

Webinar

Future Proofing: How Climate Data and Tech Can Reduce Asset Risk

Date: January 13, 2023

00:00:04> 00:00:08:	Hello, welcome to utilize webinar future proofing how climate data
00:00:08> 00:00:12:	and tech can reduce asset risk. I'm Billy Grayson, executive
00:00:12> 00:00:17:	vice president for senators and initiatives. I, from previous webinars
00:00:17> 00:00:20:	know that the audience will slowly roll in, so I'm
00:00:20> 00:00:23:	going to repeat this two or three times and we'll
00:00:23> 00:00:26:	be getting started in just about a minute.
00:00:37> 00:00:40:	So to those of you that are rolling in, thank
00:00:40> 00:00:43:	you. Welcome. Thank you for joining us. I'm Billy Grayson,
00:00:43> 00:00:47:	executive vice president for centers and initiatives at the Urban
00:00:47> 00:00:50:	Land Institute. Our webinar today is future proofing how climate
00:00:50> 00:00:53:	data and tech can reduce asset risk. We'll be getting
00:00:54> 00:00:57:	started in just one minute once I see the participants
00:00:57> 00:00:58:	list slowly starts to tick.
00:00:58> 00:01:01:	Yeah. So thank you again for joining us.
00:01:32> 00:01:35:	All right. It's 10:01 AM and 1/2 East Coast time.
00:01:35> 00:01:39:	Uh, welcome and thank you for joining us for this
00:01:39> 00:01:42:	URL I webinar future proofing how climate data and tech
00:01:42> 00:01:46:	can reduce asset risk. I'm Billy Grayson, executive vice president
00:01:46> 00:01:50:	for centers and initiatives at UL I and your moderator
00:01:50> 00:01:53:	today. I'd like to start by thanking all of our
00:01:53> 00:01:56:	panelists for joining today. This is got to be from
00:01:56> 00:02:00:	an industry perspective, one of the more diverse panels that
00:02:00> 00:02:02:	we've had at ULI in recent.
00:02:02> 00:02:05:	Months, which is going to be fantastic. And I'd like
00:02:05> 00:02:08:	to thank all the audience for joining us today as

00:02:08> 00:02:12:	well. We, ULI, have been studying climate risk in real
00:02:12> 00:02:15:	estate investment for some time now and we've really seen
00:02:15> 00:02:19:	a proliferation of interest from across our Member spectrum
	on
00:02:19> 00:02:23:	how Members can better assess price and mitigate climate
	risk
00:02:23> 00:02:27:	in their real estate development and investment decisions.
00:02:28> 00:02:31:	I'd like to start with a couple of quick housekeeping
00:02:31> 00:02:34:	items. It's always fun to talk logistics. At the start
00:02:34> 00:02:37:	of a webinar. We have a chat and we'd love
00:02:37> 00:02:40:	to use that chat to share resources and links with
00:02:40> 00:02:43:	you that will help you learn more about this topic
00:02:43> 00:02:46:	or we'll refer to things that we covered during the
00:02:46> 00:02:50:	discussion today. We would really love for you to use
00:02:50> 00:02:53:	the Q&A section starting as early as you see fit.
00:02:53> 00:02:56:	We're hoping to have a lot of audience questions today.
00:02:56> 00:02:58:	Please put those in the Q&A.
00:02:58> 00:03:00:	Section. We'll be able to answer some of them on
00:03:00> 00:03:03:	the fly, and other ones I will tee up for
00:03:03> 00:03:05:	our panelists for our discussion today.
00:03:06> 00:03:09:	Um, Lee and Lindsey, I hope that's all of the
00:03:09> 00:03:13:	logistics. I think that's all of the logistics. So let
00:03:13> 00:03:16:	me kick this off the little stage setting.
00:03:18> 00:03:22:	In partnership with companies across the real estate industry, utilize
00:03:22> 00:03:26:	been working to better understand the connection between climate risk
00:03:26> 00:03:29:	and real estate value and how real estate owners and
00:03:29> 00:03:33:	developers can better assess and mitigate this risk and development
00:03:33> 00:03:36:	and investment strategy. Over the past few years, we've seen
00:03:37> 00:03:40:	an explosion like a literal explosion of tools and climate
00:03:40> 00:03:44:	risk analytics strategies to help owners and investors better
	understand
00:03:44> 00:03:47:	this risk from our vantage point, most if not all
00:03:47> 00:03:48:	of these tools.
00:03:48> 00:03:51:	Can offer really valuable insights to ULI members, but it
00:03:52> 00:03:54:	can also be a challenge to figure out how to
00:03:54> 00:03:58:	use these tools to effectively assess and price climate risk
00:03:58> 00:04:02:	and how to communicate this risk effectively to investors, tenants
00:04:02> 00:04:05:	and other stakeholders. In this analysis you will I published
00:04:05> 00:04:08:	a paper on this late last year on how to
······································	a paper on the late last year on new to

00:04:08> 00:04:11:	choose, use and better understand climate risk in partnership with
00:04:11> 00:04:14:	LaSalle. And we also like late last year launched a
00:04:15> 00:04:18:	partnership with the 1st St. Foundation. We had more than
00:04:18> 00:04:19:	40 UL member.
00:04:19> 00:04:23:	Leaders participating in focus groups to help refine the dashboards
00:04:23> 00:04:26:	and reports produced by their tool risk Factor Pro. I
00:04:26> 00:04:29:	should say at this point that all UI members can
00:04:29> 00:04:32:	get 5 free property risk reports just by being a
00:04:32> 00:04:35:	UX UI member through risk Factor Pro. I think this
00:04:35> 00:04:38:	is something like a \$400.00 value, so you're welcome. I
00:04:38> 00:04:41:	hope it's a valuable resource for you as a UI
00:04:41> 00:04:44:	member. We're also going to include a link to that
00:04:44> 00:04:46:	member discount at the in the chat.
00:04:47> 00:04:50:	So I'm excited for the conversation today. One more plug,
00:04:50> 00:04:52:	let's go to the next slide for a UI activity.
00:04:55> 00:04:55:	R.
00:04:56> 00:04:59:	Next resilient summit is happening on May 15th in Toronto.
00:04:59> 00:05:02:	This is the day before the ULI Spring meeting and
00:05:02> 00:05:05:	this is our chance that you allow to bring together
00:05:05> 00:05:08:	leaders in the fields of real estate and resilience to
00:05:08> 00:05:12:	share solutions to protect communities and investments from climate risk.
00:05:12> 00:05:14:	You can scan the QR code on the screen to
00:05:14> 00:05:17:	learn more. I don't have my cell phone with me,
00:05:17> 00:05:19:	so I would also like to point you to the
00:05:19> 00:05:22:	chat where Lindsey is letting you know that you can
00:05:22> 00:05:25:	also register for the event at uli.org/resilience Summit.
00:05:26> 00:05:29:	And with that, let me introduce our panel for today.
00:05:31> 00:05:36:	So first, we have Brittany Ryan, responsible investment professional, Nuveen
00:05:36> 00:05:40:	real estate, Emily Westendorf, vice president for climate risk programs
00:05:41> 00:05:44:	at Fifth Third Bank, and David Rochlitz, the senior economic
00:05:44> 00:05:48:	specialist at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Thank
00:05:48> 00:05:52:	you all again for joining us for the conversation today.
00:05:54> 00:05:58:	David, let's start with you. Could you provide a brief
00:05:58> 00:06:01:	introduction to yourself and what you do at the Federal
00:06:01> 00:06:05:	Reserve? And then we'll go to Brittany and Emily and
00:06:05> 00:06:08:	then we'll dive into our questions.
00:06:09> 00:06:13:	Thanks, Billy. I'm David rajkovich. I'm a senior economic specialist

00:06:13> 00:06:16:	here at the Denver branch of the Federal Reserve, Federal
00:06:16> 00:06:19:	Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. So in addition to
00:06:20> 00:06:23:	my policy and outreach work, I also do primary research
00:06:23> 00:06:24:	both in energy and climate.
00:06:26> 00:06:30:	Great. Brittany, thank you for joining us.
00:06:30> 00:06:33:	Thanks, Billy. So I'm with Nuveen real estate. We are
00:06:33> 00:06:37:	a real estate investment manager with about 150 billion assets
00:06:37> 00:06:41:	under management. We invest globally across all major property types
00:06:41> 00:06:45:	including alternatives and I am on the SG sustainability team
00:06:45> 00:06:49:	for America's focusing on ESG integration for the equity and
00:06:49> 00:06:50:	debt portfolios.
00:06:52> 00:06:54:	And Emily, welcome.
00:06:55> 00:06:58:	Hi, I'm a client risk program manager for the third.
00:06:58> 00:07:01:	I've been in banking for 10 years. I've been in
00:07:01> 00:07:04:	risk management for most of that. I've been running a
00:07:04> 00:07:07:	risk program to being in large potential risk events like
00:07:07> 00:07:11:	natural disasters and cyber attacks, risk metrics, risk governance. Like
00:07:11> 00:07:14:	I said, I'm Mr. Third Bank. For those of you
00:07:14> 00:07:17:	who aren't familiar, we are a regional bank with both
00:07:17> 00:07:20:	consumer and commercial products. We have a little over \$200
00:07:20> 00:07:23:	billion in assets and our retail footprint, which would be
00:07:23> 00:07:26:	the majority of where we're operating and servicing.
00:07:26> 00:07:29:	Customers is in the Midwest and the Southeast. So think
00:07:29> 00:07:32:	about Ohio, Indiana, Michigan all the way down to Florida.
00:07:32> 00:07:36:	We do offer a mortgage and commercial lending products in
00:07:36> 00:07:38:	nearly all 50 States and then we have offices and
00:07:38> 00:07:41:	third parties across the US and a couple of countries
00:07:41> 00:07:44:	globally as well. My focus and my current role, I'm
00:07:44> 00:07:48:	building out how my bank manages climate related risks, including
00:07:48> 00:07:51:	really the physical risks that we're focusing on today, but
00:07:51> 00:07:52:	as well as transition risk.
00:07:54> 00:07:58:	Awesome. Thanks, Emily. David, our audience is familiar with all
00:07:59> 00:08:02:	three of these companies, but they may not be familiar
00:08:02> 00:08:06:	with exactly what the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
00:08:06> 00:08:09:	does. Could you give a just a quick intro on
00:08:09> 00:08:13:	the work of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
00:08:13> 00:08:16:	and then share a little bit about why climate risks

00:08:16> 00:08:19:	are of interest to you at the bank or at
00:08:19> 00:08:20:	the reserve?
00:08:20> 00:08:23:	That's a great question. So I'll leave an outline of
00:08:23> 00:08:24:	sort of the Federal Reserve.
00:08:24> 00:08:28:	System to begin with, just to sort of baseline where
00:08:28> 00:08:31:	we fit in the Federal Reserve, U.S. central Bank, you've
00:08:32> 00:08:35:	got the Board of Governors in DC and 12 regional
00:08:35> 00:08:38:	reserve banks. We are one of those. We cover A7
00:08:38> 00:08:43:	state area central United States, so western Missouri, Nebraska, Kansas,
00:08:43> 00:08:47:	Oklahoma, Wyoming, Colorado and northern New Mexico. So we have
00:08:47> 00:08:51:	a pretty heavy footprint in agriculture and energy. So those
00:08:51> 00:08:53:	are two two major industries.
00:08:54> 00:08:57:	That we look at and also those are those are
00:08:57> 00:09:00:	industries that are part and parcel to sort of the
00:09:00> 00:09:04:	climate discussion with respect to the work that we do
00:09:04> 00:09:07:	at the the Kansas City fed as it relates to
00:09:07> 00:09:10:	climate. We we like to focus our work be it
00:09:10> 00:09:13:	you know research or policy work sort of in the
00:09:13> 00:09:16:	industries and within the region that we focus on. So
00:09:17> 00:09:20:	you know with respect to climate we've done some work
00:09:20> 00:09:24:	on drought in the agricultural sector and how that natural
00:09:24> 00:09:24:	hazard.
00:09:24> 00:09:28:	Effects on that industry and those actors, we've also done
00:09:28> 00:09:31:	some work more broadly on sort of sea level rise
00:09:31> 00:09:34:	and real estate markets because we have the scale and
00:09:34> 00:09:37:	sort of ability to do that. But and then additionally
00:09:38> 00:09:42:	on the transitional risk side, energy systems are transitioning. So
00:09:42> 00:09:45:	when we think about the energy sector within our district
00:09:45> 00:09:48:	or nationally or globally, we've got sort of our ear
00:09:48> 00:09:51:	to the ground on you know how that how that
00:09:51> 00:09:54:	industry is transitioning. So what areas are on the decline
00:09:55> 00:09:57:	and sort of what areas are on the rise.
00:09:59> 00:10:02:	It's in your view, how how significant are these risks
00:10:02> 00:10:05:	and what, what steps could we take to mitigate them?
00:10:05> 00:10:08:	It's a big question. You just scratch the surface maybe
00:10:08> 00:10:09:	to start.
00:10:09> 00:10:13:	It's a great question and I'll probably give you a
00:10:13> 00:10:17:	somewhat elaborate non answer. So I'll start with, you know
00:10:17> 00:10:21:	the Federal Reserve isn't a climate policy maker, so we're
00:10:21> 00:10:24:	not that. When we think about the significance of risk,

00:10:24> 00:10:28:	it's wide-ranging. So I think it's important to define your
00:10:28> 00:10:30:	terms when you think about.
00:10:30> 00:10:33:	Climate risk. And then you can get into the specifics
00:10:33> 00:10:36:	of those things. So, you know, are we talking about
00:10:36> 00:10:40:	physical risks, natural hazards or are we talking about transitional
00:10:40> 00:10:43:	risk? If we're talking about physical risk, it's a question
00:10:43> 00:10:46:	of which hazard connected to which industry. So for example,
00:10:46> 00:10:50:	I've already mentioned drought in the agricultural sector, drought, water
00:10:50> 00:10:54:	management, it's a significant risk for certain components of the
00:10:54> 00:10:58:	agricultural sector, especially if they don't have access to irrigation,
00:10:58> 00:11:00:	if we're talking about hurricane sea level rise.
00:11:00> 00:11:04:	For coastal inundation, you're thinking about low lying areas and
00:11:04> 00:11:08:	coastal real estate markets. You know, notably if you're in
00:11:08> 00:11:12:	the southeastern United States, those hazards can be significant.
00:11:12> 00:11:16:	And then if we're talking about transitional risks, you know,
00:11:16> 00:11:21:	energy systems are transitioning. So you've got fossil fuel industries
00:11:21> 00:11:24:	where you know, high carbon intensity producers might be at
00:11:25> 00:11:28:	risk. Whereas on the other side of the equation, what
00:11:28> 00:11:31:	we're seeing in our district is a rise in the
00:11:31> 00:11:35:	renewable energy sector. So there are opportunities for you know,
00:11:35> 00:11:40:	lower carbon, you know, energy systems, transmission, storage, those types
00:11:40> 00:11:42:	of things. So you know, long story, longer.
00:11:43> 00:11:45:	I think you got to be specific about the risk
00:11:45> 00:11:48:	and specifically about the industry that we're talking about. And
00:11:48> 00:11:51:	those risks are significant for some, but maybe less so
00:11:51> 00:11:51:	for others.
00:11:53> 00:11:57:	So from the whole economy to a commercial banks investment
00:11:57> 00:12:00:	landscape, I'd like to turn it over to Emily. Emily,
00:12:00> 00:12:04:	could you share a little bit about why Fifth Third
00:12:04> 00:12:08:	got into climate risk analysis and and what you're doing
00:12:08> 00:12:12:	right now to better assess climate risk for your investment
00:12:12> 00:12:13:	portfolio?
00:12:15> 00:12:19:	Yeah. So for us, climate risk became a focus because

00:12:19> 00:12:23: 00:12:23> 00:12:26:	there was a lot of investor conversation around climate risk,
00:12:26> 00:12:20:	you know, ESG risks as well. And so that's kind
00:12:30> 00:12:34:	of what I originally started the conversation over the last few years, obviously we've seen regulators like the Fed and
00:12:34> 00:12:38:	the OCC that we're accountable to really bringing that up
00:12:38> 00:12:41:	as part of the conversation as well, when you think
00:12:42> 00:12:45:	about things like a variety of, you know, natural disaster.
00:12:46> 00:12:49:	Events and think about the fact that we do have
00:12:49> 00:12:53:	Florida is a really key player within our footprint that's
00:12:53> 00:12:56:	continued to bring climate risk to the focus.
00:12:57> 00:13:00:	And what what type of tools are you using to
00:13:00> 00:13:02:	help you do this climate risk assessment?
00:13:04> 00:13:07:	Yeah. So we are thinking about it both ways. You
00:13:07> 00:13:09:	know, I think those of you who might be a
00:13:09> 00:13:12:	little bit more familiar there, those risks associated with the
00:13:13> 00:13:16:	transition to a lower carbon economy, we call them transition
00:13:16> 00:13:19:	risks and then there's also the physical risk. So physical
00:13:19> 00:13:22:	risks I think have been more so the focus for
00:13:22> 00:13:24:	us, if we get started and I think that's got
00:13:24> 00:13:27:	a lot to do with that tangible nature of physical
00:13:27> 00:13:30:	risk, it's a little bit easier to understand. And so
00:13:30> 00:13:32:	for us, the key tool that we're using is the
00:13:32> 00:13:34:	data sets offered by 1st St.
00:13:34> 00:13:35:	Foundation.
00:13:39> 00:13:41:	Brittany, let's kick it over to you.
00:13:42> 00:13:46:	Large real estate investment management portfolio. How? How are you
00:13:46> 00:13:50:	assessing risks and what sorts of tools are you using
00:13:50> 00:13:53:	right now to assess client risk in your portfolio?
00:13:54> 00:13:56:	Yeah. So, uh, we have I, I would say boil
00:13:56> 00:13:59:	it down to it's about getting the data, building a
00:13:59> 00:14:03:	process for embedding that into your investment process and
	then
00:14:03> 00:14:06:	reporting it out. So for the data, we procure climate
00:14:07> 00:14:10:	analytics firms to help us understand that actual portfolio risk
00:14:10> 00:14:13:	in the future at a regional level. And then we
00:14:13> 00:14:17:	also leverage additional tools like First streets risk factor to
00:14:17> 00:14:21:	really drill down on those property level details. So that's
00:14:21> 00:14:24:	step one on, OK, let's let's get an understanding of
00:14:24> 00:14:25:	what the risk is.
00:14:25> 00:14:28:	And then for the process, what we've done is built
00:14:29> 00:14:33:	a ESG evaluation process that requires our investment and
	portfolio

00:14:33> 00:14:36:	teams to screen for that risk that we flagged, build
00:14:36> 00:14:39:	it into the underwriting and then identify or budget for
00:14:39> 00:14:44:	mitigation measures. So the toolkit that we've developed provides different
00:14:44> 00:14:49:	thresholds per climate hazard, the downside scenario assumptions to consider,
00:14:49> 00:14:53:	the mitigation measures that should be either budgeted or identified
00:14:53> 00:14:56:	as in place and then the types of things that.
00:14:56> 00:15:00:	That we recommend our investment teams build into their financial
00:15:00> 00:15:04:	assumptions would be at the building level, maybe repair maintenance
00:15:04> 00:15:09:	cost, the cost to install mitigation measures increases to utility
00:15:09> 00:15:12:	costs because of of of these physical impacts. And then
00:15:12> 00:15:16:	maybe even at the market level of considering to expand
00:15:16> 00:15:19:	their cap rate because there may be reduced rental demand
00:15:19> 00:15:24:	or just market shifts investors less interested in certain areas.
00:15:24> 00:15:27:	So these are the types of assumptions that we advise.
00:15:27> 00:15:31:	Our investment teams to take into account to actually consider
00:15:31> 00:15:34:	these these risks and then I noted another component of
00:15:34> 00:15:37:	that is for reporting. So then all that data that
00:15:37> 00:15:41:	we've collected to map against our portfolio, what is that
00:15:41> 00:15:44:	risk? We have internal dashboards to present that back to
00:15:44> 00:15:48:	the investment teams. Our portfolio managers can see what is
00:15:48> 00:15:51:	their percent AUM exposed, how do they want to reallocate
00:15:51> 00:15:55:	going forward and then similarly our asset managers get that
00:15:55> 00:15:58:	information so they can during the budget.
00:15:58> 00:16:01:	Season, see what are we exposed to what, what mitigation
00:16:01> 00:16:04:	measures do we perhaps need to include in the budget
00:16:04> 00:16:07:	for the upcoming year and then of course external reporting
00:16:08> 00:16:11:	to investors. So that's that's really how we're incorporating the
00:16:11> 00:16:15:	considerations of climate risk in our in our whole process.
00:16:16> 00:16:19:	Right. So stepping back, what, what drove you to do
00:16:19> 00:16:21:	this and what do you think is driving other real
00:16:21> 00:16:25:	estate investment managers to take the step of doing this
00:16:25> 00:16:28:	type of physical and transition client risk assessment?
00:16:28> 00:16:31:	Yeah. So I will wholeheartedly echo what Emily said and
00:16:32> 00:16:35:	unpack that a little further. So All in all, climate
00:16:35> 00:16:39:	risk is financial risk, right? It's our fiduciary duty on

00:16:39> 00:16:43:	behalf of our investors to manage risks to investment performance
00:16:44> 00:16:46:	and capital raising. So climate change.
00:16:46> 00:16:50:	In transition risk, which Emily clearly defined, they pose potentially
00:16:50> 00:16:54:	material risks to the business and it is just inherently
00:16:54> 00:16:57:	part of responsible investing to actually make sure these are
00:16:57> 00:17:02:	systematically considered. So more specifically, as Emily mentioned, it's about
00:17:02> 00:17:06:	capital, so existing and potential clients, investors, they want to
00:17:06> 00:17:09:	see not only what is portfolio exposure, but how is
00:17:09> 00:17:12:	that being factored into the decision making, how are portfolio
00:17:12> 00:17:17:	managers reallocating their fund accordingly or actually mitigating the risk
00:17:17> 00:17:18:	that is identified.
00:17:18> 00:17:22:	Within the portfolio, it's also about compliance with which Emily
00:17:22> 00:17:26:	mentioned and that's twofold. There's major national and regulation
00:17:26> 00:17:30:	requiring climate risk disclosure. So very robust, as robust as
00:17:30> 00:17:34:	your financial reporting. What is that exposure? But then there's
00:17:34> 00:17:37:	also on the flip side, local ordinances that are putting
00:17:37> 00:17:41:	energy and carbon limits, carbon limits on buildings. So you
00:17:41> 00:17:44:	face fines with not not keeping up with the market
00:17:44> 00:17:47:	in that way either. And then I would say also
00:17:47> 00:17:48:	it's about consumers.
00:17:48> 00:17:51:	As a real estate industry, right, we do have to
00:17:51> 00:17:54:	pay attention to what our tenants are looking for and
00:17:54> 00:17:57:	major blue chip tenants have their own SG goals and
00:17:57> 00:18:01:	are either demanding green buildings or having those conversations of
00:18:01> 00:18:04:	what can be done so that that building can fit
00:18:04> 00:18:06:	their own goals. So and then I would also add
00:18:06> 00:18:09:	it's it's not just Nuveen real estate doing this in
00:18:09> 00:18:13:	terms of investment managers and we're all keeping each other
00:18:13> 00:18:17:	honest and keeping open communication because we really are all
00:18:17> 00:18:19:	working toward the same goal, so.
00:18:19> 00:18:22:	You have your your fellow investment managers doing the same
00:18:22> 00:18:25:	thing, thinking about this and really trying to move in

00:18:25> 00:18:26:	that same direction.
00:18:27> 00:18:30:	We've been hearing from a lot of investment managers that
00:18:30> 00:18:32:	they picked up a tool just to meet a compliance
00:18:32> 00:18:35:	threshold from an investor. And now they're looking at three
00:18:35> 00:18:39:	or four tools and they're getting insights into their portfolio
00:18:39> 00:18:42:	that they didn't expect. And some of that is complicating
00:18:42> 00:18:44:	the stakeholder engagement and and some of it is actually
00:18:45> 00:18:45:	improving it.
00:18:47> 00:18:49:	How many tools do you have right now that you're
00:18:49> 00:18:50:	looking at?
00:18:51> 00:18:53:	Well, you know, I would say a few years ago
00:18:53> 00:18:56:	it we really did have a compilation and we were
00:18:56> 00:18:59:	pulling a lot from publicly available sources like.
00:19:00> 00:19:03:	FEMA maps and wildfire risk to communities which we still
00:19:03> 00:19:07:	use just whatever and and risk factor went back in
00:19:07> 00:19:10:	the day when it was originally flood IQ and and
00:19:10> 00:19:14:	seeing that for the residential properties. So we had that.
00:19:14> 00:19:17:	We've boiled it down a little bit more but we
00:19:17> 00:19:20:	still rely on those. Like I said it's it's a
00:19:20> 00:19:23:	mix of those. A lot of the climate providers are
00:19:24> 00:19:27:	giving more of a bird's eye view right. It's this
00:19:27> 00:19:30:	regional exposure and then you need to supplement.
00:19:30> 00:19:34:	With these additional tools to get drilled down into that
00:19:34> 00:19:38:	that property level specifics and and so yeah it's it's
00:19:38> 00:19:39:	a handful I'd say.
00:19:39> 00:19:40:	Yeah.
00:19:40> 00:19:43:	Yeah, David, I realized that I didn't, I didn't ask
00:19:43> 00:19:45:	you the tool question. And I remember from our prep
00:19:45> 00:19:48:	call, some of us buy tools, some of us customized
00:19:48> 00:19:50:	tools that we buy and some of us decide we
00:19:50> 00:19:53:	need to build things somewhat from scratch. So I don't
00:19:53> 00:19:56:	know as much as you could share. Could you share
00:19:56> 00:19:59:	a little bit about the tools that you're using customizing
00:19:59> 00:20:01:	and building over the Kansas City Fed?
00:20:02> 00:20:06:	As it's similar to Brittany and Emily, we are looking
00:20:06> 00:20:09:	at some of the off the shelf tools like what
00:20:09> 00:20:12:	is provided by FEMA and Noah and you know 1st
00:20:12> 00:20:14:	St. so those are part of the toolkit when we
00:20:15> 00:20:19:	think about climate risk. But we're also primary researchers,
	so
00:20:19> 00:20:22:	we build some of our own tools using publicly available
00:20:22> 00:20:26:	data. Last year on some of my colleagues both within

00:20:26> 00:20:29:	the KC Fed and also colleagues at Noah, we had
00:20:29> 00:20:32:	a climatologist and a geographer on the on the.
00:20:32> 00:20:36:	Project, we built a tool linking, you know, sea level
00:20:36> 00:20:39:	rise risk to coastal real estate markets. So we brought
00:20:39> 00:20:43:	in publicly available geospatial data from Noah and wound up
00:20:43> 00:20:46:	the crank with, you know, some Python And other off
00:20:46> 00:20:50:	the shelf, you know, data analytics tools. And we figured
00:20:50> 00:20:54:	out an algorithm for identifying lease inundation elevation at the
00:20:54> 00:20:58:	parcel level. So in our case it was residential real
00:20:58> 00:21:01:	estate. You could apply our same toolkit to commercial real
00:21:02> 00:21:03:	estate mortgages.
00:21:03> 00:21:06:	Any unit of interest. So we were kind of agnostic
00:21:06> 00:21:10:	there. We chose residential real estate because it had full
00:21:10> 00:21:13:	coverage and then we link that into local sea level
00:21:13> 00:21:17:	rise factors with uncertainty. So we were bringing in another
00:21:17> 00:21:20:	element there and sort of merging sort of these publicly
00:21:20> 00:21:24:	available data sets, sort of best in class research, best
00:21:24> 00:21:28:	in class information from the climate side with you know,
00:21:28> 00:21:31:	latitudes and longitudes. And we did that at the parcel
00:21:31> 00:21:33:	level, aggregated that up to.
00:21:33> 00:21:36:	Your zip code, you know, metro level and provided some
00:21:36> 00:21:40:	information on when specific locations will be at risk. And
00:21:40> 00:21:43:	then the nice thing about our research is we look
00:21:43> 00:21:45:	at that as a public good. So we provide people
00:21:45> 00:21:48:	a map on how they can do some of this
00:21:48> 00:21:51:	themselves. My background prior to the KC Fed, who I
00:21:51> 00:21:55:	worked 1/2 decade with, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
00:21:55> 00:21:58:	couple years of that was that one of their data
00:21:58> 00:22:01:	centers. So it's been amazing to me to see the
00:22:01> 00:22:04:	evolution of the tools that can help you build tools.
00:22:04> 00:22:06:	So when I was working at the lab in Boulder,
00:22:06> 00:22:09:	if you would have told me 10 years from now,
00:22:09> 00:22:12:	you could take this publicly available data, you know, with
00:22:12> 00:22:15:	relatively limited, you know, skill and backgrounds, bring a few
00:22:16> 00:22:18:	people in the room and then put together your own
00:22:18> 00:22:21:	data product that no one else has done. And oh,
00:22:21> 00:22:23:	by the way, all the inputs are free outside of
00:22:23> 00:22:26:	your own labor. I think that's kind of amazing. So
00:22:26> 00:22:29:	it's, it's wonderful what the first streets of the world
00:22:29> 00:22:32:	are doing or to see some of these climate data

00:22:32> 00:22:34:	analytics shops, you know, build better widgets.
00:22:34> 00:22:38:	That you can then link into, you know, investment decisions
00:22:38> 00:22:41:	or, you know, specific parcels of property. But you know,
00:22:41> 00:22:44:	a lot of the upstream version of this has evolved
00:22:44> 00:22:47:	in such a fashion that people can kind of do
00:22:47> 00:22:50:	this themselves and build some of their own data products
00:22:50> 00:22:54:	which you know, frankly wasn't possible, you know, 1/2 decade
00:22:54> 00:22:55:	or a decade ago.
00:22:57> 00:23:00:	It is pretty amazing and hopefully the rest of the
00:23:00> 00:23:04:	planet will catch up with these free and accessible data
00:23:04> 00:23:07:	sets so that we can have tools like risk Factor
00:23:07> 00:23:10:	Pro that are built globally and in near future. I'm
00:23:10> 00:23:13:	going to use that as a transition question to all
00:23:13> 00:23:16:	three of you. So crystal ball and also your own
00:23:16> 00:23:19:	hopes, dreams and fears like where do you where do
00:23:19> 00:23:23:	you see this client risk analytic market going and where
00:23:23> 00:23:26:	does it need to go to solve the problems that
00:23:26> 00:23:27:	you guys now are seeing?
00:23:28> 00:23:31:	Now that you've scratched the surface of climate risk analysis,
00:23:31> 00:23:33:	I see Emily has unmuted herself. So maybe Emily, if
00:23:33> 00:23:35:	you want to weigh in on that first.
00:23:37> 00:23:40:	So I think that the companies that are offering the
00:23:40> 00:23:43:	tools and you know David was mentioning all of the
00:23:43> 00:23:46:	free source information that's out there, they're all doing the
00:23:46> 00:23:48:	right things. I think a lot of it has to
00:23:48> 00:23:51:	do with the users at this point and just getting
00:23:51> 00:23:54:	more people educated and aware of what's out there and
00:23:54> 00:23:57:	available and actually using that to think through these problems
00:23:57> 00:24:00:	at hand and make decisions. So that's where I think
00:24:00> 00:24:02:	that the biggest opportunity is Max.
00:24:07> 00:24:10:	David Brittany any thoughts on what the future should look
00:24:10> 00:24:11:	like or will look like?
00:24:12> 00:24:15:	I think, I think Emily is definitely on point there
00:24:15> 00:24:19:	with the education. So the climate information, the knowledge has
00:24:19> 00:24:22:	been out there for decades, right. If you talk to
00:24:22> 00:24:25:	a climatologist, a lot of this is old hat. It's
00:24:25> 00:24:30:	the field of economics, finance, investment portfolio management that's new
00:24:30> 00:24:33:	at this game. So it's it's on those new participants

00:24:33> 00:24:35:	who are coming to the climate.
00:24:35> 00:24:39:	You know, to educate themselves on, you know, what are
00:24:39> 00:24:42:	the real risks? What should I be focused on? You
00:24:42> 00:24:45:	know, I would love to see more conversations with people
00:24:46> 00:24:49:	in the financial sector or the real estate sector or
00:24:49> 00:24:52:	the economics field. You know, interact with folks in the
00:24:52> 00:24:56:	climate science or earth science fields. That's kind of some
00:24:56> 00:24:59:	of our work. I feel like this is a team
00:24:59> 00:25:02:	sport. It's collaborative, and there are a lot of people
00:25:02> 00:25:06:	further along on the path than folks like myself. So
00:25:06> 00:25:06:	I.
00:25:06> 00:25:09:	Benefit massively from just having a conversation. I would love
00:25:09> 00:25:11:	to see, you know, coffee with a climatologist. And if
00:25:11> 00:25:14:	there are any climatologists on the call and you're setting
00:25:14> 00:25:16:	that up, please send me a, you know, an e-mail
00:25:16> 00:25:18:	or I'd love to sit on, sit in on that
00:25:18> 00:25:20:	webinar and just absorb and learn.
00:25:21> 00:25:23:	So that's kind of my take on it. I think
00:25:23> 00:25:25:	it's collaborative. I think it's connecting with people who are
00:25:26> 00:25:28:	further along on the path and sort of learning quickly
00:25:28> 00:25:30:	so that you can better apply some of the off
00:25:30> 00:25:32:	the shelf solutions that are being developed.
00:25:35> 00:25:38:	Great. I think a challenge too is, you know, addressing
00:25:38> 00:25:41:	an elephant in the room, I guess is that a
00:25:41> 00:25:45:	lot of climate risk providers don't necessarily have the same
00:25:45> 00:25:48:	results. And so that is a challenge that we have
00:25:49> 00:25:52:	to deal with and really erodes trust across the board.
00:25:52> 00:25:56:	I see it with our leadership like they're hesitant to
00:25:56> 00:26:01:	move forward with adopting certain climate data providers because there's
00:26:01> 00:26:04:	that question of it's a business, right? So how much
00:26:04> 00:26:05:	of this?
00:26:05> 00:26:09:	Is is accurate, is precise. Why does it differ across
00:26:09> 00:26:13:	different providers that that immediately like I said that just
00:26:13> 00:26:16:	erodes trust. So I think there and and and we
00:26:16> 00:26:19:	know that industry is growing ESG is less of a
00:26:20> 00:26:22:	check the box but still kind of vote as I
00:26:22> 00:26:27:	noted all those drivers before it's growing and there's just
00:26:27> 00:26:31:	greater demand and so I think that the technology companies
00:26:31> 00:26:35:	that climate providers need to to be prepared and and.
00:26:35> 00:26:38:	Evolve and adapt, uh, with with the market, right, it's

00:26:38> 00:26:42:	a fast-paced industry, everyone's waking up at the same time
00:26:42> 00:26:45:	and the tech firms have to be willing to deliver
00:26:45> 00:26:49: 00:26:49> 00:26:53:	quality data quickly and to tailor their products in the
00:26:53> 00:26:56:	way that the users actually need it, right? More transparency
00:26:56> 00:27:00:	can't be so closed off on what are the assumptions,
	what are the methodologies going into this? Where are you
00:27:00> 00:27:03:	getting your data? We don't want your own spin on
00:27:03> 00:27:05:	it. We want the data and so we can make
00:27:05> 00:27:06:	it.
00:27:06> 00:27:09:	Informed decision and and so I I think providers really
00:27:09> 00:27:12:	need to to step up and and understand that's the
00:27:12> 00:27:13:	game we're playing.
00:27:15> 00:27:18:	If I could add something to what Brittany said really
00:27:18> 00:27:21:	quick, I think it's also the companies that are on
00:27:21> 00:27:25:	the receiving end of that data being open minded to
00:27:25> 00:27:29:	challenging their initial assumptions. So this is something we've been
00:27:29> 00:27:33:	talking about as banks, especially looking at data about where
00:27:33> 00:27:37:	flooding is probable. I think Chicago is probably the a
00:27:37> 00:27:40:	great example of that. I think most people got reaction
00:27:40> 00:27:44:	wouldn't be that Chicago is a really risky area, but
00:27:44> 00:27:45:	then if you ask yourself.
00:27:45> 00:27:48:	So why am I seeing this and dive in deeper,
00:27:48> 00:27:51:	you start to understand and So what what I've been
00:27:51> 00:27:54:	working on and what other banks have been working on
00:27:54> 00:27:57:	is how do we challenge our internal stakeholders to be
00:27:57> 00:28:00:	open to these new types of information and really think
00:28:00> 00:28:03:	about the why and challenging that conventional wisdom.
00:28:06> 00:28:08:	And I'll say that, you know, I'll go back to
00:28:08> 00:28:10:	the connecting with the experts outside of, you know, the
00:28:10> 00:28:12:	field of investment or banking I think that.
00:28:13> 00:28:16:	In my experience you know, working with folks and some
00:28:16> 00:28:19:	of the government agencies that deal on this on on
00:28:19> 00:28:22:	the day-to-day, they're, they're extraordinarily open and very helpful. If
00:28:22> 00:28:25:	you have some questions and you can get to the
00:28:25> 00:28:28:	right expert which can be a challenge admittedly. But once
00:28:28> 00:28:31:	you get there they're, they're really happy to have their
00:28:31> 00:28:33:	work count and sort of see people in the real
00:28:33> 00:28:36:	world making good decisions or better decisions off of the
00:28:36> 00:28:39:	information that they're providing. And that can be a good
00:28:39> 00:28:41:	counterpoint or sort of a you know.

00:28:41> 00:28:44: Second sort of focal point to sort of ground truth 00:28:44> 00:28:46: some of the information that you know there is a 00:28:46> 00:28:51: some of these data products that are being. 00:28:56> 00:28:59: All right. So I'd like to move to some audience 00:28:05> 00:29:05: questions now. One of the first ones that I saw 00:29:02> 00:29:01: come in was what other tools are out there and 00:29:03> 00:29:01: for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd 00:29:16> 00:29:11: were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation at a really exciting time in their development where they 00:29:21: -> 00:29:22: had all of the analytics built out, but they had 00:29:23> 00:29:23: rot. reports or some of the key components of the dashboard. 00:29:23> 00:29:33: rot. reports or some of the key to be hearing from our Members to 00:29:30> 00:29:34: boi they on they they bape what the tool looked like and what 00:29:31> 00:29:35: So it was a chance for you alive members to 00:29:32> 00:29:48: Did not feel that all of the reports they were 00:29:42> 00:29:48: Did not feel that all of these tools are <t< th=""><th>00:28:41> 00:28:44:</th><th>Second part of feed point to part of ground truth</th></t<>	00:28:41> 00:28:44:	Second part of feed point to part of ground truth
00:28:46> 00:28:49sort of buyer be aware that dynamic and you know00:28:49> 00:28:51some kick the tires that you have to do with00:28:51> 00:28:53some of these data products that are being,00:28:56> 00:29:05All right. So I'd like to move to some audience00:29:02> 00:29:05questions now. One of the first ones that I saw00:29:02> 00:29:05for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:23> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26> 00:29:26:hoad all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:27:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:27:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:26> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:37> 00:29:39:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:37> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:42:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:43> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:48> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:54:was ome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:02> 00:30:05:wascome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:02> 00:30:16:will		
00:28:49> 00:28:51some kick the tires that you have to do with00:28:51> 00:28:53some of these data products that are being.00:28:56> 00:29:02:questions now. One of the first ones that I saw00:29:02> 00:29:05:come in was what other tools are out there and00:29:05> 00:29:01:justinke to start from the UI perspectively pick first St. tool00:29:05> 00:29:02:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:03> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:17> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation00:29:26> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:33> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:34:so that are working with the tool looked like and what00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:42> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:56> 00:29:56:getting from all of the set stakeholders and make informed00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:56> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:02> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:29:56> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:02> 00:30:01:will tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:		
00:28:51 -> 00:28:53some of these data products that are being.00:28:56 -> 00:28:59All right. So I'd like to move to some audience00:28:59 -> 00:29:02:questions now. One of the first ones that I saw00:29:02 -> 00:29:05:come in was what other tools are out there and00:29:05 -> 00:29:01:how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool00:29:16 -> 00:29:11:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:16 -> 00:29:13:were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation00:29:19 -> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26 -> 00:29:26:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26 -> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30 -> 00:29:31:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33 -> 00:29:33:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:42: -> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42: -> 00:29:45:bid not field that all of the reports they were00:29:54: -> 00:29:54:bid not field that all of these tools are00:29:54: -> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02:55: -> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02:55: -> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:29:56: -> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:02:-> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:03: -> 00:30:01:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a <th></th> <th></th>		
00:28:56> 00:28:59All right. So I'd like to move to some audience00:28:59> 00:29:02:questions now. One of the first ones that I saw00:29:05> 00:29:05:come in was what other tools are out there and00:29:05> 00:29:01:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13> 00:29:12:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:14> 00:29:13:were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation00:29:19> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:31:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:30> 00:29:35:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:30> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:31> 00:29:43:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:44> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:45> 00:29:59:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:54> 00:29:55:need to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:55> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL 100:30:02> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:03> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:17:Ve're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:17>		-
$00:28:59 \rightarrow 00:29:02:$ questions now. One of the first ones that I saw $00:29:02 \rightarrow 00:29:05:$ come in was what other tools are out there and $00:29:05 \rightarrow 00:29:09:$ how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool $00:29:09 \rightarrow 00:29:12:$ for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd $00:29:13 \rightarrow 00:29:16:$ just like to start from the UI perspective. We we $00:29:16 \rightarrow 00:29:12:$ were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation $00:29:23 \rightarrow 00:29:26:$ had all of the analytics built out, but they had $00:29:26 \rightarrow 00:29:26:$ not. $00:29:30 \rightarrow 00:29:30:$ Figured out how they were going to develop property level $00:29:33 \rightarrow 00:29:33:$ so it was a chance for you alive members to $00:29:34 \rightarrow 00:29:42:$ the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members $00:29:45 \rightarrow 00:29:45:$ that one of their main concerns was that they. $00:29:45 \rightarrow 00:29:45:$ Did not feel that all of the reports they were $00:29:46 \rightarrow 00:29:52:$ getting from all other providers were giving them what they $00:29:47 \rightarrow 00:29:45:$ Did not feel that all of these tools are $00:29:48 \rightarrow 00:29:52:$ that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I $00:29:56 \rightarrow 00:30:05:$ that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I $00:30:05 \rightarrow 00:30:01:$ will add that we think all of these tools are $00:30:09 \rightarrow 00:30:12:$ We're going to work to make our Members aware of $00:30:09 \rightarrow 00:30:14:$ all the tools that we know about. I think that $00:30:09 \rightarrow 00:30:14:$ all the tools will here, including free tools from people <th></th> <th></th>		
00:29:02 -> 00:29:05:come in was what other tools are out there and how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool00:29:05 -> 00:29:12:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13 -> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:16 -> 00:29:17:were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation00:29:19 -> 00:29:26:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26 -> 00:29:26:not.00:29:30 -> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:31 -> 00:29:32:so it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:32 -> 00:29:33:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:34 -> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:44 -> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:52 -> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:54 -> 00:29:52:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:55 -> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders are00:30:02 -> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:09 -> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:01 -> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:02 -> 00:30:15:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:02 -> 00:30:14:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:16 -> 00:30:17:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:17 -		5
00:29:05> 00:29:09:how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool00:29:09> 00:29:12:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:16> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation00:29:23> 00:29:26:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26> 00:29:30:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:37> 00:29:38:so it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:38> 00:29:38:so it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:42> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:43:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:52> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:54> 00:29:55:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:55> 00:30:05:were apong to work to make our Members aware of00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:09> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:17:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:17> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:17> 00:30:21:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:19> 00:30:32:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:30>		•
00:29:09> 00:29:12:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:16> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation00:29:19> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26> 00:29:30:hod all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:26> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:37> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:44> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:56> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:07> 00:30:16:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:17> 00:30:18:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:27:Ike Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:19> 00:30:27:Ike Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:17> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:-> 00:30:34:<		
00:29:13 -> 00:29:16:just like to start from the U perspective. We we00:29:16 -> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation00:29:19 -> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:24 -> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26 -> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30 -> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:31 -> 00:29:32:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:32 -> 00:29:32:so it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:33 -> 00:29:34:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42 -> 00:29:42:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:43 -> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:44 -> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of these tools are00:29:52 -> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:54 -> 00:29:55:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:55 -> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:02 -> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:03:02 -> 00:30:12:Ve're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:11 -> 00:30:12:Ve're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12 -> 00:30:12:Ve're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:13 -> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:19 -> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19		
00:29:16> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation00:29:19> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:24> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level oreports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:30> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:42> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:45> 00:29:45:bid not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:45> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:54> 00:29:55:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:02:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:11> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then0		C C
Foundation00:29:19> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:23> 00:29:26:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:35:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:37> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:54> 00:29:56:petiting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL 100:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL 100:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:01: -> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:21:Idv fools out there, including free tools from people00:30:19> 00:30:30:Where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and the		
00:29:23> 00:29:26:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:35:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:35> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:54> 00:29:55:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:03> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:12> 00:30:01:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:27:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:where you can work with a softwa	00:29:16> 00:29:19:	
00:29:26> 00:29:36:not.00:29:30> 00:29:33:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:33> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:35> 00:29:36:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:37> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:45> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:54> 00:29:56:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:56> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:11:Our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:16> 00:30:12:Id of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:17> 00:30:22:Id of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:19> 00:30:22:Id of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineeri	00:29:19> 00:29:23:	at a really exciting time in their development where they
00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:36:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:35> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:45> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:01:will regoing to work to make our Members aware of00:30:16> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:16> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:22:Iot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:32:Iot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:30> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisi	00:29:23> 00:29:26:	had all of the analytics built out, but they had
 00:29:30> 00:29:33: reports or some of the key components of the dashboard. 00:29:33> 00:29:35: So it was a chance for you alive members to 00:29:35> 00:29:38: actually help shape what the tool looked like and what 00:29:39> 00:29:42: the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members 00:29:42> 00:29:45: that one of their main concerns was that they. 00:29:45> 00:29:48: Did not feel that all of the reports they were 00:29:52> 00:29:52: getting from all other providers were giving them what they 00:29:52> 00:29:56: needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So 00:29:56> 00:29:59: that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I 00:29:59> 00:30:01: will add that we think all of these tools are 00:30:02> 00:30:05: awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable 00:30:09> 00:30:12: We're going to work to make our Members aware of 00:30:12> 00:30:14: all the tools that we know about. I think that 00:30:15> 00:30:19: 28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a 00:30:19> 00:30:27: like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics 00:30:27> 00:30:30: where you can work with a software provider and then 00:30:30> 00:30:34: bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help 00:30:34> 00:30:38: you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on 	00:29:26> 00:29:26:	not.
00:29:33> 00:29:35:So it was a chance for you alive members to actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:35> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:45> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:56> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:09> 00:30:01:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:12> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:13> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:27> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:26> 00:29:30:	Figured out how they were going to develop property level
00:29:35> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:12> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:21> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:30> 00:29:33:	reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.
00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:11> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:15:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:Iot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:21> 00:30:21:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:22> 00:30:32:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:27> 00:30:33:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:33> 00:29:35:	So it was a chance for you alive members to
00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:03> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:13> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:23:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34> 00:30:34:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:35> 00:29:38:	actually help shape what the tool looked like and what
00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:03> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:19> 00:30:11:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:22> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:39> 00:29:42:	the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members
00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:19> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:21> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:42> 00:29:45:	that one of their main concerns was that they.
00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:12> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34> 00:30:34:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:45> 00:29:48:	Did not feel that all of the reports they were
decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:48> 00:29:52:	getting from all other providers were giving them what they
00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:30> 00:30:34:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:52> 00:29:56:	
00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:56> 00:29:59:	that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I
00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:21> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:29:59> 00:30:01:	will add that we think all of these tools are
understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:02> 00:30:05:	awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable
00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:05> 00:30:09:	
00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:09> 00:30:12:	We're going to work to make our Members aware of
00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:12> 00:30:14:	all the tools that we know about. I think that
00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:15> 00:30:16:	our list is like.
00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:16> 00:30:19:	28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a
analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:19> 00:30:22:	lot of tools out there, including free tools from people
00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:22> 00:30:27:	like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated
00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on		-
help 00:30:34> 00:30:38: you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on		
00:30:34> 00:30:38: you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on	00:30:30> 00:30:34:	
	00:30:34> 00:30:38:	you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on

00:30:38> 00:30:40:	the elimete data is telling you
00:30:38> 00:30:40:	the climate data is telling you. Anybody else want to share either some other tools that
00:30:44> 00:30:46:	they know of in the market or a little bit
00:30:47> 00:30:49:	on how they decided to work with risk factor Pro
00:30:47> 00:30:49. 00:30:49> 00:30:51:	among their other tools, Emily?
00:30:52> 00:30:56:	
00:30:56> 00:30:59:	Yeah. So I think that originally the relationship with with first rate and risk factor came out of some interest
00:30:59> 00:31:02:	from one of our board members and then some experience
00:31:02> 00:31:06:	from like our Geospatial Sciences team and they ran a
00:31:06> 00:31:09:	pilot using that information. And then you know the pilot
00:31:09> 00:31:12:	was really interesting. The data was easy to work with
00:31:12> 00:31:15:	for sure. It was easy to work with. And so
00:31:15> 00:31:18:	we continue to expand that other data providers that I've
00:31:18> 00:31:22:	heard of that seem really interesting and very credible I
00:31:22> 00:31:22:	would say.
00:31:22> 00:31:26:	Jupiter is another one very much focused on the physical
00:31:26> 00:31:29:	space. I think would have you know very similar types
00:31:29> 00:31:31:	of of data sets. We have a separate vendor on
00:31:32> 00:31:34:	the transition risk side and I will I will share
00:31:34> 00:31:37:	them just because they are about to expand I believe
00:31:37> 00:31:41:	into CRE and transition risk which may be very interesting
00:31:41> 00:31:44:	for those on this call and that's a company called
00:31:44> 00:31:46:	Oak N so it sounds like you know not just
00:31:46> 00:31:49:	as N but I think others too are also starting
00:31:49> 00:31:51:	to build out those CRE transition tools.
00:31:54> 00:31:55:	Great.
00:31:56> 00:31:59:	I would add Billy there LaSalle put out a report
00:31:59> 00:32:03:	and UL I right I believe that evaluated climate risk
00:32:03> 00:32:06:	providers and more so how you go about evaluating climate
00:32:07> 00:32:10:	risk providers and the questions to ask. So I would
00:32:10> 00:32:13:	I think we could link that send it in the
00:32:13> 00:32:16:	the Q&A or the chat and that might I think
00:32:16> 00:32:19:	is a good a good tool to start with. There
00:32:19> 00:32:21:	are so many out there and it you can it
00:32:21> 00:32:24:	can feel crazy like which one do you go with
00:32:24> 00:32:26:	we've changed ours.
00:32:26> 00:32:29:	So I think that's also recognizing when one is just
00:32:29> 00:32:32:	not serving they've served a need and maybe now you
00:32:32> 00:32:35:	have a new need and so you need to evolve
00:32:35> 00:32:38:	and and switch providers and I think that's a healthy
00:32:38> 00:32:42:	thing to evaluate. So keeping that and and I would
00:32:42> 00:32:45:	say that there's actually kind of two ways to to
	-

00:32:45> 00:32:47:	look at it. There's data and and and more of
00:32:47> 00:32:51:	that regional sense that I think drives. I was saying
00:32:51> 00:32:52:	this before some of those.
00:32:53> 00:32:56:	Strategic direction that we might want to go as a
00:32:56> 00:32:59:	regional what, what might be the risks, where do we
00:32:59> 00:33:02:	think there are these market level shifts and how we
00:33:02> 00:33:05:	might adjust our investment strategy at a higher level. And
00:33:06> 00:33:09:	then there's needing to know specifically what is the building's
00:33:09> 00:33:12:	probability of getting hit with with a hazard and to
00:33:12> 00:33:15:	what extent is that. And so those are two different
00:33:15> 00:33:19:	stakeholders, right. One might be the portfolio manager and the
00:33:19> 00:33:22:	the leadership of the business, but when I'm talking to
00:33:22> 00:33:24:	a transaction officer like.
00:33:24> 00:33:27:	We're not going to be talking about lofty market decisions.
00:33:28> 00:33:30:	They need to know on this deal what is the
00:33:30> 00:33:33:	risk and how do they underwrite it. And so I
00:33:33> 00:33:36:	that's where risk factor I think really filled a gap
00:33:36> 00:33:39:	and a need that was so desperately needed and missing
00:33:40> 00:33:43:	of what is that probability and what is the extent
00:33:43> 00:33:46:	of that damage or hazard or risk. And then that
00:33:46> 00:33:48:	is something that has a number and can bring it
00:33:49> 00:33:52:	to a transaction officer in the way that they want
00:33:52> 00:33:54:	to see it and can do something with that.
00:33:54> 00:33:58:	Information. So it's also thinking, pulling it apart a little
00:33:58> 00:34:00:	bit that there's different ways you want to use it,
00:34:00> 00:34:04:	climate data and and different stakeholder groups to to work
00:34:04> 00:34:04:	with.
00:34:05> 00:34:08:	I know these are tough questions, but there are a
00:34:08> 00:34:13:	couple rolling in for Brittany really quickly. Could you share
00:34:13> 00:34:16:	whether your analysis is leading you to stay away from
00:34:16> 00:34:20:	a specific asset or specific region or is it more
00:34:20> 00:34:21:	nuanced than that?
00:34:21> 00:34:25:	It's definitely more nuanced. We pretty much have, you know
00:34:25> 00:34:28:	our MO is no, we're not redlining, that's not not
00:34:28> 00:34:33:	smart business strategy, it's and again that's why risk factor
00:34:33> 00:34:36:	has been great because if you just looked at a
00:34:36> 00:34:37:	regional analysis.
00:34:37> 00:34:40:	You said this area is that increasing risk of flood,
00:34:40> 00:34:44:	great. But there are pockets within there that aren't and
00:34:44> 00:34:48:	have inherently more resilient areas or there's things you could

00:34:48> 00:34:50:	do and you have to look at market signals and
00:34:50> 00:34:53:	the rental growth is there in Phoenix despite the the
00:34:53> 00:34:57:	water stress and the heat stress and the rental growth
00:34:57> 00:35:00:	is there in Miami despite the flood risk. So we're
00:35:00> 00:35:03:	not necessarily going to just up and pull out, but
00:35:03> 00:35:06:	let's think about how we can have a more resilient
00:35:06> 00:35:07:	approach and strategy.
00:35:07> 00:35:10:	But it is about keeping a pulse on that and
00:35:10> 00:35:14:	thinking what could be those secondary or tertiary markets that
00:35:14> 00:35:16:	we want to move into. And and again that's where
00:35:17> 00:35:20:	that long term strategy comes in simultaneous to what could
00:35:20> 00:35:23:	we do immediately with the assets that we already own
00:35:23> 00:35:26:	or the ones that you know are are in regions
00:35:26> 00:35:29:	that are still hot markets. So it's definitely nuanced.
00:35:30> 00:35:34:	Thanks. There's a question about downside risks, and I think
00:35:34> 00:35:37:	this is a good broad question for everybody. Do you
00:35:38> 00:35:42:	feel, based on your scope of investment analysis or economic
00:35:42> 00:35:46:	analysis, that the downside risk is quantifiable, that it's fully
00:35:46> 00:35:49:	quantified and that it's being priced in yet or are
00:35:50> 00:35:54:	there still some significant market failures that are holding that
00:35:54> 00:35:54:	back?
00:35:56> 00:35:59:	I can speak to that one, Billy, not so much
00:35:59> 00:36:02:	on whether or not it's priced in exactly. I think
00:36:02> 00:36:04:	that's a that's a bit of a moving target. But
00:36:04> 00:36:07:	from the work that we did on sea level rise
00:36:07> 00:36:10:	last year, there is asymmetry of risk when you look
00:36:10> 00:36:13:	at the full spectrum of uncertainty, let's say within a
00:36:13> 00:36:16:	specific climate scenario. So if you look at the sea
00:36:16> 00:36:19:	level rise trajectories and you look at the 10 to
00:36:19> 00:36:23:	90th percentile within those trajectories and you do the adding
00:36:23> 00:36:25:	up by a metro, what we found in our analysis
00:36:25> 00:36:26:	is that.
00:36:26> 00:36:29:	There is an asymmetry of risk to the downside and
00:36:29> 00:36:32:	certain low lying coastal markets and then it is difficult
00:36:33> 00:36:36:	to assess whether or not that's fully priced in because
00:36:36> 00:36:38:	that is based off of the type of work that
00:36:38> 00:36:43:	Brittany and Emily are doing on investor preferences. What information
00:36:43> 00:36:46:	are they bringing on board? Is everybody bringing on board

00:36:46> 00:36:49: 00:36:49> 00:36:52: 00:36:52> 00:36:55: 00:36:55> 00:36:56: 00:36:57> 00:37:01: 00:37:01> 00:37:04: 00:37:04> 00:37:07:	the same information and reading at the same way when they make decisions? So I think there's a lot of work yet to be done on whether or not you can say it's fully priced. But the uncertainty, I think is a big, big piece that is probably not fully understood yet and is a real challenge for kind of anyone doing sort of the
00:37:08> 00:37:11:	more difficult work on climate analytics and climate risk.
00:37:16> 00:37:18:	So what do you think, Brittany, Emily, from where you
00:37:18> 00:37:21:	sit, how how is it going in terms of being
00:37:21> 00:37:23:	able to quantify these these risks at this point?
00:37:26> 00:37:29:	Yeah, I would I would echo what what David saying
00:37:29> 00:37:32:	about the quantification piece and again this is something we
00:37:32> 00:37:36:	were talking about as as banks you know most immediately
00:37:36> 00:37:39:	we're focused on that direct damage to physical assets or
00:37:39> 00:37:42:	damage to our clients that would result in potential credit
00:37:42> 00:37:46:	losses and things like that. It it's especially difficult and
00:37:46> 00:37:49:	really compounds the problem when you start to add in
00:37:49> 00:37:52:	those economic factors you know we know that they exist
00:37:52> 00:37:56:	but incorporating them is is really difficult at this point.
00:37:56> 00:37:56:	So I don't.
00:37:56> 00:37:58:	I don't think it's there yet.
00:37:59> 00:38:02:	Yeah. And for us, it's still very much is a
00:38:02> 00:38:05:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually
00:38:05> 00:38:08:	something that we want to shift shift especially where we
00:38:09> 00:38:12:	are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance
00:38:12> 00:38:15:	of occurring, it really ought to be the base case,
00:38:15> 00:38:19:	not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research
00:38:19> 00:38:22:	team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting,
00:38:22> 00:38:26:	our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold
00:38:26> 00:38:29:	should actually just be a base case, but for now,
00:38:29> 00:38:30:	right now.
00:38:30> 00:38:34:	Most of it is just running that downside. Assume increases
00:38:34> 00:38:38:	in insurance, assume that an expanded cap rate, assume you
00:38:38> 00:38:41:	know and what would that do to the financials so
00:38:41> 00:38:45:	that the the portfolio manager has a full picture. I
00:38:45> 00:38:48:	think how the markets pricing it in. I addressed a
00:38:48> 00:38:51:	lot before that people are still living there. I mean
00:38:52> 00:38:54:	it's kind of our own fault if if we still
00:38:54> 00:38:58:	want to move there then that's where business is going

00:38:58> 00:39:00:	to go but and it does certainly.
00:39:00> 00:39:03:	Harry and but the scary part is not knowing when
00:39:03> 00:39:06:	that market could flip and it could take a very
00:39:06> 00:39:09:	aggressive flip and you'd want to be on the winning
00:39:09> 00:39:11:	side of that equation and who has a crystal ball
00:39:12> 00:39:14:	for that. So trying to figure out what are those
00:39:14> 00:39:17:	market signals. If you look at risks, risk factors data
00:39:18> 00:39:20:	is now in Redfin and realtor.com. So now you can
00:39:20> 00:39:23:	say OK the the layman person is now understanding their
00:39:24> 00:39:26:	risk to their homes. Maybe that is going to start
00:39:26> 00:39:29:	shifting people to say wait I don't want to live
00:39:29> 00:39:31:	in a home that has a risk factor.
00:39:31> 00:39:34:	For a flood factor score of seven and there are
00:39:34> 00:39:37:	communities that towns are buying them out because there's
	just
00:39:37> 00:39:40:	too much risk and it doesn't make sense to ensure
00:39:40> 00:39:43:	them anymore. So I know there's some in Long Island,
00:39:43> 00:39:45:	there's you know a few of them around the US
00:39:46> 00:39:49:	that towns are actually local governments have to say we'll
00:39:49> 00:39:51:	buy you out of this town and and otherwise I
00:39:51> 00:39:54:	mean if you stay you pretty much accept obsolescence or
00:39:54> 00:39:57:	a complete value loss of of your property. So it
00:39:57> 00:39:59:	is changing in some places.
00:39:59> 00:40:03:	Yeah. The realtor.com example is, is actually a great example
00:40:03> 00:40:06:	for this question that just came in. You know, with
00:40:06> 00:40:09:	realtor.org, all the data is there and it's in a
00:40:09> 00:40:13:	very understandable way. But the question is whether the realtor
00:40:13> 00:40:17:	is actually educating people on how to make decisions based
00:40:17> 00:40:20:	on that data. There's a question, it's probably mainly for
00:40:20> 00:40:24:	Emily and Brittany, but you do this climate risk analysis
00:40:24> 00:40:27:	and then you have to give your investment team some
00:40:27> 00:40:29:	guidance. Are you just giving them the data?
00:40:30> 00:40:32:	Are you telling them what you think they should do,
00:40:32> 00:40:35:	or are you helping them figure out how to price
00:40:35> 00:40:37:	it? How does that? How does that interaction work between
00:40:37> 00:40:41:	the climate risk analysis and the investment decisions based
00.40.44 > 00.40.44	on Ho
00:40:41> 00:40:41:	it? Nach wa wa maka it a callaborativa effort. I maan
00:40:42> 00:40:45:	Yeah, we we make it a collaborative effort. I mean
00:40:45> 00:40:47:	if we just came forward and said do this, it
00:40:47> 00:40:51:	would be immediately shut down. And quite honestly that's

	why
00:40:51> 00:40:54:	the whole concept of delivering a simple value at risk
00:40:54> 00:40:57:	metric doesn't really work for a lot of investment firms
00:40:57> 00:41:00:	because everybody wants to know well what are you assuming
00:41:00> 00:41:04:	behind that and we may disagree with those assumptions. So
00:41:04> 00:41:06:	it but it also is not just giving the data,
00:41:06> 00:41:09:	it's working together to figure out what kind of guidance
00:41:09> 00:41:12:	makes sense. So we are saying those types of things.
00:41:12> 00:41:16:	You mentioned before that, OK, if you exceed this threshold,
00:41:16> 00:41:20:	here's the data. If you exceed this threshold that we
00:41:20> 00:41:24:	said internally, then you need to assume the insurance double
00:41:24> 00:41:27:	s over the whole period or assume A2 per 200%
00:41:27> 00:41:30:	increase in water costs because of the the water stress
00:41:30> 00:41:33:	for that region. So we do work together to actually
00:41:33> 00:41:37:	give informed recommendations on what to do, but there is
00:41:37> 00:41:41:	autonomy for the investment teams to to ultimately make the
00:41:41> 00:41:42:	call themselves of.
00:41:42> 00:41:45:	How severe they want to tweak the financials or if
00:41:45> 00:41:47:	they don't believe in the risk and and not tweak
00:41:47> 00:41:49:	it, that's really their call.
00:41:52> 00:41:55:	For us, we've been really focused on consumer mortgage. You
00:41:55> 00:41:58:	know, before diving into commercial real estate or any of
00:41:58> 00:42:02:	our own properties or third parties, our mortgage business, they're
00:42:02> 00:42:06:	aware of climate risk. They were already having some conversations
00:42:06> 00:42:09:	with some of their other third parties. Our risk management
00:42:09> 00:42:12:	team is really the one that that sat down with
00:42:12> 00:42:16:	our Geospatial Sciences team and analyzed the first straight data.
00:42:16> 00:42:19:	Where we are right now is identification of the risk.
00:42:19> 00:42:22:	And so I mentioned Chicago earlier and there's a couple
00:42:22> 00:42:23:	other areas like that.
00:42:23> 00:42:26:	Where the risk is different than what you may conventionally
00:42:26> 00:42:30:	think of, especially if you're looking at FEMA maps and
00:42:30> 00:42:32:	things like that. So our stuff was to provide that
00:42:32> 00:42:36:	information to our mortgage business. The trick with the consumer
00:42:36> 00:42:39:	side and I'm sure some of you on the call
00:42:39> 00:42:41:	work with consumer, you have to be very careful not
00:42:42> 00:42:45:	to adversely impact that customer, you know, just because

	that's
00:42:45> 00:42:48:	the right thing to do. But also from a compliance
00:42:48> 00:42:51:	perspective, there are a lot of a lot of regulations
00:42:51> 00:42:53:	and things in that space and so.
00:42:53> 00:42:56:	There's not a change to lending decisions and processes and
00:42:56> 00:43:00:	practices at this point. It's more about that understanding. I
00:43:00> 00:43:03:	think from a banking industry, the things that we think
00:43:03> 00:43:06:	are key are the education like that linking in Redfin.
00:43:07> 00:43:10:	There is research that indicates that that leads to.
00:43:10> 00:43:14:	Customers more likely buying voluntary insurance if they're not in
00:43:14> 00:43:17:	a flood zone and then you know just continuing to
00:43:17> 00:43:20:	to educate I think is really that that important piece
00:43:20> 00:43:23:	where we think that the changes are probably most immediately
00:43:23> 00:43:26:	going to happen for us. It's probably going to be
00:43:26> 00:43:29:	more so in management of our own real estate. But
00:43:29> 00:43:32:	again it's probably going to be more about the you
00:43:32> 00:43:34:	know due by this building or do we buy the
00:43:34> 00:43:37:	one next door. What types of controls do we put
00:43:37> 00:43:40:	in place, are there physical mitigants that we put in
00:43:40> 00:43:40:	place to.
00:43:40> 00:43:43:	Better control. The risk of that building is probably where
00:43:43> 00:43:44:	it's going to start for us.
00:43:47> 00:43:48:	So shifting gears a little bit.
00:43:50> 00:43:53:	David, all of us work directly in the real estate
00:43:53> 00:43:57:	industry all the time. You're looking across dozens of different
00:43:57> 00:44:00:	industries. There was a question about who might be missing
00:44:00> 00:44:03:	from the conversation that we'd like to see. In that
00:44:03> 00:44:08:	conversation, the suggestion was maybe medical or homeowner insurance entities
00:44:08> 00:44:10:	are. Are there folks that you see in this sort
00:44:10> 00:44:14:	of real estate and real estate adjacent ecosystem that should
00:44:14> 00:44:17:	be part of this conversation on climate risk analysis?
00:44:18> 00:44:20:	Yeah, I mean I think Brittany and Emily touched on
00:44:20> 00:44:23:	it. Uh, the link between a long lived asset called
00:44:23> 00:44:25:	a mortgage and a building and sort of the insurance
00:44:25> 00:44:28:	industry, I think that's kind of where the rubber hits
00:44:28> 00:44:28:	the road it's.
00:44:29> 00:44:31:	It's great for me to hear that some of those
00:44:31> 00:44:34:	adjustments are already taking place or some of those decisions

00:44:34> 00:44:37:	are already sort of happening on the investment side. For
00:44:37> 00:44:39:	me, when I think about this from sort of a
00:44:39> 00:44:43:	meta perspective, the fact that you're having those
	conversations, people
00:44:43> 00:44:46:	are taking that information on board and then making decisions
00:44:46> 00:44:49:	about it actually creates a less risky world potentially in
00:44:49> 00:44:49:	the future.
00:44:50> 00:44:53:	Um, so certainly insurance, we think about climate risk more
00:44:54> 00:44:58:	broadly. So I've already mentioned the agricultural sector in drought
00:44:58> 00:45:01:	at the KC Fed, we focus on the energy sector.
00:45:01> 00:45:04:	So a lot of transitional risk and opportunity there, so.
00:45:05> 00:45:08:	I guess, yeah, in the commercial real estate, real estate
00:45:08> 00:45:11:	space, certainly the insurance side of the House, but at
00:45:11> 00:45:14:	least from our perspective it's sort of broad spectrum climate,
00:45:14> 00:45:17:	risk, broader economy both regionally as well as nationally.
00:45:18> 00:45:22:	Thanks. There's a question about tools for a global portfolio
00:45:22> 00:45:26:	and we, you know 1st St. Foundation data is really
00:45:26> 00:45:29:	focused on the United States of America. I know of
00:45:29> 00:45:33:	two tools that people can use for a global portfolio.
00:45:33> 00:45:37:	One is called climate central and it's a somewhat blunt
00:45:37> 00:45:41:	instrument, but it's datasets that are global, including a pretty
00:45:41> 00:45:45:	comprehensive sea level rise tool. The only other tool I
00:45:45> 00:45:48:	know is a giant pile of IPCC science data that
00:45:48> 00:45:49:	someone would have to.
00:45:50> 00:45:52:	Like, no. And FEMA figure out how to organize in
00:45:52> 00:45:55:	a way to easily build tools off of. But I
00:45:55> 00:45:58:	I'd love to hear from the panel if you've come
00:45:58> 00:46:00:	across or seen any other tools, or have an idea
00:46:00> 00:46:03:	on how those tools could get built to be truly
00:46:03> 00:46:04:	global.
00:46:05> 00:46:08:	Just pass it back. Given the sort of pretty heavy
00:46:08> 00:46:10:	data work we've had to do in a few of
00:46:10> 00:46:12:	our projects, the reality is the climate data and sort
00:46:13> 00:46:15:	of the underlying risk data that you're going to use
00:46:15> 00:46:18:	for this type of thing, the fidelity of that information
00:46:18> 00:46:21:	is really good in the United States and North America
00:46:21> 00:46:24:	and also in Europe. It gets a little bit questionable
00:46:24> 00:46:27:	in terms of granularity and sort of the grid spacing
00:46:27> 00:46:30:	in these types of things. You know, the individual, the
00:46:30> 00:46:32:	actual underlying data sets that you'd use to run that
00:46:32> 00:46:35:	analysis. So that conversation we had about buyer beware.

00:46:35> 00:46:39:	And sort of what somebody might be selling you, they
00:46:39> 00:46:42:	might be telling you that they have, you know, high
00:46:42> 00:46:45:	fidelity data out of a very local level. But I'd
00:46:45> 00:46:48:	question the sort of underlying data that they're using to
00:46:48> 00:46:52:	get to that individual latitude and longitude on type of
00:46:52> 00:46:55:	exposure. So I think I think you're right on point,
00:46:55> 00:46:58:	Billy there, there are far fewer tools you know, X
00:46:58> 00:47:00:	US than there are in the US and I think
00:47:00> 00:47:03:	that has to do with you know how much, how
00:47:03> 00:47:05:	much resources the US spends on you know.
00:47:05> 00:47:08:	Satellites and geospatial data and the Earth science field to
00:47:08> 00:47:10:	sort of feed the beast doesn't work.
00:47:13> 00:47:16:	So there's a question about building this tool in Europe.
00:47:16> 00:47:19:	This, this may sound like a flippant answer, but one
00:47:20> 00:47:23:	of the things that I've seen is the insurance industry
00:47:23> 00:47:27:	and the reinsurance industry has some phenomenal datasets that are
00:47:27> 00:47:30:	global but especially strong in the US and Europe. So,
00:47:30> 00:47:34:	but they're private, right, they're private and proprietary. Can you
00:47:34> 00:47:37:	think of, I mean from a regional perspective, are there
00:47:37> 00:47:40:	tools that are there data sets that you could use
00:47:40> 00:47:43:	in Europe or Asia that might help answer these questions?
00:47:49> 00:47:51:	We're not we may not solve this on this call.
00:47:52> 00:47:56:	Throughout Brittany, like when you're looking at your global portfolio,
00:47:56> 00:47:59:	like what other tools are you using in other regions
00:47:59> 00:48:01:	or are you really waiting for the tools to be
00:48:02> 00:48:04:	offered and become more sophisticated?
00:48:05> 00:48:09:	So I do focus on our America's portfolio. So keep
00:48:09> 00:48:10:	that in mind.
00:48:11> 00:48:15:	We that's where the I don't know if you're trying
00:48:15> 00:48:18:	to say only public because yes we do purchase that's
00:48:18> 00:48:22:	where those global climate risk providers come in handy to
00:48:22> 00:48:26:	get those that that whole comprehensive view. So Munich Ray
00:48:26> 00:48:29:	is 1 which is is the reinsurers climate platform.
00:48:31> 00:48:36:	Maplecroft Verisk Maplecroft has a global climate.
00:48:37> 00:48:39:	Data set and so we were like again it, it
00:48:39> 00:48:42:	is about like hodgepodge a bunch of these. I'm not
00:48:42> 00:48:45:	sure if my counterpart on the European and Asia Pacific
00:48:45> 00:48:48:	portfolio have anything more granular that they also leverage, but

00:48:49> 00:48:51:	that is a good guestion. I'd like to ask him
00:48:51> 00:48:54:	that. So yeah that's that's that's what I could share.
00:48:55> 00:48:57:	-
	Great. And I had a couple audience Members point to
00:48:57> 00:49:00:	a couple as well. Roberto, this is the one time
00:49:00> 00:49:02:	I'll ask you to move something from the Q&A into
00:49:02> 00:49:05:	the chat. So if you do have suggestions for other
00:49:05> 00:49:08:	people, it's called climate X and I've never heard of
00:49:08> 00:49:10:	it, but we'll add it to our list and and
00:49:10> 00:49:12:	learn more about it here at UCLA as well.
00:49:13> 00:49:17:	Um, there's a question about time horizons and how your
00:49:17> 00:49:20:	analysis changes. If you're looking at, you know one a
00:49:20> 00:49:24:	one year hold or securitizing mortgages versus holding a mortgage
00:49:24> 00:49:27:	for 30 years, how do you guys use this risk
00:49:27> 00:49:31:	analysis based on different time horizons and how important is
00:49:31> 00:49:34:	this risk analysis based on the time horizon for you?
00:49:37> 00:49:40:	Umm, so I could jump in quickly. Our generally we
00:49:40> 00:49:43:	like to think not just about our whole period, but
00:49:43> 00:49:46:	the the buyers hold. Because the thinking is that they're
00:49:46> 00:49:49:	also doing the very same thing we're doing is trying
00:49:49> 00:49:52:	to get a handle on climate risk and integrate it
00:49:52> 00:49:55:	in their investment decisions. And so they're going to have
00:49:55> 00:49:58:	access to the same data. So if we think a
00:49:58> 00:50:01:	property doesn't have significant risk until 10 years from now,
00:50:01> 00:50:04:	but that means that's going to be right away for
00:50:04> 00:50:07:	the buyer and they're not going to be maybe as
00:50:07> 00:50:07:	interested.
00:50:08> 00:50:10:	So we try to look at that 20 year time
00:50:10> 00:50:13:	horizon to say, OK, if the risk is significant within
00:50:13> 00:50:16:	that time frame, that's something to account for. But again
00:50:16> 00:50:20:	this is where it ultimately portfolio managers have the
	investment
00:50:20> 00:50:24:	decision making accountability and responsibility. So we present with what
00:50:24> 00:50:27:	that risk could be and then it's up to them
00:50:27> 00:50:30:	and the investment teams to say, well given that this
00:50:30> 00:50:32:	might be a 5 year hold, we might be more
00:50:32> 00:50:35:	comfortable with that risk. If it's a 10 or longer
00:50:35> 00:50:38:	into your hold then they might be less.
00:50:38> 00:50:40:	Comfortable with that risk. So it it's taking into account
00:50:40> 00:50:43:	what the investment strategy is for that asset as well.
00:50:48> 00:50:50:	For us, when we looked especially at the 1st St.

00:50:50> 00:50:53: 00:50:53> 00:50:56:	Data, I think that the most jarring thing was the difference even as we sit today between traditional methods
00.50.55 00.50.56.	of
00:50:56> 00:51:00:	determining that physical risk, specifically flood and they are, you
00:51:00> 00:51:03:	know, more advanced methods. When we looked 30 years out,
00:51:03> 00:51:06:	there was, you know, still incremental difference, but it was
00:51:06> 00:51:09:	much smaller. And so really for us, yes, we're looking
00:51:09> 00:51:12:	at multiple time horizons, but the one that we're most
00:51:12> 00:51:15:	focused on is current state because of that drastic difference.
00:51:19> 00:51:21:	Well, so as as all of you are trying to
00:51:21> 00:51:24:	triangulate this risk and come up with a number, what's
00:51:24> 00:51:27:	the role that you place of the emphasis you place
00:51:27> 00:51:31:	on what governments, state and local governments are doing or
00:51:31> 00:51:34:	could do or have promised to do within a certain
00:51:34> 00:51:37:	time frame to mitigate that risk? How does that, how
00:51:37> 00:51:39:	does that enter into the analysis?
00:51:42> 00:51:45:	So we it does come into play this it's difficult
00:51:45> 00:51:48:	to to to make any sort of decision on where
00:51:48> 00:51:51:	we think a municipality is headed and putting a number
00:51:52> 00:51:54:	on that is even harder. We this is kind of
00:51:54> 00:51:57:	goes back to what I was saying that there's climate
00:51:58> 00:52:02:	providers serve different needs and there's like that market view
00:52:02> 00:52:05:	way to think about it. So shifting to more of
00:52:05> 00:52:08:	that like what is our strategic direction, where do we
00:52:08> 00:52:11:	want to be thinking about these things and we have
00:52:11> 00:52:12:	used the climate.
00:52:12> 00:52:16:	Service, they've helped us develop market views and that's looking
00:52:17> 00:52:20:	at the policies and the the budget of these different,
00:52:20> 00:52:24:	not necessarily the budget itself, but like budget plans and
00:52:24> 00:52:29:	commitments of different municipalities and where they're spending their dollars
00:52:29> 00:52:32:	on resilience projects. To help us understand, OK, this, you
00:52:32> 00:52:36:	know Boston might have significant risks, but we know that
00:52:36> 00:52:40:	they're investing heavily in resilience, but actually coming out with
00:52:40> 00:52:42:	like OK, so is that a yes or no?
00:52:43> 00:52:46:	That's the hard part and that's why it's more of.
00:52:48> 00:52:52:	Brainstorm thought leadership as opposed to like per investment. What's

00:52:52> 00:52:55:	the decision? But it definitely comes into play and and
00:52:55> 00:52:57:	I I hope that we can.
00:52:57> 00:53:01:	Deliver or build something a little bit more systematic in
00:53:01> 00:53:04:	taking in that information, but right now it seems very
00:53:04> 00:53:07:	much like a OK point point taken, but I'm not
00:53:07> 00:53:09:	sure what to do with that next.
00:53:11> 00:53:14:	I'll put in a plug and I'll try and get
00:53:14> 00:53:16:	it into the chat before we leave for an ancient
00:53:16> 00:53:20:	at this point I think it's 2015 report by grovenor.
00:53:20> 00:53:23:	Grovenor tried to look at 100 of the largest cities
00:53:23> 00:53:26:	and their physical risk as well as their adaptive capacity,
00:53:26> 00:53:29:	which I thought was a really interesting term to use.
00:53:30> 00:53:33:	It was a combination of the political will, the financial
00:53:33> 00:53:37:	resources and the progress necessary to mitigate that risk. I
00:53:37> 00:53:40:	think that would be a really interesting thing to try
00:53:40> 00:53:41:	and refresh and for our.
00:53:41> 00:53:44:	Climate providers to try and figure out how we can
00:53:44> 00:53:48:	better quantify that and way it against physical and transition
00:53:48> 00:53:52:	risk in these investment decisions. Or maybe David can do
00:53:52> 00:53:54:	this for us with his expansive datasets.
00:53:55> 00:53:58:	The OR infinite resources of course I just that's all
00:53:58> 00:54:02:	the researchers and the Federal Reserve System but it's actually
00:54:02> 00:54:04:	a really good question for the the Fed similar on
00:54:04> 00:54:08:	the policy side when governments make decisions we kind of
00:54:08> 00:54:11:	have to assess the economic and regional impacts. We see
00:54:11> 00:54:14:	that you know both within sort of municipal and state
00:54:14> 00:54:17:	level but you know also some of the national policy
00:54:17> 00:54:20:	decisions but you know we we we respond to that
00:54:20> 00:54:23:	analyze and understand the economic implications but we're not we're
00:54:23> 00:54:25:	not the deciders on those.
00:54:25> 00:54:25:	Thanks.
00:54:27> 00:54:29:	There's a good question in the chat and maybe an
00:54:29> 00:54:32:	unanswerable question, and it is a question you and I
00:54:32> 00:54:35:	tried to answer with a research report three years ago,
00:54:35> 00:54:37:	and we didn't come to a conclusion on this, but
00:54:37> 00:54:41:	has the Federal Reserve seen changes in investment capital flows
00:54:41> 00:54:44:	into certain regions due to climate risks? Is there a
00:54:44> 00:54:46:	way to parse any of that or are you seeing
00:54:46> 00:54:47:	the opposite?

00:54:49> 00:54:52:	Tell yeah, probably. This probably isn't going to be a
00:54:52> 00:54:55:	satisfying answer for the audience here because you're in the
00:54:55> 00:54:59:	commercial real estate space. I'm going to sort of ducktail
00:54:59> 00:55:01:	back into the sort of energy space. You see it
00:55:01> 00:55:04:	on the transitional side. You see sort of the closure
00:55:04> 00:55:07:	of coal mines in the western United States and the
00:55:07> 00:55:09:	rise of wind farms in sort of Kansas and Oklahoma
00:55:09> 00:55:12:	and these types of things. So you do see compositional
00:55:12> 00:55:16:	changes in where infrastructure is being built or where you're
00:55:16> 00:55:19:	allowing infrastructure to sort of reach its useful life.
00:55:19> 00:55:22:	And then sort of get retired. So that's kind of
00:55:22> 00:55:25:	what we see from our perspective. Again, probably not a
00:55:25> 00:55:28:	satisfying answer for all the real estate investors on the
00:55:28> 00:55:28:	call.
00:55:30> 00:55:33:	Brittany, you talked to big investors. I don't know if
00:55:33> 00:55:36:	you can anonymize it, but are you seeing it either
00:55:36> 00:55:39:	in the institutional investment community or in other parts of
00:55:40> 00:55:44:	the investment landscape, anything, any changes in capital flows related
00:55:44> 00:55:47:	to climate risk and resilience without naming any specific regions
00:55:47> 00:55:49:	or any specific investors?
00:55:50> 00:55:55:	So it's definitely increased. In terms of the asking, they
00:55:55> 00:55:58:	want to know like as I was saying before, what
00:55:59> 00:56:00:	is the portfolio?
00:56:00> 00:56:03:	Exposure to all hazards by percent of AUM. What is
00:56:03> 00:56:08:	being done about that? Gives specific examples of how climate
00:56:08> 00:56:12:	risk was factored into decision making. You purchase any assets
00:56:12> 00:56:15:	at risk of those at risk, why did what? What
00:56:15> 00:56:19:	made you comfortable with it? So they're really digging in
00:56:19> 00:56:23:	to hear the thinking behind making those investment decisions.
00:56:24> 00:56:28:	So that does, I don't you know and what they
00:56:28> 00:56:30:	do with that is we teach TBD I guess you
00:56:31> 00:56:34:	know there hasn't yet been any any sort of we
00:56:34> 00:56:37:	don't want you investing at all in X area. It's
00:56:37> 00:56:41:	more so asking the questions but maybe it's a safe
00:56:41> 00:56:45:	assumption that what happens next is they do maybe place
00:56:45> 00:56:49:	some restrictions on on certain areas or do set portfolio
00:56:49> 00:56:53:	limits of we only want 10% of your portfolio exposed
00:56:53> 00:56:55:	to extreme hazards.

00:56:55> 00:56:58:	I think that that would be reasonable to say that
00:56:58> 00:57:01:	that probably comes into play, especially as the reality of
00:57:01> 00:57:05:	climate change continues and we continue to face disasters that
00:57:05> 00:57:08:	cost money. I think that it's very reasonable that they
00:57:08> 00:57:10:	will eventually set those limitations.
00:57:11> 00:57:14:	This has been a a whirlwind tour and I think
00:57:14> 00:57:16:	that we may have today set a record for the
00:57:16> 00:57:20:	total number of audience questions answered. We've answered 17 audience
00:57:20> 00:57:24:	questions. So congratulations audience for asking really good questions that
00:57:24> 00:57:28:	we could answer succinctly and congratulations to the panelists for
00:57:28> 00:57:31:	your flexibility to answer all of these questions that we
00:57:31> 00:57:34:	did not prepare for. I would like to ask one
00:57:34> 00:57:37:	final question that we semi prepared for. Could each of
00:57:37> 00:57:40:	you share something? We have a lot of people that
00:57:40> 00:57:42:	are just getting started with climate.
00:57:42> 00:57:44:	Risk based on your journey or do you have advice
00:57:44> 00:57:48:	for somebody looking to tackle climate risk for the first
00:57:48> 00:57:48:	time?
00:57:50> 00:57:53:	I would say connect, collaborate, you know, access those folks
00:57:53> 00:57:55:	who are further along on the path. I feel like
00:57:55> 00:57:58:	this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's
00:57:58> 00:58:00:	a little bit of a Wild West, but there are
00:58:00> 00:58:02:	people. So there's part of that is there's no old
00:58:02> 00:58:04:	man in the room who's going to be able to
00:58:05> 00:58:07:	tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that
00:58:07> 00:58:10:	on the call. But that being said, there are people
00:58:10> 00:58:12:	who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort
00:58:12> 00:58:15:	of been roughed up by trying to do this work
00:58:15> 00:58:17:	well and you know, have those conversations because I think
00:58:18> 00:58:19:	people are still willing to have.
00:58:19> 00:58:22:	Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing
00:58:22> 00:58:22:	that work well.
00:58:23> 00:58:24:	Thanks.
00:58:26> 00:58:28:	Backing off of what David said, say plan for not
00:58:28> 00:58:32:	getting it right or complete the first time, potentially maybe
00:58:32> 00:58:35:	ever. You know, there will be a lot of iterations
00:58:35> 00:58:38:	after you do that first analysis, I think you'll probably
00:58:38> 00:58:41:	come out on the other side with more questions than

00:58:41> 00:58:42:	answers.
00:58:45> 00:58:47:	Honestly, I think David and Emily hit hit the nail
00:58:47> 00:58:49:	on the head, so nothing to add there.
00:58:50> 00:58:53:	Well, on behalf of you a lot, I'd really like
00:58:53> 00:58:56:	to thank our panelists for a great discussion today. We're
00:58:56> 00:58:59:	going to send a recording of this session, so if
00:58:59> 00:59:02:	you want to share it with your friends, that would
00:59:02> 00:59:04:	be great. And we'll also do our best to capture
00:59:04> 00:59:07:	as many of the links referenced in this discussion as
00:59:07> 00:59:10:	we can. In that follow up, I'd like to remind
00:59:10> 00:59:13:	people that if you want to continue this conversation with
00:59:13> 00:59:16:	you alike, come to the resilient Summit May 15 in
00:59:16> 00:59:19:	Toronto ON Canada and please, if you're a UI member,
00:59:19> 00:59:20:	take advantage of this.
00:59:20> 00:59:24:	Risk Factor pro tool download 5 property assessments and then
00:59:24> 00:59:26:	reach back out to you, Ali, to let us know
00:59:26> 00:59:29:	what you think so that we can continue to offer
00:59:29> 00:59:32:	this and other tools to our membership in the broader
00:59:32> 00:59:35:	real estate industry. So thanks again everybody. Have a great
00:59:35> 00:59:37:	rest of your day and have a great weekend. Bye,
00:59:37> 00:59:38:	bye.
00:59:39> 00:59:40:	Thanks so much.
00:59:41> 00:59:42:	Thank you.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact .