

Webinar

Water Wise Development Coalition Meeting - 3

Date: September 06, 2023

00:00:03 --> 00:00:04: Hello everyone. 00:00:04 --> 00:00:05: Thank you for joining us today. 00:00:05 --> 00:00:09: This is our third Water Wise Development Coalition meeting. 00:00:09 --> 00:00:13: We're excited that you're here with us for, for anybody 00:00:13 --> 00:00:17: who's new here, the Water Wise Development Coalition is a 00:00:17 --> 00:00:21: partnership between the Urban Land Institute, the Alliance for Water 00:00:22 --> 00:00:26: Efficiency, the Sonoran Institute and the Water Now Alliance and 00:00:26 --> 00:00:26: others. 00:00:27 --> 00:00:31: And we're convening land use and real estate professionals with 00:00:31 --> 00:00:35: policy makers and decision makers specifically to advance water smart 00:00:35 --> 00:00:38: real estate development and supportive policies. 00:00:38 --> 00:00:41: This is the only coalition I know of that focuses 00:00:41 --> 00:00:45: on bringing together the private sector with the public sector 00:00:45 --> 00:00:46: on these issues. 00:00:46 --> 00:00:48: So we, we really think that this work is important 00:00:48 --> 00:00:50: and we're excited that you're here. 00:00:51 --> 00:00:53: We have quarterly virtual meetings. 00:00:53 --> 00:00:55: So at the end of this, once we get through 00:00:55 --> 00:00:59: the expert presentations today, we're going to have an upcoming 00:00:59 --> 00:01:00: programming discussion. 00:01:00 --> 00:01:02: So we hope you stay with us through the whole 00:01:02 --> 00:01:02: meeting. 00:01:04 --> 00:01:07: And we'll also, you'll also have a say in upcoming 00:01:07 --> 00:01:09: meeting topics, speakers and efforts. 00:01:09 --> 00:01:11: So again, we're going to have that discussion towards the

00:01:11> 00:01:11:	end.
00:01:11> 00:01:13:	So please stay on the line.
00:01:14> 00:01:18:	So today I hope you take a minute to introduce
00:01:18> 00:01:19:	yourself in the chat.
00:01:19> 00:01:23:	If you can include your name, title, organization and location,
00:01:23> 00:01:25:	that would be super helpful for us to know who's
00:01:25> 00:01:28:	in the room where you're calling in from and just
00:01:28> 00:01:30:	to introduce yourself to the group.
00:01:32> 00:01:36:	And today we have two excellent speakers.
00:01:36> 00:01:38:	The 1st is Jonah Shine.
00:01:38> 00:01:42:	He is the national program manager for homes and buildings
00:01:42> 00:01:44:	with the USEPAS Watersense program.
00:01:44> 00:01:48:	And he's going to be talking about Watersense and all
00:01:48> 00:01:53:	the resources available from our federal government to support water
00:01:53> 00:01:55:	wise development and built environments.
00:01:56> 00:01:59:	And then Mike, I'm not going to pronounce your name
00:01:59> 00:02:00:	right.
00:02:00> 00:02:02:	Colignon, I don't know if that's right.
00:02:03> 00:02:06:	He's the executive director and Co founder of the Green
00:02:06> 00:02:09:	Builder Coalition and he's going to be talking about water
00:02:10> 00:02:13:	efficiency rating scores or words and the resources that they
00:02:13> 00:02:13:	have.
00:02:14> 00:02:18:	So it will also have time after each presentation for
00:02:18> 00:02:19:	Q&A.
00:02:19> 00:02:21:	So if you want to put your questions in the
00:02:21> 00:02:24:	chat box, we'll circle back with each speaker about your
00:02:24> 00:02:28:	questions and you're welcome to unmute during those discussions and
00:02:28> 00:02:29:	just chat with the speakers.
00:02:29> 00:02:32:	So I think that's a real benefit of having a
00:02:32> 00:02:34:	more informal environment like this.
00:02:34> 00:02:37:	And at the end, as I mentioned, we're gonna have
00:02:37> 00:02:40:	a group discussion about upcoming programming and potential projects we
00:02:40> 00:02:41:	could work on as a coalition.
00:02:41> 00:02:43:	So that should be great.
00:02:44> 00:02:46:	And with that, I'll turn it over to our first
00:02:46> 00:02:47:	speaker, Jonah.
00:02:49> 00:02:50:	Alright, thanks.
00:02:50> 00:02:50:	Thanks, Marianne.
00:02:52> 00:02:53:	Just share my screen here.
00:02:55> 00:02:57:	Alright, How's that?

00:02:57> 00:02:58:	Looks great.
00:02:58> 00:02:59:	That work good.
00:02:59> 00:03:01:	OK, well, thanks for having me.
00:03:01> 00:03:04:	I see a lot of familiar names on the call
00:03:04> 00:03:05:	and some new ones.
00:03:08> 00:03:09:	What I wanted to cover today.
00:03:09> 00:03:11:	And I, I'm aware that I'm going to be preaching
00:03:11> 00:03:13:	to the choir a little bit here.
00:03:14> 00:03:16:	Everyone here on the call is obviously here because they
00:03:16> 00:03:18:	believe in Watersmart developments.
00:03:19> 00:03:20:	But I think it's important that we have some of
00:03:20> 00:03:21:	that.
00:03:21> 00:03:24:	We have this conversation and that we sort of gauge
00:03:24> 00:03:27:	where EPA is coming from, why we have Watersense and
00:03:27> 00:03:31:	why Watersense is concerned with, with putting efficient homes out
00:03:31> 00:03:34:	into the marketplace from the time of construction to, to
00:03:34> 00:03:35:	begin with.
00:03:35> 00:03:38:	So like I said, I'm, I'm aware that I'm preaching
00:03:38> 00:03:40:	to the choir a little bit, but I hope it
00:03:40> 00:03:43:	will be helpful and I hope it maybe maybe helps
00:03:43> 00:03:45:	calibrate the conversation a little bit.
00:03:46> 00:03:49:	And again, you know, I'd remind everyone that EP, as
00:03:49> 00:03:52:	you could argue, EP as primary function is to enhance
00:03:52> 00:03:54:	the delivery of clean, healthy water.
00:03:55> 00:03:57:	You look at our budget, that's sort of the top
00:03:57> 00:03:58:	line item.
00:03:58> 00:04:00:	It outweighs just about everything else.
00:04:00> 00:04:02:	We spend money on here by about 50 times.
00:04:03> 00:04:05:	It's the best thing you can do for human health
00:04:05> 00:04:06:	and, and, and the environment.
00:04:06> 00:04:10:	And I think water, using water efficiently has to be
00:04:10> 00:04:12:	a big part of that.
00:04:12> 00:04:14:	So won't spend too much time on this.
00:04:14> 00:04:17:	But in case anyone's not familiar with Watersense, our job
00:04:18> 00:04:18:	is to save water.
00:04:19> 00:04:20:	That's what we're here to do.
00:04:21> 00:04:23:	These are the metrics that I take to my bosses
00:04:23> 00:04:23:	every year.
00:04:23> 00:04:26:	And I say, hey, This is why the invest the,
00:04:26> 00:04:30:	you know, few \$1,000,000 that you've invested in the
	Watersense

00:04:30> 00:04:33:	program is a good return for the agency, for the
00:04:33> 00:04:36:	taxpayers and for the country as all because we're being
00:04:36> 00:04:41:	effective at saving water, using it more efficiently and delivering.
00:04:42> 00:04:44:	I have to change my audio real quick.
00:04:44> 00:04:46:	Everyone get my headset to that.
00:04:56> 00:05:00:	All right, let me see if that'll, if that'll work.
00:05:01> 00:05:02:	I just put them in.
00:05:02> 00:05:04:	So I have no idea why they're about to die,
00:05:05> 00:05:05:	but anyway.
00:05:06> 00:05:08:	So for those of you who aren't familiar with us,
00:05:08> 00:05:10:	you can think of us as being like Energy Star.
00:05:10> 00:05:14:	But of course we focus on saving water, not saving
00:05:14> 00:05:14:	energy.
00:05:17> 00:05:19:	This is some of the places on our product labeling
00:05:19> 00:05:20:	system that you can find.
00:05:20> 00:05:22:	You can find the labour just like Energy Star.
00:05:22> 00:05:26:	We're probably better known for our product labeling program then
00:05:26> 00:05:29:	we are for our whole home label labeling program.
00:05:30> 00:05:32:	There's also, I think an important lesson I want to
00:05:32> 00:05:35:	pull out of here because on the last call, one
00:05:35> 00:05:37:	of the things I heard Jacob Patalis and KB Home
00:05:37> 00:05:40:	talk about was the need for some consistency and standards
00:05:40> 00:05:44:	across the different states, across the different jurisdictions, across the
00:05:44> 00:05:47:	different places that a builder like KB is trying to
00:05:47> 00:05:50:	build and adhere to the different, different policies.
00:05:51> 00:05:53:	And to be honest, that sounds really similar to me
00:05:53> 00:05:56:	to what we heard from the manufacturing industry back in
00:05:56> 00:05:59:	the back in the 2000s when we were first standing,
00:05:59> 00:06:00:	standing water stents up.
00:06:02> 00:06:05:	You know, we, we adopted federal standards for plumbing products
00:06:05> 00:06:06:	back in, back in the 90s.
00:06:07> 00:06:09:	Took us a few years to figure the figure those
00:06:09> 00:06:11:	out and start delivering high performing products.
00:06:11> 00:06:15:	But what we started to see was each individual jurisdiction
00:06:15> 00:06:19:	was developing their own criteria for efficient products because they
00:06:19> 00:06:20:	were driven to save water.
00:06:21> 00:06:23:	They saw plumbing products as, as a good way to
00:06:23> 00:06:24:	do way to do that.

00:06:24> 00:06:26:	But no one could really agree on what that on
00:06:26> 00:06:28:	what that what that meant.
00:06:28> 00:06:30:	And that did a couple of things that that had
00:06:30> 00:06:32:	a couple of downfalls for it.
00:06:32> 00:06:36:	Number one is we have a lot of water utilities,
00:06:36> 00:06:36:	right?
00:06:36> 00:06:40:	Yeah, tenfold the number that we do for energy utilities.
00:06:41> 00:06:44:	And in reality, it's really only the the largest ones
00:06:44> 00:06:47:	that are resourced well enough to develop those types of
00:06:47> 00:06:51:	those types of sort of product lists and, and policies
00:06:51> 00:06:54:	#2 it was driving the manufacturers insane because you
00.00.01	could
00:06:54> 00:06:57:	develop a product that met the criteria in one, in
00:06:57> 00:07:00:	one area, but it wouldn't meet the criteria in in
00:07:00> 00:07:01:	another area.
00:07:02> 00:07:04:	And I think the sort of the proof is in
00:07:04> 00:07:07:	the pudding here, right back in we started labeling products
00:07:07> 00:07:08:	in 2008.
00:07:08> 00:07:13:	Back then there were maybe 2025 high performing, high
	efficiency
00:07:13> 00:07:15:	toilets on the marketplace.
00:07:15> 00:07:18:	There's, there's hundreds or not thousands today because we haven't
00:07:18> 00:07:21:	agreed upon definition of what that, of what that means.
00:07:21> 00:07:24:	So like I said, I think the, the frustration I,
00:07:24> 00:07:26:	I, I heard from Jacob on the last calls failure
00:07:26> 00:07:27:	familiar to us.
00:07:27> 00:07:29:	And I think we're hearing it more and more from
00:07:29> 00:07:31:	the building and developing developer industry.
00:07:32> 00:07:35:	And that's a big reason why we have water sense
00:07:35> 00:07:35:	labeled homes.
00:07:35> 00:07:39:	It's the first national certification for water efficiency.
00:07:39> 00:07:41:	And part of what we're striving to do is to
00:07:41> 00:07:45:	provide a consistent and comprehensive approach to building
	efficient homes.
00:07:46> 00:07:47:	I want to be clear, I think a lot of
00:07:47> 00:07:50:	times when I talk about consistency across the whole country,
00:07:51> 00:07:53:	people read that as the house will be exactly the
00:07:53> 00:07:53:	same.
00:07:54> 00:07:56:	And that's obviously not the case, right?
00:07:56> 00:08:00:	You know, we have different climates, different markets,
	different sizes,

00:08:00> 00:08:02:	all of these things.
00:08:02> 00:08:05:	That's going to mean that different solutions are going to
00:08:05> 00:08:09:	yield different efficiencies in different in different scenarios.
00:08:10> 00:08:12:	We can adjust for that, right?
00:08:12> 00:08:16:	Water efficiency is highly variable, but at the same time
00:08:16> 00:08:20:	it's highly predictable across a handful of of easy to
00:08:20> 00:08:22:	understand, understand factors.
00:08:22> 00:08:25:	So that's really what we try to cue into, especially
00:08:25> 00:08:26:	with version 2.
00:08:27> 00:08:28:	We're in the second year of version 2.
00:08:28> 00:08:31:	Our goal is to reduce water use in homes by
00:08:31> 00:08:35:	at least 30% based on national codes and standards.
00:08:35> 00:08:37:	I'll talk a little bit more about that.
00:08:37> 00:08:40:	Like everything, we put the label on 3rd party certified
00:08:40> 00:08:43:	and we do our best to use the existing certification
00:08:43> 00:08:46:	infrastructure that's out there so that it can be as
00:08:46> 00:08:50:	as cost effective and seamless to the builders and
00.00.40> 00.00.50.	developers.
00:08:50> 00:08:52:	But at the same time, we oversee it as the
00:08:52> 00:08:53:	scheme holders.
00:08:53> 00:08:57:	So there's some credibility from the EP as involvement
	relative
00:08:57> 00:08:58:	to version one.
00:08:59> 00:09:00:	We fill it off.
00:09:00> 00:09:03:	There's a lot more flexibility and a lot more flexibility
00:09:03> 00:09:08:	without compromising on efficiency and response to
00:09:08> 00:09:09:	marketing climate changes a lot better.
00:09:09> 00:09:12:	So like I said, went to second year version 2
00:09:12> 00:09:14:	pretty happy with it so far and we just have
00:09:14> 00:09:14:	to see what the journey goes from here.
00:09:18> 00:09:22:	So why is building importance from a from a planning
00:09:22> 00:09:23:	perspective.
00:09:23> 00:09:26:	Well, of course we've all probably seen this scenario
00.09.25> 00.09.26.	Communities
00:09:26> 00:09:28:	have an interest in growing.
00:09:28> 00:09:30:	They wanna add to the population, they wanna add to
00:09:30> 00:09:33:	the tax base, they wanna expand the mayor, the City
00:09:33> 00:09:36:	Council, whoever it is, it's usually not the person in
00:09:36> 00:09:39:	an individual house because then we, so to get that
00:09:39> 00:09:42:	very NIMBY approach approach to things, but certainly from
	a
00:09:42> 00:09:44:	plan, from a plan perspective, people come in and say

00:09:45> 00:09:48:	we're gonna grow, we're gonna add people, we're gonna grow
00:09:48> 00:09:50:	the tax base, we're gonna do all these great things
00:09:50> 00:09:51:	for the city.
00:09:51> 00:09:53:	And on the planning side of things, we're sort of
00:09:54> 00:09:56:	sitting there like, well, we have 50,000 acre feet of
00:09:56> 00:09:56:	water.
00:09:58> 00:10:01:	There's always things we can do to get more water,
00:10:01> 00:10:03:	but it's expensive, right?
00:10:03> 00:10:06:	And unfortunately, we're sort of rapidly reaching the point where
00:10:06> 00:10:09:	all of the cheap things we can do to get
00:10:09> 00:10:11:	to get more water, they've sort of been exhausted.
00:10:12> 00:10:15:	So the traditional answer to planning has been very supply
00:10:15> 00:10:16:	side solutions.
00:10:16> 00:10:18:	Increasingly those are getting more and more more and more
00:10:19> 00:10:19:	difficult.
00:10:19> 00:10:22:	And it seems like that message tends to be lost
00:10:22> 00:10:24:	on our political leadership a lot, a lot of a
00:10:24> 00:10:25:	lot of the time.
00:10:26> 00:10:30:	So from an efficiency perspective, it's really just a matter
00:10:30> 00:10:34:	of given those limited resources, how many homes can we
00:10:34> 00:10:34:	fit right?
00:10:35> 00:10:38:	You know, based on what we've done traditionally, if we
00:10:38> 00:10:41:	were to build just sort of stock house, you know
00:10:41> 00:10:43:	that maybe on 1/4 acre lot use 146 K gal
00:10:43> 00:10:46:	per year, that's only a couple of homes per acre
00:10:46> 00:10:48:	foot of of water of water per year.
00:10:48> 00:10:51:	Whereas the lower we can get that number, we can
00:10:52> 00:10:54:	get that all the way up to 6-7, even 8-9
00:10:54> 00:10:57:	homes per acre foot of water, we can stretch that
00:10:58> 00:11:00:	50,000 acre feet a lot far, a lot further.
00:11:02> 00:11:05:	And again, I think water is sort of at a
00:11:05> 00:11:08:	critical point right now because it's really, I like to
00:11:08> 00:11:11:	say at the center of three crises #1 again, reaching
00:11:12> 00:11:14:	to the choir here, but we have drought.
00:11:15> 00:11:18:	This map looks a lot better than it did six
00:11:18> 00:11:18:	months ago.
00:11:19> 00:11:22:	Luckily, we no longer have sort of the entire West
00:11:22> 00:11:23:	in a state of drought.
00:11:24> 00:11:26:	You can see, you know, up and down the Colorado
00:11:26> 00:11:26:	River.
00:11:26> 00:11:28:	We're still not looking, looking so good.

