

## Webinar

Future Proofing: How Climate Data and Tech Can Reduce Asset Risk

Date: January 13, 2023

00:00:04> 00:00:08:	Hello, welcome to utilize webinar future proofing how climate data
00:00:08> 00:00:12:	and tech can reduce asset risk. I'm Billy Grayson, executive
00:00:12> 00:00:17:	vice president for senators and initiatives. I, from previous webinars
00:00:17> 00:00:20:	know that the audience will slowly roll in, so I'm
00:00:20> 00:00:23:	going to repeat this two or three times and we'll
00:00:23> 00:00:26:	be getting started in just about a minute.
00:00:37> 00:00:40:	So to those of you that are rolling in, thank
00:00:40> 00:00:43:	you. Welcome. Thank you for joining us. I'm Billy Grayson,
00:00:43> 00:00:47:	executive vice president for centers and initiatives at the Urban
00:00:47> 00:00:50:	Land Institute. Our webinar today is future proofing how climate
00:00:50> 00:00:53:	data and tech can reduce asset risk. We'll be getting
00:00:54> 00:00:57:	started in just one minute once I see the participants
00:00:57> 00:00:58:	list slowly starts to tick.
00:00:58> 00:01:01:	Yeah. So thank you again for joining us.
00:01:32> 00:01:35:	All right. It's 10:01 AM and 1/2 East Coast time.
00:01:35> 00:01:39:	Uh, welcome and thank you for joining us for this
00:01:39> 00:01:42:	URL I webinar future proofing how climate data and tech
00:01:42> 00:01:46:	can reduce asset risk. I'm Billy Grayson, executive vice president
00:01:46> 00:01:50:	for centers and initiatives at UL I and your moderator
00:01:50> 00:01:53:	today. I'd like to start by thanking all of our
00:01:53> 00:01:56:	panelists for joining today. This is got to be from
00:01:56> 00:02:00:	an industry perspective, one of the more diverse panels that
00:02:00> 00:02:02:	we've had at ULI in recent.
00:02:02> 00:02:05:	Months, which is going to be fantastic. And I'd like

00:02:05 --> 00:02:08: to thank all the audience for joining us today as

00:02:08> 00:02:12:	well. We, ULI, have been studying climate risk in real
00:02:12> 00:02:15:	estate investment for some time now and we've really seen
00:02:15> 00:02:19:	a proliferation of interest from across our Member spectrum on
00:02:19> 00:02:23:	how Members can better assess price and mitigate climate risk
00:02:23> 00:02:27:	in their real estate development and investment decisions.
00:02:28> 00:02:31:	I'd like to start with a couple of quick housekeeping
00:02:31> 00:02:34:	items. It's always fun to talk logistics. At the start
00:02:34> 00:02:37:	of a webinar. We have a chat and we'd love
00:02:37> 00:02:40:	to use that chat to share resources and links with
00:02:40> 00:02:43:	you that will help you learn more about this topic
00:02:43> 00:02:46:	or we'll refer to things that we covered during the
00:02:46> 00:02:50:	discussion today. We would really love for you to use
00:02:50> 00:02:53:	the Q&A section starting as early as you see fit.
00:02:53> 00:02:56:	We're hoping to have a lot of audience questions today.
00:02:56> 00:02:58:	Please put those in the Q&A.
00:02:58> 00:03:00:	Section. We'll be able to answer some of them on
00:03:00> 00:03:03:	the fly, and other ones I will tee up for
00:03:03> 00:03:05:	our panelists for our discussion today.
00:03:06> 00:03:09:	Um, Lee and Lindsey, I hope that's all of the
00:03:09> 00:03:13:	logistics. I think that's all of the logistics. So let
00:03:13> 00:03:16:	me kick this off the little stage setting.
00:03:18> 00:03:22:	In partnership with companies across the real estate industry, utilize
00:03:22> 00:03:26:	been working to better understand the connection between climate risk
00:03:26> 00:03:29:	and real estate value and how real estate owners and
00:03:29> 00:03:33:	developers can better assess and mitigate this risk and development
00:03:33> 00:03:36:	and investment strategy. Over the past few years, we've seen
00:03:37> 00:03:40:	an explosion like a literal explosion of tools and climate
00:03:40> 00:03:44:	risk analytics strategies to help owners and investors better understand
00:03:44> 00:03:47:	this risk from our vantage point, most if not all
00:03:47> 00:03:48:	of these tools.
00:03:48> 00:03:51:	Can offer really valuable insights to ULI members, but it
00:03:52> 00:03:54:	can also be a challenge to figure out how to
00:03:54> 00:03:58:	use these tools to effectively assess and price climate risk
00:03:58> 00:04:02:	and how to communicate this risk effectively to investors, tenants
00:03:58> 00:04:02: 00:04:02> 00:04:05:	•

00:04:08> 00:04:11:	choose, use and better understand climate risk in partnership with
00:04:11> 00:04:14:	LaSalle. And we also like late last year launched a
00:04:15> 00:04:18:	partnership with the 1st St. Foundation. We had more than
00:04:18> 00:04:19:	40 UL member.
00:04:19> 00:04:23:	Leaders participating in focus groups to help refine the dashboards
00:04:23> 00:04:26:	and reports produced by their tool risk Factor Pro. I
00:04:26> 00:04:29:	should say at this point that all UI members can
00:04:29> 00:04:32:	get 5 free property risk reports just by being a
00:04:32> 00:04:35:	UX UI member through risk Factor Pro. I think this
00:04:35> 00:04:38:	is something like a \$400.00 value, so you're welcome. I
00:04:38> 00:04:41:	hope it's a valuable resource for you as a UI
00:04:41> 00:04:44:	member. We're also going to include a link to that
00:04:44> 00:04:46:	member discount at the in the chat.
00:04:47> 00:04:50:	So I'm excited for the conversation today. One more plug,
00:04:50> 00:04:52:	let's go to the next slide for a UI activity.
00:04:55> 00:04:55:	R.
00:04:56> 00:04:59:	Next resilient summit is happening on May 15th in Toronto.
00:04:59> 00:05:02:	This is the day before the ULI Spring meeting and
00:05:02> 00:05:05:	this is our chance that you allow to bring together
00:05:05> 00:05:08:	leaders in the fields of real estate and resilience to
00:05:08> 00:05:12:	share solutions to protect communities and investments from climate risk.
00:05:12> 00:05:14:	You can scan the QR code on the screen to
00:05:14> 00:05:17:	learn more. I don't have my cell phone with me,
00:05:17> 00:05:19:	so I would also like to point you to the
00:05:19> 00:05:22:	chat where Lindsey is letting you know that you can
00:05:22> 00:05:25:	also register for the event at uli.org/resilience Summit.
00:05:26> 00:05:29:	And with that, let me introduce our panel for today.
00:05:31> 00:05:36:	So first, we have Brittany Ryan, responsible investment professional, Nuveen
00:05:36> 00:05:40:	real estate, Emily Westendorf, vice president for climate risk programs
00:05:41> 00:05:44:	at Fifth Third Bank, and David Rochlitz, the senior economic
00:05:44> 00:05:48:	specialist at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Thank
00:05:48> 00:05:52:	you all again for joining us for the conversation today.
00:05:54> 00:05:58:	David, let's start with you. Could you provide a brief
00:05:58> 00:06:01:	introduction to yourself and what you do at the Federal
00:06:01> 00:06:05:	Reserve? And then we'll go to Brittany and Emily and
00:06:05> 00:06:08:	then we'll dive into our questions.
00:06:09> 00:06:13:	Thanks, Billy. I'm David rajkovich. I'm a senior economic specialist

00:06:13> 00:06:16:	here at the Denver branch of the Federal Reserve, Federal
00:06:16> 00:06:19:	Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. So in addition to
00:06:20> 00:06:23:	my policy and outreach work, I also do primary research
00:06:23> 00:06:24:	both in energy and climate.
00:06:26> 00:06:30:	Great. Brittany, thank you for joining us.
00:06:30> 00:06:33:	Thanks, Billy. So I'm with Nuveen real estate. We are
00:06:33> 00:06:37:	a real estate investment manager with about 150 billion assets
00:06:37> 00:06:41:	under management. We invest globally across all major property types
00:06:41> 00:06:45:	including alternatives and I am on the SG sustainability team
00:06:45> 00:06:49:	for America's focusing on ESG integration for the equity and
00:06:49> 00:06:50:	debt portfolios.
00:06:52> 00:06:54:	And Emily, welcome.
00:06:55> 00:06:58:	Hi, I'm a client risk program manager for the third.
00:06:58> 00:07:01:	I've been in banking for 10 years. I've been in
00:07:01> 00:07:04:	risk management for most of that. I've been running a
00:07:04> 00:07:07:	risk program to being in large potential risk events like
00:07:07> 00:07:11:	natural disasters and cyber attacks, risk metrics, risk
	governance. Like
00:07:11> 00:07:14:	I said, I'm Mr. Third Bank. For those of you
00:07:14> 00:07:17:	who aren't familiar, we are a regional bank with both
00:07:17> 00:07:20:	consumer and commercial products. We have a little over \$200
00:07:20> 00:07:23:	billion in assets and our retail footprint, which would be
00:07:23> 00:07:26:	the majority of where we're operating and servicing.
00:07:26> 00:07:29:	Customers is in the Midwest and the Southeast. So think
00:07:29> 00:07:32:	about Ohio, Indiana, Michigan all the way down to Florida.
00:07:32> 00:07:36:	We do offer a mortgage and commercial lending products in
00:07:36> 00:07:38:	nearly all 50 States and then we have offices and
00:07:38> 00:07:41:	third parties across the US and a couple of countries
00:07:41> 00:07:44:	globally as well. My focus and my current role, I'm
00:07:44> 00:07:48:	building out how my bank manages climate related risks, including
00:07:48> 00:07:51:	really the physical risks that we're focusing on today, but
00:07:51> 00:07:52:	as well as transition risk.
00:07:54> 00:07:58:	Awesome. Thanks, Emily. David, our audience is familiar with all
00:07:59> 00:08:02:	three of these companies, but they may not be familiar
00:08:02> 00:08:06:	with exactly what the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
00:08:06> 00:08:09:	does. Could you give a just a quick intro on
00:08:09> 00:08:13:	the work of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
00:08:13> 00:08:16:	and then share a little bit about why climate risks

00:08:16> 00:08:19:	are of interest to you at the bank or at
00:08:19> 00:08:20:	the reserve?
00:08:20> 00:08:23:	That's a great question. So I'll leave an outline of
00:08:23> 00:08:24:	sort of the Federal Reserve.
00:08:24> 00:08:28:	System to begin with, just to sort of baseline where
00:08:28> 00:08:31:	we fit in the Federal Reserve, U.S. central Bank, you've
00:08:32> 00:08:35:	got the Board of Governors in DC and 12 regional
00:08:35> 00:08:38:	reserve banks. We are one of those. We cover A7
00:08:38> 00:08:43:	state area central United States, so western Missouri, Nebraska, Kansas,
00:08:43> 00:08:47:	Oklahoma, Wyoming, Colorado and northern New Mexico. So we have
00:08:47> 00:08:51:	a pretty heavy footprint in agriculture and energy. So those
00:08:51> 00:08:53:	are two two major industries.
00:08:54> 00:08:57:	That we look at and also those are those are
00:08:57> 00:09:00:	industries that are part and parcel to sort of the
00:09:00> 00:09:04:	climate discussion with respect to the work that we do
00:09:04> 00:09:07:	at the the Kansas City fed as it relates to
00:09:07> 00:09:10:	climate. We we like to focus our work be it
00:09:10> 00:09:13:	you know research or policy work sort of in the
00:09:13> 00:09:16:	industries and within the region that we focus on. So
00:09:17> 00:09:20:	you know with respect to climate we've done some work
00:09:20> 00:09:24:	on drought in the agricultural sector and how that natural
00:09:24> 00:09:24:	hazard.
00:09:24> 00:09:28:	Effects on that industry and those actors, we've also done
00:09:28> 00:09:31:	some work more broadly on sort of sea level rise
00:09:31> 00:09:34:	and real estate markets because we have the scale and
00:09:34> 00:09:37:	sort of ability to do that. But and then additionally
00:09:38> 00:09:42:	on the transitional risk side, energy systems are transitioning. So
00:09:42> 00:09:45:	when we think about the energy sector within our district
00:09:45> 00:09:48:	or nationally or globally, we've got sort of our ear
00:09:48> 00:09:51:	to the ground on you know how that how that
00:09:51> 00:09:54:	industry is transitioning. So what areas are on the decline
00:09:55> 00:09:57:	and sort of what areas are on the rise.
00:09:59> 00:10:02:	It's in your view, how how significant are these risks
00:10:02> 00:10:05:	and what, what steps could we take to mitigate them?
00:10:05> 00:10:08:	It's a big question. You just scratch the surface maybe
00:10:08> 00:10:09:	to start.
00:10:09> 00:10:13:	It's a great question and I'll probably give you a
00:10:13> 00:10:17:	somewhat elaborate non answer. So I'll start with, you know
00:10:17> 00:10:21:	the Federal Reserve isn't a climate policy maker, so we're
00:10:21> 00:10:24:	not that. When we think about the significance of risk,

00.40.04	
00:10:24> 00:10:28:	it's wide-ranging. So I think it's important to define your
00:10:28> 00:10:30:	terms when you think about.
00:10:30> 00:10:33:	Climate risk. And then you can get into the specifics
00:10:33> 00:10:36:	of those things. So, you know, are we talking about
00:10:36> 00:10:40:	physical risks, natural hazards or are we talking about transitional
00:10:40> 00:10:43:	risk? If we're talking about physical risk, it's a question
00:10:43> 00:10:46:	of which hazard connected to which industry. So for example,
00:10:46> 00:10:50:	I've already mentioned drought in the agricultural sector, drought, water
00:10:50> 00:10:54:	management, it's a significant risk for certain components of the
00:10:54> 00:10:58:	agricultural sector, especially if they don't have access to irrigation,
00:10:58> 00:11:00:	if we're talking about hurricane sea level rise.
00:11:00> 00:11:04:	For coastal inundation, you're thinking about low lying areas and
00:11:04> 00:11:08:	coastal real estate markets. You know, notably if you're in
00:11:08> 00:11:12:	the southeastern United States, those hazards can be significant.
00:11:12> 00:11:16:	And then if we're talking about transitional risks, you know,
00:11:16> 00:11:21:	energy systems are transitioning. So you've got fossil fuel industries
00:11:21> 00:11:24:	where you know, high carbon intensity producers might be at
00:11:25> 00:11:28:	risk. Whereas on the other side of the equation, what
00:11:28> 00:11:31:	we're seeing in our district is a rise in the
00:11:31> 00:11:35:	renewable energy sector. So there are opportunities for you know,
00:11:35> 00:11:40:	lower carbon, you know, energy systems, transmission, storage, those types
00:11:40> 00:11:42:	of things. So you know, long story, longer.
00:11:43> 00:11:45:	I think you got to be specific about the risk
00:11:45> 00:11:48:	and specifically about the industry that we're talking about. And
00:11:48> 00:11:51:	those risks are significant for some, but maybe less so
00:11:51> 00:11:51:	for others.
00:11:53> 00:11:57:	So from the whole economy to a commercial banks investment
00:11:57> 00:12:00:	landscape, I'd like to turn it over to Emily. Emily,
00:12:00> 00:12:04:	could you share a little bit about why Fifth Third
00:12:04> 00:12:08:	got into climate risk analysis and and what you're doing
00:12:08> 00:12:12:	right now to better assess climate risk for your investment
00:12:12> 00:12:13:	portfolio?
00:12:15> 00:12:19:	Yeah. So for us, climate risk became a focus because

00:12:19> 00:12:23:	there was a lot of investor conversation around climate risk,
00:12:23> 00:12:26:	you know, ESG risks as well. And so that's kind
00:12:26> 00:12:30:	of what I originally started the conversation over the last
00:12:30> 00:12:34:	few years, obviously we've seen regulators like the Fed and
00:12:34> 00:12:38:	the OCC that we're accountable to really bringing that up
00:12:38> 00:12:41:	as part of the conversation as well, when you think
00:12:42> 00:12:45:	about things like a variety of, you know, natural disaster.
00:12:46> 00:12:49:	Events and think about the fact that we do have
00:12:49> 00:12:53:	Florida is a really key player within our footprint that's
00:12:53> 00:12:56:	continued to bring climate risk to the focus.
00:12:57> 00:13:00:	And what what type of tools are you using to
00:13:00> 00:13:02:	help you do this climate risk assessment?
00:13:04> 00:13:07:	Yeah. So we are thinking about it both ways. You
00:13:07> 00:13:09:	know, I think those of you who might be a
00:13:09> 00:13:12:	little bit more familiar there, those risks associated with the
00:13:13> 00:13:16:	transition to a lower carbon economy, we call them transition
00:13:16> 00:13:19:	risks and then there's also the physical risk. So physical
00:13:19> 00:13:22:	risks I think have been more so the focus for
00:13:22> 00:13:24:	us, if we get started and I think that's got
00:13:24> 00:13:27:	a lot to do with that tangible nature of physical
00:13:27> 00:13:30:	risk, it's a little bit easier to understand. And so
00:13:30> 00:13:32:	for us, the key tool that we're using is the
00:13:32> 00:13:34:	data sets offered by 1st St.
00:13:34> 00:13:35:	Foundation.
00:13:39> 00:13:41:	Brittany, let's kick it over to you.
00:13:42> 00:13:46:	Large real estate investment management portfolio. How? How are you
00:13:46> 00:13:50:	assessing risks and what sorts of tools are you using
00:13:50> 00:13:53:	right now to assess client risk in your portfolio?
00:13:54> 00:13:56:	Yeah. So, uh, we have I, I would say boil
00:13:56> 00:13:59:	it down to it's about getting the data, building a
00:13:59> 00:14:03:	process for embedding that into your investment process and then
00:14:03> 00:14:06:	reporting it out. So for the data, we procure climate
00:14:07> 00:14:10:	analytics firms to help us understand that actual portfolio risk
00:14:10> 00:14:13:	in the future at a regional level. And then we
00:14:13> 00:14:17:	also leverage additional tools like First streets risk factor to
00:14:17> 00:14:21:	really drill down on those property level details. So that's
00:14:21> 00:14:24:	step one on, OK, let's let's get an understanding of
00:14:24> 00:14:25:	what the risk is.
00:14:25> 00:14:28:	And then for the process, what we've done is built
00:14:29> 00:14:33:	a ESG evaluation process that requires our investment and portfolio

00:14:33> 00:14:36:	teams to screen for that risk that we flagged, build
00:14:36> 00:14:39:	it into the underwriting and then identify or budget for
00:14:39> 00:14:44:	mitigation measures. So the toolkit that we've developed provides different
00:14:44> 00:14:49:	thresholds per climate hazard, the downside scenario assumptions to consider,
00:14:49> 00:14:53:	the mitigation measures that should be either budgeted or identified
00:14:53> 00:14:56:	as in place and then the types of things that.
00:14:56> 00:15:00:	That we recommend our investment teams build into their financial
00:15:00> 00:15:04:	assumptions would be at the building level, maybe repair maintenance
00:15:04> 00:15:09:	cost, the cost to install mitigation measures increases to utility
00:15:09> 00:15:12:	costs because of of these physical impacts. And then
00:15:12> 00:15:16:	maybe even at the market level of considering to expand
00:15:16> 00:15:19:	their cap rate because there may be reduced rental demand
00:15:19> 00:15:24:	or just market shifts investors less interested in certain areas.
00:15:24> 00:15:27:	So these are the types of assumptions that we advise.
00:15:27> 00:15:31:	Our investment teams to take into account to actually consider
00:15:31> 00:15:34:	these these risks and then I noted another component of
00:15:34> 00:15:37:	that is for reporting. So then all that data that
00:15:37> 00:15:41:	we've collected to map against our portfolio, what is that
00:15:41> 00:15:44:	risk? We have internal dashboards to present that back to
00:15:44> 00:15:48:	the investment teams. Our portfolio managers can see what is
00:15:48> 00:15:51:	their percent AUM exposed, how do they want to reallocate
00:15:51> 00:15:55:	going forward and then similarly our asset managers get that
00:15:55> 00:15:58:	information so they can during the budget.
00:15:58> 00:16:01:	Season, see what are we exposed to what, what mitigation
00:16:01> 00:16:04:	measures do we perhaps need to include in the budget
00:16:04> 00:16:07:	for the upcoming year and then of course external reporting
00:16:08> 00:16:11:	to investors. So that's that's really how we're incorporating the
00:16:11> 00:16:15:	considerations of climate risk in our in our whole process.
00:16:16> 00:16:19:	Right. So stepping back, what, what drove you to do
00:16:19> 00:16:21:	this and what do you think is driving other real
00:16:21> 00:16:25:	estate investment managers to take the step of doing this
00:16:25> 00:16:28:	type of physical and transition client risk assessment?
00:16:28> 00:16:31:	Yeah. So I will wholeheartedly echo what Emily said and
00:16:32> 00:16:35:	unpack that a little further. So All in all, climate
00:16:35> 00:16:39:	risk is financial risk, right? It's our fiduciary duty on

00:16:39> 00:16:43:	behalf of our investors to manage risks to investment performance
00:16:44> 00:16:46:	and capital raising. So climate change.
00:16:46> 00:16:50:	In transition risk, which Emily clearly defined, they pose potentially
00:16:50> 00:16:54:	material risks to the business and it is just inherently
00:16:54> 00:16:57:	part of responsible investing to actually make sure these are
00:16:57> 00:17:02:	systematically considered. So more specifically, as Emily mentioned, it's about
00:17:02> 00:17:06:	capital, so existing and potential clients, investors, they want to
00:17:06> 00:17:09:	see not only what is portfolio exposure, but how is
00:17:09> 00:17:12:	that being factored into the decision making, how are portfolio
00:17:12> 00:17:17:	managers reallocating their fund accordingly or actually mitigating the risk
00:17:17> 00:17:18:	that is identified.
00:17:18> 00:17:22:	Within the portfolio, it's also about compliance with which Emily
00:17:22> 00:17:26:	mentioned and that's twofold. There's major national and regional regulation
00:17:26> 00:17:30:	requiring climate risk disclosure. So very robust, as robust as
00:17:30> 00:17:34:	your financial reporting. What is that exposure? But then there's
00:17:34> 00:17:37:	also on the flip side, local ordinances that are putting
00:17:37> 00:17:41:	energy and carbon limits, carbon limits on buildings. So you
00:17:41> 00:17:44:	face fines with not not keeping up with the market
00:17:44> 00:17:47:	in that way either. And then I would say also
00:17:47> 00:17:48:	it's about consumers.
00:17:48> 00:17:51:	As a real estate industry, right, we do have to
00:17:51> 00:17:54:	pay attention to what our tenants are looking for and
00:17:54> 00:17:57:	major blue chip tenants have their own SG goals and
00:17:57> 00:18:01:	are either demanding green buildings or having those conversations of
00:18:01> 00:18:04:	what can be done so that that building can fit
00:18:04> 00:18:06:	their own goals. So and then I would also add
00:18:06> 00:18:09:	it's it's not just Nuveen real estate doing this in
00:18:09> 00:18:13:	terms of investment managers and we're all keeping each other
00:18:13> 00:18:17:	honest and keeping open communication because we really are all
00:18:17> 00:18:19:	working toward the same goal, so.
00:18:19> 00:18:22:	You have your your fellow investment managers doing the same
00:18:22> 00:18:25:	thing, thinking about this and really trying to move in

00:18:25> 00:18:26:	that same direction.
00:18:27> 00:18:30:	We've been hearing from a lot of investment managers that
00:18:30> 00:18:32:	they picked up a tool just to meet a compliance
00:18:32> 00:18:35:	threshold from an investor. And now they're looking at three
00:18:35> 00:18:39:	or four tools and they're getting insights into their portfolio
00:18:39> 00:18:42:	that they didn't expect. And some of that is complicating
00:18:42> 00:18:44:	the stakeholder engagement and and some of it is actually
00:18:45> 00:18:45:	improving it.
00:18:47> 00:18:49:	How many tools do you have right now that you're
00:18:49> 00:18:50:	looking at?
00:18:51> 00:18:53:	Well, you know, I would say a few years ago
00:18:53> 00:18:56:	it we really did have a compilation and we were
00:18:56> 00:18:59:	pulling a lot from publicly available sources like.
00:19:00> 00:19:03:	FEMA maps and wildfire risk to communities which we still
00:19:03> 00:19:07:	use just whatever and and risk factor went back in
00:19:07> 00:19:10:	the day when it was originally flood IQ and and
00:19:10> 00:19:14:	seeing that for the residential properties. So we had that.
00:19:14> 00:19:17:	We've boiled it down a little bit more but we
00:19:17> 00:19:20:	still rely on those. Like I said it's it's a
00:19:20> 00:19:23:	mix of those. A lot of the climate providers are
00:19:24> 00:19:27:	giving more of a bird's eye view right. It's this
00:19:27> 00:19:30:	regional exposure and then you need to supplement.
00:19:30> 00:19:34:	With these additional tools to get drilled down into that
00:19:34> 00:19:38:	that property level specifics and and so yeah it's it's
00:19:38> 00:19:39:	a handful I'd say.
00:19:39> 00:19:40:	Yeah.
00:19:40> 00:19:43:	Yeah, David, I realized that I didn't, I didn't ask
00:19:43> 00:19:45:	you the tool question. And I remember from our prep
00:19:45> 00:19:48:	call, some of us buy tools, some of us customized
00:19:48> 00:19:50:	tools that we buy and some of us decide we
00:19:50> 00:19:53:	need to build things somewhat from scratch. So I don't
00:19:53> 00:19:56:	know as much as you could share. Could you share
00:19:56> 00:19:59:	a little bit about the tools that you're using customizing
00:19:59> 00:20:01:	and building over the Kansas City Fed?
00:20:02> 00:20:06:	As it's similar to Brittany and Emily, we are looking
00:20:06> 00:20:09:	at some of the off the shelf tools like what
00:20:09> 00:20:12:	is provided by FEMA and Noah and you know 1st
00:20:12> 00:20:14:	St. so those are part of the toolkit when we
00:20:15> 00:20:19:	think about climate risk. But we're also primary researchers,
00 00 40	so
00:20:19> 00:20:22:	we build some of our own tools using publicly available
00:20:22> 00:20:26:	data. Last year on some of my colleagues both within

00 00 00 > 00 00	
00:20:26> 00:20:29: 00:20:29> 00:20:32:	the KC Fed and also colleagues at Noah, we had a climatologist and a geographer on the on the.
00:20:32> 00:20:36:	Project, we built a tool linking, you know, sea level
00:20:36> 00:20:39:	rise risk to coastal real estate markets. So we brought
	C
00:20:39> 00:20:43:	in publicly available geospatial data from Noah and wound up
00:20:43> 00:20:46:	the crank with, you know, some Python And other off
00:20:46> 00:20:50:	the shelf, you know, data analytics tools. And we figured
00:20:50> 00:20:54:	out an algorithm for identifying lease inundation elevation at the
00:20:54> 00:20:58:	parcel level. So in our case it was residential real
00:20:58> 00:21:01:	estate. You could apply our same toolkit to commercial real
00:21:02> 00:21:03:	estate mortgages.
00:21:03> 00:21:06:	Any unit of interest. So we were kind of agnostic
00:21:06> 00:21:10:	there. We chose residential real estate because it had full
00:21:10> 00:21:13:	coverage and then we link that into local sea level
00:21:13> 00:21:17:	rise factors with uncertainty. So we were bringing in another
00:21:17> 00:21:20:	element there and sort of merging sort of these publicly
00:21:20> 00:21:24:	available data sets, sort of best in class research, best
00:21:24> 00:21:28:	in class information from the climate side with you know,
00:21:28> 00:21:31:	latitudes and longitudes. And we did that at the parcel
00:21:31> 00:21:33:	level, aggregated that up to.
00:21:33> 00:21:36:	Your zip code, you know, metro level and provided some
00:21:36> 00:21:40:	information on when specific locations will be at risk. And
00:21:40> 00:21:43:	then the nice thing about our research is we look
00:21:43> 00:21:45:	at that as a public good. So we provide people
00:21:45> 00:21:48:	a map on how they can do some of this
00:21:48> 00:21:51:	themselves. My background prior to the KC Fed, who I
00:21:51> 00:21:55:	worked 1/2 decade with, the National Oceanic and
	Atmospheric Administration,
00:21:55> 00:21:58:	couple years of that was that one of their data
00:21:58> 00:22:01:	centers. So it's been amazing to me to see the
00:22:01> 00:22:04:	evolution of the tools that can help you build tools.
00:22:04> 00:22:06:	So when I was working at the lab in Boulder,
00:22:06> 00:22:09:	if you would have told me 10 years from now,
00:22:09> 00:22:12:	you could take this publicly available data, you know, with
00:22:12> 00:22:15:	relatively limited, you know, skill and backgrounds, bring a few
00:22:16> 00:22:18:	people in the room and then put together your own
00:22:18> 00:22:21:	data product that no one else has done. And oh,
00:22:21> 00:22:23:	by the way, all the inputs are free outside of
00:22:23> 00:22:26:	your own labor. I think that's kind of amazing. So
00:22:26> 00:22:29:	it's, it's wonderful what the first streets of the world
00:22:29> 00:22:32:	are doing or to see some of these climate data

00:22:32> 00:22:34:	analytics shops, you know, build better widgets.
00:22:34> 00:22:38:	That you can then link into, you know, investment decisions
00:22:38> 00:22:41:	or, you know, specific parcels of property. But you know,
00:22:41> 00:22:44:	a lot of the upstream version of this has evolved
00:22:44> 00:22:47:	in such a fashion that people can kind of do
00:22:47> 00:22:50:	this themselves and build some of their own data products
00:22:50> 00:22:54:	which you know, frankly wasn't possible, you know, 1/2
	decade
00:22:54> 00:22:55:	or a decade ago.
00:22:57> 00:23:00:	It is pretty amazing and hopefully the rest of the
00:23:00> 00:23:04:	planet will catch up with these free and accessible data
00:23:04> 00:23:07:	sets so that we can have tools like risk Factor
00:23:07> 00:23:10:	Pro that are built globally and in near future. I'm
00:23:10> 00:23:13:	going to use that as a transition question to all
00:23:13> 00:23:16:	three of you. So crystal ball and also your own
00:23:16> 00:23:19:	hopes, dreams and fears like where do you where do
00:23:19> 00:23:23:	you see this client risk analytic market going and where
00:23:23> 00:23:26:	does it need to go to solve the problems that
00:23:26> 00:23:27:	you guys now are seeing?
00:23:28> 00:23:31:	Now that you've scratched the surface of climate risk analysis,
00:23:31> 00:23:33:	I see Emily has unmuted herself. So maybe Emily, if
00:23:33> 00:23:35:	you want to weigh in on that first.
00:23:37> 00:23:40:	So I think that the companies that are offering the
00:23:40> 00:23:43:	tools and you know David was mentioning all of the
00:23:43> 00:23:46:	free source information that's out there, they're all doing the
00:23:46> 00:23:48:	right things. I think a lot of it has to
00:23:48> 00:23:51:	do with the users at this point and just getting
00:23:51> 00:23:54:	more people educated and aware of what's out there and
00:23:54> 00:23:57:	available and actually using that to think through these problems
00:23:57> 00:24:00:	at hand and make decisions. So that's where I think
00:24:00> 00:24:02:	that the biggest opportunity is Max.
00:24:07> 00:24:10:	David Brittany any thoughts on what the future should look
00:24:10> 00:24:11:	like or will look like?
00:24:12> 00:24:15:	I think, I think Emily is definitely on point there
00:24:15> 00:24:19:	with the education. So the climate information, the knowledge has
00:24:19> 00:24:22:	been out there for decades, right. If you talk to
00:24:22> 00:24:25:	a climatologist, a lot of this is old hat. It's
00:24:25> 00:24:30:	the field of economics, finance, investment portfolio management that's new
00:24:30> 00:24:33:	at this game. So it's it's on those new participants
JULTIOU 7 UULLTIUU.	at the game. Oo it of the on those new participants

00:24:33> 00:24:35:	who are coming to the climate.
00:24:35> 00:24:39:	You know, to educate themselves on, you know, what are
00:24:39> 00:24:42:	the real risks? What should I be focused on? You
00:24:42> 00:24:45:	know, I would love to see more conversations with people
00:24:46> 00:24:49:	in the financial sector or the real estate sector or
00:24:49> 00:24:52:	the economics field. You know, interact with folks in the
00:24:52> 00:24:56:	climate science or earth science fields. That's kind of some
00:24:56> 00:24:59:	of our work. I feel like this is a team
00:24:59> 00:25:02:	sport. It's collaborative, and there are a lot of people
00:25:02> 00:25:06:	further along on the path than folks like myself. So
00:25:06> 00:25:06:	I.
00:25:06> 00:25:09:	Benefit massively from just having a conversation. I would love
00:25:09> 00:25:11:	to see, you know, coffee with a climatologist. And if
00:25:11> 00:25:14:	there are any climatologists on the call and you're setting
00:25:14> 00:25:16:	that up, please send me a, you know, an e-mail
00:25:16> 00:25:18:	or I'd love to sit on, sit in on that
00:25:18> 00:25:20:	webinar and just absorb and learn.
00:25:21> 00:25:23:	So that's kind of my take on it. I think
00:25:23> 00:25:25:	it's collaborative. I think it's connecting with people who are
00:25:26> 00:25:28:	further along on the path and sort of learning quickly
00:25:28> 00:25:30:	so that you can better apply some of the off
00:25:30> 00:25:32:	the shelf solutions that are being developed.
00:25:35> 00:25:38:	Great. I think a challenge too is, you know, addressing
00:25:38> 00:25:41:	an elephant in the room, I guess is that a
00:25:41> 00:25:45:	lot of climate risk providers don't necessarily have the same
00:25:45> 00:25:48:	results. And so that is a challenge that we have
00:25:49> 00:25:52:	to deal with and really erodes trust across the board.
00:25:52> 00:25:56:	I see it with our leadership like they're hesitant to
00:25:56> 00:26:01:	move forward with adopting certain climate data providers because there's
00:26:01> 00:26:04:	that question of it's a business, right? So how much
00:26:04> 00:26:05:	of this?
00:26:05> 00:26:09:	Is is accurate, is precise. Why does it differ across
00:26:09> 00:26:13:	different providers that that immediately like I said that just
00:26:13> 00:26:16:	erodes trust. So I think there and and we
00:26:16> 00:26:19:	know that industry is growing ESG is less of a
00:26:20> 00:26:22:	check the box but still kind of vote as I
00:26:22> 00:26:27:	noted all those drivers before it's growing and there's just
00:26:27> 00:26:31:	greater demand and so I think that the technology companies
00:26:31> 00:26:35:	that climate providers need to to be prepared and and.
00:26:35> 00:26:38:	Evolve and adapt, uh, with with the market, right, it's

00:26:38> 00:26:42:	a fast-paced industry, everyone's waking up at the same time
00:26:42> 00:26:45:	and the tech firms have to be willing to deliver
00:26:45> 00:26:49:	quality data quickly and to tailor their products in the
00:26:49> 00:26:53:	way that the users actually need it, right? More transparency
00:26:53> 00:26:56:	can't be so closed off on what are the assumptions,
	·
00:26:56> 00:27:00:	what are the methodologies going into this? Where are you
00:27:00> 00:27:03:	getting your data? We don't want your own spin on
00:27:03> 00:27:05:	it. We want the data and so we can make
00:27:05> 00:27:06:	it.
00:27:06> 00:27:09:	Informed decision and and so I I think providers really
00:27:09> 00:27:12:	need to to step up and and understand that's the
00:27:12> 00:27:13:	game we're playing.
00:27:15> 00:27:18:	If I could add something to what Brittany said really
00:27:18> 00:27:21:	quick, I think it's also the companies that are on
00:27:21> 00:27:25:	the receiving end of that data being open minded to
00:27:25> 00:27:29:	challenging their initial assumptions. So this is something we've been
00:27:29> 00:27:33:	talking about as banks, especially looking at data about where
00:27:33> 00:27:37:	flooding is probable. I think Chicago is probably the a
00:27:37> 00:27:40:	great example of that. I think most people got reaction
00:27:40> 00:27:44:	wouldn't be that Chicago is a really risky area, but
00:27:44> 00:27:45:	then if you ask yourself.
00:27:45> 00:27:48:	So why am I seeing this and dive in deeper,
00:27:48> 00:27:51:	you start to understand and So what what I've been
00:27:51> 00:27:54:	working on and what other banks have been working on
00:27:54> 00:27:57:	is how do we challenge our internal stakeholders to be
00:27:57> 00:28:00:	open to these new types of information and really think
00:28:00> 00:28:03:	about the why and challenging that conventional wisdom.
00:28:06> 00:28:08:	And I'll say that, you know, I'll go back to
00:28:08> 00:28:10:	the connecting with the experts outside of, you know, the
00:28:10> 00:28:12:	field of investment or banking I think that.
00:28:13> 00:28:16:	In my experience you know, working with folks and some
00:28:16> 00:28:19:	of the government agencies that deal on this on on
00:28:19> 00:28:22:	the day-to-day, they're, they're extraordinarily open and very helpful. If
00:28:22> 00:28:25:	you have some questions and you can get to the
00:28:25> 00:28:28:	right expert which can be a challenge admittedly. But once
00:28:28> 00:28:31:	you get there they're, they're really happy to have their
00:28:31> 00:28:33:	work count and sort of see people in the real
00:28:33> 00:28:36:	world making good decisions or better decisions off of the
00:28:36> 00:28:39:	information that they're providing. And that can be a good
00:28:39> 00:28:41:	counterpoint or sort of a you know.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

00:28:41> 00:28:44:	Second sort of focal point to sort of ground truth
00:28:44> 00:28:46:	some of the information that you know there is a
00:28:46> 00:28:49:	sort of buyer be aware that dynamic and you know
00:28:49> 00:28:51:	some kick the tires that you have to do with
00:28:51> 00:28:53:	some of these data products that are being.
00:28:56> 00:28:59:	All right. So I'd like to move to some audience
00:28:59> 00:29:02:	questions now. One of the first ones that I saw
00:29:02> 00:29:05:	come in was what other tools are out there and
00:29:05> 00:29:09:	how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool
00:29:09> 00:29:12:	for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd
00:29:13> 00:29:16:	just like to start from the UI perspective. We we
00:29:16> 00:29:19:	were approached by a member leader and 1st St. Foundation
00:29:19> 00:29:23:	at a really exciting time in their development where they
00:29:23> 00:29:26:	had all of the analytics built out, but they had
00:29:26> 00:29:26:	not.
00:29:26> 00:29:30:	Figured out how they were going to develop property level
00:29:30> 00:29:33:	reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.
00:29:33> 00:29:35:	So it was a chance for you alive members to
00:29:35> 00:29:38:	actually help shape what the tool looked like and what
00:29:39> 00:29:42:	the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members
00:29:42> 00:29:45:	that one of their main concerns was that they.
00:29:45> 00:29:48:	Did not feel that all of the reports they were
00:29:48> 00:29:52:	getting from all other providers were giving them what they
00:29:52> 00:29:56:	needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So
00:29:56> 00:29:59:	that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I
00:29:59> 00:30:01:	will add that we think all of these tools are
00:30:02> 00:30:05:	awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable
00:30:05> 00:30:09:	for different people depending on what they're trying to understand.
00:30:09> 00:30:12:	We're going to work to make our Members aware of
00:30:12> 00:30:14:	all the tools that we know about. I think that
00:30:15> 00:30:16:	our list is like.
00:30:16> 00:30:19:	28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a
00:30:19> 00:30:22:	lot of tools out there, including free tools from people
00:30:22> 00:30:27:	like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics
00:30:27> 00:30:30:	where you can work with a software provider and then
00:30:30> 00:30:34:	bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help
00:30:34> 00:30:38:	you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on what

00:30:38> 00:30:40:	the climate data is telling you.
00:30:41> 00:30:44:	Anybody else want to share either some other tools that
00:30:44> 00:30:46:	they know of in the market or a little bit
00:30:47> 00:30:49:	on how they decided to work with risk factor Pro
00:30:49> 00:30:51:	among their other tools, Emily?
00:30:52> 00:30:56:	Yeah. So I think that originally the relationship with with
00:30:56> 00:30:59:	first rate and risk factor came out of some interest
00:30:59> 00:31:02:	from one of our board members and then some experience
00:31:02> 00:31:06:	from like our Geospatial Sciences team and they ran a
00:31:06> 00:31:09:	pilot using that information. And then you know the pilot
00:31:09> 00:31:12:	was really interesting. The data was easy to work with
00:31:12> 00:31:15:	for sure. It was easy to work with. And so
00:31:15> 00:31:18:	we continue to expand that other data providers that I've
00:31:18> 00:31:22:	heard of that seem really interesting and very credible I
00:31:22> 00:31:22:	would say.
00:31:22> 00:31:26:	Jupiter is another one very much focused on the physical
00:31:26> 00:31:29:	space. I think would have you know very similar types
00:31:29> 00:31:31:	of of data sets. We have a separate vendor on
00:31:32> 00:31:34:	the transition risk side and I will I will share
00:31:34> 00:31:37:	them just because they are about to expand I believe
00:31:37> 00:31:41:	into CRE and transition risk which may be very interesting
00:31:41> 00:31:44:	for those on this call and that's a company called
00:31:44> 00:31:46:	Oak N so it sounds like you know not just
00:31:46> 00:31:49:	as N but I think others too are also starting
00:31:49> 00:31:51:	to build out those CRE transition tools.
00:31:54> 00:31:55:	Great.
00:31:56> 00:31:59:	I would add Billy there LaSalle put out a report
00:31:59> 00:32:03:	and UL I right I believe that evaluated climate risk
00:32:03> 00:32:06:	providers and more so how you go about evaluating climate
00:32:07> 00:32:10:	risk providers and the questions to ask. So I would
00:32:10> 00:32:13:	I think we could link that send it in the
00:32:13> 00:32:16:	the Q&A or the chat and that might I think
00:32:16> 00:32:19:	is a good a good tool to start with. There
00:32:19> 00:32:21:	are so many out there and it you can it
00:32:21> 00:32:24:	can feel crazy like which one do you go with
00:32:24> 00:32:26:	we've changed ours.
00:32:26> 00:32:29:	So I think that's also recognizing when one is just
00:32:29> 00:32:32:	not serving they've served a need and maybe now you
00:32:32> 00:32:35:	have a new need and so you need to evolve
00:32:35> 00:32:38:	and and switch providers and I think that's a healthy
00:32:38> 00:32:42:	thing to evaluate. So keeping that and and I would
00:32:42> 00:32:45:	say that there's actually kind of two ways to to
	,,

00:32:45> 00:32:47: 00:32:47> 00:32:51: 00:32:51> 00:32:52: 00:32:53> 00:32:56: 00:32:56> 00:32:59: 00:32:59> 00:33:02:	look at it. There's data and and more of that regional sense that I think drives. I was saying this before some of those.  Strategic direction that we might want to go as a regional what, what might be the risks, where do we think there are these market level shifts and how we might adjust our investment strategy at a higher level. And then there's needing to know specifically what is the building's
00:32:51> 00:32:52: 00:32:53> 00:32:56: 00:32:56> 00:32:59: 00:32:59> 00:33:02:	this before some of those.  Strategic direction that we might want to go as a regional what, what might be the risks, where do we think there are these market level shifts and how we might adjust our investment strategy at a higher level. And then there's needing to know specifically what is the
00:32:53> 00:32:56: 00:32:56> 00:32:59: 00:32:59> 00:33:02:	Strategic direction that we might want to go as a regional what, what might be the risks, where do we think there are these market level shifts and how we might adjust our investment strategy at a higher level. And then there's needing to know specifically what is the
00:32:56> 00:32:59: 00:32:59> 00:33:02:	regional what, what might be the risks, where do we think there are these market level shifts and how we might adjust our investment strategy at a higher level. And then there's needing to know specifically what is the
00:32:59> 00:33:02:	think there are these market level shifts and how we might adjust our investment strategy at a higher level. And then there's needing to know specifically what is the
	might adjust our investment strategy at a higher level. And then there's needing to know specifically what is the
	then there's needing to know specifically what is the
00:33:02> 00:33:05:	
00:33:06> 00:33:09:	
00:33:09> 00:33:12:	probability of getting hit with with a hazard and to
00:33:12> 00:33:15:	what extent is that. And so those are two different
00:33:15> 00:33:19:	stakeholders, right. One might be the portfolio manager and the
00:33:19> 00:33:22:	the leadership of the business, but when I'm talking to
00:33:22> 00:33:24:	a transaction officer like.
00:33:24> 00:33:27:	We're not going to be talking about lofty market decisions.
00:33:28> 00:33:30:	They need to know on this deal what is the
00:33:30> 00:33:33:	risk and how do they underwrite it. And so I
00:33:33> 00:33:36:	that's where risk factor I think really filled a gap
00:33:36> 00:33:39:	and a need that was so desperately needed and missing
00:33:40> 00:33:43:	of what is that probability and what is the extent
00:33:43> 00:33:46:	of that damage or hazard or risk. And then that
00:33:46> 00:33:48:	is something that has a number and can bring it
00:33:49> 00:33:52:	to a transaction officer in the way that they want
00:33:52> 00:33:54:	to see it and can do something with that.
00:33:54> 00:33:58:	Information. So it's also thinking, pulling it apart a little
00:33:58> 00:34:00:	bit that there's different ways you want to use it,
00:34:00> 00:34:04:	climate data and and different stakeholder groups to to work
00:34:04> 00:34:04:	with.
00:34:05> 00:34:08:	I know these are tough questions, but there are a
00:34:08> 00:34:13:	couple rolling in for Brittany really quickly. Could you share
00:34:13> 00:34:16:	whether your analysis is leading you to stay away from
00:34:16> 00:34:20:	a specific asset or specific region or is it more
00:34:20> 00:34:21:	nuanced than that?
00:34:21> 00:34:25:	It's definitely more nuanced. We pretty much have, you know
00:34:25> 00:34:28:	our MO is no, we're not redlining, that's not not
00:34:28> 00:34:33:	smart business strategy, it's and again that's why risk factor
00:34:33> 00:34:36:	has been great because if you just looked at a
00:34:36> 00:34:37:	regional analysis.
00:34:37> 00:34:40:	You said this area is that increasing risk of flood,
00:34:40> 00:34:44:	great. But there are pockets within there that aren't and
00:34:44> 00:34:48:	have inherently more resilient areas or there's things you could

00:34:48> 00:34:50:	do and you have to look at market signals and
00:34:50> 00:34:53:	the rental growth is there in Phoenix despite the the
00:34:53> 00:34:57:	water stress and the heat stress and the rental growth
00:34:57> 00:35:00:	is there in Miami despite the flood risk. So we're
00:35:00> 00:35:03:	not necessarily going to just up and pull out, but
00:35:03> 00:35:06:	let's think about how we can have a more resilient
00:35:06> 00:35:07:	approach and strategy.
00:35:07> 00:35:10:	But it is about keeping a pulse on that and
00:35:10> 00:35:14:	thinking what could be those secondary or tertiary markets
00.05.44 > 00.05.40	that
00:35:14> 00:35:16:	we want to move into. And and again that's where
00:35:17> 00:35:20:	that long term strategy comes in simultaneous to what could
00:35:20> 00:35:23:	we do immediately with the assets that we already own
00:35:23> 00:35:26:	or the ones that you know are are in regions
00:35:26> 00:35:29:	that are still hot markets. So it's definitely nuanced.
00:35:30> 00:35:34:	Thanks. There's a question about downside risks, and I think
00:35:34> 00:35:37:	this is a good broad question for everybody. Do you
00:35:38> 00:35:42:	feel, based on your scope of investment analysis or economic
00:35:42> 00:35:46:	analysis, that the downside risk is quantifiable, that it's fully
00:35:46> 00:35:49:	quantified and that it's being priced in yet or are
00:35:50> 00:35:54:	there still some significant market failures that are holding that
00:35:54> 00:35:54:	back?
00:35:56> 00:35:59:	I can speak to that one, Billy, not so much
00:35:59> 00:36:02:	on whether or not it's priced in exactly. I think
00:36:02> 00:36:04:	that's a that's a bit of a moving target. But
00:36:04> 00:36:07:	from the work that we did on sea level rise
00:36:07> 00:36:10:	last year, there is asymmetry of risk when you look
00:36:10> 00:36:13:	at the full spectrum of uncertainty, let's say within a
00:36:13> 00:36:16:	specific climate scenario. So if you look at the sea
00:36:16> 00:36:19:	level rise trajectories and you look at the 10 to
00:36:19> 00:36:23:	90th percentile within those trajectories and you do the adding
00:36:23> 00:36:25:	up by a metro, what we found in our analysis
00:36:25> 00:36:26:	is that.
00:36:26> 00:36:29:	There is an asymmetry of risk to the downside and
00:36:29> 00:36:32:	certain low lying coastal markets and then it is difficult
00:36:33> 00:36:36:	to assess whether or not that's fully priced in because
00:36:36> 00:36:38:	that is based off of the type of work that
00:36:38> 00:36:43:	Brittany and Emily are doing on investor preferences. What
00:36:43> 00:36:46:	information are they bringing on board? Is everybody bringing on board

00:36:46> 00:36:49:	the same information and reading at the same way when
00:36:49> 00:36:52:	they make decisions? So I think there's a lot of
00:36:52> 00:36:55:	work yet to be done on whether or not you
00:36:55> 00:36:56:	can say it's fully priced.
00:36:57> 00:37:01:	But the uncertainty, I think is a big, big piece
00:37:01> 00:37:04:	that is probably not fully understood yet and is a
00:37:04> 00:37:07:	real challenge for kind of anyone doing sort of the
00:37:08> 00:37:11:	more difficult work on climate analytics and climate risk.
00:37:16> 00:37:18:	So what do you think, Brittany, Emily, from where you
00:37:18> 00:37:21:	sit, how how is it going in terms of being
00:37:21> 00:37:23:	able to quantify these these risks at this point?
00:37:26> 00:37:29:	Yeah, I would I would echo what what David saying
00:37:29> 00:37:32:	about the quantification piece and again this is something we
00:37:32> 00:37:36:	were talking about as as banks you know most immediately
00:37:36> 00:37:39:	we're focused on that direct damage to physical assets or
00:37:39> 00:37:42:	damage to our clients that would result in potential credit
00:37:42> 00:37:46:	losses and things like that. It it's especially difficult and
00:37:46> 00:37:49:	really compounds the problem when you start to add in
00:37:49> 00:37:52:	those economic factors you know we know that they exist
00:37:52> 00:37:56:	but incorporating them is is really difficult at this point.
00:37:56> 00:37:56:	So I don't.
00:37:56> 00:37:58:	I don't think it's there yet.
	-
00:37:59> 00:38:02:	Yeah. And for us, it's still very much is a
00:37:59> 00:38:02: 00:38:02> 00:38:05:	Yeah. And for us, it's still very much is a downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually
	•
00:38:02> 00:38:05:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90%
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12: 00:38:12> 00:38:15:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case,
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12: 00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well,
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold should actually just be a base case, but for now,
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:  00:38:26> 00:38:29: 00:38:29> 00:38:30:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold should actually just be a base case, but for now, right now.
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:  00:38:26> 00:38:30: 00:38:30> 00:38:34:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold should actually just be a base case, but for now, right now.  Most of it is just running that downside. Assume increases in insurance, assume that an expanded cap rate, assume
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:  00:38:26> 00:38:29: 00:38:29> 00:38:30: 00:38:30> 00:38:34: 00:38:34> 00:38:38:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold should actually just be a base case, but for now, right now.  Most of it is just running that downside. Assume increases in insurance, assume that an expanded cap rate, assume you
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:  00:38:29> 00:38:30: 00:38:30> 00:38:34: 00:38:34> 00:38:38:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold should actually just be a base case, but for now, right now.  Most of it is just running that downside. Assume increases in insurance, assume that an expanded cap rate, assume you know and what would that do to the financials so
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:  00:38:29> 00:38:30: 00:38:30> 00:38:34: 00:38:34> 00:38:41: 00:38:41> 00:38:45:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold should actually just be a base case, but for now, right now.  Most of it is just running that downside. Assume increases in insurance, assume that an expanded cap rate, assume you know and what would that do to the financials so that the the portfolio manager has a full picture. I
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:  00:38:26> 00:38:29: 00:38:30> 00:38:30: 00:38:34> 00:38:38:  00:38:34> 00:38:41: 00:38:41> 00:38:45: 00:38:45> 00:38:48:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold should actually just be a base case, but for now, right now.  Most of it is just running that downside. Assume increases in insurance, assume that an expanded cap rate, assume you know and what would that do to the financials so that the the portfolio manager has a full picture. I think how the markets pricing it in. I addressed a
00:38:02> 00:38:05: 00:38:05> 00:38:08: 00:38:09> 00:38:12:  00:38:12> 00:38:15: 00:38:15> 00:38:19: 00:38:19> 00:38:22: 00:38:22> 00:38:26:  00:38:26> 00:38:29: 00:38:30> 00:38:30: 00:38:34> 00:38:34: 00:38:45> 00:38:45: 00:38:45> 00:38:51:	downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually something that we want to shift shift especially where we are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance of occurring, it really ought to be the base case, not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting, our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold should actually just be a base case, but for now, right now.  Most of it is just running that downside. Assume increases in insurance, assume that an expanded cap rate, assume you know and what would that do to the financials so that the the portfolio manager has a full picture. I think how the markets pricing it in. I addressed a lot before that people are still living there. I mean

00:38:58> 00:39:00:	to go but and it does certainly.
00:39:00> 00:39:03:	Harry and but the scary part is not knowing when
00:39:03> 00:39:06:	that market could flip and it could take a very
00:39:06> 00:39:09:	aggressive flip and you'd want to be on the winning
00:39:09> 00:39:11:	side of that equation and who has a crystal ball
00:39:12> 00:39:14:	for that. So trying to figure out what are those
00:39:14> 00:39:17:	market signals. If you look at risks, risk factors data
00:39:18> 00:39:20:	is now in Redfin and realtor.com. So now you can
00:39:20> 00:39:23:	say OK the the layman person is now understanding their
00:39:24> 00:39:26:	risk to their homes. Maybe that is going to start
00:39:26> 00:39:29:	shifting people to say wait I don't want to live
00:39:29> 00:39:31:	in a home that has a risk factor.
00:39:31> 00:39:34:	For a flood factor score of seven and there are
00:39:34> 00:39:37:	communities that towns are buying them out because there's just
00:39:37> 00:39:40:	too much risk and it doesn't make sense to ensure
00:39:40> 00:39:43:	them anymore. So I know there's some in Long Island,
00:39:43> 00:39:45:	there's you know a few of them around the US
00:39:46> 00:39:49:	that towns are actually local governments have to say we'll
00:39:49> 00:39:51:	buy you out of this town and and otherwise I
00:39:51> 00:39:54:	mean if you stay you pretty much accept obsolescence or
00:39:54> 00:39:57:	a complete value loss of of your property. So it
00:39:57> 00:39:59:	is changing in some places.
00:39:59> 00:40:03:	Yeah. The realtor.com example is, is actually a great example
00:40:03> 00:40:06:	for this question that just came in. You know, with
00:40:06> 00:40:09:	realtor.org, all the data is there and it's in a
00:40:09> 00:40:13:	very understandable way. But the question is whether the realtor
00:40:13> 00:40:17:	is actually educating people on how to make decisions based
00:40:17> 00:40:20:	on that data. There's a question, it's probably mainly for
00:40:20> 00:40:24:	Emily and Brittany, but you do this climate risk analysis
00:40:24> 00:40:27:	and then you have to give your investment team some
00:40:27> 00:40:29:	guidance. Are you just giving them the data?
00:40:30> 00:40:32:	Are you telling them what you think they should do,
00:40:32> 00:40:35:	or are you helping them figure out how to price
00:40:35> 00:40:37:	it? How does that? How does that interaction work between
00:40:37> 00:40:41:	the climate risk analysis and the investment decisions based
00-40-44 > 00-40-44	on ::0
00:40:41> 00:40:41:	it?
00:40:42> 00:40:45:	Yeah, we we make it a collaborative effort. I mean
00:40:45> 00:40:47:	if we just came forward and said do this, it
00:40:47> 00:40:51:	would be immediately shut down. And quite honestly that's

	why
00:40:51> 00:40:54:	the whole concept of delivering a simple value at risk
00:40:54> 00:40:57:	metric doesn't really work for a lot of investment firms
00:40:57> 00:41:00:	because everybody wants to know well what are you assuming
00:41:00> 00:41:04:	behind that and we may disagree with those assumptions. So
00:41:04> 00:41:06:	it but it also is not just giving the data,
00:41:06> 00:41:09:	it's working together to figure out what kind of guidance
00:41:09> 00:41:12:	makes sense. So we are saying those types of things.
00:41:12> 00:41:16:	You mentioned before that, OK, if you exceed this threshold,
00:41:16> 00:41:20:	here's the data. If you exceed this threshold that we
00:41:20> 00:41:24:	said internally, then you need to assume the insurance double
00:41:24> 00:41:27:	s over the whole period or assume A2 per 200%
00:41:27> 00:41:30:	increase in water costs because of the the water stress
00:41:30> 00:41:33:	for that region. So we do work together to actually
00:41:33> 00:41:37:	give informed recommendations on what to do, but there is
00:41:37> 00:41:41:	autonomy for the investment teams to to ultimately make the
00:41:41> 00:41:42:	call themselves of.
00:41:42> 00:41:45:	How severe they want to tweak the financials or if
00:41:45> 00:41:47:	they don't believe in the risk and and not tweak
00:41:47> 00:41:49:	it, that's really their call.
00:41:52> 00:41:55:	For us, we've been really focused on consumer mortgage. You
00:41:55> 00:41:58:	know, before diving into commercial real estate or any of
00:41:58> 00:42:02:	our own properties or third parties, our mortgage business, they're
00:42:02> 00:42:06:	aware of climate risk. They were already having some conversations
00:42:06> 00:42:09:	with some of their other third parties. Our risk management
00:42:09> 00:42:12:	team is really the one that that sat down with
00:42:12> 00:42:16:	our Geospatial Sciences team and analyzed the first straight data.
00:42:16> 00:42:19:	Where we are right now is identification of the risk.
00:42:19> 00:42:22:	And so I mentioned Chicago earlier and there's a couple
00:42:22> 00:42:23:	other areas like that.
00:42:23> 00:42:26:	Where the risk is different than what you may conventionally
00:42:26> 00:42:30:	think of, especially if you're looking at FEMA maps and
00:42:30> 00:42:32:	things like that. So our stuff was to provide that
00:42:32> 00:42:36:	information to our mortgage business. The trick with the consumer
00:42:36> 00:42:39:	side and I'm sure some of you on the call
00:42:39> 00:42:41:	work with consumer, you have to be very careful not
00:42:42> 00:42:45:	to adversely impact that customer, you know, just because

that's 00:42:45 --> 00:42:48: the right thing to do. But also from a compliance 00:42:48 --> 00:42:51: perspective, there are a lot of a lot of regulations 00:42:51 --> 00:42:53: and things in that space and so. 00:42:53 --> 00:42:56: There's not a change to lending decisions and processes and 00:42:56 --> 00:43:00: practices at this point. It's more about that understanding. I 00:43:00 --> 00:43:03: think from a banking industry, the things that we think 00:43:03 --> 00:43:06: are key are the education like that linking in Redfin. 00:43:07 --> 00:43:10: There is research that indicates that that leads to. 00:43:10 --> 00:43:14: Customers more likely buying voluntary insurance if they're not in 00:43:14 --> 00:43:17: a flood zone and then you know just continuing to 00:43:17 --> 00:43:20: to educate I think is really that that important piece 00:43:20 --> 00:43:23: where we think that the changes are probably most immediately 00:43:23 --> 00:43:26: going to happen for us. It's probably going to be 00:43:26 --> 00:43:29: more so in management of our own real estate. But 00:43:29 --> 00:43:32: again it's probably going to be more about the you 00:43:32 --> 00:43:34: know due by this building or do we buy the 00:43:34 --> 00:43:37: one next door. What types of controls do we put 00:43:37 --> 00:43:40: in place, are there physical mitigants that we put in 00:43:40 --> 00:43:40: place to. 00:43:40 --> 00:43:43: Better control. The risk of that building is probably where 00:43:43 --> 00:43:44: it's going to start for us. 00:43:47 --> 00:43:48: So shifting gears a little bit. 00:43:50 --> 00:43:53: David, all of us work directly in the real estate 00:43:53 --> 00:43:57: industry all the time. You're looking across dozens of different 00:43:57 --> 00:44:00: industries. There was a question about who might be missing from the conversation that we'd like to see. In that 00:44:00 --> 00:44:03: 00:44:03 --> 00:44:08: conversation, the suggestion was maybe medical or homeowner insurance entities 00:44:08 --> 00:44:10: are. Are there folks that you see in this sort 00:44:10 --> 00:44:14: of real estate and real estate adjacent ecosystem that should 00:44:14 --> 00:44:17: be part of this conversation on climate risk analysis? 00:44:18 --> 00:44:20: Yeah, I mean I think Brittany and Emily touched on 00:44:20 --> 00:44:23: it. Uh, the link between a long lived asset called 00:44:23 --> 00:44:25: a mortgage and a building and sort of the insurance 00:44:25 --> 00:44:28: industry, I think that's kind of where the rubber hits 00:44:28 --> 00:44:28: the road it's. 00:44:29 --> 00:44:31: It's great for me to hear that some of those 00:44:31 --> 00:44:34: adjustments are already taking place or some of those decisions

00:44:34> 00:44:37:	are already sort of happening on the investment side. For
00:44:37> 00:44:39:	me, when I think about this from sort of a
00:44:39> 00:44:43:	meta perspective, the fact that you're having those conversations, people
00:44:43> 00:44:46:	are taking that information on board and then making decisions
00:44:46> 00:44:49:	about it actually creates a less risky world potentially in
00:44:49> 00:44:49:	the future.
00:44:50> 00:44:53:	Um, so certainly insurance, we think about climate risk more
00:44:54> 00:44:58:	broadly. So I've already mentioned the agricultural sector in drought
00:44:58> 00:45:01:	at the KC Fed, we focus on the energy sector.
00:45:01> 00:45:04:	So a lot of transitional risk and opportunity there, so.
00:45:05> 00:45:08:	I guess, yeah, in the commercial real estate, real estate
00:45:08> 00:45:11:	space, certainly the insurance side of the House, but at
00:45:11> 00:45:14:	least from our perspective it's sort of broad spectrum climate,
00:45:14> 00:45:17:	risk, broader economy both regionally as well as nationally.
00:45:18> 00:45:22:	Thanks. There's a question about tools for a global portfolio
00:45:22> 00:45:26:	and we, you know 1st St. Foundation data is really
00:45:26> 00:45:29:	focused on the United States of America. I know of
00:45:29> 00:45:33:	two tools that people can use for a global portfolio.
00:45:33> 00:45:37:	One is called climate central and it's a somewhat blunt
00:45:37> 00:45:41:	instrument, but it's datasets that are global, including a pretty
00:45:41> 00:45:45:	comprehensive sea level rise tool. The only other tool I
00:45:45> 00:45:48:	know is a giant pile of IPCC science data that
00:45:48> 00:45:49:	someone would have to.
00:45:50> 00:45:52:	Like, no. And FEMA figure out how to organize in
00:45:52> 00:45:55:	a way to easily build tools off of. But I
00:45:55> 00:45:58:	I'd love to hear from the panel if you've come
00:45:58> 00:46:00:	across or seen any other tools, or have an idea
00:46:00> 00:46:03:	on how those tools could get built to be truly
00:46:03> 00:46:04:	global.
00:46:05> 00:46:08:	Just pass it back. Given the sort of pretty heavy
00:46:08> 00:46:10:	data work we've had to do in a few of
00:46:10> 00:46:12:	our projects, the reality is the climate data and sort
00:46:13> 00:46:15:	of the underlying risk data that you're going to use
00:46:15> 00:46:18:	for this type of thing, the fidelity of that information
00:46:18> 00:46:21:	is really good in the United States and North America
00:46:21> 00:46:24:	and also in Europe. It gets a little bit questionable
00:46:24> 00:46:27:	in terms of granularity and sort of the grid spacing
00:46:27> 00:46:30:	in these types of things. You know, the individual, the
00:46:30> 00:46:32:	actual underlying data sets that you'd use to run that
00:46:32> 00:46:35:	analysis. So that conversation we had about buyer beware.

00:46:35> 00:46:39:	And sort of what somebody might be selling you, they
00:46:39> 00:46:42:	might be telling you that they have, you know, high
00:46:42> 00:46:45:	fidelity data out of a very local level. But I'd
00:46:45> 00:46:48:	question the sort of underlying data that they're using to
00:46:48> 00:46:52:	get to that individual latitude and longitude on type of
00:46:52> 00:46:55:	exposure. So I think I think you're right on point,
00:46:55> 00:46:58:	Billy there, there are far fewer tools you know, X
00:46:58> 00:47:00:	US than there are in the US and I think
00:47:00> 00:47:03:	that has to do with you know how much, how
00:47:03> 00:47:05:	much resources the US spends on you know.
00:47:05> 00:47:08:	Satellites and geospatial data and the Earth science field to
00:47:08> 00:47:10:	sort of feed the beast doesn't work.
00:47:13> 00:47:16:	So there's a question about building this tool in Europe.
00:47:16> 00:47:19:	This, this may sound like a flippant answer, but one
00:47:20> 00:47:23:	of the things that I've seen is the insurance industry
00:47:23> 00:47:27:	and the reinsurance industry has some phenomenal datasets that are
00:47:27> 00:47:30:	global but especially strong in the US and Europe. So,
00:47:30> 00:47:34:	but they're private, right, they're private and proprietary. Can you
00:47:34> 00:47:37:	think of, I mean from a regional perspective, are there
00:47:37> 00:47:40:	tools that are there data sets that you could use
00:47:40> 00:47:43:	in Europe or Asia that might help answer these questions?
00:47:49> 00:47:51:	We're not we may not solve this on this call.
00:47:52> 00:47:56:	Throughout Brittany, like when you're looking at your global portfolio,
00:47:56> 00:47:59:	like what other tools are you using in other regions
00:47:59> 00:48:01:	or are you really waiting for the tools to be
00:48:02> 00:48:04:	offered and become more sophisticated?
00:48:05> 00:48:09:	So I do focus on our America's portfolio. So keep
00:48:09> 00:48:10:	that in mind.
00:48:11> 00:48:15:	We that's where the I don't know if you're trying
00:48:15> 00:48:18:	to say only public because yes we do purchase that's
00:48:18> 00:48:22:	where those global climate risk providers come in handy to
00:48:22> 00:48:26:	get those that that whole comprehensive view. So Munich Ray
00:48:26> 00:48:29:	is 1 which is is the reinsurers climate platform.
00:48:31> 00:48:36:	Maplecroft Verisk Maplecroft has a global climate.
00:48:37> 00:48:39:	Data set and so we were like again it, it
00:48:39> 00:48:42:	is about like hodgepodge a bunch of these. I'm not
00:48:42> 00:48:45:	sure if my counterpart on the European and Asia Pacific
00:48:45> 00:48:48:	portfolio have anything more granular that they also leverage, but

00:48:49> 00:48:51:	that is a good question. I'd like to ask him
00:48:51> 00:48:54:	that. So yeah that's that's what I could share.
00:48:55> 00:48:57:	Great. And I had a couple audience Members point to
00:48:57> 00:49:00:	a couple as well. Roberto, this is the one time
00:49:00> 00:49:02:	I'll ask you to move something from the Q&A into
00:49:02> 00:49:05:	the chat. So if you do have suggestions for other
00:49:05> 00:49:08:	people, it's called climate X and I've never heard of
00:49:08> 00:49:10:	it, but we'll add it to our list and and
00:49:10> 00:49:12:	learn more about it here at UCLA as well.
00:49:13> 00:49:17:	Um, there's a question about time horizons and how your
00:49:17> 00:49:20:	analysis changes. If you're looking at, you know one a
00:49:20> 00:49:24:	one year hold or securitizing mortgages versus holding a
	mortgage
00:49:24> 00:49:27:	for 30 years, how do you guys use this risk
00:49:27> 00:49:31:	analysis based on different time horizons and how important
00.40.24 > 00.40.24.	is
00:49:31> 00:49:34: 00:49:37> 00:49:40:	this risk analysis based on the time horizon for you?
00:49:40> 00:49:43:	Umm, so I could jump in quickly. Our generally we
00:49:43> 00:49:46:	like to think not just about our whole period, but the the buyers hold. Because the thinking is that they're
00:49:46> 00:49:49:	
00:49:49> 00:49:52:	also doing the very same thing we're doing is trying to get a handle on climate risk and integrate it
00:49:52> 00:49:55:	in their investment decisions. And so they're going to have
00:49:55> 00:49:58:	access to the same data. So if we think a
00:49:58> 00:50:01:	property doesn't have significant risk until 10 years from now,
00:50:01> 00:50:04:	but that means that's going to be right away for
00:50:04> 00:50:07:	the buyer and they're not going to be maybe as
00:50:07> 00:50:07:	interested.
00:50:08> 00:50:10:	So we try to look at that 20 year time
00:50:10> 00:50:13:	horizon to say, OK, if the risk is significant within
00:50:13> 00:50:16:	that time frame, that's something to account for. But again
00:50:16> 00:50:20:	this is where it ultimately portfolio managers have the
	investment
00:50:20> 00:50:24:	decision making accountability and responsibility. So we present with what
00:50:24> 00:50:27:	that risk could be and then it's up to them
00:50:27> 00:50:30:	and the investment teams to say, well given that this
00:50:30> 00:50:32:	might be a 5 year hold, we might be more
00:50:32> 00:50:35:	comfortable with that risk. If it's a 10 or longer
00:50:35> 00:50:38:	into your hold then they might be less.
00:50:38> 00:50:40:	Comfortable with that risk. So it it's taking into account
00:50:40> 00:50:43:	what the investment strategy is for that asset as well.
00:50:48> 00:50:50:	For us, when we looked especially at the 1st St.

00.50.50 > 00.50.52.	Data I think that the most jaming thing was the
00:50:50> 00:50:53:	Data, I think that the most jarring thing was the
00:50:53> 00:50:56:	difference even as we sit today between traditional methods
00.50.50 > 00.54.00.	
00:50:56> 00:51:00:	determining that physical risk, specifically flood and they are,
00:51:00> 00:51:03:	you
00:51:00> 00:51:05:	know, more advanced methods. When we looked 30 years
00:51:03> 00:51:06:	out,
	there was, you know, still incremental difference, but it was
00:51:06> 00:51:09:	much smaller. And so really for us, yes, we're looking
00:51:09> 00:51:12:	at multiple time horizons, but the one that we're most
00:51:12> 00:51:15:	focused on is current state because of that drastic difference.
00:51:19> 00:51:21:	Well, so as as all of you are trying to
00:51:21> 00:51:24:	triangulate this risk and come up with a number, what's
00:51:24> 00:51:27:	the role that you place of the emphasis you place
00:51:27> 00:51:31:	on what governments, state and local governments are doing
	or
00:51:31> 00:51:34:	could do or have promised to do within a certain
00:51:34> 00:51:37:	time frame to mitigate that risk? How does that, how
00:51:37> 00:51:39:	does that enter into the analysis?
00:51:42> 00:51:45:	So we it does come into play this it's difficult
00:51:45> 00:51:48:	to to to make any sort of decision on where
00:51:48> 00:51:51:	we think a municipality is headed and putting a number
00:51:52> 00:51:54:	on that is even harder. We this is kind of
00:51:54> 00:51:57:	goes back to what I was saying that there's climate
00:51:58> 00:52:02:	providers serve different needs and there's like that market view
00:52:02> 00:52:05:	way to think about it. So shifting to more of
00:52:05> 00:52:08:	that like what is our strategic direction, where do we
00:52:08> 00:52:03:	•
	want to be thinking about these things and we have
00:52:11> 00:52:12:	used the climate.
00:52:12> 00:52:16:	Service, they've helped us develop market views and that's looking
00:52:17> 00:52:20:	at the policies and the the budget of these different,
00:52:20> 00:52:24:	not necessarily the budget itself, but like budget plans and
00:52:24> 00:52:29:	commitments of different municipalities and where they're spending their dollars
00:52:29> 00:52:32:	on resilience projects. To help us understand, OK, this, you
00:52:32> 00:52:36:	know Boston might have significant risks, but we know that
00:52:36> 00:52:40:	they're investing heavily in resilience, but actually coming out
J3102100 7 001021701	with
00:52:40> 00:52:42:	like OK, so is that a yes or no?
00:52:43> 00:52:46:	That's the hard part and that's why it's more of.
00:52:48> 00:52:52:	Brainstorm thought leadership as opposed to like per
VV.VE.TO VV.VE.UE.	investment. What's
	mrodulone frinco

00:52:52> 00:52:55:	the decision? But it definitely comes into play and and
00:52:55> 00:52:57:	I I hope that we can.
00:52:57> 00:53:01:	Deliver or build something a little bit more systematic in
00:53:01> 00:53:04:	taking in that information, but right now it seems very
00:53:04> 00:53:07:	much like a OK point point taken, but I'm not
00:53:07> 00:53:09:	sure what to do with that next.
00:53:11> 00:53:14:	I'll put in a plug and I'll try and get
00:53:14> 00:53:16:	it into the chat before we leave for an ancient
00:53:16> 00:53:20:	at this point I think it's 2015 report by grovenor.
00:53:20> 00:53:23:	Grovenor tried to look at 100 of the largest cities
00:53:23> 00:53:26:	and their physical risk as well as their adaptive capacity,
00:53:26> 00:53:29:	which I thought was a really interesting term to use.
00:53:30> 00:53:33:	It was a combination of the political will, the financial
00:53:33> 00:53:37:	resources and the progress necessary to mitigate that risk. I
00:53:37> 00:53:40:	think that would be a really interesting thing to try
00:53:40> 00:53:41:	and refresh and for our.
00:53:41> 00:53:44:	Climate providers to try and figure out how we can
00:53:44> 00:53:48:	better quantify that and way it against physical and transition
00:53:48> 00:53:52:	risk in these investment decisions. Or maybe David can do
00:53:52> 00:53:54:	this for us with his expansive datasets.
00:53:55> 00:53:58:	The OR infinite resources of course I just that's all
00:53:58> 00:54:02:	the researchers and the Federal Reserve System but it's actually
00:54:02> 00:54:04:	a really good question for the the Fed similar on
00:54:04> 00:54:08:	the policy side when governments make decisions we kind of
00:54:08> 00:54:11:	have to assess the economic and regional impacts. We see
00:54:11> 00:54:14:	that you know both within sort of municipal and state
00:54:14> 00:54:17:	level but you know also some of the national policy
00:54:17> 00:54:20:	decisions but you know we we respond to that
00:54:20> 00:54:23:	analyze and understand the economic implications but we're not we're
00:54:23> 00:54:25:	not the deciders on those.
00:54:25> 00:54:25:	Thanks.
00:54:27> 00:54:29:	There's a good question in the chat and maybe an
00:54:29> 00:54:32:	unanswerable question, and it is a question you and I
00:54:32> 00:54:35:	tried to answer with a research report three years ago,
00:54:35> 00:54:37:	and we didn't come to a conclusion on this, but
00:54:37> 00:54:41:	has the Federal Reserve seen changes in investment capital flows
00:54:41> 00:54:44:	into certain regions due to climate risks? Is there a
00:54:44> 00:54:46:	way to parse any of that or are you seeing
00:54:46> 00:54:47:	the opposite?
00:54:49> 00:54:49:	Or hard to.

00:54:49> 00:54:52:	Tell yeah, probably. This probably isn't going to be a
00:54:52> 00:54:55:	satisfying answer for the audience here because you're in the
00:54:55> 00:54:59:	commercial real estate space. I'm going to sort of ducktail
00:54:59> 00:55:01:	back into the sort of energy space. You see it
00:55:01> 00:55:04:	on the transitional side. You see sort of the closure
00:55:04> 00:55:07:	of coal mines in the western United States and the
00:55:07> 00:55:09:	rise of wind farms in sort of Kansas and Oklahoma
00:55:09> 00:55:12:	and these types of things. So you do see compositional
00:55:12> 00:55:16:	changes in where infrastructure is being built or where you're
00:55:16> 00:55:19:	allowing infrastructure to sort of reach its useful life.
00:55:19> 00:55:22:	And then sort of get retired. So that's kind of
00:55:22> 00:55:25:	what we see from our perspective. Again, probably not a
00:55:25> 00:55:28:	satisfying answer for all the real estate investors on the
00:55:28> 00:55:28:	call.
00:55:30> 00:55:33:	Brittany, you talked to big investors. I don't know if
00:55:33> 00:55:36:	you can anonymize it, but are you seeing it either
00:55:36> 00:55:39:	in the institutional investment community or in other parts of
00:55:40> 00:55:44:	the investment landscape, anything, any changes in capital
00 55 44 > 00 55 47	flows related
00:55:44> 00:55:47:	to climate risk and resilience without naming any specific regions
00:55:47> 00:55:49:	or any specific investors?
00:55:50> 00:55:55:	So it's definitely increased. In terms of the asking, they
00:55:55> 00:55:58:	want to know like as I was saying before, what
00:55:59> 00:56:00:	is the portfolio?
00:56:00> 00:56:03:	Exposure to all hazards by percent of AUM. What is
00:56:03> 00:56:08:	being done about that? Gives specific examples of how climate
00:56:08> 00:56:12:	risk was factored into decision making. You purchase any assets
00:56:12> 00:56:15:	at risk of those at risk, why did what? What
00:56:15> 00:56:19:	made you comfortable with it? So they're really digging in
00:56:19> 00:56:23:	to hear the thinking behind making those investment decisions.
00:56:24> 00:56:28:	So that does, I don't you know and what they
00:56:28> 00:56:30:	do with that is we teach TBD I guess you
00:56:31> 00:56:34:	know there hasn't yet been any any sort of we
00:56:34> 00:56:37:	don't want you investing at all in X area. It's
00:56:37> 00:56:41:	more so asking the questions but maybe it's a safe
00:56:41> 00:56:45:	assumption that what happens next is they do maybe place
00:56:45> 00:56:49:	some restrictions on on certain areas or do set portfolio
00:56:49> 00:56:53:	limits of we only want 10% of your portfolio exposed
00:56:53> 00:56:55:	to extreme hazards.

00:56:55> 00:56:58:	I think that that would be reasonable to say that
00:56:58> 00:57:01:	that probably comes into play, especially as the reality of
00:57:01> 00:57:05:	climate change continues and we continue to face disasters that
00:57:05> 00:57:08:	cost money. I think that it's very reasonable that they
00:57:08> 00:57:10:	will eventually set those limitations.
00:57:11> 00:57:14:	This has been a a whirlwind tour and I think
00:57:14> 00:57:16:	that we may have today set a record for the
00:57:16> 00:57:20:	total number of audience questions answered. We've answered 17 audience
00:57:20> 00:57:24:	questions. So congratulations audience for asking really good questions that
00:57:24> 00:57:28:	we could answer succinctly and congratulations to the panelists for
00:57:28> 00:57:31:	your flexibility to answer all of these questions that we
00:57:31> 00:57:34:	did not prepare for. I would like to ask one
00:57:34> 00:57:37:	final question that we semi prepared for. Could each of
00:57:37> 00:57:40:	you share something? We have a lot of people that
00:57:40> 00:57:42:	are just getting started with climate.
00:57:42> 00:57:44:	Risk based on your journey or do you have advice
00:57:44> 00:57:48:	for somebody looking to tackle climate risk for the first
00:57:48> 00:57:48:	time?
	Lucyld cov compact, collaborate, you know, access these
00:57:50> 00:57:53:	I would say connect, collaborate, you know, access those folks
00:57:50> 00:57:53: 00:57:53> 00:57:55:	
	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's
00:57:53> 00:57:55:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15:	who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:10> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17: 00:58:18> 00:58:19:	who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think people are still willing to have.
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17: 00:58:18> 00:58:19: 00:58:19> 00:58:22:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think people are still willing to have. Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17: 00:58:18> 00:58:19: 00:58:19> 00:58:22:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think people are still willing to have. Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing that work well.
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17: 00:58:18> 00:58:19: 00:58:22> 00:58:22: 00:58:23> 00:58:24:	folks who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think people are still willing to have. Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing that work well. Thanks.
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17: 00:58:19> 00:58:22: 00:58:22> 00:58:24: 00:58:26> 00:58:28:	who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think people are still willing to have. Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing that work well. Thanks. Backing off of what David said, say plan for not
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17: 00:58:18> 00:58:19: 00:58:22> 00:58:22: 00:58:23> 00:58:24: 00:58:26> 00:58:32:	who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think people are still willing to have. Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing that work well. Thanks. Backing off of what David said, say plan for not getting it right or complete the first time, potentially maybe
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17: 00:58:18> 00:58:19: 00:58:22> 00:58:22: 00:58:23> 00:58:24: 00:58:28> 00:58:32: 00:58:32> 00:58:35:	who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think people are still willing to have. Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing that work well. Thanks. Backing off of what David said, say plan for not getting it right or complete the first time, potentially maybe ever. You know, there will be a lot of iterations
00:57:53> 00:57:55: 00:57:55> 00:57:58: 00:57:58> 00:58:00: 00:58:00> 00:58:02: 00:58:02> 00:58:04: 00:58:05> 00:58:07: 00:58:07> 00:58:10: 00:58:10> 00:58:12: 00:58:12> 00:58:15: 00:58:15> 00:58:17: 00:58:18> 00:58:19: 00:58:22> 00:58:22: 00:58:23> 00:58:24: 00:58:26> 00:58:32:	who are further along on the path. I feel like this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's a little bit of a Wild West, but there are people. So there's part of that is there's no old man in the room who's going to be able to tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that on the call. But that being said, there are people who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort of been roughed up by trying to do this work well and you know, have those conversations because I think people are still willing to have. Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing that work well. Thanks. Backing off of what David said, say plan for not getting it right or complete the first time, potentially maybe

00:58:41> 00:58:42:	answers.
00:58:45> 00:58:47:	Honestly, I think David and Emily hit hit the nail
00:58:47> 00:58:49:	on the head, so nothing to add there.
00:58:50> 00:58:53:	Well, on behalf of you a lot, I'd really like
00:58:53> 00:58:56:	to thank our panelists for a great discussion today. We're
00:58:56> 00:58:59:	going to send a recording of this session, so if
00:58:59> 00:59:02:	you want to share it with your friends, that would
00:59:02> 00:59:04:	
	be great. And we'll also do our best to capture
00:59:04> 00:59:07:	as many of the links referenced in this discussion as
00:59:07> 00:59:10:	we can. In that follow up, I'd like to remind
00:59:10> 00:59:13:	people that if you want to continue this conversation with
00:59:13> 00:59:16:	you alike, come to the resilient Summit May 15 in
00:59:16> 00:59:19:	Toronto ON Canada and please, if you're a UI member,
00:59:19> 00:59:20:	take advantage of this.
00:59:20> 00:59:24:	Risk Factor pro tool download 5 property assessments and then
00:59:24> 00:59:26:	reach back out to you, Ali, to let us know
00:59:26> 00:59:29:	what you think so that we can continue to offer
00:59:29> 00:59:32:	this and other tools to our membership in the broader
00:59:32> 00:59:35:	real estate industry. So thanks again everybody. Have a
	great
00:59:35> 00:59:37:	rest of your day and have a great weekend. Bye,
00:59:37> 00:59:38:	bye.
00:59:39> 00:59:40:	Thanks so much.
00:59:41> 00:59:42:	Thank you.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact [email protected].