

## Webinar

## The Latest on Live Local

Date: March 27, 2024

00:00:08> 00:00:09:	Good afternoon, everybody.
00:00:09> 00:00:13:	We're just going to give it another couple seconds or
00:00:13> 00:00:15:	so to get everyone joined in.
00:00:48> 00:00:50:	All right, everyone in the interest of time, we're going
00:00:50> 00:00:51:	to go ahead and get started.
00:00:51> 00:00:53:	So thank you all so much for joining us today.
00:00:53> 00:00:56:	I'm Liza Perry, one of the Co chairs of the
00:00:56> 00:01:00:	Young Leaders group of Miami, alongside my Co chair, Eddie
00:01:00> 00:01:01:	Valley.
00:01:02> 00:01:06:	Today's presentation is brought to you by the ULI Southeast
00:01:06> 00:01:08:	Florida Young Leaders Group.
00:01:08> 00:01:11:	And if you're new to Uli, have any questions, comments,
00:01:11> 00:01:15:	would like to get more involved, please reach out to
00:01:15> 00:01:17:	myself, to Eddie or to Mallory.
00:01:17> 00:01:20:	Mallory's also going to put some links in the chat
00:01:20> 00:01:23:	if you would like to get more involved through that.
00:01:24> 00:01:27:	Just a quick reminder that we will be recording today's
00:01:27> 00:01:30:	session and if you have any questions along the way,
00:01:30> 00:01:33:	feel free to enter them into the chat and then
00:01:33> 00:01:35:	Mark and Harrison will get to them as they can
00:01:35> 00:01:38:	or you can hold your questions till the end.
00:01:38> 00:01:39:	There will be time for Q&A.
00:01:39> 00:01:43:	And now I'd like to introduce Mark Grafton and Harrison
00:01:43> 00:01:46:	Denman to share the latest on the live local act.
00:01:47> 00:01:49:	So passing it over to Harrison and Mark, all yours.
00:01:50> 00:01:50:	Great.
00:01:50> 00:01:51:	Thanks, Liza.
00:01:51> 00:01:53:	Thanks, Mallory, Eddie, everybody.

00:01:54> 00:01:57:	So this is about a a fun topic that we've
00:01:57> 00:02:00:	been getting a lot of questions about.
00:02:00> 00:02:02:	There's a lot of interest about the live local act.
00:02:03> 00:02:07:	This is going to talk about both the the original
00:02:07> 00:02:10:	live local act and then also some updates from the
00:02:10> 00:02:12:	recently adopted glitch Bill.
00:02:14> 00:02:16:	And so we took a look at the list of
00:02:16> 00:02:19:	attendees and this is, this is an impressive group.
00:02:20> 00:02:23:	I'm sure many of you will have additional insights and
00:02:23> 00:02:24:	observations.
00:02:24> 00:02:28:	So when we conclude we would ask that anybody feel
00:02:28> 00:02:32:	free to share those in addition to any questions.
00:02:33> 00:02:38:	So with that, let's let's jump right to it again.
00:02:38> 00:02:39:	My name is Mark Grafton.
00:02:39> 00:02:41:	I'm with the Shubin Law Group and the Euclid Group.
00:02:42> 00:02:46:	I'm a land use lawyer specializing in in complex and
00:02:46> 00:02:50:	and often controversial development approvals and related disputes.
00:02:51> 00:02:52:	My colleague, Harrison.
00:02:53> 00:02:55:	Hi, nice to meet you everybody.
00:02:55> 00:02:56:	My name is Harrison Denman.
00:02:56> 00:02:58:	I work for the Euclid Group.
00:02:58> 00:03:03:	We're a full service land use, real estate development consulting
00:03:03> 00:03:07:	firm, and I do planning, zoning and land use research.
00:03:07> 00:03:07:	Excellent.
00:03:10> 00:03:13:	So just a a quick disclaimer that there is some
00:03:13> 00:03:17:	nuance that's beyond the scope of this presentation today.
00:03:17> 00:03:20:	So before you go and drop \$100 million to do
00:03:21> 00:03:24:	a live local project, please make sure that you have
00:03:24> 00:03:29:	the right group of professionals advising you, which I'm sure
00:03:29> 00:03:32:	you would do anyway, But with that out of the
00:03:32> 00:03:35:	way, so the live Local Act, it was became law
00:03:35> 00:03:36:	last year.
00:03:37> 00:03:40:	SB-102 is what a lot of people referred to it
00:03:40> 00:03:40:	as.
00:03:42> 00:03:46:	There's also a more recent SB328 that just got passed
00:03:46> 00:03:50:	and it's waiting to be signed by the governor.
00:03:52> 00:03:55:	That could happen as early as this week, so stay
00:03:55> 00:03:56:	stay tuned.
00:03:56> 00:04:00:	So when this was originally passed last year, many people
00:04:00> 00:04:05:	commented that this was the most significant housing legislation in

00:04:05> 00:04:06:	Florida's history.
00:04:08> 00:04:12:	On a high level, it incentivizes the development of workforce
00:04:12> 00:04:15:	and affordable housing a number of ways.
00:04:15> 00:04:21:	Perhaps most controversially are the zoning and land use
	preemptions
00:04:22> 00:04:27:	on things like density, height, use and floor area ratio.
00:04:27> 00:04:30:	Or FAR there are there.
00:04:30> 00:04:33:	Also you get administrative approval, so you don't have to
00:04:33> 00:04:36:	go through the public hearing process if you are a
00:04:37> 00:04:38:	qualifying project.
00:04:38> 00:04:40:	There are tax benefits.
00:04:41> 00:04:44:	We'll we'll go into a little bit more detail on
00:04:44> 00:04:47:	the slides to come, but ad valorem tax exemptions and
00:04:47> 00:04:49:	sales tax refunds are available.
00:04:50> 00:04:56:	There's also significant funding incentives for they were allocated to
00:04:56> 00:04:59:	existing affordable programs.
00:05:01> 00:05:01:	All right.
00:05:01> 00:05:05:	So some of the tax benefits associate associated with live
00:05:05> 00:05:06:	local.
00:05:06> 00:05:08:	There's several provisions in the bill.
00:05:09> 00:05:11:	A few of them have to deal with ad valorem
00:05:12> 00:05:14:	exemption, also property tax exemptions.
00:05:14> 00:05:18:	This is the ad valorem exemption we've been receiving most
00:05:18> 00:05:19:	questions about.
00:05:19> 00:05:24:	It's for qualifying projects with 71 or more affordable units.
00:05:25> 00:05:29:	It defines the scope for eligible projects by being newly
00:05:29> 00:05:34:	constructed so improvements that happened or occurred
	within five years,
00:05:34> 00:05:38:	Or if the site, the multifamily project, was built within
00:05:38> 00:05:42:	the last five years, it's eligible for the exemption.
00:05:42> 00:05:46:	And it can't already have a an existing land use
00:05:46> 00:05:51:	covenant, a Louvre, that's outstanding with the FHFC.
00:05:51> 00:05:54:	So there's two exemptions that are that apply here.
00:05:54> 00:05:58:	It's a 75% exemption if the units are reserved for
00:05:58> 00:06:02:	households with annual incomes between 80 to 120% of the
00:06:02> 00:06:07:	applicable Area Median Income, also referred to as the attainable
00:06:07> 00:06:12:	housing kind of quadrant within the affordable housing sphere.
00:06:12> 00:06:16:	There's also an 100% ADLERM exemption if the units are
00:06:16> 00:06:21:	reserved for households with annual incomes below 80% AMI Those

00:06:21> 00:06:24:	rents are determined by the lesser of a HUD income
00:06:25> 00:06:28:	and rent study or 90% of a recent fair market
00:06:28> 00:06:33:	rent analysis for the applicable jurisdiction, whichever is less.
00:06:33> 00:06:37:	And then sales tax refund for eligible projects on building
00:06:37> 00:06:39:	materials up to \$5000 a unit.
00:06:42> 00:06:45:	So what makes a qualifying project?
00:06:45> 00:06:49:	This is kind of going back to the land use
00:06:49> 00:06:51:	zoning realm of the live local act.
00:06:52> 00:06:56:	So eligible properties are those that are zoned commercial, industrial
00:06:56> 00:06:56:	or mixed-use.
00:06:57> 00:07:02:	And there's an exclusion for recreational, commercial, working, waterfront property
00:07:02> 00:07:07:	within 1/4 mile of military installation or properties within the
00:07:07> 00:07:10:	proximity of airports and airport runways.
00:07:10> 00:07:12:	So there's a 40% rule.
00:07:12> 00:07:17:	Qualifying projects must ensure at least 40% of the residential
00:07:17> 00:07:21:	units are reserved affordable for at least 30 years.
00:07:21> 00:07:24:	And if the project is mixed-use, at least 65% of
00:07:25> 00:07:28:	the total gross floor area has to be used for
00:07:28> 00:07:29:	residential.
00:07:30> 00:07:34:	Affordable in this case means that it's the units are
00:07:34> 00:07:38:	rented to people with adjusted household incomes below 120% of
00:07:38> 00:07:39:	the area.
00:07:39> 00:07:44:	Median income and rents, including utilities, don't exceed 30% of
	30 /0 OI
00:07:44> 00:07:45:	a household's AMI.
00:07:44> 00:07:45: 00:07:45> 00:07:50:	
	a household's AMI. So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income
00:07:45> 00:07:50: 00:07:50> 00:07:54: 00:07:54> 00:07:57:	a household's AMI. So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.
00:07:45> 00:07:50: 00:07:50> 00:07:54:	a household's AMI.  So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.  Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out
00:07:45> 00:07:50: 00:07:50> 00:07:54: 00:07:54> 00:07:57:	a household's AMI.  So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.  Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out typically around May every year, so if you're looking into
00:07:45> 00:07:50: 00:07:50> 00:07:54: 00:07:54> 00:07:57: 00:07:57> 00:08:01:	a household's AMI. So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels. Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out typically around May every year, so if you're looking into this, make sure you're using the most recent HUD study. So in Miami-Dade County, an individual earning \$86,700 can
00:07:45> 00:07:50:  00:07:50> 00:07:54:  00:07:54> 00:07:57:  00:07:57> 00:08:01:  00:08:02> 00:08:08:	a household's AMI.  So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.  Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out typically around May every year, so if you're looking into this, make sure you're using the most recent HUD study.  So in Miami-Dade County, an individual earning \$86,700 can charge
00:07:45> 00:07:50:  00:07:50> 00:07:54:  00:07:54> 00:07:57:  00:07:57> 00:08:01:  00:08:02> 00:08:08:  00:08:08> 00:08:12:	a household's AMI.  So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.  Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out typically around May every year, so if you're looking into this, make sure you're using the most recent HUD study.  So in Miami-Dade County, an individual earning \$86,700 can charge or can be charged a a Max rent per month
00:07:45> 00:07:50:  00:07:50> 00:07:54:  00:07:54> 00:07:57:  00:07:57> 00:08:01:  00:08:02> 00:08:08:  00:08:12> 00:08:12:  00:08:12> 00:08:13:	a household's AMI.  So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.  Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out typically around May every year, so if you're looking into this, make sure you're using the most recent HUD study.  So in Miami-Dade County, an individual earning \$86,700 can charge or can be charged a a Max rent per month of around \$2100.
00:07:45> 00:07:50:  00:07:50> 00:07:54:  00:07:54> 00:07:57:  00:07:57> 00:08:01:  00:08:02> 00:08:08:  00:08:08> 00:08:12:  00:08:12> 00:08:13:  00:08:14> 00:08:18:  00:08:18> 00:08:19:  00:08:22> 00:08:24:	a household's AMI.  So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.  Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out typically around May every year, so if you're looking into this, make sure you're using the most recent HUD study.  So in Miami-Dade County, an individual earning \$86,700 can charge or can be charged a a Max rent per month of around \$2100.  For a family of four, that's 124,000 and 3000 per month.  All right, so this this is the fun part.
00:07:45> 00:07:50:  00:07:50> 00:07:54: 00:07:54> 00:07:57: 00:07:57> 00:08:01: 00:08:02> 00:08:08:  00:08:12> 00:08:12: 00:08:14> 00:08:18: 00:08:18> 00:08:19: 00:08:22> 00:08:24: 00:08:24> 00:08:29:	a household's AMI.  So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.  Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out typically around May every year, so if you're looking into this, make sure you're using the most recent HUD study.  So in Miami-Dade County, an individual earning \$86,700 can charge or can be charged a a Max rent per month of around \$2100.  For a family of four, that's 124,000 and 3000 per month.  All right, so this this is the fun part.  All right, So assuming you have a qualifying project, you're
00:07:45> 00:07:50:  00:07:50> 00:07:54:  00:07:54> 00:07:57:  00:07:57> 00:08:01:  00:08:02> 00:08:08:  00:08:08> 00:08:12:  00:08:12> 00:08:13:  00:08:14> 00:08:18:  00:08:18> 00:08:19:  00:08:22> 00:08:24:	a household's AMI.  So for instance, the Miami-Dade County HUD 2023 income levels.  Just a quick caveat, the HUD income levels come out typically around May every year, so if you're looking into this, make sure you're using the most recent HUD study.  So in Miami-Dade County, an individual earning \$86,700 can charge or can be charged a a Max rent per month of around \$2100.  For a family of four, that's 124,000 and 3000 per month.  All right, so this this is the fun part.

00:08:39> 00:08:43:	This kind of goes back to preemption, which I'll just
00:08:43> 00:08:47:	briefly define to mean a higher level of government
	preventing
00:08:47> 00:08:51:	a lower level of government from exercising certain authority.
00:08:52> 00:08:55:	And so here it's the state telling the counties and
00:08:55> 00:08:59:	the cities that they cannot use their zoning and comp
00:08:59> 00:09:02:	plan to prevent certain development.
00:09:02> 00:09:07:	And so here commercial and industrial zoned property can now
00:09:07> 00:09:11:	be developed as multi family and that's again everything that
00:09:11> 00:09:16:	we're talking about here is assuming that you are developing
00:09:16> 00:09:18:	a a qualifying project.
00:09:19> 00:09:24:	So for density you get the highest permitted density in
00:09:24> 00:09:26:	that jurisdiction.
00:09:26> 00:09:31:	City of Miami for example has for the downtown urban
00:09:31> 00:09:35:	core you can do 1000 units per acre and so
00:09:35> 00:09:40:	that becomes your permitted density for FAR or FLR.
00:09:40> 00:09:43:	This is something that came recently from the glitch bill.
00:09:44> 00:09:49:	You can now receive 150% of the highest permitted FAR
00:09:49> 00:09:52:	or FLR within the jurisdiction.
00:09:53> 00:09:58:	For height, you get the greater of the highest allowed
00:09:58> 00:10:03:	building height within one mile of the site and within
00:10:03> 00:10:08:	
00:10:08> 00:10:08: 00:10:08> 00:10:12:	that jurisdiction, or three stories, whichever is higher.
	A couple important caveats for height.
00:10:13> 00:10:17:	One is that you're not just looking for the tallest
00:10:17> 00:10:22:	building within a mile, you're looking at the zoning code.
00:10:22> 00:10:28:	And which zoning district within a mile allows the tallest
00:10:28> 00:10:28:	height?
00:10:29> 00:10:34:	Because there's going to be buildings that were built under
00:10:34> 00:10:38:	prior zoning codes or that utilized some special rules or
00:10:39> 00:10:42:	bonuses to to get to where they are in terms
00:10:42> 00:10:47:	of height, and you're not permitted to utilize those as
00:10:47> 00:10:48:	your your base.
00:10:49> 00:10:54:	So again, you're looking at all the properties within a
00:10:54> 00:10:58:	mile that are within your jurisdiction and you pick the
00:10:58> 00:11:03:	zoning district that allows the tallest height and that becomes
00:11:03> 00:11:07:	your property's height, administrative approval.
00:11:07> 00:11:12:	So the the, the process of going through a public
00:11:12> 00:11:16:	hearing is generally recognized to be one of the most
00:11:16> 00:11:21:	difficult and expensive parts of any entitlement effort.
00:11:22> 00:11:28:	There are also standards to get things like variances and
00:11:28> 00:11:34:	special exceptions, site plan approval etcetera that are

difficult. 00:11:35 --> 00:11:39: Especially variances can be quite difficult to obtain. 00:11:40 --> 00:11:45: So by pre empting those processes and allowing a project 00:11:45 --> 00:11:52: to go straight through administrative approval, it significantly speeds up 00:11:52 --> 00:11:56: the process and likely saves you significant costs. 00:11:57 --> 00:12:01: It's important to note it to take note that you 00:12:01 --> 00:12:04: have to still comply with all of the other land 00:12:04 --> 00:12:10: development regulations, things like setbacks and open space for example, 00:12:10 --> 00:12:14: and and you still have to otherwise be consistent with 00:12:14 --> 00:12:19: the Local Comprehensive Plan except for on density, height, and 00:12:19 --> 00:12:20: use which are preempted. 00:12:22 --> 00:12:27: This is the the The Glitch Bill had another interesting 00:12:27 --> 00:12:34: provision that confirms where there are other existing local bonuses 00:12:34 --> 00:12:35: for things. 00:12:35 --> 00:12:39: For example, you might see these for building a LEED 00:12:39 --> 00:12:43: certified building, or adding a green roof to your project, 00:12:43 --> 00:12:47: or doing affordable housing at the local level. 00:12:47 --> 00:12:51: There might be incentives for getting more height or density 00:12:51 --> 00:12:53: or more floor area ratio. 00:12:54 --> 00:12:57: So the Glitch Bill says you can still get all 00:12:57 --> 00:13:03: of those local bonuses if you otherwise satisfy those requirements 00:13:03 --> 00:13:07: at the local level and they have to be administratively 00:13:07 --> 00:13:09: processed and approved. 00:13:09 --> 00:13:12: So you can't the the local jurisdiction can't add a 00:13:12 --> 00:13:15: public hearing requirement for that process. 00:13:17 --> 00:13:20: As it relates to parking, there's there's two interesting things 00:13:20 --> 00:13:21: from the Glitch bill. 00:13:22 --> 00:13:28: One is parking requirements are totally eliminated for projects that 00:13:28 --> 00:13:33: are located within Transit Oriented Developments or or TODS or Tod areas. 00:13:33 --> 00:13:34: 00:13:34 --> 00:13:37: Those are going to be defined at at the local 00:13:37 --> 00:13:38: level. 00:13:40 --> 00:13:45: Parking requirements are reduced for projects by 20% for projects 00:13:45 --> 00:13:51: within 1/2 mile of a major transportation hub, and that's 00:13:51 --> 00:13:54: defined in the Glitch bill itself. 00:13:55 --> 00:14:00: And parking for residents must also be available within 600

00:14:00> 00:14:00:	feet.
00:14:02> 00:14:03:	So let's go to the next slide.
00:14:05> 00:14:09:	So the 2024 glitch bill, also known as SB328.
00:14:09> 00:14:12:	As you can see, a lot of additional benefits came
00:14:12> 00:14:15:	about from the glitch bill that Mark covered in the
00:14:15> 00:14:15:	last slide.
00:14:16> 00:14:18:	But here's a couple extra components.
00:14:18> 00:14:21:	So the the Glitch bill will become law upon receiving
00:14:21> 00:14:22:	the Governor's signature.
00:14:22> 00:14:26:	A little fun fact, the first iteration of the glitch
00:14:26> 00:14:30:	bill, SB-102, was signed into law this time last year
00:14:30> 00:14:31:	on March 27th.
00:14:32> 00:14:36:	So a lot of the Glitch bill provides additional clarity
00:14:36> 00:14:40:	and benefits to the Live Local Act which are mostly
00:14:40> 00:14:45:	favorable to the development community, one of which being
	the
00:14:45> 00:14:45:	IT.
00:14:46> 00:14:49:	The Live Local Act now protects single family developments
00:14:49> 00:14:50:	as Mark mentioned.
00:14:51> 00:14:54:	This is it with developments with 25 or more single
00:14:55> 00:14:58:	family residents and it limits the height of the live
00:14:59> 00:15:03:	Local project to 150% of the tallest adjacent building or
00:15:03> 00:15:06:	three stories, whichever is greater.
00:15:06> 00:15:09:	And it defines adjacent to be a budding on one
00:15:09> 00:15:11:	or more property property lines.
00:15:12> 00:15:15:	So for instance, if the adjacent building is 3 stories
00:15:16> 00:15:17:	allowed, you can build 4 1/2.
00:15:18> 00:15:20:	Again, if it's two, you can build 3 stories.
00:15:21> 00:15:25:	So one aspect of the glitch or of the initial
00:15:25> 00:15:29:	live local act that was undetermined was whether or not
00:15:29> 00:15:34:	you could have condos as part of the multifamily
00.10.20 > 00.10.04.	development.
00:15:34> 00:15:37:	So this clarifies that the market rate units may be
00:15:37> 00:15:41:	for rent or for sale, but the affordable units must
00:15:41> 00:15:42:	still be for rent only.
00:15:43> 00:15:48:	And this clarifies that the live local projects are conforming,
00:15:48> 00:15:53:	so projects will be considered conforming uses after the
00:45:52 > 00:45:54:	affordability
00:15:53> 00:15:54:	period ends.  And Mark can talk a little bit more about that
00:15:54> 00:15:57:	And Mark can talk a little bit more about that.
00:15:58> 00:16:04:	Yeah, so under the original live local act the the
00:16:04> 00:16:09:	ACT was set to sunset or go away after a

00:16:09> 00:16:10:	10 year.
00:16:11> 00:16:15:	And there was a open question as to if you
00:16:15> 00:16:18:	built a a project during that.
00:16:18> 00:16:22:	Where the live local was in effect and then the
00:16:22> 00:16:23:	law goes away.
00:16:24> 00:16:31:	How would your project be considered non conforming under
00110121	the
00:16:31> 00:16:36:	local zoning code such that you would be subject to
00:16:36> 00:16:40:	the non conforming regulations?
00:16:40> 00:16:45:	Often times local jurisdictions will have rules saying that if
00:16:45> 00:16:49:	you have a non conforming building that is affected by
00:16:49> 00:16:53:	a casualty then you have to follow an entirely different
00:16:53> 00:16:56:	set of rules than conforming projects.
00:16:56> 00:17:00:	So this this made a lot of people nervous including
00:17:00> 00:17:02:	developers and lenders.
00:17:02> 00:17:07:	So that this provides certainty that if you build using
00:17:07> 00:17:10:	this law, even if if this law goes away, you
00:17:10> 00:17:12:	will be considered conforming.
00:17:14> 00:17:15:	Thank you, Mark.
00:17:16> 00:17:21:	So the bill also provides that implementation policies, so
	guidelines,
00:17:21> 00:17:25:	ordinances etcetera for live local projects must be added to
00:17:25> 00:17:27:	the local government websites.
00:17:28> 00:17:31:	And as we kind of already covered the ad valorem
00:17:31> 00:17:34:	exemptions, they were modified in the glitch bill.
00:17:34> 00:17:37:	So it updates the valuation methodology and revises some of
00:17:37> 00:17:39:	the criteria for exemptions.
00:17:40> 00:17:44:	It was previously unclear whether or not common areas and
00:17:44> 00:17:48:	a proportionate amount of the land that multi family projects
00:17:48> 00:17:51:	are on would qualify for the ad valorem exemption.
00:17:52> 00:17:55:	And this makes certain that not only is the actual
00:17:55> 00:17:59:	square footage of the unit that's affordable provided for the
00:17:59> 00:18:02:	exemption, but a commensurate space of the common area
00:18:02> 00:18:05:	and land value is included in that as well.
00:18:09> 00:18:12:	So, all right, let's let's turn to the real world.
00:18:14> 00:18:19:	So think about all of these different jurisdictions within the
00:18:19> 00:18:24:	state that have received this mandate from the state
00.10.13/ 00.10.24:	legislature
00:18:24> 00:18:28:	and governor to basically change the way that they have
00:18:28> 00:18:31:	been doing land use and zoning.
00:18:32> 00:18:35:	It's it's not surprising that there are quite a few
00:18:36> 00:18:40:	differing interpretations from 1 jurisdiction to another.

00:18:41> 00:18:45:	So it's critical that you check for implementation guidance from
00:18:46> 00:18:48:	the each of these local governments.
00:18:49> 00:18:56:	Many of them have published either policies, memos, interpretations, or
00:18:56> 00:19:03:	actually have adopted ordinances governing how live local projects will
00:19:03> 00:19:05:	work within their cities.
00:19:06> 00:19:13:	So also many jurisdictions have or most jurisdictions have posted
00:19:13> 00:19:20:	available county and municipal owned properties that are eligible for
00:19:21> 00:19:23:	live local development.
00:19:23> 00:19:27:	So you can actually go on to your your county
00:19:27> 00:19:31:	or your city website and you should be able to
00:19:31> 00:19:35:	find a listing of properties that that you can develop
00:19:35> 00:19:40:	using the live local act and that's that's designed to
00:19:40> 00:19:43:	really use land that is owned by you know, the
00:19:44> 00:19:48:	the public local governments to to be able to develop
00:19:48> 00:19:50:	as affordable housing.
00:19:50> 00:19:54:	So there's usually processes by which you can submit proposals
00:19:55> 00:19:58:	to develop on county or city owned land.
00:20:00> 00:20:04:	The shameless plug definitely work with your land use lawyer
00:20:04> 00:20:05:	and your consultants.
00:20:05> 00:20:08:	There's a lot of stuff in here that is pretty
00:20:08> 00:20:09:	tricky and nuanced.
00:20:10> 00:20:13:	So don't try this stuff on your own, you know
00:20:13> 00:20:17:	you're you're also going to want to make sure you're
00:20:17> 00:20:22:	you're involving your design professionals as we'll see I think
00:20:22> 00:20:26:	next slide understanding exactly what can be done on any
00:20:26> 00:20:27:	given property.
00:20:28> 00:20:33:	It is requires some design input, so definitely bring them
00:20:33> 00:20:35:	into the fold as well.
00:20:37> 00:20:41:	Communicate with staff and the local administration.
00:20:41> 00:20:45:	This is probably obvious for most of you, but for
00:20:45> 00:20:47:	those of you who are newer to the land use
00:20:47> 00:20:51:	world, your your best friend will often be the the
00:20:51> 00:20:53:	planning staff that you're working with.
00:20:53> 00:20:57:	They're they're critical to getting them on your side to
00:20:57> 00:21:01:	kind of help tell the story of your project and
00:21:01> 00:21:04:	why it's a good thing for the community.
00:21:05> 00:21:07:	So work closely with them.

00:21:07> 00:21:12:	One thing to be cautious about is that for those
00:21:12> 00:21:18:	jurisdictions that are not in favor of affordable housing or
00:21:18> 00:21:24:	more development generally they they may very well try to
00:21:24> 00:21:27:	make things difficult for you.
00:21:28> 00:21:31:	And we are seeing this play out in in real
00:21:31> 00:21:36:	time the the various methods that are being employed by
00:21:36> 00:21:42:	local governments that do not want these projects within their
00:21:42> 00:21:43:	jurisdiction.
00:21:44> 00:21:48:	So that that's going to continue to evolve for some
00:21:48> 00:21:52:	time and likely play out in a number of lawsuits
00:21:52> 00:21:54:	throughout the state.
00:21:57> 00:22:01:	So we have a an example property and and really
00:22:01> 00:22:04:	what we want to show you is that you know
00:22:04> 00:22:08:	you're going to, you're going to get probably more and
00:22:08> 00:22:12:	more of listings that are are showing what you
00:22:12> 00:22:14:	can do using the live local act.
00:22:15> 00:22:18:	And some of them might do a pretty good job
00:22:18> 00:22:23:	of explaining the rules are others may have things that
00:22:23> 00:22:28:	are both inaccurate or or maybe just kind of puffing.
00:22:29> 00:22:33:	And so it's really important to be able to sort
00:22:33> 00:22:35:	through what what's real, what's not.
00:22:36> 00:22:39:	So we just took a look at a listing that
00:22:39> 00:22:42:	that came through to us and so this, this is
00:22:42> 00:22:46:	a property in Little Havana and you know it's it's
00:22:46> 00:22:49:	split zoned it, you're probably going to be able to
00:22:49> 00:22:53:	get some pretty significant height because it's it is pretty
00:22:54> 00:22:56:	close to Brickell and downtown.
00:22:57> 00:23:02:	The the density again 1000 units per acre in the
00:23:03> 00:23:07:	city of Miami, this is a .69 acre property.
00:23:08> 00:23:14:	So in theory, you will be permitted a maximum of
00:23:14> 00:23:16:	690 dwelling units.
00:23:18> 00:23:23:	That is a massive upgrade from the permitted density on
00:23:23> 00:23:25:	the property currently.
00:23:26> 00:23:29:	But this is probably the most important point here.
00:23:30> 00:23:34:	That does not mean that you're going to be able
00:23:34> 00:23:38:	to build 690 units on this property.
00:23:38> 00:23:43:	Remember you have to continue to comply with all of
00:23:43> 00:23:48:	the other land development regulations that that apply for
	multi
00:23:48> 00:23:54:	family development and things like lot coverage setbacks
00.22.54 > 00.22.50.	parking with
00:23:54> 00:23:59:	certain tweaks these these things are going to be significant

00:23:59> 00:24:05:	and when you actually start working with a design professional
00:24:05> 00:24:09:	it becomes clear that that its density and height are
00:24:09> 00:24:13:	just not going to be the things that limit you
00:24:13> 00:24:13:	it.
00:24:14> 00:24:17:	It will often be parking and so you know at
00:24:17> 00:24:22:	1.5 spaces per unit plus one guest space per 10
00:24:22> 00:24:26:	units, even assuming you you're able to get a a
00:24:26> 00:24:30:	20% reduction that is you know it's it's going to
00:24:30> 00:24:35:	be a significant amount of levels of parking that you're
00:24:36> 00:24:39:	going to have to build to to be able to
00:24:39> 00:24:44:	to reach anywhere near the density that you're permitted.
00:24:45> 00:24:49:	So just one other note in in the city of
00:24:49> 00:24:54:	Miami T4 and T5 are not limited by FLR floor
00:24:54> 00:24:55:	lot ratio.
00:24:56> 00:25:00:	So there's there's no restriction there T6 you start to
00:25:00> 00:25:02:	see FLR come into place.
00:25:03> 00:25:07:	So it it, it just requires you to really take
00:25:07> 00:25:11:	a hard look at how all these things come together
00:25:11> 00:25:15:	and don't don't believe the the first thing that you
00:25:15> 00:25:19:	hear about Max density being the number that you're going
00:25:19> 00:25:21:	to be able to obtain.
00:25:23> 00:25:27:	And so with that we'd like to open it up
00:25:27> 00:25:28:	to this group.
00:25:28> 00:25:31:	I know we've got some questions come through in the
00:25:31> 00:25:33:	chat, so maybe we'll start with those.
00:25:39> 00:25:39:	All right.
00:25:39> 00:25:43:	So what about height in Puds within a mile that's
00:25:43> 00:25:44:	that's a good question.
00:25:45> 00:25:48:	I think that that's going to be one that is
00:25:48> 00:25:51:	going to be jurisdiction specific.
00:25:51> 00:25:55:	There are I think arguments to be made on on
00:25:55> 00:26:00:	both sides there and you know I I think that
00:26:00> 00:26:06:	you might see a jurisdictions argue that things like special
00:26:06> 00:26:11:	area plans and PU DS are and for everybody that's
00:26:11> 00:26:18:	planned unit developments, they're kind of like mini zoning districts.
00:26:18> 00:26:22:	They have their own rules those you can't borrow from
00:26:22> 00:26:26:	those because they're really their own thing which you know
00:26:26> 00:26:29:	in some some ways makes sense but there are counter
00:26:30> 00:26:33:	arguments and so that that'll be determined.
00:26:33> 00:26:37:	I think on a case by case basis how does
00:26:37> 00:26:42:	Miami-Dade County and the city of Miami define Tod that

00:26:42> 00:26:45:	is it's a good question.
00:26:45> 00:26:48:	We can definitely talk about that offline.
00:26:48> 00:26:51:	It's it's a little bit if we're going to talk
00:26:51> 00:26:56:	about specific definitions I'd I'd prefer to have it in
00:26:56> 00:26:59:	front of me and bet better than going off of
00:26:59> 00:27:04:	pure memory what are the eligible TO DS in Miami-Dade,
00:27:04> 00:27:07:	is this similar to RTZ parking restrictions.
00:27:08> 00:27:12:	Yeah, so I mean generally speaking in in Miami-Dade your
00:27:12> 00:27:16:	your transit oriented developments are are going to be along
00:27:16> 00:27:18:	the the smart plan corridors.
00:27:19> 00:27:23:	And so again there is, there is some nuance here
00:27:23> 00:27:27:	just be careful and and really do your research on
00:27:27> 00:27:30:	exactly whether you fall within that.
00:27:31> 00:27:37:	But but properties proximal to metro rail stations, you know
00:27:37> 00:27:43:	that that's that's where you're going to start seeing some
00:27:43> 00:27:49:	of those traditional Tod developments that have popped up agency
00:27:49> 00:27:54:	and HUD and many other lenders won't underwrite the 75%
00:27:54> 00:28:00:	tax exemption for the missing middle that only requires 3
00:28:00> 00:28:05:	years compliance for 71 units at 120% AMI minimum.
00:28:05> 00:28:12:	And this is, this is a really good point by
00:28:12> 00:28:14:	Adam Lipkin.
00:28:15> 00:28:17:	I wonder if is there a way that we can
00:28:17> 00:28:20:	get Adam to tell us a little bit more about
00:28:20> 00:28:20:	this?
00:28:22> 00:28:24:	Do we have the ability to allow participants?
00:28:25> 00:28:25:	You got me, OK.
00:28:25> 00:28:26:	Oh yeah, great.
00:28:27> 00:28:27:	Hey, Mark.
00:28:29> 00:28:29:	Yeah.
00:28:29> 00:28:32:	So specifically, a lot of folks have been looking to
00:28:32> 00:28:35:	take advantage of the tax exemption and the 120 AMI
00:28:35> 00:28:37:	is certainly the easier 1 to get.
00:28:38> 00:28:40:	You know what we're seeing in a lot of cases
00:28:40> 00:28:43:	folks that have built, they've now leased up their properties,
00:28:43> 00:28:46:	they've done the verification that they have the sufficient minimum
00:28:46> 00:28:49:	number of units they get the market study, they could
00:28:49> 00:28:52:	show that they're 90% of Fair market value and and
00:28:52> 00:28:54:	they applied for the, they applied for the tax exemption
00:28:54> 00:28:56:	just this recent session.
00:28:56> 00:28:59:	And the challenge is I'm a lender and so we're

00:28:59> 00:29:02:	just kind of seeing this live and and most lenders
00:29:02> 00:29:06:	typically you'll see like a like a local agreement.
00:29:06> 00:29:09:	You know typically you'll see you know somebody's building, they'll
00:29:09> 00:29:13:	have an agreement with municipality, it could be maybe 10- 15
00:29:13> 00:29:16:	years and that is typically something you could underwrite too.
00:29:16> 00:29:20:	And the challenge that we're finding, this isn't just FHA
00:29:20> 00:29:23:	and this is Freddie and Fannie, but I'm hearing this
00:29:23> 00:29:26:	from a lot of lenders that because just that 71
00:29:26> 00:29:29:	unit minimum one is so short term and because there's
00:29:29> 00:29:32:	really such a, you know, lack of any kind of
00:29:32> 00:29:34:	I guess certainty around it.
00:29:34> 00:29:36:	You know there's there's things that can happen like with
00:29:36> 00:29:38:	this glitch bill that what what seems to be the
00:29:38> 00:29:40:	stance is that they can't underwrite to it.
00:29:41> 00:29:44:	So what we're looking into and I'm curious if this
00:29:44> 00:29:47:	is anything that you're familiar with, but could you apply
00:29:47> 00:29:50:	for this, we'll call it, I guess it's more than
00:29:50> 00:29:53:	one under the zoning benefit, but could you apply under
00:29:53> 00:29:56:	the stipulation that you have 40% of your units under
00:29:56> 00:29:58:	the 120 AMI and you agree to it for 30
00:29:58> 00:30:01:	years And with that which comes an agreement, which is
00:30:01> 00:30:03:	certainly something that you can underwrite to.
00:30:04> 00:30:07:	And so I'm wondering if anybody that's initially thought to
00:30:07> 00:30:09:	do the 71 units says, you know what, we're still
00:30:09> 00:30:12:	comfortable income restricting 40% of our units to the 120
00:30:13> 00:30:15:	AMI, you know, having the rest at free market.
00:30:15> 00:30:18:	Could you go ahead and just simply apply for that,
00:30:18> 00:30:22:	you know or maybe modify an application, say we're fine
00:30:22> 00:30:23:	with agreeing to that 30 years.
00:30:25> 00:30:26:	Kind of curious your thoughts on that.
00:30:27> 00:30:29:	Is a is a live in the trenches scenario.
00:30:29> 00:30:31:	So curious to hear if you guys have come across
00:30:32> 00:30:34:	any any like reason that you prevent that from happening.
00:30:35> 00:30:39:	I I think the problem is going to be that
00:30:39> 00:30:44:	your, your, your and I've actually I've actually seen this
00:30:44> 00:30:46:	issue play out as well.
00:30:46> 00:30:49:	They a lot of lenders want certainty that you're going
00:30:49> 00:30:52:	to get a tax exemption in perpetuity, right.
00:30:52> 00:30:55:	Because if if if they're running their their model, they
00:30:55> 00:30:57:	want to see that you're going to get this exemption

00:30:57> 00:30:58:	year after year.
00:30:58> 00:31:04:	But property appraisers don't work that way for for like
00:31:04> 00:31:09:	any exemption, right, you you, you apply for it and
00:31:09> 00:31:13:	you get it you know on a recurring basis.
00:31:13> 00:31:17:	And so the IT part, part of it is I
00:31:17> 00:31:22:	think going to be watching people use this successfully and
00:31:22> 00:31:27:	getting some comfort that you know if if you check
00:31:27> 00:31:31:	the boxes you will get the exemption and having the
00:31:31> 00:31:37:	relevant language in your loan agreements that you know you're
00:31:37> 00:31:42:	you're going to do everything that you need to do
00:31:42> 00:31:45:	to obtain that exemption moving forward.
00:31:46> 00:31:49:	Notwithstanding the fact that you're not, you know you don't
00:31:49> 00:31:53:	have a recorded restriction that's going to require you to
00:31:53> 00:31:53:	do that.
00:31:55> 00:31:59:	I could also see lenders saying OK well I want
00:31:59> 00:32:04:	you to have a a recorded restriction that requires that
00:32:04> 00:32:08:	that that you apply for that exemption and that you
00:32:08> 00:32:12:	meet the thresholds to be able to obtain it.
00:32:13> 00:32:16:	But I this is not an entirely new problem.
00:32:18> 00:32:21:	I've I've seen lenders ask these same questions for other
00:32:21> 00:32:23:	affordable housing exemptions.
00:32:24> 00:32:27:	So happy to hear if anybody else has has other
00:32:27> 00:32:31:	thoughts, but I think I think it's it's likely going
00:32:31> 00:32:34:	to work itself out once people start to get comfort
00:32:35> 00:32:37:	with the fact that if you do what the act
00:32:37> 00:32:42:	requires to get the exemption, you will receive the exemption.
00:32:43> 00:32:45:	So I'll pause there.
00:32:45> 00:32:46:	Let me, let me pull a bit back.
00:32:46> 00:32:47:	So you get the exemption.
00:32:47> 00:32:48:	That's not the issue.
00:32:49> 00:32:50:	It's that letters won't underrate it.
00:32:51> 00:32:53:	So what I'm saying is, is there, is there an
00:32:53> 00:32:56:	ability like if you're doing just a residential project for
00:32:56> 00:32:59:	you to just simply say, hey, I'm going to do
00:32:59> 00:33:01:	this 40% under 120 AMI for 30 years.
00:33:01> 00:33:04:	Could you simply just go for that, you know, angle
00:33:04> 00:33:08:	and just, you know, get essentially like a covenant if
00:33:08> 00:33:08:	you did that?
00:33:09> 00:33:12:	Well, you you're you're going to be, if you're,
00:33:12> 00:33:15:	if you're going to use a live local, if, if
00:33:15> 00:33:18:	you're going to use any of the development or zoning
	-

00:33:18> 00:33:22:	preemptions, you're going to have to have a covenant in
00:33:22> 00:33:26:	place saying that you're committing to restrict 40% of your
00:33:26> 00:33:27:	units, right.
00:33:27> 00:33:27:	Yeah, I.
00:33:27> 00:33:31:	Think I think Adam's question 'cause I'm I'm sort of
00:33:31> 00:33:34:	mulling over a similar deal right now is if you're
00:33:34> 00:33:38:	not taking advantage of the zoning exemptions, you're just sort
00:33:38> 00:33:41:	of taking advantage of the tax benefits of a like
00:33:41> 00:33:43:	new property under five years.
00:33:43> 00:33:46:	It's over 70 units which has the rolling three-year renewal,
00:33:46> 00:33:50:	but you're not actually developing a new deal that's taking
00:33:50> 00:33:53:	advantage of the new of the zoning preemptions.
00:33:53> 00:33:57:	Can you can you opt into the more restrictive 30
00:33:57> 00:34:01:	year covenant despite not getting those benefits in order to
00:34:01> 00:34:02:	satisfy your lender?
00:34:02> 00:34:03:	You got, you got it, Michael.
00:34:03> 00:34:04:	Exactly.
00:34:04> 00:34:08:	So, yeah, so I think you, you you certainly there's
00:34:08> 00:34:12:	nothing that would prevent you from opting in to that
00:34:13> 00:34:16:	covenant but you're you're not going to get a pre
00:34:16> 00:34:22:	agreement from the property appraiser that just because you
	have
00:34:22> 00:34:25:	have that covenant he's he or she is is going to
00:34:22> 00:34:25: 00:34:25> 00:34:27:	
	that covenant he's he or she is is going to
00:34:25> 00:34:27:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:49> 00:34:53:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:49> 00:34:53: 00:34:53> 00:34:55:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will issue the exemption for that.
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:49> 00:34:53: 00:34:53> 00:34:55: 00:34:55> 00:34:56:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will issue the exemption for that.  Does that make sense?
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:49> 00:34:53: 00:34:53> 00:34:56: 00:35:01> 00:35:04:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will issue the exemption for that.  Does that make sense?  Any other clarification or follow up?
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:53> 00:34:55: 00:34:55> 00:34:56: 00:35:01> 00:35:04: 00:35:06> 00:35:07:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will issue the exemption for that.  Does that make sense?  Any other clarification or follow up?  I think that makes sense.
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:53> 00:34:55: 00:34:55> 00:34:56: 00:35:01> 00:35:04: 00:35:06> 00:35:11:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will issue the exemption for that.  Does that make sense?  Any other clarification or follow up?  I think that makes sense.  So you're so you're you're able to enter into this
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:49> 00:34:53: 00:34:53> 00:34:55: 00:35:01> 00:35:04: 00:35:07> 00:35:11: 00:35:11> 00:35:16:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will issue the exemption for that.  Does that make sense?  Any other clarification or follow up?  I think that makes sense.  So you're so you're you're able to enter into this restrictive covenant, it just doesn't earn you as it whether
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:53> 00:34:55: 00:34:55> 00:34:56: 00:35:01> 00:35:04: 00:35:07> 00:35:11: 00:35:11> 00:35:16: 00:35:16> 00:35:21:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will issue the exemption for that.  Does that make sense?  Any other clarification or follow up?  I think that makes sense.  So you're so you're you're able to enter into this restrictive covenant, it just doesn't earn you as it whether you took the, whether you took the zoning at vantages
00:34:25> 00:34:27: 00:34:28> 00:34:31: 00:34:31> 00:34:35: 00:34:35> 00:34:39: 00:34:39> 00:34:41: 00:34:42> 00:34:46: 00:34:46> 00:34:49: 00:34:53> 00:34:55: 00:34:55> 00:34:56: 00:35:01> 00:35:04: 00:35:06> 00:35:07: 00:35:11> 00:35:16: 00:35:16> 00:35:21:	that covenant he's he or she is is going to issue the exemption, right.  I mean I think it would having that covenant would would help your lender appreciate that you intend to get that exemption or apply for it and and you should obtain it on an annual basis for that.  But there there's no, there's no bringing in that property appraiser to this process and having them sign off or you get an estoppel from them that that they will issue the exemption for that.  Does that make sense?  Any other clarification or follow up?  I think that makes sense.  So you're so you're you're able to enter into this restrictive covenant, it just doesn't earn you as it whether you took the, whether you took the zoning at vantages or not.

00:35:28> 00:35:31:	into that covenant early on is just going to give
00:35:31> 00:35:34:	you those zoning preemptions and then your, to your point,
00:35:34> 00:35:36:	anything can happen in the future.
00:35:37> 00:35:41:	Yeah, it's it's really a technicality that's why I started
00:35:41> 00:35:45:	with like it's about educating lenders on the process and
00:35:45> 00:35:48:	and having them see a few of these get approved.
00:35:48> 00:35:51:	I think they're going to start to get more comfortable
00:35:52> 00:35:54:	that it's it's like a it's it's a pretty formulaic
00:35:55> 00:35:57:	process it's there's not a lot of discretion.
00:35:58> 00:36:05:	So that stuff just probably takes a little time, makes
00:36:05> 00:36:06:	sense.
00:36:07> 00:36:11:	Are are there any unintended consequences of the live local
00:36:11> 00:36:15:	act that developers have encountered either positive or negative in
00:36:15> 00:36:18:	their efforts to develop affordable housing?
00:36:18> 00:36:21:	AJ, good question that that seems like one I would,
00:36:21> 00:36:24:	I would like to hear from the group on if
00:36:24> 00:36:28:	there are any developer friends here that would like to
00:36:28> 00:36:31:	share an answer to that I I would be open
00:36:31> 00:36:31:	to that.
00:36:34> 00:36:35:	I'll chime in on that one.
00:36:36> 00:36:37:	Hi Laura Swanson.
00:36:38> 00:36:41:	I'm hearing that the 30 year time frame, the 30
00:36:42> 00:36:44:	year restriction is, is challenging.
00:36:45> 00:36:48:	You know all other programs are 15 year and that
00:36:48> 00:36:51:	30 year is is something new and is giving giving
00:36:51> 00:36:56:	some of us trouble underwriting these because it's just such
00:36:56> 00:36:57:	a a long time frame.
00:36:57> 00:37:03:	Is anyone else hearing that is that's one of our
00:37:03> 00:37:04:	base?
00:37:04> 00:37:04:	Problems.
00:37:08> 00:37:11:	Yeah there's there's a number of programs at at the
00:37:11> 00:37:13:	local level that will have shorter periods.
00:37:13> 00:37:17:	So you know 30 years is a significant set aside
00:37:17> 00:37:22:	I I hear that anything else that anybody wants to
00:37:22> 00:37:23:	add there?
00:37:26> 00:37:30:	I mean there there is some affordable housing and state
00:37:30> 00:37:34:	funding applications that typically require a longer period.
00:37:34> 00:37:37:	So for instance, one of the deals that we worked
00:37:37> 00:37:40:	on required us to get a Lira for a period
00:37:40> 00:37:42:	of 99 years which would have been perfect had we
00:37:43> 00:37:45:	gone in for the live local prior to to us

00:37:45> 00:37:48:	actually closing on the financing last year.
00:37:48> 00:37:51:	So I mean regarding some of the state financing that
00:37:52> 00:37:55:	we're seeing I guess some of some some of the
00:37:55> 00:37:59:	qualifications there to get that state financing help I guess
00:37:59> 00:38:02:	with with this new program in place.
00:38:02> 00:38:04:	So that's kind of what we're seeing on the affordable
00:38:04> 00:38:04:	world.
00:38:05> 00:38:07:	But no, to your point you're right, some of the
00:38:07> 00:38:10:	some of the local government subsidies that we also are
00:38:10> 00:38:12:	looking into have shorter periods.
00:38:12> 00:38:12:	So.
00:38:12> 00:38:15:	So what did that look like and what what are
00:38:15> 00:38:18:	the, I guess benefits or or consequences of going in
00:38:18> 00:38:21:	for this exemption and kind of things that we're just
00:38:21> 00:38:23:	working through and thinking through.
00:38:23> 00:38:25:	So not sure if anything else or if anybody else
00:38:26> 00:38:27:	has anything to add there.
00:38:27> 00:38:28:	Just my \$0.02.
00:38:34> 00:38:34:	Yeah, good.
00:38:34> 00:38:35:	Good point, Eddie.
00:38:37> 00:38:38:	All right.
00:38:38> 00:38:44:	So Joe Corral asks, please further define what 25 plus
00:38:44> 00:38:49:	homes mean under single family home protection.
00:38:49> 00:38:53:	So this is 1 where you know if if you
00:38:53> 00:38:56:	are abutting some single properties.
00:38:56> 00:38:59:	I I would read this provision very closely.
00:38:59> 00:39:01:	It's it's written.
00:39:03> 00:39:07:	It's got some very unique definitions and it seems to
00:39:07> 00:39:11:	be tailored to something very particular, and I I can
00:39:11> 00:39:12:	read it quickly.
00:39:13> 00:39:17:	If the proposed development is adjacent to, on two or
00:39:17> 00:39:22:	more sides, a parcel zoned for single family residential use,
00:39:23> 00:39:28:	which is within a single family residential development with at
00:39:28> 00:39:31:	least 25 contiguous single family homes.
00:39:32> 00:39:36:	The county or municipality may restrict the height of the
00:39:36> 00:39:41:	proposed development to 150% of the tallest building on any
00:39:41> 00:39:46:	property adjacent to the proposed development, the the highest currently
00:39:46> 00:39:51:	allowed height for the property in the county's land development
00:39:51> 00:39:54:	regulations, or three stories, whichever is higher.
00:39:57> 00:40:01:	For the purposes of this paragraph, the term adjacent to

00:40:01> 00:40:05:	means the prop those properties sharing more than one point
00:40:05> 00:40:10:	of a property line, but does not include properties separated
00:40:10> 00:40:11:	by a public Rd.
00:40:11> 00:40:15:	So to me, when I read this, I read.
00:40:16> 00:40:21:	A single family residential development that to me is like
00:40:21> 00:40:25:	a it's it's different than what you see in Miami
00:40:25> 00:40:27:	residential neighborhoods.
00:40:28> 00:40:32:	I don't think that those qualify as a single family
00:40:32> 00:40:37:	residential development that's that's how I read that that would
00:40:37> 00:40:40:	be more of like a think of like a Lennar
00:40:40> 00:40:45:	gated community or or or something along those lines, but
00:40:45> 00:40:48:	that's certainly open to interpretation.
00:40:50> 00:40:54:	Again, I haven't seen this provision played out yet in
00:40:54> 00:40:58:	the real world it's it's going to be interesting to
00:40:58> 00:40:58:	watch.
00:41:02> 00:41:03:	So unless there's any questions there.
00:41:03> 00:41:04:	Thanks.
00:41:09> 00:41:10:	Glad.
00:41:10> 00:41:10:	Thank you.
00:41:10> 00:41:10:	Appreciate it.
00:41:11> 00:41:12:	OK, great.
00:41:12> 00:41:16:	Rafael Botero, can you give a couple of examples of
00:41:16> 00:41:19:	how municipalities are trying to prevent the use of these
00:41:19> 00:41:20:	bills?
00:41:21> 00:41:28:	Yes, so there's, there's a couple high profile ones.
00:41:29> 00:41:33:	We're we're currently representing the Bow Harbor Shops and I'm
00:41:33> 00:41:36:	not going to get into the details of that case
00:41:36> 00:41:39:	'cause it is ongoing litigation.
00:41:39> 00:41:43:	But just just think about how this, this is a
00:41:43> 00:41:48:	new law and there's there's a lot that it covers
00:41:48> 00:41:54:	and there are certain things like for example the definition
00:41:54> 00:41:59:	of mixed-use that there is there is no definition in
00:41:59> 00:42:00:	this law.
00:42:00> 00:42:05:	How how do you interpret what mixed-use zoning property is?
00:42:07> 00:42:10:	You could do it very narrowly, you could do it
00:42:10> 00:42:10:	broadly.
00:42:11> 00:42:14:	How how do you, how do you define what properties
00:42:14> 00:42:15:	are within a mile?
00:42:16> 00:42:19:	Is it those properties that are like at least part
00:42:19> 00:42:22:	of your property is, is within that one mile radius,

00:42:22> 00:42:26:	Does your entire property have to be within that one
00:42:26> 00:42:26:	mile radius?
00:42:27> 00:42:33:	There's there's a lot of interpretation questions that can be
00:42:33> 00:42:38:	utilized by jurisdictions that want you to stay away from
00:42:38> 00:42:41:	developing in their town.
00:42:42> 00:42:45:	And so you we're going to continue to see more
00:42:45> 00:42:49:	and more of these interpretations and gation relating to them.
00:42:50> 00:42:54:	So that's that's going to be something that we'll we'll
00:42:54> 00:42:57:	watch for the next few years, All right.
00:42:57> 00:42:57:	So.
00:42:58> 00:43:01:	Hey, Mark, before you continue, do you think you can
00:43:01> 00:43:04:	quickly touch on South Miami and their set of regulations
00:43:04> 00:43:06:	that they passed, I think over the past week or
00:43:06> 00:43:06:	two?
00:43:07> 00:43:10:	Yeah and I have, I haven't done it, I haven't
00:43:10> 00:43:11:	done a deep dive on those.
00:43:11> 00:43:16:	We we don't have a client currently working there but
00:43:16> 00:43:20:	I I I generally saw that they are they revised
00:43:20> 00:43:25:	their definition of of transit oriented development to require
	some
00:43:25> 00:43:28:	minimal parking threshold.
00:43:29> 00:43:35:	Previously I think you could have done massive
00.40.05 > 00.40.40	developments with
00:43:35> 00:43:40:	0 parking and so they they modified those requirements.
00:43:40> 00:43:44:	I think it was like .75 parking spaces per unit
00:43:44> 00:43:48:	and there there was, I think there was some other
00:43:48> 00:43:50:	pieces of of what they did.
00:43:51> 00:43:55:	But it to me at first glance it it it,
00:43:55> 00:43:59:	it seemed like a kind of like a a a
00:43:59> 00:44:08:	concern about unlimited development capacity and their ability to require
00:44:08> 00:44:10:	some parking.
00:44:10> 00:44:13:	But I don't know if anybody else is working in
00:44:13> 00:44:15:	South Miami that wants to chime in.
00:44:22> 00:44:24:	No, I guess, I guess do you think this is
00:44:24> 00:44:28:	gonna be a recurring theme with with cities and municipalities
00:44:28> 00:44:32:	throughout throughout the state I guess with regards to their
00:44:32> 00:44:36:	own sort of code and legislation in place tackling live
00:44:36> 00:44:36:	local?
00:44:37> 00:44:39:	Yeah, I, yeah, I do.
00:44:39> 00:44:41:	And I I think there's going to be, there's going
00:44:41> 00:44:45:	to be jurisdictions that do it responsibly and appropriately and
00:44:45> 00:44:48:	then there's going to be jurisdictions that do it kind

00:44:48> 00:44:51:	of haphazardly and and quickly in a way that likely
00:44:51> 00:44:53:	is violative of the law.
00:44:54> 00:44:58:	I think it's it's pretty clear that you can't create
00:44:58> 00:45:01:	a whole new set of regulations just for live local
00:45:01> 00:45:01:	projects.
00:45:02> 00:45:05:	The that's the whole point of the preemption is that
00:45:05> 00:45:08:	they're just supposed to have to comply with the standard
00:45:08> 00:45:10:	multi family regulation.
00:45:10> 00:45:12:	So if if a jurisdiction tax on you know they
00:45:12> 00:45:15:	they let's say somebody adopts a here's how the live
00:45:15> 00:45:18:	local projects in our jurisdiction are going to work they
00:45:18> 00:45:21:	have to do you know all of these additional things
00:45:21> 00:45:24:	is that other multifamily development doesn't have to do.
00:45:25> 00:45:27:	I think that is preempted and you know I we
00:45:28> 00:45:31:	would, we would certainly take a hard look at challenging
00:45:31> 00:45:33:	something like that.
00:45:34> 00:45:36:	How do you exactly believe that that is preempted?
00:45:37> 00:45:39:	How do I exactly believe in?
00:45:40> 00:45:40:	Which way what?
00:45:42> 00:45:43:	So they what?
00:45:43> 00:45:45:	Preempts it 'cause I'm dealing with it right now.
00:45:47> 00:45:47:	Yeah.
00:45:47> 00:45:54:	So the the, the administrative approval is required for
	qualifying
00:45:54> 00:46:02:	projects that satisfy the land development regulations
00:46:02> 00:46:04:	applicable to multi family properties.
00:46:04> 00:46:09:	So if you if a local jurisdiction just creates a
00:46:09> 00:46:14:	whole set of tailored, targeted restrictions at a live local
00:46:14> 00:46:20:	, 5
00:46:20> 00:46:26:	project, then they're they're the live local project is not
00:46:26> 00:46:29:	being afforded the benefit that the live local act provides.
00:46:29> 00:46:32:	So it's yeah, I.  But they are preempted from passing their own ordinance.
00:46:33> 00:46:36:	But they are preempted from passing their own ordinance.
	A city can go and pass an ordinance addressing their
00:46:36> 00:46:39:	interpretation of the live local law, and as far as
00:46:39> 00:46:43:	I'm aware, there's nothing that preempts them from doing it.
00:46:43> 00:46:46:	They would need to be sued to enforce live, local
00:46:46> 00:46:50:	and you know and and the aspects of their ordinance
00:46:50> 00:46:51:	that violate it.
00:46:51> 00:46:54:	But there's nothing from preempting them from passing that ordinance
00:46:54> 00:46:55:	to address it.
20.10.01	

00:46:55> 00:46:56:	Unless I'm missing something.
00:46:59> 00:46:59:	Yeah.
00:46:59> 00:47:04:	So I there's been responsible action by local governments passing
00:47:04> 00:47:07:	ordinances that say like this is how the rules are
00:47:07> 00:47:09:	going to work in our jurisdiction.
00:47:10> 00:47:13:	And there's often, I mean sometimes there's no issue with
00:47:13> 00:47:17:	that They're they're kind of just giving you the implementation
00:47:17> 00:47:17:	guidance.
00:47:17> 00:47:22:	In other instances I think it's it's a reaction to
00:47:22> 00:47:26:	thwart or severely limit what you can do.
00:47:26> 00:47:30:	And I think those instances again needs each one needs
00:47:30> 00:47:33:	to be evaluated on a case by case basis but
00:47:33> 00:47:37:	those instances get much closer to preempted action that I
00:47:37> 00:47:41:	I would certainly as a as a lawyer that you
00:47:41> 00:47:44:	know does this I I would take a look at
00:47:44> 00:47:47:	whether they're subject to legal challenge.
00:47:47> 00:47:47:	Yeah.
00:47:49> 00:47:50:	Thank you.
00:47:54> 00:47:54:	OK.
00:47:54> 00:48:00:	So Spencer Preston, do the market rate and affordable units
00:48:00> 00:48:05:	have to be the same caliber and finishes or do
00:48:05> 00:48:09:	they have to be the same square foot sizes.
00:48:10> 00:48:15:	So there's there's nothing in the live local act that
00:48:15> 00:48:19:	talks about this question there.
00:48:19> 00:48:25:	Some jurisdictions, you know cities, counties have rules in place
00:48:25> 00:48:32:	where you know the these requirements, we'll call them equivalency
00:48:32> 00:48:35:	requirements are already in place.
00:48:36> 00:48:40:	And then there's other jurisdictions that are kind of responding
00:48:40> 00:48:44:	to the live local act and and you know trying
00:48:44> 00:48:45:	to enforce new ones.
00:48:46> 00:48:49:	And so this kind of ties back into our our
00:48:50> 00:48:54:	prior question, it's it's going to need to be evaluated
00:48:54> 00:48:57:	on a on a case by case basis as to
00:48:57> 00:49:02:	whether that's something that is generally applicable to multi
Series en la	family
00:49:02> 00:49:06:	or if it's if it's targeted to a live local
00:49:06> 00:49:10:	project in which case I believe that that would not
00:49:10> 00:49:11:	be permitted.
00:49:12> 00:49:16:	So again those are going to probably be the subject

	of some disputes in the years to come.
00:49:21> 00:49:25:	CB set back in code requirements are based on your
00:49:25> 00:49:28:	current zoning or the highest applicable.
00:49:30> 00:49:34:	That is a question of interpretation.
00:49:35> 00:49:38:	The the I I think I know what you're getting
00:49:38> 00:49:42:	at that the live local act just says multifamily, you
00:49:42> 00:49:45:	have to comply with the multifamily regulations.
00:49:47> 00:49:51:	Often times there are different multi family regulations.
00:49:52> 00:49:54:	So which which ones get applied?
00:49:54> 00:49:56:	Is it the ones that the developer picks?
00:49:56> 00:49:58:	Is it the one that the city picks?
00:49:58> 00:50:03:	Is it the one where the property is open to
00:50:03> 00:50:05:	interpretation?
00:50:08> 00:50:13:	Pedia Che just wrote Qualify Any anybody want to jump
00:50:14> 00:50:18:	in and ask what what we're thinking here?
00:50:23> 00:50:25:	I think let's just move on to the next.
00:50:25> 00:50:25:	Yeah.
00:50:26> 00:50:32:	So Nick Nicholas asks do you get 100% tax exemption
00:50:32> 00:50:38:	if you implement 120% AMI on 100% of your units
00:50:38> 00:50:40:	rather than 40?
00:50:42> 00:50:47:	No, you you would get in Harrison, feel free to
00:50:47> 00:50:51:	jump in here, but I think you would get an
00:50:52> 00:50:57:	80% tax exemption because you're within that 80 to 120%
00:50:57> 00:50:58:	category.
00:50:59> 00:51:03:	So 100% of your units, if they're set aside at
00:51:03> 00:51:07:	that threshold, would get 80% exemption.
00:51:08> 00:51:08:	70.
00:51:08> 00:51:13:	5% sorry, sorry Yeah excuse me 75% please confirm whether
00:51:14> 00:51:19:	public and retail Zoned Properties are excluded from Live Local.
00:51:21> 00:51:25:	I don't know if public and retail is like a
00:51:25> 00:51:30:	defined zoning district in in your where you're talking about,
00:51:30> 00:51:35:	but retail would would generally fall with commercial under most
00:51:35> 00:51:37:	reasonable interpretation.
00:51:37> 00:51:43:	So retail zoning would be permitted to utilize live local
00:51:43> 00:51:47:	act after the three-year minimum.
00:51:47> 00:51:48:	Is met for the tax exemption.
00:51:48> 00:51:50:	What does the renewal.
00:51:50> 00:51:52:	Look like after that?
00:51:52> 00:51:54:	Is it annual or do you have to opt in
00:51:54> 00:51:56:	for another three years?

**00:49:16 --> 00:49:19:** of some disputes in the years to come.

Yeah, I think it's, I think it's like rolling three-year 00:51:59 --> 00:51:59: periods. 00:52:00 --> 00:52:04: So take a look at that more closely, But I 00:52:04 --> 00:52:06: believe that's the case. 00:52:07 --> 00:52:07: Hey. 00:52:07 --> 00:52:10: So, so quickly Mark in the interest of time if 00:52:10 --> 00:52:13: you can address one more that would be great. 00:52:13 --> 00:52:16: And then if both you and Harrison can share your 00:52:16 --> 00:52:20: your contact information to address I guess any additional questions 00:52:20 --> 00:52:23: that that the group may have, I think that would 00:52:23 --> 00:52:25: be that would be great as well so. 00:52:26 --> 00:52:28: Just leave that out there you wanna? 00:52:29 --> 00:52:30: Perfect, Perfect. 00:52:30 --> 00:52:30: Yeah. 00:52:30 --> 00:52:32: So Mark, I mean if you want to address one 00:52:32 --> 00:52:34: more than I'll kind of give some some finishing remarks 00:52:34 --> 00:52:35: here. 00:52:35 --> 00:52:36: Sure. 00:52:37 --> 00:52:38: Let's see. 00:52:45 --> 00:52:47: And then I guess if you have any closing remarks 00:52:47 --> 00:52:47: too? 00:52:52 --> 00:52:56: Because there's a few more in here, I'll I'll just 00:52:56 --> 00:53:00: open it up for one more person who wants to 00:53:00 --> 00:53:04: jump in with a question we can answer it or 00:53:04 --> 00:53:04: comment. 00:53:06 --> 00:53:07: I'll have a very basic question. 00:53:08 --> 00:53:10: What's the best way to stay up to speed on 00:53:10 --> 00:53:12: all the changes coming out? 00:53:14 --> 00:53:16: Oh, that's a good question. 00:53:17 --> 00:53:20: Read the newspapers. 00:53:20 --> 00:53:22: No, I do. 00:53:22 --> 00:53:25: I do kind of a a a mailing list for friends and clients. 00:53:25 --> 00:53:26: 00:53:27 --> 00:53:31: So you know Harrison is is tracking everything throughout the 00:53:31 --> 00:53:34: state what you know what each jurisdiction is doing and 00:53:34 --> 00:53:37: we start we've started to kind of publish some of 00:53:37 --> 00:53:40: our our findings so you can reach out and we 00:53:40 --> 00:53:41: can include you on that. 00:53:42 --> 00:53:45: Otherwise it's just coming from a lot of different sources. 00:53:45 --> 00:53:47: So it's it's hard to answer if. 00:53:47 --> 00:53:50: I can if I can, Mark, just to jump in.

00:51:56 --> 00:51:59:

00.33.30> 00.33.33.	So I serve on the board of the Florida Flousing
00:53:53> 00:53:56:	Coalition and we are rolling out.
00:53:56> 00:53:59:	Once this gets approved by the governor and signed off,
00:53:59> 00:54:02:	we're going to roll out an educational series.
00:54:02> 00:54:03:	So take a.
00:54:03> 00:54:06:	Look at the Florida Housing Coalition FHC.
00:54:07> 00:54:09:	That's definitely going to be a great resource for everyone
00:54:10> 00:54:10:	on the call.
00:54:12> 00:54:14:	That's excellent, AJ Thank you for raising that.
00:54:16> 00:54:18:	So that I think that's it.
00:54:18> 00:54:20:	Thanks everybody for joining.
00:54:20> 00:54:22:	Reach out if you want to talk in more detail.
00:54:23> 00:54:26:	Liza, Eddie Mallory, thank you so much for hosting us.
00:54:28> 00:54:28:	Thanks.
00:54:29> 00:54:30:	Just a couple more things.
00:54:30> 00:54:33:	So obviously First off, thank you Mark and Harrison for
00:54:33> 00:54:34:	putting this together.
00:54:35> 00:54:38:	We really appreciate, appreciate your efforts on putting
	putting it
00:54:38> 00:54:40:	all together in such a short amount of time.
00:54:41> 00:54:45:	I think all of us, developers, owners, design professionals, everybody
00:54:45> 00:54:47:	in this space is excited to see how this plays
00:54:47> 00:54:50:	out over the next couple of months, years and and
00:54:50> 00:54:53:	how it will change the real estate landscape.
00:54:53> 00:54:56:	But before we get going, just wanted to reiterate that
00:54:56> 00:54:58:	we do have that WhatsApp chat and we will be
00:54:59> 00:55:02:	sending out a newsletter early next month with all upcoming
00:55:02> 00:55:03:	events.
00:55:03> 00:55:06:	For now if you guys would like to mark your
00:55:06> 00:55:10:	calendars, we're planning on having another happy hour on April
00:55:10> 00:55:13:	18th in Coral Gables location to be determined, but we
00:55:13> 00:55:16:	will be sending out more information shortly.
00:55:16> 00:55:18:	Thanks again everybody for attending.
00:55:18> 00:55:21:	Reach out to Mallory, Liza or myself if you have
00:55:21> 00:55:23:	more questions about the Uli, if you want to get
00:55:23> 00:55:25:	more involved, if you have an idea for a presentation
00:55:25> 00:55:26:	or event.
00:55:26> 00:55:28:	As you all know, Mark and Harrison took the initiative
00:55:28> 00:55:30:	to put this together themselves.
00:55:30> 00:55:32:	So if you have any ideas, please reach out to
00:55:32> 00:55:32:	us.

00:53:50 --> 00:53:53: So I serve on the board of the Florida Housing

00:55:33 --> 00:55:34: Thanks again for everything guys.00:55:34 --> 00:55:36: Have a great week and talk soon.

**00:55:37** --> **00:55:37**: Thank you.

**00:55:38 --> 00:55:39:** Thanks everybody.

**00:55:39 --> 00:55:40:** Bye.

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact [email protected].