return is to be determined and |
| 00:21:49> 00:21:52: | still trying to figure it out. |
| 00:21:52> 00:21:55: | But if you have, you know apples, apples and one |
| 00:21:55> 00:21:59: | of them is an E1 rating, by all means everyone |
| 00:21:59> 00:22:02: | out there is going to want this E1 rating. |
| 00:22:02> 00:22:05: | There are some projects that we worked on here in |
| 00:22:05> 00:22:09: | Philly like the Wells Fargo building where the investor was |
| 00:22:09> 00:22:13: | actually from UK and the investor's compensation was actually tied |
| 00:22:13> 00:22:15: | to the energy efficiency of the building. |
| 00:22:15> 00:22:19: | And so they really pushed the developer to get those |
| 00:22:19> 00:22:21: | improvements made. |
| 00:22:21> 00:22:23: | So there's money out there. |
| 00:22:24> 00:22:25: | It's challenging. |
| 00:22:25> 00:22:28: | So the public private partnership does really help. |
| 00:22:29> 00:22:32: | There still needs to be incentives to get done, but |
| 00:22:32> 00:22:37: | there's, you know, the IRA and other government supported outlets |
| 00:22:37> 00:22:41: | that might hopefully push people in this direction. |
| 00:22:41> 00:22:45: | And I know this is resilience and I I want |
| 00:22:45> 00:22:48: | to also mention for me it gets a little Gray |
| 00:22:48> 00:22:52: | but with resilience versus energy efficiency. |
| 00:22:52> 00:22:57: | But I do know the climate risk and insulation. |
| 00:22:57> 00:23:01: | All that definitely is duplicated or qualifies as energy |
| | efficiency |
| 00:23:02> 00:23:04: | and it all kind of ties together. |
| 00:23:05> 00:23:07: | But I'm still learning that piece too. |
| | |

00:23:07 --> 00:23:08: How that differs? Thanks Sheila and for background and Lisa and Sheila can 00:23:09 --> 00:23:13: 00:23:13 --> 00:23:16: tell you both more, the Resilience piece of C paste 00:23:16 --> 00:23:18: in Philadelphia is is much newer. 00:23:18 --> 00:23:20: I don't know if either of you want to. 00:23:20 --> 00:23:20: Yeah, it just. 00:23:21 --> 00:23:21: It just. 00:23:22 --> 00:23:23: Yeah, sure. 00:23:23 --> 00:23:27: So I I mentioned that C paste was originally enacted 00:23:27 --> 00:23:30: in 2018 in our first the project was in 2020. 00:23:30 --> 00:23:34: In 2022 the legislation was amended to not only add 00:23:34 --> 00:23:40: multi family properties as a newly eligible property type but 00:23:40 --> 00:23:45: also added resiliency and indoor air quality as newly eligible 00:23:45 --> 00:23:48: measures that can be financed with CPA. 00:23:48 --> 00:23:53: So we haven't actually had a project yet use like 00:23:53 --> 00:23:58: a measure that would be counted as resilient, but not 00:23:58 --> 00:24:01: in the energy category. 00:24:01 --> 00:24:03: So, so far all of our projects have been for 00:24:03 --> 00:24:06: energy efficiency or renewable energy. 00:24:06 --> 00:24:10: But we're really looking forward to seeing some stormwater management 00:24:10 --> 00:24:13: projects use see pace and I can talk about that 00:24:13 --> 00:24:14: a little bit more later. 00:24:15 --> 00:24:16: Thank you. 00:24:16 --> 00:24:19: So zooming out of the financing piece, Greg, as our 00:24:19 --> 00:24:22: resident developer here, could you talk a little bit about 00:24:22 --> 00:24:25: how you're creating more resilient buildings and any benefits or 00:24:25 --> 00:24:27: challenges you've experienced? 00:24:27 --> 00:24:29: We'll talk about the challenges definitely. 00:24:31 --> 00:24:34: So we do use C Pace and we reach out 00:24:34 --> 00:24:38: to you frequently for programs and projects that C Pace 00:24:39 --> 00:24:42: is great for those who how many people have used 00:24:42 --> 00:24:44: it in the room. 00:24:44 --> 00:24:47: Well, Maria also works with Mosaic. 00:24:47 --> 00:24:50: She's my colleague at Mosaic and our Senior VP of 00:24:50 --> 00:24:50: Development. 00:24:50 --> 00:24:54: So that's far Mosaic is you see PACE in this 00:24:54 --> 00:24:58: room and we do it primarily on a number of 00:24:58 --> 00:24:58: fronts. 00:24:59 --> 00:25:00: We're hoping to be able to use it at the 00:25:00 --> 00:25:02: Navy Yard, but we've also used it in projects, particularly

| 00:25:02> 00:25:05: | when we're driving equity in neighbourhoods that have struggled. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:25:05> 00:25:08: | And see PACE has been a tool that we've been |
| 00:25:08> 00:25:11: | able to use to replace equity in many cases, because |
| 00:25:11> 00:25:15: | the cost of equity often makes these projects undoable. |
| 00:25:15> 00:25:18: | When you look at it's hard for me to look |
| 00:25:18> 00:25:24: | at development conceptually, whether we're looking at resiliency, stormwater management, |
| 00:25:24> 00:25:27: | we talked about it in our pre discussion or in |
| 00:25:27> 00:25:31: | any other terms without bringing up in at least our |
| 00:25:31> 00:25:34: | mindset issues of poverty and race and how the effect |
| 00:25:34> 00:25:37: | of what you build has on poverty and race. |
| 00:25:38> 00:25:41: | And and for us we're equitable builders. |
| 00:25:41> 00:25:43: | We've been that way since 2008. |
| 00:25:43> 00:25:44: | That's why we started our company. |
| 00:25:44> 00:25:47: | So I believe we have quite a bit of expertise |
| 00:25:47> 00:25:48: | in this space. |
| 00:25:48> 00:25:50: | We work in seven of the tough neighbor, seven of |
| 00:25:50> 00:25:54: | the toughest neighborhoods in Philadelphia, seven of the 10 toughest |
| 00:25:54> 00:25:55: | neighborhoods in Philadelphia. |
| 00:25:55> 00:25:58: | We love being in the neighborhoods, but we also understand |
| 00:25:58> 00:26:01: | the true complexities of trying to develop and invest in |
| 00:26:01> 00:26:02: | those neighborhoods. |
| 00:26:02> 00:26:06: | And when what I worry about are we're kind of |
| 00:26:07> 00:26:13: | bringing first world demands and technologies into spaces where we |
| 00:26:13> 00:26:16: | can't get it done, We can't. |
| 00:26:16> 00:26:22: | Where we add layers of requirements onto communities, particularly communities |
| 00:26:22> 00:26:26: | of color where we're unable to put financing together. |
| 00:26:26> 00:26:30: | That makes really great things happen because of those consequences. |
| 00:26:30> 00:26:33: | And we talked about the difference between public and private |
| 00:26:33> 00:26:33: | investment. |
| 00:26:34> 00:26:37: | The problem is, is that people that put these requirements |
| 00:26:37> 00:26:38: | on are public investment people. |
| 00:26:38> 00:26:41: | They they view the world differently than the private market. |
| 00:26:41> 00:26:42: | They're not the same. |
| 00:26:43> 00:26:45: | We work a lot with public investment and we have |
| 00:26:45> 00:26:47: | a lot of public investment strategies. |
| 00:26:47> 00:26:48: | But I think it's important to note in the last |
| 00:26:48> 00:26:51: | discussion that when you're talking about all of these |

wonderful 00:26:51 --> 00:26:53: things that you're doing, you're using public money to do 00:26:53 --> 00:26:53: 00:26:54 --> 00:26:56: The private market doesn't work anything like that. 00:26:56 --> 00:26:58: So what we have to think about is how do 00:26:58 --> 00:27:02: we complement in the private market with public investment 00:27:02 --> 00:27:04: really get the outcomes that we're seeking. 00:27:04 --> 00:27:07: And so because what we also know is that government 00:27:07 --> 00:27:10: doesn't provide the bulk of the funding to change communities. 00:27:10 --> 00:27:12: right, They provide a catalyst for change. 00:27:12 --> 00:27:15: They do or they don't, but they could And the 00:27:15 --> 00:27:18: key is do you provide a catalyst that actually spurs 00:27:18 --> 00:27:19: future investment. 00:27:19 --> 00:27:22: And so when we talk about high performing buildings or 00:27:22 --> 00:27:25: buildings that we're working on, a number of lead certified 00:27:25 --> 00:27:28: buildings all all on I would say the East Coast 00:27:28 --> 00:27:32: frankly and but different levels of resiliency depending upon where 00:27:32 --> 00:27:34: we are particularly at the Navy Yard. 00:27:34 --> 00:27:36: For those that know that that community there's a lot 00:27:36 --> 00:27:39: of issues that we deal with coming out of the 00:27:39 --> 00:27:41: ground and we're right by the river. 00:27:41 --> 00:27:44: So the the conditions that we face there, because of 00:27:44 --> 00:27:47: the market the way it is, we're able to absorb 00:27:48 --> 00:27:53: resiliency strategies in ways that communities in Charleswood could not 00:27:53 --> 00:27:56: or in Frankfurt or in Strawberry Mansion or in you 00:27:56 --> 00:28:00: name the neighborhood Olney where we also work or in 00:28:00 --> 00:28:02: Germantown where we work. 00:28:02 --> 00:28:05: So we're realistic about how this all comes to play, 00:28:05 --> 00:28:08: but what we're trying to do is drive equity and 00:28:08 --> 00:28:10: wealth in our building and performance. 00:28:11 --> 00:28:13: I know that didn't answer your question, but I'm saying 00:28:13 --> 00:28:14: it anyway. 00:28:14 --> 00:28:18: So, so, so, so from a resilience standpoint though, we're 00:28:18 --> 00:28:22: doing everything that we can that fits within the capital stack to drive the best possible building for all people, 00:28:22 --> 00:28:26: 00:28:26 --> 00:28:28: no matter what income level they sit. 00:28:29 --> 00:28:31: And so we have a big belief that whatever building 00:28:31 --> 00:28:34: we build, the same level of quality goes to anyone 00:28:34 --> 00:28:37: who's in that building, the same performance of the building.

| 00:28:38> 00:28:41: | We don't develop programs for people that are poor. |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:28:41> 00:28:44: | That's different than people who have excess income. |
| 00:28:44> 00:28:47: | We build the same level and the same approach in |
| 00:28:47> 00:28:49: | terms of how we drive that. |
| 00:28:49> 00:28:51: | And what that does is it puts more of a |
| 00:28:51> 00:28:53: | burden on our ability to meet some of these other |
| 00:28:53> 00:28:53: | ideals. |
| 00:28:54> 00:28:57: | Triple pane windows, that's something somebody called that low hanging |
| 00:28:57> 00:28:57: | fruit. |
| 00:28:57> 00:29:00: | That is not low hanging fruit in my world it's |
| 00:29:00> 00:29:00: | not. |
| 00:29:01> 00:29:03: | And so it's it's little things like that. |
| 00:29:03> 00:29:06: | But I think at some level, you know, we'd love |
| 00:29:06> 00:29:09: | to have real dialogue about how this can come together |
| 00:29:09> 00:29:13: | and we could use public investment to drive better private |
| 00:29:13> 00:29:17: | strategies on highly performing buildings that serve all communities and |
| 00:29:17> 00:29:21: | in our in our interest, particularly low income communities and |
| 00:29:21> 00:29:22: | communities of color. |
| 00:29:24> 00:29:26: | So I warned you all that we'd be coming to |
| 00:29:26> 00:29:28: | you nice and quick because we figured you were pretty |
| 00:29:28> 00:29:29: | chatty earlier. |
| 00:29:29> 00:29:32: | So does anyone have a question now before I dive |
| 00:29:32> 00:29:33: | into others for the panelists? |
| 00:29:37> 00:29:38: | OK, keep thinking. |
| 00:29:39> 00:29:39: | Raise your hand if you do. |
| 00:29:40> 00:29:41: | Otherwise I'm just going to steal the show. |
| 00:29:42> 00:29:47: | So Lisa, you talked earlier about how the resilience |
| | measures |
| 00:29:47> 00:29:48: | is somewhat new. |
| 00:29:48> 00:29:51: | Could you talk more about that amendment in the specific |
| 00:29:51> 00:29:54: | design strategies that are eligible for C PACE financing under |
| 00:29:54> 00:29:55: | that amendment? |
| 00:29:59> 00:30:00: | Of course, happy to. |
| 00:30:01> 00:30:03: | I kind of committed to do that, so I should. |
| 00:30:05> 00:30:11: | So, yes, resilience was added to the Pennsylvania statute in |
| 00:30:11> 00:30:15: | 2022 and it is one of the broadest, I would |
| 00:30:15> 00:30:21: | say, around the country in terms of different CPACE statutes. |
| 00:30:21> 00:30:24: | We there are other states that are starting to bring |
| 00:30:24> 00:30:28: | resilience on as part of their eligible measures. |
| 00:30:29> 00:30:32: | We also added indoor air quality as I mentioned before |

| 00:30:32> 00:30:35: | and there isn't another state that I'm aware of that |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:30:35> 00:30:37: | explicitly talks about indoor air quality. |
| 00:30:37> 00:30:44: | So from a resiliency standpoint raise your hand if you're |
| 00:30:44> 00:30:46: | from Pennsylvania. |
| 00:30:47> 00:30:49: | I assume the vast majority of you are right or |
| 00:30:49> 00:30:52: | I mean live currently live in Pennsylvania, OK, not originally |
| 00:30:52> 00:30:52: | from OK. |
| 00:30:53> 00:30:57: | So we all know what the politics are in Pennsylvania, |
| 00:30:57> 00:31:02: | We're fossil state, yet CPACE is really a bipartisan issue. |
| 00:31:02> 00:31:06: | When we were able to, the original statute was passed |
| 00:31:06> 00:31:09: | and then it was amended with a Republican majority both |
| 00:31:10> 00:31:11: | in the House and the Senate. |
| 00:31:12> 00:31:15: | And one of the ways we were able to do |
| 00:31:15> 00:31:18: | that was to never mention the words climate change or |
| 00:31:19> 00:31:20: | greenhouse gases. |
| 00:31:20> 00:31:24: | It was simply a way to make buildings more efficient |
| 00:31:25> 00:31:28: | as a public benefit and and and protect and |
| 00:31:29> 00:31:34: | to protect against natural disasters that the resiliency language is |
| 00:31:34> 00:31:38: | about protection from natural disasters. |
| 00:31:39> 00:31:42: | We don't really care what causes those natural disasters. |
| 00:31:42> 00:31:44: | We just know that we want to be protected from |
| 00:31:44> 00:31:44: | them. |
| 00:31:44> 00:31:49: | So in our in Philadelphia, I believe that the, OK, |
| 00:31:49> 00:31:53: | let me back up and say as I mentioned earlier, |
| 00:31:53> 00:31:57: | anything you do to your building to make it more |
| 00:31:57> 00:31:59: | energy efficient is both. |
| 00:32:00> 00:32:03: | And as Lindsay said before, it's both a mitigation strategy |
| 00:32:03> 00:32:06: | and an adaptation strategy because you make it more efficient, |
| 00:32:06> 00:32:09: | you're putting less greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. |
| 00:32:09> 00:32:14: | You're also reducing what's called heat island effect, which is |
| 00:32:14> 00:32:17: | like how hot it is in a particular in a |
| 00:32:17> 00:32:21: | in a very small area, a small neighborhood, and you're |
| 00:32:21> 00:32:26: | making that building more resilient to when there is a |
| 00:32:26> 00:32:29: | an an electricity or a utility outage. |
| 00:32:29> 00:32:32: | If it's more efficient, it will be able to sustain |
| 00:32:32> 00:32:35: | livable temperatures for a longer period of time. |
| 00:32:35> 00:32:39: | If there's if there's less heat exchange from inside to |
| 00:32:39> 00:32:40: | outside, vice vice versa. |
| 00:32:40> 00:32:44: | So all of the energy improvements you can make are |
| 00:32:44> 00:32:49: | also going to help with resiliency including of course adding |
| | |

| 00:32:49> 00:32:54: | renewable energy and adding storage absolutely adds to the resilience |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:32:54> 00:32:56: | of resilience of your building. |
| 00:32:57> 00:33:00: | I think in Philly flooding is the most light is |
| 00:33:00> 00:33:03: | is the even though Lindsay you said there's there's not |
| 00:33:03> 00:33:06: | a single building that doesn't have more than one risk |
| 00:33:06> 00:33:07: | factor. |
| 00:33:07> 00:33:11: | I think in Philly flooding is probably and and heat. |
| 00:33:11> 00:33:15: | So there's your two flooding that isn't covered by energy |
| 00:33:15> 00:33:18: | is the most likely thing that we that we face. |
| 00:33:18> 00:33:24: | And somebody earlier today mentioned Hurricane Ida which had devastating |
| 00:33:24> 00:33:27: | flooding consequences here in Philly. |
| 00:33:28> 00:33:31: | And so we had worked very closely with the Philadelphia |
| 00:33:31> 00:33:35: | Water Department when we were putting together the program guidelines |
| 00:33:35> 00:33:38: | to implement how do we, how do we actually make |
| 00:33:38> 00:33:40: | resiliency happen. |
| 00:33:40> 00:33:43: | And we in order to use C PACE to fund |
| 00:33:44> 00:33:49: | a stormwater project, you have to meet all of the |
| 00:33:49> 00:33:52: | PWD requirements and then more. |
| 00:33:52> 00:33:56: | So you have to meet the minimum plus just like |
| 00:33:56> 00:33:57: | we do with energy. |
| 00:33:57> 00:34:01: | And if you're investing in energy efficiency, you have to |
| 00:34:01> 00:34:05: | meet our Building Energy Code, which is right now IECC |
| 00:34:05> 00:34:05: | 2018. |
| 00:34:06> 00:34:08: | And yet you have to beat it by no particular |
| 00:34:08> 00:34:08: | amount. |
| 00:34:08> 00:34:09: | You just have to beat it. |
| 00:34:09> 00:34:12: | And the same with the the water regulations. |
| 00:34:12> 00:34:15: | You just have to do better than what PWD requires. |
| 00:34:16> 00:34:17: | That answer your question? |
| 00:34:17> 00:34:18: | That's great. |
| 00:34:18> 00:34:18: | Thank you. |
| 00:34:18> 00:34:22: | And so the report that Lisa so generously endorsed that |
| 00:34:22> 00:34:25: | I shared earlier, there are matrices in there for all |
| 00:34:25> 00:34:29: | of the major hazard types, including a flooding and heat. |
| 00:34:29> 00:34:32: | And Lisa, if I'm correct in quoting you, you said |
| 00:34:32> 00:34:35: | earlier that nearly everything on those lists qualifies for CPS. |
| 00:34:35> 00:34:36: | That's correct. |
| 00:34:36> 00:34:37: | Awesome. |
| 00:34:37> 00:34:38: | So there's your shortcut. |
| 00:34:39> 00:34:40: | All right. |

