

Webinar

ULI Toronto: Affordable Housing in the GTA ??? Day 5: Right To Adequate Housing In Canada

Date: November 25, 2022

00:00:09> 00:00:14:	Good afternoon, everybody. As people start loading in, we're going
00:00:14> 00:00:18:	to start with our Spring Meeting Conference video to advertise
00:00:18> 00:00:21:	our Spring Meeting Conference this coming May.
00:02:38> 00:02:41:	Thank you. You are like Toronto is very excited to
00:02:41> 00:02:45:	be welcoming 4000 international experts from across the real estate
00:02:45> 00:02:48:	and land use industries globally to Toronto next May the
00:02:48> 00:02:51:	16th to the 18th, the first time we'll have ever
00:02:51> 00:02:52:	hosted this conference.
00:02:52> 00:02:56:	This urban lattice conference and to learn more details about
00:02:56> 00:02:59:	the conference, we'll put a link into the chat for
00:02:59> 00:02:59:	you.
00:03:01> 00:03:04:	We also want to just take a quick opportunity at
00:03:04> 00:03:08:	the top here to remind everybody that of the benefits
00:03:08> 00:03:12:	of being a UI member. There are many everything from
00:03:12> 00:03:17:	the global membership directory to our the Navigator to get
00:03:17> 00:03:21:	involved with the UI through myriad different ways. You can
00:03:21> 00:03:26:	also find a very powerful networking tool that that Members
00:03:26> 00:03:30:	have access to lists of people who are attending events
00:03:30> 00:03:31:	in advance.
00:03:31> 00:03:34:	Or after the fact that you could follow up on
00:03:34> 00:03:39:	and also our knowledge Finder, which is this incredible archive
00:03:39> 00:03:39:	of.
00:03:40> 00:03:44:	International case studies and other information with a really powerful
00:03:45> 00:03:49:	keyword search engine that gets you access to literally decades

00:03:49> 00:03:52:	of Urban Land Institute work going back I think all
00:03:52> 00:03:56:	the way to the 1940s. Quite an amazing resource for
00:03:56> 00:03:59:	Members once again. Everyone thank you. My name is Richard
00:03:59> 00:04:03:	Joy, executive director at Uri Toronto and welcome to the
00:04:03> 00:04:07:	very final episode of our Five Part National Housing Week
00:04:07> 00:04:09:	Lunchtime Webinar series.
00:04:09> 00:04:13:	Today's topic right to adequate housing and Canada failing the
00:04:13> 00:04:14:	under.
00:04:15> 00:04:19:	Failing the underhoused and homeless. So before we get going
00:04:19> 00:04:22:	though, as always we begin with the land acknowledgement. As
00:04:22> 00:04:26:	a Toronto region based organization, we acknowledge that the land
00:04:26> 00:04:29:	we are meeting on virtually is the traditional territory of
00:04:29> 00:04:33:	many nations including the Mississaugas of the credit, the Anishinabek
00:04:33> 00:04:36:	Chippewa, the hotness shoni, the Wendet people and is now
00:04:36> 00:04:39:	home to many diverse First Nation Inuit and meeting people.
00:04:40> 00:04:43:	We acknowledged that Toronto is covered by Treaty 13 with
00:04:43> 00:04:46:	the Mississaugas of the credit. We are all treaty people.
00:04:46> 00:04:49:	Many of us have come here as settlers, immigrants, and
00:04:49> 00:04:52:	newcomers. And this generation or generations past, you, like Toronto,
00:04:52> 00:04:56:	stands in solidarity with indigenous communities, demanding action and accountability
00:04:56> 00:05:00:	for the ongoing legacy of the residential school system. We'd
00:05:00> 00:05:02:	also like to acknowledge and honor those who've come here
00:05:02> 00:05:07:	involuntarily, particularly descendants from those who brought here through enslavement,
00:05:07> 00:05:10:	to better understand the meaning behind this land acknowledgment.
00:05:10> 00:05:14:	Recommend programs that we've uploaded into YouTube and the links
00:05:14> 00:05:16:	will be made available in the chat.
00:05:18> 00:05:21:	Today's event in all other UL programming would not be
00:05:21> 00:05:24:	possible without the support of UTI's annual sponsors. I'd like
00:05:24> 00:05:28:	to thank them particularly for their support. Now more than
00:05:28> 00:05:30:	ever, UI relies on the support to put on high
00:05:30> 00:05:33:	quality programs such as this week series, and to drive
00:05:33> 00:05:36:	our mission to shape the future of the built environment
00:05:36> 00:05:39:	for transformative impact in communities worldwide.

00:05:40> 00:05:42: 00:05:44> 00:05:48:	To all of our sponsors, we say thank you.
00.05.44> 00.05.46.	It's now my pleasure to introduce today's moderator, Adrian Piccini,
00:05:48> 00:05:52:	partner SHS Consulting. Before I headed over to, I want
00:05:52> 00:05:55:	to make a note to our audience that UI is
00:05:55> 00:05:59:	a nonpartisan organization and we do not advocate on public
00:05:59> 00:06:02:	policy, pro or con. We do however, take frequently take
00:06:02> 00:06:06:	a critical look at public policy and the public policy
00:06:06> 00:06:09:	landscape in the in the context of of advancing our
00:06:09> 00:06:13:	global mission and that's what this week has been all
00:06:13> 00:06:14:	about. So with that.
00:06:14> 00:06:17:	And I'll just also say to our audience, we, we
00:06:17> 00:06:20:	may not have time as we've noticed all all through
00:06:20> 00:06:23:	this week to to get to audience Q&A. We do
00:06:23> 00:06:26:	though we encourage you to put them in the chat
00:06:26> 00:06:29:	because they will be recorded and we are planning on
00:06:29> 00:06:32:	doing more work in this space and your questions will
00:06:32> 00:06:35:	help inform us where we might want to take that.
00:06:35> 00:06:38:	So that is enough intro, Adrian over to you to
00:06:38> 00:06:40:	take over and we'll see you at the end.
00:06:41> 00:06:45:	Sounds good. Thanks so much Richard for the introduction
	and
00:06:45> 00:06:48:	and welcome everyone. Really pleased to have you all here
00:06:48> 00:06:50:	for our panel on the right to housing. So flip
00:06:50> 00:06:52:	to the next slide and we'll give you a sense
00:06:52> 00:06:55:	of what we're going to cover today. It's been a
00:06:55> 00:06:58:	really exciting week of all these UI talks and it
00:06:58> 00:07:00:	does make sense for this one to be the grand
00:07:00> 00:07:03:	finale. It feels like we're really trying to converge on
00:07:03> 00:07:06:	everything we've learned all week. So today we're going to
00:07:06> 00:07:09:	speak about the right to adequate housing in Canada, which
00:07:09> 00:07:12:	is known as the right to live somewhere in security.
00:07:12> 00:07:15:	Peace and dignity, we're going to try our best to
00:07:15> 00:07:18:	answer some big questions in one hour, like what does
00:07:18> 00:07:21:	the right to housing mean? What does it look like?
00:07:21> 00:07:24:	What could it look like in Canada? How might we
00:07:24> 00:07:27:	get there and what is already being done in Canada.
00:07:27> 00:07:30:	So to go to the next slide, I'll really excited
00:07:30> 00:07:33:	to introduce our host of speakers that we have today.
00:07:33> 00:07:36:	But in the spirit of taking collective action on the
00:07:37> 00:07:40:	right to adequate housing, we thought it'd be really important
00:07:40> 00:07:43:	to have a great mix of panelists that are covering.
00:07:43> 00:07:48:	Different sectors. So we've got the non housing nonprofit

	housing
00:07:48> 00:07:51:	provider of Mariga from Wood Green. We have our National
00:07:52> 00:07:57:	industry association representing the commercial real estate industry. We have
00:07:57> 00:08:01:	Michael from real PAC here in the Community planning
	space.
00:08:01> 00:08:04:	We have Cheryl case from CP planning and and the
00:08:04> 00:08:07:	UFT School of Cities. And how could we not have
00:08:07> 00:08:11:	someone from the office of the Federal Housing advocate if
00:08:11> 00:08:14:	we're hosting a conversation about the right to housing?
00:08:15> 00:08:17:	I'm pleased to have Emily Pagadi here as well. So
00:08:17> 00:08:21:	really eager to hear from all of our esteemed colleagues
00:08:21> 00:08:24:	today about what the right to housing looks like from
00:08:24> 00:08:27:	their respective vantage points in the system. So on the
00:08:27> 00:08:30:	next slide, we'll share a little bit of the flow
00:08:30> 00:08:33:	of today's conversation. So the goals for today to ignite
00:08:33> 00:08:35:	a bit of a conversation about what the right to
00:08:36> 00:08:38:	housing is, what it could look like in Canada and
00:08:38> 00:08:41:	to highlight some of the work that's already being done
00:08:41> 00:08:44:	and to set the stage, we want to kind of
00:08:44> 00:08:45:	introduce these these.
00:08:45> 00:08:48:	New rules that we find ourselves in. So with our
00:08:48> 00:08:51:	new right to housing legislation, we take on the roles
00:08:51> 00:08:54:	as rights holders, as responsibility holders and as duty bearers
00:08:54> 00:08:57:	and we'll we'll get into a little bit more of
00:08:57> 00:08:59:	that as we go through. So the next slide will
00:08:59> 00:09:02:	take you through the flow. We're going to kick us
00:09:02> 00:09:05:	off with what is the right to housing, what might
00:09:05> 00:09:07:	a role for each sector look like in realizing the
00:09:08> 00:09:10:	right to housing? What are each of us contributing and
00:09:11> 00:09:14:	what are some of the big questions, tensions and shifts
00:09:14> 00:09:15:	that we'll all have to navigate.
00:09:15> 00:09:18:	As we're trying to get to this desired future, I
00:09:18> 00:09:21:	just want to mention also it's important to note that
00:09:21> 00:09:24:	you know we are having this conversation today. There are
00:09:24> 00:09:28:	people who are currently experiencing homelessness as we're all sitting
00:09:28> 00:09:31:	you know in our rooms on on zoom listening in.
00:09:31> 00:09:34:	They do not have the opportunity and privilege to have
00:09:34> 00:09:37:	this discussion. So just wanted to highlight the urgency of
00:09:37> 00:09:40:	of this issue but we are here to to try
00:09:40> 00:09:42:	and and paint a bit of a picture of our

00:09:42> 00:09:44:	hope for how we might actually get to the right
00:09:44> 00:09:45:	to housing.
00:09:45> 00:09:48:	So with that, I'm going to turn it over to
00:09:48> 00:09:50:	Emily, who's going to kick us off and give us
00:09:50> 00:09:52:	a little bit of an overview what is the right
00:09:52> 00:09:54:	to housing set the stage for us. I think you're
00:09:54> 00:09:57:	going to start with the video and then we'll move
00:09:57> 00:09:59:	into our group discussion. So over to you, Emily.
00:10:01> 00:10:06:	Thanks so much, Adrian, and thanks for that important reminder
00:10:06> 00:10:11:	about the stakes of today's conversation. And before I kick
00:10:11> 00:10:15:	off the video, I'd also just like to acknowledge the
00:10:15> 00:10:20:	gift of joining from Dish with one spoon territory here
00:10:20> 00:10:24:	in Toronto. And I am always grateful to be able
00:10:24> 00:10:27:	to refer to the teaching of the dish with one
00:10:27> 00:10:31:	spoon covenant, a covenant between ownership.
00:10:31> 00:10:36:	And he finished all the Allied nations to peaceably share
00:10:36> 00:10:40:	and care for the lands and waters of the Great
00:10:40> 00:10:44:	Lakes, and and and for me this represents as as
00:10:44> 00:10:46:	a white settler.
00:10:47> 00:10:51:	A vision of an economic, political and social system of
00:10:52> 00:10:55:	sustainability, and one that has a lot to offer as
00:10:56> 00:11:00:	we work through these questions. And so to start us
00:11:00> 00:11:03:	off on the question of what is the right to
00:11:03> 00:11:07:	adequate housing, I'm going to 1st show a cute little
00:11:07> 00:11:12:	video from the Human Rights Commission and can't help but
00:11:12> 00:11:16:	chuckle at the contrast between it and the kind of
00:11:16> 00:11:17:	triumphal.
00:11:18> 00:11:21:	Video that we saw off the top and I think
00:11:21> 00:11:24:	it's cool to have kind of both these visions of
00:11:25> 00:11:28:	the city in this space for us today. So please
00:11:28> 00:11:30:	go ahead and show the video.
00:11:36> 00:11:38:	Housing is a fundamental human right.
00:11:39> 00:11:43:	Having a safe and secure place to call home helps
00:11:43> 00:11:46:	people and families thrive and succeed. It is central to
00:11:46> 00:11:50:	human dignity, well-being and community. But a home is more
00:11:51> 00:11:54:	than just a roof and four walls. It is protection
00:11:54> 00:11:57:	from the elements and it is a means to access
00:11:57> 00:12:01:	basic services. It also keeps us safe and healthy. The
00:12:01> 00:12:05:	right to housing means many things. For example, it means
00:12:05> 00:12:09:	you're able to get adequate housing that is affordable and
00:12:09> 00:12:10:	meets your needs.

00:12:10> 00:12:14:	It means you can access housing without discrimination or harassment,
00:12:14> 00:12:17:	and you feel safe in your home. It also means
00:12:17> 00:12:21:	your home should be accessible, like if you have a
00:12:21> 00:12:24:	disability or you're an older person. Many people in Canada
00:12:25> 00:12:28:	still live in housing that does not meet these standards,
00:12:28> 00:12:31:	and many do not have a place to live at
00:12:31> 00:12:35:	all. The National Housing Strategy Act recognizes housing as a
00:12:35> 00:12:39:	fundamental human right. It commits governments to focus on those
00:12:39> 00:12:40:	in greatest housing.
00:12:40> 00:12:44:	We need to reform housing laws, policies and programs through
00:12:45> 00:12:48:	a human rights based approach and to involve communities in
00:12:48> 00:12:53:	meaningful ways. The Act also establishes a National Housing strategy
00:12:53> 00:12:58:	with accountability tools to help develop and maintain this strategy,
00:12:58> 00:13:02:	including the National Housing Council and the Federal Housing Advocate.
00:13:03> 00:13:07:	The Federal Housing advocates mandate is to promote and protect
00:13:07> 00:13:09:	the right to housing across Canada.
00:13:10> 00:13:14:	The Advocate gives those impacted by housing need and homelessness
00:13:14> 00:13:18:	a voice. The Advocate receives submissions from the public on
00:13:18> 00:13:23:	systemic housing issues and uses that information to make recommendations
00:13:23> 00:13:28:	to improve Canada's housing laws, policies and programs. The Advocate
00:13:28> 00:13:31:	can review the issue. In some cases, they will refer
00:13:31> 00:13:35:	to the National Housing Council. The Council will establish a
00:13:35> 00:13:39:	review panel to examine the issue more closely and make
00:13:39> 00:13:40:	recommendations.
00:13:40> 00:13:44:	The Advocate doesn't help with individual disputes. If you need
00:13:44> 00:13:47:	help resolving a dispute, you need to go to the
00:13:47> 00:13:50:	landlord, tenant board or Human Rights Commission in your province
00:13:50> 00:13:54:	or territory to make a submission to the Advocate. Or
00:13:54> 00:13:56:	to find out more about what we do, visit the
00:13:56> 00:14:00:	housing page on the Canadian Human Rights Commission

	website.
00:14:10> 00:14:15:	Thanks. And so, you know, despite the kind of homespun
00:14:16> 00:14:21:	vibe of that video and in particular the mailbox and.
00:14:22> 00:14:27:	Airmail envelope that I'm not sure if airmail envelope still
00:14:27> 00:14:31:	exist and the right to adequate housing is actually very
00:14:31> 00:14:35:	new, very fresh, very contemporary, very metropolitan in Canada and
00:14:35> 00:14:39:	the National Housing Strategy Act is actually a pretty big
00:14:39> 00:14:43:	deal. It's the first time that a social and economic
00:14:43> 00:14:48:	right is being implemented in domestic legislation in Canada and
00:14:48> 00:14:52:	it establishes some mechanisms that are unique in the world.
00:14:52> 00:14:55:	Um, for accountability for this, right? So please go ahead
00:14:55> 00:14:56:	to the next slide.
00:14:59> 00:14:59:	Umm.
00:15:00> 00:15:04:	As the video touches on, there's a clear legal definition
00:15:04> 00:15:08:	of what the right to adequate housing means in international
00:15:08> 00:15:13:	law, and that's what's now being implemented domestically by the
00:15:13> 00:15:17:	National Housing Strategy Act. So everyone's home has to meet
00:15:17> 00:15:22:	these basic criteria for security of tenure, affordability, being habitable,
00:15:22> 00:15:28:	accessible, providing basic services culturally appropriate and in an appropriate
00:15:28> 00:15:30:	location everyone should be able to.
00:15:30> 00:15:36:	Access to adequate housing without discrimination or harassment, and especially
00:15:36> 00:15:40:	in the Canadian context, it's important to acknowledge that adequate
00:15:40> 00:15:44:	housing is a precondition for other rights, including our Charter
00:15:45> 00:15:48:	rights of security of the person. Please go ahead.
00:15:52> 00:15:57:	So in February of 2022, Madison say Wood was appointed
00:15:57> 00:16:02:	the Federal Housing advocate. And this is a new wall
00:16:02> 00:16:08:	and and the role essentially boils down to amplify amplifying
00:16:08> 00:16:15:	the voices of people experiencing homelessness and people in inadequate
00:16:15> 00:16:21:	housing and holding governments to account on their human rights.
00:16:21> 00:16:26:	Obligations. And it's important to note that the Advocate is
00:16:26> 00:16:31:	a systemic accountability mechanism, which means that this isn't an
00:16:31> 00:16:36:	individual recourse mechanism. Often when we hear about human rights,

00:16:36> 00:16:40:	we think about individual recourse through something like.
00:16:42> 00:16:47:	Our Human Rights Commission and people bringing forward individual claims
00:16:47> 00:16:51:	through the courts. Instead, the role of the advocate is
00:16:51> 00:16:55:	to identify systemic issues in our housing system as a
00:16:55> 00:16:59:	whole that are barriers to the realization of the right
00:16:59> 00:17:04:	to adequate housing, and to make recommendations to improve those.
00:17:04> 00:17:05:	Next slide, please.
00:17:09> 00:17:12:	So this is kind of the key piece around what
00:17:12> 00:17:17:	changes now that we have the National Housing Strategy Act.
00:17:17> 00:17:22:	The act recognizes the right to human the human right
00:17:22> 00:17:26:	to adequate housing and law for the first time.
00:17:27> 00:17:30:	And as I said, it's it's a recognition that's not
00:17:30> 00:17:35:	linked to individual recourse or individual enforcement, but rather to
00:17:36> 00:17:39:	the housing system as a whole. It commits Canada to
00:17:39> 00:17:44:	the progressive realization of the right to adequate housing. So
00:17:44> 00:17:48:	what does this mean? Well, it doesn't mean that the
00:17:48> 00:17:52:	government has to provide everyone with the house. Instead, what
00:17:52> 00:17:55:	it means is that the state has a duty to
00:17:55> 00:17:58:	ensure that the housing system.
00:17:58> 00:18:01:	Protects, respects and fulfills the right to housing. And so
00:18:01> 00:18:05:	governments have to use all the tools at their disposal.
00:18:05> 00:18:09:	They have to dedicate the maximum available resources they have
00:18:09> 00:18:13:	to apply their powers through laws and regulations to advance
00:18:13> 00:18:16:	the full realization of this right in the shortest time
00:18:16> 00:18:20:	possible. And in doing so, they have to prioritize those
00:18:20> 00:18:23:	who are in greatest need. So that means especially people
00:18:23> 00:18:28:	who are experiencing homelessness and who are living in precarious
00:18:28> 00:18:28:	housing.
00:18:29> 00:18:35:	And governments particularly must consider the specific needs of indigenous
00:18:35> 00:18:39:	peoples and of disadvantaged groups who face barriers in our
00:18:39> 00:18:44:	current housing system. So this includes people with disabilities, black
00:18:45> 00:18:50:	and racialized people, immigrants and refugees, older adults and youth,

00:18:50> 00:18:55:	survivors of violence, veterans, people who are too spirit, lesbian,
00:18:55> 00:18:59:	gay by queer, trans, and nonbinary, and especially women.
00:18:59> 00:19:02:	Girls and gender diverse people who are members of all
00:19:02> 00:19:04:	of these groups face particular barriers.
00:19:06> 00:19:10:	Now, there's some elements of the right to adequate housing
00:19:10> 00:19:14:	that are not actually subject to progressive realization. In other
00:19:14> 00:19:19:	words, there's not this assumption that governments need to take
00:19:19> 00:19:23:	time to get us there. Instead, they're subject to immediate
00:19:23> 00:19:29:	obligations and that includes particularly non discrimination. Governments have to
00:19:29> 00:19:34:	immediately ensure that that people aren't facing discrimination in their
00:19:34> 00:19:36:	housing and they.
00:19:36> 00:19:39:	We also have to take action to address urgent threats
00:19:39> 00:19:41:	to human dignity, such as homelessness.
00:19:42> 00:19:47:	Another key piece is that human rights take primacy over
00:19:47> 00:19:52:	other legal rights and responsibilities, so other legal regimes, such
00:19:52> 00:19:56:	as criminal law or property rights have to be compliant
00:19:56> 00:19:58:	with human rights.
00:19:59> 00:20:03:	And a human rights framework transforms our understanding of the
00:20:03> 00:20:07:	rules of various actors in our housing system, as Adrienne
00:20:08> 00:20:12:	mentioned. So people in households are no longer just kind
00:20:12> 00:20:16:	of consumers in a housing market. Instead, their rights holders,
00:20:16> 00:20:20:	who have a fundamental right to live in security, peace
00:20:20> 00:20:26:	and dignity. Federal, provincial, territorial and municipal governments have human
00:20:26> 00:20:29:	rights obligations. They are duty bearers.
00:20:29> 00:20:32:	They have to exercise their powers in a way that
00:20:32> 00:20:36:	advances the right to housing. For example, they have to
00:20:36> 00:20:40:	take the right to housing into consideration when making decisions
00:20:40> 00:20:46:	about resource allocation laws, policies and regulations. And finally, other
00:20:46> 00:20:50:	actors in the housing system, from service providers to landlords
00:20:50> 00:20:54:	to developers and investors, also have a responsibility to conduct
00:20:54> 00:20:58:	their activities in accordance with the human rights.
00:20:59> 00:21:03:	So this is really a transformative moment for Canada as

00:21:03> 00:21:08:	we implement these human rights principles into housing.
00:21:10> 00:21:12:	I'll hand it back to you, Adrian.
00:21:12> 00:21:15:	Thanks very much for that. I feel like that's a
00:21:15> 00:21:17:	bit of a grounding conversation for us, Emily, to get
00:21:17> 00:21:19:	us all kind of on the same page of of
00:21:19> 00:21:22:	what is the right to housing and and what makes
00:21:22> 00:21:24:	us different from what we have today. I'm going to
00:21:24> 00:21:27:	move us into our group discussion. We're going to talk
00:21:27> 00:21:29:	a little bit about how the right to housing is
00:21:29> 00:21:33:	currently showing up in your conversations and in your organizations
00:21:33> 00:21:35:	and with the people that you work with and the
00:21:35> 00:21:38:	people you serve and what you see, your organization's role
00:21:38> 00:21:40:	and the right to housing we're going to.
00:21:40> 00:21:43:	Pop around to to different people on the call. We'll
00:21:43> 00:21:45:	just stick to about 2 to 3 minutes each because
00:21:45> 00:21:48:	I'll I'll try and get through all of our questions
00:21:48> 00:21:50:	for you. So I'm going to pass it to you.
00:21:51> 00:21:54:	Mariga first would love to hear from your perspective. We
00:21:54> 00:21:56:	know that Woodgreen does a ton of work to support
00:21:56> 00:21:59:	people in greatest need would love to hear what is
00:21:59> 00:22:02:	Wood Green's vision for the right to housing? How does
00:22:02> 00:22:05:	the right to housing show up in the work that
00:22:05> 00:22:08:	you're doing and the impact that you hope to have
00:22:08> 00:22:09:	on the progressive realization.
00:22:13> 00:22:15:	Ohh. And you're just on mute, Marie, guess?
00:22:18> 00:22:22:	Sorry, I beg your pardon. I would promise my thanks.
00:22:22> 00:22:26:	Thanks Adrian. I would promise my response to you by
00:22:26> 00:22:31:	saying that Wood Green, the foundations of Woodgreen were focused
00:22:31> 00:22:36:	primarily on the kind of issues that informed the creation
00:22:36> 00:22:41:	of the National Housing strategy and in particular the right
00:22:41> 00:22:45:	to housing. So when it comes to our housing, we
00:22:45> 00:22:47:	our primary focus is on the.
00:22:47> 00:22:52:	Artists to house, so meeting the needs for the highest,
00:22:52> 00:22:57:	the highest needs. We also have a very intentional policy
00:22:57> 00:23:02:	of through our waiting list and through who lives in
00:23:02> 00:23:06:	our housing to make sure that we have a diversity
00:23:06> 00:23:10:	of clients both on account of race as well or
00:23:10> 00:23:14:	as well as on account of age, disability and we
00:23:14> 00:23:18:	incorporate those elements into our housing.
00:23:18> 00:23:22:	Uh, that we provide, we have a housing stock of

00:23:22> 00:23:27:	about 1000 units growing and 60% of those occupied by
00:23:27> 00:23:32:	seniors, about 20% of families, single mothers with kids. And
00:23:32> 00:23:36:	then we have a mix of young single males and
00:23:36> 00:23:41:	women with issues of mental health and addiction. So we
00:23:41> 00:23:45:	try and really cover the whole gambit and of course
00:23:46> 00:23:48:	that the foundation of.
00:23:48> 00:23:51:	All of that is making sure that the housing, the
00:23:51> 00:23:55:	housing is affordable so all our clients aren't geared to
00:23:55> 00:23:56:	income.
00:23:57> 00:24:01:	This and that and finally we try and make sure
00:24:01> 00:24:05:	that their ability to live independently is is supported to
00:24:05> 00:24:10:	the various degrees. So part of what WOODGREEN provides is
00:24:10> 00:24:15:	an envelope of services ranging from mental health, addiction prevention
00:24:15> 00:24:19:	and and we have a very strong relationship with the
00:24:19> 00:24:23:	health sector in the East End. And so in that
00:24:23> 00:24:27:	way we're able to actually make sure that when people
00:24:27> 00:24:28:	are housed.
00:24:28> 00:24:31:	They have what it takes to meet some of the
00:24:31> 00:24:35:	criteria that was pointed out here today, security, peace and
00:24:36> 00:24:39:	dignity, accessibility and non discrimination.
00:24:41> 00:24:43:	Thanks Margo. It's it's great to have you on the
00:24:43> 00:24:46:	panel because I know that you're working with you know
00:24:46> 00:24:48:	on some of those urgent threats and and the deepest
00:24:48> 00:24:51:	need in our community. So great to have you. Thanks
00:24:51> 00:24:53:	for sharing. I'm going to toss it over to you
00:24:53> 00:24:55:	Cheryl. I'm really excited to have you here as well.
00:24:55> 00:24:58:	Would love to hear about some of the interesting work
00:24:58> 00:25:00:	that you're doing too and how it how it connects
00:25:00> 00:25:03:	to that that big transition that Emily was describing towards
00:25:03> 00:25:04:	that right to housing.
00:25:06> 00:25:09:	Ah, thank you, Jen. So I'm looking at implementing the
00:25:09> 00:25:12:	right to housing. We do need to be looking at
00:25:12> 00:25:17:	the different layers of discrimination. So within our housing system
00:25:17> 00:25:18:	we're dealing with.
00:25:19> 00:25:23:	In the planning space, there's systemic discrimination, which is I
00:25:23> 00:25:26:	think in an area that we're starting to kind of
00:25:26> 00:25:29:	move forward on in terms of being able to talk
00:25:29> 00:25:33:	about the fact that, you know, it's the marginalized folks
00:25:33> 00:25:36:	in the lower income people who don't actually have input

00:25:36> 00:25:39:	into our planning system and our housing economy.
00:25:40> 00:25:43:	Right. That is becoming more of a popular and common
00:25:43> 00:25:47:	understanding about our housing system. And then also of course
00:25:47> 00:25:52:	in addressing that systemic discrimination barrier, there are starting to
00:25:52> 00:25:56:	become a resource are starting to flow into organizations such
00:25:56> 00:26:00:	as CD planning that are directly about bringing attention and
00:26:00> 00:26:03:	and bailing up the resources for folks to be engaged
00:26:03> 00:26:06:	who are typically who have not been engaged in to
00:26:06> 00:26:10:	confront the systemic and history of our our planning.
00:26:10> 00:26:14:	System being built on a program of privileging wealthier folks
00:26:14> 00:26:19:	and and privileging the development of private capital instead of
00:26:19> 00:26:22:	about being designed to build affordable housing.
00:26:23> 00:26:26:	So that's systemic discrimination piece is really core to and
00:26:26> 00:26:30:	addressing that as core to what city planning does into
00:26:30> 00:26:33:	the way that we get around addressing that systemic discrimination
00:26:33> 00:26:37:	of bringing it to bring people who are marginalized into
00:26:37> 00:26:40:	decision making tables to be part of the setup and
00:26:40> 00:26:43:	implementation of the housing economy. So it's not just that
00:26:43> 00:26:45:	we want to be able to give our feedback, we
00:26:46> 00:26:48:	want to be part of the economy and in terms
00:26:48> 00:26:51:	of making decisions on what happens in in the housing
00:26:51> 00:26:53:	system, in the planning system.
00:26:54> 00:26:56:	So one way that we get towards that is by
00:26:56> 00:27:01:	addressing the systemic discriminatory barrier of indirect discrimination. So indirect
00:27:01> 00:27:04:	discrimination is when good things are hard to do, right.
00:27:04> 00:27:07:	So we have all these different systems in place. So
00:27:07> 00:27:11:	you know, missing middle for example is one that folks
00:27:11> 00:27:14:	are likely quite familiar with, right. You can take a
00:27:14> 00:27:17:	bungalow and you can turn that into a two-story house,
00:27:17> 00:27:20:	single family house, but you haven't been able to take
00:27:20> 00:27:23:	that bungalow and turn it to A2 story affordable housing
00:27:23> 00:27:24:	unit.
00:27:24> 00:27:27:	Um, you know, right now actually I have a couple
00:27:27> 00:27:30:	of clients who want to build a laneway onto their
00:27:30> 00:27:33:	property, but they just don't have the tools to make
00:27:33> 00:27:37:	that an affordable thing to do to deliver affordable housing
00:27:37> 00:27:40:	in that on that site. So the road map site

00:27:40> 00:27:44:	planning is working to actually to develop solutions to that
00:27:44> 00:27:48:	indirect barrier. So it's very easy to build expensive housing
00:27:48> 00:27:51:	including it's very easy to even in our market right
00:27:51> 00:27:54:	now how it's set up demolishing A10 story rental.
00:27:54> 00:27:58:	Apartment is actually sometimes a Better Business option
	than to
00:27:58> 00:28:00:	take down a single family house and turn it into
00:28:00> 00:28:01:	a four story apartment.
00:28:02> 00:28:05:	Right. You can pick that that that 10 story apartment
00:28:05> 00:28:08:	and turn into a 40 story and the number somehow
00:28:08> 00:28:11:	work, right. But the thing that's good to do it,
00:28:11> 00:28:15:	which is to protect tenants to build affordable housing, is
00:28:15> 00:28:18:	not easy to do. So what's your planning is doing
00:28:18> 00:28:22:	to address that indirect barrier of discrimination as they're
	working
00:28:22> 00:28:26:	directly with community groups across Ontario. So we're in, we're
00:28:26> 00:28:30:	in Toronto, Peel, Hamilton, NY, Kitchener, Waterloo and Ottawa.
00:28:31> 00:28:34:	And Hamilton and what we're doing in those cities is
00:28:34> 00:28:39:	we're partnering directly with local community organizations who have already
00:28:39> 00:28:42:	been throwing in tons of sweat equity, trying to get
00:28:42> 00:28:47:	their communities together to engage in local crawling process. And
00:28:47> 00:28:50:	now we're trying to resource them with the technical tools
00:28:50> 00:28:53:	as well as the soft schools tools to actually be
00:28:53> 00:28:57:	part of moving the system along to make easy things,
00:28:57> 00:29:00:	the good things easier to do. So that includes providing
00:29:00> 00:29:01:	mentorship.
00:29:01> 00:29:04:	To folks too, so that they know how to build
00:29:04> 00:29:07:	affordable housing and then also helping these community groups be
00:29:07> 00:29:10:	more formalized and more self sustained in terms of being
00:29:10> 00:29:14:	able to gather local input to participate in building affordable
00:29:14> 00:29:18:	housing and and having affordable housing policies and
	processes established.
00:29:18> 00:29:22:	And so by addressing that systemic layer of discrimination and
00:29:22> 00:29:26:	providing solutions for indirect discrimination to be addressed, that's how
00:29:26> 00:29:30:	we can get towards addressing that direct discrimination, which is
00:29:30> 00:29:31:	what you'll see when folks.

00:29:31> 00:29:34:	Or a block are being turned down to rent because
00:29:34> 00:29:37:	the landlord doesn't want to rent to a black person,
00:29:37> 00:29:41:	right? Or people who have disabilities also having barriers
	because
00:29:41> 00:29:44:	they have specific housing needs that are not typically met
00:29:44> 00:29:48:	and provided through our housing system and also through
	our
00:29:48> 00:29:49:	planning system.
00:29:50> 00:29:53:	Yeah, thanks Cheryl. It's really exciting to hear about, about
00:29:53> 00:29:56:	that initiative and I'm glad that you brought up this
00:29:56> 00:30:00:	conversation about engagement with rights holders and with people with
00:30:00> 00:30:03:	lived experience. We're going to come back to that later.
00:30:03> 00:30:06:	So thanks for introducing that topic already. I'm going to
00:30:06> 00:30:08:	pass it to you Michael. So you know it might
00:30:08> 00:30:11:	not be as obvious what the alignment with between the
00:30:11> 00:30:13:	private sector and the right to housing is, but I
00:30:13> 00:30:16:	know that you see an alignment and I know that
00:30:16> 00:30:19:	you're passionate about this and doing a lot of work
00:30:19> 00:30:19:	and and thinking.
00:30:20> 00:30:22:	All the time on this topic. So would love to
00:30:22> 00:30:24:	hear how the right to housing shows up for you
00:30:24> 00:30:26:	in your work and the vision for the right to
00:30:26> 00:30:28:	housing. And I know Michael has a few slides to
00:30:28> 00:30:31:	share as well. So we'll we'll bring up the screen
00:30:31> 00:30:33:	share and I'll pass it over to you Michael.
00:30:33> 00:30:36:	Thanks. Thanks Adrian, and a pleasure to be on the
00:30:36> 00:30:39:	panel with with my esteemed colleagues here. This is a
00:30:39> 00:30:41:	fascinating discussion and I tell.
00:30:42> 00:30:45:	I tell people that this is half of my day,
00:30:45> 00:30:50:	every day, affordable housing and it's and its variance nuances.
00:30:52> 00:30:55:	Next slide please. As far as the right to adequate
00:30:55> 00:30:58:	housing, you know I'm on a still on a bit
00:30:58> 00:31:02:	of a learning journey. This really started two years ago
00:31:02> 00:31:06:	from me maybe three now almost when the pandemic started
00:31:06> 00:31:09:	to do a deeper dive into this, you know Canadian
00:31:10> 00:31:13:	can argue with the objectives of this that the slide
00:31:13> 00:31:17:	that that Emily showed everybody should want that is it
00:31:17> 00:31:21:	an obligation of housing of the federal government or any?
00:31:21> 00:31:25:	Admit to do it immediately. I think the answer was
00:31:25> 00:31:29:	no, but we've all got a role to play. Next
00:31:29> 00:31:30:	slide, please.

00:31:33> 00:31:37:	So we we actually like using the CMHC graphic which
00:31:37> 00:31:40:	is at the bottom of the slide to to segment
00:31:40> 00:31:43:	the market and and kind of figure out.
00:31:44> 00:31:48:	OK. Well, well, what are the typical range of rents
00:31:48> 00:31:53:	in those segments and and whose responsibility generally is it
00:31:53> 00:31:56:	if you go to the next slide, I think that
00:31:56> 00:32:00:	this kind of brings it home for the private sector.
00:32:00> 00:32:03:	So we say that in a healthy market, you know,
00:32:03> 00:32:08:	people start off in maybe they start renting a basement
00:32:08> 00:32:13:	apartment or a bachelor and as their economic situation improves
00:32:13> 00:32:14:	they typically move up.
00:32:15> 00:32:18:	Then maybe eventually they save up money to buy a
00:32:18> 00:32:22:	house. You know, that's the Canadian dream and that's the
00:32:22> 00:32:25:	way we all hope and think that the systems should
00:32:25> 00:32:29:	work. But it's been difficult in the past three years
00:32:29> 00:32:29:	at least.
00:32:30> 00:32:34:	Maybe some folks going the other way so that red
00:32:35> 00:32:40:	Line direction on the bottom shows situations or environments where
00:32:40> 00:32:46:	people lose their jobs. People, as Barriga said, become dependent
00:32:46> 00:32:50:	on on drugs or alcohol, have mental health issues and
00:32:50> 00:32:52:	fall down that ladder.
00:32:54> 00:32:57:	And while we all want that upper green line to
00:32:57> 00:33:00:	be the way things work, we're confronted, I think, with
00:33:00> 00:33:01:	that lower reality.
00:33:02> 00:33:06:	And because of that lower reality, there's an obligation on
00:33:06> 00:33:09:	private holders who might be on the right end of
00:33:09> 00:33:12:	that spectrum to provide it to, to do whatever they
00:33:12> 00:33:16:	can to stop people sliding down that ladder. Next slide,
00:33:16> 00:33:16:	please.
00:33:20> 00:33:23:	So, you know, up until the start of the pandemic,
00:33:23> 00:33:28:	we were engaged in discussions around inclusionary zoning, for example.
00:33:28> 00:33:32:	And a lot of the complaints around inclusionary zoning was,
00:33:32> 00:33:35:	look, we need well, one, we do need to provide
00:33:35> 00:33:39:	affordable housing and new developments. But at what point does
00:33:39> 00:33:43:	it make the new development uneconomic? At what point do
00:33:43> 00:33:46:	you say, you know, look, this doesn't pencil out anymore.
00:33:47> 00:33:49:	I'm pens down on this, sadly with the.

00:33:49> 00:33:52:	Interest rates going up by 2 1/2%.
00:33:53> 00:33:55:	Almost no new.
00:33:56> 00:34:00:	Purpose built rental buildings and many affordable housing. They don't
00:34:00> 00:34:03:	pencil out anymore. Nothing pencils out would that increased cost,
00:34:03> 00:34:06:	so we're in a bit of a difficult position.
00:34:07> 00:34:08:	Next slide, please.
00:34:11> 00:34:11:	Umm.
00:34:12> 00:34:15:	I know we've we've taken a lot of abuse in
00:34:15> 00:34:19:	social media over the years. You know, we're rich developers.
00:34:19> 00:34:21:	We're gouging tenants.
00:34:23> 00:34:23:	Yep.
00:34:24> 00:34:27:	A lot of the groups criticizing us don't ask us
00:34:27> 00:34:30:	well how much of your costs going out gone up,
00:34:30> 00:34:33:	what about 40% raise in natural gas. So we eating
00:34:33> 00:34:37:	that. So the greedy developers thing, I don't think it's
00:34:37> 00:34:41:	helping helping the conversation but what our industry is focused
00:34:41> 00:34:45:	on, focused on is better data, trying to better understand
00:34:45> 00:34:49:	the problem and who's in these various buckets that my
00:34:49> 00:34:53:	colleagues on the panel mentioned, specific areas that I'm very
00:34:53> 00:34:53:	much.
00:34:54> 00:34:59:	Focused on rent evictions, above guideline rent increases without losing
00:34:59> 00:35:03:	access to capital, security of tenure, right of return in
00:35:03> 00:35:06:	this four or five key topics that were very, very
00:35:06> 00:35:10:	focused on next slide. I have to remember if I
00:35:10> 00:35:11:	have a next slide on this.
00:35:13> 00:35:14:	I think that's your last.
00:35:15> 00:35:18:	Yeah, maybe I don't. So you know some of those
00:35:18> 00:35:21:	maybe we'll talk on the on the next session, but
00:35:21> 00:35:25:	there's certainly more that the private sector can do. I
00:35:25> 00:35:29:	think we're under discovery journey of trying to figure out
00:35:29> 00:35:33:	exactly what does it mean when you say that we
00:35:33> 00:35:37:	are responsibility holders and how does that square with.
00:35:37> 00:35:41:	Our fiduciary duty to our investors, how does that square
00:35:41> 00:35:45:	with the leases that a tenant signed? How does that
00:35:45> 00:35:49:	square with private property rights we thought we had?
00:35:50> 00:35:52:	Those are the areas that granularity is the area where
00:35:52> 00:35:54:	we need to discuss and want to get to. The

00:35:54> 00:35:56:	next question we will Adrian.
00:35:57> 00:36:00:	Yeah, perfect. Thanks Michael and and just wanted to to
00:36:00> 00:36:03:	share my appreciation for you raising all of those really
00:36:04> 00:36:06:	important tensions and and I hope that we can get
00:36:06> 00:36:09:	there in the next 20 minutes or so. So thanks
00:36:09> 00:36:12:	for sharing those slides before we move on to to
00:36:12> 00:36:15:	that process of how we get there. I also want
00:36:15> 00:36:17:	to just note kind of what Cheryl is getting at
00:36:17> 00:36:21:	earlier just this idea of a human rights based approach
00:36:21> 00:36:25:	to housing requiring the involvement of rights holders, so people
00:36:25> 00:36:28:	have lived experience and all aspects of the realization of
00:36:28> 00:36:29:	the right to housing.
00:36:29> 00:36:32:	Um, so just wanted to share resource. As part of
00:36:32> 00:36:35:	a year long project that we're working on at SHS
00:36:35> 00:36:39:	with the National Housing Council, we've released a report on
00:36:39> 00:36:42:	what we heard the right to housing could look like
00:36:42> 00:36:47:	from the perspective of people, lived experience, nonprofit housing providers
00:36:47> 00:36:51:	from across the country and community organizations in Canada. So
00:36:51> 00:36:55:	we've had almost 200 participants through either a survey or
00:36:55> 00:36:59:	a focus group or one-on-one conversation. So if you'd like
00:36:59> 00:37:00:	to read a little bit.
00:37:00> 00:37:03:	But what people in Canada with lived experience and the
00:37:03> 00:37:06:	people who support those folks are thinking and and envisioning
00:37:06> 00:37:09:	about what that future could look like will drop the
00:37:09> 00:37:12:	report link in the chat. It's available online, so you
00:37:12> 00:37:15:	can read it on the place to call home website.
00:37:15> 00:37:18:	So I'm going to continue the conversation now a little
00:37:18> 00:37:20:	bit to to our group dialogue. So we've talked a
00:37:20> 00:37:23:	little bit about the vision, what it could look like,
00:37:23> 00:37:26:	what we're doing in this space. We're going to shift
00:37:26> 00:37:29:	as Michael is alluding to this discussion of how we'll
00:37:29> 00:37:30:	get there along the way.
00:37:30> 00:37:33:	I know that there's quite a few tensions at play.
00:37:33> 00:37:37:	There's quite a few competing alternative paths of what this
00:37:37> 00:37:40:	future could look like and some pretty big societal and
00:37:40> 00:37:44:	cultural shifts that might need to happen through this transition.
00:37:44> 00:37:46:	So I'm going to send it back to Emily just

00:37:46> 00:37:50:	to just to reinforce a little bit this clear distinction
00:37:50> 00:37:53:	about what the difference between the right to housing is
00:37:53> 00:37:56:	and what we are, what we have today in Canada,
00:37:56> 00:37:59:	I guess that the system we have today, what makes
00:37:59> 00:38:02:	the implementation this big shift to something new.
00:38:02> 00:38:04:	And and in your work at the at the office
00:38:04> 00:38:07:	of the Federal Housing Advocate what have been some of
00:38:07> 00:38:09:	the tensions that you've you've come up against and I
00:38:10> 00:38:12:	think Emily has one slide left here to pull up
00:38:12> 00:38:13:	as well to speak to.
00:38:15> 00:38:20:	Thanks Adrian. I I really like this this this quote
00:38:20> 00:38:26:	from David Holt, Chansky and colleagues that asked the question.
00:38:27> 00:38:30:	Who lobbies for homelessness, right. Like if, if, if we
00:38:31> 00:38:35:	can all agree that a housing system that produces homelessness
00:38:35> 00:38:38:	is not acceptable. How is it that it continues to
00:38:39> 00:38:42:	exist? What's what's the problem here? And, and and the
00:38:43> 00:38:46:	problem is it's the natural outcome of the way that
00:38:46> 00:38:50:	we've currently organized our housing system. And so a right
00:38:51> 00:38:55:	to housing approach really requires that we rethink that on
00:38:55> 00:38:57:	a on a kind of system systematic.
00:38:57> 00:39:01:	A level of structural level. And it's not sufficient just
00:39:01> 00:39:05:	to address homelessness kind of one person at a time
00:39:05> 00:39:08:	or one family or household at a time. It's really
00:39:09> 00:39:12:	embedded in our housing system now. The human right to
00:39:12> 00:39:16:	housing is a new idea in Canada, and we're all
00:39:16> 00:39:19:	getting used to it. And people have a lot of
00:39:19> 00:39:23:	questions about, well, what does this mean? And there are
00:39:23> 00:39:28:	some misconceptions and, and we've been hearing some hyperbolic reactions
00:39:28> 00:39:28:	to it.
00:39:28> 00:39:32:	That are grounded in those misunderstandings. So some of you
00:39:32> 00:39:36:	might have seen a financial post story that came out
00:39:36> 00:39:40:	a couple of weeks ago suggesting that our office is
00:39:40> 00:39:44:	advocating the Canada implement a Marxist housing system, for example.
00:39:46> 00:39:49:	You know, so there's a lot of of learning to
00:39:49> 00:39:53:	be done about how do we apply this, that the
00:39:53> 00:39:56:	set of human rights in a Canadian context. And the
00:39:57> 00:40:01:	whole point of international human rights law is to establish
00:40:01> 00:40:06:	basic principles that all states agree to regardless of the

00:40:06> 00:40:10:	choice of political and economic system that they have. We
00:40:10> 00:40:14:	know that Canada's housing system is very complex and that
00:40:15> 00:40:15:	diagram that.
00:40:16> 00:40:20:	Just showed, Michael, I is, you know, it just scratches
00:40:20> 00:40:25:	the surface, right? And our housing system involves all levels
00:40:25> 00:40:30:	of government. It includes nonprofit and public elements in addition
00:40:30> 00:40:35:	to the private market elements. And its operations are embedded
00:40:35> 00:40:42:	in transnational and macroeconomic forces that are buffeting everybody's attempts
00:40:42> 00:40:46:	right now to build and expand affordable housing.
00:40:46> 00:40:46:	Supply.
00:40:48> 00:40:52:	But right now we have to acknowledge our housing system
00:40:52> 00:40:59:	perpetuates homelessness, right? 235,000 people are estimated to experience homelessness
00:40:59> 00:41:04:	in Canada every year, and another 1.6 million households are
00:41:04> 00:41:08:	in core housing need. So that's a system that's not
00:41:08> 00:41:12:	working. And it's it's been not working for quite some
00:41:12> 00:41:16:	time now. I can remember a time when mass homelessness
00:41:16> 00:41:17:	was not a thing.
00:41:18> 00:41:23:	Right, in Canada. But some of my younger colleagues don't
00:41:23> 00:41:28:	know that it's a fairly recent development and and instead
00:41:28> 00:41:29:	of.
00:41:30> 00:41:34:	We at this point I think most of what's been
00:41:34> 00:41:39:	attempted to close the gaps are what I would consider
00:41:39> 00:41:45:	kind of charity level responses, but that's just not working.
00:41:46> 00:41:50:	And so an approach that's grounded in the human right
00:41:50> 00:41:54:	to housing requires that we transform our response to homelessness
00:41:54> 00:41:58:	and inadequate housing. And instead of relying on charity to
00:41:58> 00:42:01:	meet the needs of people who are excluded by the
00:42:01> 00:42:04:	current system, we have to reorient the system so that
00:42:04> 00:42:07:	it doesn't exclude, so that it's just so that it's
00:42:08> 00:42:09:	egalitarian, so that it's fair.
00:42:10> 00:42:15:	Now, identifying failings in our system doesn't mean vilifying any
00:42:15> 00:42:19:	specific actors. So in to Michael's point about, you know,
00:42:19> 00:42:23:	the greedy developer narrative or the narrative of, you know,
00:42:24> 00:42:28:	people not living up to their responsibilities or needing to
00:42:28> 00:42:32:	pull themselves up by their bootstraps or stop eating avocado
00:42:32> 00:42:36:	toast or cancel their Disney plus subscription in order to
00:42:36> 00:42:40:	afford a housing, none of those narratives are are.

00:42:40> 00:42:44:	Really helpful, right? And what?
00:42:45> 00:42:49:	What we need is for governments to use their powers
00:42:49> 00:42:53:	to ensure that the housing system we've chosen, which in
00:42:53> 00:42:58:	the Canadian context is is currently a very market dominated
00:42:58> 00:42:59:	system.
00:43:00> 00:43:04:	That that system respects, protects and fulfills the right to
00:43:04> 00:43:10:	adequate housing for everyone and and that needs preventing discrimination,
00:43:10> 00:43:14:	but it also imposes positive duties. It's not enough just
00:43:14> 00:43:18:	to avoid discrimination and but as Cheryl said, you know
00:43:18> 00:43:19:	we've got.
00:43:20> 00:43:25:	What what you're calling indirect discrimination kind of built into
00:43:25> 00:43:29:	our housing system. So I'll just use myself as an
00:43:29> 00:43:30:	example as a homeowner.
00:43:32> 00:43:35:	When I sell my house, I'm going to get to
00:43:35> 00:43:39:	keep all of the proceeds of that sale. I'm not
00:43:39> 00:43:42:	going to have to to pay any tax on it.
00:43:42> 00:43:46:	And the foregone tax revenue that I benefit from through
00:43:46> 00:43:50:	that as a homeowner is a level of of federal
00:43:50> 00:43:54:	investment in me that no renter will ever enjoy probably
00:43:54> 00:43:59:	in a lifetime. So that's a huge discrepancy between owners
00:43:59> 00:44:02:	and renters and when we look at.
00:44:03> 00:44:07:	Who's more likely to be owners? White salaried professionals like
00:44:08> 00:44:12:	myself? And who's more likely to be renters? People with
00:44:12> 00:44:18:	disabilities? Racialized people? Immigrants and refugees? Younger people? Then we
00:44:18> 00:44:21:	start to see the ways in which our housing system
00:44:22> 00:44:26:	entrenches those patterns of discrimination towards certain groups.
00:44:28> 00:44:32:	Yeah, I think thanks for that important discussion, Emily. Just
00:44:32> 00:44:34:	watching the time I'm going to shift around a little
00:44:34> 00:44:37:	bit of the the order here. So I'm going to
00:44:37> 00:44:39:	send it back to Cheryl first. So I know Cheryl
00:44:39> 00:44:42:	you're involved in tons of on the ground work. Would
00:44:42> 00:44:45:	love to hear what some of those those deeper kind
00:44:45> 00:44:47:	of cultural shifts that people are calling for when you
00:44:48> 00:44:50:	when you're speaking with folks you know what are they,
00:44:50> 00:44:53:	what would they love to see to get us to
00:44:53> 00:44:54:	the right to housing?
00:44:55> 00:44:57:	So to get to the, uh, to the right to
00:44:57> 00:45:01:	housing and we're really we're calling for vertical integration.

	So
00:45:01> 00:45:04:	folks who are working on the ground and working directly
00:45:04> 00:45:07:	with community to confront displacement, to be involved in affordable
00:45:07> 00:45:10:	housing and promoting affordable housing development.
00:45:11> 00:45:14:	To be connected to the people who are making policy
00:45:14> 00:45:17:	decisions, right? Umm. And so that's that's really what the
00:45:17> 00:45:21:	road map for redevelopment plans to comment systemic racism is
00:45:21> 00:45:24:	all about. So Michael spoke about, you know, this dialogue
00:45:24> 00:45:28:	about the developer being the villain and they're doing terrible
00:45:28> 00:45:28:	things.
00:45:29> 00:45:32:	There are developers who really want to do more to
00:45:32> 00:45:36:	help see affordable housing developed and protected, right? So the
00:45:37> 00:45:41:	road map, we're really focused on building those relationships, right.
00:45:41> 00:45:45:	So we'll be working on establishing consensus around what are
00:45:45> 00:45:49:	the five top issues that are leading to our housing
00:45:49> 00:45:53:	crisis, right. We've talked about, you know, the financialization is
00:45:53> 00:45:57:	one thing, but also the inability to get subsidies or
00:45:57> 00:45:59:	it could be the financing system.
00:45:59> 00:46:02:	As it is, the incentives around investment, right, there are
00:46:03> 00:46:06:	so many different types of problems that exist that we
00:46:06> 00:46:09:	just simply are not gathering together to, to dive into,
00:46:09> 00:46:12:	right? So the people on the ground really do want
00:46:12> 00:46:16:	to see that vertical integration to be working in solidarity
00:46:16> 00:46:20:	with people who have access to wealth and policy makers.
00:46:20> 00:46:23:	To move forward together. So if, if, if you can,
00:46:23> 00:46:26:	please put the the link in the chat so folks
00:46:26> 00:46:29:	can get more familiar with the project. But that's really
00:46:29> 00:46:32:	what folks on the ground are looking for is that
00:46:32> 00:46:35:	solidarity with people who have Afghan access to to power
00:46:35> 00:46:36:	and wealth and policymakers.
00:46:37> 00:46:40:	Thanks, Cheryl. And we'll make sure to check out that
00:46:40> 00:46:43:	project in the chat. So thanks for adding that link
00:46:43> 00:46:45:	as well. Marie, got curious to hear from you. You
00:46:46> 00:46:48:	know, what mindsets do we have to shift to enable
00:46:48> 00:46:50:	more of what woodgreen is doing?
00:46:52> 00:46:56:	I think there's a, there's a, I mean, the first

00:46:56> 00:47:00: 00:47:00> 00:47:04:	set of mind mindset shifts is actually have to do
	with the recovery. So the, you know, I was watching
00:47:04> 00:47:09:	that introductory clip on Toronto and its vibrancy and I
00:47:09> 00:47:13:	think one of the things that has taken a really
00:47:13> 00:47:18:	hard hit negatively is the nonprofit sector for a number
00:47:18> 00:47:20:	of reasons, the pandemic.
00:47:21> 00:47:25:	In, you know has sort of created the whole volunteer
00:47:25> 00:47:29:	base volunteer volunteerism as a as a key resource in
00:47:29> 00:47:30:	the sector.
00:47:32> 00:47:36:	Yes, it is true that there is a greater collective
00:47:36> 00:47:40:	awakening on the issues of of inequality and inequity. So
00:47:40> 00:47:44:	that's a really positive thing. But you know some of
00:47:44> 00:47:48:	those issues in the last two years have also caused
00:47:48> 00:47:52:	a lot of decline in donations from from donors and
00:47:52> 00:47:56:	I think you know just not to over embellish this.
00:47:57> 00:48:01:	Half the people who worked in the nonprofit sector have
00:48:01> 00:48:04:	pretty much burnt out because of the heavy service load
00:48:04> 00:48:08:	component that we had to carry and and those issues
00:48:08> 00:48:11:	are playing out. So those challenges and the mindset in
00:48:11> 00:48:15:	order to reward our, our, the, the nonprofit sector and
00:48:15> 00:48:18:	to give it the value that it has and demonstrated
00:48:18> 00:48:22:	during the pandemic, I think it's an opportunity that has
00:48:22> 00:48:26:	been provided through the pandemic and some of the
00:48:26> 00:48:27:	awakening around the inequality.
00:48:27> 00:48:27:	
	And equity, the second aspect for me is what's happening
00:48:32> 00:48:36:	around the conversation in housing and the whole conversation about
00:48:37> 00:48:42:	housing and affordability has shifted away from those fundamentals of
00:48:42> 00:48:46:	what a housing, the housing National Housing strategy spoke about
00:48:46> 00:48:50:	and and was introduced. And that is around security of
00:48:50> 00:48:56:	tenure, peace and dignity, nondiscrimination and affordability in terms of
00:48:56> 00:48:56:	income.
00:48:57> 00:49:01:	And we have moved fundamentally towards a market solution in
00:49:02> 00:49:05:	order to ostensibly stem or increase the notion of supply
00:49:05> 00:49:08:	from the from the market side and and produce a
00:49:09> 00:49:09:	trickle down.
00:49:10> 00:49:14:	I'm not sure that that's going to be really helpful.
00:49:14> 00:49:17:	I think we need to embed some of those fundamentals

00:49:17> 00:49:20:	that Emily is talking about so that yes we deal
00:49:21> 00:49:24:	with supply but through the lens of demand of the
00:49:24> 00:49:28:	demand population that is defined in the human in the
00:49:28> 00:49:31:	in the National Housing strategy and the and and then
00:49:31> 00:49:36:	specifically around the Human Rights foundation issues. So we have
00:49:36> 00:49:39:	that end of the spectrum that I described earlier that
00:49:40> 00:49:40:	would green.
00:49:40> 00:49:44:	Dealing it works with people who are marginalized for whole
00:49:44> 00:49:48:	combination of reasons that we talked about. And those people
00:49:48> 00:49:52:	need to be at the front center of affordable housing.
00:49:52> 00:49:55:	That is what we used to do way better in
00:49:55> 00:49:57:	the 70s by the way. And the very birth of
00:49:57> 00:50:01:	CMHC was around taking care of veterans after coming back
00:50:01> 00:50:04:	from war. So yes, we had a supply need for
00:50:04> 00:50:07:	them, but it was also geared towards those kinds of
00:50:07> 00:50:12:	population and eventually it transitioned into supporting the market.
00:50:12> 00:50:15:	The last thing I want to talk about is just
00:50:15> 00:50:18:	the housing market. And you know, the irony of the
00:50:18> 00:50:21:	fact that 60 to 70% of Canadian wealth is held
00:50:21> 00:50:25:	by individuals has produced and and then the performance of
00:50:25> 00:50:28:	the market in the last several years, which has been
00:50:28> 00:50:32:	a really hot place, has actually created the conditions for
00:50:32> 00:50:36:	a little worse Nimbyism than we used to have before.
00:50:36> 00:50:40:	And that's because naturally people have locked into that as
00:50:40> 00:50:42:	their main source of water.
00:50:42> 00:50:46:	Of of wealth. So building in some of the middle
00:50:46> 00:50:52:	class neighborhoods in order to create mixed mixed communities that
00:50:52> 00:50:58:	thrive and are supportive is becoming more difficult. Fortunately, not
00:50:58> 00:51:03:	to end with gloom, this past weekend would green was
00:51:03> 00:51:07:	privileged to host an open house for a 59 unit
00:51:07> 00:51:12:	housing with supports at Cedarville in the East End.
00:51:12> 00:51:16:	That is provided was provided to Wood Green by the
00:51:16> 00:51:21:	City of Toronto through its supporting low you know emotionalized
00:51:21> 00:51:25:	homeless people housing in that section and we had about
00:51:25> 00:51:29:	200 people and of course we you know confronted all
00:51:29> 00:51:33:	the issues about you know is this an institution or
00:51:33> 00:51:37:	impact is it going to have an all the Nimbyism.

00:51:37> 00:51:40:	But I believe what I think I want people to
00:51:40> 00:51:44:	take away is that that creating that space for dialogue
00:51:44> 00:51:49:	actually produced some very positive conversations. And for the most
00:51:49> 00:51:54:	part, we felt that having that direct conversation turned things
00:51:54> 00:51:57:	around and that we will be able to operate that
00:51:57> 00:52:02:	building in that neighborhood like a partner, which is what
00:52:02> 00:52:05:	we like to do best as, as, as woodgreen. So
00:52:05> 00:52:05:	yeah.
00:52:06> 00:52:08:	Thanks. Thanks, Maria. Happy for you.
00:52:08> 00:52:10:	I'm glad that you, you know, expanded the conversation to,
00:52:10> 00:52:13:	you know, everyone in the system, not just our, our,
00:52:13> 00:52:15:	our housing players, but, you know, people in the community
00:52:15> 00:52:18:	as well as responsibility holders, too. Michael, I want to
00:52:18> 00:52:20:	be able to toss it back to you because I
00:52:20> 00:52:22:	know you had some ideas of, you know, what are
00:52:22> 00:52:24:	some of the tensions that you're coming up against maybe,
00:52:24> 00:52:27:	you know, two minutes and then. And we're going to
00:52:27> 00:52:29:	have to slowly wrap ourselves up here. But Michael, please
00:52:29> 00:52:30:	go ahead.
00:52:34> 00:52:35:	Oh, and you're on mute as well.
00:52:38> 00:52:42:	Sorry, a great conversation and I just killed the second-half
00:52:42> 00:52:45:	of my slides because I realized, you know, it's off
00:52:45> 00:52:47:	point to the the way this conversation is. It's a
00:52:47> 00:52:52:	great conversation. What Cheryl said about cooperation, absolutely the transition
00:52:52> 00:52:55:	between the right to housing and housing policy and what's
00:52:55> 00:52:59:	that bridge and what are some solutions that really are
00:52:59> 00:53:02:	going to work. I think Steve Pomeroy talked about this
00:53:02> 00:53:04:	maybe on Tuesday and he said, you know, look, we
00:53:05> 00:53:07:	just don't need more supply, we need more supply.
00:53:07> 00:53:11:	At the right price point and for the right constituencies,
00:53:12> 00:53:15:	absolutely that is true. And I know that we're, you
00:53:15> 00:53:19:	know, one of the things we're criticized for as an
00:53:19> 00:53:23:	industry is upgrading old buildings. There's an area where we
00:53:23> 00:53:26:	need really a good conversation. Some of this is to
00:53:27> 00:53:31:	extend the useful life of those old buildings. A byproduct
00:53:31> 00:53:35:	is rents are increased. We can't be slagged for increasing
00:53:35> 00:53:38:	rents when we're trying to extend these buildings.
00:53:38> 00:53:42:	And make them safe, habitable as best we can. So
00:53:42> 00:53:45:	we need to be able to talk about those things.
00:53:46> 00:53:49:	Think Maria talked about Nimbyism.

00:53:50> 00:53:52: 00:53:52> 00:53:55:	Oh boy, I I, someone said to me a few
00:53:55> 00:53:59:	days ago, says Mike. Do you think it's bad of putting an apartment building near a residential area in terms
00:53:59> 00:54:02:	of Nimbys? Wait until you try to put supportive housing
00:54:02> 00:54:04:	in the same neighborhood.
00:54:02> 00:54:09:	A lot more Nimbyism there. That's a cultural problem we
00:54:09> 00:54:03:	have to address. So, you know, I would say overall,
00:54:13> 00:54:16:	look, the private sector wants to be part of the
00:54:13> 00:54:18. 00:54:16> 00:54:20:	
00:54:18> 00:54:20: 00:54:20> 00:54:24:	solution, wants to help get this country back on its
	feet, prepared to consider all kinds of ideas. With the
00:54:24> 00:54:27:	one caveat is we can't push away capital. We need
00:54:27> 00:54:31:	the capital for new supply. We need the capital to
00:54:31> 00:54:35:	renovate and fix up these old buildings before we talk
00:54:35> 00:54:35:	about.
00:54:35> 00:54:38:	Training them so that's that's my boundary, is I got
00:54:38> 00:54:42:	to be able to still get capital into this business.
00:54:43> 00:54:47:	Thanks, Michael. And an important attention there that we have
00:54:47> 00:54:49:	in the system. I just just to introduce in the
00:54:49> 00:54:53:	last couple minutes here, we have about 2 minutes left.
00:54:53> 00:54:56:	You know one of the other important principles of the
00:54:56> 00:54:59:	right to housing that there is no retrogression or backwards
00:54:59> 00:55:02:	movement away from the right to housing. So we're not
00:55:02> 00:55:06:	taking action that's actually taking away from our progress. So
00:55:06> 00:55:08:	I'm curious if anyone wants to jump in on that
00:55:08> 00:55:11:	quickly what does that mean, what what's at play here
00:55:12> 00:55:14:	to to actually make sure that we don't have?
00:55:14> 00:55:17:	Backwards movement, maybe. If you if you want to jump
00:55:17> 00:55:19:	in like 20 seconds and I want to leave the
00:55:19> 00:55:22:	last word on, what does it mean to realize the
00:55:22> 00:55:26:	maximum of available resources? Because that's another key piece. Emily.
00:55:26> 00:55:28:	I'll leave that last word for you. But anyone on
00:55:28> 00:55:32:	this this notion of no backwards movement, that's kind of
00:55:32> 00:55:34:	a hard one to to wrap our heads around too.
00:55:35> 00:55:38:	Yeah, I'll jump in. That's exactly what I was talking
00:55:38> 00:55:41:	about. Rent increases. Would you look at that as retrogression?
00:55:42> 00:55:46:	What if they were absolutely necessary to keep the building
00:55:46> 00:55:49:	safe and your tenancy safe? Which side does that fall
00:55:49> 00:55:52:	on? You know, that's a detail that's going to be
00:55:52> 00:55:57:	critically important for the private sector. That's just. Yeah.
	, , , ,

	One
00:55:57> 00:56:00:	thing that's top of mind for me, I talked about
00:56:00> 00:56:03:	before, right, of return, rent, evictions. We can deal with
00:56:04> 00:56:07:	those. Let's scale them. Let's put in a uniform code
00:56:07> 00:56:10:	that applies coast to coast. Let's do that right. We
00:56:10> 00:56:12:	can get, we can do that, right.
00:56:13> 00:56:16:	Mm-hmm. So wanted to jump in really quickly my call
00:56:17> 00:56:19:	about the point about rental repairs.
00:56:21> 00:56:24:	That that could be considered a type of indirect discrimination,
00:56:24> 00:56:26:	the fact that the system makes it so that the
00:56:26> 00:56:29:	only way that you can make the building safe is
00:56:29> 00:56:32:	that you make the building unaffordable. So imagine if you
00:56:32> 00:56:34:	were to have maybe a subsidy program and some kind
00:56:34> 00:56:37:	of policy framework that would enable that maintenance of that
00:56:37> 00:56:40:	building, but then would not, you know, require you to
00:56:40> 00:56:43:	raise the rents, right, and actually have a protection around
00:56:43> 00:56:43:	the.
00:56:43> 00:56:46:	Rents, we've talked about that and I'm, I'm good with
00:56:46> 00:56:47:	that.
00:56:49> 00:56:52:	Thanks for raising that Cheryl. I am really good other
00:56:52> 00:56:55:	you know tension and and two potential alternative paths there
00:56:56> 00:56:59:	that we're dealing with. Emily, I'll leave the last word
00:56:59> 00:57:01:	to you. What does it mean for us to actually
00:57:01> 00:57:04:	invest a maximum of available resources you have like one
00:57:04> 00:57:07:	minute and I think there's some some links to drop
00:57:07> 00:57:10:	in the chat here with some resources for everyone to
00:57:10> 00:57:11:	read further.
00:57:12> 00:57:15:	Thank you so much for giving me the chance to
00:57:15> 00:57:19:	make this pitch, Adrian. I'm so I I think the
00:57:19> 00:57:23:	common theme in what everyone is raising here is that
00:57:23> 00:57:27:	we have a National Housing strategy. And according to the
00:57:27> 00:57:31:	act, that strategy is intended to be the mechanism that
00:57:31> 00:57:36:	moves us towards progressive realization of the right to adequate
00:57:36> 00:57:40:	housing. But the evidence is mounting that it is not.
00:57:41> 00:57:44:	It's it's not going where it needs to go. It's
00:57:44> 00:57:49:	the the resources it's investing are not addressing those in
00:57:49> 00:57:55:	greatest need. They're not helping make measurable difference in relationship
00:57:55> 00:57:59:	to homelessness and core housing need. We've got the

auditor 00:57:59 --> 00:58:04: general's report, we've got other evidence as well, and so 00:58:04 --> 00:58:07: that's a great place to start. And in the chat 00:58:08 --> 00:58:11: is a a statement the Advocate released this week. 00:58:11 --> 00:58:16: Regarding some changes that could be made to the National 00:58:16 --> 00:58:20: Housing strategy to make it more effective and to help 00:58:20 --> 00:58:24: contribute to some of the initiatives that Cheryl Marie got 00:58:24 --> 00:58:28: and Michael have pointed to. And there's also a campaign 00:58:28 --> 00:58:33: led by the National right to housing network. That's the 00:58:33 --> 00:58:37: second link there that that enables folks to send letters 00:58:37 --> 00:58:40: to their MP's to to talk about the changes that 00:58:40 --> 00:58:42: need to happen. 00:58:42 --> 00:58:46: The good news is we've got the strategy, we've got 00:58:46 --> 00:58:49: you know, it's it's a huge \$72 billion plan. We're 00:58:49 --> 00:58:53: at the midpoint, it's the five year anniversary this week, 00:58:53 --> 00:58:56: but we've got five more years to go. It's a 00:58:56 --> 00:58:59: big ship to turn around, but with all of us 00:58:59 --> 00:59:03: working together and I'm very grateful to you, you Li, 00:59:03 --> 00:59:07: for convening this conversation. I think we can get there 00:59:07 --> 00:59:10: and and we've got the tools we just need to 00:59:10 --> 00:59:12: make them work better for us. 00:59:13 --> 00:59:15: Thanks Emily. With that, I'm going to close this off, 00:59:15 --> 00:59:18: toss it back to Richard. Really insightful conversation today. So 00:59:18 --> 00:59:20: thanks so much to everyone who is here. 00:59:20 --> 00:59:25: Indeed. Thank you, Adrienne. Emily, Mariga, Cheryl, Michael, thank you. 00:59:25 --> 00:59:28: That was a great conversation to wrap up our week. 00:59:28 --> 00:59:32: But it's clear that our week is the beginning and 00:59:32 --> 00:59:36: not the end of our conversations around affordable housing. There's 00:59:36 --> 00:59:39: so much more, I think one of the things that 00:59:39 --> 00:59:42: I think that I really enjoyed about this week, if 00:59:42 --> 00:59:43: I can say that. 00:59:43 --> 00:59:47: Um, in in what is really a troubling conversation is, 00:59:47 --> 00:59:50: is we're starting to not talk past each other as 00:59:50 --> 00:59:53: much in dialogues like this. And I think that's so 00:59:53 --> 00:59:57: critical. We cannot address this, this housing crisis at any 00:59:57 --> 01:00:01: level if if we talk past each other and there's 01:00:01 --> 01:00:04: everybody's got to bring something to the solution to this 01:00:04 --> 01:00:07: clearly and there's a long way to go before we 01:00:08 --> 01:00:11: get even pointed in the right direction, never mind moving 01:00:11 --> 01:00:13: in the right direction so.

01:00:14> 01:00:16:	Been been a great honor for me to for you
01:00:16> 01:00:19:	and I to be hosting you and the other panels
01:00:19> 01:00:22:	all week on this conversation as I said this is
01:00:22> 01:00:24:	the beginning of more and I hope you all TuneIn
01:00:24> 01:00:27:	in the in the in the coming weeks and months
01:00:27> 01:00:30:	ahead you'll see there's a couple of events up on
01:00:30> 01:00:33:	the on the upcoming events there's always upcoming events it
01:00:33> 01:00:36:	is 1:00 o'clock we always end on time and so
01:00:36> 01:00:39:	I wish everybody a nice weekend and we'll look forward
01:00:39> 01:00:41:	to as I said seeing you again soon. Bye bye.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact <u></u>.