

## Webinar

## Future Proofing: How Climate Data and Tech Can Reduce Asset Risk

Date: January 13, 2023

| 00:00:04> 00:00:08: | Hello, welcome to utilize webinar future proofing how climate data     |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:00:08> 00:00:12: | and tech can reduce asset risk. I'm Billy Grayson, executive           |
| 00:00:12> 00:00:17: | vice president for senators and initiatives. I, from previous webinars |
| 00:00:17> 00:00:20: | know that the audience will slowly roll in, so I'm                     |
| 00:00:20> 00:00:23: | going to repeat this two or three times and we'll                      |
| 00:00:23> 00:00:26: | be getting started in just about a minute.                             |
| 00:00:37> 00:00:40: | So to those of you that are rolling in, thank                          |
| 00:00:40> 00:00:43: | you. Welcome. Thank you for joining us. I'm Billy Grayson,             |
| 00:00:43> 00:00:47: | executive vice president for centers and initiatives at the Urban      |
| 00:00:47> 00:00:50: | Land Institute. Our webinar today is future proofing how climate       |
| 00:00:50> 00:00:53: | data and tech can reduce asset risk. We'll be getting                  |
| 00:00:54> 00:00:57: | started in just one minute once I see the participants                 |
| 00:00:57> 00:00:58: | list slowly starts to tick.  |
| 00:00:58> 00:01:01: | Yeah. So thank you again for joining us.                               |
| 00:01:32> 00:01:35: | All right. It's 10:01 AM and 1/2 East Coast time.                      |
| 00:01:35> 00:01:39: | Uh, welcome and thank you for joining us for this                      |
| 00:01:39> 00:01:42: | URL I webinar future proofing how climate data and tech                |
| 00:01:42> 00:01:46: | can reduce asset risk. I'm Billy Grayson, executive vice president     |
| 00:01:46> 00:01:50: | for centers and initiatives at UL I and your moderator                 |
| 00:01:50> 00:01:53: | today. I'd like to start by thanking all of our                        |
| 00:01:53> 00:01:56: | panelists for joining today. This is got to be from                    |
| 00:01:56> 00:02:00: | an industry perspective, one of the more diverse panels that           |
| 00:02:00> 00:02:02: | we've had at ULI in recent.  |
| 00:02:02> 00:02:05: | Months, which is going to be fantastic. And I'd like                   |
| 00:02:05> 00:02:08: | to thank all the audience for joining us today as                      |

| 00:02:08> 00:02:12:                    | well. We, ULI, have been studying climate risk in real                 |
|--|--|
| 00:02:12> 00:02:15:                    | estate investment for some time now and we've really seen              |
| 00:02:15> 00:02:19:                    | a proliferation of interest from across our Member spectrum            |
|  | on   |
| 00:02:19> 00:02:23:                    | how Members can better assess price and mitigate climate               |
|  | risk   |
| 00:02:23> 00:02:27:                    | in their real estate development and investment decisions.             |
| 00:02:28> 00:02:31:                    | I'd like to start with a couple of quick housekeeping                  |
| 00:02:31> 00:02:34:                    | items. It's always fun to talk logistics. At the start                 |
| 00:02:34> 00:02:37:                    | of a webinar. We have a chat and we'd love                             |
| 00:02:37> 00:02:40:                    | to use that chat to share resources and links with                     |
| 00:02:40> 00:02:43:                    | you that will help you learn more about this topic                     |
| 00:02:43> 00:02:46:                    | or we'll refer to things that we covered during the                    |
| 00:02:46> 00:02:50:                    | discussion today. We would really love for you to use                  |
| 00:02:50> 00:02:53:                    | the Q&A section starting as early as you see fit.                      |
| 00:02:53> 00:02:56:                    | We're hoping to have a lot of audience questions today.                |
| 00:02:56> 00:02:58:                    | Please put those in the Q&A.   |
| 00:02:58> 00:03:00:                    | Section. We'll be able to answer some of them on                       |
| 00:03:00> 00:03:03:                    | the fly, and other ones I will tee up for                              |
| 00:03:03> 00:03:05:                    | our panelists for our discussion today.                                |
| 00:03:06> 00:03:09:                    | Um, Lee and Lindsey, I hope that's all of the                          |
| 00:03:09> 00:03:13:                    | logistics. I think that's all of the logistics. So let                 |
| 00:03:13> 00:03:16:                    | me kick this off the little stage setting.                             |
| 00:03:18> 00:03:22:                    | In partnership with companies across the real estate industry, utilize |
| 00:03:22> 00:03:26:                    | been working to better understand the connection between climate risk  |
| 00:03:26> 00:03:29:                    | and real estate value and how real estate owners and                   |
| 00:03:29> 00:03:33:                    | developers can better assess and mitigate this risk and development    |
| 00:03:33> 00:03:36:                    | and investment strategy. Over the past few years, we've seen           |
| 00:03:37> 00:03:40:                    | an explosion like a literal explosion of tools and climate             |
| 00:03:40> 00:03:44:                    | risk analytics strategies to help owners and investors better          |
|  | understand   |
| 00:03:44> 00:03:47:                    | this risk from our vantage point, most if not all                      |
| 00:03:47> 00:03:48:                    | of these tools.  |
| 00:03:48> 00:03:51:                    | Can offer really valuable insights to ULI members, but it              |
| 00:03:52> 00:03:54:                    | can also be a challenge to figure out how to                           |
| 00:03:54> 00:03:58:                    | use these tools to effectively assess and price climate risk           |
| 00:03:58> 00:04:02:                    | and how to communicate this risk effectively to investors, tenants     |
| 00:04:02> 00:04:05:                    | and other stakeholders. In this analysis you will I published          |
| 00:04:05> 00:04:08:                    | a paper on this late last year on how to                               |
| ······································ | a paper on the late last year on new to                                |

| 00:04:08> 00:04:11: | choose, use and better understand climate risk in partnership with           |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:04:11> 00:04:14: | LaSalle. And we also like late last year launched a                          |
| 00:04:15> 00:04:18: | partnership with the 1st St. Foundation. We had more than                    |
| 00:04:18> 00:04:19: | 40 UL member.  |
| 00:04:19> 00:04:23: | Leaders participating in focus groups to help refine the dashboards          |
| 00:04:23> 00:04:26: | and reports produced by their tool risk Factor Pro. I                        |
| 00:04:26> 00:04:29: | should say at this point that all UI members can                             |
| 00:04:29> 00:04:32: | get 5 free property risk reports just by being a                             |
| 00:04:32> 00:04:35: | UX UI member through risk Factor Pro. I think this                           |
| 00:04:35> 00:04:38: | is something like a \$400.00 value, so you're welcome. I                     |
| 00:04:38> 00:04:41: | hope it's a valuable resource for you as a UI                                |
| 00:04:41> 00:04:44: | member. We're also going to include a link to that                           |
| 00:04:44> 00:04:46: | member discount at the in the chat.  |
| 00:04:47> 00:04:50: | So I'm excited for the conversation today. One more plug,                    |
| 00:04:50> 00:04:52: | let's go to the next slide for a UI activity.                                |
| 00:04:55> 00:04:55: | R.   |
| 00:04:56> 00:04:59: | Next resilient summit is happening on May 15th in Toronto.                   |
| 00:04:59> 00:05:02: | This is the day before the ULI Spring meeting and                            |
| 00:05:02> 00:05:05: | this is our chance that you allow to bring together                          |
| 00:05:05> 00:05:08: | leaders in the fields of real estate and resilience to                       |
| 00:05:08> 00:05:12: | share solutions to protect communities and investments from climate risk.    |
| 00:05:12> 00:05:14: | You can scan the QR code on the screen to                                    |
| 00:05:14> 00:05:17: | learn more. I don't have my cell phone with me,                              |
| 00:05:17> 00:05:19: | so I would also like to point you to the                                     |
| 00:05:19> 00:05:22: | chat where Lindsey is letting you know that you can                          |
| 00:05:22> 00:05:25: | also register for the event at uli.org/resilience Summit.                    |
| 00:05:26> 00:05:29: | And with that, let me introduce our panel for today.                         |
| 00:05:31> 00:05:36: | So first, we have Brittany Ryan, responsible investment professional, Nuveen |
| 00:05:36> 00:05:40: | real estate, Emily Westendorf, vice president for climate risk programs      |
| 00:05:41> 00:05:44: | at Fifth Third Bank, and David Rochlitz, the senior economic                 |
| 00:05:44> 00:05:48: | specialist at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Thank                 |
| 00:05:48> 00:05:52: | you all again for joining us for the conversation today.                     |
| 00:05:54> 00:05:58: | David, let's start with you. Could you provide a brief                       |
| 00:05:58> 00:06:01: | introduction to yourself and what you do at the Federal                      |
| 00:06:01> 00:06:05: | Reserve? And then we'll go to Brittany and Emily and                         |
| 00:06:05> 00:06:08: | then we'll dive into our questions.  |
| 00:06:09> 00:06:13: | Thanks, Billy. I'm David rajkovich. I'm a senior economic specialist         |

| 00:06:13> 00:06:16: | here at the Denver branch of the Federal Reserve, Federal                   |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:06:16> 00:06:19: | Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. So in addition to                      |
| 00:06:20> 00:06:23: | my policy and outreach work, I also do primary research                     |
| 00:06:23> 00:06:24: | both in energy and climate.   |
| 00:06:26> 00:06:30: | Great. Brittany, thank you for joining us.                                  |
| 00:06:30> 00:06:33: | Thanks, Billy. So I'm with Nuveen real estate. We are                       |
| 00:06:33> 00:06:37: | a real estate investment manager with about 150 billion assets              |
| 00:06:37> 00:06:41: | under management. We invest globally across all major<br>property types     |
| 00:06:41> 00:06:45: | including alternatives and I am on the SG sustainability team               |
| 00:06:45> 00:06:49: | for America's focusing on ESG integration for the equity and                |
| 00:06:49> 00:06:50: | debt portfolios.  |
| 00:06:52> 00:06:54: | And Emily, welcome.   |
| 00:06:55> 00:06:58: | Hi, I'm a client risk program manager for the third.                        |
| 00:06:58> 00:07:01: | I've been in banking for 10 years. I've been in                             |
| 00:07:01> 00:07:04: | risk management for most of that. I've been running a                       |
| 00:07:04> 00:07:07: | risk program to being in large potential risk events like                   |
| 00:07:07> 00:07:11: | natural disasters and cyber attacks, risk metrics, risk<br>governance. Like |
| 00:07:11> 00:07:14: | I said, I'm Mr. Third Bank. For those of you                                |
| 00:07:14> 00:07:17: | who aren't familiar, we are a regional bank with both                       |
| 00:07:17> 00:07:20: | consumer and commercial products. We have a little over \$200               |
| 00:07:20> 00:07:23: | billion in assets and our retail footprint, which would be                  |
| 00:07:23> 00:07:26: | the majority of where we're operating and servicing.                        |
| 00:07:26> 00:07:29: | Customers is in the Midwest and the Southeast. So think                     |
| 00:07:29> 00:07:32: | about Ohio, Indiana, Michigan all the way down to Florida.                  |
| 00:07:32> 00:07:36: | We do offer a mortgage and commercial lending products in                   |
| 00:07:36> 00:07:38: | nearly all 50 States and then we have offices and                           |
| 00:07:38> 00:07:41: | third parties across the US and a couple of countries                       |
| 00:07:41> 00:07:44: | globally as well. My focus and my current role, I'm                         |
| 00:07:44> 00:07:48: | building out how my bank manages climate related risks, including           |
| 00:07:48> 00:07:51: | really the physical risks that we're focusing on today, but                 |
| 00:07:51> 00:07:52: | as well as transition risk.   |
| 00:07:54> 00:07:58: | Awesome. Thanks, Emily. David, our audience is familiar with all            |
| 00:07:59> 00:08:02: | three of these companies, but they may not be familiar                      |
| 00:08:02> 00:08:06: | with exactly what the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City                   |
| 00:08:06> 00:08:09: | does. Could you give a just a quick intro on                                |
| 00:08:09> 00:08:13: | the work of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City                         |
| 00:08:13> 00:08:16: | and then share a little bit about why climate risks                         |

| 00:08:16> 00:08:19: | are of interest to you at the bank or at                                    |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:08:19> 00:08:20: | the reserve?  |
| 00:08:20> 00:08:23: | That's a great question. So I'll leave an outline of                        |
| 00:08:23> 00:08:24: | sort of the Federal Reserve.  |
| 00:08:24> 00:08:28: | System to begin with, just to sort of baseline where                        |
| 00:08:28> 00:08:31: | we fit in the Federal Reserve, U.S. central Bank, you've                    |
| 00:08:32> 00:08:35: | got the Board of Governors in DC and 12 regional                            |
| 00:08:35> 00:08:38: | reserve banks. We are one of those. We cover A7                             |
| 00:08:38> 00:08:43: | state area central United States, so western Missouri,<br>Nebraska, Kansas, |
| 00:08:43> 00:08:47: | Oklahoma, Wyoming, Colorado and northern New Mexico.<br>So we have          |
| 00:08:47> 00:08:51: | a pretty heavy footprint in agriculture and energy. So those                |
| 00:08:51> 00:08:53: | are two two major industries.   |
| 00:08:54> 00:08:57: | That we look at and also those are those are                                |
| 00:08:57> 00:09:00: | industries that are part and parcel to sort of the                          |
| 00:09:00> 00:09:04: | climate discussion with respect to the work that we do                      |
| 00:09:04> 00:09:07: | at the the Kansas City fed as it relates to                                 |
| 00:09:07> 00:09:10: | climate. We we like to focus our work be it                                 |
| 00:09:10> 00:09:13: | you know research or policy work sort of in the                             |
| 00:09:13> 00:09:16: | industries and within the region that we focus on. So                       |
| 00:09:17> 00:09:20: | you know with respect to climate we've done some work                       |
| 00:09:20> 00:09:24: | on drought in the agricultural sector and how that natural                  |
| 00:09:24> 00:09:24: | hazard.   |
| 00:09:24> 00:09:28: | Effects on that industry and those actors, we've also done                  |
| 00:09:28> 00:09:31: | some work more broadly on sort of sea level rise                            |
| 00:09:31> 00:09:34: | and real estate markets because we have the scale and                       |
| 00:09:34> 00:09:37: | sort of ability to do that. But and then additionally                       |
| 00:09:38> 00:09:42: | on the transitional risk side, energy systems are transitioning. So         |
| 00:09:42> 00:09:45: | when we think about the energy sector within our district                   |
| 00:09:45> 00:09:48: | or nationally or globally, we've got sort of our ear                        |
| 00:09:48> 00:09:51: | to the ground on you know how that how that                                 |
| 00:09:51> 00:09:54: | industry is transitioning. So what areas are on the decline                 |
| 00:09:55> 00:09:57: | and sort of what areas are on the rise.                                     |
| 00:09:59> 00:10:02: | It's in your view, how how significant are these risks                      |
| 00:10:02> 00:10:05: | and what, what steps could we take to mitigate them?                        |
| 00:10:05> 00:10:08: | It's a big question. You just scratch the surface maybe                     |
| 00:10:08> 00:10:09: | to start.   |
| 00:10:09> 00:10:13: | It's a great question and I'll probably give you a                          |
| 00:10:13> 00:10:17: | somewhat elaborate non answer. So I'll start with, you know                 |
| 00:10:17> 00:10:21: | the Federal Reserve isn't a climate policy maker, so we're                  |
| 00:10:21> 00:10:24: | not that. When we think about the significance of risk,                     |

| 00:10:24> 00:10:28: | it's wide-ranging. So I think it's important to define your                |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:10:28> 00:10:30: | terms when you think about.  |
| 00:10:30> 00:10:33: | Climate risk. And then you can get into the specifics                      |
| 00:10:33> 00:10:36: | of those things. So, you know, are we talking about                        |
| 00:10:36> 00:10:40: | physical risks, natural hazards or are we talking about transitional       |
| 00:10:40> 00:10:43: | risk? If we're talking about physical risk, it's a question                |
| 00:10:43> 00:10:46: | of which hazard connected to which industry. So for example,               |
| 00:10:46> 00:10:50: | I've already mentioned drought in the agricultural sector, drought, water  |
| 00:10:50> 00:10:54: | management, it's a significant risk for certain components of the          |
| 00:10:54> 00:10:58: | agricultural sector, especially if they don't have access to irrigation,   |
| 00:10:58> 00:11:00: | if we're talking about hurricane sea level rise.                           |
| 00:11:00> 00:11:04: | For coastal inundation, you're thinking about low lying areas and          |
| 00:11:04> 00:11:08: | coastal real estate markets. You know, notably if you're in                |
| 00:11:08> 00:11:12: | the southeastern United States, those hazards can be significant.          |
| 00:11:12> 00:11:16: | And then if we're talking about transitional risks, you know,              |
| 00:11:16> 00:11:21: | energy systems are transitioning. So you've got fossil fuel industries     |
| 00:11:21> 00:11:24: | where you know, high carbon intensity producers might be at                |
| 00:11:25> 00:11:28: | risk. Whereas on the other side of the equation, what                      |
| 00:11:28> 00:11:31: | we're seeing in our district is a rise in the                              |
| 00:11:31> 00:11:35: | renewable energy sector. So there are opportunities for you know,          |
| 00:11:35> 00:11:40: | lower carbon, you know, energy systems, transmission, storage, those types |
| 00:11:40> 00:11:42: | of things. So you know, long story, longer.                                |
| 00:11:43> 00:11:45: | I think you got to be specific about the risk                              |
| 00:11:45> 00:11:48: | and specifically about the industry that we're talking about.<br>And       |
| 00:11:48> 00:11:51: | those risks are significant for some, but maybe less so                    |
| 00:11:51> 00:11:51: | for others.  |
| 00:11:53> 00:11:57: | So from the whole economy to a commercial banks investment                 |
| 00:11:57> 00:12:00: | landscape, I'd like to turn it over to Emily. Emily,                       |
| 00:12:00> 00:12:04: | could you share a little bit about why Fifth Third                         |
| 00:12:04> 00:12:08: | got into climate risk analysis and and what you're doing                   |
| 00:12:08> 00:12:12: | right now to better assess climate risk for your investment                |
| 00:12:12> 00:12:13: | portfolio?   |
| 00:12:15> 00:12:19: | Yeah. So for us, climate risk became a focus because                       |

| 00:12:19> 00:12:23:<br>00:12:23> 00:12:26: | there was a lot of investor conversation around climate risk,   |
|--|---|
| 00:12:26> 00:12:20:                        | you know, ESG risks as well. And so that's kind   |
| 00:12:30> 00:12:34:                        | of what I originally started the conversation over the last few years, obviously we've seen regulators like the Fed and |
|  |   |
| 00:12:34> 00:12:38:                        | the OCC that we're accountable to really bringing that up   |
| 00:12:38> 00:12:41:                        | as part of the conversation as well, when you think   |
| 00:12:42> 00:12:45:                        | about things like a variety of, you know, natural disaster.   |
| 00:12:46> 00:12:49:                        | Events and think about the fact that we do have   |
| 00:12:49> 00:12:53:                        | Florida is a really key player within our footprint that's  |
| 00:12:53> 00:12:56:                        | continued to bring climate risk to the focus.   |
| 00:12:57> 00:13:00:                        | And what what type of tools are you using to  |
| 00:13:00> 00:13:02:                        | help you do this climate risk assessment?   |
| 00:13:04> 00:13:07:                        | Yeah. So we are thinking about it both ways. You  |
| 00:13:07> 00:13:09:                        | know, I think those of you who might be a   |
| 00:13:09> 00:13:12:                        | little bit more familiar there, those risks associated with the   |
| 00:13:13> 00:13:16:                        | transition to a lower carbon economy, we call them transition   |
| 00:13:16> 00:13:19:                        | risks and then there's also the physical risk. So physical  |
| 00:13:19> 00:13:22:                        | risks I think have been more so the focus for   |
| 00:13:22> 00:13:24:                        | us, if we get started and I think that's got  |
| 00:13:24> 00:13:27:                        | a lot to do with that tangible nature of physical   |
| 00:13:27> 00:13:30:                        | risk, it's a little bit easier to understand. And so  |
| 00:13:30> 00:13:32:                        | for us, the key tool that we're using is the  |
| 00:13:32> 00:13:34:                        | data sets offered by 1st St.  |
| 00:13:34> 00:13:35:                        | Foundation.   |
| 00:13:39> 00:13:41:                        | Brittany, let's kick it over to you.  |
| 00:13:42> 00:13:46:                        | Large real estate investment management portfolio. How?<br>How are you  |
| 00:13:46> 00:13:50:                        | assessing risks and what sorts of tools are you using   |
| 00:13:50> 00:13:53:                        | right now to assess client risk in your portfolio?  |
| 00:13:54> 00:13:56:                        | Yeah. So, uh, we have I, I would say boil   |
| 00:13:56> 00:13:59:                        | it down to it's about getting the data, building a  |
| 00:13:59> 00:14:03:                        | process for embedding that into your investment process and   |
|  | then  |
| 00:14:03> 00:14:06:                        | reporting it out. So for the data, we procure climate   |
| 00:14:07> 00:14:10:                        | analytics firms to help us understand that actual portfolio risk  |
| 00:14:10> 00:14:13:                        | in the future at a regional level. And then we  |
| 00:14:13> 00:14:17:                        | also leverage additional tools like First streets risk factor to  |
| 00:14:17> 00:14:21:                        | really drill down on those property level details. So that's  |
| 00:14:21> 00:14:24:                        | step one on, OK, let's let's get an understanding of  |
| 00:14:24> 00:14:25:                        | what the risk is.   |
| 00:14:25> 00:14:28:                        | And then for the process, what we've done is built  |
| 00:14:29> 00:14:33:                        | a ESG evaluation process that requires our investment and   |
|  | portfolio   |

| 00:14:33> 00:14:36: | teams to screen for that risk that we flagged, build                          |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:14:36> 00:14:39: | it into the underwriting and then identify or budget for                      |
| 00:14:39> 00:14:44: | mitigation measures. So the toolkit that we've developed provides different   |
| 00:14:44> 00:14:49: | thresholds per climate hazard, the downside scenario assumptions to consider, |
| 00:14:49> 00:14:53: | the mitigation measures that should be either budgeted or identified          |
| 00:14:53> 00:14:56: | as in place and then the types of things that.                                |
| 00:14:56> 00:15:00: | That we recommend our investment teams build into their financial             |
| 00:15:00> 00:15:04: | assumptions would be at the building level, maybe repair maintenance          |
| 00:15:04> 00:15:09: | cost, the cost to install mitigation measures increases to utility            |
| 00:15:09> 00:15:12: | costs because of of of these physical impacts. And then                       |
| 00:15:12> 00:15:16: | maybe even at the market level of considering to expand                       |
| 00:15:16> 00:15:19: | their cap rate because there may be reduced rental demand                     |
| 00:15:19> 00:15:24: | or just market shifts investors less interested in certain areas.             |
| 00:15:24> 00:15:27: | So these are the types of assumptions that we advise.                         |
| 00:15:27> 00:15:31: | Our investment teams to take into account to actually consider                |
| 00:15:31> 00:15:34: | these these risks and then I noted another component of                       |
| 00:15:34> 00:15:37: | that is for reporting. So then all that data that                             |
| 00:15:37> 00:15:41: | we've collected to map against our portfolio, what is that                    |
| 00:15:41> 00:15:44: | risk? We have internal dashboards to present that back to                     |
| 00:15:44> 00:15:48: | the investment teams. Our portfolio managers can see what is                  |
| 00:15:48> 00:15:51: | their percent AUM exposed, how do they want to reallocate                     |
| 00:15:51> 00:15:55: | going forward and then similarly our asset managers get that                  |
| 00:15:55> 00:15:58: | information so they can during the budget.                                    |
| 00:15:58> 00:16:01: | Season, see what are we exposed to what, what mitigation                      |
| 00:16:01> 00:16:04: | measures do we perhaps need to include in the budget                          |
| 00:16:04> 00:16:07: | for the upcoming year and then of course external reporting                   |
| 00:16:08> 00:16:11: | to investors. So that's that's really how we're incorporating the             |
| 00:16:11> 00:16:15: | considerations of climate risk in our in our whole process.                   |
| 00:16:16> 00:16:19: | Right. So stepping back, what, what drove you to do                           |
| 00:16:19> 00:16:21: | this and what do you think is driving other real                              |
| 00:16:21> 00:16:25: | estate investment managers to take the step of doing this                     |
| 00:16:25> 00:16:28: | type of physical and transition client risk assessment?                       |
| 00:16:28> 00:16:31: | Yeah. So I will wholeheartedly echo what Emily said and                       |
| 00:16:32> 00:16:35: | unpack that a little further. So All in all, climate                          |
| 00:16:35> 00:16:39: | risk is financial risk, right? It's our fiduciary duty on                     |

| 00:16:39> 00:16:43: | behalf of our investors to manage risks to investment performance               |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:16:44> 00:16:46: | and capital raising. So climate change.   |
| 00:16:46> 00:16:50: | In transition risk, which Emily clearly defined, they pose potentially          |
| 00:16:50> 00:16:54: | material risks to the business and it is just inherently                        |
| 00:16:54> 00:16:57: | part of responsible investing to actually make sure these are                   |
| 00:16:57> 00:17:02: | systematically considered. So more specifically, as Emily mentioned, it's about |
| 00:17:02> 00:17:06: | capital, so existing and potential clients, investors, they want to             |
| 00:17:06> 00:17:09: | see not only what is portfolio exposure, but how is                             |
| 00:17:09> 00:17:12: | that being factored into the decision making, how are portfolio                 |
| 00:17:12> 00:17:17: | managers reallocating their fund accordingly or actually mitigating the risk    |
| 00:17:17> 00:17:18: | that is identified.   |
| 00:17:18> 00:17:22: | Within the portfolio, it's also about compliance with which<br>Emily            |
| 00:17:22> 00:17:26: | mentioned and that's twofold. There's major national and regulation             |
| 00:17:26> 00:17:30: | requiring climate risk disclosure. So very robust, as robust as                 |
| 00:17:30> 00:17:34: | your financial reporting. What is that exposure? But then there's               |
| 00:17:34> 00:17:37: | also on the flip side, local ordinances that are putting                        |
| 00:17:37> 00:17:41: | energy and carbon limits, carbon limits on buildings. So you                    |
| 00:17:41> 00:17:44: | face fines with not not keeping up with the market                              |
| 00:17:44> 00:17:47: | in that way either. And then I would say also                                   |
| 00:17:47> 00:17:48: | it's about consumers.   |
| 00:17:48> 00:17:51: | As a real estate industry, right, we do have to                                 |
| 00:17:51> 00:17:54: | pay attention to what our tenants are looking for and                           |
| 00:17:54> 00:17:57: | major blue chip tenants have their own SG goals and                             |
| 00:17:57> 00:18:01: | are either demanding green buildings or having those conversations of           |
| 00:18:01> 00:18:04: | what can be done so that that building can fit                                  |
| 00:18:04> 00:18:06: | their own goals. So and then I would also add                                   |
| 00:18:06> 00:18:09: | it's it's not just Nuveen real estate doing this in                             |
| 00:18:09> 00:18:13: | terms of investment managers and we're all keeping each other                   |
| 00:18:13> 00:18:17: | honest and keeping open communication because we really are all                 |
| 00:18:17> 00:18:19: | working toward the same goal, so.   |
| 00:18:19> 00:18:22: | You have your your fellow investment managers doing the same                    |
| 00:18:22> 00:18:25: | thing, thinking about this and really trying to move in                         |

| 00:18:25> 00:18:26: | that same direction.  |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:18:27> 00:18:30: | We've been hearing from a lot of investment managers that       |
| 00:18:30> 00:18:32: | they picked up a tool just to meet a compliance                 |
| 00:18:32> 00:18:35: | threshold from an investor. And now they're looking at three    |
| 00:18:35> 00:18:39: | or four tools and they're getting insights into their portfolio |
| 00:18:39> 00:18:42: | that they didn't expect. And some of that is complicating       |
| 00:18:42> 00:18:44: | the stakeholder engagement and and some of it is actually       |
| 00:18:45> 00:18:45: | improving it.   |
| 00:18:47> 00:18:49: | How many tools do you have right now that you're                |
| 00:18:49> 00:18:50: | looking at?   |
| 00:18:51> 00:18:53: | Well, you know, I would say a few years ago                     |
| 00:18:53> 00:18:56: | it we really did have a compilation and we were                 |
| 00:18:56> 00:18:59: | pulling a lot from publicly available sources like.             |
| 00:19:00> 00:19:03: | FEMA maps and wildfire risk to communities which we still       |
| 00:19:03> 00:19:07: | use just whatever and and risk factor went back in              |
| 00:19:07> 00:19:10: | the day when it was originally flood IQ and and                 |
| 00:19:10> 00:19:14: | seeing that for the residential properties. So we had that.     |
| 00:19:14> 00:19:17: | We've boiled it down a little bit more but we                   |
| 00:19:17> 00:19:20: | still rely on those. Like I said it's it's a                    |
| 00:19:20> 00:19:23: | mix of those. A lot of the climate providers are                |
| 00:19:24> 00:19:27: | giving more of a bird's eye view right. It's this               |
| 00:19:27> 00:19:30: | regional exposure and then you need to supplement.              |
| 00:19:30> 00:19:34: | With these additional tools to get drilled down into that       |
| 00:19:34> 00:19:38: | that property level specifics and and so yeah it's it's         |
| 00:19:38> 00:19:39: | a handful I'd say.  |
| 00:19:39> 00:19:40: | Yeah.   |
| 00:19:40> 00:19:43: | Yeah, David, I realized that I didn't, I didn't ask             |
| 00:19:43> 00:19:45: | you the tool question. And I remember from our prep             |
| 00:19:45> 00:19:48: | call, some of us buy tools, some of us customized               |
| 00:19:48> 00:19:50: | tools that we buy and some of us decide we                      |
| 00:19:50> 00:19:53: | need to build things somewhat from scratch. So I don't          |
| 00:19:53> 00:19:56: | know as much as you could share. Could you share                |
| 00:19:56> 00:19:59: | a little bit about the tools that you're using customizing      |
| 00:19:59> 00:20:01: | and building over the Kansas City Fed?                          |
| 00:20:02> 00:20:06: | As it's similar to Brittany and Emily, we are looking           |
| 00:20:06> 00:20:09: | at some of the off the shelf tools like what                    |
| 00:20:09> 00:20:12: | is provided by FEMA and Noah and you know 1st                   |
| 00:20:12> 00:20:14: | St. so those are part of the toolkit when we                    |
| 00:20:15> 00:20:19: | think about climate risk. But we're also primary researchers,   |
|                     | so  |
| 00:20:19> 00:20:22: | we build some of our own tools using publicly available         |
| 00:20:22> 00:20:26: | data. Last year on some of my colleagues both within            |
|                     |   |

| 00:20:26> 00:20:29: | the KC Fed and also colleagues at Noah, we had                               |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:20:29> 00:20:32: | a climatologist and a geographer on the on the.                              |
| 00:20:32> 00:20:36: | Project, we built a tool linking, you know, sea level                        |
| 00:20:36> 00:20:39: | rise risk to coastal real estate markets. So we brought                      |
| 00:20:39> 00:20:43: | in publicly available geospatial data from Noah and wound up                 |
| 00:20:43> 00:20:46: | the crank with, you know, some Python And other off                          |
| 00:20:46> 00:20:50: | the shelf, you know, data analytics tools. And we figured                    |
| 00:20:50> 00:20:54: | out an algorithm for identifying lease inundation elevation at the           |
| 00:20:54> 00:20:58: | parcel level. So in our case it was residential real                         |
| 00:20:58> 00:21:01: | estate. You could apply our same toolkit to commercial real                  |
| 00:21:02> 00:21:03: | estate mortgages.  |
| 00:21:03> 00:21:06: | Any unit of interest. So we were kind of agnostic                            |
| 00:21:06> 00:21:10: | there. We chose residential real estate because it had full                  |
| 00:21:10> 00:21:13: | coverage and then we link that into local sea level                          |
| 00:21:13> 00:21:17: | rise factors with uncertainty. So we were bringing in another                |
| 00:21:17> 00:21:20: | element there and sort of merging sort of these publicly                     |
| 00:21:20> 00:21:24: | available data sets, sort of best in class research, best                    |
| 00:21:24> 00:21:28: | in class information from the climate side with you know,                    |
| 00:21:28> 00:21:31: | latitudes and longitudes. And we did that at the parcel                      |
| 00:21:31> 00:21:33: | level, aggregated that up to.  |
| 00:21:33> 00:21:36: | Your zip code, you know, metro level and provided some                       |
| 00:21:36> 00:21:40: | information on when specific locations will be at risk. And                  |
| 00:21:40> 00:21:43: | then the nice thing about our research is we look                            |
| 00:21:43> 00:21:45: | at that as a public good. So we provide people                               |
| 00:21:45> 00:21:48: | a map on how they can do some of this  |
| 00:21:48> 00:21:51: | themselves. My background prior to the KC Fed, who I                         |
| 00:21:51> 00:21:55: | worked 1/2 decade with, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, |
| 00:21:55> 00:21:58: | couple years of that was that one of their data                              |
| 00:21:58> 00:22:01: | centers. So it's been amazing to me to see the                               |
| 00:22:01> 00:22:04: | evolution of the tools that can help you build tools.                        |
| 00:22:04> 00:22:06: | So when I was working at the lab in Boulder,                                 |
| 00:22:06> 00:22:09: | if you would have told me 10 years from now,                                 |
| 00:22:09> 00:22:12: | you could take this publicly available data, you know, with                  |
| 00:22:12> 00:22:15: | relatively limited, you know, skill and backgrounds, bring a few             |
| 00:22:16> 00:22:18: | people in the room and then put together your own                            |
| 00:22:18> 00:22:21: | data product that no one else has done. And oh,                              |
| 00:22:21> 00:22:23: | by the way, all the inputs are free outside of                               |
| 00:22:23> 00:22:26: | your own labor. I think that's kind of amazing. So                           |
| 00:22:26> 00:22:29: | it's, it's wonderful what the first streets of the world                     |
| 00:22:29> 00:22:32: | are doing or to see some of these climate data                               |

| 00:22:32> 00:22:34: | analytics shops, you know, build better widgets.                            |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:22:34> 00:22:38: | That you can then link into, you know, investment decisions                 |
| 00:22:38> 00:22:41: | or, you know, specific parcels of property. But you know,                   |
| 00:22:41> 00:22:44: | a lot of the upstream version of this has evolved                           |
| 00:22:44> 00:22:47: | in such a fashion that people can kind of do                                |
| 00:22:47> 00:22:50: | this themselves and build some of their own data products                   |
| 00:22:50> 00:22:54: | which you know, frankly wasn't possible, you know, 1/2<br>decade            |
| 00:22:54> 00:22:55: | or a decade ago.  |
| 00:22:57> 00:23:00: | It is pretty amazing and hopefully the rest of the                          |
| 00:23:00> 00:23:04: | planet will catch up with these free and accessible data                    |
| 00:23:04> 00:23:07: | sets so that we can have tools like risk Factor                             |
| 00:23:07> 00:23:10: | Pro that are built globally and in near future. I'm                         |
| 00:23:10> 00:23:13: | going to use that as a transition question to all                           |
| 00:23:13> 00:23:16: | three of you. So crystal ball and also your own                             |
| 00:23:16> 00:23:19: | hopes, dreams and fears like where do you where do                          |
| 00:23:19> 00:23:23: | you see this client risk analytic market going and where                    |
| 00:23:23> 00:23:26: | does it need to go to solve the problems that                               |
| 00:23:26> 00:23:27: | you guys now are seeing?  |
| 00:23:28> 00:23:31: | Now that you've scratched the surface of climate risk analysis,             |
| 00:23:31> 00:23:33: | I see Emily has unmuted herself. So maybe Emily, if                         |
| 00:23:33> 00:23:35: | you want to weigh in on that first.   |
| 00:23:37> 00:23:40: | So I think that the companies that are offering the                         |
| 00:23:40> 00:23:43: | tools and you know David was mentioning all of the                          |
| 00:23:43> 00:23:46: | free source information that's out there, they're all doing the             |
| 00:23:46> 00:23:48: | right things. I think a lot of it has to                                    |
| 00:23:48> 00:23:51: | do with the users at this point and just getting                            |
| 00:23:51> 00:23:54: | more people educated and aware of what's out there and                      |
| 00:23:54> 00:23:57: | available and actually using that to think through these problems           |
| 00:23:57> 00:24:00: | at hand and make decisions. So that's where I think                         |
| 00:24:00> 00:24:02: | that the biggest opportunity is Max.  |
| 00:24:07> 00:24:10: | David Brittany any thoughts on what the future should look                  |
| 00:24:10> 00:24:11: | like or will look like?   |
| 00:24:12> 00:24:15: | I think, I think Emily is definitely on point there                         |
| 00:24:15> 00:24:19: | with the education. So the climate information, the knowledge has           |
| 00:24:19> 00:24:22: | been out there for decades, right. If you talk to                           |
| 00:24:22> 00:24:25: | a climatologist, a lot of this is old hat. It's                             |
| 00:24:25> 00:24:30: | the field of economics, finance, investment portfolio management that's new |
| 00:24:30> 00:24:33: | at this game. So it's it's on those new participants                        |

| 00:24:33> 00:24:35: | who are coming to the climate.  |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:24:35> 00:24:39: | You know, to educate themselves on, you know, what are                    |
| 00:24:39> 00:24:42: | the real risks? What should I be focused on? You                          |
| 00:24:42> 00:24:45: | know, I would love to see more conversations with people                  |
| 00:24:46> 00:24:49: | in the financial sector or the real estate sector or                      |
| 00:24:49> 00:24:52: | the economics field. You know, interact with folks in the                 |
| 00:24:52> 00:24:56: | climate science or earth science fields. That's kind of some              |
| 00:24:56> 00:24:59: | of our work. I feel like this is a team                                   |
| 00:24:59> 00:25:02: | sport. It's collaborative, and there are a lot of people                  |
| 00:25:02> 00:25:06: | further along on the path than folks like myself. So                      |
| 00:25:06> 00:25:06: | I.  |
| 00:25:06> 00:25:09: | Benefit massively from just having a conversation. I would love           |
| 00:25:09> 00:25:11: | to see, you know, coffee with a climatologist. And if                     |
| 00:25:11> 00:25:14: | there are any climatologists on the call and you're setting               |
| 00:25:14> 00:25:16: | that up, please send me a, you know, an e-mail                            |
| 00:25:16> 00:25:18: | or I'd love to sit on, sit in on that                                     |
| 00:25:18> 00:25:20: | webinar and just absorb and learn.  |
| 00:25:21> 00:25:23: | So that's kind of my take on it. I think                                  |
| 00:25:23> 00:25:25: | it's collaborative. I think it's connecting with people who are           |
| 00:25:26> 00:25:28: | further along on the path and sort of learning quickly                    |
| 00:25:28> 00:25:30: | so that you can better apply some of the off                              |
| 00:25:30> 00:25:32: | the shelf solutions that are being developed.                             |
| 00:25:35> 00:25:38: | Great. I think a challenge too is, you know, addressing                   |
| 00:25:38> 00:25:41: | an elephant in the room, I guess is that a                                |
| 00:25:41> 00:25:45: | lot of climate risk providers don't necessarily have the same             |
| 00:25:45> 00:25:48: | results. And so that is a challenge that we have                          |
| 00:25:49> 00:25:52: | to deal with and really erodes trust across the board.                    |
| 00:25:52> 00:25:56: | I see it with our leadership like they're hesitant to                     |
| 00:25:56> 00:26:01: | move forward with adopting certain climate data providers because there's |
| 00:26:01> 00:26:04: | that question of it's a business, right? So how much                      |
| 00:26:04> 00:26:05: | of this?  |
| 00:26:05> 00:26:09: | Is is accurate, is precise. Why does it differ across                     |
| 00:26:09> 00:26:13: | different providers that that immediately like I said that just           |
| 00:26:13> 00:26:16: | erodes trust. So I think there and and and we                             |
| 00:26:16> 00:26:19: | know that industry is growing ESG is less of a                            |
| 00:26:20> 00:26:22: | check the box but still kind of vote as I                                 |
| 00:26:22> 00:26:27: | noted all those drivers before it's growing and there's just              |
| 00:26:27> 00:26:31: | greater demand and so I think that the technology companies               |
| 00:26:31> 00:26:35: | that climate providers need to to be prepared and and.                    |
| 00:26:35> 00:26:38: | Evolve and adapt, uh, with with the market, right, it's                   |

| 00:26:38> 00:26:42:                        | a fast-paced industry, everyone's waking up at the same time               |
|--|--|
| 00:26:42> 00:26:45:                        | and the tech firms have to be willing to deliver                           |
| 00:26:45> 00:26:49:<br>00:26:49> 00:26:53: | quality data quickly and to tailor their products in the                   |
| 00:26:53> 00:26:56:                        | way that the users actually need it, right? More transparency              |
| 00:26:56> 00:27:00:                        | can't be so closed off on what are the assumptions,                        |
|  | what are the methodologies going into this? Where are you                  |
| 00:27:00> 00:27:03:                        | getting your data? We don't want your own spin on                          |
| 00:27:03> 00:27:05:                        | it. We want the data and so we can make                                    |
| 00:27:05> 00:27:06:                        | it.  |
| 00:27:06> 00:27:09:                        | Informed decision and and so I I think providers really                    |
| 00:27:09> 00:27:12:                        | need to to step up and and understand that's the                           |
| 00:27:12> 00:27:13:                        | game we're playing.  |
| 00:27:15> 00:27:18:                        | If I could add something to what Brittany said really                      |
| 00:27:18> 00:27:21:                        | quick, I think it's also the companies that are on                         |
| 00:27:21> 00:27:25:                        | the receiving end of that data being open minded to                        |
| 00:27:25> 00:27:29:                        | challenging their initial assumptions. So this is something we've been     |
| 00:27:29> 00:27:33:                        | talking about as banks, especially looking at data about where             |
| 00:27:33> 00:27:37:                        | flooding is probable. I think Chicago is probably the a                    |
| 00:27:37> 00:27:40:                        | great example of that. I think most people got reaction                    |
| 00:27:40> 00:27:44:                        | wouldn't be that Chicago is a really risky area, but                       |
| 00:27:44> 00:27:45:                        | then if you ask yourself.  |
| 00:27:45> 00:27:48:                        | So why am I seeing this and dive in deeper,                                |
| 00:27:48> 00:27:51:                        | you start to understand and So what what I've been                         |
| 00:27:51> 00:27:54:                        | working on and what other banks have been working on                       |
| 00:27:54> 00:27:57:                        | is how do we challenge our internal stakeholders to be                     |
| 00:27:57> 00:28:00:                        | open to these new types of information and really think                    |
| 00:28:00> 00:28:03:                        | about the why and challenging that conventional wisdom.                    |
| 00:28:06> 00:28:08:                        | And I'll say that, you know, I'll go back to                               |
| 00:28:08> 00:28:10:                        | the connecting with the experts outside of, you know, the                  |
| 00:28:10> 00:28:12:                        | field of investment or banking I think that.                               |
| 00:28:13> 00:28:16:                        | In my experience you know, working with folks and some                     |
| 00:28:16> 00:28:19:                        | of the government agencies that deal on this on on                         |
| 00:28:19> 00:28:22:                        | the day-to-day, they're, they're extraordinarily open and very helpful. If |
| 00:28:22> 00:28:25:                        | you have some questions and you can get to the                             |
| 00:28:25> 00:28:28:                        | right expert which can be a challenge admittedly. But once                 |
| 00:28:28> 00:28:31:                        | you get there they're, they're really happy to have their                  |
| 00:28:31> 00:28:33:                        | work count and sort of see people in the real                              |
| 00:28:33> 00:28:36:                        | world making good decisions or better decisions off of the                 |
| 00:28:36> 00:28:39:                        | information that they're providing. And that can be a good                 |
| 00:28:39> 00:28:41:                        | counterpoint or sort of a you know.  |
|  |  |

| 00:28:41> 00:28:44:       Second sort of focal point to sort of ground truth         00:28:44> 00:28:46:       some of the information that you know there is a         00:28:46> 00:28:51:       some of these data products that are being.         00:28:56> 00:28:59:       All right. So I'd like to move to some audience         00:28:05> 00:29:05:       questions now. One of the first ones that I saw         00:29:02> 00:29:01:       come in was what other tools are out there and         00:29:03> 00:29:01:       for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd         00:29:16> 00:29:11:       were approached by a member leader and 1st St.         Foundation       at a really exciting time in their development where they         00:29:21: -> 00:29:22:       had all of the analytics built out, but they had         00:29:23> 00:29:23:       rot.         reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.         00:29:23> 00:29:33:       rot.         reports or some of the key to be hearing from our Members to         00:29:30> 00:29:34:       boi they on they they bape what the tool looked like and what         00:29:31> 00:29:35:       So it was a chance for you alive members to         00:29:32> 00:29:48:       Did not feel that all of the reports they were         00:29:42> 00:29:48:       Did not feel that all of these tools are <t< th=""><th>00:28:41&gt; 00:28:44:</th><th>Second part of feed point to part of ground truth</th></t<>   | 00:28:41> 00:28:44: | Second part of feed point to part of ground truth             |
|--|---------------------|---|
| 00:28:46> 00:28:49sort of buyer be aware that dynamic and you know00:28:49> 00:28:51some kick the tires that you have to do with00:28:51> 00:28:53some of these data products that are being,00:28:56> 00:29:05All right. So I'd like to move to some audience00:29:02> 00:29:05questions now. One of the first ones that I saw00:29:02> 00:29:05for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:23> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26> 00:29:26:hoad all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:27:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:27:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:26> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:37> 00:29:39:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:37> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:42:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:43> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:48> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:54:was ome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:02> 00:30:05:wascome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:02> 00:30:16:will  |                     |   |
| 00:28:49> 00:28:51some kick the tires that you have to do with00:28:51> 00:28:53some of these data products that are being.00:28:56> 00:29:02:questions now. One of the first ones that I saw00:29:02> 00:29:05:come in was what other tools are out there and00:29:05> 00:29:01:justinke to start from the UI perspectively pick first St. tool00:29:05> 00:29:02:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:03> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:17> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation00:29:26> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:33> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:34:so that are working with the tool looked like and what00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:42> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:56> 00:29:56:getting from all of the set stakeholders and make informed00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:56> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:02> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:29:56> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:02> 00:30:01:will tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:   |                     |   |
| 00:28:51 -> 00:28:53some of these data products that are being.00:28:56 -> 00:28:59All right. So I'd like to move to some audience00:28:59 -> 00:29:02:questions now. One of the first ones that I saw00:29:02 -> 00:29:05:come in was what other tools are out there and00:29:05 -> 00:29:01:how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool00:29:16 -> 00:29:11:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:16 -> 00:29:13:were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation00:29:19 -> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26 -> 00:29:26:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26 -> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30 -> 00:29:31:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33 -> 00:29:33:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:42: -> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42: -> 00:29:45:bid not field that all of the reports they were00:29:54: -> 00:29:54:bid not field that all of these tools are00:29:54: -> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02:55: -> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02:55: -> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:29:56: -> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:02:-> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:03: -> 00:30:01:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a <th></th> <th></th>  |                     |   |
| 00:28:56> 00:28:59All right. So I'd like to move to some audience00:28:59> 00:29:02:questions now. One of the first ones that I saw00:29:05> 00:29:05:come in was what other tools are out there and00:29:05> 00:29:01:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13> 00:29:12:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:14> 00:29:13:were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation00:29:19> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:31:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:30> 00:29:35:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:30> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:31> 00:29:43:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:44> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:45> 00:29:59:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:54> 00:29:55:need to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:55> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL 100:30:02> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:03> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:17:Ve're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:17>  |                     | -   |
| $00:28:59 \rightarrow 00:29:02:$ questions now. One of the first ones that I saw $00:29:02 \rightarrow 00:29:05:$ come in was what other tools are out there and $00:29:05 \rightarrow 00:29:09:$ how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool $00:29:09 \rightarrow 00:29:12:$ for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd $00:29:13 \rightarrow 00:29:16:$ just like to start from the UI perspective. We we $00:29:16 \rightarrow 00:29:12:$ were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation $00:29:23 \rightarrow 00:29:26:$ had all of the analytics built out, but they had $00:29:26 \rightarrow 00:29:26:$ not. $00:29:30 \rightarrow 00:29:30:$ Figured out how they were going to develop property level $00:29:33 \rightarrow 00:29:33:$ so it was a chance for you alive members to $00:29:34 \rightarrow 00:29:42:$ the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members $00:29:45 \rightarrow 00:29:45:$ that one of their main concerns was that they. $00:29:45 \rightarrow 00:29:45:$ Did not feel that all of the reports they were $00:29:46 \rightarrow 00:29:52:$ getting from all other providers were giving them what they $00:29:47 \rightarrow 00:29:45:$ Did not feel that all of these tools are $00:29:48 \rightarrow 00:29:52:$ that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I $00:29:56 \rightarrow 00:30:05:$ that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I $00:30:05 \rightarrow 00:30:01:$ will add that we think all of these tools are $00:30:09 \rightarrow 00:30:12:$ We're going to work to make our Members aware of $00:30:09 \rightarrow 00:30:14:$ all the tools that we know about. I think that $00:30:09 \rightarrow 00:30:14:$ all the tools will here, including free tools from people <th></th> <th></th> |                     |   |
| 00:29:02 -> 00:29:05:come in was what other tools are out there and<br>how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool00:29:05 -> 00:29:12:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13 -> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:16 -> 00:29:17:were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation00:29:19 -> 00:29:26:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26 -> 00:29:26:not.00:29:30 -> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:31 -> 00:29:32:so it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:32 -> 00:29:33:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:34 -> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:44 -> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:52 -> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:54 -> 00:29:52:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:55 -> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders are00:30:02 -> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:09 -> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:01 -> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:02 -> 00:30:15:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:02 -> 00:30:14:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:16 -> 00:30:17:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:17 -   |                     | 5   |
| 00:29:05> 00:29:09:how did you individually and collectively pick first St. tool00:29:09> 00:29:12:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:16> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation00:29:23> 00:29:26:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26> 00:29:30:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:37> 00:29:38:so it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:38> 00:29:38:so it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:42> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:43:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:52> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:54> 00:29:55:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:55> 00:30:05:were apong to work to make our Members aware of00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:09> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:17:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:17> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:17> 00:30:21:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:19> 00:30:32:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:30>  |                     | •   |
| 00:29:09> 00:29:12:for those that are working with First St. Foundation? I'd00:29:13> 00:29:16:just like to start from the UI perspective. We we00:29:16> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation00:29:19> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:26> 00:29:30:hod all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:26> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:37> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:44> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:56> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:07> 00:30:16:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:17> 00:30:18:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:27:Ike Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:19> 00:30:27:Ike Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:17> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:-> 00:30:34:<   |                     |   |
| 00:29:13 -> 00:29:16:just like to start from the U perspective. We we00:29:16 -> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation00:29:19 -> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:24 -> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26 -> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30 -> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:31 -> 00:29:32:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:32 -> 00:29:32:so it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:33 -> 00:29:34:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42 -> 00:29:42:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:43 -> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:44 -> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of these tools are00:29:52 -> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:54 -> 00:29:55:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:55 -> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:02 -> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:03:02 -> 00:30:12:Ve're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:11 -> 00:30:12:Ve're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12 -> 00:30:12:Ve're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:13 -> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:19 -> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19  |                     |   |
| 00:29:16> 00:29:19:were approached by a member leader and 1st St.<br>Foundation00:29:19> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they<br>had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:24> 00:29:26:not.00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level<br>oreports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:30> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:42> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:45> 00:29:45:bid not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:45> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:54> 00:29:55:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:02:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:11> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then0  |                     | C C   |
| Foundation00:29:19> 00:29:23:at a really exciting time in their development where they00:29:23> 00:29:26:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:35:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:37> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:54> 00:29:56:petiting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL 100:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL 100:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:01: -> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:21:Idv fools out there, including free tools from people00:30:19> 00:30:30:Where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and the  |                     |   |
| 00:29:23> 00:29:26:had all of the analytics built out, but they had00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:35:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:35> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:45:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:54> 00:29:55:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:03> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:12> 00:30:01:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:27:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:where you can work with a softwa   | 00:29:16> 00:29:19: |   |
| 00:29:26> 00:29:36:not.00:29:30> 00:29:33:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:33> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:35> 00:29:36:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:37> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:45> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:54> 00:29:56:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:56> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:01:will add that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:11:Our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:16> 00:30:12:Id of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:17> 00:30:22:Id of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:19> 00:30:22:Id of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineeri  | 00:29:19> 00:29:23: | at a really exciting time in their development where they     |
| 00:29:26> 00:29:30:Figured out how they were going to develop property level00:29:30> 00:29:33:reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.00:29:33> 00:29:36:So it was a chance for you alive members to00:29:35> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:45> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:01:will regoing to work to make our Members aware of00:30:16> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:16> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:22:Iot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:32:Iot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:30> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisi   | 00:29:23> 00:29:26: | had all of the analytics built out, but they had              |
| <ul> <li>00:29:30&gt; 00:29:33: reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.</li> <li>00:29:33&gt; 00:29:35: So it was a chance for you alive members to</li> <li>00:29:35&gt; 00:29:38: actually help shape what the tool looked like and what</li> <li>00:29:39&gt; 00:29:42: the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members</li> <li>00:29:42&gt; 00:29:45: that one of their main concerns was that they.</li> <li>00:29:45&gt; 00:29:48: Did not feel that all of the reports they were</li> <li>00:29:52&gt; 00:29:52: getting from all other providers were giving them what they</li> <li>00:29:52&gt; 00:29:56: needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed decisions. So</li> <li>00:29:56&gt; 00:29:59: that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I</li> <li>00:29:59&gt; 00:30:01: will add that we think all of these tools are</li> <li>00:30:02&gt; 00:30:05: awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable</li> <li>00:30:09&gt; 00:30:12: We're going to work to make our Members aware of</li> <li>00:30:12&gt; 00:30:14: all the tools that we know about. I think that</li> <li>00:30:15&gt; 00:30:19: 28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a</li> <li>00:30:19&gt; 00:30:27: like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics</li> <li>00:30:27&gt; 00:30:30: where you can work with a software provider and then</li> <li>00:30:30&gt; 00:30:34: bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help</li> <li>00:30:34&gt; 00:30:38: you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on</li> </ul>  | 00:29:26> 00:29:26: | not.  |
| 00:29:33> 00:29:35:So it was a chance for you alive members to<br>actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:35> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:45> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:56> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:09> 00:30:01:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:12> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:13> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:27> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:29:26> 00:29:30: | Figured out how they were going to develop property level     |
| 00:29:35> 00:29:38:actually help shape what the tool looked like and what00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:12> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:21> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:29:30> 00:29:33: | reports or some of the key components of the dashboard.       |
| 00:29:39> 00:29:42:the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:11> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:15:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:Iot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:21> 00:30:21:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:22> 00:30:32:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:27> 00:30:33:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:29:33> 00:29:35: | So it was a chance for you alive members to                   |
| 00:29:42> 00:29:45:that one of their main concerns was that they.00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:03> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:13> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:23:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34> 00:30:34:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:29:35> 00:29:38: | actually help shape what the tool looked like and what        |
| 00:29:45> 00:29:48:Did not feel that all of the reports they were00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:03> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:19> 00:30:11:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:22> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:29:39> 00:29:42: | the outputs were. And we've been hearing from our Members     |
| 00:29:48> 00:29:52:getting from all other providers were giving them what they<br>needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UL I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:19> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:21> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on   | 00:29:42> 00:29:45: | that one of their main concerns was that they.                |
| 00:29:52> 00:29:56:needed to engage their stakeholders and make informed<br>decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:12> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34> 00:30:34:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on   | 00:29:45> 00:29:48: | Did not feel that all of the reports they were                |
| decisions. So00:29:56> 00:29:59:that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:29:48> 00:29:52: | getting from all other providers were giving them what they   |
| 00:29:59> 00:30:01:will add that we think all of these tools are00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:30> 00:30:34:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on   | 00:29:52> 00:29:56: |   |
| 00:30:02> 00:30:05:awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of<br>all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a<br>lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:29:56> 00:29:59: | that's that's why we picked the partnership at UI. I          |
| 00:30:05> 00:30:09:for different people depending on what they're trying to<br>understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of<br>all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:21> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:29:59> 00:30:01: | will add that we think all of these tools are                 |
| understand.00:30:09> 00:30:12:We're going to work to make our Members aware of00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:30:02> 00:30:05: | awesome and different tools will be more or less valuable     |
| 00:30:12> 00:30:14:all the tools that we know about. I think that00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:30:05> 00:30:09: |   |
| 00:30:15> 00:30:16:our list is like.00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on   | 00:30:09> 00:30:12: | We're going to work to make our Members aware of              |
| 00:30:16> 00:30:19:28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on   | 00:30:12> 00:30:14: | all the tools that we know about. I think that                |
| 00:30:19> 00:30:22:lot of tools out there, including free tools from people00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on   | 00:30:15> 00:30:16: | our list is like.   |
| 00:30:22> 00:30:27:like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated<br>analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:30:16> 00:30:19: | 28 and growing. Rightly so. It's there. There are a           |
| analytics00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on   | 00:30:19> 00:30:22: | lot of tools out there, including free tools from people      |
| 00:30:27> 00:30:30:where you can work with a software provider and then00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:30:22> 00:30:27: | like Noah's digital coast, as well as more sophisticated      |
| 00:30:30> 00:30:34:bring in a sophisticated architecture and engineering firm to<br>help00:30:34> 00:30:38:you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on   |                     | -   |
| help<br>00:30:34> 00:30:38: you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  |                     |   |
| <b>00:30:34&gt; 00:30:38:</b> you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on  | 00:30:30> 00:30:34: |   |
|  | 00:30:34> 00:30:38: | you make structural decisions and retrofit decisions based on |

| 00:30:38> 00:30:40:                        | the elimete data is telling you  |
|--|--|
| 00:30:38> 00:30:40:                        | the climate data is telling you.<br>Anybody else want to share either some other tools that                      |
| 00:30:44> 00:30:46:                        | they know of in the market or a little bit   |
| 00:30:47> 00:30:49:                        | on how they decided to work with risk factor Pro   |
| 00:30:47> 00:30:49.<br>00:30:49> 00:30:51: | among their other tools, Emily?  |
| 00:30:52> 00:30:56:                        |  |
| 00:30:56> 00:30:59:                        | Yeah. So I think that originally the relationship with with first rate and risk factor came out of some interest |
|  |  |
| 00:30:59> 00:31:02:                        | from one of our board members and then some experience   |
| 00:31:02> 00:31:06:                        | from like our Geospatial Sciences team and they ran a  |
| 00:31:06> 00:31:09:                        | pilot using that information. And then you know the pilot  |
| 00:31:09> 00:31:12:                        | was really interesting. The data was easy to work with   |
| 00:31:12> 00:31:15:                        | for sure. It was easy to work with. And so   |
| 00:31:15> 00:31:18:                        | we continue to expand that other data providers that I've  |
| 00:31:18> 00:31:22:                        | heard of that seem really interesting and very credible I  |
| 00:31:22> 00:31:22:                        | would say.   |
| 00:31:22> 00:31:26:                        | Jupiter is another one very much focused on the physical   |
| 00:31:26> 00:31:29:                        | space. I think would have you know very similar types  |
| 00:31:29> 00:31:31:                        | of of data sets. We have a separate vendor on  |
| 00:31:32> 00:31:34:                        | the transition risk side and I will I will share   |
| 00:31:34> 00:31:37:                        | them just because they are about to expand I believe   |
| 00:31:37> 00:31:41:                        | into CRE and transition risk which may be very interesting   |
| 00:31:41> 00:31:44:                        | for those on this call and that's a company called   |
| 00:31:44> 00:31:46:                        | Oak N so it sounds like you know not just  |
| 00:31:46> 00:31:49:                        | as N but I think others too are also starting  |
| 00:31:49> 00:31:51:                        | to build out those CRE transition tools.   |
| 00:31:54> 00:31:55:                        | Great.   |
| 00:31:56> 00:31:59:                        | I would add Billy there LaSalle put out a report   |
| 00:31:59> 00:32:03:                        | and UL I right I believe that evaluated climate risk   |
| 00:32:03> 00:32:06:                        | providers and more so how you go about evaluating climate  |
| 00:32:07> 00:32:10:                        | risk providers and the questions to ask. So I would  |
| 00:32:10> 00:32:13:                        | I think we could link that send it in the  |
| 00:32:13> 00:32:16:                        | the Q&A or the chat and that might I think   |
| 00:32:16> 00:32:19:                        | is a good a good tool to start with. There   |
| 00:32:19> 00:32:21:                        | are so many out there and it you can it  |
| 00:32:21> 00:32:24:                        | can feel crazy like which one do you go with   |
| 00:32:24> 00:32:26:                        | we've changed ours.  |
| 00:32:26> 00:32:29:                        | So I think that's also recognizing when one is just  |
| 00:32:29> 00:32:32:                        | not serving they've served a need and maybe now you  |
| 00:32:32> 00:32:35:                        | have a new need and so you need to evolve  |
| 00:32:35> 00:32:38:                        | and and switch providers and I think that's a healthy  |
| 00:32:38> 00:32:42:                        | thing to evaluate. So keeping that and and I would   |
| 00:32:42> 00:32:45:                        | say that there's actually kind of two ways to to   |
|  | -  |

| 00:32:45> 00:32:47: | look at it. There's data and and and more of                     |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:32:47> 00:32:51: | that regional sense that I think drives. I was saying            |
| 00:32:51> 00:32:52: | this before some of those.                                       |
| 00:32:53> 00:32:56: | Strategic direction that we might want to go as a                |
| 00:32:56> 00:32:59: | regional what, what might be the risks, where do we              |
| 00:32:59> 00:33:02: | think there are these market level shifts and how we             |
| 00:33:02> 00:33:05: | might adjust our investment strategy at a higher level. And      |
| 00:33:06> 00:33:09: | then there's needing to know specifically what is the building's |
| 00:33:09> 00:33:12: | probability of getting hit with with a hazard and to             |
| 00:33:12> 00:33:15: | what extent is that. And so those are two different              |
| 00:33:15> 00:33:19: | stakeholders, right. One might be the portfolio manager and the  |
| 00:33:19> 00:33:22: | the leadership of the business, but when I'm talking to          |
| 00:33:22> 00:33:24: | a transaction officer like.                                      |
| 00:33:24> 00:33:27: | We're not going to be talking about lofty market decisions.      |
| 00:33:28> 00:33:30: | They need to know on this deal what is the                       |
| 00:33:30> 00:33:33: | risk and how do they underwrite it. And so I                     |
| 00:33:33> 00:33:36: | that's where risk factor I think really filled a gap             |
| 00:33:36> 00:33:39: | and a need that was so desperately needed and missing            |
| 00:33:40> 00:33:43: | of what is that probability and what is the extent               |
| 00:33:43> 00:33:46: | of that damage or hazard or risk. And then that                  |
| 00:33:46> 00:33:48: | is something that has a number and can bring it                  |
| 00:33:49> 00:33:52: | to a transaction officer in the way that they want               |
| 00:33:52> 00:33:54: | to see it and can do something with that.                        |
| 00:33:54> 00:33:58: | Information. So it's also thinking, pulling it apart a little    |
| 00:33:58> 00:34:00: | bit that there's different ways you want to use it,              |
| 00:34:00> 00:34:04: | climate data and and different stakeholder groups to to work     |
| 00:34:04> 00:34:04: | with.  |
| 00:34:05> 00:34:08: | I know these are tough questions, but there are a                |
| 00:34:08> 00:34:13: | couple rolling in for Brittany really quickly. Could you share   |
| 00:34:13> 00:34:16: | whether your analysis is leading you to stay away from           |
| 00:34:16> 00:34:20: | a specific asset or specific region or is it more                |
| 00:34:20> 00:34:21: | nuanced than that?   |
| 00:34:21> 00:34:25: | It's definitely more nuanced. We pretty much have, you know      |
| 00:34:25> 00:34:28: | our MO is no, we're not redlining, that's not not                |
| 00:34:28> 00:34:33: | smart business strategy, it's and again that's why risk factor   |
| 00:34:33> 00:34:36: | has been great because if you just looked at a                   |
| 00:34:36> 00:34:37: | regional analysis.   |
| 00:34:37> 00:34:40: | You said this area is that increasing risk of flood,             |
| 00:34:40> 00:34:44: | great. But there are pockets within there that aren't and        |
| 00:34:44> 00:34:48: | have inherently more resilient areas or there's things you could |

| 00:34:48> 00:34:50: | do and you have to look at market signals and                          |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:34:50> 00:34:53: | the rental growth is there in Phoenix despite the the                  |
| 00:34:53> 00:34:57: | water stress and the heat stress and the rental growth                 |
| 00:34:57> 00:35:00: | is there in Miami despite the flood risk. So we're                     |
| 00:35:00> 00:35:03: | not necessarily going to just up and pull out, but                     |
| 00:35:03> 00:35:06: | let's think about how we can have a more resilient                     |
| 00:35:06> 00:35:07: | approach and strategy.   |
| 00:35:07> 00:35:10: | But it is about keeping a pulse on that and                            |
| 00:35:10> 00:35:14: | thinking what could be those secondary or tertiary markets that        |
| 00:35:14> 00:35:16: | we want to move into. And and again that's where                       |
| 00:35:17> 00:35:20: | that long term strategy comes in simultaneous to what could            |
| 00:35:20> 00:35:23: | we do immediately with the assets that we already own                  |
| 00:35:23> 00:35:26: | or the ones that you know are are in regions                           |
| 00:35:26> 00:35:29: | that are still hot markets. So it's definitely nuanced.                |
| 00:35:30> 00:35:34: | Thanks. There's a question about downside risks, and I think           |
| 00:35:34> 00:35:37: | this is a good broad question for everybody. Do you                    |
| 00:35:38> 00:35:42: | feel, based on your scope of investment analysis or economic           |
| 00:35:42> 00:35:46: | analysis, that the downside risk is quantifiable, that it's fully      |
| 00:35:46> 00:35:49: | quantified and that it's being priced in yet or are                    |
| 00:35:50> 00:35:54: | there still some significant market failures that are holding that     |
| 00:35:54> 00:35:54: | back?  |
| 00:35:56> 00:35:59: | I can speak to that one, Billy, not so much                            |
| 00:35:59> 00:36:02: | on whether or not it's priced in exactly. I think                      |
| 00:36:02> 00:36:04: | that's a that's a bit of a moving target. But                          |
| 00:36:04> 00:36:07: | from the work that we did on sea level rise                            |
| 00:36:07> 00:36:10: | last year, there is asymmetry of risk when you look                    |
| 00:36:10> 00:36:13: | at the full spectrum of uncertainty, let's say within a                |
| 00:36:13> 00:36:16: | specific climate scenario. So if you look at the sea                   |
| 00:36:16> 00:36:19: | level rise trajectories and you look at the 10 to                      |
| 00:36:19> 00:36:23: | 90th percentile within those trajectories and you do the adding        |
| 00:36:23> 00:36:25: | up by a metro, what we found in our analysis                           |
| 00:36:25> 00:36:26: | is that.   |
| 00:36:26> 00:36:29: | There is an asymmetry of risk to the downside and                      |
| 00:36:29> 00:36:32: | certain low lying coastal markets and then it is difficult             |
| 00:36:33> 00:36:36: | to assess whether or not that's fully priced in because                |
| 00:36:36> 00:36:38: | that is based off of the type of work that                             |
| 00:36:38> 00:36:43: | Brittany and Emily are doing on investor preferences. What information |
| 00:36:43> 00:36:46: | are they bringing on board? Is everybody bringing on board             |

| 00:36:46> 00:36:49:<br>00:36:49> 00:36:52:<br>00:36:52> 00:36:55:<br>00:36:55> 00:36:56:<br>00:36:57> 00:37:01:<br>00:37:01> 00:37:04:<br>00:37:04> 00:37:07: | the same information and reading at the same way when<br>they make decisions? So I think there's a lot of<br>work yet to be done on whether or not you<br>can say it's fully priced.<br>But the uncertainty, I think is a big, big piece<br>that is probably not fully understood yet and is a<br>real challenge for kind of anyone doing sort of the |
|---|---|
| 00:37:08> 00:37:11:   | more difficult work on climate analytics and climate risk.  |
| 00:37:16> 00:37:18:   | So what do you think, Brittany, Emily, from where you   |
| 00:37:18> 00:37:21:   | sit, how how is it going in terms of being  |
| 00:37:21> 00:37:23:   | able to quantify these these risks at this point?   |
| 00:37:26> 00:37:29:   | Yeah, I would I would echo what what David saying   |
| 00:37:29> 00:37:32:   | about the quantification piece and again this is something we   |
| 00:37:32> 00:37:36:   | were talking about as as banks you know most immediately  |
| 00:37:36> 00:37:39:   | we're focused on that direct damage to physical assets or   |
| 00:37:39> 00:37:42:   | damage to our clients that would result in potential credit   |
| 00:37:42> 00:37:46:   | losses and things like that. It it's especially difficult and   |
| 00:37:46> 00:37:49:   | really compounds the problem when you start to add in   |
| 00:37:49> 00:37:52:   | those economic factors you know we know that they exist   |
| 00:37:52> 00:37:56:   | but incorporating them is is really difficult at this point.  |
| 00:37:56> 00:37:56:   | So I don't.   |
| 00:37:56> 00:37:58:   | I don't think it's there yet.   |
| 00:37:59> 00:38:02:   | Yeah. And for us, it's still very much is a   |
| 00:38:02> 00:38:05:   | downside as opposed to base case. I think that's actually   |
| 00:38:05> 00:38:08:   | something that we want to shift shift especially where we   |
| 00:38:09> 00:38:12:   | are getting those probabilities. If something has a 90% chance  |
| 00:38:12> 00:38:15:   | of occurring, it really ought to be the base case,  |
| 00:38:15> 00:38:19:   | not a downside scenario. So we're working with our research   |
| 00:38:19> 00:38:22:   | team to think about how do we adjust our underwriting,  |
| 00:38:22> 00:38:26:   | our standard underwriting assumptions to actually say, well, which threshold  |
| 00:38:26> 00:38:29:   | should actually just be a base case, but for now,   |
| 00:38:29> 00:38:30:   | right now.  |
| 00:38:30> 00:38:34:   | Most of it is just running that downside. Assume increases  |
| 00:38:34> 00:38:38:   | in insurance, assume that an expanded cap rate, assume you  |
| 00:38:38> 00:38:41:   | know and what would that do to the financials so  |
| 00:38:41> 00:38:45:   | that the the portfolio manager has a full picture. I  |
| 00:38:45> 00:38:48:   | think how the markets pricing it in. I addressed a  |
| 00:38:48> 00:38:51:   | lot before that people are still living there. I mean   |
| 00:38:52> 00:38:54:   | it's kind of our own fault if if we still   |
| 00:38:54> 00:38:58:   | want to move there then that's where business is going  |
|   |   |

| 00:38:58> 00:39:00: | to go but and it does certainly.                                 |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:39:00> 00:39:03: | Harry and but the scary part is not knowing when                 |
| 00:39:03> 00:39:06: | that market could flip and it could take a very                  |
| 00:39:06> 00:39:09: | aggressive flip and you'd want to be on the winning              |
| 00:39:09> 00:39:11: | side of that equation and who has a crystal ball                 |
| 00:39:12> 00:39:14: | for that. So trying to figure out what are those                 |
| 00:39:14> 00:39:17: | market signals. If you look at risks, risk factors data          |
| 00:39:18> 00:39:20: | is now in Redfin and realtor.com. So now you can                 |
| 00:39:20> 00:39:23: | say OK the the layman person is now understanding their          |
| 00:39:24> 00:39:26: | risk to their homes. Maybe that is going to start                |
| 00:39:26> 00:39:29: | shifting people to say wait I don't want to live                 |
| 00:39:29> 00:39:31: | in a home that has a risk factor.                                |
| 00:39:31> 00:39:34: | For a flood factor score of seven and there are                  |
| 00:39:34> 00:39:37: | communities that towns are buying them out because there's       |
|                     | just   |
| 00:39:37> 00:39:40: | too much risk and it doesn't make sense to ensure                |
| 00:39:40> 00:39:43: | them anymore. So I know there's some in Long Island,             |
| 00:39:43> 00:39:45: | there's you know a few of them around the US                     |
| 00:39:46> 00:39:49: | that towns are actually local governments have to say we'll      |
| 00:39:49> 00:39:51: | buy you out of this town and and otherwise I                     |
| 00:39:51> 00:39:54: | mean if you stay you pretty much accept obsolescence or          |
| 00:39:54> 00:39:57: | a complete value loss of of your property. So it                 |
| 00:39:57> 00:39:59: | is changing in some places.                                      |
| 00:39:59> 00:40:03: | Yeah. The realtor.com example is, is actually a great example    |
| 00:40:03> 00:40:06: | for this question that just came in. You know, with              |
| 00:40:06> 00:40:09: | realtor.org, all the data is there and it's in a                 |
| 00:40:09> 00:40:13: | very understandable way. But the question is whether the realtor |
| 00:40:13> 00:40:17: | is actually educating people on how to make decisions based      |
| 00:40:17> 00:40:20: | on that data. There's a question, it's probably mainly for       |
| 00:40:20> 00:40:24: | Emily and Brittany, but you do this climate risk analysis        |
| 00:40:24> 00:40:27: | and then you have to give your investment team some              |
| 00:40:27> 00:40:29: | guidance. Are you just giving them the data?                     |
| 00:40:30> 00:40:32: | Are you telling them what you think they should do,              |
| 00:40:32> 00:40:35: | or are you helping them figure out how to price                  |
| 00:40:35> 00:40:37: | it? How does that? How does that interaction work between        |
| 00:40:37> 00:40:41: | the climate risk analysis and the investment decisions based     |
| 00.40.44 > 00.40.44 | on<br>Ho   |
| 00:40:41> 00:40:41: | it?<br>Nach wa wa maka it a callaborativa effort. I maan         |
| 00:40:42> 00:40:45: | Yeah, we we make it a collaborative effort. I mean               |
| 00:40:45> 00:40:47: | if we just came forward and said do this, it                     |
| 00:40:47> 00:40:51: | would be immediately shut down. And quite honestly that's        |

|                     | why   |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:40:51> 00:40:54: | the whole concept of delivering a simple value at risk              |
| 00:40:54> 00:40:57: | metric doesn't really work for a lot of investment firms            |
| 00:40:57> 00:41:00: | because everybody wants to know well what are you assuming          |
| 00:41:00> 00:41:04: | behind that and we may disagree with those assumptions. So          |
| 00:41:04> 00:41:06: | it but it also is not just giving the data,                         |
| 00:41:06> 00:41:09: | it's working together to figure out what kind of guidance           |
| 00:41:09> 00:41:12: | makes sense. So we are saying those types of things.                |
| 00:41:12> 00:41:16: | You mentioned before that, OK, if you exceed this threshold,        |
| 00:41:16> 00:41:20: | here's the data. If you exceed this threshold that we               |
| 00:41:20> 00:41:24: | said internally, then you need to assume the insurance double       |
| 00:41:24> 00:41:27: | s over the whole period or assume A2 per 200%                       |
| 00:41:27> 00:41:30: | increase in water costs because of the the water stress             |
| 00:41:30> 00:41:33: | for that region. So we do work together to actually                 |
| 00:41:33> 00:41:37: | give informed recommendations on what to do, but there is           |
| 00:41:37> 00:41:41: | autonomy for the investment teams to to ultimately make the         |
| 00:41:41> 00:41:42: | call themselves of.   |
| 00:41:42> 00:41:45: | How severe they want to tweak the financials or if                  |
| 00:41:45> 00:41:47: | they don't believe in the risk and and not tweak                    |
| 00:41:47> 00:41:49: | it, that's really their call.                                       |
| 00:41:52> 00:41:55: | For us, we've been really focused on consumer mortgage.<br>You      |
| 00:41:55> 00:41:58: | know, before diving into commercial real estate or any of           |
| 00:41:58> 00:42:02: | our own properties or third parties, our mortgage business, they're |
| 00:42:02> 00:42:06: | aware of climate risk. They were already having some conversations  |
| 00:42:06> 00:42:09: | with some of their other third parties. Our risk management         |
| 00:42:09> 00:42:12: | team is really the one that that sat down with                      |
| 00:42:12> 00:42:16: | our Geospatial Sciences team and analyzed the first straight data.  |
| 00:42:16> 00:42:19: | Where we are right now is identification of the risk.               |
| 00:42:19> 00:42:22: | And so I mentioned Chicago earlier and there's a couple             |
| 00:42:22> 00:42:23: | other areas like that.  |
| 00:42:23> 00:42:26: | Where the risk is different than what you may conventionally        |
| 00:42:26> 00:42:30: | think of, especially if you're looking at FEMA maps and             |
| 00:42:30> 00:42:32: | things like that. So our stuff was to provide that                  |
| 00:42:32> 00:42:36: | information to our mortgage business. The trick with the consumer   |
| 00:42:36> 00:42:39: | side and I'm sure some of you on the call                           |
| 00:42:39> 00:42:41: | work with consumer, you have to be very careful not                 |
| 00:42:42> 00:42:45: | to adversely impact that customer, you know, just because           |

|                     | that's   |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:42:45> 00:42:48: | the right thing to do. But also from a compliance                              |
| 00:42:48> 00:42:51: | perspective, there are a lot of a lot of regulations                           |
| 00:42:51> 00:42:53: | and things in that space and so.   |
| 00:42:53> 00:42:56: | There's not a change to lending decisions and processes and                    |
| 00:42:56> 00:43:00: | practices at this point. It's more about that understanding. I                 |
| 00:43:00> 00:43:03: | think from a banking industry, the things that we think                        |
| 00:43:03> 00:43:06: | are key are the education like that linking in Redfin.                         |
| 00:43:07> 00:43:10: | There is research that indicates that that leads to.                           |
| 00:43:10> 00:43:14: | Customers more likely buying voluntary insurance if they're not in             |
| 00:43:14> 00:43:17: | a flood zone and then you know just continuing to                              |
| 00:43:17> 00:43:20: | to educate I think is really that that important piece                         |
| 00:43:20> 00:43:23: | where we think that the changes are probably most immediately                  |
| 00:43:23> 00:43:26: | going to happen for us. It's probably going to be                              |
| 00:43:26> 00:43:29: | more so in management of our own real estate. But                              |
| 00:43:29> 00:43:32: | again it's probably going to be more about the you                             |
| 00:43:32> 00:43:34: | know due by this building or do we buy the                                     |
| 00:43:34> 00:43:37: | one next door. What types of controls do we put                                |
| 00:43:37> 00:43:40: | in place, are there physical mitigants that we put in                          |
| 00:43:40> 00:43:40: | place to.  |
| 00:43:40> 00:43:43: | Better control. The risk of that building is probably where                    |
| 00:43:43> 00:43:44: | it's going to start for us.  |
| 00:43:47> 00:43:48: | So shifting gears a little bit.  |
| 00:43:50> 00:43:53: | David, all of us work directly in the real estate                              |
| 00:43:53> 00:43:57: | industry all the time. You're looking across dozens of different               |
| 00:43:57> 00:44:00: | industries. There was a question about who might be missing                    |
| 00:44:00> 00:44:03: | from the conversation that we'd like to see. In that                           |
| 00:44:03> 00:44:08: | conversation, the suggestion was maybe medical or homeowner insurance entities |
| 00:44:08> 00:44:10: | are. Are there folks that you see in this sort                                 |
| 00:44:10> 00:44:14: | of real estate and real estate adjacent ecosystem that should                  |
| 00:44:14> 00:44:17: | be part of this conversation on climate risk analysis?                         |
| 00:44:18> 00:44:20: | Yeah, I mean I think Brittany and Emily touched on                             |
| 00:44:20> 00:44:23: | it. Uh, the link between a long lived asset called                             |
| 00:44:23> 00:44:25: | a mortgage and a building and sort of the insurance                            |
| 00:44:25> 00:44:28: | industry, I think that's kind of where the rubber hits                         |
| 00:44:28> 00:44:28: | the road it's.   |
| 00:44:29> 00:44:31: | It's great for me to hear that some of those                                   |
| 00:44:31> 00:44:34: | adjustments are already taking place or some of those decisions                |

| 00:44:34> 00:44:37: | are already sort of happening on the investment side. For             |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:44:37> 00:44:39: | me, when I think about this from sort of a                            |
| 00:44:39> 00:44:43: | meta perspective, the fact that you're having those                   |
|                     | conversations, people   |
| 00:44:43> 00:44:46: | are taking that information on board and then making decisions        |
| 00:44:46> 00:44:49: | about it actually creates a less risky world potentially in           |
| 00:44:49> 00:44:49: | the future.   |
| 00:44:50> 00:44:53: | Um, so certainly insurance, we think about climate risk more          |
| 00:44:54> 00:44:58: | broadly. So I've already mentioned the agricultural sector in drought |
| 00:44:58> 00:45:01: | at the KC Fed, we focus on the energy sector.                         |
| 00:45:01> 00:45:04: | So a lot of transitional risk and opportunity there, so.              |
| 00:45:05> 00:45:08: | I guess, yeah, in the commercial real estate, real estate             |
| 00:45:08> 00:45:11: | space, certainly the insurance side of the House, but at              |
| 00:45:11> 00:45:14: | least from our perspective it's sort of broad spectrum climate,       |
| 00:45:14> 00:45:17: | risk, broader economy both regionally as well as nationally.          |
| 00:45:18> 00:45:22: | Thanks. There's a question about tools for a global portfolio         |
| 00:45:22> 00:45:26: | and we, you know 1st St. Foundation data is really                    |
| 00:45:26> 00:45:29: | focused on the United States of America. I know of                    |
| 00:45:29> 00:45:33: | two tools that people can use for a global portfolio.                 |
| 00:45:33> 00:45:37: | One is called climate central and it's a somewhat blunt               |
| 00:45:37> 00:45:41: | instrument, but it's datasets that are global, including a pretty     |
| 00:45:41> 00:45:45: | comprehensive sea level rise tool. The only other tool I              |
| 00:45:45> 00:45:48: | know is a giant pile of IPCC science data that                        |
| 00:45:48> 00:45:49: | someone would have to.  |
| 00:45:50> 00:45:52: | Like, no. And FEMA figure out how to organize in                      |
| 00:45:52> 00:45:55: | a way to easily build tools off of. But I                             |
| 00:45:55> 00:45:58: | I'd love to hear from the panel if you've come                        |
| 00:45:58> 00:46:00: | across or seen any other tools, or have an idea                       |
| 00:46:00> 00:46:03: | on how those tools could get built to be truly                        |
| 00:46:03> 00:46:04: | global.   |
| 00:46:05> 00:46:08: | Just pass it back. Given the sort of pretty heavy                     |
| 00:46:08> 00:46:10: | data work we've had to do in a few of                                 |
| 00:46:10> 00:46:12: | our projects, the reality is the climate data and sort                |
| 00:46:13> 00:46:15: | of the underlying risk data that you're going to use                  |
| 00:46:15> 00:46:18: | for this type of thing, the fidelity of that information              |
| 00:46:18> 00:46:21: | is really good in the United States and North America                 |
| 00:46:21> 00:46:24: | and also in Europe. It gets a little bit questionable                 |
| 00:46:24> 00:46:27: | in terms of granularity and sort of the grid spacing                  |
| 00:46:27> 00:46:30: | in these types of things. You know, the individual, the               |
| 00:46:30> 00:46:32: | actual underlying data sets that you'd use to run that                |
| 00:46:32> 00:46:35: | analysis. So that conversation we had about buyer beware.             |

| 00:46:35> 00:46:39: | And sort of what somebody might be selling you, they                    |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:46:39> 00:46:42: | might be telling you that they have, you know, high                     |
| 00:46:42> 00:46:45: | fidelity data out of a very local level. But I'd                        |
| 00:46:45> 00:46:48: | question the sort of underlying data that they're using to              |
| 00:46:48> 00:46:52: | get to that individual latitude and longitude on type of                |
| 00:46:52> 00:46:55: | exposure. So I think I think you're right on point,                     |
| 00:46:55> 00:46:58: | Billy there, there are far fewer tools you know, X                      |
| 00:46:58> 00:47:00: | US than there are in the US and I think                                 |
| 00:47:00> 00:47:03: | that has to do with you know how much, how                              |
| 00:47:03> 00:47:05: | much resources the US spends on you know.                               |
| 00:47:05> 00:47:08: | Satellites and geospatial data and the Earth science field to           |
| 00:47:08> 00:47:10: | sort of feed the beast doesn't work.                                    |
| 00:47:13> 00:47:16: | So there's a question about building this tool in Europe.               |
| 00:47:16> 00:47:19: | This, this may sound like a flippant answer, but one                    |
| 00:47:20> 00:47:23: | of the things that I've seen is the insurance industry                  |
| 00:47:23> 00:47:27: | and the reinsurance industry has some phenomenal datasets that are      |
| 00:47:27> 00:47:30: | global but especially strong in the US and Europe. So,                  |
| 00:47:30> 00:47:34: | but they're private, right, they're private and proprietary. Can<br>you |
| 00:47:34> 00:47:37: | think of, I mean from a regional perspective, are there                 |
| 00:47:37> 00:47:40: | tools that are there data sets that you could use                       |
| 00:47:40> 00:47:43: | in Europe or Asia that might help answer these questions?               |
| 00:47:49> 00:47:51: | We're not we may not solve this on this call.                           |
| 00:47:52> 00:47:56: | Throughout Brittany, like when you're looking at your global portfolio, |
| 00:47:56> 00:47:59: | like what other tools are you using in other regions                    |
| 00:47:59> 00:48:01: | or are you really waiting for the tools to be                           |
| 00:48:02> 00:48:04: | offered and become more sophisticated?                                  |
| 00:48:05> 00:48:09: | So I do focus on our America's portfolio. So keep                       |
| 00:48:09> 00:48:10: | that in mind.   |
| 00:48:11> 00:48:15: | We that's where the I don't know if you're trying                       |
| 00:48:15> 00:48:18: | to say only public because yes we do purchase that's                    |
| 00:48:18> 00:48:22: | where those global climate risk providers come in handy to              |
| 00:48:22> 00:48:26: | get those that that whole comprehensive view. So Munich<br>Ray          |
| 00:48:26> 00:48:29: | is 1 which is is the reinsurers climate platform.                       |
| 00:48:31> 00:48:36: | Maplecroft Verisk Maplecroft has a global climate.                      |
| 00:48:37> 00:48:39: | Data set and so we were like again it, it                               |
| 00:48:39> 00:48:42: | is about like hodgepodge a bunch of these. I'm not                      |
| 00:48:42> 00:48:45: | sure if my counterpart on the European and Asia Pacific                 |
| 00:48:45> 00:48:48: | portfolio have anything more granular that they also leverage, but      |
|                     |   |

| 00:48:49> 00:48:51: | that is a good guestion. I'd like to ask him                               |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:48:51> 00:48:54: | that. So yeah that's that's that's what I could share.                     |
| 00:48:55> 00:48:57: | -  |
|                     | Great. And I had a couple audience Members point to                        |
| 00:48:57> 00:49:00: | a couple as well. Roberto, this is the one time                            |
| 00:49:00> 00:49:02: | I'll ask you to move something from the Q&A into                           |
| 00:49:02> 00:49:05: | the chat. So if you do have suggestions for other                          |
| 00:49:05> 00:49:08: | people, it's called climate X and I've never heard of                      |
| 00:49:08> 00:49:10: | it, but we'll add it to our list and and                                   |
| 00:49:10> 00:49:12: | learn more about it here at UCLA as well.                                  |
| 00:49:13> 00:49:17: | Um, there's a question about time horizons and how your                    |
| 00:49:17> 00:49:20: | analysis changes. If you're looking at, you know one a                     |
| 00:49:20> 00:49:24: | one year hold or securitizing mortgages versus holding a mortgage          |
| 00:49:24> 00:49:27: | for 30 years, how do you guys use this risk                                |
| 00:49:27> 00:49:31: | analysis based on different time horizons and how important is             |
| 00:49:31> 00:49:34: | this risk analysis based on the time horizon for you?                      |
| 00:49:37> 00:49:40: | Umm, so I could jump in quickly. Our generally we                          |
| 00:49:40> 00:49:43: | like to think not just about our whole period, but                         |
| 00:49:43> 00:49:46: | the the buyers hold. Because the thinking is that they're                  |
| 00:49:46> 00:49:49: | also doing the very same thing we're doing is trying                       |
| 00:49:49> 00:49:52: | to get a handle on climate risk and integrate it                           |
| 00:49:52> 00:49:55: | in their investment decisions. And so they're going to have                |
| 00:49:55> 00:49:58: | access to the same data. So if we think a                                  |
| 00:49:58> 00:50:01: | property doesn't have significant risk until 10 years from now,            |
| 00:50:01> 00:50:04: | but that means that's going to be right away for                           |
| 00:50:04> 00:50:07: | the buyer and they're not going to be maybe as                             |
| 00:50:07> 00:50:07: | interested.  |
| 00:50:08> 00:50:10: | So we try to look at that 20 year time                                     |
| 00:50:10> 00:50:13: | horizon to say, OK, if the risk is significant within                      |
| 00:50:13> 00:50:16: | that time frame, that's something to account for. But again                |
| 00:50:16> 00:50:20: | this is where it ultimately portfolio managers have the                    |
|                     | investment   |
| 00:50:20> 00:50:24: | decision making accountability and responsibility. So we present with what |
| 00:50:24> 00:50:27: | that risk could be and then it's up to them                                |
| 00:50:27> 00:50:30: | and the investment teams to say, well given that this                      |
| 00:50:30> 00:50:32: | might be a 5 year hold, we might be more                                   |
| 00:50:32> 00:50:35: | comfortable with that risk. If it's a 10 or longer                         |
| 00:50:35> 00:50:38: | into your hold then they might be less.                                    |
| 00:50:38> 00:50:40: | Comfortable with that risk. So it it's taking into account                 |
| 00:50:40> 00:50:43: | what the investment strategy is for that asset as well.                    |
| 00:50:48> 00:50:50: | For us, when we looked especially at the 1st St.                           |
|                     |  |

| 00:50:50> 00:50:53:<br>00:50:53> 00:50:56: | Data, I think that the most jarring thing was the difference even as we sit today between traditional methods |
|--|---|
| 00.50.55 00.50.56.                         | of  |
| 00:50:56> 00:51:00:                        | determining that physical risk, specifically flood and they are, you  |
| 00:51:00> 00:51:03:                        | know, more advanced methods. When we looked 30 years out,   |
| 00:51:03> 00:51:06:                        | there was, you know, still incremental difference, but it was   |
| 00:51:06> 00:51:09:                        | much smaller. And so really for us, yes, we're looking  |
| 00:51:09> 00:51:12:                        | at multiple time horizons, but the one that we're most  |
| 00:51:12> 00:51:15:                        | focused on is current state because of that drastic difference.   |
| 00:51:19> 00:51:21:                        | Well, so as as all of you are trying to   |
| 00:51:21> 00:51:24:                        | triangulate this risk and come up with a number, what's   |
| 00:51:24> 00:51:27:                        | the role that you place of the emphasis you place   |
| 00:51:27> 00:51:31:                        | on what governments, state and local governments are doing or   |
| 00:51:31> 00:51:34:                        | could do or have promised to do within a certain  |
| 00:51:34> 00:51:37:                        | time frame to mitigate that risk? How does that, how  |
| 00:51:37> 00:51:39:                        | does that enter into the analysis?  |
| 00:51:42> 00:51:45:                        | So we it does come into play this it's difficult  |
| 00:51:45> 00:51:48:                        | to to to make any sort of decision on where   |
| 00:51:48> 00:51:51:                        | we think a municipality is headed and putting a number  |
| 00:51:52> 00:51:54:                        | on that is even harder. We this is kind of  |
| 00:51:54> 00:51:57:                        | goes back to what I was saying that there's climate   |
| 00:51:58> 00:52:02:                        | providers serve different needs and there's like that market view   |
| 00:52:02> 00:52:05:                        | way to think about it. So shifting to more of   |
| 00:52:05> 00:52:08:                        | that like what is our strategic direction, where do we  |
| 00:52:08> 00:52:11:                        | want to be thinking about these things and we have  |
| 00:52:11> 00:52:12:                        | used the climate.   |
| 00:52:12> 00:52:16:                        | Service, they've helped us develop market views and that's looking  |
| 00:52:17> 00:52:20:                        | at the policies and the the budget of these different,  |
| 00:52:20> 00:52:24:                        | not necessarily the budget itself, but like budget plans and  |
| 00:52:24> 00:52:29:                        | commitments of different municipalities and where they're spending their dollars                              |
| 00:52:29> 00:52:32:                        | on resilience projects. To help us understand, OK, this, you  |
| 00:52:32> 00:52:36:                        | know Boston might have significant risks, but we know that  |
| 00:52:36> 00:52:40:                        | they're investing heavily in resilience, but actually coming out with   |
| 00:52:40> 00:52:42:                        | like OK, so is that a yes or no?  |
| 00:52:43> 00:52:46:                        | That's the hard part and that's why it's more of.   |
| 00:52:48> 00:52:52:                        | Brainstorm thought leadership as opposed to like per investment. What's                                       |

| 00:52:52> 00:52:55: | the decision? But it definitely comes into play and and              |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:52:55> 00:52:57: | I I hope that we can.  |
| 00:52:57> 00:53:01: | Deliver or build something a little bit more systematic in           |
| 00:53:01> 00:53:04: | taking in that information, but right now it seems very              |
| 00:53:04> 00:53:07: | much like a OK point point taken, but I'm not                        |
| 00:53:07> 00:53:09: | sure what to do with that next.                                      |
| 00:53:11> 00:53:14: | I'll put in a plug and I'll try and get                              |
| 00:53:14> 00:53:16: | it into the chat before we leave for an ancient                      |
| 00:53:16> 00:53:20: | at this point I think it's 2015 report by grovenor.                  |
| 00:53:20> 00:53:23: | Grovenor tried to look at 100 of the largest cities                  |
| 00:53:23> 00:53:26: | and their physical risk as well as their adaptive capacity,          |
| 00:53:26> 00:53:29: | which I thought was a really interesting term to use.                |
| 00:53:30> 00:53:33: | It was a combination of the political will, the financial            |
| 00:53:33> 00:53:37: | resources and the progress necessary to mitigate that risk. I        |
| 00:53:37> 00:53:40: | think that would be a really interesting thing to try                |
| 00:53:40> 00:53:41: | and refresh and for our.   |
| 00:53:41> 00:53:44: | Climate providers to try and figure out how we can                   |
| 00:53:44> 00:53:48: | better quantify that and way it against physical and transition      |
| 00:53:48> 00:53:52: | risk in these investment decisions. Or maybe David can do            |
| 00:53:52> 00:53:54: | this for us with his expansive datasets.                             |
| 00:53:55> 00:53:58: | The OR infinite resources of course I just that's all                |
| 00:53:58> 00:54:02: | the researchers and the Federal Reserve System but it's actually     |
| 00:54:02> 00:54:04: | a really good question for the the Fed similar on                    |
| 00:54:04> 00:54:08: | the policy side when governments make decisions we kind of           |
| 00:54:08> 00:54:11: | have to assess the economic and regional impacts. We see             |
| 00:54:11> 00:54:14: | that you know both within sort of municipal and state                |
| 00:54:14> 00:54:17: | level but you know also some of the national policy                  |
| 00:54:17> 00:54:20: | decisions but you know we we we respond to that                      |
| 00:54:20> 00:54:23: | analyze and understand the economic implications but we're not we're |
| 00:54:23> 00:54:25: | not the deciders on those.   |
| 00:54:25> 00:54:25: | Thanks.  |
| 00:54:27> 00:54:29: | There's a good question in the chat and maybe an                     |
| 00:54:29> 00:54:32: | unanswerable question, and it is a question you and I                |
| 00:54:32> 00:54:35: | tried to answer with a research report three years ago,              |
| 00:54:35> 00:54:37: | and we didn't come to a conclusion on this, but                      |
| 00:54:37> 00:54:41: | has the Federal Reserve seen changes in investment capital flows     |
| 00:54:41> 00:54:44: | into certain regions due to climate risks? Is there a                |
| 00:54:44> 00:54:46: | way to parse any of that or are you seeing                           |
| 00:54:46> 00:54:47: | the opposite?  |
|                     |  |

| 00:54:49> 00:54:52: | Tell yeah, probably. This probably isn't going to be a                   |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:54:52> 00:54:55: | satisfying answer for the audience here because you're in the            |
| 00:54:55> 00:54:59: | commercial real estate space. I'm going to sort of ducktail              |
| 00:54:59> 00:55:01: | back into the sort of energy space. You see it                           |
| 00:55:01> 00:55:04: | on the transitional side. You see sort of the closure                    |
| 00:55:04> 00:55:07: | of coal mines in the western United States and the                       |
| 00:55:07> 00:55:09: | rise of wind farms in sort of Kansas and Oklahoma                        |
| 00:55:09> 00:55:12: | and these types of things. So you do see compositional                   |
| 00:55:12> 00:55:16: | changes in where infrastructure is being built or where you're           |
| 00:55:16> 00:55:19: | allowing infrastructure to sort of reach its useful life.                |
| 00:55:19> 00:55:22: | And then sort of get retired. So that's kind of                          |
| 00:55:22> 00:55:25: | what we see from our perspective. Again, probably not a                  |
| 00:55:25> 00:55:28: | satisfying answer for all the real estate investors on the               |
| 00:55:28> 00:55:28: | call.  |
| 00:55:30> 00:55:33: | Brittany, you talked to big investors. I don't know if                   |
| 00:55:33> 00:55:36: | you can anonymize it, but are you seeing it either                       |
| 00:55:36> 00:55:39: | in the institutional investment community or in other parts of           |
| 00:55:40> 00:55:44: | the investment landscape, anything, any changes in capital flows related |
| 00:55:44> 00:55:47: | to climate risk and resilience without naming any specific regions       |
| 00:55:47> 00:55:49: | or any specific investors?   |
| 00:55:50> 00:55:55: | So it's definitely increased. In terms of the asking, they               |
| 00:55:55> 00:55:58: | want to know like as I was saying before, what                           |
| 00:55:59> 00:56:00: | is the portfolio?  |
| 00:56:00> 00:56:03: | Exposure to all hazards by percent of AUM. What is                       |
| 00:56:03> 00:56:08: | being done about that? Gives specific examples of how<br>climate         |
| 00:56:08> 00:56:12: | risk was factored into decision making. You purchase any assets          |
| 00:56:12> 00:56:15: | at risk of those at risk, why did what? What                             |
| 00:56:15> 00:56:19: | made you comfortable with it? So they're really digging in               |
| 00:56:19> 00:56:23: | to hear the thinking behind making those investment decisions.           |
| 00:56:24> 00:56:28: | So that does, I don't you know and what they                             |
| 00:56:28> 00:56:30: | do with that is we teach TBD I guess you                                 |
| 00:56:31> 00:56:34: | know there hasn't yet been any any sort of we                            |
| 00:56:34> 00:56:37: | don't want you investing at all in X area. It's                          |
| 00:56:37> 00:56:41: | more so asking the questions but maybe it's a safe                       |
| 00:56:41> 00:56:45: | assumption that what happens next is they do maybe place                 |
| 00:56:45> 00:56:49: | some restrictions on on certain areas or do set portfolio                |
| 00:56:49> 00:56:53: | limits of we only want 10% of your portfolio exposed                     |
| 00:56:53> 00:56:55: | to extreme hazards.  |

| 00:56:55> 00:56:58: | I think that that would be reasonable to say that                            |
|---------------------|--|
| 00:56:58> 00:57:01: | that probably comes into play, especially as the reality of                  |
| 00:57:01> 00:57:05: | climate change continues and we continue to face disasters that              |
| 00:57:05> 00:57:08: | cost money. I think that it's very reasonable that they                      |
| 00:57:08> 00:57:10: | will eventually set those limitations.                                       |
| 00:57:11> 00:57:14: | This has been a a whirlwind tour and I think                                 |
| 00:57:14> 00:57:16: | that we may have today set a record for the                                  |
| 00:57:16> 00:57:20: | total number of audience questions answered. We've answered 17 audience      |
| 00:57:20> 00:57:24: | questions. So congratulations audience for asking really good questions that |
| 00:57:24> 00:57:28: | we could answer succinctly and congratulations to the<br>panelists for       |
| 00:57:28> 00:57:31: | your flexibility to answer all of these questions that we                    |
| 00:57:31> 00:57:34: | did not prepare for. I would like to ask one                                 |
| 00:57:34> 00:57:37: | final question that we semi prepared for. Could each of                      |
| 00:57:37> 00:57:40: | you share something? We have a lot of people that                            |
| 00:57:40> 00:57:42: | are just getting started with climate.                                       |
| 00:57:42> 00:57:44: | Risk based on your journey or do you have advice                             |
| 00:57:44> 00:57:48: | for somebody looking to tackle climate risk for the first                    |
| 00:57:48> 00:57:48: | time?  |
| 00:57:50> 00:57:53: | I would say connect, collaborate, you know, access those folks               |
| 00:57:53> 00:57:55: | who are further along on the path. I feel like                               |
| 00:57:55> 00:57:58: | this is climate analytics is kind of its infancy. It's                       |
| 00:57:58> 00:58:00: | a little bit of a Wild West, but there are                                   |
| 00:58:00> 00:58:02: | people. So there's part of that is there's no old                            |
| 00:58:02> 00:58:04: | man in the room who's going to be able to                                    |
| 00:58:05> 00:58:07: | tell you the answer. And I think we've highlighted that                      |
| 00:58:07> 00:58:10: | on the call. But that being said, there are people                           |
| 00:58:10> 00:58:12: | who have, you know, they've got the scars and sort                           |
| 00:58:12> 00:58:15: | of been roughed up by trying to do this work                                 |
| 00:58:15> 00:58:17: | well and you know, have those conversations because I think                  |
| 00:58:18> 00:58:19: | people are still willing to have.  |
| 00:58:19> 00:58:22: | Those discussions and help you out on sort of doing                          |
| 00:58:22> 00:58:22: | that work well.  |
| 00:58:23> 00:58:24: | Thanks.  |
| 00:58:26> 00:58:28: | Backing off of what David said, say plan for not                             |
| 00:58:28> 00:58:32: | getting it right or complete the first time, potentially maybe               |
| 00:58:32> 00:58:35: | ever. You know, there will be a lot of iterations                            |
| 00:58:35> 00:58:38: | after you do that first analysis, I think you'll probably                    |
| 00:58:38> 00:58:41: | come out on the other side with more questions than                          |

| 00:58:41> 00:58:42: | answers.  |
|---------------------|---|
| 00:58:45> 00:58:47: | Honestly, I think David and Emily hit hit the nail            |
| 00:58:47> 00:58:49: | on the head, so nothing to add there.                         |
| 00:58:50> 00:58:53: | Well, on behalf of you a lot, I'd really like                 |
| 00:58:53> 00:58:56: | to thank our panelists for a great discussion today. We're    |
| 00:58:56> 00:58:59: | going to send a recording of this session, so if              |
| 00:58:59> 00:59:02: | you want to share it with your friends, that would            |
| 00:59:02> 00:59:04: | be great. And we'll also do our best to capture               |
| 00:59:04> 00:59:07: | as many of the links referenced in this discussion as         |
| 00:59:07> 00:59:10: | we can. In that follow up, I'd like to remind                 |
| 00:59:10> 00:59:13: | people that if you want to continue this conversation with    |
| 00:59:13> 00:59:16: | you alike, come to the resilient Summit May 15 in             |
| 00:59:16> 00:59:19: | Toronto ON Canada and please, if you're a UI member,          |
| 00:59:19> 00:59:20: | take advantage of this.                                       |
| 00:59:20> 00:59:24: | Risk Factor pro tool download 5 property assessments and then |
| 00:59:24> 00:59:26: | reach back out to you, Ali, to let us know                    |
| 00:59:26> 00:59:29: | what you think so that we can continue to offer               |
| 00:59:29> 00:59:32: | this and other tools to our membership in the broader         |
| 00:59:32> 00:59:35: | real estate industry. So thanks again everybody. Have a great |
| 00:59:35> 00:59:37: | rest of your day and have a great weekend. Bye,               |
| 00:59:37> 00:59:38: | bye.  |
| 00:59:39> 00:59:40: | Thanks so much.   |
| 00:59:41> 00:59:42: | Thank you.  |

This video transcript has been machine-generated, so it may not be accurate. It is for personal use only. Reproduction or use without written permission is prohibited. If you have a correction or for permission inquiries, please contact [email protected].