00:34:46 --> 00:34:46: OK. 00:34:46 --> 00:34:49: Sheila, can you talk a little bit about what kinds 00:34:49 --> 00:34:52: of projects that you're seeing use C Pace funding and 00:34:52 --> 00:34:55: what role C PACE plays in the capital stack? 00:34:57 --> 00:34:58: Yeah, sure. 00:34:58 --> 00:35:02: I might have touched on it earlier, but so we 00:35:02 --> 00:35:03: see a lot. 00:35:03 --> 00:35:03: OK. 00:35:04 --> 00:35:05: So we'll back up a little bit. 00:35:05 --> 00:35:09: Historically, C pace was first utilized just for retrofits. 00:35:09 --> 00:35:13: So a building now is existing needs to replace really 00:35:13 --> 00:35:17: old HVAC systems or coolers, boilers, whatever like new roof 00:35:18 --> 00:35:21: you would come and finance that would see face. 00:35:22 --> 00:35:26: Since then it evolved to now utilize new construction. 00:35:26 --> 00:35:30: A lot of the legislative bodies recognize that it was 00:35:30 --> 00:35:34: just not only great tools encourage clean energy also kind 00:35:34 --> 00:35:37: of helps with job growth and potential development. 00:35:39 --> 00:35:44: So, so now new construction, mid construction, post construction you 00:35:44 --> 00:35:49: can basically finance anytime there up until two years post 00:35:49 --> 00:35:54: CFO here in Pennsylvania, different states have different lengths of 00:35:54 --> 00:35:57: time you can finance post construction. 00:35:59 --> 00:36:03: So it's being used a lot for projects that sometimes 00:36:03 --> 00:36:05: encounter issues. 00:36:05 --> 00:36:08: And actually one that we we explored at a recent 00:36:08 --> 00:36:12: panel was a developer who actually had a storm water 00:36:12 --> 00:36:16: management issue and it had a \$1.7 million cost overrun. 00:36:17 --> 00:36:20: And the bank had a right committed to lending this 00:36:20 --> 00:36:20: money. 00:36:20 --> 00:36:23: And this was a big chunk that they were not. 00:36:23 --> 00:36:28: It was a smaller project, 20 some million to have 00:36:28 --> 00:36:28: a 1.7. 00:36:28 --> 00:36:31: Yeah, it was. 00:36:31 --> 00:36:33: Yeah, it was very painful for the developer. 00:36:33 --> 00:36:38: But fortunately, the bank was open to different ideas, which 00:36:38 --> 00:36:40: includes Cpace at the time. 00:36:40 --> 00:36:44: We actually could not do resiliency, but we're able to 00:36:44 --> 00:36:48: finance the energy efficiency and use that to help with 00:36:48 --> 00:36:49: that cost overrun. 00:36:51 --> 00:36:55: And so in today's economic environment, you're seeing tons

So unless there's a question, I'll go to Sheila.

00:34:41 --> 00:34:43:

of 00:36:55 --> 00:36:59: cost overruns because interest rates are so high and to 00:36:59 --> 00:37:04: carry the project through completion and stabilization, you're bound to 00:37:04 --> 00:37:07: have an over or like not enough or deficiency in 00:37:07 --> 00:37:09: that reserve account, right. 00:37:10 --> 00:37:13: And so we're also seeing C pays utilize to help 00:37:13 --> 00:37:18: these projects even though it's it's not directly related to 00:37:18 --> 00:37:23: resiliency, it does qualify for these items in an environment 00:37:23 --> 00:37:28: where a borrower can't refinance because they went into the 00:37:28 --> 00:37:33: project with three percent, 4% interest rates and today it's 00:37:33 --> 00:37:38: 8% and they're trying to refinance out and the construction 00:37:38 --> 00:37:42: loans 30 million and that they go to other lenders and the lenders say the Max that we'll give you 00:37:42 --> 00:37:46: 00:37:46 --> 00:37:47: is 25,000,000. 00:37:48 --> 00:37:52: So C pace is helpful in that situation too. 00:37:52 --> 00:37:56: But most of all, it's helpful for ground and construction 00:37:57 --> 00:38:02: where potentially there's there's only so much a senior lender 00:38:02 --> 00:38:06: can do and they need a little bit more capital 00:38:06 --> 00:38:09: to fill up that full stack to get the project 00:38:09 --> 00:38:10: going. 00:38:11 --> 00:38:13: And so it's helping those instances. 00:38:13 --> 00:38:14: Yeah, I see a question. 00:38:15 --> 00:38:15: Awesome. 00:38:15 --> 00:38:16: Yeah. 00:38:24 --> 00:38:25: Is C pace A? 00:38:25 --> 00:38:28: Floating rate like other construction loans or is it fixed 00:38:29 --> 00:38:32: and if so, what's the difference between it and market? 00:38:32 --> 00:38:33: Rate, Yeah, great question. 00:38:35 --> 00:38:38: So it's a fixed rate long term loan and that's 00:38:38 --> 00:38:40: why it can be helpful. 00:38:40 --> 00:38:44: So pretend, OK, so we're priced 360 to 400 / 00:38:44 --> 00:38:50: 10 year treasury which means we were really attractive four 00:38:50 --> 00:38:51: months ago. 00:38:51 --> 00:38:55: But the interest, the 10 year treasury went up over 00:38:55 --> 00:38:58: 1% just in a couple months. 00:38:58 --> 00:39:01: And so we are now a little less attractive in 00:39:01 --> 00:39:03: comparison to senior debt. 00:39:04 --> 00:39:06: But what's nice is we know what the rate's going 00:39:06 --> 00:39:07: to be on the exit. 00:39:07 --> 00:39:11: And so if we're able to have that payment set 00:39:11 --> 00:39:14: for year one and the senior lender is doing some

| 00:39:14> 00:39:18: | arbitrary exit stress rate to figure out how much they |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:39:18> 00:39:22: | could finance if they put us in there there, there |
| 00:39:22> 00:39:26: | might be a chance where every dollar we finance, they |
| 00:39:26> 00:39:30: | might have to cut some proceeds, but not dollar for |
| 00:39:30> 00:39:30: | dollar. |
| 00:39:31> 00:39:35: | And so it helps get a little more in leverage |
| 00:39:35> 00:39:38: | and reduce the equity need for a developer. |
| 00:39:39> 00:39:39: | Yeah. |
| 00:39:39> 00:39:42: | If I can, the leverage piece is really important, yes. |
| 00:39:42> 00:39:45: | So if you're have a project that's 50% leveraged and |
| 00:39:46> 00:39:49: | the lender won't go beyond that and and the |
| 00:39:49> 00:39:52: | deal could really accept 60% or 65%, you don't want |
| 00:39:52> 00:39:55: | to replace that with equity, right. |
| 00:39:55> 00:39:56: | You want to look for additional debt. |
| 00:39:56> 00:39:59: | So even debt that might be a little bit higher, |
| 00:39:59> 00:40:02: | but it's fixed over the term, it's still more attractive. |
| 00:40:02> 00:40:05: | So that's where we certainly have looked to you all |
| 00:40:05> 00:40:07: | to come in and say, all right, the leverage isn't |
| 00:40:07> 00:40:10: | enough, the equity is too high for the project to |
| 00:40:10> 00:40:10: | work. |
| 00:40:10> 00:40:12: | C Pace now becomes that tool that comes in and |
| 00:40:12> 00:40:15: | helps make that really happen in a meaningful way. |
| 00:40:18> 00:40:20: | I'm coming with a a mic. |
| 00:40:24> 00:40:27: | So I do a lot of like LEAD certifications and |
| 00:40:27> 00:40:30: | WELL certifications and there's a lot of overlap between |
| | LEAD |
| 00:40:30> 00:40:33: | and WELL which is really great when you can leverage |
| 00:40:33> 00:40:36: | that because then you don't have to do the work |
| 00:40:36> 00:40:37: | twice. |
| 00:40:37> 00:40:40: | Lindsay, I think I also saw that your CPHC you |
| 00:40:40> 00:40:44: | mentioned you know building energy envelope efficiencies and that is |
| 00:40:44> 00:40:47: | excellent for reducing energy costs etcetera. |
| 00:40:48> 00:40:50: | So does C Pace have a sort of rubric for |
| 00:40:50> 00:40:53: | like, OK, this building is LEED Gold or LEED Platinum, |
| 00:40:53> 00:40:57: | This, this building's going after, well, this building is certified |
| 00:40:57> 00:40:58: | Passive House. |
| 00:40:58> 00:41:02: | And is there some sort of, you know, way that |
| 00:41:02> 00:41:05: | you like, let's say I'm talking to a client and |
| 00:41:05> 00:41:09: | I have a building that's LEED Gold and well, gold |
| 00:41:09> 00:41:12: | and the client's like, OK, well, can we apply C |
| 00:41:12> 00:41:12: | Pace? |
| | |

| 00:41:12> 00:41:14: | Like, is there a way to say that? |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:41:14> 00:41:16: | Oh yeah, because it's lead gold and, well, gold C |
| 00:41:16> 00:41:18: | Pace will be a lot easier to get. |
| 00:41:25> 00:41:25: | <u> </u> |
| | Great question. |
| 00:41:25> 00:41:26: | Thank you. |
| 00:41:26> 00:41:31: | So for C pace in Pennsylvania and in most jurisdictions |
| 00:41:31> 00:41:36: | a, a survey needs to be created that's submitted to |
| 00:41:36> 00:41:42: | us as the program administrator that shows that whatever it |
| 00:41:42> 00:41:47: | is that you want to finance is it meets the |
| 00:41:47> 00:41:48: | our requirements. |
| 00:41:48> 00:41:52: | So if you're going after energy efficiency we and it's |
| 00:41:52> 00:41:56: | and it's new construction and kind of the cases that |
| 00:41:56> 00:42:00: | you were just talking about we it usually we we |
| 00:42:00> 00:42:04: | most people are submitting a whole building energy model and |
| 00:42:04> 00:42:09: | if you're getting lead certification you already have created one. |
| 00:42:09> 00:42:11: | So that's really easy to submit. |
| 00:42:12> 00:42:16: | And then we and then our engineer actually contracted through |
| 00:42:16> 00:42:21: | right now through practical energy solutions reviews it make sure |
| 00:42:21> 00:42:25: | it, it, it the energy, the, the model of |
| 00:42:25> 00:42:28: | the energy use is going to be above code which |
| 00:42:28> 00:42:32: | I mentioned earlier is IECC 2018 in Philadelphia. |
| 00:42:33> 00:42:39: | And for stormwater management, other resiliency measures, it has to |
| 00:42:39> 00:42:44: | be better than what's required either by the water department |
| 00:42:45> 00:42:46: | or by building code. |
| 00:42:47> 00:42:51: | And in the case of indoor air quality, we have |
| 00:42:51> 00:42:56: | a number of different modeled certifications that we that we've |
| 00:42:56> 00:43:01: | agreed to look at including well including reset air a |
| 00:43:01> 00:43:02: | few other things. |
| 00:43:02> 00:43:06: | And so it's it's better than what is required |
| 00:43:06> 00:43:10: | and it you just have to provide a survey that |
| 00:43:10> 00:43:14: | that that proves that for an existing building or for |
| 00:43:15> 00:43:19: | you know so if you're if you're upgrading you know |
| 00:43:19> 00:43:24: | certain measures then it's you don't need a whole building |
| 00:43:24> 00:43:25: | energy model. |
| 00:43:25> 00:43:28: | You just need to show how the what you're replacing |
| 00:43:28> 00:43:31: | is is better than what exists or let's say |
| 00:43:31> 00:43:35: | with stormwater management or or even like hardening a |
| | building |

| 00:43:35> 00:43:38: | to to to flood risk maybe you're you're moving it |
|--|---|
| 00:43:38> 00:43:41: | you're replacing and moving equipment from the lower let you |
| 00:43:41> 00:43:44: | know from the basement to a higher level and and |
| 00:43:44> 00:43:48: | maybe you're going to replace that equipment at the same |
| 00:43:48> 00:43:48: | time. |
| 00:43:48> 00:43:50: | So all you know all of those costs are included |
| 00:43:50> 00:43:51: | in. |
| 00:43:51> 00:43:54: | So it depends on whether it's new construction and whether |
| 00:43:54> 00:43:57: | a whole energy model, a whole building model is required |
| 00:43:57> 00:43:59: | or if it's a in this year we're talking about |
| 00:43:59> 00:44:00: | retrofits today. |
| 00:44:00> 00:44:02: | So it's probably going to be a much simpler kind |
| 00:44:02> 00:44:04: | of process to get approval. |
| 00:44:05> 00:44:06: | I have a. |
| 00:44:06> 00:44:07: | Question of my own if that's OK. |
| 00:44:08> 00:44:11: | Lindsay, you you mentioned I think at the top and |
| 00:44:11> 00:44:13: | I might get the statistic wrong, but I think it |
| 00:44:13> 00:44:16: | was something like 2/3 of our built environment that we'll |
| 00:44:17> 00:44:18: | need in the future is already built. |
| 00:44:19> 00:44:22: | And and you sort of preface the conversation to saying |
| 00:44:22> 00:44:25: | that it's it's, there's no way that we'll be able |
| 00:44:25> 00:44:28: | to kind of finance all of the enhancements or improvements |
| 00:44:28> 00:44:29: | all at once. |
| 00:44:29> 00:44:32: | But over the life cycle of the building, there's strategic |
| 00:44:32> 00:44:35: | points where that might happen really for any of the |
| 00:44:35> 00:44:36: 00:44:36> 00:44:39: | panelists. |
| 00:44:39> 00:44:42: | Can you kind of elaborate what are those strategic points within a building's life cycle that it makes the most |
| 00:44:42> 00:44:45: | sense to make these types of resilient investments? |
| 00:44:51> 00:44:54: | Well, we do retrofits and grand up developments. |
| 00:44:54> 00:44:57: | So for for a hotel, for example, a PIP is |
| 00:44:57> 00:44:59: | 7 years, right? |
| 00:44:59> 00:45:02: | So if you look at hotel operations, if you're in |
| 00:45:02> 00:45:06: | that space, every seven years, you're basically turning over |
| | hotel |
| 00:45:06> 00:45:08: | and you may want to look in that cycle as |
| 00:45:08> 00:45:13: | to whether or not there's some real opportunities for significant |
| 00:45:13> 00:45:15: | upgrades and resiliency at the Navy Yard. |
| 00:45:16> 00:45:18: | The way we're looking at a 15 year cycle, we're |
| 00:45:18> 00:45:21: | building a neighborhood that's lead for ND for the entire |
| 00:45:21> 00:45:21: | neighborhood. |

| 00:45:22> 00:45:24: | Every building that we're building is lead silver or above. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:45:25> 00:45:28: | All of our buildings are dealing with significant resiliency. |
| 00:45:28> 00:45:30: | The cost of doing that is exorbitant, but we've committed |
| 00:45:30> 00:45:31: | to it. |
| 00:45:31> 00:45:35: | We're going to do it anyway, but alternatively we're working |
| 00:45:35> 00:45:37: | in Olney with Zion Baptist Church. |
| 00:45:38> 00:45:40: | I don't know if you, you know the church certainly |
| 00:45:40> 00:45:44: | well recognized in the city of Philadelphia for many, many |
| 00:45:44> 00:45:44: | years. |
| 00:45:45> 00:45:48: | We're helping them retrofit a building, their annex building. |
| 00:45:48> 00:45:52: | We're doing it at 2A LEED certification, very difficult to |
| 00:45:52> 00:45:56: | achieve given the community that it's in the neighborhood, the |
| 00:45:56> 00:45:59: | rents that are able to pay for the cost of |
| 00:45:59> 00:46:00: | doing that work. |
| 00:46:00> 00:46:04: | And so again, as you move into different neighborhoods, the |
| 00:46:04> 00:46:06: | goals shift with the goals are the same, but the |
| 00:46:06> 00:46:09: | reality shift and the way that you have to deal |
| 00:46:09> 00:46:11: | with them becomes far more complicated. |
| 00:46:11> 00:46:14: | So, so it's really a mix in terms of how |
| 00:46:14> 00:46:18: | you're trying to make this work because ultimately our ultimate |
| 00:46:18> 00:46:22: | goal is to create buildings that are activated, that meet |
| 00:46:22> 00:46:26: | the needs of the community right, first, right that that |
| 00:46:26> 00:46:29: | are solving problems in neighborhoods. |
| 00:46:29> 00:46:32: | And we do that with the mindset are we doing |
| 00:46:32> 00:46:35: | it at the highest possible quality, but we have to |
| 00:46:35> 00:46:39: | also make choices on what matters most and then sometime |
| 00:46:39> 00:46:44: | some cases certain lead requirements or lead objectives get sacrificed. |
| 00:46:44> 00:46:46: | And that's just the realities of what we have to |
| 00:46:46> 00:46:46: | deal with. |
| 00:46:47> 00:46:48: | I'll add to that. |
| 00:46:48> 00:46:49: | Go ahead. |
| 00:46:49> 00:46:51: | I was just going to say in the timing of |
| 00:46:51> 00:46:53: | that, in many cases deals with when you have to, |
| 00:46:53> 00:46:56: | when you're in a financing situation with respect to that. |
| 00:46:56> 00:46:57: | Yeah. |
| 00:46:57> 00:46:58: | And so a few examples. |
| 00:46:58> 00:47:03: | We're seeing many cities across the country institute building |
| | performance |
| 00:47:03> 00:47:04: | standards, right. |
| 00:47:04> 00:47:08: | And so that is going to, from a regulation standpoint, |

| 00:47:08> 00:47:11: | incite some level of retrofit often from an energy efficiency |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:47:12> 00:47:12: | standpoint. |
| 00:47:12> 00:47:15: | But that is another opportunity to layer in some of |
| 00:47:15> 00:47:18: | these resilience and climate adaptation measures. |
| 00:47:18> 00:47:21: | Because if you're going to be opening up the wall |
| 00:47:21> 00:47:24: | anyway, consider what else you can do. |
| 00:47:24> 00:47:27: | Like in the in the Pacific Northwest, which I know |
| 00:47:27> 00:47:29: | is not at all where we are right now, when |
| 00:47:29> 00:47:33: | they're looking to add insulation, they're also looking at potential |
| 00:47:33> 00:47:37: | seismic upgrades, right, because you're in the wall anyway. |
| 00:47:37> 00:47:39: | So think about what you're doing so that you can |
| 00:47:39> 00:47:42: | begin to minimize the long term costs and consider does |
| 00:47:42> 00:47:45: | it make sense in investing a little bit more now |
| 00:47:45> 00:47:47: | so you don't have to do it all over again |
| 00:47:47> 00:47:47: | later? |
| 00:47:48> 00:47:50: | The other thing that I'm going to show you at |
| 00:47:50> 00:47:52: | the close of this panel is in addition to the |
| 00:47:52> 00:47:55: | report that Uli did, the American Institute of Architects has |
| 00:47:55> 00:47:59: | a Resilience and Adaptation certificate series on there, our online |
| 00:47:59> 00:48:00: | learning platform AIAU. |
| 00:48:00> 00:48:04: | And one of those classes is on conducting vulnerability assessments |
| 00:48:04> 00:48:05: | at the building scale. |
| 00:48:05> 00:48:07: | So this is something that all you architects could do. |
| 00:48:07> 00:48:10: | It's potential service that you could offer. |
| 00:48:10> 00:48:13: | But one of the ways that you might go through |
| 00:48:13> 00:48:17: | and do that vulnerability analysis on an existing building is |
| 00:48:17> 00:48:19: | after a disaster, right? |
| 00:48:19> 00:48:22: | Because you want to understand what is failing in the |
| 00:48:22> 00:48:25: | building that needs to be repaired and what are other |
| 00:48:25> 00:48:29: | opportunities to enhance its resilience again, while you're already undergoing |
| 00:48:29> 00:48:32: | construction, while you already have financing in place. |
| 00:48:33> 00:48:37: | And then the last opportunity might be when you're thinking |
| 00:48:37> 00:48:41: | about repositioning the building or selling the building, thinking about |
| 00:48:41> 00:48:44: | your your next buyer and what they might want |
| 00:48:44> 00:48:47: | to get out of the building and how you can |
| 00:48:47> 00:48:50: | potentially make it more attractive given the fact that our |
| 00:48:50> 00:48:54: | investors are more and more concerned about physical climate risk. |

| 00:48:55> 00:48:56: | So, great question. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:48:56> 00:48:57: | Thank you, Kevin. |
| 00:48:58> 00:49:01: | I just want to add one more potential time when |
| 00:49:01> 00:49:04: | it is from heavy as I mentioned I come at |
| 00:49:04> 00:49:08: | this more from an energy perspective is end of life |
| 00:49:08> 00:49:12: | of equipment is and that's you know from a public |
| 00:49:12> 00:49:16: | policy standpoint and just from in general it is the |
| 00:49:16> 00:49:20: | biggest challenge is is getting to property owners to to |
| 00:49:20> 00:49:24: | get them to to plan before the end of life. |
| 00:49:24> 00:49:26: | So it isn't an emergency replacement when your boiler is |
| 00:49:26> 00:49:29: | out in the middle of January, but in August they've |
| 00:49:29> 00:49:31: | actually planned for it and they they know what they're |
| 00:49:31> 00:49:34: | going to, you know, they know what they're going to |
| 00:49:34> 00:49:36: | replace it with and not necessarily the cheapest off the |
| 00:49:36> 00:49:37: | shelf thing. |
| 00:49:37> 00:49:41: | So that's and that's not necessarily relevant to resiliency per |
| 00:49:41> 00:49:44: | SE but it's it's just another place where we we |
| 00:49:44> 00:49:45: | need to to look I was. |
| 00:49:47> 00:49:51: | I was going to say C pays financing related. |
| 00:49:52> 00:49:56: | It's challenging to do it mid like mid financing because |
| 00:49:56> 00:50:00: | we have to get approval from the lender to use |
| 00:50:00> 00:50:03: | C pays and so it usually only works when it |
| 00:50:03> 00:50:06: | is at the end of the use of life and |
| 00:50:06> 00:50:09: | they have no other option and then the lender might |
| 00:50:09> 00:50:12: | say OK fine you can do this. |
| 00:50:12> 00:50:15: | Otherwise you really need to plan to do it for |
| 00:50:15> 00:50:19: | like a large renovation or when you're refinancing and |
| 00:50:19> 00:50:23: | considering all that because it does get a little challenging sometimes |
| 00:50:23> 00:50:27: | to put see pace in there without the full stack |
| 00:50:27> 00:50:28: | consideration. |
| 00:50:28> 00:50:29: | Yeah. |
| 00:50:29> 00:50:32: | Or really the change of ownership, you know that's that's |
| 00:50:32> 00:50:33: | a pretty important trigger. |
| 00:50:33> 00:50:36: | When I think of it now that I'm thinking about |
| 00:50:36> 00:50:38: | it, that would be more likely when I would look |
| 00:50:38> 00:50:41: | at replacing these systems because I'm thinking about what |
| | the |
| 00:50:41> 00:50:42: | new program is coming in. |
| 00:50:42> 00:50:46: | The existing owner may be incremental because that's what |
| AA HA 4A . AA 53 53 | their |
| 00:50:46> 00:50:49: | capital stack will allow them to be right in, in |
| 00:50:49> 00:50:49: | reality. |

| 00:50:49> 00:50:52:But if you have a change of ownership with new00:50:52> 00:50:54:equity coming in, a new lender, a new lender profile,00:50:55> 00:50:57:a new investor profile, it's actually a great time to00:50:57> 00:51:00:look at all of the building footprint to determine what00:51:00> 00:51:02:the best approach is moving forward.00:51:06> 00:51:06:All right.00:51:09> 00:51:13:I'm just going to say one more thing that isn't00:51:13> 00:51:16:out, came out in your report which is, you know,00:51:16> 00:51:20:so we're seeing, you know, post pandemic work from home | |
|--|---|
| 00:50:55> 00:50:57:a new investor profile, it's actually a great time to00:50:57> 00:51:00:look at all of the building footprint to determine what00:51:00> 00:51:02:the best approach is moving forward.00:51:06> 00:51:06:All right.00:51:06> 00:51:09:I'm just going to say one more thing that isn't00:51:09> 00:51:13:an answer to any question, but this, this, this came00:51:13> 00:51:16:out, came out in your report which is, you know, | |
| 00:50:57> 00:51:00: look at all of the building footprint to determine what 00:51:00> 00:51:02: the best approach is moving forward. 00:51:06> 00:51:06: All right. 00:51:06> 00:51:09: I'm just going to say one more thing that isn't 00:51:09> 00:51:13: an answer to any question, but this, this, this came 00:51:13> 00:51:16: out, came out in your report which is, you know, | |
| 00:51:00> 00:51:02: the best approach is moving forward. 00:51:06> 00:51:06: All right. 00:51:06> 00:51:09: I'm just going to say one more thing that isn't 00:51:09> 00:51:13: an answer to any question, but this, this, this came 00:51:13> 00:51:16: out, came out in your report which is, you know, | |
| 00:51:06> 00:51:06: All right. 00:51:06> 00:51:09: I'm just going to say one more thing that isn't 00:51:09> 00:51:13: an answer to any question, but this, this, this came 00:51:13> 00:51:16: out, came out in your report which is, you know, | |
| 00:51:06> 00:51:09: I'm just going to say one more thing that isn't 00:51:09> 00:51:13: an answer to any question, but this, this, this came 00:51:13> 00:51:16: out, came out in your report which is, you know, | |
| 00:51:09> 00:51:13: an answer to any question, but this, this came out, came out in your report which is, you know, | |
| 00:51:13> 00:51:16: out, came out in your report which is, you know, | |
| | |
| 00:51:16> 00:51:20: so we're seeing, you know, post pandemic work from home | |
| 3/3 / 1 I |) |
| 00:51:20> 00:51:23: off, you know, the office sector is really been hit | |
| 00:51:23> 00:51:23: hard. | |
| 00:51:24> 00:51:26: So which what office buildings are doing? | |
| 00:51:26> 00:51:29: Well, the ones that are amenity rich, but you know | |
| 00:51:30> 00:51:30: what else? | |
| 00:51:31> 00:51:33: The ones that are not in a flood zone or | |
| 00:51:33> 00:51:37: you know likely to get flooded again because they were | |
| 00:51:37> 00:51:38: during Hurricane Ida. | |
| 00:51:39> 00:51:43: So just that buildings that are in, you know, | |
| 00:51:43> 00:51:48: that have some resiliency risk are going to lose market | |
| 00:51:48> 00:51:51: value, are going to lose tenants. | |
| 00:51:51> 00:51:55: So that's just like another motivating factor, I think, for | |
| 00:51:55> 00:51:56: some property owners. | |
| 00:52:06> 00:52:06: Thank you. | |
| 00:52:06> 00:52:08: I, I don't really have a a proper question formulated | |
| 00:52:08> 00:52:10: here, but Greg this is going to be directed at | |
| 00:52:10> 00:52:11: you here. | |
| 00:52:11> 00:52:14: So share a vision with me, right. | |
| 00:52:14> 00:52:16: You're in, you're in seven of the top 10 toughest | |
| 00:52:17> 00:52:19: communities as you're saying and and we will all want | |
| 00:52:19> 00:52:22: those communities to to whatever happens in that community, we | |
| 00:52:23> 00:52:24: want it to be the best, right. | |
| 00:52:24> 00:52:28: We want that housing to be waterproof, flood proofed, elevated, | |
| 00:52:28> 00:52:29: mechanicals protected, right. | |
| 00:52:30> 00:52:31: Just aesthetically beautiful. | |
| 00:52:32> 00:52:34: How, how do we make that happen? | |
| 00:52:34> 00:52:36: I mean obviously that that there's gap funding. | |
| | |
| 00:52:36> 00:52:39: What you're saying is you can't make that investment | |
| 00:52:36> 00:52:39: What you're saying is you can't make that investment because | |
| , , , , , | |

| 00:52:44> 00:52:48: | programming, public investment needs to complement private what is it? |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:52:48> 00:52:49: | Can you give me examples? |
| 00:52:49> 00:52:50: | What can we do? |
| 00:52:50> 00:52:52: | How drastic is that cost differential? |
| 00:52:52> 00:52:53: | What's out there? |
| 00:52:54> 00:52:55: | How can the city make that happen? |
| 00:52:55> 00:52:57: | I mean we we nibble, right? |
| 00:52:57> 00:52:57: | The city nibbles, right. |
| 00:52:57> 00:53:00: | We give you a storm water credit on your bill |
| 00:53:00> 00:53:02: | right when you manage storm water or we allow you |
| 00:53:03> 00:53:05: | to do density bonuses when you when you add a |
| 00:53:05> 00:53:08: | green roof, which probably is not, you know, affordable, |
| | maybe |
| 00:53:08> 00:53:11: | in, in, in in some of these communities, you're |
| 00:53:11> 00:53:12: | saying what can be done? |
| 00:53:12> 00:53:13: | How can we do it? |
| 00:53:13> 00:53:14: | How drastic is it? |
| 00:53:14> 00:53:15: | How much noise is around it? |
| 00:53:16> 00:53:18: | Like how can we help bridge that gap? |
| 00:53:18> 00:53:21: | We want those communities to be the showpieces, right? |
| 00:53:21> 00:53:22: | Yeah. |
| 00:53:22> 00:53:25: | And I I guess particularly look I'm, I'm on the |
| 00:53:25> 00:53:26: | private side. |
| 00:53:26> 00:53:30: | So to be fair, I am not a government employee |
| 00:53:30> 00:53:33: | nor have I ever played one in TV so and |
| 00:53:33> 00:53:38: | but we work so closely with government funding and government |
| 00:53:38> 00:53:40: | support and not for profits. |
| 00:53:40> 00:53:43: | We work in West Philly, Southwest Philly, and we're working |
| 00:53:43> 00:53:46: | with all of these neighbourhoods that have the same needs |
| 00:53:46> 00:53:47: | that any other neighbourhood has. |
| 00:53:47> 00:53:50: | And we always run into this gap. |
| 00:53:50> 00:53:54: | But then on the private side, I also know that |
| 00:53:54> 00:53:57: | that dollars run like water. |
| 00:53:57> 00:53:59: | They follow the path of police resistance. |
| 00:54:00> 00:54:03: | And on the private side, the more resistance you put |
| 00:54:03> 00:54:06: | up or the more barriers that you place, the less |
| 00:54:06> 00:54:08: | the money will flow in that direction. |
| 00:54:08> 00:54:11: | So I think the answer is twofold. |
| 00:54:11> 00:54:14: | One is we need to provide, we need to recognize |
| 00:54:14> 00:54:17: | that there are socio economic conditions that created the poverty |

| 00:54:22 -> 00:54:26:We need to recognize that without additional wealth in those00:54:29 -> 00:54:29:neighborhoods that even those small fixes will not last, right.00:54:30 -> 00:54:30:That's you might feel good that you planted a tree00:54:30 -> 00:54:37:or that you did something nice or that I I00:54:35 -> 00:54:37:we're doing something at Cheney University with that group as00:54:41 -> 00:54:41:we'll.00:54:42 -> 00:54:42:Those are great things.00:54:44 -> 00:54:45:They might be close to proof of concept, but they're00:54:45 -> 00:54:46:They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as00:54:49 -> 00:54:49:I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true00:54:50 -> 00:55:50:poverty rate.00:55:00 -> 00:55:03:Poverty rate.00:55:00 -> 00:55:03:And so for us, what we're focused on is how00:55:06 -> 00:55:08:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:11 -> 00:55:11:And that how do we infuse that first dollar to00:55:12 -> 00:55:22:provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate.00:55:24 -> 00:55:23:Like we're always going to be in a place we00:55:29 -> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34 -> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34 -> 00:55:34:Absolutely not.00:55:45 -> 00:55:46:Absolutely not.00:55:46 -> 00:55:46:They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right?< | 00:54:17> 00:54:22: | situations that exist in our communities, particularly communities of color. |
|---|---------------------|--|
| 00:54:29 → 00:54:30: 00:54:30 → 00:54:33: That's you might feel good that you planted a tree 00:54:33 → 00:54:35: 00:54:35 → 00:54:41: 00:54:41 → 00:54:41: 00:54:41 → 00:54:42: Those are great things. 00:54:45 → 00:54:45: They might be close to proof of concept, but they're truly not. 00:54:49 → 00:54:52: 1've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:52 → 00:54:57: 00:55:03 → 00:55:06: 00:55:01 → 00:55:11: 00:55:11 → 00:55:11: 00:55:11 → 00:55:11: 00:55:21 → 00:55:21: 00:55:22 → 00:55:23: 00:55:23 → 00:55:21: 00:55:24 → 00:55:23: 00:55:24 → 00:55:23: 1've well. 00:55:24 → 00:55:24: 00:55:24 → 00:55:33: 00:55:27 → 00:55:33: 00:55:28 → 00:55:34: 00:55:31 → 00:55:34: 00:55:33 → 00:55:34: 00:55:34 → 00:55:34: 00:55:34 → 00:55:35: 1've we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 1 to ver a period of time. 00:55:34 → 00:55:34: 00:55:34 → 00:55:35: 1've were doing something nice or that I I heard somebody talk about aquaponics. We're doing something at Cheney University with that group as a consenting and chards and sound apuaponics. We're doing something at Cheney University with that group as a consenting and chards and sound apuaponics. We're doing something at Cheney University with that group as a consenting and chards and sound apuaponics. We're doing something at Cheney University with that group as a consenting in the provide stabilized things. They might be close to proof of concept, but they're truly one to provide stabilized buildings the neighborhoods that as long as a consenting and chards and chards as long as a consenting and chards and chards as l | 00:54:22> 00:54:26: | We need to recognize that without additional wealth in those |
| 00:54:30 -> 00:54:33:That's you might feel good that you planted a tree00:54:33 -> 00:54:35:or that you did something nice or that I I00:54:35 -> 00:54:37:we're doing something at Cheney University with that group as00:54:41 -> 00:54:41:we'le doing something at Cheney University with that group as00:54:41 -> 00:54:42:well.00:54:42 -> 00:54:45:Those are great things.00:54:45 -> 00:54:46:They might be close to proof of concept, but they're00:54:46 -> 00:54:49:They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as00:54:52 -> 00:54:52:I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true00:54:53 -> 00:54:57:Dutil we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental.00:55:00 -> 00:55:00:everything else that we talk about is incremental.00:55:00 -> 00:55:00:everything else that we talk about back up to build incomes, particularly of00:55:00 -> 00:55:08:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:08 -> 00:55:19:And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher00:55:11 -> 00:55:19:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:12 -> 00:55:19:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:19 -> 00:55:19:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:19 -> 00:55:19:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:19 -> 00:55:19:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:21 -> 00:55:21:people of colour who don't have access to it. </th <th>00:54:26> 00:54:29:</th> <th>neighborhoods that even those small fixes will not last, right.</th> | 00:54:26> 00:54:29: | neighborhoods that even those small fixes will not last, right. |
| 00:54:33> 00:54:35:or that you did something nice or that I I00:54:35> 00:54:37:well.00:54:37> 00:54:41:We're doing something at Cheney University with that group as00:54:41> 00:54:41:well.00:54:42> 00:54:45:Those are great things.00:54:45> 00:54:46:They might be close to proof of concept, but they're00:54:46> 00:54:49:They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as00:54:49> 00:54:52:I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true00:54:52> 00:54:53:Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental.00:55:00> 00:55:03:And so for us, what we're focused on is how we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of00:55:06> 00:55:08:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:11> 00:55:11:And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher00:55:12> 00:55:21:Like we're always going to be at a discounted rate.00:55:24> 00:55:23:Ueve I That's always going to be in a place we00:55:27> 00:55:28:We could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford00:55:31> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:33> 00:55:34:Nose the government is not build the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:45> 00:55:46:because of COVID, the gover | 00:54:29> 00:54:30: | They won't last. |
| 00:54:35> 00:54:41: heard somebody talk about aquaponics. 00:54:37> 00:54:41: We're doing something at Cheney University with that group as well. 00:54:41> 00:54:42: Those are great things. 00:54:45> 00:54:46: They might be close to proof of concept, but they're truly not. 00:54:46> 00:54:49: They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as 00:54:49> 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:53> 00:54:57: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:00: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we're focused on is how we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:00> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:08> 00:55:08: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:19> 00:55:19: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:19> 00:55:21: Like we're always going to be in a place we we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford it over a period of time. </th <th>00:54:30> 00:54:33:</th> <th>That's you might feel good that you planted a tree</th> | 00:54:30> 00:54:33: | That's you might feel good that you planted a tree |
| 00:54:37 -> 00:54:41: We're doing something at Cheney University with that group as well. 00:54:41 -> 00:54:42: Those are great things. 00:54:42 -> 00:54:45: They might be close to proof of concept, but they're truly not. 00:54:46 -> 00:54:49: They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as 00:54:49 -> 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:53 -> 00:54:57: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00 -> 00:55:00: And so for us, what we're focused on is how we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:06 -> 00:55:08: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:11 -> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:19 -> 00:55:21: Like we're always going to be in a place we we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 00:55:27 -> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:34 -> 00:55:34: That's where the government's role plays in. 00:55:35 -> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. 7 hey can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? | 00:54:33> 00:54:35: | or that you did something nice or that I I |
| as well. 00:54:41 -> 00:54:41: Mell. 10:54:42 -> 00:54:45: Those are great things. 00:54:42 -> 00:54:46: They might be close to proof of concept, but they're truly not. 00:54:46 -> 00:54:46: They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as 00:54:49 -> 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:52 -> 00:54:57: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:03 -> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:06 -> 00:55:08: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:11 -> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 1 like we're always going to be at a discounted rate. 1 like we're always going to be in a place we we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 10:55:24 -> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on every project? 10:55:42 -> 00:55:45: Without the federal government having the condition that we had one:55:42 -> 00:55:45: Without the federal government wouldn't have been washed with cash at all. | 00:54:35> 00:54:37: | heard somebody talk about aquaponics. |
| 00:54:41 → 00:54:41: well. 00:54:42 → 00:54:45: Those are great things. 00:54:42 → 00:54:46: truly not. They might be close to proof of concept, but they're truly not. They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as 00:54:49 → 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:52 → 00:54:53: Outil we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00 → 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:06 → 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:24 → 00:55:27: We're always going to be in a place we we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford it over a period of time. 00:55:34 → 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on every project? 00:55:38 → 00:55:45: Without the federal government having the condition that we had on:55:42 → 00:55:45: Without the federal government wouldn't have been washed with cash at all. | 00:54:37> 00:54:41: | We're doing something at Cheney University with that group |
| 00:54:41> 00:54:42: Those are great things. 00:54:42> 00:54:45: They might be close to proof of concept, but they're 00:54:45> 00:54:46: truly not. 00:54:46> 00:54:52: They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as 00:54:49> 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:53> 00:54:57: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:00: everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how 00:55:04> 00:55:06: we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:08> 00:55:09: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:11> 00:55:15: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to 00:55:15> 00:55:19: level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:19> 00:55:21: like we're always going to be in a place we 00:55:21> 00:55:23: we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 00:55:27> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:31> 00:55:34: N | | |
| 00:54:42> 00:54:45: They might be close to proof of concept, but they're 00:54:45> 00:54:46: truly not. 00:54:46> 00:54:49: They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as 00:54:49> 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:53> 00:54:57: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, overly rate. 00:54:57> 00:55:00: everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how 00:55:03> 00:55:06: we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:06> 00:55:08: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:11> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to 00:55:14> 00:55:15: provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher 00:55:19> 00:55:19: level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:21> 00:55:23: we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 00:55:27> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:34> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:38> 00:55:38: | | |
| 00:54:45> 00:54:46: truly not. 00:54:46> 00:54:49: They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as 00:54:49> 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:52> 00:54:53: poverty rate. 00:54:57> 00:55:03: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how 00:55:03> 00:55:08: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:08> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:11> 00:55:12: Like we're always going to be in a place we we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 00:55:27> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:31> 00:55:34: Pois5:34: Pois5:34: Pois5:34: Pois5:35: Po | | |
| 00:54:46> 00:54:49: They're not fundamentally changing the neighborhoods that as long as 00:54:49> 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:52> 00:54:53: 00:54:53> 00:54:57: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:06> 00:55:08: 00:55:08> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:11> 00:55:19: 12 | | |
| as long as 00:54:49> 00:54:52: I've been in Philadelphia, we've been hovering around 25% true 00:54:52> 00:54:53: poverty rate. 00:54:57> 00:55:00: everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how 00:55:03> 00:55:06: we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:08> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to 00:55:11> 00:55:15: provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:21> 00:55:21: Like we're always going to be in a place we we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 00:55:27> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:31> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on every project? 00:55:34> 00:55:35: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? 00:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | | • |
| true 00:54:52> 00:54:53: poverty rate. 00:54:53> 00:54:57: Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, 00:54:57> 00:55:00: everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:06> 00:55:08: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:08> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher 00:55:11> 00:55:19: level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:21> 00:55:21: Like we're always going to be in a place we we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford it over a period of time. 00:55:24> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:31> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:34> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. 00:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government having the condition that we had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: Without the federal government wouldn't have been washed with cash at all. | 00:54:46> 00:54:49: | , , , |
| 00:54:53> 00:55:00: 00:54:57> 00:55:00: 00:55:00> 00:55:06: And so for us, what we're focused on is how 00:55:03> 00:55:06: we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:08> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to 00:55:11> 00:55:15: 00:55:13> 00:55:15: level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:21> 00:55:21: Like we're always going to be in a place we 00:55:24> 00:55:27: right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 00:55:34> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:34> 00:55:35: O0:55:35> 00:55:35: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? Without the federal government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:54:49> 00:54:52: | • |
| 00:54:57> 00:55:00: everything else that we talk about is incremental. 00:55:00> 00:55:03: And so for us, what we're focused on is how 00:55:03> 00:55:06: we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of 00:55:06> 00:55:08: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:08> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to 00:55:11> 00:55:15: provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher 00:55:19> 00:55:19: level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:19> 00:55:21: Like we're always going to be in a place we 00:55:24> 00:55:23: we could never afford to build the building that's the 00:55:24> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:29> 00:55:31: That's where the government's role plays in. 00:55:34> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:34> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. 00:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government having the condition that we had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:54:52> 00:54:53: | poverty rate. |
| 00:55:00> 00:55:03:And so for us, what we're focused on is how00:55:03> 00:55:06:we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of00:55:06> 00:55:08:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:08> 00:55:11:And that how do we infuse that first dollar to00:55:11> 00:55:15:provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher00:55:19> 00:55:19:level That's always going to be at a discounted rate.00:55:19> 00:55:21:Like we're always going to be in a place we00:55:24> 00:55:23:we could never afford to build the building that's the00:55:27> 00:55:28:it over a period of time.00:55:29> 00:55:31:That's where the government's role plays in.00:55:34> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34> 00:55:35:Absolutely not.00:55:35> 00:55:38:They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right?00:55:38> 00:55:42:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with00:55:45> 00:55:46:cash at all. | 00:54:53> 00:54:57: | Until we get real about fixing poverty in these neighborhoods, |
| 00:55:03> 00:55:06:we building neighbourhoods back up to build incomes, particularly of00:55:06> 00:55:08:people of colour who don't have access to it.00:55:08> 00:55:11:And that how do we infuse that first dollar to00:55:11> 00:55:15:provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher00:55:15> 00:55:19:level That's always going to be at a discounted rate.00:55:19> 00:55:21:Like we're always going to be in a place we00:55:24> 00:55:23:we could never afford to build the building that's the00:55:24> 00:55:27:right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford00:55:29> 00:55:28:it over a period of time.00:55:31> 00:55:31:That's where the government's role plays in.00:55:34> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34> 00:55:35:Absolutely not.00:55:35> 00:55:38:They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right?00:55:38> 00:55:42:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with00:55:45> 00:55:46:cash at all. | 00:54:57> 00:55:00: | everything else that we talk about is incremental. |
| particularly of 00:55:06> 00:55:08: people of colour who don't have access to it. 00:55:08> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to 00:55:11> 00:55:15: provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. 00:55:19> 00:55:21: Like we're always going to be in a place we 00:55:21> 00:55:23: we could never afford to build the building that's the right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford 00:55:27> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:29> 00:55:31: That's where the government's role plays in. 00:55:31> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:34> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. 00:55:35> 00:55:38: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? 00:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government having the condition that we had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: cash at all. | 00:55:00> 00:55:03: | And so for us, what we're focused on is how |
| O0:55:08> 00:55:11: And that how do we infuse that first dollar to 00:55:11> 00:55:15: provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher 00:55:15> 00:55:19: level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. O0:55:19> 00:55:21: Like we're always going to be in a place we 00:55:21> 00:55:23: we could never afford to build the building that's the 00:55:24> 00:55:27: right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford O0:55:29> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. O0:55:31> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:34> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. O0:55:35> 00:55:35: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? O0:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government wouldn't have been washed with O0:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:03> 00:55:06: | |
| 00:55:11> 00:55:15:provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher00:55:15> 00:55:19:level That's always going to be at a discounted rate.00:55:19> 00:55:21:Like we're always going to be in a place we00:55:21> 00:55:23:we could never afford to build the building that's the00:55:24> 00:55:27:right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford00:55:29> 00:55:28:it over a period of time.00:55:21> 00:55:31:That's where the government's role plays in.00:55:31> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34> 00:55:34:every project?00:55:35> 00:55:35:Absolutely not.00:55:38> 00:55:42:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with00:55:45> 00:55:46:cash at all. | 00:55:06> 00:55:08: | people of colour who don't have access to it. |
| 00:55:15> 00:55:19:level That's always going to be at a discounted rate.00:55:19> 00:55:21:Like we're always going to be in a place we00:55:21> 00:55:23:we could never afford to build the building that's the00:55:24> 00:55:27:right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford00:55:27> 00:55:28:it over a period of time.00:55:29> 00:55:31:That's where the government's role plays in.00:55:31> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34> 00:55:34:every project?00:55:35> 00:55:35:Absolutely not.00:55:38> 00:55:42:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with00:55:45> 00:55:46:cash at all. | 00:55:08> 00:55:11: | And that how do we infuse that first dollar to |
| 00:55:19> 00:55:21:Like we're always going to be in a place we00:55:21> 00:55:23:we could never afford to build the building that's the00:55:24> 00:55:27:right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford00:55:27> 00:55:28:it over a period of time.00:55:29> 00:55:31:That's where the government's role plays in.00:55:31> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34> 00:55:34:every project?00:55:35> 00:55:38:Absolutely not.00:55:38> 00:55:42:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with00:55:45> 00:55:46:cash at all. | 00:55:11> 00:55:15: | provide stabilized buildings that can now perform at a higher |
| 00:55:21> 00:55:23:we could never afford to build the building that's the00:55:24> 00:55:27:right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford00:55:27> 00:55:28:it over a period of time.00:55:29> 00:55:31:That's where the government's role plays in.00:55:31> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34> 00:55:34:every project?00:55:35> 00:55:35:Absolutely not.00:55:38> 00:55:42:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with00:55:45> 00:55:46:cash at all. | 00:55:15> 00:55:19: | level That's always going to be at a discounted rate. |
| 00:55:24> 00:55:27:right building for communities of color that can't fundamentally afford00:55:27> 00:55:28:it over a period of time.00:55:29> 00:55:31:That's where the government's role plays in.00:55:31> 00:55:34:Now the question is, can the government be that on00:55:34> 00:55:34:every project?00:55:35> 00:55:35:Absolutely not.00:55:38> 00:55:42:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with00:55:45> 00:55:46:cash at all. | 00:55:19> 00:55:21: | Like we're always going to be in a place we |
| fundamentally afford 00:55:27> 00:55:28: it over a period of time. 00:55:29> 00:55:31: That's where the government's role plays in. 00:55:31> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:34> 00:55:34: every project? 00:55:34> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. 00:55:35> 00:55:38: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? 00:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government having the condition that we had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:21> 00:55:23: | we could never afford to build the building that's the |
| 00:55:29> 00:55:31: That's where the government's role plays in. 00:55:31> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:34> 00:55:34: every project? 00:55:35> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. 00:55:38> 00:55:38: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? 00:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government having the condition that we had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:24> 00:55:27: | |
| 00:55:31> 00:55:34: Now the question is, can the government be that on 00:55:34> 00:55:34: every project? 00:55:34> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. 00:55:35> 00:55:38: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? 00:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government having the condition that we had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:27> 00:55:28: | it over a period of time. |
| 00:55:34> 00:55:34: every project? 00:55:34> 00:55:35: Absolutely not. 00:55:35> 00:55:38: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? 00:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government having the condition that we had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:29> 00:55:31: | That's where the government's role plays in. |
| 00:55:34> 00:55:35: O0:55:35> 00:55:38: They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? Without the federal government having the condition that we had O0:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with O0:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:31> 00:55:34: | Now the question is, can the government be that on |
| 00:55:35> 00:55:38:They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right?00:55:38> 00:55:42:Without the federal government having the condition that we had00:55:42> 00:55:45:because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with00:55:45> 00:55:46:cash at all. | 00:55:34> 00:55:34: | every project? |
| 00:55:38> 00:55:42: Without the federal government having the condition that we had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:34> 00:55:35: | Absolutely not. |
| had 00:55:42> 00:55:45: because of COVID, the government wouldn't have been washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:35> 00:55:38: | They can barely hold on to their current budgets, right? |
| washed with 00:55:45> 00:55:46: cash at all. | 00:55:38> 00:55:42: | |
| | 00:55:42> 00:55:45: | |
| 00:55:46> 00:55:49: They would be at a deficit right now dealing with | 00:55:45> 00:55:46: | cash at all. |
| | 00:55:46> 00:55:49: | They would be at a deficit right now dealing with |

| 00:55:49> 00:55:49: | pensions. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:55:50> 00:55:52: | And so the reality is, is that you need the |
| 00:55:52> 00:55:54: | private sector to have an incentive to invest in these |
| 00:55:54> 00:55:55: | neighborhoods. |
| 00:55:55> 00:55:57: | But it's got to be an incentive. |
| 00:55:57> 00:55:59: | It can't be punitive because they won't invest. |
| 00:55:59> 00:56:01: | They'll just take their money and go somewhere else. |
| 00:56:01> 00:56:05: | So until we start looking holistically, for example, we want |
| 00:56:05> 00:56:08: | to be punitive on developers because we believe they have |
| 00:56:09> 00:56:12: | money and they do or they have access to significant |
| 00:56:12> 00:56:15: | capital, just the punitive approach doesn't work. |
| 00:56:15> 00:56:18: | I mean, they'll go somewhere that's less punitive because that's |
| 00:56:18> 00:56:18: | how money flows. |
| 00:56:19> 00:56:21: | So if we can figure out ways to share the |
| 00:56:21> 00:56:26: | resilience strategy with both government and the cities, I've always |
| 00:56:26> 00:56:30: | said, well, why aren't we more holistic with resilience Together? |
| 00:56:30> 00:56:33: | We have a city of 1.6 million people, and it's |
| 00:56:33> 00:56:35: | 1.5 to 1.7, however we look at it. |
| 00:56:36> 00:56:39: | But the city was built for 2.2 million people, right? |
| 00:56:39> 00:56:42: | So we're providing services for a city that we can't |
| 00:56:42> 00:56:44: | even pay for a bulk of what the city is |
| 00:56:44> 00:56:45: | today. |
| 00:56:45> 00:56:46: | Why don't we shrink it? |
| 00:56:47> 00:56:50: | Why don't we stop allowing people to build and take |
| 00:56:50> 00:56:53: | redevelopment land, the RDA land, let's green it. |
| 00:56:53> 00:56:56: | I mean, isn't 50% of the city is impervious? |
| 00:56:56> 00:56:57: | Let's start looking at that. |
| 00:56:57> 00:57:00: | So we don't put the burden on new developments because |
| 00:57:00> 00:57:02: | they're bringing new money and let's fix the stuff that |
| 00:57:02> 00:57:06: | exists in neighborhoods today that are making these neighborhoods high. |
| 00:57:07> 00:57:10: | So let's let's really be holistic about all of |
| 00:57:10> 00:57:14: | these approaches and share in the burden of fixing our |
| 00:57:14> 00:57:15: | neighborhoods. |
| 00:57:16> 00:57:19: | And so until we get real that the private sector |
| 00:57:19> 00:57:21: | is not going to do the role of government no |
| 00:57:21> 00:57:25: | matter what confines you put on them, it's not going |
| 00:57:25> 00:57:25: | to happen. |
| 00:57:26> 00:57:28: | And until we put incentives in place that help make |
| 00:57:28> 00:57:31: | it happen, we're not going to be able to fundamentally |
| | |

| 00:57:31> 00:57:31: | fix it in my view. |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:57:32> 00:57:35: | And our incentives should be around wealth building for |
| | these |
| 00:57:35> 00:57:38: | communities so eventually they can be self sustainable and |
| | and |
| 00:57:38> 00:57:41: | help create the standards that really work and are fair |
| 00:57:41> 00:57:42: | for these neighbourhoods. |
| 00:57:44> 00:57:46: | Thank you for that question and thank you all for |
| 00:57:46> 00:57:47: | joining the conversation. |
| 00:57:47> 00:57:49: | I think we need to to wind down. |
| 00:57:49> 00:57:52: | I want to say thank you to our panelists and |
| 00:57:52> 00:57:54: | I want to share those last two resources that I |
| 00:57:54> 00:57:55: | very briefly mentioned. |
| 00:57:57> 00:57:57: | There we go. |
| 00:57:58> 00:58:03: | So one is the report that I mentioned earlier, |
| | knowledge.uli.org/resilient |
| 00:58:03> 00:58:04: | Retrofits. |
| 00:58:04> 00:58:05: | So please check that out. |
| 00:58:05> 00:58:09: | We already have an endorsement from 1 panelist and then |
| 00:58:09> 00:58:11: | we had to bring in some AIA resources too. |
| 00:58:11> 00:58:13: | I I still have a lot of love for AIA |
| 00:58:13> 00:58:16: | conducting vulnerability assessments. |
| 00:58:16> 00:58:19: | That's course 5 in the Resilience and Adaptation online series |
| 00:58:19> 00:58:22: | that helps you understand the vulnerabilities of your existing |
| | building, |
| 00:58:23> 00:58:25: | whether or not it should be retrofitted, right? |
| 00:58:25> 00:58:27: | Because we do need to think of site selection as |
| 00:58:27> 00:58:28: | an adaptation strategy. |
| 00:58:29> 00:58:31: | And then if you are going to move forward, what |
| 00:58:31> 00:58:33: | makes the most sense given your objectives? |
| 00:58:33> 00:58:37: | And then finally, if the wonderful strategies in the report |
| 00:58:37> 00:58:41: | aren't enough, there is a video Existing buildings Hazard Mitigation |
| 00:58:41> 00:58:44: | Retrofits that also goes through a bunch of strategies. |
| 00:58:44> 00:58:46: | So if you prefer to read to learn or prefer |
| 00:58:46> 00:58:48: | to listen to learn, we got you covered. |
| 00:58:49> 00:58:50: | So thank you again everyone. |

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you