00:11:28> 00:11:31:	And of course, what we all know that the maybe
00:11:31> 00:11:34:	the general public doesn't know yet is that drought isn't
00:11:34> 00:11:35:	just a concern for the West.
00:11:37> 00:11:39:	I'm sitting here in Washington, DC.
00:11:40> 00:11:42:	You can see some discoloration around around that.
00:11:43> 00:11:43:	Well, guess what?
00:11:43> 00:11:47:	We're on emergency water supplies right in, in Washington,
	DC.
00:11:48> 00:11:50:	If you go outside in the street and you start
00:11:50> 00:11:52:	asking people, I'm guessing not many people know that.
00:11:52> 00:11:55:	But ultimately we're going to pay for that, right?
00:11:55> 00:11:56:	We're not, it's not, we're not seeing it on our
00:11:56> 00:11:57:	water bills right now.
00:11:58> 00:12:02:	No one's instituting mandatory reductions and mandatory efficiency.
00:12:02> 00:12:06:	But ultimately the system's incurring greater cost because we're on
00:12:06> 00:12:09:	those, because drought has pushed us to those secondary sources
00:12:09> 00:12:12:	and they're more expensive for us to support.
00:12:12> 00:12:15:	So drought's been an issue in the past.
00:12:15> 00:12:17:	We're getting a little bit of a repeat that W
00:12:17> 00:12:18:	it's going to come back.
00:12:18> 00:12:20:	It's a, it's a state of crisis for sure.
00:12:22> 00:12:24:	The other is infrastructure, right?
00:12:24> 00:12:27:	The reason we're gonna incur all those additional cost in
00:12:27> 00:12:30:	Washington, DC is because our infrastructure is set up to
00:12:30> 00:12:31:	take water from the Potomac.
00:12:31> 00:12:34:	We can take water from the, from the emergency sources,
00:12:34> 00:12:37:	thankfully, but it's more intensive 'cause our infrastructure isn't set
00:12:37> 00:12:38:	up for that.
00:12:39> 00:12:42:	We could go on and on about different stats.
00:12:42> 00:12:45:	I put a couple up here, you know, 12,000 mile
00:12:45> 00:12:48:	of pipes, pipes that we replace in 2020.
00:12:49> 00:12:52:	The American Society of Civil Engineers has this quote that
00:12:52> 00:12:55:	they believe our, our water utilities are operating about \$50
00:12:55> 00:12:56:	billion beyond capital.
00:12:56> 00:13:01:	So essentially fifty, \$50 billion a year in the red
00:13:01> 00:13:04:	for, for our, for our water utilities.
00:13:04> 00:13:07:	But I think the staff that really sort of makes
00:13:07> 00:13:10:	it sort of makes it hit home is something that
00:13:10> 00:13:11:	you've probably all seen.

00:13:11> 00:13:13:	It's, it's the cost of water, right?
00:13:13> 00:13:15:	So most of us have probably seen a, a chart
00:13:15> 00:13:17:	like this or, or something like it.
00:13:17> 00:13:20:	Water and sewer rates have been going up way faster
00:13:20> 00:13:21:	than other commodities.
00:13:21> 00:13:24:	But again, the thing I'd stress is that this is
00:13:24> 00:13:27:	being driven not by water as a, as a commodity
00:13:27> 00:13:31:	that's valuable, but by infrastructure that that's what's pushing cost
00:13:32> 00:13:33:	of cost, cost of water up.
00:13:34> 00:13:37:	So any burden we have from drought stress, climate change,
00:13:37> 00:13:39:	that's only going to make make things worse.
00:13:40> 00:13:43:	And then finally, the the third crisis is housing, right?
00:13:43> 00:13:45:	Let's be honest, we are in a housing crisis.
00:13:46> 00:13:49:	If we look at the number between 2010 and 2020,
00:13:49> 00:13:51:	the number of households that we've added.
00:13:51> 00:13:53:	And again, this goes up and down based on various
00:13:53> 00:13:56:	things that's happening with the economy and the country, country
00:13:56> 00:13:57:	as a whole.
00:13:57> 00:14:00:	But every year we add a certain number of households
00:14:00> 00:14:02:	because we're still a growing country.
00:14:02> 00:14:05:	And the answers are we actually don't add as many
00:14:05> 00:14:05:	housing.
00:14:06> 00:14:08:	So for this 10 year.
00:14:08> 00:14:11:	We added about 4 million more households than we did
00:14:11> 00:14:13:	than we did housing units.
00:14:14> 00:14:16:	When we talk about the cost of housing, economists tell
00:14:17> 00:14:18:	us a big part of that is that we, we're
00:14:18> 00:14:20:	actually at a deficit for housing.
00:14:20> 00:14:21:	And This is why, right?
00:14:21> 00:14:23:	It's very, it's pretty simple economics.
00:14:23> 00:14:26:	We are adding more households than we all have than
00:14:26> 00:14:27:	we are housing units to house.
00:14:29> 00:14:31:	The other side of this that I, I, I also
00:14:31> 00:14:33:	like like to point out is that this line is
00:14:33> 00:14:37:	actually just saying productivity in the building industry.
00:14:37> 00:14:41:	It 2006 reference at 100 and you can see the
00:14:41> 00:14:44:	line just kind of floats around 100.
00:14:44> 00:14:48:	We're we're essentially no more productive at or more no
00:14:48> 00:14:52:	more efficient at building homes today than we were a
00:14:52> 00:14:53:	couple decades ago.

00:14:53> 00:14:54:	And that's a big problem.
00:14:55> 00:14:57:	So I guess I know I don't want to present
00:14:57> 00:15:00:	this as just, oh, the solution is just to build
00:15:00> 00:15:03:	houses because we have some problems doing that in a
00:15:03> 00:15:04:	way as well.
00:15:05> 00:15:08:	So said what, what, what they the thing that connects
00:15:08> 00:15:11:	these three crises is water sitting right there in the
00:15:11> 00:15:11:	middle.
00:15:12> 00:15:15:	The only way we can address the housing crisis is
00:15:15> 00:15:17:	is to build more houses.
00:15:17> 00:15:21:	But that only puts more stress on the limited infrastructure
00:15:21> 00:15:24:	and the limited water supplies that that we have from
00:15:24> 00:15:24:	drought.
00:15:25> 00:15:28:	How do we reconcile those all, all of those things?
00:15:30> 00:15:34:	So from our perspective, water sense labeled homes is is
00:15:34> 00:15:36:	is is a, is a good way to go, go
00:15:36> 00:15:38:	about that, what we want to do.
00:15:39> 00:15:41:	And to be clear, we will certify existing homes.
00:15:41> 00:15:43:	But like a lot of green, like a lot of
00:15:43> 00:15:46:	building certifications, our bread and butter is new
	construction.
00:15:46> 00:15:47:	That's where we see most of our activity.
00:15:48> 00:15:51:	And it makes a lot of sense because new construction
00:15:51> 00:15:52:	is the natural intervention.
00:15:53> 00:15:57:	It's our single best opportunity to get maximum efficiency into
00:15:57> 00:16:01:	a home for minimal incremental cost and then yield those
00:16:01> 00:16:05:	savings throughout throughout the life of the home.
00:16:06> 00:16:09:	Compared to retrofit programs which we've done for a long
00:16:09> 00:16:09:	time.
00:16:09> 00:16:12:	And we, we see really great, great results that you're
00:16:12> 00:16:15:	always going to spend more money trying to replace
00:46:45 > 00:46:46:	products that have already been installed
00:16:15> 00:16:16: 00:16:16> 00:16:20:	that have already been installed. Then you will by installing, then installing solutions from, from
00:16:20> 00:16:20:	the get go.
00:16:22> 00:16:24:	Even if there are things that that are, that are
00:16:24> 00:16:26:	possible to change out, like plumbing, like plumbing products.
00:16:27> 00:16:30:	The situation we really want to avoid, and unfortunately we're
00:16:30> 00:16:33:	seeing more and more of it these days is, you
00:16:33> 00:16:36:	know, if you're going out and you're doing turf replacement
00:16:36> 00:16:39:	in one location and a few miles away, turf is
00:16:39> 00:16:41:	going in for the first time to, to a new
00:16:41> 00:16:45:	home, that's a problem because it's a pretty ineffective

solution 00:16:45 --> 00:16:47: for the, for the community. 00:16:48 --> 00:16:49: We're never going to catch up doing that. 00:16:50 --> 00:16:52: We have to figure out a way to get as 00:16:52 --> 00:16:55: much efficiency as possible into the homes at the time, at the time of construction. 00:16:55 --> 00:16:56: 00:16:57 --> 00:16:59: Like I said, that's our best chance. 00:17:01 --> 00:17:03: Now on the other side of things for builders and, 00:17:03 --> 00:17:06: and, and developers, and we heard a lot about this 00:17:06 --> 00:17:08: last time, I think there's also a lot of benefits 00:17:08 --> 00:17:10: to, to building water efficient homes or to building water 00:17:10 --> 00:17:12: efficient to building homes. 00:17:13 --> 00:17:15: First and foremost, I think it's important we not lose 00:17:15 --> 00:17:18: sight of that we're adding value when we build a 00:17:18 --> 00:17:19: water efficient home, right? 00:17:19 --> 00:17:22: We're, we're adding value to the resident, to the homeowner. 00:17:22 --> 00:17:23: It's going to result in cost savings. 00:17:23 --> 00:17:25: We can increase comfort. 00:17:25 --> 00:17:28: We can deliver more quality in a water sense labeled 00:17:28 --> 00:17:31: home than you might get from a, from a conventional 00:17:31 --> 00:17:33: home down down the street. 00:17:34 --> 00:17:36: We've seen, of course, that water is increasingly an important 00:17:36 --> 00:17:38: part of the land, land entitlement process. 00:17:39 --> 00:17:41: And another thing that we saw touched on a little 00:17:41 --> 00:17:44: bit in our last meeting is this concept of ESG 00:17:44 --> 00:17:45: reporting. 00:17:48 --> 00:17:51: These days, it seems like ESG has become sort of 00:17:51 --> 00:17:54: synonymous with just feel good sustainability reports. 00:17:54 --> 00:17:57: But I think it's, it's important to remember that at 00:17:58 --> 00:18:01: its core, ESG is meant to protect investors, right? 00:18:01 --> 00:18:04: So it's, it's not meant to show that companies are 00:18:04 --> 00:18:06: doing so much good for the environment per SE or 00:18:06 --> 00:18:08: for the, for the community per SE. 00:18:08 --> 00:18:12: It's meant to protect investors from the risk of doing 00:18:12 --> 00:18:17: something so horrible for the environment that it, that it, 00:18:17 --> 00:18:18: that it blows back on you. Not using water resources efficiently in parts of the country 00:18:19 --> 00:18:23: 00:18:23 --> 00:18:26: where the need to do so has become very apparent,

00:18:33 --> 00:18:36: as a risk to continuing to operate.

00:18:26 --> 00:18:29:

00:18:30 --> 00:18:33:

like the Southwest and in the Colorado River basin, is

increasingly seen by investors as a threat to their business,

00:18:36> 00:18:38:	And I think that's very, that's very, that's a very
00:18:38> 00:18:39:	easy case to make.
00:18:41> 00:18:43:	The other part of ESG that I, that I'd like
00:18:43> 00:18:46:	to highlight is that there's a lot of talk about
00:18:46> 00:18:50:	decarbonization in the building industry right now.
00:18:50> 00:18:54:	And water 100% has to be part of that conversation
00:18:54> 00:18:58:	because we use huge quantities of energy to support our
00:18:58> 00:18:59:	homes with water, right.
00:19:00> 00:19:03:	So these are just some some really sort of general
00:19:03> 00:19:04:	national numbers.
00:19:05> 00:19:08:	You know, where we get the water from extraction is
00:19:08> 00:19:10:	going to influence the amount of energy that we have
00:19:10> 00:19:13:	conveyance, how far we have to transport it, what we
00:19:13> 00:19:15:	have to do, what the quality is, what we have
00:19:15> 00:19:16:	to do to treat it.
00:19:16> 00:19:19:	And then what it takes to deliver that to customers
00:19:20> 00:19:22:	is going to vary based on to rain from from
00:19:23> 00:19:24:	utility to utility.
00:19:24> 00:19:29:	A really conservative national average would be something around 600,
00:19:29> 00:19:32:	seventy, 674 kilowatt hours per acre foot is what is
00:19:32> 00:19:36:	what we use, again, pretty conservative national average.
00:19:36> 00:19:39:	These numbers on a whole are actually going up.
00:19:40> 00:19:43:	And the reason that we see them going up over
00:19:44> 00:19:47:	time is that as you move to the secondary sources,
00:19:47> 00:19:49:	well, guess what?
00:19:49> 00:19:52:	They're further away, they're deeper in the ground.
00:19:52> 00:19:54:	They have lower quality and require more treatment to bring
00:19:54> 00:19:56:	them, to bring them up to the quality where they
00:19:56> 00:19:57:	can, where they can be delivered.
00:19:58> 00:20:02:	All of those things increase the embedded energy in in
00:20:02> 00:20:02:	water.
00:20:02> 00:20:04:	Of course, once we deliver it to home, we have
00:20:04> 00:20:07:	to know where the water goes to know what's really
00:20:07> 00:20:08:	happening with its footprint.
00:20:09> 00:20:11:	If it, if it's, you know, if it's used for
00:20:11> 00:20:15:	something like outdoor irrigation that has other concerns in terms
00:20:15> 00:20:18:	of storm water and and run off and things.
00:20:18> 00:20:20:	It's sort of the end of its story as far
00:20:20> 00:20:22:	as we're concerned in the water system for now.
00:20:22> 00:20:24:	If it goes down the drain, of course we have

00:20:24> 00:20:25:	to deal with it as wastewater.
00:20:25> 00:20:29:	And if it's heated, that's another potential huge sink of
00:20:29> 00:20:32:	energy heating water because we're doing all this great work
00:20:32> 00:20:35:	getting heat pumps and more efficient water heaters out
	there.
00:20:35> 00:20:39:	That number is actually going down wastewater treatment.
00:20:39> 00:20:43:	Again, that number unfortunately is going up 800 kilowatt hours.
00:20:43> 00:20:45:	Breaker foot is what we use as a as an
00:20:45> 00:20:51:	estimate nationally because we're asking people, we're asking wastewater treatment
00:20:51> 00:20:54:	plants to meet higher standards for discharge.
00:20:54> 00:20:58:	This value 800 kWh kilowatt hours per acre foot.
00:20:58> 00:21:01:	Again, it's, it's moving in the wrong, the wrong direction.
00:21:01> 00:21:04:	So you Add all this up and again, this is
00:21:05> 00:21:08:	a actually a pretty big slice of our national energy
00:21:09> 00:21:09:	grid.
00:21:09> 00:21:11:	So it's easy to lose track of.
00:21:11> 00:21:13:	I think we talked about it at a really high
00:21:13> 00:21:16:	level a lot, this connection between water, energy and carbon.
00:21:16> 00:21:20:	But it's, it's very real, it's very measurable.
00:21:21> 00:21:23:	And like I said, if we're serious about decarbonizing the
00:21:23> 00:21:25:	economy, it has to be part of the conversation.
00:21:28> 00:21:31:	So shifting topics to water sense labeled homes a little
00:21:31> 00:21:33:	bit, of course, I'm starting to run out of time.
00:21:36> 00:21:38:	As I mentioned before, we're in version 2.
00:21:38> 00:21:41:	I like to break out the requirements for version 2
00:21:41> 00:21:41:	into sort of two.
00:21:42> 00:21:45:	We have the technical requirements, what homes have to actually
00:21:45> 00:21:45:	meet.
00:21:46> 00:21:48:	And then we have a number of documents that help
00:21:48> 00:21:50:	us govern the certifications.
00:21:50> 00:21:55:	So how home certification organizations or HCO conduct themselves, as
00:21:55> 00:21:58:	well as the protocols we use as the scheme holder
00:21:58> 00:22:02:	water sense to make sure that they're doing a good
00:22:02> 00:22:04:	a good job and that they have a tool to
00:22:04> 00:22:08:	effectively differentiate homes that save enough water.
00:22:08> 00:22:11:	And I'll, I'll talk a little bit more about that,
00:22:11> 00:22:13:	but I think the best thing will probably be to
00:22:13> 00:22:16:	hear Mike talk about, about words and, and what they

00:22:16> 00:22:17:	do within the Green Builder Coalition.
00:22:20> 00:22:21:	So we do have this mandatory checklist.
00:22:21> 00:22:23:	So very minimal.
00:22:23> 00:22:26:	Every home, no matter what HCO they use, no matter
00:22:26> 00:22:29:	where their home is being built, no matter what other
00:22:29> 00:22:32:	features they might choose to incorporate to make it efficient,
00:22:32> 00:22:36:	has to include these features, Water Sense labeled plumbing products
00:22:36> 00:22:38:	and a pretty basic leak detection.
00:22:39> 00:22:42:	The reason we require Water Sense label plumbing products isn't
00:22:42> 00:22:45:	necessarily 'cause they save water, although they definitely do.
00:22:46> 00:22:48:	It's because we do have the third, a third party
00:22:48> 00:22:51:	certification for performance in addition to efficiency.
00:22:52> 00:22:54:	So we have tests in place that make sure if
00:22:54> 00:22:56:	a, if a toilet is going to have the water
00:22:56> 00:22:58:	sense label on it, it's actually been tested to make
00:22:58> 00:23:00:	sure it flushes the way a toilet should flush.
00:23:01> 00:23:02:	Same with shower heads.
00:23:03> 00:23:05:	It will actually deliver the kind of force that users
00:23:05> 00:23:06:	expect to have.
00:23:07> 00:23:08:	Same thing with leaks.
00:23:09> 00:23:13:	Would you consider a, a brand new water efficient home
00:23:13> 00:23:15:	that leaks to be high performing?
00:23:15> 00:23:16:	I would argue no.
00:23:17> 00:23:19:	You might argue that that doesn't happen.
00:23:19> 00:23:21:	We, to be honest with you, we fail homes for
00:23:21> 00:23:22:	failing.
00:23:22> 00:23:26:	We fail homes for not needing the leak protection protocol
00:23:26> 00:23:27:	all the time.
00:23:27> 00:23:29:	So these are brand new homes that are about to
00:23:29> 00:23:32:	be delivered to a homeowner in a matter of days
00:23:32> 00:23:35:	and they cannot demonstrate that they're that they're leak free.
00:23:36> 00:23:37:	That's obviously a problem.
00:23:38> 00:23:41:	- 1
	So yes, you do get credit for all this towards
00:23:41> 00:23:43:	the efficiency requirements.
00:23:43> 00:23:45:	But like I said, that's not really why we have
00:23:45> 00:23:47:	the mandatory checklist.
00:23:47> 00:23:49:	We have it because we want to make sure that
00:23:49> 00:23:53:	Watterson's global homes meet a basic measure of performance and

00:23:53> 00:23:57:	satisfaction in addition to to delivering on efficiency.
00:23:59> 00:24:01:	So of course your question is probably going to be,
00:24:02> 00:24:03:	well, how do I measure 30%?
00:24:03> 00:24:05:	And it's a little tricky.
00:24:05> 00:24:09:	We actually do allow each of the individual HC OS
00:24:09> 00:24:13:	to develop their own, what we call methodology, their own
00:24:13> 00:24:16:	tool, their own rating system.
00:24:16> 00:24:19:	It could be a check, a prescriptive checklist, but most
00:24:19> 00:24:20:	of the time it takes the form of a of
00:24:20> 00:24:22:	a rating system or a calculator.
00:24:23> 00:24:25:	The reason that we do that is that we want
00:24:26> 00:24:30:	to seamlessly fold Watersense in to whatever certifications are already
00:24:30> 00:24:31:	happened.
00:24:32> 00:24:36:	So especially since we're looking at things like the land,
00:24:36> 00:24:40:	the installed landscape, the irrigation we need the utilities on
00:24:40> 00:24:45:	for from a, from a builder or developer perspective, that's
00:24:45> 00:24:46:	a razor thin margin, right?
00:24:46> 00:24:49:	I mean, you might have days to just a couple
00:24:49> 00:24:54:	of hours in between when everything's ready for inspection to
00:24:54> 00:24:57:	when the home's going to be delivered to A, to
00:24:57> 00:24:58:	a home buyer.
00:24:58> 00:25:00:	We have to get that down as we have to
00:25:00> 00:25:03:	minimize the point of contact as much as possible.
00:25:04> 00:25:07:	So that's why we afford those, the HC OS that,
00:25:07> 00:25:08:	that flexibility.
00:25:09> 00:25:11:	That being said, we keep pretty tight controls on them.
00:25:11> 00:25:14:	And, and again, Mike can attest to this as one
00:25:14> 00:25:18:	of our HC OS, we're not particularly nice about it.
00:25:18> 00:25:20:	We're, we're pretty strict in terms because we want to
00:25:20> 00:25:23:	make sure that if the home has the water sense
00:25:23> 00:25:25:	label on it, we know it's going to deliver on
00:25:25> 00:25:26:	this savings for the home.
00:25:29> 00:25:32:	Here's a quick look at, at our currently approved HC
00:25:32> 00:25:32:	OS.
00:25:33> 00:25:35:	We have one that only operates in California, which is
00:25:35> 00:25:37:	chairs and then we have three that operate nationally.
00:25:38> 00:25:42:	In addition to Mike at Green Builder Coalition, we have
00:25:42> 00:25:46:	NGBS Green at Home Innovations who's mostly known for
	the
00:25:46> 00:25:50:	NGBS certification and Resnet with their HERS H2O program who's
00:25:50> 00:25:54:	mostly known for the HERS energy rating system.

00:25:57> 00:25:59:	So how much water do we save with a, with
00:25:59> 00:26:00:	a water sense labeled home?
00:26:01> 00:26:03:	I use this sort of composite average home.
00:26:03> 00:26:07:	So I, this is just the most statistically average home
00:26:07> 00:26:09:	that I can, I can make if I put it
00:26:09> 00:26:12:	in Salt Lake on a like about 1/4 acre lot,
00:26:12> 00:26:15:	If I build it just to code, cause again, this
00:26:15> 00:26:17:	is a, this is a pretty big lot.
00:26:18> 00:26:21:	That home would probably use about 100, close to 160,000
00:26:21> 00:26:23:	gallons of water per year.
00:26:23> 00:26:27:	The mandatory checklist does have significant savings, right?
00:26:27> 00:26:31:	All those, the Watersense label products and the leak detection,
00:26:31> 00:26:33:	it's about 5 to 13% savings.
00:26:34> 00:26:36:	A lot of times people will people in states like
00:26:36> 00:26:40:	California that actually even go beyond Watersense levels and the
00:26:40> 00:26:43:	plumbing products will, will kind of say, well, you know,
00:26:43> 00:26:46:	there's really no point because we're already above Watersense levels.
00:26:47> 00:26:49:	Well, plumbing products are only going to get you so
00:26:49> 00:26:50:	far.
00:26:50> 00:26:53:	So yeah, it can take you a little bit further
00:26:53> 00:26:56:	up, but you're really not pushing the envelope too much
00:26:56> 00:27:00:	from just the mandatory checklist as opposed to taking the
00:27:00> 00:27:03:	full water sense labeled home 30% savings taking us all
00:27:03> 00:27:06:	the way down to about 111,000 of of water per
00:27:06> 00:27:07:	year.
00:27:09> 00:27:12:	I think Jacob mentioned this study as as well.
00:27:12> 00:27:16:	Last time the study we did with Southern Nevada, I
00:27:16> 00:27:19:	think I saw Patrick on earlier the Southern Nevada Water
00:27:19> 00:27:23:	Authority, what we piloted version 2 and and we found
00:27:23> 00:27:26:	that, yeah, turns out this works right when we when
00:27:26> 00:27:30:	we use these tools, when we use these approved
00:27:30> 00:27:31:	methodologies.
00:27:31> 00:27:34:	And we asked, we asked the builders to design homes
00:27:34> 00:27:36:	that we think are going to consistently save 30%.
00:27:37> 00:27:40:	Yeah, they, they use a, you know, a pretty small
00:27:40> 00:27:41:	amount of water.
00:27:41> 00:27:44:	You remember from that, that previous slide, a lot of
00:27:44> 00:27:47:	times in the West we might be looking at getting
00:27:47> 00:27:50:	a like even today, 3 to 4 acres acre feet

00:27:50> 00:27:52:	of water, homes per acre foot of water.
00:27:53> 00:27:55:	We're able to get these up to the point where
00:27:55> 00:27:58:	we're getting 7 1/2 homes per year with an acre
00:27:58> 00:27:59:	with an acre foot of water.
00:28:01> 00:28:03:	I think it tells you even more if you look
00:28:03> 00:28:05:	at it compared to some other sources.
00:28:05> 00:28:09:	So we have the residential end uses of water study
00:28:09> 00:28:13:	it used about it had the average gallons per home
00:28:13> 00:28:16:	per year at about 146,000 gallons per year.
00:28:17> 00:28:21:	We did have some nice studies from from Southern Nevada
00:28:21> 00:28:25:	water Authority looking at typical new construction chatted about 129
00:28:25> 00:28:26:	K gal per year.
00:28:27> 00:28:30:	The homes we did have this sort of big body
00:28:30> 00:28:34:	of knowledge from the water smart homes program which was
00:28:34> 00:28:37:	a local program they ran for a lot of years
00:28:37> 00:28:41:	which told us that new homes built in 2008 2009
00:28:41> 00:28:43:	use just under 100,000 gallons.
00:28:44> 00:28:47:	Whereas the water smart homes, which included all of the
00:28:47> 00:28:50:	water Sense label labeled plumbing products were down to 94,
00:28:50> 00:28:54:	but compared to the water Sense labeled homes from the
00:28:54> 00:28:55:	pilot all the way down to 53.
00:28:56> 00:28:58:	So I think a lot of people really impressed with
00:28:58> 00:29:02:	these numbers, but also it's important to point out it's
00:29:02> 00:29:05:	just confirming what the modeling told us, right?
00:29:05> 00:29:07:	If you go back to that slide I said about
00:29:07> 00:29:09:	about Salt Lake, that modeling told us the same thing
00:29:09> 00:29:10:	would happen.
00:29:10> 00:29:13:	That if we take the sort of whole house approach
00:29:13> 00:29:15:	and we try to identify where the savings is to
00:29:16> 00:29:18:	be had based on, yes, the climate, but also the
00:29:18> 00:29:21:	size of the lot, size of the footprint of the
00:29:21> 00:29:24:	home, the different technologies that are going into it.
00:29:24> 00:29:27:	That's where we really get the significant water savings.
00:29:27> 00:29:30:	We, we saw the same thing in energy 2025 years
00:29:30> 00:29:33:	ago as we moved more away from sort of product
00:29:33> 00:29:36:	and light bulbs to whole house approaches and whole building
00:29:36> 00:29:37:	approaches.
00:29:41> 00:29:42:	And again, just to show you, as I, as I
00:29:43> 00:29:45:	mentioned before, water use is highly variable, but it's also

00:29:47 --> 00:29:49: And what I mean by that is if you ask 00:29:49 --> 00:29:52: me to predict the water consumption of a particular home, 00:29:52 --> 00:29:55: I'm not super confident in my ability to do that. 00:29:56 --> 00:29:58: If you give me 100 homes and you ask me 00:29:58 --> 00:30:01: to predict their water use and ask me if I'm 00:30:01 --> 00:30:04: confident that I can predict which homes are going to 00:30:04 --> 00:30:07: use more or less water, then yeah, I can do 00:30:07 --> 00:30:09: a pretty good job of that. 00:30:09 --> 00:30:10: You're always going to have. 00:30:10 --> 00:30:13: So this is the actual metered consumption on the Y 00:30:13 --> 00:30:16: with the predicted consumption on the X. 00:30:16 --> 00:30:18: If we did a perfect job, this would be a 00:30:18 --> 00:30:21: completely, this would be a completely diagonal line. 00:30:21 --> 00:30:24: We don't expect that, but we get a pretty good 00:30:24 --> 00:30:26: trend along with some scatter. 00:30:27 --> 00:30:28: Again, we should expect these. 00:30:28 --> 00:30:30: They're not even really outliers. 00:30:30 --> 00:30:32: They're just sort of the normal variability that we see. 00:30:32 --> 00:30:35: You're always going to get these really high users. 00:30:36 --> 00:30:36: Why? 00:30:37 --> 00:30:37: It depends. 00:30:38 --> 00:30:41: A lot of times it's because there's a business operating 00:30:41 --> 00:30:41: out of that house. 00:30:42 --> 00:30:45: Sometimes it's because you've got like just a really green 00:30:45 --> 00:30:48: thumb who's, you know, it's just like watering their lawn 00:30:48 --> 00:30:49: all the time. 00:30:50 --> 00:30:52: And on the other side, you're going to get these 00:30:52 --> 00:30:53: really low users as well, right? 00:30:53 --> 00:30:56: Why then could because they're senior citizens who just don't 00:30:56 --> 00:31:00: use that much water, could be that they're second homes. 00:31:00 --> 00:31:02: All sorts of reasons come into play. 00:31:02 --> 00:31:04: That variability is going to be there. 00:31:04 --> 00:31:05: But if we look at the data set as a 00:31:05 --> 00:31:07: whole, we can do a pretty good job. 00:31:09 --> 00:31:11: And then I also just wanted to point out, you 00:31:11 --> 00:31:13: know, this can be implemented at a point. 00:31:13 --> 00:31:16: I grabbed a couple of examples from Central AZ where 00:31:16 --> 00:31:20: of course I think probably have a couple people from 00:31:20 --> 00:31:22: Central AZ on the call and can speak to this. 00:31:22 --> 00:31:25: A lot of concerns about what the future holds for 00:31:25 --> 00:31:29: that area and the availability of groundwater and Colorado

highly predictable.

00:29:46 --> 00:29:46:

	River
00:31:29> 00:31:29:	water.
00:31:31> 00:31:33:	If you think about this from sort of a a
00:31:33> 00:31:37:	planning perspective in the the sort of Arc and timeline,
00:31:37> 00:31:40:	you can do what Phoenix has done with the desert
00:31:40> 00:31:44:	city development policy, which essentially adopts water sense labeled homes
00:31:44> 00:31:48:	as a baseline best practice prior to the zoning process.
00:31:48> 00:31:51:	So that happens really early in the planning process.
00:31:52> 00:31:54:	Or you can do what CA PS done with the
00:31:54> 00:31:59:	groundwater replenishment district and they're simply rebating post certification.
00:31:59> 00:32:03:	The certification happens basically with the CEO.
00:32:04> 00:32:07:	If that's where you incentivize it, then you're all the
00:32:07> 00:32:09:	way at the other end of the of
00:32:09> 00:32:09:	the cycle.
00:32:10> 00:32:12:	It's really up to the up to you and up
00:32:12> 00:32:14:	to the local jurisdiction of what makes sense.
00:32:15> 00:32:17:	So my contact info I've got, I think Mary Ann,
00:32:17> 00:32:19:	everyone will get these slides.
00:32:20> 00:32:22:	OK, So I'm not going to go over them, but
00:32:22> 00:32:25:	if you scroll through a lot of information on the
00:32:25> 00:32:29:	different resources that we offer in Watersense and that that
00:32:29> 00:32:32:	hopefully can be helpful and can be useful to you
00:32:32> 00:32:34:	in your in your communities and your work.
00:32:34> 00:32:37:	Let me pause there because I've already gone a few
00:32:37> 00:32:38:	minutes over and I want to save time.
00:32:40> 00:32:41:	Thank you so much, Jonah.
00:32:41> 00:32:43:	This is so informative and I love all the data
00:32:43> 00:32:44:	that you're sharing as well.
00:32:44> 00:32:45:	That's so helpful.
00:32:46> 00:32:49:	Just in the interest of time, I think we'll go
00:32:49> 00:32:52:	to our next speaker and we'll hold Q&A for after
00:32:52> 00:32:53:	both presentations.
00:32:53> 00:32:56:	If you have any questions for Jonah, please put them
00:32:56> 00:32:58:	in the chat box so that you don't forget what
00:32:58> 00:33:01:	you want to ask and we'll make sure to loop
00:33:01> 00:33:02:	Jonah into that Q&A.
00:33:02> 00:33:03:	Mike, take it away.
00:33:05> 00:33:06:	All right, thank you much.
00:33:06> 00:33:09:	I'm going to share that screen one more time and
00:33:09> 00:33:12:	just give me a thumbs up, Marianne, when it's coming

00:33:12> 00:33:13:	through.
00:33:13> 00:33:13:	Looks good.
00:33:15> 00:33:15:	Thank you very much.
00:33:17> 00:33:19:	So the the title of my section is a bit
00:33:19> 00:33:23:	of a dad joke, although my children mispronounce it and
00:33:23> 00:33:24:	call it bad jokes.
00:33:25> 00:33:28:	But really I wanted to kind of talk a little
00:33:28> 00:33:29:	bit about what are water ratings?
00:33:29> 00:33:32:	So just kind of start at a macro level and
00:33:32> 00:33:34:	then we'll we'll get down to more of a micro
00:33:34> 00:33:36:	level of of words specifically.
00:33:38> 00:33:42:	So for those who aren't aware, water ratings are analysis
00:33:42> 00:33:46:	that are conducted on site by a third party, professional
00:33:46> 00:33:49:	third party, something that Jonah mentioned earlier.
00:33:50> 00:33:53:	They can be conducted on single family or multi family
00:33:53> 00:33:53:	properties.
00:33:54> 00:33:57:	And while they predict future water usage, I want to
00:33:58> 00:34:01:	go back to the word property because it's not just
00:34:01> 00:34:03:	looking at the structure.
00:34:03> 00:34:06:	It has to look at the lot line in the
00:34:06> 00:34:09:	entire property and what's going on there because we know
00:34:09> 00:34:13:	that outdoor usage typically is more than indoor uses in
00:34:13> 00:34:14:	most cases.
00:34:14> 00:34:16:	So it has to look at the entire property.
00:34:16> 00:34:19:	The other thing about water ratings, it's important to
	understand
00:34:19> 00:34:21:	is their performance based.
00:34:21> 00:34:25:	OK, so contrast to prescriptive, which is yes, no black
00:34:25> 00:34:26:	and white.
00:34:26> 00:34:27:	Did you do this or not?
00:34:28> 00:34:31:	Performance is a little bit more like an amoeba.
00:34:31> 00:34:35:	You know, it's it's not hard and fast.
00:34:35> 00:34:38:	Instead, it's kind of the culmination of an equation that
00:34:38> 00:34:40:	says, well, what did you do here?
00:34:40> 00:34:44:	What you do there, all this kind of sums up
00:34:44> 00:34:48:	into an equation and it gives you typically a score.
00:34:48> 00:34:49:	And we'll, we'll talk a little bit more about that
00:34:49> 00:34:50:	in a moment.
00:34:52> 00:34:57:	Inherently by themselves, water readings don't require anything other than,
00:34:57> 00:35:00:	you know, just, hey, here's what you did.
00:35:01> 00:35:04:	But as we will talk about, you can layer in

00:35:04> 00:35:08:	requirements, prescriptive requirements, you can layer in policies off of
00:35:08> 00:35:09:	them.
00:35:09> 00:35:10:	So there's a lot of different ways you can go
00:35:10> 00:35:11:	about this.
00:35:12> 00:35:15:	To give an example here, they could be incorporated into
00:35:15> 00:35:17:	codes or regulations.
00:35:17> 00:35:21:	Got some examples later on, financial incentives, even green building
00:35:21> 00:35:24:	programs because they are performance based.
00:35:24> 00:35:27:	So you could set the numbers depend upon what color
00:35:27> 00:35:30:	scheme your green building program may utilize.
00:35:31> 00:35:34:	So those are all real examples of things that have
00:35:34> 00:35:37:	happened where we could, I'm going to move this screen
00:35:38> 00:35:38:	out of my way.
00:35:38> 00:35:39:	Hold on, there we go.
00:35:41> 00:35:45:	Where we could see financial incentives, though we don't yet
00:35:45> 00:35:48:	would be things like reducing storm water impact fees, possibly
00:35:48> 00:35:52:	reducing tap fees, although there is some infrastructure costs built
00:35:52> 00:35:55:	into that that you maybe can't get reduced, but also
00:35:55> 00:35:57:	shortened permit review time.
00:35:57> 00:35:57:	Time is money.
00:35:58> 00:36:01:	So having this third party document brought to you and
00:36:01> 00:36:04:	showing you, hey, this is what this property is doing,
00:36:04> 00:36:06:	hopefully could be rewarded in some way.
00:36:08> 00:36:10:	Now, what kind of water rating include?
00:36:11> 00:36:12:	Well, they're going to include a lot of things.
00:36:13> 00:36:16:	You see the two columns there, the indoor and outdoor.
00:36:16> 00:36:17:	I'm not going to read them for you.
00:36:17> 00:36:19:	I'm going to give you a moment to go ahead
00:36:19> 00:36:20:	and check out that list.
00:36:21> 00:36:22:	And that list is not all-encompassing.
00:36:23> 00:36:25:	It really depends on the water rating.
00:36:25> 00:36:27:	John talked about there's, there's a handful of them out
00:36:27> 00:36:28:	there.
00:36:28> 00:36:30:	I think of the analogy kind of like cars.
00:36:31> 00:36:34:	So there's different cars you can buy, but even when
00:36:34> 00:36:37:	you do buy a car, you still have choices.
00:36:37> 00:36:40:	You have choices on, you know, what type of model
00:36:40> 00:36:43:	is it, the sport edition, the luxury edition?
00:36:44> 00:36:46:	And even then you have things like, well, you know,

00:36:46> 00:36:49:	what are the different option packages, the colors and all
00:36:49> 00:36:51:	sorts of things like that.
00:36:51> 00:36:54:	So you really have to kind of assess each water
00:36:54> 00:36:57:	rating and what does it do and what can have
00:36:57> 00:37:00:	a macro level look at what they can include.
00:37:02> 00:37:05:	And then on the output side of things, typically they're
00:37:05> 00:37:07:	going to have a score zero to 100.
00:37:07> 00:37:09:	And analogy I always say is it's just like golf,
00:37:09> 00:37:10:	the lower the better.
00:37:11> 00:37:12:	So that's how the score works.
00:37:12> 00:37:16:	And as I mentioned before, when it comes to like
00:37:16> 00:37:20:	green building programs or even codes and regulations or incentives,
00:37:20> 00:37:24:	those numbers that you ultimately set can be modified depending
00:37:24> 00:37:25:	upon the jurisdiction.
00:37:26> 00:37:29:	Jonah mentioned it early and I agree it can be
00:37:29> 00:37:33:	frustrating sometimes when there's very inconsistent hoops you have to
00:37:33> 00:37:34:	jump through requirements.
00:37:35> 00:37:39:	At the same time, different places may have different priorities.
00:37:39> 00:37:42:	Some may want to target irrigation more so some may
00:37:42> 00:37:42:	be indoor.
00:37:43> 00:37:46:	That's also kind of the beauty of water ratings is
00:37:47> 00:37:49:	you can make it work for you no matter what
00:37:49> 00:37:51:	it is you need to do.
00:37:52> 00:37:55:	The other thing on the output of a water rating
00:37:55> 00:37:58:	is not just the score, but also the projected water
00:37:58> 00:37:59:	usage.
00:37:59> 00:38:02:	And then when you layer in the billing rates of
00:38:02> 00:38:06:	the specific location, then you can get the projected water
00:38:06> 00:38:07:	costs with words.
00:38:07> 00:38:12:	We also put in the GPCD numbers based on either
00:38:12> 00:38:17:	anticipated occupants, which we base it off of bedrooms plus
00:38:17> 00:38:18:	one.
	one.
00:38:18> 00:38:20:	But if you're looking at an existing property and then
00:38:18> 00:38:20: 00:38:20> 00:38:23:	
	But if you're looking at an existing property and then
00:38:20> 00:38:23:	But if you're looking at an existing property and then you know how many occupants are there and that's the
00:38:20> 00:38:23: 00:38:23> 00:38:24:	But if you're looking at an existing property and then you know how many occupants are there and that's the assessment you're making at that time.
00:38:20> 00:38:23: 00:38:23> 00:38:24: 00:38:26> 00:38:30:	But if you're looking at an existing property and then you know how many occupants are there and that's the assessment you're making at that time. Also on the existing home side of things, you can

00:38:37> 00:38:38:	What's the net effect?
00:38:39> 00:38:41:	And you can play that what if game all day
00:38:41> 00:38:42:	long with a tool like a water rating.
00:38:43> 00:38:44:	So it's something else that we'll hit on a little
00:38:44> 00:38:45:	bit later.
00:38:46> 00:38:49:	I wanted to give people a kind of a glimpse
00:38:49> 00:38:52:	of what a rating report looks like rather than walk
00:38:52> 00:38:53:	you through all the inputs.
00:38:55> 00:38:58:	Ours this is this is an example of, of words
00:38:58> 00:39:01:	in the water rating report that we spit out.
00:39:01> 00:39:04:	The one thing you're not seeing is if, because there's
00:39:04> 00:39:07:	a screenshot, if I could scroll up, you would see
00:39:07> 00:39:10:	the indoor and then the outdoor broken out separately.
00:39:10> 00:39:14:	And then now here what I'm showing you is the
00:39:14> 00:39:17:	combined usage and then your estimated costs and then the
00:39:17> 00:39:19:	score that you get.
00:39:19> 00:39:22:	And we actually break out our scores based on whether
00:39:22> 00:39:24:	you reused or didn't reuse water.
00:39:25> 00:39:28:	And we broke those out from the beginning, but it
00:39:28> 00:39:28:	really paid off.
00:39:29> 00:39:32:	Because Jonah mentioned this earlier, I'll go ahead and, and,
00:39:32> 00:39:34:	and kind of give people a preview behind the curtain.
00:39:35> 00:39:39:	When we went through the HCO process with Watersense, it
00:39:39> 00:39:41:	was a pain in the butt.
00:39:41> 00:39:44:	I mean, it was, it was really, really challenging.
00:39:45> 00:39:47:	And it's no joke if you want to go through
00:39:47> 00:39:47:	that process.
00:39:49> 00:39:51:	But what helped us a little bit was because we
00:39:51> 00:39:55:	had built in those two different scores then depending upon
00:39:55> 00:39:59:	whether you reuse water or not, they really helped us
00:39:59> 00:40:02:	because when we applied and got approved, we were
	applied
00:40:02> 00:40:04:	for single family but without reuse.
00:40:05> 00:40:07:	So if you're going to go for water, since for
00:40:07> 00:40:09:	homes 2 point O and you're going to use words
00:40:09> 00:40:11:	single family, you can do it all day.
00:40:11> 00:40:14:	But if you're going to reuse water, that does not
00:40:14> 00:40:14:	help you.
00:40:15> 00:40:16:	We did not get that approval.
00:40:16> 00:40:19:	So you would be looking at the no reuse credit
00:40:19> 00:40:22:	score rather than with water reuse credit score.
00:40:23> 00:40:27:	Now, that doesn't mean that you can't reuse water.

00:40:27> 00:40:30:	OK, that's fine, you can, but it's just for waters
00:40:30> 00:40:31:	and for home purposes.
00:40:31> 00:40:33:	They're going to look at that other column down there.
00:40:35> 00:40:38:	There's another output that we have with our tool and
00:40:39> 00:40:41:	that is a construction report.
00:40:41> 00:40:44:	So I've actually given you a glimpse of an actual
00:40:44> 00:40:46:	real project out of New Mexico.
00:40:46> 00:40:49:	I blacked out the the address because I didn't ask
00:40:49> 00:40:53:	for permission, but you can kind of see here on
00:40:53> 00:40:54:	the construction report.
00:40:54> 00:40:56:	And this is something that could be utilized by a
00:40:56> 00:40:57:	variety of parties.
00:40:58> 00:41:00:	This could be utilized by a code official or a
00:41:00> 00:41:04:	code enforcement department, could be utilized by a utility, a
00:41:04> 00:41:07:	builder, designer, the homeowner, whoever wants to see this.
00:41:08> 00:41:10:	But it kind of lays out, and I'll go through
00:41:10> 00:41:11:	a couple of these images here.
00:41:11> 00:41:15:	It kind of lays out, OK, here's some pertinent information
00:41:15> 00:41:17:	relevant to this specific project.
00:41:18> 00:41:20:	And one of the things I'm going to hit on
00:41:20> 00:41:22:	is, is all the data that you get when you've
00:41:22> 00:41:24:	conducted water rating.
00:41:24> 00:41:27:	So you can kind of see here for this specific
00:41:27> 00:41:29:	project, different inputs.
00:41:30> 00:41:33:	I'm going to pretend like I'm scrolling down on the
00:41:33> 00:41:34:	construction report.
00:41:34> 00:41:37:	This is going to show you what these fixtures do
00:41:37> 00:41:38:	on the inside.
00:41:38> 00:41:41:	And then if we have other indoor fixtures, which this
00:41:41> 00:41:44:	particular project did not, then it's blank there.
00:41:44> 00:41:46:	But I do want to call your attention to the
00:41:46> 00:41:48:	tabs in the very bottom of that screenshot.
00:41:49> 00:41:53:	The words tool has quite a number of tabs, Indoor
00:41:53> 00:41:59:	outdoor project information, caption reuse, construction report, rating report.
00:41:59> 00:42:01:	We also have different tools built into the back of
00:42:01> 00:42:01:	our tool.
00:42:03> 00:42:06:	So the words tool itself, to get now to the
00:42:06> 00:42:10:	more the micro level is kind of a collection of
00:42:10> 00:42:14:	tools built into one tool to Scroll down one more.
00:42:15> 00:42:17:	This would be the end of the construction report.
00:42:17> 00:42:17:	This specific project did not use capture and reuse.
VV.72.11 7 VV.72.21.	The opcome project did not doe capture and redoc.

00:42:22 --> 00:42:23: You don't see anything there. 00:42:23 --> 00:42:26: I called out in that red circle there. 00:42:26 --> 00:42:29: The project cannot qualify for watersons 2 point O at 00:42:29 --> 00:42:29: this time. 00:42:30 --> 00:42:33: One of the things that we built into the words 00:42:33 --> 00:42:36: tool is a automated quality control check. 00:42:37 --> 00:42:41: So you fat finger a number after you entered in, 00:42:41 --> 00:42:43: let's say your lot size. But then later you add up all the things that 00:42:43 --> 00:42:46: 00:42:46 --> 00:42:48: are in that lot and it doesn't add up to 00:42:48 --> 00:42:50: the same lot number that you put in initially. 00:42:51 --> 00:42:54: It'll it'll red flag it and say, you know, this 00:42:54 --> 00:42:55: does not compute. 00:42:55 --> 00:42:56: This is not equal. 00:42:56 --> 00:42:57: So you got to go check something. 00:42:58 --> 00:43:01: That's just one example of what the automated quality control 00:43:01 --> 00:43:05: check can do, but specific here, it's calling out, hey, 00:43:05 --> 00:43:08: there's something that has happened in this project that this 00:43:08 --> 00:43:11: doesn't qualify for Watersense 2 point O at this time. 00:43:12 --> 00:43:15: Doesn't mean you can't go back and change something both 00:43:15 --> 00:43:17: on the project and in the verification. 00:43:17 --> 00:43:20: But at this time, you're not going to get Watersense 00:43:20 --> 00:43:21: 2 point O. 00:43:21 --> 00:43:26: So I mentioned a little bit about it's a collection 00:43:26 --> 00:43:29: of tools built into a tool. 00:43:29 --> 00:43:32: So whenever I kind of give a walk through of 00:43:32 --> 00:43:35: the words tool in settings just like this, I always 00:43:36 --> 00:43:39: tell people that my favorite function of the tool is 00:43:39 --> 00:43:41: the tank sizing function. 00:43:42 --> 00:43:44: Our tank sizing functionality goes both ways. 00:43:45 --> 00:43:48: What I mean by that is doesn't matter if you're 00:43:48 --> 00:43:52: doing rainwater, Gray water, black water, stormwater, site water, if 00:43:52 --> 00:43:56: you're collecting that water and you're, you're holding it for 00:43:56 --> 00:44:00: reuse purposes, our tool can do either of two things. 00:44:01 --> 00:44:04: You can tell it, I'm going to put in a 00:44:04 --> 00:44:06: cistern of 10,000 gallons. 00:44:07 --> 00:44:09: Let's just make up a number and you can tell 00:44:09 --> 00:44:09: the tool that. 00:44:09 --> 00:44:12: And then the tool will then say to you, OK, 00:44:12 --> 00:44:16: based on that collection, based on rainfall rates that are

So that's why you did.

00:42:21 --> 00:42:21:

00:44:16> 00:44:19:	re, you know, the number of occupants, the number of
00:44:19> 00:44:21:	fixtures and all this stuff.
00:44:22> 00:44:25:	Here's how you're going to be able to collect that
00:44:25> 00:44:29:	10,000 gallons, and here's then how it can offset however
00:44:29> 00:44:32:	you want to offset your potable water usage.
00:44:33> 00:44:36:	You can tell the tool I want to offset my
00:44:36> 00:44:39:	clothes washer and my irrigation.
00:44:40> 00:44:44:	OK, so then it's going to deduct the predicted usage
00:44:44> 00:44:49:	for those two functions, clothes washer and outside irrigation
	out
00:44:49> 00:44:52:	of the 10,000 gallons each month.
00:44:52> 00:44:54:	And it's going to go, OK, you're either going to
00:44:54> 00:44:56:	be fine or you're not going to have quite enough
00:44:56> 00:44:58:	or you're going to stop in the potable water.
00:44:59> 00:45:01:	But the tool can also work in reverse.
00:45:02> 00:45:06:	You can tell the tool, hey, I want to re
00:45:06> 00:45:10:	reuse water in such a way that it offsets my
00:45:10> 00:45:15:	clothes washer, my dishwasher, my toilets and irrigation and
	the
00:45:15> 00:45:18:	tool will go, OK, that's cool.
00:45:18> 00:45:21:	Based on what you have told me, this is how
00:45:21> 00:45:22:	much water you're going to need.
00:45:23> 00:45:26:	You're going to need to put in X tank size.
00:45:27> 00:45:30:	So we'll go either way on that other bonus kind
00:45:30> 00:45:32:	of tools that are in there.
00:45:32> 00:45:36:	It really helps with irrigation design because you can put
00:45:36> 00:45:40:	in all the different facets of your landscape from, you
00:45:40> 00:45:44:	know, trees and turf and any landscaping beds or bushes
00:45:44> 00:45:49:	that you're putting in, pervious and impervious surfaces, pools, are
00:45:49> 00:45:50:	they covered or not?
00:45:50> 00:45:54:	Is it gardens, cactus with all these different facets of
00:45:54> 00:45:56:	the landscape and put all that in.
00:45:56> 00:46:00:	And you can even put in the specifics of the
00:46:00> 00:46:06:	irrigation system, whether it's just one or you are hydrozoning.
00:46:06> 00:46:08:	And in this section, I'm going to use this type
00:46:08> 00:46:09:	of irrigation.
00:46:09> 00:46:10:	There's other section of the landscape.
00:46:10> 00:46:12:	I'm going to use the other type of irrigation system.
00:46:13> 00:46:13:	So you can really help.
00:46:14> 00:46:17:	It really helps to utilize if you're looking for something
00:46:17> 00:46:20:	for irrigation design, fixture modeling kind of the same way.
	<u>-</u>

00:46:22> 00:46:24:	And this would come into play probably a little bit
00:46:24> 00:46:27:	more with multifamily, which is one of the functionalities of
00:46:27> 00:46:27:	ours as well.
00:46:29> 00:46:32:	You're going to go build a multifamily project and you're
00:46:32> 00:46:35:	saying, well, we usually use these faucets, but what if
00:46:35> 00:46:36:	we change to this faucet?
00:46:37> 00:46:38:	Well, there you go.
00:46:38> 00:46:41:	Now you can kind of see the change in the
00:46:41> 00:46:43:	projected projected water usage.
00:46:43> 00:46:46:	If you just change out faucets, you could continue, I'm
00:46:46> 00:46:48:	going to change out shower heads, toilets, I'm going to
00:46:48> 00:46:50:	change out this, that and the other thing I'm going
00:46:50> 00:46:52:	to put in a research system, whatever it is you're
00:46:52> 00:46:52:	going to do.
00:46:53> 00:46:56:	This would allow you to kind of play around with
00:46:56> 00:46:56:	that.
00:46:56> 00:46:58:	And and then it brings me to water audits.
00:47:00> 00:47:04:	As you can probably ascertain by now, you're going to
00:47:04> 00:47:06:	get a lot of data on a project using a
00:47:06> 00:47:07:	water rig.
00:47:08> 00:47:11:	OK, specifically words to you're going to get a whole
00:47:11> 00:47:14:	lot because we're looking at every water usage on the
00:47:14> 00:47:15:	property.
00:47:15> 00:47:18:	And when I say every usage, I'd really mean that
00:47:18> 00:47:22:	because while we don't have benchmarks for efficiency for
	some
00:47:22> 00:47:25:	of the uses, we at least quantify it on a
00:47:25> 00:47:26:	one to one basis.
00:47:26> 00:47:28:	So we don't penalize you, we don't reward you.
00:47:29> 00:47:33:	And this is things from, you know, water dispenser in
00:47:33> 00:47:37:	your refrigerator to a sauna, an indoor fountain or pool,
00:47:37> 00:47:41:	you know, whatever water usage you can think of, what
00:47:41> 00:47:44:	we've got a way to to quantify it.
00:47:45> 00:47:48:	So bottom line, you're going to get data, data and
00:47:48> 00:47:51:	more data, whether it's you're coming at it from a
00:47:51> 00:47:56:	utility standpoint, A municipality standpoint, maybe you're a builder, maybe
00:47:56> 00:47:57:	you're a homeowner.
00:47:57> 00:47:59:	Really the only data you're not going to get is
00:47:59> 00:47:59:	that guy.
00:48:01> 00:48:05:	Now talk real quick again, coming down to the micro
00:48:05> 00:48:09:	on words because I want to make sure to establish

00.40.03> 00.40.13.	one thing for safe and that is why readings aren't
00:48:13> 00:48:14:	new.
00:48:16> 00:48:18:	Words Development Group has been at this for a really
00:48:18> 00:48:19:	long time.
00:48:21> 00:48:23:	We formed in February 2014.
00:48:24> 00:48:27:	So by my math, that's 9 1/2 years ago and
00:48:27> 00:48:32:	we have been working on this tool and this program
00:48:32> 00:48:35:	and the entire thing ever since.
00:48:35> 00:48:38:	And the one thing I have to admit, and I've
00:48:38> 00:48:42:	done this before in other presentations I've given, when we
00:48:42> 00:48:46:	embarked on this project, I realized that we were doing
00:48:46> 00:48:47:	something innovative.
00:48:47> 00:48:50:	But what I didn't, I kind of underestimated was that
00:48:50> 00:48:52:	we were kind of creating a little bit of a
00:48:52> 00:48:53:	micro industry.
00:48:54> 00:48:57:	That's that's the part I kind of missed because we've
00:48:57> 00:48:59:	had to come up with education, we've had to come
00:48:59> 00:49:02:	up with marketing, we've had to come up with all
00:49:02> 00:49:04:	different facets of what in the world is a verifier
00:49:04> 00:49:05:	or water rater.
00:49:06> 00:49:11:	And so we continue to make improvements to our tool.
00:49:11> 00:49:14:	We will never really stop making improvements to our tool.
00:49:14> 00:49:17:	We're always trying to stay ahead of the market when
00:49:17> 00:49:19:	it comes to like I mentioned Blackwater earlier, not many
00:49:20> 00:49:23:	people are really using black water for residential purposes
	right
00:49:23> 00:49:23:	now.
00:49:23> 00:49:26:	But we built it in there anyway because we figured
00:49:26> 00:49:29:	someday I want to call out a couple things before
00:49:29> 00:49:31:	I go to the next part of the timeline.
00:49:31> 00:49:33:	And that is, as you can see, there are ways,
00:49:34> 00:49:36:	different ways this can be implemented.
00:49:36> 00:49:37:	OK.
00:49:37> 00:49:43:	Again, tax credits codes, green building programs is going to
00:49:43> 00:49:45:	be on the next one.
00:49:47> 00:49:51:	So we got into EPS, Watersense for homes 2 point
00:49:51> 00:49:51:	O last year.
00:49:52> 00:49:53:	We were super excited.
00:49:53> 00:49:57:	We finally got through that process and that was great
00:49:57> 00:50:00:	and it has opened up a lot of conversations for
00:50:00> 00:50:04:	us and we want to continue to proliferate wars in
00:50:04> 00:50:06:	a variety of places.

00:48:09 --> 00:48:13: one thing for sure and that is why readings aren't

00:50:10 --> 00:50:10: need? 00:50:11 --> 00:50:13: Because I don't walk into a meeting and say this 00:50:13 --> 00:50:14: is how you got to use it. 00:50:14 --> 00:50:16: You can use it in a variety of different ways. 00:50:16 --> 00:50:18: You can layer in prescriptive requirements if you want to. 00:50:18 --> 00:50:20: With water ratings, you don't have to. 00:50:20 --> 00:50:23: You can say the score is going to require something 00:50:23 --> 00:50:25: or not incentives, whatever it is. 00:50:27 --> 00:50:30: Last thing I want to talk about is the one 00:50:31 --> 00:50:35: word that we haven't mentioned yet, the one word that 00:50:35 --> 00:50:40: people try and avoid because it just makes people shudder. 00:50:40 --> 00:50:44: Whether you're the building industry, you're in a City Hall 00:50:44 --> 00:50:46: somewhere, and that is moratoriums. 00:50:47 --> 00:50:51: Nobody wants them because that's the domino that starts to 00:50:51 --> 00:50:54: possibly cascade to other things. 00:50:55 --> 00:50:58: But the reality of this is if we don't have 00:50:58 --> 00:51:00: any water, then we don't have any growth. 00:51:01 --> 00:51:02: Don't have made the case earlier. 00:51:02 --> 00:51:03: We need more housing. 00:51:04 --> 00:51:06: That means we need more growth, but we can't do 00:51:06 --> 00:51:07: it if we don't have water. 00:51:07 --> 00:51:10: So we've got to start to think responsibly. 00:51:11 --> 00:51:12: And I get it. 00:51:12 --> 00:51:15: You all are here just like I'm here every meeting 00:51:15 --> 00:51:18: understand that we need to move in this way. 00:51:19 --> 00:51:20: Why was I invited to be here? 00:51:21 --> 00:51:25: I think it was because if you think about water 00:51:25 --> 00:51:28: system homes, 2 point O, If you think about water 00:51:28 --> 00:51:32: ratings, to me I've always thought of them as the 00:51:32 --> 00:51:33: olive branch. 00:51:34 --> 00:51:38: The olive branch between the utility or the municipality that 00:51:39 --> 00:51:42: says, Oh my gosh, we might be running out of 00:51:42 --> 00:51:44: water and we can't do that. 00:51:45 --> 00:51:48: On the other side is the building industry that says 00:51:48 --> 00:51:50: if you tell us we can't build any more, all 00:51:50 --> 00:51:51: our jobs go away. 00:51:53 --> 00:51:54: You've got to figure out how to bridge that. 00:51:56 --> 00:51:58: And I love the slide that Jonah had with the 00:51:58 --> 00:51:59: mayor and the planner. 00:51:59 --> 00:52:03: That was really cool because you've got to figure out 00:52:03 --> 00:52:06: how to get land use planners talking about this, working

Again, it comes back to what is it that you

00:50:06 --> 00:50:10:

00:52:06> 00:52:11:	with waters, water utility, working with the development committee community.
00:52:13> 00:52:16:	One thing I think is that as we start to
00:52:17> 00:52:21:	see that drought monitor map and and you see those
00:52:21> 00:52:25:	varying colors on there, as things start to get a
00:52:25> 00:52:30:	little bit more dire, it's going to bring the building
00:52:30> 00:52:32:	community to the table.
00:52:34> 00:52:36:	Whereas before maybe they had a little bit more leverage
00:52:36> 00:52:38:	and they were like, hey, we bring in a lot
00:52:38> 00:52:40:	of jobs, we bring in property taxes, etcetera.
00:52:41> 00:52:44:	But by having them have to come to the table
00:52:44> 00:52:47:	because things are starting to get more dire, I think
00:52:47> 00:52:51:	it's swings of leverage a little bit towards utilities, towards
00:52:51> 00:52:55:	the municipalities to say, hey, look, you really need to
00:52:55> 00:52:56:	take some serious steps.
00:52:56> 00:53:00:	Phoenix is a great example, but it's not the only
00:53:00> 00:53:00:	one.
00:53:00> 00:53:01:	There are others too.
00:53:02> 00:53:05:	So I hope that we can get to a place
00:53:05> 00:53:08:	where we are able to grow, but do it in
00:53:08> 00:53:11:	a more responsible way and do it in a more
00:53:12> 00:53:14:	managed way, data-driven way.
00:53:15> 00:53:19:	So with that, I I will stop there and go
00:53:19> 00:53:23:	ahead and hand it back to Marianne.
00:53:24> 00:53:25:	Thank you so much, Mike.
00:53:26> 00:53:28:	So I think what we'll do is we'll have AQ
00:53:28> 00:53:30:	and a session with everyone.
00:53:30> 00:53:32:	We already have some questions in the chat box.
00:53:33> 00:53:35:	Shannon, do you want to go ahead and unmute and
00:53:35> 00:53:36:	ask your question?
00:53:38> 00:53:39:	Yeah, sure.
00:53:40> 00:53:41:	Thank you so much to both of you for the
00:53:41> 00:53:42:	presentation.
00:53:42> 00:53:45:	And my question was just around how reuse was being
00:53:45> 00:53:48:	defined when we talk about homes, whether we're talking about
00:53:48> 00:53:50:	like localized, decentralized and.
00:53:50> 00:53:51:	It seemed to me the more.
00:53:51> 00:53:52:	It was talked about.
00:53:52> 00:53:55:	It was more like maybe stormwater capture and use, right,
00:53:55> 00:53:59:	like because there are very distinct differences in treatments and
00:53:59> 00:54:00:	building.

00:54:00 --> 00:54:03: And so my question was just around that also like 00:54:03 --> 00:54:06: Gray water fits into there as well. 00:54:06 --> 00:54:07: And I wasn't sure if this. 00:54:07 --> 00:54:11: Encapsulated all in my mind kind of was starting to 00:54:11 --> 00:54:14: geek out on the reuse off site potential in that 00:54:14 --> 00:54:14: space. 00:54:14 --> 00:54:16: So if you could just speak to that, that would 00:54:16 --> 00:54:16: be great. 00:54:16 --> 00:54:17: Thank you. 00:54:17 --> 00:54:17: OK. 00:54:19 --> 00:54:23: I'll, I'll lead off Jonah and you can obviously chime 00:54:23 --> 00:54:27: in the way I was referring to as Shannon was 00:54:27 --> 00:54:28: on site reuse. 00:54:29 --> 00:54:32: So this is on on site of the property. 00:54:32 --> 00:54:35: Are you utilizing a, a grey water system on site 00:54:35 --> 00:54:39: or using a rainwater harvesting system on site or using 00:54:39 --> 00:54:42: potentially some kind of black water system? 00:54:43 --> 00:54:48: Are you potentially using some kind of passive system bioswales 00:54:48 --> 00:54:49: curb cuts? 00:54:51 --> 00:54:55: So there's there's kind of a variety of of reuse 00:54:56 --> 00:54:56: options. 00:54:56 --> 00:55:00: I'll say that that words can accommodate and and reward. 00:55:01 --> 00:55:03: So I hope that kind of gives you a little 00:55:03 --> 00:55:05: bit of a better sense of what I'm talking about 00:55:05 --> 00:55:06: a bit. 00:55:06 --> 00:55:08: Jonah, I want you to follow up with anything I 00:55:08 --> 00:55:09: missed on. 00:55:10 --> 00:55:10: Yeah, Now that. 00:55:10 --> 00:55:11: Thanks Mike, can you hear me? 00:55:11 --> 00:55:12: 00:55:12 --> 00:55:14: I did have to switch sound. 00:55:14 --> 00:55:14: OK, cool. 00:55:16 --> 00:55:16: Yeah. 00:55:16 --> 00:55:19: So the, the one thing that we, that we have 00:55:19 --> 00:55:22: some language that we would sort of prohibit an HDO 00:55:22 --> 00:55:25: from using is like if, if they want to count 00:55:25 --> 00:55:29: like a municipal supplied reclaimed water, like a purple pipe 00:55:29 --> 00:55:31: system, we would not get, we would not let them 00:55:31 --> 00:55:33: give credit to home for that. 00:55:35 --> 00:55:38: So we do have sort of Al think a pretty 00:55:38 --> 00:55:41: wide breadth of we, we would accept if it was

00:55:41> 00:55:46:	like a legitimate like local but centralized reuse projects.
00:55:46> 00:55:50:	We've not had anyone bring us a what I would
00:55:50> 00:55:55:	say robust structures, you know where they could differentiate between
00:55:55> 00:55:56:	the two.
00:55:56> 00:55:58:	But we have room for it in the program.
00:55:58> 00:56:00:	We just don't have none of our HC OS use
00:56:00> 00:56:01:	it right now.
00:56:01> 00:56:04:	So when we talk reuse, we are in at least
00:56:04> 00:56:08:	in the context of a water sense labeled home and
00:56:08> 00:56:11:	a home that's used it to get to that 30%
00:56:11> 00:56:12:	savings.
00:56:13> 00:56:16:	It's only in the context so far of on site
00:56:16> 00:56:20:	we use grey water, rain water capture that sort of
00:56:20> 00:56:21:	thing.
00:56:21> 00:56:23:	And and again, it, it's actually only one of our
00:56:23> 00:56:25:	HC OS that currently supports it.
00:56:25> 00:56:29:	It's only, it's only H is as Mike mentioned, it's,
00:56:29> 00:56:33:	it's not something that that green builder uses for water
00:56:33> 00:56:37:	sense certification for, for a variety of reasons.
00:56:37> 00:56:41:	So it's, it's there, it's possible.
00:56:41> 00:56:44:	It's to be honest, fairly limited in in in application
00:56:45> 00:56:48:	and implementation right now, which is probably sort of a
00:56:48> 00:56:52:	good microcosm for what the industry is as a whole.
00:56:55> 00:56:57:	Clint, do you want to go ahead and unmute and
00:56:57> 00:56:58:	ask your question?
00:57:04> 00:57:09:	My question, yeah, my question was just with the worst
00:57:09> 00:57:09:	score.
00:57:09> 00:57:12:	Do you have to, did it give you a separate
00:57:12> 00:57:15:	score for the interior, the home versus the exterior?
00:57:16> 00:57:19:	As a builder, you know, a good number of times
00:57:19> 00:57:22:	we don't landscape the home, so we don't know or
00:57:22> 00:57:25:	control what the landscaping will be after the homeowner takes
00:57:25> 00:57:26:	control of it.
00:57:27> 00:57:29:	There are some cases we do.
00:57:29> 00:57:31:	So we, you know, we'd like to do scores for
00:57:31> 00:57:34:	both, but I don't know if it combines them into
00:57:34> 00:57:37:	one or you have a separate score for each.
00:57:40> 00:57:40:	Thanks, Clint.
00:57:41> 00:57:44:	Yeah, so the the worst score is all-encompassing of the
00:57:44> 00:57:46:	entire property.

```
00:57:46 --> 00:57:49:
                          On the rating report, you will see how indoor and
00:57:49 --> 00:57:53:
                          outdoors broken out as far as estimated usage, but you
00:57:53 --> 00:57:56:
                          don't get an indoor and an outdoor score.
00:57:56 --> 00:58:01:
                          Now your question is like really apropos because one of
00:58:02 --> 00:58:05:
                          the things of share a quick story.
00:58:05 --> 00:58:08:
                          So the first place this words got implemented was Santa
00:58:08 --> 00:58:11:
                          Fe, NM and it was put into their residential green
00:58:11 --> 00:58:13:
                          building code and it was a requirement.
00:58:13 --> 00:58:15:
                          You had to get a words of 70 or less
00:58:15 --> 00:58:17:
                          to get a certificate of occupancy.
00:58:17 --> 00:58:18:
                          I'm sorry, you had to get a permit.
00:58:18 --> 00:58:20:
                          You had to get a 70 or less certificate of
00:58:20 --> 00:58:21:
                          occupancy.
00:58:21 --> 00:58:22:
                          You also had to get a 70 or less.
00:58:23 --> 00:58:25:
                          And sometimes that early on that question came up, it
00:58:25 --> 00:58:27:
                          was like, well, what are we going to do?
00:58:27 --> 00:58:30:
                          We're not putting landscaping in yet, or maybe we're building
00:58:30 --> 00:58:30:
                          in the winter.
                          It wasn't, it wasn't the appropriate time to put in
00:58:31 --> 00:58:33:
00:58:33 --> 00:58:34:
                          landscaping.
00:58:34 --> 00:58:38:
                          So we came up with methodologies to say, OK, based
00:58:38 --> 00:58:42:
                          on these situations, then here's what we're going to enter
00:58:43 --> 00:58:44:
                          into the tool.
00:58:44 --> 00:58:47:
                          Do you have an irrigation system installed or not?
00:58:47 --> 00:58:50:
                          Well, that's, that's kind of hinges on, you know, yes
00:58:50 --> 00:58:53:
                          or no, we're going to irrigate the landscaping or not.
00:58:54 --> 00:58:56:
                          But then also what's the anticipated landscaping?
00:58:57 --> 00:59:00:
                          There's a place where the builder or the installer can
00:59:00 --> 00:59:03:
                          sign off these, an affidavit and say, OK, this is
00:59:03 --> 00:59:06:
                          what's going to happen when we can finally landscape it.
00:59:08 --> 00:59:12:
                          So it, I guess to answer your question directly, Clint,
00:59:12 --> 00:59:15:
                          we figured out a solution that the city of Santa
00:59:15 --> 00:59:18:
                          Fe found palatable and acceptable.
00:59:19 --> 00:59:22:
                          We can utilize that solution in other places or they
00:59:22 --> 00:59:25:
                          can come up with a different solution if a different
00:59:25 --> 00:59:28:
                          jurisdiction decides they want to handle it differently.
00:59:29 --> 00:59:31:
                          But, and I don't want to eat up a ton
00:59:31 --> 00:59:33:
                          of our time here, but but I can kind of
00:59:33 --> 00:59:36:
                          go in more depth with you on like here's how
00:59:36 --> 00:59:38:
                          we got to this point and here's how we look
00:59:38 --> 00:59:39:
                          at this.
00:59:40 --> 00:59:41:
                          But that's kind of the general overview, if that helps
```

00:59:41 --> 00:59:42: any. 00:59:45 --> 00:59:48: Yeah, and for for watersons labeled homes in in version 00:59:48 --> 00:59:51: one, we had a sort of hard and fast rule. 00:59:51 --> 00:59:53: You had to at least landscape the front to be 00:59:53 --> 00:59:55: considered for the label. We're a little bit more flexible on it. 00:59:55 --> 00:59:57: 00:59:57 --> 01:00:00: And in, in version 2, one of the analogies and 01:00:00 --> 01:00:03: it, it does vary a little bit from HCO to HCO. 01:00:03 --> 01:00:03: 01:00:03 --> 01:00:06: They all have their own policy, you know, practices. 01:00:08 --> 01:00:10: Sometimes it's an example of, you know, if you look 01:00:10 --> 01:00:12: at say like a hers rate, like an energy rating, 01:00:12 --> 01:00:14: if there's no refrigerator when you go to rate the 01:00:15 --> 01:00:17: home, well, we assume the home is going to have 01:00:17 --> 01:00:17: a refrigerator. And so we want to include that in the predicted 01:00:18 --> 01:00:21: 01:00:21 --> 01:00:22: energy use. 01:00:23 --> 01:00:25: But because we don't know anything about it, it's not 01:00:25 --> 01:00:25: 01:00:25 --> 01:00:26: We can't take a measurement on it. 01:00:26 --> 01:00:29: We can't look at what its energy rating it, what 01:00:29 --> 01:00:31: its energy factor is or anything like that. 01:00:32 --> 01:00:33: We're going to assume that it's standard. 01:00:34 --> 01:00:37: And so one of the things that does happen a 01:00:37 --> 01:00:40: lot of times, even if you do have the flexibility 01:00:40 --> 01:00:43: to not landscape the entire lots, it makes the rating 01:00:44 --> 01:00:44: very rigid. 01:00:45 --> 01:00:48: So, so sometimes builders will have a hard time getting 01:00:48 --> 01:00:50: the rating down to where they need to get it 01:00:50 --> 01:00:52: to because they've got this big plot of land. 01:00:53 --> 01:00:55: And no matter what you do to the rest of 01:00:55 --> 01:00:59: the home, we're assuming that that's big plot of land 01:00:59 --> 01:00:59: is average. 01:01:01 --> 01:01:03: And like I said, if that's, you know, if you, 01:01:03 --> 01:01:05: if that's where most of the water use is predicted 01:01:06 --> 01:01:07: to be, you have a hard time, a hard time 01:01:07 --> 01:01:10: moving things in the direction that that you want to 01:01:10 --> 01:01:10: go. 01:01:13 --> 01:01:16: Yeah, I think, I think that was a great summation 01:01:16 --> 01:01:20: there, Jonah, because the more data you can supply, the 01:01:20 --> 01:01:22: more precise it's going to be. 01:01:23 --> 01:01:26: And we just have to assume, I'll say the worst,

01:01:26 --> 01:01:29: but like like Jonas said, the standard. 01:01:30 --> 01:01:32: And then if, if it's something better than that, please 01:01:32 --> 01:01:32: tell us. 01:01:36 --> 01:01:38: Blake, do you want to unmute? 01:01:39 --> 01:01:40: Thanks. 01:01:40 --> 01:01:43: Blake Aldridge, do you want to unmute and ask your 01:01:44 --> 01:01:44: question? 01:01:50 --> 01:01:53: Oh, Blake's mic is not working so I'll ask for 01:01:54 --> 01:01:54: him. he wrote. 01:01:55 --> 01:01:57: Does the Green Builder Coalition work nationwide? 01:01:57 --> 01:02:00: He's in Texas and was not familiar but think it's 01:02:00 --> 01:02:01: thinks it's greatly needed. 01:02:04 --> 01:02:04: Thanks, Blake. 01:02:04 --> 01:02:08: Yeah, we, we are a national organization and we do 01:02:08 --> 01:02:11: have HCO approval through Water Sensor Homes 2 Point O 01:02:11 --> 01:02:14: 4 the entire US and then WERS if you're, if 01:02:15 --> 01:02:18: you're not looking the Water Sensor Homes 2 Point O 01:02:18 --> 01:02:22: route, WERS operates both in the US and we're also 01:02:22 --> 01:02:24: in a Canadian green building program too. 01:02:25 --> 01:02:29: So yes, we can certainly help you out in Texas. 01:02:32 --> 01:02:32: Great. 01:02:32 --> 01:02:33: Thank you. 01:02:33 --> 01:02:34: I have a question. 01:02:34 --> 01:02:38: I'm wondering and it sounds like both of these programs 01:02:38 --> 01:02:39: are for residential buildings. 01:02:40 --> 01:02:42: Do you guys have anything in the works for commercial 01:02:42 --> 01:02:43: buildings go? 01:02:46 --> 01:02:46: Ahead, Jonah. 01:02:48 --> 01:02:49: Yeah. 01:02:49 --> 01:02:53: So we, we you know, obviously recognize that commercial 01:02:53 --> 01:02:56: use in commercial buildings is, is important. 01:02:57 --> 01:03:00: We do have some efforts underway. 01:03:00 --> 01:03:04: We work substantially with our friends in Energy Star and 01:03:04 --> 01:03:07: their tool portfolio manager to expand. 01:03:08 --> 01:03:11: It's used as a tool for tracking water use in 01:03:11 --> 01:03:12: addition to energy use. 01:03:13 --> 01:03:17: We also, I think getting pretty close to releasing a 01:03:17 --> 01:03:21: big update to our commercial best management practices, which is 01:03:21 --> 01:03:25: a sort of big nice page Turner of a technical 01:03:25 --> 01:03:28: manual if you need anything to fall asleep to.

01:03:30> 01:03:32:	I think probably we have by the and of this
01:03:32> 01:03:35:	I think probably we hope by the end of this month, if not by October, we'll we'll release the the
01:03:35> 01:03:36:	new version of of that.
01:03:37> 01:03:40:	
	What we don't have and probably don't really have on
01:03:40> 01:03:44:	the horizon for the immediate future is any sort of
01:03:44> 01:03:49:	certification for commercial, commercial facilities or institutional facilities.
01:03:50> 01:03:53:	That's maybe just a little bit tougher for us to
01:03:53> 01:03:57:	to sort of figure out an infrastructure for energy Stack
01:03:57> 01:04:02:	does offer certifications through portfolio manager and has a verification
01:04:02> 01:04:04:	process associated with that.
01:04:05> 01:04:10:	There's some challenges with applying it to to water mostly
01:04:10> 01:04:12:	related around.
01:04:12> 01:04:14:	We just, we don't have as much data on water
01:04:14> 01:04:17:	use in different types of facilities as we do in
01:04:17> 01:04:20:	energy where we have not only these great sort of
01:04:20> 01:04:24:	data products like the commercial building energy consumption survey from
01:04:24> 01:04:27:	from the from the EIA and other products, but we
01:04:27> 01:04:28:	we have them for decades now.
01:04:29> 01:04:32:	So we have, it's a not only really detailed data,
01:04:32> 01:04:35:	but we have a history of that detailed data helping
01:04:35> 01:04:38:	us understand how and why buildings use energy.
01:04:39> 01:04:41:	We don't have that for water and it makes it
01:04:41> 01:04:43:	a little bit more difficult for us to develop those
01:04:43> 01:04:44:	types of tools.
01:04:44> 01:04:46:	So we're doing the best we can.
01:04:46> 01:04:49:	We have some, we'd like to have more.
01:04:50> 01:04:51:	We're working hard to do that for you.
01:04:52> 01:04:54:	We're just a little bit limited in terms of our
01:04:54> 01:04:56:	the data we have available to and their resources as
01:04:56> 01:04:57:	a program.
01:04:59> 01:04:59:	Thanks.
01:04:59> 01:05:02:	If you can send me Jonah, if you can send
01:05:02> 01:05:05:	me the the new manual, I'd love to collect that
01:05:05> 01:05:06:	and share it with the group.
01:05:06> 01:05:10:	I did read the original one for the water.
01:05:10> 01:05:12:	Wise, it was something to fall asleep for I guess.
01:05:13> 01:05:13:	It was.
01:05:13> 01:05:14:	It's very helpful.
01:05:15> 01:05:18:	It's like I said, it's quite detailed, but it's meant
01:05:18> 01:05:21:	to be sort of like your go to for information

01:05:25 --> 01:05:26: Go ahead, Mike. 01:05:27 --> 01:05:31: We, yeah, we've been getting that question for some time. 01:05:32 --> 01:05:38: And so the worst tool has the ability to, to 01:05:38 --> 01:05:40: look at hotels. 01:05:40 --> 01:05:42: We don't really publicize that a whole lot. 01:05:43 --> 01:05:45: And the reason that we don't is because there's 2 01:05:45 --> 01:05:46: problems with hotels. 01:05:47 --> 01:05:50: One is the commercial laundry, which we don't really have 01:05:50 --> 01:05:53: the ability to handle that part of it. 01:05:53 --> 01:05:56: We can do the rooms, but we, the commercial laundry 01:05:56 --> 01:05:57: is a bit tough. And the other one is if the hotel is a 01:05:57 --> 01:05:58: 01:05:58 --> 01:05:59: restaurant. 01:06:00 --> 01:06:03: Now we are trying to gather some data when it 01:06:03 --> 01:06:06: comes to both hotels and restaurants. 01:06:08 --> 01:06:10: But to me, the challenge when it comes to commercial 01:06:10 --> 01:06:13: is, and I've, and this is I'm just kind of 01:06:13 --> 01:06:16: regurgitating what I've been told by people smarter than me, 01:06:16 --> 01:06:18: is what type of commercial building? 01:06:19 --> 01:06:21: Is it a florist? 01:06:21 --> 01:06:22: Is it a shoe shop? 01:06:22 --> 01:06:24: Is it a grocery store? 01:06:24 --> 01:06:26: Is it a bakery? 01:06:26 --> 01:06:30: Is it, you know, clothing store? 01:06:30 --> 01:06:32: Like what is what kind of commercial building are you 01:06:32 --> 01:06:33: talking about? 01:06:33 --> 01:06:38: There's so many different types of commercial properties with a 01:06:39 --> 01:06:43: vast variety of water footprints that it gets tricky to 01:06:44 --> 01:06:47: try and say, oh, OK, this is this is how 01:06:47 --> 01:06:49: we can nail this down. 01:06:50 --> 01:06:55: But hotels and restaurants are something that we're working towards 01:06:55 --> 01:06:59: and let's say anything is imminent there, but given the 01:06:59 --> 01:07:02: kind of built in ability to deal with the rooms 01:07:02 --> 01:07:06: already, we felt that OK that that might be a 01:07:06 --> 01:07:08: natural step in that direction. 01:07:11 --> 01:07:13: I think the challenge with resources is true on our 01:07:13 --> 01:07:15: side too, as far as being able to do some 01:07:16 --> 01:07:16: of the research. 01:07:17 --> 01:07:21: I'd love to see a research project on, on some

on how you save water in these types of facilities.

01:05:21 --> 01:05:23:

01:07:21> 01:07:26:	of the different water uses in commercial properties 'cause it
01:07:26> 01:07:31:	would really help us basically feed our engine to say,
01:07:31> 01:07:34:	OK, let's see how this is treated now.
01:07:34> 01:07:37:	So that's my my humble plea.
01:07:40> 01:07:44:	That's a great segue to the rest of our conversation.
01:07:44> 01:07:46:	Huge round of applause to both of you.
01:07:46> 01:07:48:	Thank you for these excellent presentations.
01:07:49> 01:07:52:	If folks continue to have questions, please put them in
01:07:52> 01:07:52:	the chat box.
01:07:52> 01:07:56:	And I'm hoping Jonah and Mike can address those
	potentially
01:07:56> 01:07:58:	in the chat box as they come up.
01:07:58> 01:08:02:	I'm going to move on just so that we stay
01:08:02> 01:08:03:	on time one second.
01:08:03> 01:08:07:	So just to give you an overview of what's coming
01:08:07> 01:08:11:	up for the Coalition, our next meeting will be on
01:08:12> 01:08:17:	landscaping codes and templates, and we have not yet
	planned
01:08:17> 01:08:19:	exactly who will present.
01:08:19> 01:08:21:	So if you'd like to shoot me an e-mail, if
01:08:21> 01:08:25:	you're interested in getting involved in this on the planning
01:08:25> 01:08:27:	side or speaking side, please do that.
01:08:28> 01:08:31:	My e-mail is here on Zoom so you can see
01:08:31> 01:08:36:	it, and then we have some other upcoming programming ideas.
01:08:36> 01:08:39:	Again, if there's something along these lines or something else
01:08:39> 01:08:42:	that you would like to present, please shoot us an
01:08:42> 01:08:44:	e-mail and we can add it to the list or
01:08:44> 01:08:45:	figure out.
01:08:46> 01:08:49:	What we do is we do little planning calls to
01:08:49> 01:08:52:	figure out who exactly we think would be a good
01:08:52> 01:08:54:	fit for this, so we'll be in touch.
01:08:55> 01:08:57:	If anyone would like to also put anything in the
01:08:57> 01:08:59:	chat while we're here, please do that.
01:09:00> 01:09:04:	In the meantime, I want to give a Sonoran Institute
01:09:04> 01:09:08:	a moment to talk about their upcoming growing Water Smart
01:09:08> 01:09:10:	workshop in Arizona.
01:09:11> 01:09:12:	Waverly, are you there?
01:09:13> 01:09:13:	I am.
01:09:14> 01:09:16:	Thanks so much, Marianne, and hello, everyone.
01:09:16> 01:09:19:	It's great to see you all for our quarterly meeting
01:09:19> 01:09:20:	and webinar.

01:09:20> 01:09:23:	And thank you so much for the presentations today.
01:09:23> 01:09:24:	They were super informative.
01:09:25> 01:09:29:	I just wanted to let folks know, particularly folks on
01:09:29> 01:09:33:	the call who may be in Arizona or no colleagues
01:09:33> 01:09:33:	in Arizona.
01:09:34> 01:09:39:	Growing Water Smart is a workshop that the Sonoran Institute
01:09:39> 01:09:44:	and the Babbitt Center for Land and Water Policy put
01:09:44> 01:09:48:	on in multiple states in the Colorado River Basin.
01:09:48> 01:09:53:	And we will be in Arizona January 22nd through the
01:09:53> 01:09:58:	24th of next year to host one of our multi
01:09:58> 01:09:59:	day workshops.
01:09:59> 01:10:05:	That essentially brings folks from multiple sectors, land use planners,
01:10:05> 01:10:11:	water resource managers, and local officials together as a team
01:10:11> 01:10:16:	to talk about local water challenges and the ways in
01:10:16> 01:10:20:	which municipal land use planning can play a role in
01:10:20> 01:10:24:	addressing some of those water challenges.
01:10:24> 01:10:30:	So just really quickly, applications for local governments and their
01:10:30> 01:10:34:	water providers to form a team and apply to attend
01:10:35> 01:10:39:	our workshop are due in about one month on October
01:10:39> 01:10:39:	6th.
01:10:40> 01:10:46:	And we welcome applications from communities in Arizona.
01:10:46> 01:10:50:	You can do that through the web link that's on
01:10:50> 01:10:54:	the slide here, or I'm also putting it in the
01:10:54> 01:10:57:	chat so that you can easily click and go.
01:10:59> 01:11:02:	Or you're welcome to e-mail me and our team at
01:11:03> 01:11:06:	
01:11:08> 01:11:11:	And I guess an additional heads up is that we
01:11:11> 01:11:16:	will be opening applications to participate in Growing Water Smart
01:11:16> 01:11:21:	workshops in Colorado and in California for next year shortly.
01:11:21> 01:11:24:	So if you are in California and you're interested in
01:11:24> 01:11:28:	what Growing Water Smart might offer or in Colorado, please
01:11:28> 01:11:31:	reach out to us via the e-mail address on the
01:11:31> 01:11:31:	slide.
01:11:32> 01:11:35:	So I think I'll leave it there for other announcements
01:11:35> 01:11:36:	as well, but thank you.
01:11:36> 01:11:37:	Thanks, Waverly.
01:11:39> 01:11:41:	And then I just want to give you a save
01:11:41> 01:11:41:	the date.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

01:11:41> 01:11:44:	We have a webinar that we're putting on for the
01:11:45> 01:11:48:	Appraisal Institute and this is going to be a three
01:11:48> 01:11:51:	hour webinar with continuing education credits.
01:11:52> 01:11:56:	It's geared towards appraisers to inform them about how they
01:11:56> 01:12:00:	should be valuing water as part of their valuations.
01:12:01> 01:12:04:	And it will include Julie Wilson McNerney who is on
01:12:04> 01:12:05:	this call.
01:12:05> 01:12:09:	She is an attorney with Schwab, Williamson and Wyatt and
01:12:09> 01:12:12:	she'll be talking about water rights and water laws.
01:12:12> 01:12:16:	We'll also have a lot of other speakers.
01:12:16> 01:12:19:	I won't list everyone, but we'll include a developer from
01:12:19> 01:12:24:	Clarion Partners talking about the specific ROI of water wise
01:12:24> 01:12:28:	development and also an appraiser who currently appraise values water
01:12:28> 01:12:30:	as part of his appraisal.
01:12:30> 01:12:34:	So this is really an educational opportunity on appraisals and
01:12:34> 01:12:38:	valuations, which is not currently common, but we're hoping to
01:12:38> 01:12:41:	just expand the education on this issue and make it
01:12:42> 01:12:43:	much more common.
01:12:43> 01:12:45:	So you're welcome to join us for this.
01:12:45> 01:12:48:	Once I have a registration link, I'll share it with
01:12:48> 01:12:48:	the coalition.
01:12:49> 01:12:52:	I think this is a real growing edge for what
01:12:52> 01:12:56:	we can do in terms of getting more sectors involved
01:12:56> 01:12:57:	in water wise development.
01:12:59> 01:13:03:	Finally, I would love to discuss with the group opportunities
01:13:03> 01:13:06:	that we can work together on projects.
01:13:06> 01:13:10:	We will are currently in fundraising mode, so if you
01:13:10> 01:13:12:	or anyone you can think of or know might want
01:13:13> 01:13:15:	to fund one of these projects, please let us know
01:13:16> 01:13:19:	so that we can actually make them happen, make them
01:13:19> 01:13:19:	a reality.
01:13:20> 01:13:22:	So we have some ideas already.
01:13:23> 01:13:27:	We were thinking about putting together a water wise development
01:13:27> 01:13:31:	symposium that we could host and this could include tours,
01:13:31> 01:13:37:	panel presentations, sharing best practices, networking nationally and actually Ninjas
01:13:37> 01:13:38:	on the line.
01:13:38> 01:13:42:	We have a ULI fall meeting in Las Vegas planned
01:13:42> 01:13:47:	and we were hoping to put together the symposium as
01:13:47> 01:13:50:	part of that big event in Las Vegas.

01:13:50> 01:13:52:	Ninja, I don't know if you want to unmute and
01:13:52> 01:13:53:	just give a quick pitch for that.
01:13:57> 01:13:58:	She's still here.
01:13:58> 01:13:59:	Let me check.
01:14:02> 01:14:03:	I don't see her.
01:14:03> 01:14:05:	So what I'll do is I'll go on to the
01:14:05> 01:14:09:	next idea we were thinking, we were talking to the
01:14:09> 01:14:13:	Babbitt Center for Land and Water Policy and they suggested
01:14:13> 01:14:17:	that there are communities that might really need help with
01:14:17> 01:14:22:	putting together a cross sector round table locally between developers
01:14:22> 01:14:26:	and public officials to talk through what are some policies
01:14:26> 01:14:30:	or incentives or processes that might work better for advancing
01:14:30> 01:14:34:	water wise real estate development or other opportunities.
01:14:34> 01:14:37:	So we thought maybe we could help host that type
01:14:37> 01:14:38:	of thing.
01:14:38> 01:14:42:	Another idea is the creation of educational materials that could
01:14:42> 01:14:46:	help advance and document market demand for water wise land
01:14:46> 01:14:46:	uses.
01:14:47> 01:14:50:	We already have case studies in our water wise report,
01:14:50> 01:14:53:	but we could go do deeper dives onto the financials
01:14:53> 01:14:54:	of that.
01:14:54> 01:14:56:	We could do deeper dives on market demand.
01:14:57> 01:15:01:	Also, the state of Colorado reached out and they thought,
01:15:01> 01:15:03:	well, what if we we hosted more tours of water
01:15:03> 01:15:05:	wise developments to inspire folks.
01:15:05> 01:15:07:	So these are all ideas.
01:15:07> 01:15:09:	I'd love for you guys to unmute and tell me
01:15:10> 01:15:12:	what you think about these ideas or other ideas that
01:15:12> 01:15:13:	you have.
01:15:14> 01:15:18:	Yeah, and I'm not currently looking at the chat box,
01:15:18> 01:15:22:	So if you can unmute, tell me what you think.
01:15:34> 01:15:35:	No, Marianne.
01:15:36> 01:15:36:	Oh, go ahead.
01:15:37> 01:15:37:	No, go ahead.
01:15:38> 01:15:39:	l'Il go after Clint.
01:15:42> 01:15:44:	Clint, I saw that you put something in the chat
01:15:44> 01:15:44:	box.
01:15:44> 01:15:45:	Do you want to unmute?
01:15:48> 01:15:52:	Sure, it might not apply to anybody 'cause I didn't
	,

01:15:52> 01:15:57:	see anybody mention else that they were from the solitaire
01:15:57> 01:15:57:	like me.
01:15:58> 01:16:02:	But we tried out as a home builder on a
01:16:02> 01:16:04:	few model homes.
01:16:04> 01:16:07:	We tried out this new era green sprinkling system that
01:16:07> 01:16:11:	kind of is a smart sprinkler head that adjusts the
01:16:11> 01:16:14:	length of it throws depending on the you kind of
01:16:14> 01:16:17:	map out the area of grass you need to water
01:16:17> 01:16:21:	and it throws it precisely to that space without overlapping
01:16:21> 01:16:22:	sprinklers and stuff.
01:16:22> 01:16:25:	Anyways, we're we're do we have the CEO in town
01:16:25> 01:16:29:	tomorrow if there's gonna be from Salt Lake and we're
01:16:29> 01:16:31:	showing it in one of our model homes to our
01:16:32> 01:16:35:	water Conservancy districts here and some other people.
01:16:35> 01:16:39:	So you guys are just one of the few water
01:16:39> 01:16:43:	geeks like me that enjoy that kind of stuff.
01:16:43> 01:16:47:	So if anybody is around, go ahead and reach out
01:16:47> 01:16:50:	to me and I can get you the info.
01:16:50> 01:16:54:	But if not you, you can look at their website
01:16:54> 01:16:56:	tooairgreen.com.
01:16:56> 01:16:57:	It's a pretty interesting thing.
01:16:59> 01:16:59:	We tried out.
01:17:00> 01:17:02:	But but I I did like the idea of the
01:17:02> 01:17:03:	tours.
01:17:03> 01:17:07:	I think as a home builder, that always helps to
01:17:07> 01:17:13:	see things in person, homes, landscaping especially, to see that
01:17:13> 01:17:15:	it can still look good.
01:17:15> 01:17:17:	You know, how do you implement it with it looking
01:17:17> 01:17:18:	good?
01:17:18> 01:17:23:	That appeases homebuyers, appeases cities, all those people that want
01:17:23> 01:17:26:	to have input into all those things.
01:17:28> 01:17:29:	It's good to see that stuff in person.
01:17:34> 01:17:34:	Great.
01:17:34> 01:17:35:	Thank you.
01:17:36> 01:17:37:	Lindsey, do you want to unmute?
01:17:39> 01:17:40:	Sure.
01:17:40> 01:17:44:	Hey everyone, just a thought when you're when you mentioned
01:17:44> 01:17:48:	the symposium idea, Marianne, as it sounded similar to the
01:17:48> 01:17:49:	Next Gen.
01:17:49> 01:17:51:	Water Summit, which I'm sure some folks on this call

01:17:51> 01:17:53:	have also participated in.
01:17:53> 01:17:56:	But it seems that there's a real emphasis there on
01:17:56> 01:18:00:	building that public and private sector sort of collaboration
	around
01:18:00> 01:18:04:	water efficient water wise development and lots of interesting
01:18:04> 01:18:06:	sessions there over the years.
01:18:06> 01:18:09:	So I thought that might be just another option for
01:18:09> 01:18:11:	partnership or collaboration.
01:18:11> 01:18:14:	I wouldn't be surprised if some folks on this call
01:18:14> 01:18:15:	are involved in planning that is.
01:18:18> 01:18:18:	Not is anyone in the.
01:18:19> 01:18:19:	Call.
01:18:19> 01:18:20:	I thought maybe you might.
01:18:20> 01:18:20:	Thanks.
01:18:22> 01:18:27:	Lindsey, I yeah, I mean, look, our three main audiences
01:18:27> 01:18:31:	that we target every year at the at the summit
01:18:32> 01:18:38:	is the builders, developers, you know, designers to the
	building
01:18:38> 01:18:39:	community.
01:18:39> 01:18:42:	We target policy makers and we also target what we
01:18:42> 01:18:44:	call water professionals.
01:18:44> 01:18:49:	Those would be landscape architects or landscape designers, irrigation professionals.
01:18:50> 01:18:53:	So those are those those are the three main targets
01:18:53> 01:18:54:	for our audience.
01:18:54> 01:18:57:	And you know, you've got a picture there of I
01:18:58> 01:18:59:	believe it's Phoenix.
01:19:01> 01:19:04:	You know, we, we run that show out of Santa
01:19:04> 01:19:07:	Fe, NM, but it's also a hybrid event so people
01:19:07> 01:19:09:	can attend remotely if they want to.
01:19:12> 01:19:15:	There's certainly I think a conversation to be had there.
01:19:15> 01:19:20:	Mary Ann, as far as that supposing what you're looking
01:19:20> 01:19:23:	to do, we may be able to kind of marry
01:19:23> 01:19:24:	the two.
01:19:25> 01:19:29:	I know also we've taken the approach of really focusing
01:19:29> 01:19:33:	on the Colorado River Basin states because they have some
01:19:33> 01:19:38:	challenges that some other places don't have, specifically a compact
01:19:38> 01:19:41:	that they need to kind of relook at and re
01:19:41> 01:19:44:	examine here in the next couple of years.
01:19:46> 01:19:50:	So that's also become a part of our effort and
01:19:50> 01:19:51:	cause.

01:19:51> 01:19:55: 01:19:55> 01:19:59: 01:19:59> 01:20:02: 01:20:02> 01:20:03: 01:20:05> 01:20:06:	So when you look at public sector, we're seeing a little bit of an increased participation from the public sector because of of the focus on the Colorado River compact coming up here. That's great to learn about.
01:20:06> 01:20:07:	Yeah.
01:20:07> 01:20:10:	I'm wondering, like, what collaboration might look like.
01:20:11> 01:20:14:	And I also don't think duplication is a bad thing
01:20:14> 01:20:17:	because Uli might be a different audience.
01:20:18> 01:20:21:	So I think this Nevada idea might already be moving
01:20:21> 01:20:26:	forward for Uli's fall meeting because it's, you know, built
01:20:26> 01:20:29:	into ULI already, but it might not be a multi
01:20:29> 01:20:30:	year thing.
01:20:30> 01:20:33:	So if we could also plug into the Next Generation
01:20:33> 01:20:36:	Water Summit, I think that's a great opportunity and we
01:20:36> 01:20:38:	can help market it to ULI.
01:20:39> 01:20:42:	Hey, Marianne Heath Melton with the Howard Heath
	Corporation here
01:20:42> 01:20:42:	in Phoenix.
01:20:42> 01:20:44:	Before that I was in Houston.
01:20:44> 01:20:46:	For those of you on the call that may not
01:20:46> 01:20:49:	know what we do, we're developing large scale master plan
01:20:49> 01:20:49:	communities.
01:20:50> 01:20:52:	We have a 37,000 acre property here in the Arizona
01:20:52> 01:20:53:	market.
01:20:53> 01:20:58:	Obviously in light of water, we're heavily involved in trying
01:20:58> 01:21:01:	to bring the public and private side together.
01:21:02> 01:21:06:	So working very closely with Arizona Department of Water Resources,
01:21:06> 01:21:09:	the Governor's office, I'll be presenting at NFZ, which is
01:21:09> 01:21:12:	coming up in I think 2 weeks and then green
01:21:12> 01:21:14:	build panel in DC in September.
01:21:14> 01:21:17:	So, you know, the more that we can bring the
01:21:17> 01:21:20:	right people to the table and the synergies around everything
01:21:20> 01:21:22:	concerning water would be great.
01:21:22> 01:21:26:	And obviously I've, I've been working very closely with with
01:21:26> 01:21:29:	Jonah for the Watersons program, you know, to put that
01:21:29> 01:21:32:	in scale, if we do it across our 37,000 acre
01:21:32> 01:21:34:	property, that's 100,000 rooftops.
01:21:34> 01:21:37:	So we can have meaningful impact, especially in the state
01:21:37> 01:21:37:	of Arizona.
01:21:37> 01:21:40:	So just just food for thought as you're looking for

01:21:40> 01:21:43:	people in, in the region of Phoenix or Arizona.
01:21:44> 01:21:45:	Happy to get involved.
01:21:46> 01:21:47:	Wonderful.
01:21:47> 01:21:47:	Thank you.
01:21:47> 01:21:48:	Nice to meet you.
01:21:54> 01:21:54:	Great.
01:21:54> 01:21:58:	Well, does anyone else have any other ideas or thoughts
01:21:58> 01:22:00:	on what you would like to work on as a
01:22:00> 01:22:01:	group?
01:22:03> 01:22:06:	You know, Marianne, this is Waverly from the Sonoran Institute.
01:22:06> 01:22:10:	And just to round out all of the potential bullet
01:22:10> 01:22:15:	points, I definitely could see some great synergies in bullet
01:22:15> 01:22:20:	points two and three on kind of convening those local
01:22:20> 01:22:23:	focus groups and creating educational materials.
01:22:24> 01:22:28:	As something that we hear a lot during and shortly
01:22:28> 01:22:33:	after our growing Water Smart workshops is that communities want
01:22:33> 01:22:37:	to move in a particular direction, but they either lack
01:22:37> 01:22:43:	the relationships or have some concerns about whether the development
01:22:43> 01:22:47:	community would oppose those those steps or they want to
01:22:47> 01:22:50:	do it in a collaborative fashion.
01:22:50> 01:22:53:	And so I could see an opportunity there for for
01:22:53> 01:22:58:	collaboration and, and offering some of that technical
	assistance and,
01:22:58> 01:23:02:	and likewise with the creation of educational materials.
01:23:02> 01:23:07:	I think that it can be difficult to create educational
01:23:07> 01:23:12:	materials at a really broad like national scale.
01:23:12> 01:23:16:	And like the more localized you can get with tailoring
01:23:16> 01:23:21:	some of those educational materials, the the more they might
01:23:21> 01:23:21:	be used.
01:23:21> 01:23:25:	And so maybe coming up with some sort of an
01:23:25> 01:23:31:	application or like collection of interest from communities that might
01:23:31> 01:23:37:	want specific educational materials could be a helpful direction to
01:23:37> 01:23:38:	go in.
01:23:41> 01:23:42:	That's really helpful.
01:23:42> 01:23:43:	We really thank you.
01:23:49> 01:23:51:	But I think that there's a lot to follow up
01:23:51> 01:23:53:	on for all of these ideas.
01:23:53> 01:23:55:	And if you guys have other ideas that you know

01:23:55> 01:23:59:	could be completely different from these, please e-mail me and
01:23:59> 01:24:02:	we'll add it to our list of things that we
01:24:02> 01:24:03:	want to work on.
01:24:03> 01:24:05:	And what we're going to do is pitch some of
01:24:05> 01:24:08:	these ideas or all of these ideas to potential funders.
01:24:08> 01:24:11:	Really, a lot of this does come down to whether
01:24:11> 01:24:14:	we can support, you know, staff time and any other
01:24:14> 01:24:17:	things that might be needed to host these types of
01:24:17> 01:24:17:	things.
01:24:18> 01:24:21:	If you or anyone, you know, is interested in funding
01:24:21> 01:24:24:	any of these ideas, we would definitely love to hear
01:24:24> 01:24:24:	from you.
01:24:26> 01:24:28:	I think that there's a lot of potential to move
01:24:28> 01:24:31:	from, you know, theory to action, and I think a
01:24:31> 01:24:34:	group like this could really help with that.
01:24:36> 01:24:36:	Yeah.
01:24:36> 01:24:38:	Oh, Scott, go ahead and unmute.
01:24:41> 01:24:43:	Oh, sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt your train of
01:24:43> 01:24:44:	thought, Marianne.
01:24:44> 01:24:45:	I was just looking.
01:24:46> 01:24:50:	One challenge I've had is trying to think through this
01:24:50> 01:24:55:	Nexus of of multiple different things, thinking of encouraging
	walkable,
01:24:55> 01:25:00:	livable, sustainable communities and sort of this new urbanist model
01:25:01> 01:25:04:	that adopts some of those things, but not seeing a
01:25:04> 01:25:07:	lot of water wise examples in that.
01:25:07> 01:25:11:	So I just looked at the Congress for new the
01:25:11> 01:25:16:	new Urbanism and they have some project examples on the
01:25:16> 01:25:16:	site.
01:25:16> 01:25:19:	I was just noting that as a searchable database that
01:25:19> 01:25:22:	and it would be nice if maybe there are more
01:25:22> 01:25:25:	metrics associated with it, but that was just one one
01:25:25> 01:25:28:	thing I brought up in terms of how to display
01:25:28> 01:25:30:	case studies across the country.
01:25:33> 01:25:33:	Excellent.
01:25:33> 01:25:34:	Yes.
01:25:34> 01:25:37:	And we do have a database of resilience related strategies
01:25:38> 01:25:40:	and drought is one of our categories.
01:25:40> 01:25:42:	So my, my hope is that we can post the
01:25:42> 01:25:46:	case studies we already have and new case studies to
01:25:46> 01:25:49:	our developing Urban Resilience website and I can share the

01:25:49> 01:25:51:	link to that as well.
01:25:53> 01:25:55:	But that is a project at Database.
01:25:55> 01:25:55:	Scott.
01:25:58> 01:25:58:	Excellent.
01:26:02> 01:26:05:	I guess I'm wondering from Jonah in particular, what would
01:26:06> 01:26:08:	be helpful to the Watersense program?
01:26:08> 01:26:10:	Can we support your work in any way that you
01:26:10> 01:26:12:	think would be particularly helpful?
01:26:16> 01:26:18:	Yeah, I appreciate that, man.
01:26:19> 01:26:21:	I think I'd echo a lot of what I what
01:26:21> 01:26:24:	I hear that you know, there's some of these conversations
01:26:25> 01:26:28:	are important, but they're difficult to get the right people
01:26:28> 01:26:29:	in their room.
01:26:30> 01:26:34:	So I think that is very helpful to supporting our
01:26:34> 01:26:35:	work.
01:26:35> 01:26:39:	The other thing I'll I'll share is that I think
01:26:39> 01:26:43:	at least speaking strictly if I'm from Watersense and sort
01:26:43> 01:26:47:	of what, what we can provide, but also more broadly,
01:26:47> 01:26:50:	I think there is a lot of good guidance on
01:26:50> 01:26:53:	how to build efficient homes.
01:26:54> 01:26:57:	There does seem to be, and there's a lot of
01:26:57> 01:27:01:	good guidance on how to build, you know, efficient communal
01:27:01> 01:27:06:	areas and shared spaces and HOA requirements and, and, and
01:27:06> 01:27:07:	things like that.
01:27:08> 01:27:11:	I think what is maybe what seems to be missing
01:27:11> 01:27:15:	just from conversations with a lot of our municipal partners
01:27:15> 01:27:18:	is how you put that together into a policy, right?
01:27:18> 01:27:21:	So if you're, if you're developing a policy like like
01:27:21> 01:27:24:	Phoenix has done recently in saying this is what we
01:27:24> 01:27:27:	consider to be the baseline of where we want you
01:27:27> 01:27:28:	to start.
01:27:28> 01:27:31:	If you're going to come into to Phoenix and add
01:27:31> 01:27:34:	a bunch of homes, which means a bunch of demand
01:27:34> 01:27:37:	on water, on our water resources, this is the minimum
01:27:37> 01:27:39:	we expect, we expect you to do.
01:27:40> 01:27:44:	I think, you know, Watterson's labeled homes, I think was
01:27:44> 01:27:48:	very pretty turnkey for them, but I think they had
01:27:48> 01:27:51:	to do a lot of work trying to sort of
01:27:51> 01:27:55:	figure out what the what concrete requirements they could
	put
01:27:55> 01:27:58:	in for the shared spaces in a in, in a

01:27:58 --> 01:27:59: development. 01:27:59 --> 01:28:01: I think the solutions are there, but like I said 01:28:01 --> 01:28:03: that I don't know that they're necessarily turnkey. 01:28:04 --> 01:28:06: And I'd also don't know that I want that the 01:28:07 --> 01:28:10: industry as a whole wants like Watersense to develop a 01:28:10 --> 01:28:13: set of criteria for like a Watersense labeled community. 01:28:15 --> 01:28:18: I don't think that's necessarily the the answer, but I 01:28:18 --> 01:28:21: do think maybe, you know, model codes or model model 01:28:21 --> 01:28:24: ordinances or even just tool kits that could be sort 01:28:24 --> 01:28:27: of used to to pull from for that type of 01:28:27 --> 01:28:30: thing might be might be something that there's a demand 01:28:30 --> 01:28:31: for. 01:28:34 --> 01:28:35: That's very helpful. 01:28:35 --> 01:28:36: Thank you, Jonah. 01:28:36 --> 01:28:38: Mike, you put something in the chat box. 01:28:38 --> 01:28:39: You want to mention that quickly? 01:28:43 --> 01:28:46: Yeah, I, I'll kind of give a plug on behalf 01:28:46 --> 01:28:50: of AWE, even though I'm just a member of AWE. 01:28:50 --> 01:28:53: And that is they're going to be doing a third 01:28:53 --> 01:28:57: residential end use study coming up here and they've asked 01:28:58 --> 01:29:01: people for, you know, do you want to participate in 01:29:02 --> 01:29:02: this? 01:29:02 --> 01:29:03: Do you want to be a part of this effort? 01:29:05 --> 01:29:08: So that's, that's certainly encouraging to be able to look 01:29:08 --> 01:29:09: at that again. 01:29:09 --> 01:29:11: I know the lag between the first and second one 01:29:11 --> 01:29:12: was like 17 years. 01:29:12 --> 01:29:18: So this one is coming up sooner than the second 01:29:18 --> 01:29:18: one. 01:29:20 --> 01:29:22: My humble plea is that and, and I may end 01:29:22 --> 01:29:26: up raising my hand and talking to Liesl Hans and 01:29:26 --> 01:29:28: being a part of that effort. 01:29:28 --> 01:29:32: But my humble plea would be that they just simply do their best to look at more locations and not 01:29:32 --> 01:29:36: 01:29:36 --> 01:29:37: just urban locations. 01:29:37 --> 01:29:39: So, oh, Lisa's on here. 01:29:39 --> 01:29:39: Cool. 01:29:40 --> 01:29:41: They just had a webinar about it. 01:29:41 --> 01:29:42: So she put something in the chat. 01:29:42 --> 01:29:44: So, Lisa, I don't know if you want to speak 01:29:44 --> 01:29:47: up on this 'cause you're going to be way more 01:29:47 --> 01:29:49: informative than I am, but I didn't want it to

```
01:29:49 --> 01:29:51:
                          go silent and and not be talked about.
01:29:52 --> 01:29:53:
                          Thank you, Mike.
01:29:53 --> 01:29:53:
                          That's great.
01:29:53 --> 01:29:56:
                          Yeah, Water Research Foundation is doing an update of the
01:29:56 --> 01:29:59:
                          residential end uses study and this new version is going
01:29:59 --> 01:30:01:
                          to have both single family end uses and multifamily.
01:30:01 --> 01:30:06:
                          So an interesting opportunity to get better insight into what's
01:30:06 --> 01:30:07:
                          happening there.
01:30:07 --> 01:30:11:
                          So if you're an organization, especially a utility that's
                          interested.
01:30:11 --> 01:30:14:
                          and or if if you're a consultant working in this
01:30:14 --> 01:30:16:
                          space and might want to join up a project team,
01:30:16 --> 01:30:18:
                          the RFP is getting released next.
01:30:18 --> 01:30:19:
                          Week.
01:30:19 --> 01:30:23:
                          On Water Research Foundation site right now there's.
01:30:23 --> 01:30:24:
                          Just a blurb about it on the.
01:30:24 --> 01:30:26:
                          Advance notice, but if you want to learn more about
01:30:26 --> 01:30:29:
                          it, we had a really short webinar with WRF yesterday,
01:30:29 --> 01:30:31:
                          and we're really excited that there's a new version of
                          this that'll be launching here soon.
01:30:31 --> 01:30:33:
01:30:33 --> 01:30:36:
                          So happy to talk more about it with folks as
01:30:36 --> 01:30:36:
                          they want to.
01:30:40 --> 01:30:40:
                          Excellent.
01:30:40 --> 01:30:42:
                          Thank you guys so much.
01:30:43 --> 01:30:44:
                          We are out of time.
01:30:44 --> 01:30:47:
                          I encourage you to reach out if you think of
01:30:47 --> 01:30:49:
                          anything else and we hope to hear from you and
01:30:49 --> 01:30:52:
                          we look for I'll send a calendar invite for our
01:30:52 --> 01:30:54:
                          next meeting as soon as we get it scheduled.
01:30:55 --> 01:30:58:
                          It'll be based on speaker availability, but we always host
01:30:58 --> 01:31:02:
                          these meetings on Wednesdays at the same time, so I'll
01:31:02 --> 01:31:03:
                          be in touch.
01:31:03 --> 01:31:04:
                          Good to see you all.
01:31:04 --> 01:31:05:
                          Thank you for joining us today find.
01:31:10 --> 01:31:13:
                          Some of our resources to help the Chamber accomplish their
01:31:13 --> 01:31:13:
                          goals.
01:31:13 --> 01:31:15:
                          So I think just with your background.
01:31:17 --> 01:31:17:
                          Bye, guys.
01:31:17 --> 01:31:18:
                          Thank you so much, Mike.
```

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .